Effective H^{∞} interpolation constrained by Hardy and Bergman weighted norms

RACHID ZAROUF, UNIVERSITE AIX-MARSEILLE I

Abstract

Given a finite set σ of the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and a holomorphic function f in \mathbb{D} which belongs to a class X, we are looking for a function g in another class Y (smaller than X) which minimizes the norm $||g||_Y$ among all functions g such that $g_{|\sigma} = f_{|\sigma}$. For $Y = H^{\infty}$, $X = l_a^p(w_k) = \begin{cases} f = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{f}(k) z^k : ||f||^p = \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)|^p w_k^p < \infty \end{cases}$, with a weight w satisfying $w_k > 0$ for every $k \geq 0$ and $\overline{lim_k}(1/w_k^{1/k}) = 1$, and for the corresponding interpolation constant $c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty})$, we show that if p = 2, $c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty}) \leq a\varphi_X \left(1 - \frac{1-r}{n}\right)$ where $n = \#\sigma$, $r = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma} |\lambda|$ and where $\varphi_X(t)$ stands for the norm of the evaluation functional $f \mapsto f(t)$ on the space X. The upper bound is sharp over sets σ with given n and r. For $X = l_a^p(w_k)$, $p \neq 2$ and $X = L_a^p\left(\left(1 - |z|^2\right)^\beta dxdy\right)$ (the weighted Bergman space), $\beta > -1$, $1 \leq p < 2$, we also found upper and lower bounds for $c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty})$ (sometimes for special sets σ) but with some gaps between these bounds.

Résumé

Etant donné un ensemble fini σ du disque unité $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ et une fonction f holomorphe dans \mathbb{D} appartenant à une certaine classe X, on cherche g dans une autre classe Y (plus petite que X) qui minimise la norme de g dans Y parmi toutes les fonctions g satisfaisant la condition $g_{|\sigma} = f_{|\sigma}$.

Pour
$$Y = H^{\infty}$$
, $X = l_a^p(w_k) = \left\{ f = \sum_{k \ge 0} \hat{f}(k) z^k : ||f||^p = \sum_{k \ge 0} |\hat{f}(k)|^p w_k^p < \infty \right\}$, dont le poids w est tel

que $w_k > 0$ pour tout $k \ge 0$ et $\overline{\lim}_k (1/w_k^{1/k}) = 1$, et pour la constante d'interpolation correspondante $c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty})$, on montre que si p = 2, $c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty}) \le a\varphi_X \left(1 - \frac{1-r}{n}\right)$ où $n = \#\sigma$, $r = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma} |\lambda|$ et $\varphi_X(t)$ est la norme de la fonctionnelle d'évaluation $f \mapsto f(t), 0 \le t < 1$, sur l'espace X. La majoration est exacte sur l'ensemble des σ avec n et r donné. Pour $X = l_a^p(w_k), p \ne 2$ et $X = L_a^p\left(\left(1 - |z|^2\right)^\beta dxdy\right)$ (lespace de Bergman a poids), $\beta > -1, 1 \le p < 2$, nous trouvons aussi des majorations/minorations pour $c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty})$ (parfois pour des ensembles σ particuliers) mais avec certains ecarts entre ces bornes.

0. Introduction

We recall that the problem considered in [Z] is the following: given two Banach spaces X and Y of holomorphic functions on the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, X \supset Y$, and a finite set $\sigma \subset \mathbb{D}$,

what is the best possible interpolation by functions of the space Y for the traces $f_{|\sigma}$ of functions of the space X, in the worst case?

Looking at this problem, we are lead to define and compute/estimate the interpolation constant

$$c(\sigma, X, Y) = \sup_{f \in X, ||f||_X \le 1} \inf \{ ||g||_Y : g_{|\sigma} = f_{|\sigma} \},\$$

(which is nothing but the norm of the embedding operator $(X_{|\sigma}, \|.\|_{X_{|\sigma}}) \to (Y_{|\sigma}, \|.\|_{Y_{|\sigma}})$). Let us notice that the following question was especially stimulating (which is a part of a more complicated question arising in an applied situation in [BL1] and [BL2]): given a set $\sigma \subset \mathbb{D}$, how to estimate $c(\sigma, H^2, H^{\infty})$ in terms of $n = card(\sigma)$ and $max_{\lambda \in \sigma} |\lambda| = r$ only? Here and everywhere below, H^2 is the standard Hardy space of the disc,

$$H^{2} = \left\{ f = \sum_{k \ge 0} \hat{f}(k) z^{k} : \|f\|_{H^{2}} = \left(\sum_{k \ge 0} \left| \hat{f}(k) \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\},$$

and H^{∞} stands for the space (algebra) of bounded holomorphic functions in the unit disc \mathbb{D} endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$. The issue of estimating/computing $c(\sigma, H^2, H^{\infty})$ has been treated in [Z].

More precisely, we have treated in [Z] the cases $X = H^p$, L^2_a , where H^p $(1 \le p \le \infty)$ stands for the standard Hardy space of the unit disc and where L^2_a stands for the Bergman space of all holomorphic functions f such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 \, dA < \infty,$$

where dA stands for the area measure, and proved the following result, through **Theorems A**, **B**&C (see the Introduction of [Z]).

Theorems A, B&C.

(1)

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{1-r}} \le c(\sigma_{n,r,}, H^2, H^\infty) \le C_{n,r} (H^2, H^\infty) \le \sqrt{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{1-r}},$$

$$\frac{1}{32}\frac{n}{1-r} \le c(\sigma_{n,r}, L_a^2, H^\infty) \le C_{n,r}\left(L_a^2, H^\infty\right) \le \sqrt{14}\frac{n}{1-r},$$

for all $n \ge 1$, $0 \le r < 1$, where $\sigma_{n,r}$ is the one set point $\{r, r, ..., r\}$ (n times).

(2) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then

$$\frac{1}{32^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le c\left(\sigma_{n,r}, H^{p}, H^{\infty}\right) \le C_{n,r}\left(H^{p}, H^{\infty}\right) \le A_{p}\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

for all $n \ge 1$, $0 \le r < 1$, where A_p is a constant depending only on p and the left hand side inequality is proved only for $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$.

In this paper, we extend those results to the case where X is a weighted space $X = l_a^p(w_k)$,

$$l_a^p(w_k) = \left\{ f = \sum_{k \ge 0} \hat{f}(k) z^k : \|f\|^p = \sum_{k \ge 0} |\hat{f}(k)|^p w_k^p < \infty \right\},\$$

with a weight w satisfying $w_k > 0$ for every $k \ge 0$ and $\overline{\lim}_k (1/w_k^{1/k}) = 1$. The latter condition implies that $l_a^p(w_k)$ is continuously embedded into the space of holomorphic functions $Hol(\mathbb{D})$ on the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ (and not on a larger disc, i.e. $l_a^p(w_k)$ does not contained in $Hol(r\mathbb{D})$ for every r > 1).

As in [Z], in order to find an upper bound for $c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty})$, we first use a linear interpolation:

$$f \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k,$$

where $\langle .,. \rangle$ means the Cauchy sesquilinear form $\langle h, g \rangle = \sum_{k \ge 0} \hat{h}(k)\overline{\hat{g}(k)}$, and $(e_k)_{k=1}^n$ is the explicitly known Malmquist basis of the space $K_B = H^2 \Theta B H^2$, $B = \prod_{i=1}^n b_{\lambda_i}$ being the corresponding Blaschke product, $b_{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda - z}{1 - \lambda z}$ (see N. Nikolski, [N1] p. 117)). Next, we use the complex interpolation between Banach spaces, (see H. Triebel [Tr] Theorem 1.9.3 p.59). Among the technical tools used in order to find an upper bound for $\|\sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k\|_{\infty}$ (in terms of $\|f\|_X$), the most important is a Bernstein-type inequality $\|f'\|_p \le c_p \|B'\|_{\infty} \|f\|_p$ for a (rational) function f in the star-invariant subspace $H^p \cap B\overline{H}_0^p$ generated by a (finite) Blaschke product B, (K. Dyakonov [Dy]). For p = 2, we give an alternative proof of the Bernstein-type estimate we need.

