



**HAL**  
open science

## Landau damping

Clément Mouhot, Cédric Villani

► **To cite this version:**

| Clément Mouhot, Cédric Villani. Landau damping. 2009. hal-00383920v1

**HAL Id: hal-00383920**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00383920v1>**

Preprint submitted on 13 May 2009 (v1), last revised 5 Dec 2009 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# LANDAU DAMPING

C. MOUHOT AND C. VILLANI

ABSTRACT. In this note we present the main results from the recent work [16], which for the first time establish Landau damping in a nonlinear context — for all times if the interaction is less singular than Coulomb or Newton, and for exponentially large times in the case of these potentials.

**Keywords.** Landau damping; plasma physics; astrophysics; Vlasov–Poisson equation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The “standard model” of classical plasma physics is the Vlasov–Poisson–Landau equation [10, 20], here written with periodic boundary conditions and in nondimensional units:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F[f] \cdot \nabla_v f = \frac{\log \Lambda}{2\pi\Lambda} Q_L(f, f),$$

where  $f = f(t, x, v)$  is the electron distribution function ( $t \geq 0$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ ),

$$(2) \quad F[f](t, x) = - \iint \nabla W(x - y) f(t, y, w) dw dy$$

is the self-induced force,  $W(x) = 1/|x|$  is the Coulomb interaction potential, and  $Q_L$  is the Landau collision operator, described for instance in [11] or [19]. The parameter  $\Lambda$  is very large, ranging typically from  $10^2$  to  $10^{30}$ .

On very large time scales (say  $O(\Lambda/\log \Lambda)$ ), dissipative phenomena play a nonnegligible role, and the entropy increase is supposed to force the (slow) convergence to a Maxwellian distribution. Thanks to the recent progress on hypocoercivity, this mechanism is now mathematically rather well understood, as soon as global smoothness estimates are available (see [18] and the references therein).

Ten years after devising this collisional scenario, Landau [10] formulated a much more subtle prediction: the stability of homogeneous equilibria satisfying certain conditions — for instance any function of  $|v|$ , not necessarily Gaussian — on much shorter time scales (say  $O(1)$ ), by means of purely conservative mechanisms. This phenomenon, called **Landau damping**, is a property of the (collisionless!) Vlasov

equation, obtained by setting  $\Lambda = \infty$  in (1). At the time, Landau's discovery was considered "astonishing" by his peers; since then it has become a theoretical cornerstone of classical plasma physics (among a large number of references let us mention [1, 11]). Similar damping phenomena are expected to occur in gravitational dynamics [12], but also in other domains of physics.

The Landau damping has been since long understood at the linearized level [2, 4, 6, 7, 15, 17]. However, fifty years ago in the first volume of *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, Backus [2] already noticed that the time scale for linearization is sometimes much shorter than the time scale for Landau damping, and expressed doubt on the relevance of the linearization approach to this problem. His objection remained unanswered up to now, because the important conceptual and technical difficulties associated with the nonlinear equation have severely hampered the results obtained in this setting: in [3, 8] only the existence of *some* damped solutions was proven.

We fill this gap in a recent work [16], whose main result we shall now describe.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

If  $f$  is a function defined on  $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , we note, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\widehat{f}(k, v) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x, v) e^{-2i\pi k \cdot x} dx, \quad \widetilde{f}(k, \eta) = \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x, v) e^{-2i\pi k \cdot x} e^{-2i\pi \eta \cdot v} dv dx.$$

We also set

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{\lambda, \mu, \beta} = \sup_{k, \eta} \left( |\widetilde{f}(k, \eta)| e^{2\pi\lambda|\eta|} e^{2\pi\mu|k|} \right) + \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x, v)| e^{2\pi\beta|v|} dv dx.$$

**Theorem 1** (nonlinear Landau damping for general interaction). *Let  $d \geq 1$ , and  $f^0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  an analytic velocity profile. Let  $W : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an interaction potential. For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ , we set*

$$\mathcal{L}(k, \xi) = -4\pi^2 \widehat{W}(k) \int_0^\infty e^{2\pi|k|\xi^* t} |\widetilde{f}^0(kt)| |k|^2 t dt.$$

We assume that there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\widetilde{f}^0(\eta)| e^{2\pi\lambda|\eta|} \leq C_0, \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \|\nabla_v^n f^0\|_{L^1(dv)} \leq C_0,$$

$$(5) \quad \inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \inf_{0 \leq \Re \xi < \lambda} |\mathcal{L}(k, \xi) - 1| \geq \kappa > 0$$

$$(6) \quad \exists \gamma > 1; \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d; \quad |\widehat{W}(k)| \leq \frac{C_W}{|k|^{1+\gamma}}.$$

