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#### Abstract

We study the fBm by use of convolution of the standard white noise with a certain distribution. This brings some simplifications and new results.
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## Introduction.

There are many ways to tackle the fractional Brownian motion. In this paper, we use a convolution of a white noise by a distribution $T$. This distribution operates in principal value as explained in paragraphs I and II. In paragraph III anf IV is defined a Skorohod type integral with respect to the fBm . This allows to define vector valued rough paths which lead to rough paths in the sense of T.Lyons. In paragraph V , we indicate a regularization process of the fBm by convolution with some examples. We study convergence of Riemann sums in paragraph VI, this also leads to approximations by piecewise linear processes of fBm -Skorohod and fBm-Stratonovich type integrals. Paragraph VII is devoted to prove that every $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued fBm defined on $\mathbb{R}$ can be studied in this way.
This work is intended to simplify many previous papers (loc.cit.), and brings some new results.

## I. The distribution $T$.

Consider the distribution-function

$$
S(t)=\frac{|t|^{\alpha-1}}{2 \Gamma(\alpha) \cos (\pi \alpha / 2)}=K(\alpha)|t|^{\alpha-1}
$$

which is locally integrable for $\alpha>0, \alpha \neq 1$. The distribution derivative

$$
T(t)=\frac{|t|^{\alpha-2} \operatorname{Sign}(t)}{2 \Gamma(\alpha-1) \cos (\pi \alpha / 2)}
$$

analytic with respect to $\alpha \in] 1 / 2,3 / 2\left[\right.$, acts on the $H^{\prime}$-Hölder continuous functions on $[a, b]$ with $\alpha+H^{\prime}>1$ in the following way
$-\langle T, \varphi\rangle=\int \varphi(t) T(t) d t$ if $0 \notin[a, b]$,

- p.v. $\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) T(t) d t=\varphi(0)[S(b)-S(a)]+\int_{a}^{b}[\varphi(t)-\varphi(0)] T(t) d t$ if $\left.0 \in\right] a, b[$
- not defined if $0=a$ or $b$.

Given $t$, the function $S_{t}(u)=S(u)-S(t-u)$ belongs to $L^{2}(d u)$ for $1 / 2<\alpha<3 / 2$. The Fourier transform is worth

$$
\widehat{S}_{t}(\xi)=\int S_{t}(u) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} u \xi} d u=\frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \xi}}{|\xi|^{\alpha}}
$$

so that

$$
\left\langle S_{t}, S_{s}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=K(2 \alpha)\left[|t|^{2 \alpha-1}+|s|^{2 \alpha-1}-|t-s|^{2 \alpha-1}\right]
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle S_{t}, S_{s}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=K(2 \alpha)\left[|t|^{2 H}+|s|^{2 H}-|t-s|^{2 H}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $H=\alpha-1 / 2$ and $K(2 \alpha)=[2 \Gamma(2 H+1) \sin (\pi H)]^{-1}$.
Note that the map $\alpha \rightarrow S_{t}(u)$ extends in a $L^{2}(d u)$-valued holomorphic function in the band $1 / 2<\operatorname{Re} \alpha<3 / 2$. For $\alpha=1$, it is worth $\pi^{-1} \log |1-t / u|$.

1 Theorem : Let $\varphi$ be a Banach-valued $H^{\prime}$-Hölder continuous function defined on a segment $[a, b]$, with $H+H^{\prime}>1 / 2$. The convolution product

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=\text { p.v. } \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) T(u-t) d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $L^{2}(d u)$.

Proof: Note that $f$ is defined for $u \neq a, b$. First, for $u$ out of $[a, b]$ we get

$$
|f(u)| \leq\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \int_{a}^{b}|T(u-t)| d t=\|\varphi\|_{\infty}|S(u-b)-S(u-a)|
$$