The lower bound problem (for $C_{n,r}(X, H^{\infty})$) is treated by using the "worst" interpolation n-tuple $\sigma = \sigma_{\lambda,n} = \{\lambda, ..., \lambda\}$, a one-point set of multiplicity n (the Carathéodory-Schur type interpolation). The "worst" interpolation data comes from the Dirichlet kernels $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k$ transplanted from the origin to λ . We notice that spaces $X = l_a^p(w_k)$ satisfy the condition $X \circ b_{\lambda} \subset X$ when p = 2, whereas this is not the case for $p \neq 2$ and this makes the problem of upper/lower bound harder.

Our principal case is p = 2, where $l_a^2(w_k)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space on the disc \mathbb{D} . It is also important to recall that

$$l_a^2((k+1)^{\alpha}) = L_a^2\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{-(2\alpha+1)} dA\right), \ \alpha < 0,$$

where $L_a^2\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\beta}dA\right)$, $\beta > -1$, stand for the Bergman weighted spaces of all holomorphic functions f such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| f(z) \right|^2 \left(1 - \left| z \right|^2 \right)^\beta dA < \infty.$$

Theorem. 1.0 Let σ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} . Then

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}},$$

$$c\left(\sigma, l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}}$$

Otherwise,

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}},$$

$$C_{n,r}\left(L_a^2\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\beta} dA\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A'\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{2}},$$

for all $n \ge 1$, $0 \le r < 1$, $\alpha \le 0$, $\beta > -1$, where $A = A(\alpha)$ is a constant depending only on α and $A' = A'(\beta)$ is a constant depending only on β .

Later on, in Section 7 we show that for $\alpha = \frac{N+1}{2}$, where $N \ge 1$ is an integer, the latter estimate is sharp.

Theorem. 7.0 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer and $\sigma_{n,\lambda} = \{\lambda, ..., \lambda\}$ (n times). Then,

$$c\left(\sigma_{\lambda,n}, l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\frac{1-N}{2}}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \ge a\left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

for a positive constant $a = a_N$ depending on N only. In particular,

$$a\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \le C_{n,r}\left(l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\frac{1-N}{2}}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}},$$

for all $n \ge 1, 0 \le r < 1$, where $A = A\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)$ is a constant defined in Theorem 1.0. Moreover, a and A are such that $a \asymp \frac{1}{2^{3N}(2N)!}$ and $A \asymp N^{2N}$, N standing for the integer part of α . (The notation $x \asymp y$ means that there exists numerical constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1y \le x \le c_2y$).

In Sections 2, 3 and 4, we deal with an upper estimate for $C_{n,r}(X, H^{\infty})$ in the scale of spaces $X = l_A^p((k+1)^{\alpha})$, $\alpha \leq 0, 1 \leq p \leq +\infty$. (The case p = 2 is solved in Section 1 (for the upper bound) and in Section 7 (for sharpness)). We start giving a result for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Theorem. 3.0 Let $1 \le p \le 2$, $\alpha \le 0$. Then

$$B\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{p}} \le C_{n,r}\left(l_a^p\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), \, H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}},$$

for all $r \in [0, 1[, n \ge 1, where A = A(\alpha, p) \text{ is a constant depending only on } \alpha \text{ and } p \text{ and } B = B(p) \text{ is a constant depending only on } p.$

It is very likely that the bounds of **Theorem 3.0** are not sharp. The sharp one should be probably $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}$. In the same way, for $2 \le p \le \infty$, we give the following theorem, in which we feel again that the upper bound $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}$ is not sharp. The sharp one probably should be the lower bound $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}$.

Theorem. 5.0 Let $2 \le p \le \infty$, $\alpha \le 0$. Then

$$B\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{p}} \le C_{n,r}\left(l_a^p\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), \, H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-\frac{2}{p}},$$

for all $r \in [0, 1[, n \ge 1, where A = A(\alpha, p) \text{ is a constant depending only on } \alpha \text{ and } p \text{ and } B = B(p) \text{ is a constant depending only on } p.$

In Section 6, we suppose that X is equal to $L^p_a\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\beta}dA\right), \beta > -1, 1 \le p \le 2$, where dA stands for the area measure, the Bergman weighted spaces of all holomorphic functions f such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \left(1 - |z|^2\right)^{\beta} dA < \infty.$$

Our goal in this section is to give an estimate for the constant for a generalized Carathéodory-Schur interpolation, (a partial case of the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation),

$$c(\sigma_{n,\lambda}, X, H^{\infty}) = \sup\left\{ \|f\|_{H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} : f \in X, \|f\|_{X} \le 1 \right\},$$

where $||f||_{H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} = inf \{||f + b_{\lambda}^{n}g||_{\infty} : g \in X\}$, and $\sigma_{n,\lambda} = \{\lambda, \lambda, ..., \lambda\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. The corresponding interpolation problem is: given $f \in X$, to minimize $||h||_{\infty}$ such that $h^{(j)}(\lambda) = f^{(j)}(\lambda), 0 \leq j < n$.

For this partial case, we have the following generalization of the estimate from **Theorem 1.0**. **Theorem. 6.0** Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, $\beta > -1$ and $1 \le p \le 2$. Then,

$$c\left(\sigma_{n,\lambda}, L^p_a\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\beta} dA\right), H^{\infty}\right) \leq A'\left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{p}},$$

where $A' = A'(\beta, p)$ is a constant depending only on β and p.

Before starting **Section 1** and studying upper estimates for $c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty})$, we give the following lemma which is going to be useful throughout this paper, in particular in view of applying interpolation between Banach spaces.

Lemma 0. Let X be a Banach space of holomorphic functions in the unit disc \mathbb{D} and $\sigma = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ a finite subset of the disc. We define the Blaschke product $B_{\sigma} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{\lambda_i}$ where $b_{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda - z}{1 - \overline{\lambda} z}$. Let $T : X \longrightarrow H^{\infty}/B_{\sigma}H^{\infty}$ be the restriction map defined by

$$Tf = \{g \in H^{\infty} : f - g \in B_{\sigma}X\},\$$

for every $f \in X$. Then,

$$|| T ||_{X \to H^{\infty}/B_{\sigma}H^{\infty}} = c(\sigma, X, H^{\infty}).$$

Proof. The proof is obvious. \Box

1. An upper bound for $c(\sigma, l_a^2(w_k), H^{\infty})$

In this section, we generalize the upper bound obtained in [Z] for $C_{n,r}(X, H^{\infty})$ where $X = H^2$, L^2_a to the case of spaces X which contain H^2 : $X = l^2_a((k+1)^{\alpha})$, $\alpha \leq 0$, the Hardy weighted spaces of all $f(z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \hat{f}(k)z^k$ satisfying

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \left| \hat{f}(k) \right|^2 (k+1)^{2\alpha} < \infty.$$

Notice also that $H^2 = l_a^2(1)$ and $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = l_a^2\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$, where $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ stands for the Bergman space of the unit disc \mathbb{D} .

Theorem. 1.0 Let σ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} . Then

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}},$$
$$c\left(\sigma, l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}}.$$

Otherwise,

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}},$$
$$C_{n,r}\left(L_a^2\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\beta} dA\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A'\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{2}}$$

for all $n \ge 1$, $0 \le r < 1$, $\alpha \le 0$, $\beta > -1$, where $A = A(\alpha)$ is a constant depending only on α and $A' = A'(\beta)$ is a constant depending only on β .

First, we recall the following lemma (see [Z]). In fact, **Lemma 1.1** below is a partial case (p = 2) of the following K. Dyakonov's result [Dy] (which is, in turn, a generalization of M. Levin's inequality [L] corresponding to the case $p = \infty$): for every $p, 1 there exists a constant <math>c_p > 0$ such that

$$\left\| f' \right\|_{H^p} \le c_p \left\| B' \right\|_{\infty} \|f\|_{H^p}$$

for all $f \in K_B$, where B is a finite Blaschke product (of order n) and $\|.\|_{\infty}$ means the norm in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$. For our partial case, our proof (in [Z]) is different and the constant is slightly better. We notice that in general, Bernstein type inequalities have already been the subject of a lot of papers. Among others, Chapter 7 of P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi's book, see [BoEr], is devoted to such inequalities. This is also the case of A. Baranov's work, see [B1], [B2] and [B3], and also of R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz's book, see [DeLo].