Then as soon as  $0 < \lambda' < \lambda$ ,  $0 < \mu' < \mu$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $d, \gamma, \lambda, \lambda', \mu, \mu', C_0, \kappa, C_W, \beta, r$ , such that if  $f_i \geq 0$  satisfies

$$(7) \quad \delta := \|f_i - f^0\|_{\lambda, \mu, \beta} \leq \varepsilon,$$

then the unique solution of the nonlinear Vlasov equation

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F[f] \cdot \nabla_v f = 0, \quad F[f](t, x) = - \iint \nabla W(x-y) f(t, y, w) dw dy,$$

defined for all times and such that  $f(0, \cdot) = f_i$ , satisfies

$$(9) \quad \|\rho(t, \cdot) - \rho_\infty\|_{C^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C \delta e^{-2\pi\lambda'|t|},$$

where  $\rho(t, x) = \int f(t, x, v) dv$ ,  $\rho_\infty = \iint f_i(x, v) dv dx$ . Furthermore, there are analytic profiles  $f_{+\infty}(v)$ ,  $f_{-\infty}(v)$  such that

$$f(t, \cdot) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} f_{\pm\infty} \quad \text{weakly}$$

$$\int f(t, x, \cdot) dx \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} f_{\pm\infty} \quad \text{strongly (in } C^r(\mathbb{R}_v^d)),$$

these convergences being also  $O(\delta e^{-2\pi\lambda'|t|})$ .

This theorem, entirely constructive, is almost optimal, as the following comments show.

**Comments on the assumptions:** The periodic boundary conditions of course are debatable; in any case, the counterexamples of Glassey and Schaeffer [5] show that some confinement mechanism — or at least a limitation on the spatial wavelength — is mandatory. Condition (4) quantitatively expresses the analyticity of the profile  $f^0$ , without which we could not hope for an exponential convergence. The inequality (5) is a linear stability condition, roughly optimal, covering all physically interesting cases: in particular the (attractive) Newton interaction for wavelengths shorter than the Jeans instability length; and the (repulsive) Coulomb interaction around radially symmetric profiles  $f^0$  in dimension  $d \geq 3$ , for all wavelengths. On the other hand, condition (6) shows up only in the nonlinear stability, and Coulomb and Newton interactions fail just short of satisfying it. As for condition (7), its perturbative nature is natural in view of theoretical speculations and numerical studies in the subject.

**Comments on the conclusions:**

- (1) The large-time convergence is based on a reversible, purely deterministic mechanism, without any Lyapunov functional neither variational interpretation. The asymptotic profiles  $f_{\pm\infty}$  eventually keep the memory of the initial datum and the interaction. This convergence “for no reason” was not really expected, since the quasilinear theory of Landau damping [1, Vol. II, Section 9.1.2] predicts convergence only after taking average on statistical ensembles.
- (2) This result can be interpreted in the spirit of the KAM theorem: for the linearized Vlasov equation, convergence is forced by an infinite number of conservation laws which make the model “completely integrable”; as soon as one adds a nonlinear coupling, the conservation laws disappear but the convergence remains.
- (3) The other major surprise is the “critical” nature of the Coulomb or Newton interaction, which can be seen only at the nonlinear level. A crude large-time estimate bounds the nonlinear growth like  $O(e^{ct^2})$ ; but a finer analysis of the nonlinear response of the equation, “all in echoes”, yields an expected growth like  $O(e^{ct^{1/\gamma}})$  (to be replaced, in the case of an analytic interaction, by the exotic growth rate  $e^{c(\log t)^2}$ ). Thus the value  $\gamma = 1$  in (6) is the critical value for which the expected nonlinear growth is absorbed by the linear decay, at best exponential.
- (4) This also implies that the analytic regularity is critical in this large-time problem for Coulomb/Newton interaction ( $\gamma = 1$ ). This is of course in (very) sharp contrast with the Cauchy problem for the Vlasov–Poisson equation, which can be solved with basically no regularity.
- (5) Given a stable equilibrium profile  $f^0$ , we see that an entire neighborhood — in analytic topology — of  $f^0$  is filled by homoclinic or (in general) heteroclinic trajectories. Only infinite dimension allows this remarkable behavior of the nonlinear Vlasov equation.
- (6) The large time convergence of the distribution function holds only in the weak sense; the norms of velocity derivatives grow quickly in large time, which reflects a filamentation in phase space, and a transfer of energy (or information) from low to high frequencies (“weak turbulence”).
- (7) It is this transfer of information to small scales which allows to reconcile the reversibility of the Vlasov–Poisson equation with the seemingly irreversible large-time behavior. Let us note that the “dual” mechanism of transfer of energy to large scales, also called *radiation*, was extensively studied in the setting of infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems.