Lemma. 1.1 Let $B = \prod_{j=1}^{n} b_{\lambda_j}$, be a finite Blaschke product (of order n), $r = \max_j |\lambda_j|$, and $f \in K_B =: H^2 \Theta B H^2$. Then,

$$\left\|f'\right\|_{H^2} \le \frac{5}{2} \frac{n}{1-r} \|f\|_{H^2}.$$

Corollary. 1.2 Let $B = \prod_{j=1}^{n} b_{\lambda_j}$, be a finite Blaschke product (of order n), $r = \max_j |\lambda_j|$, and $f \in K_B =: H^2 \Theta B H^2$. Then,

$$\|f^{(k)}\|_{H^2} \le k! \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^k \left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^k \|f\|_{H^2}$$

for every k = 0, 1, ...

Indeed, since $f^{(k-1)} \in K_{B^k}$, we obtain applying Lemma 1.1 for B^k instead of B,

$$\|f^{(k)}\|_{H^2} \le \frac{5}{2} \frac{kn}{1-r} \|f^{(k-1)}\|_{H^2}$$

and by induction,

$$\left\|f^{(k)}\right\|_{H^2} \le k! \left(\frac{5}{2n} \frac{n}{1-r}\right)^k \|f\|_{H^2}.$$

Corollary. 1.3 Let $N \ge 0$ be an integer and σ a sequence in \mathbb{D} . Then,

$$c\left(\sigma, l_{a}^{2}\left((k+1)^{-N}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \leq A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{2N+1}{2}}$$

where A = A(N) is a constant depending on N (of order N^{2N} from the proof below).

Indeed, let $H = l_a^2 ((k+1)^{-N})$ and $B = B_{\sigma}$ the finite Blaschke product corresponding to σ . We recall that P_B is the orthogonal projection of H onto $K_B = K_B(H^2)$. We notice that $P_B : H \to H$ is a bounded operator and the adjoint $P_B^* : H^* \to H^*$ of P_B relatively to the Cauchy pairing $\langle ., . \rangle$ satisfies $P_B^* \varphi = P_B \varphi$, $\forall \varphi \in H^* \subset H^2$, where $H^* = l_a^2 ((k+1)^N)$ is the dual of H with respect to this pairing. If $f \in H$, then $|P_B f(\zeta)| = |\langle P_B f, k_{\zeta} \rangle| = |\langle f, P_B^* k_{\zeta} \rangle|$, where $k_{\zeta} = (1 - \overline{\zeta} z)^{-1}$ and

$$|P_B f(\zeta)| \le ||f||_H ||P_B^* k_{\zeta}||_{H^*} \le ||f||_H K \left(||P_B^* k_{\zeta}||_{H^2} + \left\| (P_B^* k_{\zeta})^{(N)} \right\|_{H^2} \right)$$

where

$$K_{N} = max \left\{ N^{N}, sup_{k \ge N} \frac{(k+1)^{N}}{k(k-1)...(k-N+1)} \right\} = max \left\{ N^{N}, \frac{(N+1)^{N}}{N!} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} N^{N}, \text{ if } N \ge 3\\ \frac{(N+1)^{N}}{N!}, \text{ if } N = 1, 2 \end{array} \right\}$$

(Indeed, the sequence $\left(\frac{(k+1)^N}{k(k-1)\dots(k-N+1)}\right)_{k\geq N}$ is decreasing since $(1+x)^{-N} \geq 1 - Nx$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, and $\left[N^N > \frac{(N+1)^N}{N!}\right] \iff N \geq 3$). Since $P_B k_{\zeta} \in K_B$, Corollary 1.2 implies

$$|P_B f(\zeta)| \le ||f||_H K_N \left(||P_B k_{\zeta}||_{H^2} + N! \left(\frac{5}{2} \frac{n}{1-r}\right)^N ||P_B k_{\zeta}||_{H^2} \right) \le \\ \le A(N) \left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{N+\frac{1}{2}} ||f||_H,$$

where $A(N) = \sqrt{2}K_N\left(1 + N!\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^N\right)$, since $\|P_Bk_{\zeta}\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\sqrt{1-r}}$. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.0. Applying Lemma 0 with $X = l_a^2 ((k+1)^{\alpha})$, we get

$$\parallel T \parallel_{l_a^2((k+1)^{\alpha}) \to H^{\infty}/B_{\sigma}H^{\infty}} = c\left(\sigma, \ l_a^2\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right)$$

where T and B_{σ} are defined in Lemma 0. Moreover, there exists an integer N such that $N-1 \leq -\alpha \leq N$. In particular, there exists $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ such that $-\alpha = (1-\theta)(N-1) + \theta N$. And since (as in Theorem 4.0 of [Z]), we use the notation of the interpolation theory between Banach spaces see [Tr] or [Be])

$$\begin{bmatrix} l_a^2 \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{N-1}} \right), l_a^2 \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^N} \right) \end{bmatrix}_{\theta,2} = l_a^2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{N-1}} \right)^{2\frac{1-\theta}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^N} \right)^{2\frac{\theta}{2}} \right) = l_a^2 \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{(1-\theta)(N-1)+\theta N}} \right) = l_A^2 \left((k+1)^{\alpha} \right),$$

this gives, using Corollary 1.3 and (again) [Tr] Theorem 1.9.3 p.59,

$$\| T \|_{l^{2}_{a}((k+1)^{\alpha}) \to H^{\infty}/B_{\sigma}H^{\infty}} \leq \\ \leq \left(c \left(\sigma, l^{2}_{a} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{N-1}} \right), H^{\infty} \right) \right)^{1-\theta} \left(c \left(\sigma, l^{2}_{a} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{N}} \right), H^{\infty} \right) \right)^{\theta} \leq \\ \leq \left(A(N-1) \left(\frac{n}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{2N-1}{2}} \right)^{1-\theta} \left(A(N) \left(\frac{n}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{2N+1}{2}} \right)^{\theta} = \\ = A(N-1)^{1-\theta} A(N)^{\theta} \left(\frac{n}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{(2N-1)(1-\theta)}{2} + \frac{(2N+1)\theta}{2}}.$$

It remains to use $\theta = 1 - \alpha - N$ and set $A(\alpha) = A(N-1)^{1-\theta}A(N)^{\theta}$.

2. An upper bound for $c\left(\sigma, l_a^1\left(w_k\right), H^{\infty}\right)$

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem, in which the upper bound $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}}$ is not as sharp as in **Section 1**. We suspect $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{-\alpha}$ is the sharp bound for the quantity $C_{n,r}\left(l_a^1\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right)$.

Theorem. 2.0 Let $\alpha \leq 0$. Then,

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^1\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A_1\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}}$$

for all $r \in [0, 1[, n \ge 1, where A_1 = A_1(\alpha) \text{ is a constant depending only on } \alpha$.

First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma. 2.1 Let $B = \prod_{j=1}^{n} b_{\lambda_j}$, be a finite Blaschke product (of order n), $r = \max_j |\lambda_j|$, and $f \in K_B$. Then,

$$\left\|f^{(k)}\right\|_{H^1} \le k! \left(\frac{2n}{1-r}\right)^k \|f\|_{H^1}$$

for every k = 0, 1, ...

Proof. By A. Baranov (see [B4]),

$$\left\|f'\right\|_{H^1} \le \left\|B'\right\|_{\infty} \|f\|_{H^1}$$

for every $f \in K_B$. (Theorem 5.1 p.50 of [B1] is also true for the Hardy spaces of the unit disc \mathbb{D} ; see also [B2] Corollary 1.4, and [B3]). Since $f^{(k-1)} \in K_{B^k}$, we obtain, applying Baranov's inequality for B^k instead of B,

$$\|f^{(k)}\|_{H^1} \le \|kB'B^{k-1}\|_{\infty} \|f^{(k-1)}\|_{H^1},$$

and by induction,

$$\left\|f^{(k)}\right\|_{H^1} \le k! \left\|B'\right\|_{\infty}^k \|f\|_{H^1}.$$

On the other hand, $|B'| = \left| -\sum_{j} \frac{1-|\lambda_j|^2}{(1-\overline{\lambda_j}z)^2} \cdot \frac{B}{b_{\lambda_j}} \right| \le \sum_{j} \frac{1+|\lambda_j|}{1-|\lambda_j|} \le \frac{2n}{1-r}$, which completes the proof. \Box

Corollary. 2.2 Let $N \ge 0$ be an integer. Then,

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^1\left((k+1)^{-N}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A_1\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{N+\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $r \in [0, 1[, n \ge 1, where A_1 = A_1(N)$ is a constant depending only on N (of order N^{2N} from the proof below).