- (8) The fact that the limit profile keeps the memory of the interaction and initial datum seems to be bad news for the *statistical theory of the Vlasov equation* founded by Lynden-Bell [13] and developed by other authors. Such an objection had already been raised, without rigorous basis, by Isichenko [9]. However, our discovery of the critical nature of the analytic regularity, and the fact that huge constants appear in the theorem — qualitatively larger than those of KAM theory — provides plenty of reason to dismiss this objection to the statistical theory, at least in an appropriate regime. This seems to be a good example where a physical debate can be solved only by a careful mathematical analysis.

Much more comments, both from the mathematical and the physical sides, can be found in [16].

### 3. LINEAR STABILITY

The linear stability is the first step of our study; it only requires a limited technical investment.

After linearization around a homogeneous equilibrium  $f^0$ , the Vlasov equation becomes

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla_x h - (\nabla W * \rho) \cdot \nabla_v f^0 = 0, \quad \rho = \int h \, dv.$$

It is well-known that this equation decouples into an infinite number of independent equations governing the modes of  $\rho$ : for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$(11) \quad \widehat{\rho}(t, k) - \int_0^t K^0(t - \tau, k) \widehat{\rho}(\tau, k) \, d\tau = \widetilde{h}_i(k, kt),$$

where  $h_i$  is the initial datum, and  $K^0$  an integral kernel depending on  $f^0$ :

$$(12) \quad K^0(t, k) = -4\pi^2 \widehat{W}(k) \widetilde{f}^0(kt) |k|^2 t.$$

Then from classical results on Volterra equations we deduce that for all  $k \neq 0$  the decay of  $\widehat{\rho}(t, k)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  is essentially controlled by the worst of two convergence rates:

- the convergence rate of the source term in the right-hand side of (11), which depends only on the regularity of the initial datum in the velocity variable;
- $e^{-\lambda t}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the largest positive real number such that the Fourier–Laplace transform (in the  $t$  variable) of  $K^0$  does not approach the value 1 in the strip  $\{0 \leq \Re z \leq \lambda\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

The problem lies in finding sufficient conditions on  $f^0$  to guarantee the strict positivity of  $\lambda$ . Since Landau, this study has been traditionally performed thanks to the Laplace transform inversion formula; however, with a view to the nonlinear study, we prefer a more elementary and constructive approach, based on the plain Fourier inversion formula.

With this method we establish the linear Landau damping, under conditions (4) and (5), for any interaction  $W$  such that  $\nabla W \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , and any analytic initial condition (without any size restriction in this linear context). We recover as particular cases all the results previously established on the linear Landau damping [2, 4, 15, 17]; but we also cover for instance Newton interaction. Indeed, condition (5) is satisfied as soon as *any one* of the following conditions is satisfied:

(a)  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \widehat{W}(k) \geq 0, z \phi'_k(z) \leq 0$ , where  $\phi_k$  is the “marginal” of  $f^0$  along the direction  $k$ , defined by

$$\phi_k(z) = \int_{\frac{kz}{|k|} + k^\perp} f^0(w) dw;$$

$$(b) 4\pi^2 (\max |\widehat{W}(k)|) \left( \sup_{|\sigma|=1} \int_0^\infty |\tilde{f}^0(r\sigma)| r dr \right) < 1.$$

This second condition applies to the Newton interaction below the Jeans length. We refer to [16, Section 3] for more details.

#### 4. NONLINEAR STABILITY

To establish the nonlinear stability, we start by introducing **analytic norms** which are “hybrid” (based on the size of derivatives in the velocity variable, and on the size of Fourier coefficients in the position variable) and “gliding” (the norm will change with time to take into account the transfer to small velocity scales). Five indices provide the desired flexibility:

$$(13) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\tau^{\lambda,(\mu,\gamma);p}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} e^{2\pi\mu|k|} (1 + |k|)^\gamma \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \left\| (\nabla_v + 2i\pi\tau k)^n \widehat{f}(k, v) \right\|_{L^p(dv)}.$$

(By default  $\gamma = 0$ .) A tedious injection theorem “à la Sobolev” compares these norms to more traditional ones, such as the  $\|f\|_{\lambda,\mu,\beta}$  norms appearing in (3).