Indeed, the proof is exactly the same as in Corollary 1.3: if $f \in l_a^1\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^N}\right) = H$ then $|P_B f(\zeta)| = |\langle P_B f, k_{\zeta} \rangle| = |\langle f, P_B^* k_{\zeta} \rangle|$, where $\langle ., . \rangle$ means the Cauchy pairing and $k_{\zeta} = (1 - \overline{\zeta} z)^{-1}$. Denoting H^* the dual of H with respect to this pairing, $H^* = l_a^{\infty}((k+1)^N)$, we get,

$$|P_B f(\zeta)| \le ||f||_H ||P_B^* k_{\zeta}||_{H^*} = ||f||_H ||P_B k_{\zeta}||_{H^*} \le \\ \le ||f||_H K_N max \left\{ sup_{0\le k\le N-1} \left| \widehat{P_B k_{\zeta}}(k) \right|, sup_{k\ge N} \left| (\widehat{P_B k_{\zeta}})^{(N)} (k-N) \right| \right\} \le \\ \le ||f||_H K_N max \left\{ ||P_B k_{\zeta}||_{H^1}, \left\| (P_B k_{\zeta})^{(N)} \right\|_{H^1} \right\},$$

where K_N is defined in the proof of Corollary 1.3. Since $P_B k_{\zeta} \in K_B$, Lemma 2.1 implies

$$|P_B f(\zeta)| \le \|f\|_H \|P_B k_{\zeta}\|_{H^*} \le \|f\|_H K_N \left(\|P_B k_{\zeta}\|_{H^1} + N! 2^N \left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^N \|P_B k_{\zeta}\|_{H^1} \right) \le \\ \le K_N \|f\|_H \|P_B k_{\zeta}\|_2 \left(1 + N! 2^N \left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^N \right) \le K_N \|f\|_H \left(\frac{2n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + N! 2^N \left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^N \right),$$

which completes the proof setting $A_1(N) = \sqrt{2} (1 + N! 2^N) K_N$.

Proof of Theorem 2.0. This is the same reasoning as in Theorem 1.0. Applying Lemma 0 with $X = l_a^1((k+1)^{\alpha})$, we get

$$\| T \|_{l_a^1((k+1)^{\alpha}) \to H^{\infty}/B_{\sigma}H^{\infty}} = c \left(\sigma, l_a^1\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) + C \left(\sigma, l_a^1\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) +$$

where T and B_{σ} are defined in Lemma 0. It remains to use Corollary 2.2 and (again) [Tr] Theorem 1.9.3 p.59 to complete the proof.

B. An upper bound for
$$c(\sigma, l_a^p(w_k), H^\infty), 1 \le p \le 2$$

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem, in which the upper bound $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}}$ is not sharp as sharp as in **Section 1**. We suppose that the sharp upper (and lower) bound here should be of the order of $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}$.

Theorem. 3.0 Let $1 \le p \le 2$, $\alpha \le 0$. Then

$$B\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{p}} \le C_{n,r}\left(l_a^p\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), \, H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2}},$$

for all $r \in [0, 1[, n \ge 1, where A = A(\alpha, p) \text{ is a constant depending only on } \alpha \text{ and } p \text{ and } B = B(p) \text{ is a constant depending only on } p.$

Proof. We first prove the right hand side inequality. The scheme of the proof is completely the same as in Theorem 1.0 and Theorem 2.0, but we simply use interpolation between l^1 and l^2 (the classical Riesz-Thorin theorem). Applying Lemma 0 with $X = l_a^p ((k+1)^{\alpha})$, we get

$$\| T \|_{l^p_a((k+1)^{\alpha}) \to H^{\infty}/B_{\sigma}H^{\infty}} = c\left(\sigma, \, l^p_a\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right)$$

where T and B_{σ} are defined in Lemma 0. It remains to use both Theorem 1.0&2.0 and (again) [Tr] Theorem 1.9.3 p.59 to complete the proof of the right hand side inequality.

Now, we prove the left hand side one. Firstly, it is clear that

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_{a}^{p}\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \geq \left\|\varphi_{r}\right\|_{l_{a}^{p'}\left((k+1)^{-\alpha}\right)} = \left(\sum_{k\geq0}(k+1)^{(\alpha-1)p'}\left(r^{p'}\right)^{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

where φ_r is the evaluation functional

$$\varphi_r(f) = f(r), \ f \in X,$$

and p' is the conjugate of p: $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Now, since

$$\sum_{k \ge 1} k^s x^k \sim \int_1^\infty t^s x^t dt \sim \Gamma(s+1)(1-x)^{-s-1}, \text{ as } x \to 1,$$

for all s > -1, we get

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} (k+1)^{(\alpha-1)p'} \left(r^{p'}\right)^k \sim \int_1^\infty t^{(\alpha-1)p'} r^{p't} dt, \text{ as } r \to 1.$$

But

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{(\alpha-1)p'} r^{p't} dt = \left(\frac{1}{p'}\right)^{1+(\alpha-1)p'} \int_{p'}^{\infty} t^{(\alpha-1)p'} r^{t} dt \sim \left(\frac{1}{p'}\right)^{1+(\alpha-1)p'} \Gamma\left((\alpha-1)p'+1\right)(1-r)^{-(\alpha-1)p'-1}, \text{ as } r \to 1.$$

This gives

$$\left(\sum_{k\geq 0} (k+1)^{(\alpha-1)p'} \left(r^{p'}\right)^k\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \sim \left(\frac{1}{p'}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}+(\alpha-1)} \left(\Gamma\left((\alpha-1)p'+1\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} (1-r)^{-(\alpha-1)-\frac{1}{p'}}, \text{ as } r \to 1.$$

This completes the proof since $\frac{1}{p'} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$. \Box

4. An upper bound for $c(\sigma, l_a^{\infty}(w_k), H^{\infty})$

The aim of this section is the following theorem, in which -again- the upper bound $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{3-2\alpha}{2}}$ is not as sharp as in **Section 1.** We can suppose here that the constant $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\alpha}$ is the sharp bound for the quantity $C_{n,r}\left(l_a^{\infty}\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right)$.

Theorem. 4.0 Let $\alpha \leq 0$. Then

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^{\infty}\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A_{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{3-2\alpha}{2}}$$

for all $r \in [0, 1[, n \ge 1, where A_{\infty} = A_{\infty}(\alpha)$ is a constant depending only on α .

First, we prove the following partial case of Theorem 4.0.

Lemma. 4.1 Let $N \ge 0$ be an integer. Then,

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^{\infty}\left((k+1)^{-N}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A_{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{N+\frac{3}{2}}$$

for all $r \in [0, 1[, n \ge 1, where A_{\infty} = A_{\infty}(N)$ is a constant depending on N (of order N^{2N} from the proof below).

Proof. We use literally the same method as in Corollary 1.3&2.2. Indeed, if $f \in l_a^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^N}\right) = H$ then $|P_B f(\zeta)| = |\langle P_B f, k_{\zeta} \rangle| = |\langle f, P_B k_{\zeta} \rangle|$, where $\langle ., . \rangle$ means the Cauchy pairing and $k_{\zeta} = (1 - \overline{\zeta}z)^{-1}$. Denoting H^* the dual of H with respect to this pairing, $H^* = l_a^1((k+1)^N)$, we get

$$|P_B f(\zeta)| \le ||f||_H ||P_B k_{\zeta}||_{H^*} \le ||f||_H K_N \left(||P_B k_{\zeta}||_W + \left\| (P_B k_{\zeta})^{(N)} \right\|_W \right),$$

where $W = \left\{ f = \sum_{k\geq 0} \hat{f}(k) z^k : \|f\|_W := \sum_{k\geq 0} \left| \hat{f}(k) \right| < \infty \right\}$ stands for the Wiener algebra, and K_N is defined in Corollary 1.3. Now, applying Hardy's inequality (see [N2] p.370, 8.7.4 (c)),

 $|P_B f(\zeta)| \le ||f||_H K_N \left(\pi \left\| (P_B k_{\zeta})' \right\|_{H^1} + |(P_B k_{\zeta}) (0)| + \pi \left\| (P_B k_{\zeta})^{(N+1)} \right\|_{H^1} + \left| (P_B k_{\zeta})^{(N)} (0) \right| \right),$

which gives using Lemma 2.1,

$$|P_B f(\zeta)| \leq \\ \leq \|f\|_H K_N \pi \left(\left(\frac{2n}{1-r} \right) \|P_B k_\zeta\|_{H^1} + |(P_B k_\zeta) (0)| + \\ + (N+1)! \left(\frac{2n}{1-r} \right)^{N+1} \|P_B k_\zeta\|_{H^1} + \left| (P_B k_\zeta)^{(N)} (0) \right| \right) \leq \\ \leq \|f\|_H K_N \pi \left(\left(\frac{2n}{1-r} \right) \|P_B k_\zeta\|_{H^2} + \|P_B k_\zeta\|_{H^2} + \\ + (N+1)! \left(\frac{2n}{1-r} \right)^{N+1} \|P_B k_\zeta\|_{H^2} + N! \|P_B k_\zeta\|_{H^2} \right).$$

This completes the proof since $\|P_B k_{\zeta}\|_{H^2} \leq \left(\frac{2n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.0. This is the same application of interpolation between Banach spaces, as before (Theorem 1.0&2.0) excepted that this time we apply Lemma 0 with $X = l_a^{\infty} ((k+1)^{\alpha})$ to get

$$\| T \|_{l_a^{\infty}((k+1)^{\alpha}) \to H^{\infty}/B_{\sigma}H^{\infty}} = c\left(\sigma, \, l_a^{\infty}\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right),$$

where T and B_{σ} are defined in Lemma 0.

Applying Lemma 4.1 and using (again) [Tr] Theorem 1.9.3 p.59, we can complete the proof. \Box

5. An upper bound for $c(\sigma, l_a^p(w_k), H^{\infty}), 2 \le p \le \infty$

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem. 5.0 Let $2 \le p \le \infty$, $\alpha \le 0$. Then

$$B\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{p}} \le C_{n,r}\left(l_a^p\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), \, H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-\frac{2}{p}},$$

for all $r \in [0, 1[, n \ge 1, where A = A(\alpha, p) \text{ is a constant depending only on } \alpha \text{ and } p \text{ and } B = B(p) \text{ is a constant depending only on } p.$

Remark. As before, the upper bound $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}$ is not as sharp as in **Section 1**. We can suppose here the constant $\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}$ should be a sharp upper (and lower) bound for the quantity $C_{n,r}\left(l_a^p\left((k+1)^{\alpha}\right), H^{\infty}\right), 2 \leq p \leq +\infty$.

Proof. We first prove the right hand side inequality. The proof repeates the scheme from previous theorems and from Theorem 3.0 in particular. We have already seen (in Theorem 3.0) that

$$\| T \|_{l^p_a((k+1)^\alpha) \to H^\infty/B_\sigma H^\infty} = c \left(\sigma, \, l^p_a \left((k+1)^\alpha \right), H^\infty \right)$$

where T and B_{σ} are defined in Lemma 0. Now, using both Theorems 1.0&4.0, and [Tr] Theorem 1.9.3 p.59, we complete the proof. The proof of the left hand side inequality is exactly the same as in Theorem 3.0.

6. Carathéodory-Schur Interpolation in weighted Bergman spaces

We suppose that $X = L_a^p \left(\left(1 - |z|^2 \right)^{\beta} dA \right)$, $\beta > -1$ and $1 \le p \le 2$. Our aim in this section is to give an estimate for the constant for a generalized Carathéodory-Schur interpolation, (a partial case of the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation),

$$c(\sigma_{\lambda,n}, X, H^{\infty}) = \sup \left\{ \|f\|_{H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} : f \in X, \|f\|_{X} \le 1 \right\},\$$

where $||f||_{H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} = \inf \{ ||f + b_{\lambda}^{n}g||_{\infty} : g \in X \}$, and $\sigma_{\lambda,n} = \{\lambda, \lambda, ..., \lambda\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. The corresponding interpolation problem is: given $f \in X$, to minimize $||h||_{\infty}$ such that $h^{(j)}(\lambda) = f^{(j)}(\lambda), 0 \leq j < n$.

For this partial case, we have the following generalization of the estimate from Theorem 1.0. **Theorem. 6.0** Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, $\beta > -1$ and $1 \le p \le 2$. Then,

$$c\left(\sigma_{n,\lambda}, L_a^p\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\beta} dA\right), H^{\infty}\right) \leq A'\left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{p}},$$

where $A' = A'(\beta, p)$ is a constant depending only on β and p.

We first need a simple equivalent to $I_k(\beta) = \int_0^1 r^{2k+1} (1-r^2)^\beta dr, \ \beta > -1.$ Lemma. 6.1 Let $k \ge 0$, $\beta > -1$ and $I_k(\beta) = \int_0^1 r^{2k+1} (1-r^2)^\beta dr$. Then, $I_k(\beta) \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{k^{\beta+1}},$

for $k \to \infty$, where Γ stands for the usual Gamma function, $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s} s^{z-1} ds$. Proof. Let $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}$, $b = max(1, a^{\beta})$. Since $1 - e^{-u} \sim u$ as $u \longrightarrow 0$, we have

$$I_k(\beta) = \int_0^1 r^{2k+1} (1-r^2)^\beta dr = \int_0^\infty e^{-(2k+1)t} (1-e^{-2t})^\beta e^{-t} dt =$$

=
$$\int_0^a e^{-2(k+1)t} (1-e^{-2t})^\beta dt + \int_a^\infty e^{-2(k+1)t} (1-e^{-2t})^\beta dt =$$

=
$$\int_0^a e^{-2(k+1)t} (1-e^{-2t})^\beta dt + O\left(\frac{b}{k+1}e^{-2a(k+1)}\right) =$$

$$\begin{split} &= (1+o(1))\int_0^a e^{-2(k+1)t}(2t)^\beta dt + O\left(\frac{b}{k+1}e^{-2a(k+1)}\right) = \\ &= (1+o(1))\int_0^{2(k+1)a} e^{-s}\left(\frac{s}{k+1}\right)^\beta \frac{ds}{2(k+1)} + O\left(\frac{b}{k+1}e^{-2a(k+1)}\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\beta+1}}(1+o(1))\int_0^{2(k+1)a} e^{-s}s^\beta ds + O\left(\frac{b}{k+1}e^{-2a(k+1)}\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{(k+1)^{\beta+1}}(1+o(1)) + O\left(\frac{b}{k+1}e^{-2a(k+1)}\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{(k+1)^{\beta+1}}(1+o(1)) \sim \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{k^{\beta+1}}, \end{split}$$

which completes the proof. \Box

Proof of Theorem 6.0. Step 1. We start to prove the Theorem for p = 1. Let $f \in X = L_a^1\left(\left(1 - |z|^2\right)^\beta dA\right)$ such that $||f||_X \leq 1$. Since $X \circ b_\lambda = X$, we have $f \circ b_\lambda = \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k z^k \in X$. Let $p_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k z^k$ and $g = p_n \circ b_\lambda$. Then, $f \circ b_\lambda - p_n \in z^n X$ and $f - p_n \circ b_\lambda \in (z^n X) \circ b_\lambda = b_\lambda^n X$. Now, $p_n \circ b_\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k b_\lambda^k$ and

$$\|p_n \circ b_\lambda\|_{\infty} = \|p_n\|_{\infty} \le A_n \|f \circ b_\lambda\|_X,$$