The  $\mathcal{Z}$  norms enjoy remarkable properties with respect to composition and product. The parameter  $\tau$  partly compensates for filamentation. Finally, the hybrid

nature of these norms is well adapted to the geometry of the problem. If  $f$  depends only on  $x$ , the norm (13) coincides with the algebra norm  $\mathcal{F}^{\lambda\tau+\mu,\gamma}$  defined by

$$(14) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{\lambda\tau+\mu,\gamma}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{f}(k)| e^{2\pi(\lambda\tau+\mu)|k|} (1 + |k|)^\gamma.$$

(We also use the ‘‘homogeneous’’ version  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}^{\lambda\tau+\mu,\gamma}$  where the mode  $k = 0$  is removed.)

Then the Vlasov equation is solved by a **Newton scheme**, whose first step is the solution of the linearized equation around  $f^0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f^n &= f^0 + h^1 + \dots + h^n, \\ \begin{cases} \partial_t h^1 + v \cdot \nabla_x h^1 + F[h^1] \cdot \nabla_v f^0 = 0 \\ h^1(0, \cdot) = f_i - f^0 \end{cases} \\ n \geq 1, \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t h^{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x h^{n+1} + F[f^n] \cdot \nabla_v h^{n+1} + F[h^{n+1}] \cdot \nabla_v f^n = -F[h^n] \cdot \nabla_v h^n \\ h^{n+1}(0, \cdot) = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In a first step, we establish the short-time analytic regularity of  $h^n(\tau, \cdot)$  in the norm  $\mathcal{Z}_\tau^{\lambda,(\mu,\gamma);1}$ ; this step, in the spirit of a Cauchy–Kowalevskaya theorem, is performed thanks to the identity

$$(15) \quad \left. \frac{d^+}{dt} \right|_{t=\tau} \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\tau^{\lambda(t),\mu(t);p}} \leq -\frac{K}{1+\tau} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\tau^{\lambda(\tau),\mu(\tau);p}},$$

where  $\lambda(t) = \lambda - Kt$ ,  $\mu(t) = \mu - Kt$ .

In a second step, we establish uniform in time estimates on each  $h^n$ , now with a partly Eulerian and partly Lagrangian method, integrating the equation along the characteristics  $(X_{\tau,t}^n, V_{\tau,t}^n)$  created by the force  $F[f^n]$ . (Here  $\tau$  is the initial time,  $t$  the current time,  $(x, v)$  the initial conditions,  $(X^n, V^n)$  the current conditions.) The smoothness of these characteristics is expressed by controls in hybrid norm on the operators  $\Omega_{t,\tau}^n(x, v) = (X_{t,\tau}^n, V_{t,\tau}^n)(x + v(t - \tau), v)$ , which compare the perturbed dynamics to the unperturbed one; these are informally called (finite-time) **scattering operators**.

Then we propagate a number of estimates along the scheme; the most important are (slightly simplifying)

$$(16) \quad \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^n(\tau, \cdot, v) dv \right\|_{\mathcal{F}^{\lambda_n \tau + \mu_n}} \leq \delta_n,$$



$$(17) \quad \sup_{t \geq \tau \geq 0} \left\| h^n(\tau, \Omega_{t,\tau}^n) \right\|_{\mathcal{Z}^{\lambda_n(1+b), \mu_n; 1}} \leq \delta_n, \quad b = b(t) = \frac{B}{1+t},$$

$$(18) \quad \left\| \Omega_{t,\tau}^n - \text{Id} \right\|_{\mathcal{Z}^{\lambda_n(1+b), (\mu_n, \gamma); \infty}} \leq C \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\delta_k e^{-2\pi(\lambda_k - \lambda_{n+1})\tau}}{2\pi(\lambda_k - \lambda_{n+1})^2} \right) \min\{t - \tau; 1\}.$$

Notice, in (16), the linear increase in the regularity of the spatial density, which is simultaneous to the deterioration of regularity in the  $v$  variable. In (17), the additional time-shift in the indices by the function  $b(t)$  will be crucial to absorb error terms coming from the composition; the constant  $B$  itself is determined by the previous small-time estimates. Finally, in (18), notice the uniform in  $t$  control, and the improved estimates in the limit cases  $t \rightarrow \tau$  and  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ; also this is important for handling error terms. The constants  $\lambda_n$  and  $\mu_n$  decrease at each stage of the scheme, converging — not too fast — to positive limits  $\lambda_\infty, \mu_\infty$ ; at the same time, the constants  $\delta_n$  converge extremely fast to 0, which guarantees “by retroaction” the uniformity of the constants in the right-hand side of (18).