where
$$A_n = \left\| \sum_{k \ge 0} a_k z^k \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k z^k \right\|_{X \to H^{\infty}}$$
. Now,
 $\| f \circ b_\lambda \|_X \le \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(b_\lambda(z))| \left(1 - |z|^2\right)^{\beta} dA = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)| \left(1 - |b_\lambda(w)|^2\right)^{\beta} \left| b'_\lambda(w) \right|^2 dA =$
 $\le 2^{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)| \left(\frac{\left(1 - |\lambda|^2\right) \left(1 - |w|^2\right)}{|1 - \overline{\lambda}w|^2} \right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{\left(1 - |\lambda|^2\right)}{|1 - \overline{\lambda}w|^2} \right)^2 dA =$
 $= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)| \left(1 - |w|^2\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{\left(1 - |\lambda|^2\right)}{|1 - \overline{\lambda}w|^2} \right)^{2+\beta} dA \le$
 $\le sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\left(1 - |\lambda|^2\right)}{|1 - \overline{\lambda}w|^2} \right)^{2+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)| \left(1 - |w|^2\right)^{\beta} dA \le \left(\frac{\left(1 - |\lambda|^2\right)}{(1 - |\lambda|)^2} \right)^{2+\beta} \|f\|_X$, with gives

which gives,

$$\|f \circ b_{\lambda}\|_{X} \leq \left(\frac{1+|\lambda|}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{2+\beta} \|f\|_{X}.$$

We now give an estimation for A_n . Let $g(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \hat{g}(k) z^k \in X$, then

$$\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{g}(k) z^k\right\|_{\infty} \le \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\hat{g}(k)|.$$

Now, noticing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} g(w) \,\overline{w}^k \left(1 - |w|^2\right)^{\beta} dA = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{it}) r^k e^{-ikt} \left(1 - r^2\right)^{\beta} r dt dr = \\ = \int_0^1 \left(1 - r^2\right)^{\beta} r^{k+1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{it}) e^{-ikt} dt dr = \int_0^1 \widehat{g}_r(k) r^{k+1} (1 - r^2)^{\beta} dr,$$

where $g_r(z) = g(rz), \, \widehat{g}_r(k) = r^k \widehat{g}(k)$. Setting $I_k(\beta) = \int_0^1 r^{2k+1} (1 - r^2)^{\beta} dr$, we get

$$\widehat{g}(k) = \frac{1}{I_k(\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(w) \,\overline{w}^k \left(1 - |w|^2\right)^\beta dA.$$

Then,

$$\left|\widehat{g}(k)\right| = \frac{1}{I_k(\beta)} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} g\left(w\right) \overline{w}^k \left(1 - |w|^2\right)^{\beta} dA \right| \le \frac{1}{I_k(\beta)} \|g\|_X,$$

which gives

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{g}(k) z^k \right\|_{\infty} \le \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{I_k(\beta)} \right) \|g\|_X.$$

Now using Lemma 6.1,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{I_k(\beta)} \sim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{\beta+1} \sim \frac{2c_\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} n^{\beta+2},$$

where c_{β} is a constant depending on β only. This gives

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{g}(k) z^k \right\|_{\infty} \le C_{\beta} n^{\alpha+2} \, \|g\|_X \,,$$

where C_{β} is also a constant depending on β only. Finally, we conclude that $A_n \leq C_{\beta} n^{\beta+2}$, and as a result,

$$\|p_n \circ b_\lambda\|_{\infty} \le C_\beta n^{\beta+2} \left(\frac{1+|\lambda|}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{2+\beta} \|f\|_X,$$

which proves the Theorem for p = 1.

Step 2. This step of the proof repetes the scheme from Theorems 3.0&5.0. Let $T : L^p_a\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^\beta dA\right) \longrightarrow H^\infty/b^n_\lambda H^\infty$ be the restriction map defined by

$$Tf = \left\{ g \in H^{\infty} : f - g \in b^n_{\lambda} L^p_a\left(\left(1 - |z|^2 \right)^{\beta} dA \right) \right\},$$

for every f. Then,

$$\|T\|_{L^p_a\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^\beta dA\right)\to H^\infty/b^n_\lambda H^\infty} = c\left(\sigma, \ L^p_a\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^\beta dA\right), H^\infty\right).$$

Now, let $\gamma > \beta$ and $P_{\gamma} : L^p\left(\left(1 - |z|^2\right)^{\beta} dA\right) \longrightarrow L^p_a\left(\left(1 - |z|^2\right)^{\beta} dA\right)$ be the Bergman projection, (see [H], p.6), defined by

$$P_{\gamma}f = (\gamma + 1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1 - |w|^2\right)^{\gamma}}{\left(1 - z\overline{w}\right)^{2+\gamma}} f(w) dA(w),$$

for every f. P_{γ} is a bounded projection from $L^{p}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)$ onto $L_{a}^{p}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)$ (see [H], Theorem 1.10 p.12), (since $1 \leq p \leq 2$). Moreover, since $L_{a}^{p}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right) \subset L_{a}^{p}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}dA\right)$, we have $P_{\gamma}f = f$ for all $f \in L_{a}^{p}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)$, (see [H], Corollary 1.5 p.6). As a result,

$$\|T\|_{L^{p}_{a}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\to H^{\infty}/b^{n}_{\lambda}H^{\infty}} \leq \|TP_{\gamma}\|_{L^{p}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\to H^{\infty}/b^{n}_{\lambda}H^{\infty}}$$

for all $1 \le p \le 2$. We set

$$c_{i}(\beta) = \|P_{\gamma}\|_{L^{i}\left((1-|z|^{2})^{\beta}dA\right) \to L^{i}_{a}\left((1-|z|^{2})^{\beta}dA\right)},$$

for i = 1, 2. Then,

$$\|TP_{\gamma}\|_{L^{1}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\to H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} \leq$$

$$\leq \|T\|_{L^{1}_{a}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right) \to H^{\infty}/b^{n}_{\lambda}H^{\infty}} \|P_{\gamma}\|_{L^{1}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right) \to L^{1}_{a}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)} = \\ = c\left(\sigma, \ L^{1}_{a}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right), H^{\infty}\right)c_{1}(\beta) \leq \\ \leq A'(\beta, 1)\left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\beta+2}c_{1}(\beta),$$

using Step 1. In the same way,

$$TP_{\gamma}\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\to H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} \leq \|T\|_{L^{2}_{a}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\to H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}}c_{2}(\beta).$$

Now, we recall that

$$L_a^2\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^\beta dA\right) = l_a^2\left((k+1)^{-\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\right), \ \beta > -1.$$

As a consequence,

$$\|T\|_{L^{2}_{a}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\to H^{\infty}/b^{n}_{\lambda}H^{\infty}}=c\left(\sigma,\,l^{2}_{a}\left((k+1)^{-\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\right),H^{\infty}\right),$$

and, applying Theorem 1.0,

$$\|TP_{\gamma}\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\to H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} \leq c_{2}(\beta)A'\left(\frac{\beta+1}{2},2\right)\left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{2^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}+1}}{2}} = c_{2}(\beta)A'\left(\frac{\beta+1}{2},2\right)\left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{2}}.$$

We finish the reasoning applying Riesz-Thorin Theorem, (see [Tr] for example), to the operator TP_{γ} . If $p \in [1, 2]$, there exists $0 \le \theta \le 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} = (1-\theta)\frac{1}{1} + \theta\frac{1}{2} = 1 - \frac{\theta}{2},$$

and then,

$$\left[L_{a}^{1}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right), L_{a}^{2}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\right]_{\theta}=L_{a}^{p}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right),$$

and

$$\left\|TP_{\gamma}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}dA\right)\to H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}}\leq$$

$$\leq \left(\left\| TP_{\gamma} \right\|_{L^{1}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta} dA \right) \to H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n} H^{\infty}} \right)^{1-\theta} \left(\left\| TP_{\gamma} \right\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta} dA \right) \to H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n} H^{\infty}} \right)^{\theta} \leq \\ \leq \left(c_{1}(\beta)A'(\beta,1) \left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|} \right)^{\beta+2} \right)^{1-\theta} \left(c_{2}(\beta)A'\left(\frac{\beta+1}{2},2 \right) \left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|} \right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{2}} \right)^{\theta} = \\ = \left(c_{1}(\beta)A'(\beta,1) \right)^{1-\theta} \left(c_{2}(\beta)A'\left(\frac{\beta+1}{2},2 \right) \right)^{\theta} \left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|} \right)^{(\beta+2)(1-\theta)+\theta\frac{\beta+2}{2}}.$$

Now, since $\theta = 2(1 - \frac{1}{p})$, $(\beta + 2)(1 - \theta) + \theta \frac{\beta + 2}{2} = \beta - (1 - \frac{1}{p})\beta + 2 - 2 + \frac{2}{p} = \frac{\beta + 2}{p}$, and

$$\|T\|_{L^p_a\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^\beta dA\right)\to H^\infty/b^n_\lambda H^\infty} \le \|TP_\gamma\|_{L^p\left(\left(1-|z|^2\right)^\beta dA\right)\to H^\infty/b^n_\lambda H^\infty},$$

we complete the proof. \Box

7. A lower bound for
$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^2(w_k), H^\infty\right)$$

Here, we consider the weighted spaces $l_a^2(w_k)$ of polynomial growth and the problem of lower estimates for the one point special case $\sigma_{n,\lambda} = \{\lambda, \lambda, ..., \lambda\}$, (*n* times) $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Recall the definition of the semi-free interpolation constant

$$c(\sigma_{n,\lambda}, H, H^{\infty}) = \sup\left\{ \|f\|_{H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} : f \in H, \|f\|_{H} \le 1 \right\},$$

where $||f||_{H^{\infty}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H^{\infty}} = inf \{ ||f + b_{\lambda}^{n}g||_{\infty} : g \in H \}$. In particular, our aim is to prove the sharpness of the upper estimate for the quantity

$$C_{n,r}\left(l_a^2\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}\right), H^\infty\right),$$

(where $N \ge 1$ is an integer), in **Theorem 1.0.**

Theorem. 7.0 Let $N \ge 1$ be an integer. Then,

$$c\left(\sigma_{\lambda,n}, l_A^2\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}\right), H^\infty\right) \ge a_N\left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

for a positive constant a_N depending on N only. In particular,

$$a_N\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \le C_{n,r}\left(l_a^2\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}\right), H^{\infty}\right) \le A\left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}},$$

for all $n \ge 1$, $0 \le r < 1$, where $A = A\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)$ is a constant defined in Theorem 1.0.

In the proof, we use properties of spaces $X = l_a^p(w_k)$. As it is mentionned in the Introduction,

$$l_a^p(w_k) = \left\{ f = \sum_{k \ge 0} \hat{f}(k) z^k : \|f\|^p = \sum_{k \ge 0} |\hat{f}(k)|^p w_k^p < \infty \right\},\$$

with a weight w satisfying $w_k > 0$ for every $k \ge 0$ and $\overline{lim}_k(1/w_k^{1/k}) = 1$. The latter condition implies that $l_a^p(w_k)$ is continuously embedded into the space of holomorphic functions $Hol(\mathbb{D})$ on the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ (and not on a larger disc, i.e. $l_a^p(w_k)$ does not contained in $Hol(r\mathbb{D})$ for every r > 1). In this section, we study the case p = 2, so that $l_a^2(w_k)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space on the disc \mathbb{D} . The reproducing kernel of $l_a^2(w_k)$, by definition, is a $l_a^2(w_k)$ -valued function $\lambda \longmapsto k_{\lambda}^w$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, such that $(f, k_{\lambda}^w) = f(\lambda)$ for every $f \in l_a^2(w_k)$, where (.,.) means the scalar product $(f, g) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \hat{h}(k)\overline{\hat{g}(k)}w_k^2$. Since one has $f(\lambda) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \hat{f}(k)\lambda^k \frac{1}{w_k^2}w_k^2 \ (\lambda \in \mathbb{D})$, it follows that

$$k_{\lambda}^{w}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\overline{\lambda}^{k} z^{k}}{w_{k}^{2}}, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In particular, for the Hardy space $H^2 = l_a^2(1)$, we get the Szegö kernel

$$k_{\lambda}(z) = (1 - \overline{\lambda}z)^{-1},$$

for the Bergman space $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = l_a^2\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$ - the Bergman kernel $k_\lambda(z) = (1 - \overline{\lambda}z)^{-2}$.

(2) Conversely, following the Aronszajn theory of RKHS (see, for example [A] or [N2] p.317), given a positive definit function $(\lambda, z) \mapsto k(\lambda, z)$ on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ (i.e. such that $\sum_{i,j} \overline{a}_i a_j k(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) > 0$ for all finite subsets $(\lambda_i) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and all non-zero families of complex numbers (a_i)) one can define the corresponding Hilbert spaces H(k) as the completion of finite linear combinations $\sum_i \overline{a}_i k(\lambda_i, \cdot)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|\sum_{i} \overline{a}_{i} k(\lambda_{i}, \cdot)\|^{2} = \sum_{i,j} \overline{a}_{i} a_{j} k(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j})$$

When k is holomorphic with respect to the second variable and antiholomorphic with respect to the first one, we obtain a RKHS of holomorphic functions H(k) embedded into $Hol(\mathbb{D})$.

For functions k of the form $k(\lambda, z) = K(\overline{\lambda}z)$, where $K \in Hol(\mathbb{D})$, the positive definitness is equivalent to $\hat{K}(j) > 0$ for every $j \ge 0$, where $\hat{K}(j)$ stands for Taylor coefficients, and in this case we have $H(k) = l_a^2(w_j)$, where $w_j = 1/\sqrt{\hat{K}(j)}$, $j \ge 0$. In particular, for $K(w) = (1-w)^{-\beta}$, $k_{\lambda}(z) = (1-\overline{\lambda}z)^{-\beta}$, $\beta > 0$, we have $\hat{K}(j) = {\beta+j-1 \choose \beta-1}$ (binomial coefficients), and hence $w_j = \left(\frac{j!}{\beta(\beta+1)\dots(\beta+j-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Indeed, deriving $\frac{1}{1-z}$, we get by induction

$$(1-z)^{-\beta} = \frac{1}{(\beta-1)!} \sum_{j\geq 0} (j+\beta-1)...(j+1)z^k = \sum_{j\geq 0} {\beta+j-1 \choose \beta-1} z^j.$$

Clearly, $w_j \simeq 1/j^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}$, where $a_j \simeq b_j$ means that there exist constants $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1a_j \leq b_j \leq c_2a_j$ for every j. Therefore, $H(k) = l_a^2 \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}}\right)$ (a topological identity: the spaces are the same and the norms are equivalent).

We will use the previous observations for the following composed reproducing kernels (AronszajndeBranges, see [N2] p.320): given a reproducing kernel k and an entire function $\varphi = \sum_{j\geq 0} \hat{\varphi}(j) z^j$ with $\hat{\varphi}(j) \geq 0$ for every $j \geq 0$, the function $\varphi \circ k$ is also positive definit and the corresponding RKHS

$$H(\varphi \circ k) =: \varphi(H(k))$$

satisfies the following. For every $f \in H(k)$ we have $\varphi \circ f \in \varphi(H(k))$ and $\|\varphi \circ f\|^2_{\varphi(H(k))} \leq \varphi(\|f\|^2_{H(k)})$ (see [N2] p.320). In particular, if φ is a polynomial of degree N and k is the Szegö kernel then $\varphi \circ k_{\lambda}(z) = \sum_{j \ge 0} c_j \overline{\lambda}^j z^j$ with $c_k \simeq (k+1)^{N-1}$, and hence

$$\varphi(H^2) = l_a^2 \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}\right)$$

(a topological identity: the spaces are the same and the norms are equivalent). The link between spaces of type $l_a^2\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}\right)$ (already mentionned in **Section 1**) and of type $\varphi(H^2) = H_{\varphi}$ being established, we give the following result.