The estimates (18) are obtained by repeated application of fixed point theorems in analytic norms. Another crucial ingredient to go from stage  $n$  to stage  $n+1$  is the mechanism of **regularity extortion**, which we shall now describe in a simplified version. Given two distribution functions  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$ , depending on  $t, x, v$ , let us define

$$\sigma(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (F[f] \cdot \nabla_v \bar{f})(\tau, x - v(t - \tau), v) dv d\tau.$$

This quantity can be interpreted as follows: if particles distributed according to  $f$  exert a force on particles distributed according to  $\bar{f}$ , then  $\sigma$  is the variation of density  $\int f dv$  caused by the *reaction* of  $\bar{f}$  on  $f$ . We show that if  $\bar{f}$  has a high gliding regularity, then the regularity of  $\sigma$  in large time is better than what would be expected:

$$(19) \quad \|\sigma(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}^{\lambda t + \mu}} \leq \int_0^t K(t, \tau) \|F[f(\tau, \cdot)]\|_{\mathcal{F}^{\lambda\tau + \mu, \gamma}} d\tau,$$

where

$$K(t, \tau) = \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left( \frac{\|\nabla_v \bar{f}(s, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{Z}_s^{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}; 1}}}{1+s} \right) \right] (1+\tau) \sup_{k \neq 0, \ell \neq 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)|k(t-\tau) + \ell\tau|} e^{-2\pi(\bar{\mu} - \mu)|\ell|}}{1 + |k - \ell|^\gamma}.$$

The kernel  $K(t, \tau)$  has integral  $O(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , which may let us fear a violent unstability; but as  $t$  becomes large it is also more and more concentrated on discrete

times  $\tau = kt/(k - \ell)$ ; this is the effect of **plasma echoes**, discovered and experimentally observed in the sixties [14]. The stabilizing role of the echo phenomenon, related to the Landau damping, is uncovered in our study. The regularity extortion mechanism is a twist on the usual qualitative interpretation of Landau damping as a transfer of energy from forcing wave to particles.

Then we analyze the nonlinear response due to echoes. The assumption  $\gamma < 1$  implies that this response is subexponential, so that it can be controlled by an arbitrarily small loss of gliding regularity, at the price of a gigantic constant, which later will be absorbed by the ultrafast convergence of the Newton scheme. In the end, part of the gliding regularity of  $\bar{f}$  has been converted into a large-time decay.

In practice, straight trajectories in (19) must be replaced by characteristics (this reflects the fact that  $\bar{f}$  also exerts a force on  $f$ ), which is a source of considerable technical difficulties. Among the tools used to overcome them, let us mention a second mechanism of regularity extortion, acting in short time and close in spirit to velocity-averaging lemmas; here is a simplified version of it:

$$(20) \quad \|\sigma(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{F}}^{\lambda t + \mu}} \leq \int_0^t \|F[f(\tau, \cdot)]\|_{\mathcal{F}^{\lambda[\tau - b(t-\tau)] + \mu, \gamma}} \|\nabla f(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{Z}_{\tau - bt/(1+b)}^{\lambda(1+b), (\mu, 0); 1}} d\tau.$$

We see in (20) that the regularity of  $\sigma$  is better than that of  $F[f]$ , with a gain that degenerates as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\tau \rightarrow t$ .  $\square$

## 5. LIMIT CASE: COULOMB/NEWTON

When  $\gamma = 1$ , this strategy fails, and we do not know whether the conclusion of Theorem 1 applies. However, we are still able to convert the bi-exponential convergence of the Newton scheme (convergence like  $O(\delta^{a^n})$ ) into a result of damping on very large times — exponentially large in the perturbation size — which is sufficient to qualitatively explain the robustness of Landau damping in the nonlinear regime:

**Theorem 2** (Landau damping, Coulomb/Newton interactions). *If in the statement of Theorem 1 the assumption (6) is relaxed by allowing  $\gamma = 1$ , the conclusion (9) remains true, provided it is complemented with the restriction*

$$|t| \leq C A^{\frac{1}{\delta(\log \delta)^2}},$$

where  $C > 0$ ,  $A > 1$  are constants depending only on  $d, \lambda, \lambda', \mu, \mu', C_0, \kappa, C_W, \beta, r$ .