Lemma 7.1 Let $\varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k z^k$, $a_k \ge 0$ $(a_N > 0)$, and $H_{\varphi} = \varphi(H^2)$ be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space corresponding to the kernel $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda z}\right)$. Then, there exists a constant $a(\varphi) > 0$ such that

$$c(\sigma_{n,\lambda}, H_{\varphi}, H^{\infty}) \ge a(\varphi) \left(\frac{n}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

Proof. 1) We set

$$Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{\lambda}^k \frac{(1-|\lambda|^2)^{1/2}}{1-\overline{\lambda}z}, \ H_n = \varphi \circ Q_n,$$

$$\Psi = bH_n$$

Then $||Q_n||_2^2 = n$, and hence by the Aronszajn-deBranges inequality, see [N2] p.320, point (k) of Exercise 6.5.2, with $\varphi(z) = z^N$ and $K(\lambda, z) = k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda z}$, and noticing that $H(\varphi \circ K) = H_{\varphi}$,

$$\|\Psi\|_{H_{\varphi}}^2 \le b^2 \varphi\left(\|Q_n\|_2^2\right) = b^2 \varphi(n).$$

Let b > 0 such that $b^2 \varphi(n) = 1$.

2) Since the spaces H_{φ} and H^{∞} are rotation invariant, we have $c(\sigma_{n,\lambda}, H_{\varphi}, H^{\infty}) = c(\sigma_{n,\mu}, H_{\varphi}, H^{\infty})$ for every λ , μ with $|\lambda| = |\mu| = r$. Let $\lambda = -r$. To get a lower estimate for $\|\Psi\|_{H_{\varphi}/b_{\lambda}^{n}H_{\varphi}}$ consider Gsuch that $\Psi - G \in b_{\lambda}^{n}Hol(\mathbb{D})$, i.e. such that $bH_{n} \circ b_{\lambda} - G \circ b_{\lambda} \in z^{n}Hol(\mathbb{D})$.

3) First, we show that

$$\psi =: \Psi \circ b_{\lambda} = bH_n \circ b_{\lambda}$$

is a polynomial (of degree nN) with positive coefficients. Note that

$$Q_n \circ b_{\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k \frac{(1-|\lambda|^2)^{1/2}}{1-\overline{\lambda}b_{\lambda}(z)} =$$
$$= \left(1-|\lambda|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1+(1-\overline{\lambda})\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z^k - \overline{\lambda}z^n\right) =$$
$$= (1-r^2)^{-1/2} \left(1+(1+r)\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z^k + rz^n\right) =: (1-r^2)^{-1/2}\psi_1.$$

Hence, $\psi = \Psi \circ b_{\lambda} = bH_n \circ b_{\lambda} = b\varphi \circ \left((1 - r^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_1 \right)$ and

$$\varphi \circ \psi_1 = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k \psi_1^k(z).$$

(In fact, we can simply assume that $\varphi \circ \psi_1 = \psi_1^N(z)$ since $H_{\varphi} = l_a^2 \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}\right) = H_{z^N}$). Now, it is clear that ψ is a polynomial of degree Nn such that

$$\psi(1) = \sum_{j=0}^{Nn} \hat{\psi}(j) = b\varphi\left((1-r^2)^{-1/2}(1+r)n\right) = b\varphi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+r}{1-r}}n\right) > 0$$

4) Next, we show that there exists a constant $c = c(\varphi) > 0$ (for example, $c = \alpha/2^{2N}(N-1)!$, α is a numerical constant) such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} (\psi) =: \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) \ge c \sum_{j=0}^{Nn} \hat{\psi}(j) = c \psi(1),$$

where $m \ge 1$ is such that 2m = n if n is even and 2m - 1 = n if n is odd.

Indeed, setting

$$S_n = \sum_{j=0}^n z^j,$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (\psi_1^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(\left(1 + (1+r) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z^k + r z^n \right)^k \right) \ge \sum_{k=1}^{m} (S_{n-1}^k).$$

Next, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(S_{n-1}^{k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(\left(\frac{1-z^{n}}{1-z} \right)^{k} \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} C_{k}^{j} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{j}} \cdot \left(\frac{-z^{n}}{1-z}\right)^{k-j} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{(1-z)^{k}}\right) =$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} C_{k+j-1}^{j} z^{j} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} C_{k+j-1}^{j} \ge \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(j+1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \ge$$
$$\ge \alpha \frac{m^{k}}{(k-1)!},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a numerical constant. Finally,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (\psi_{1}^{k}) \geq \alpha \frac{m^{k}}{(k-1)!} \geq \alpha \frac{(n/2)^{k}}{(k-1)!} =$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{2^{k}(k-1)!} \cdot \frac{((1+r)n)^{k}}{(1+r)^{k}} = \frac{\alpha}{2^{k}(1+r)^{k}(k-1)!} \cdot (\psi_{1}(1))^{k} \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha}{2^{N}(1+r)^{N}(N-1)!} \cdot (\psi_{1}(1))^{k}.$$

Summing up these inequalities in $\sum^{m}(\psi) = b \sum^{m}(\varphi \circ \psi_1) = b \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k (1-r^2)^{-k/2} \sum^{m}(\psi_1^k)$ (or simply taking k = N, if we already supposed $\varphi = z^N$), we obtain the result claimed.

5) Now, using point 4) and the preceding Fejer kernel argument and denoting $F_n = \Phi_m + z^m \Phi_m$, where Φ_k stands for the k-th Fejer kernel, we get

$$\|\Psi\|_{H^{\infty}/b^{n}_{\lambda}H^{\infty}} = \|\psi\|_{H^{\infty}/z^{n}H^{\infty}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \|\psi * F_{n}\|_{\infty} \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \hat{\psi}(j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0$$

(assuming that $\varphi = z^N$)

$$\geq a(\varphi) \left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 7.0. In order to prove the left hand side inequality, it suffices to apply Lemma 7.1 with $\varphi(z) = z^N$. Indeed, in this case $H_{\varphi} = l_a^2 \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}\right) = H_{z^N}$. The right hand side inequality is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1.0.

Acknowledgement.

22

I would like to thank Professor Nikolai Nikolski for all of his work, his wisdom and the pleasure that our discussions gave to me.

References

- [A] N. Aronszajn, Theory of reproducing kernels, Transactions of American Mathematical Society, 68:337-404, 1950.
- [B1] A. Baranov, Inégalités de Bernstein dans les espaces modèles et applications, Thèse soutenue à l'université de Bordeaux 1, 2005.
- [B2] A. Baranov, Bernstein-type inequalities for shift-coinvariant subspaces and their applications to Carleson embeddings. Journal of Functional Analysis (2005) 223 (1): 116-146.
- [B3] A. Baranov, Compact embeddings of model subspaces of the Hardy space, posted in Arxiv, 05.12.2007.
- [B4] A. Baranov, *Private communication*, 2008.
- [BL1] L. Baratchart, Rational and meromorphic approximation in Lp of the circle : system-theoretic motivations, critical points and error rates. In N. Papamichael, S. Ruscheweyh, and E. Saff, editors, Computational Methods and Function Theory, pages 45–78. World Scientific Publish. Co, 1999.
- [BL2] L. Baratchart, F. Wielonsky, Rational approximation problem in the real Hardy space H₂ and Stieltjes integrals: a uniqueness theorem, Constr. Approx. 9 (1993), 1-21.
- [Be] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction, Springer-Verlag (1976).
- [Dy] K. Dyakonov, Differentiation in Star-Invariant Subspaces I. Boundedness and Compactness, J.Funct.Analysis, 192 (2002), 364-386.
- [H] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, and K. Zhu, Theory of Bergman spaces, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 2000.
- [L] M. Levin, Teoria Funkzii, Funkzionalnyi Analiz i Prolozhenia, Harzov, 24 (1975), 68-85.
- [N1] N.Nikolski, Treatise on the shift operator, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1986 (Transl. from Russian, Lekzii ob operatore sdviga, "Nauja", Moskva, 1980).
- [N2] N.Nikolski, Operators, Function, and Systems: an easy reading, Vol.1, Amer. Math. Soc. Monographs and Surveys, 2002.
- [T] H. Triebel, Interpolation theory, functions spaces, differential operators, North-Holland Publishing Comp., 1978.
- [Z] R. Zarouf, Effective H^{∞} interpolation constrained by Hardy and Bergman norms, submitted.