And so it happens, by coincidence, that the treatment of the Newton potential uses the full power of the Newton scheme.

## REFERENCES

- [1] AKHIEZER, A., AKHIEZER, I., POLOVIN, R., SITENKO, A., AND STEPANOV, K. *Plasma electrodynamics. Vol. I: Linear theory, Vol. II: Non-linear theory and fluctuations*. Pergamon Press, 1975 (English Edition). Translated by D. ter Haar.
- [2] BACKUS, G. Linearized plasma oscillations in arbitrary electron distributions. *J. Math. Phys.* 1 (1960), 178–191, 559.
- [3] CAGLIOTI, E., AND MAFFEI, C. Time asymptotics for solutions of Vlasov–Poisson equation in a circle. *J. Statist. Phys.* 92, 1-2 (1998), 301–323.
- [4] DEGOND, P. Spectral theory of the linearized Vlasov–Poisson equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 294, 2 (1986), 435–453.
- [5] GLASSEY, R., AND SCHAEFFER, J. On time decay rates in Landau damping. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 20, 3-4 (1995), 647–676.
- [6] HAYES, J. N. Damping of plasma oscillations in the linear theory. *Phys. Fluids* 4 (1961), 1387–1392.
- [7] HAYES, J. N. On non-Landau damped solutions to the linearized Vlasov equation. *Nuovo Cimento (10)* 30 (1963), 1048–1063.
- [8] HWANG, J.-H., AND VELÁZQUEZ, J. On the existence of exponentially decreasing solutions of the nonlinear Landau damping problem. Preprint, 2008.
- [9] ISICHENKO, M. Nonlinear Landau damping in collisionless plasma and inviscid fluid. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78, 12 (1997), 2369–2372.
- [10] LANDAU, L. On the vibration of the electronic plasma. *J. Phys. USSR* 10 (1946), 25. English translation in *JETP* 16, 574. Reproduced in *Collected papers of L.D. Landau*, edited and with an introduction by D. ter Haar, Pergamon Press, 1965, pp. 445–460; and in *Men of Physics: L.D. Landau*, Vol. 2, Pergamon Press, D. ter Haar, ed. (1965).
- [11] LIFSHITZ, E. M., AND PITAEVSKIĬ, L. P. *Course of theoretical physics [“Landau–Lifshits”]*. Vol. 10. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1981. Translated from the Russian by J. B. Sykes and R. N. Franklin.
- [12] LYNDEN-BELL, D. The stability and vibrations of a gas of stars. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* 124, 4 (1962), 279–296.
- [13] LYNDEN-BELL, D. Statistical mechanics of violent relaxation in stellar systems. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* 136 (1967), 101–121.
- [14] MALMBERG, J., WHARTON, C., GOULD, R., AND O’NEIL, T. Plasma wave echo experiment. *Phys. Rev. Letters* 20, 3 (1968), 95–97.
- [15] MASLOV, V. P., AND FEDORYUK, M. V. The linear theory of Landau damping. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 127(169), 4 (1985), 445–475, 559.
- [16] MOUHOT, C., AND VILLANI, C. On the Landau damping. Available online at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.2760>. Preprint, 2009.
- [17] SÁENZ, A. W. Long-time behavior of the electric potential and stability in the linearized Vlasov theory. *J. Mathematical Phys.* 6 (1965), 859–875.
- [18] VILLANI, C. Hypocoercivity. To appear in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [19] VILLANI, C. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, Vol. I*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 71–305.

- [20] VLASOV, A. A. On the oscillation properties of an electron gas. *Zh. Èksper. Teoret. Fiz.* 8 (1938), 291–318.

CLÉMENT MOUHOT

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-DAUPHINE & CNRS  
CEREMADE, UMR CNRS 7534  
PLACE DU MARCHAL DE LATTRE DE TASSIGNY  
75775 PARIS CEDEX 16  
FRANCE

E-MAIL: [cmouhot@ceremade.dauphine.fr](mailto:cmouhot@ceremade.dauphine.fr)

CÉDRIC VILLANI

ENS LYON & INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE  
UMPA, UMR CNRS 5669  
46 ALLÉE D'ITALIE  
69364 LYON CEDEX 07  
FRANCE

E-MAIL: [cvillani@umpa.ens-lyon.fr](mailto:cvillani@umpa.ens-lyon.fr)