Second, for $u \in] a, b\left[\right.$, write $\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Sup}_{t \neq s}|\varphi(t)-\varphi(s)| /|t-s|^{H^{\prime}}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(u)=\varphi(u)[-S(u-b)+S(u-a)]+\int_{a}^{b}[\varphi(t)-\varphi(u)] T(u-t) d t \\
|f(u)| \leq\|\varphi\|_{\infty}|S(u-b)-S(u-a)|+K\left(\alpha, H^{\prime}\right)\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}\left[|b-a|^{\alpha+H^{\prime}-1}\right] 1_{] a, b[ }(u)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $K\left(\alpha, H^{\prime}\right)=K(\alpha) /\left(\alpha+H^{\prime}-1\right)\left(\right.$ remark that $\left.K\left(1, H^{\prime}\right)=2 /\left(\pi H^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Finally we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{2}(f) \leq\|\varphi\|_{\infty} N_{2}\left(S_{b}-S_{a}\right)+K\left(\alpha, H^{\prime}\right)\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}|b-a|^{\alpha+H^{\prime}-1 / 2} \\
& N_{2}(f) \leq[2 K(2 \alpha)]^{1 / 2}\|\varphi\|_{\infty}|b-a|^{H}+K\left(\alpha, H^{\prime}\right)\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}|b-a|^{\alpha+H^{\prime}-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

2 Remarks : $1^{\circ}$. If $\varphi$ vanishes at a point of $[a, b]$, then $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}|b-a|^{H^{\prime}}$, so that we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}(f) \leq K^{\prime}\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}|b-a|^{H+H^{\prime}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$2^{\mathrm{o}}$. For $\alpha>1, T$ is locally integrable, so that the p.v. is unuseful.

## II. The fBm.

Let $B_{t}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ valued Brownian motion for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. As $\left.H=\alpha-1 / 2 \in\right] 0,1[$, we have $S_{t} \in L^{2}(d u)$ and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{H}=\int S_{t}(u) d B_{u}=K(\alpha) \int\left[|u|^{\alpha-1}-|t-u|^{\alpha-1}\right] d B_{u} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a centered Gaussian process with $H^{\prime}$-Hölder continuous paths for $H^{\prime}<H$ (Kolmogorov lemma).
The covariance matrix is given by formula (1)

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(X_{t}^{H} X_{s}^{H}\right)_{i j}=\left\langle S_{t}, S_{s}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \delta_{i j}
$$

Let $\varphi$ be a $H^{\prime}$-Hölder continuous function on a segment $[a, b]$, we define

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d X_{t}^{H}=\int f(u) d B_{u}
$$

where $f$ is the convolution defined in formula (2). Then formula (3) writes

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b}[\varphi(t)-\varphi(a)] d X_{t}^{H}\right) \leq K^{\prime}\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}|b-a|^{H+H^{\prime}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The process $Y_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(t) d X_{t}^{H}$ centered Gaussian Banach valued process for $H \in$ $] 0,1\left[\right.$ and $H+H^{\prime}>1 / 2$. Moreover the map $H \rightarrow \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d X_{t}^{H}$ is analytic in $H \in] 1 / 2-H^{\prime}, 1[$.

Example: Let $\widetilde{X}^{H}$ an independant copy of $X^{H}$. If we take $\varphi(t)=\widetilde{X}_{t}^{H}$ as a $L^{2}$-valued $H$-Hölder continuous function, we get

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left[\widetilde{X}_{t}^{H}-\widetilde{X}_{a}^{H}\right] \otimes d X_{t}^{H}
$$

By taking the coordinates, we can get the Lévy areas of the fBm for $H>1 / 4$. Putting for the $i$-coordinate

$$
\left.\int_{a}^{b}\left[X_{t}^{H, i}(\omega)-X_{a}^{H, i}(\omega)\right] d X_{t}^{H, i}(\omega)\right]=\left[X_{b}^{H, i}(\omega)-X_{a}^{H, i}(\omega)\right]^{2} / 2
$$

we get finally ageometric rough path calculus for $H>1 / 3$.

## III. Using the Skorohod integral.

Recall (cf. [4,5]) that the Gaussian Sobolev space $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\Omega, \mu)$ constructed upon the Gaussian measure $\mu$ is the space of Wiener functionals $\Phi(\omega)$ such that $\nabla \Phi(\omega, \widetilde{\omega})$ belongs to $L^{2}(\mu \otimes \mu)$. The divergence is the transposed operator div: $L^{2}(\mu: \mu) \rightarrow$ $L^{2}(\mu)$.

Let $\Phi$ be a $H^{\prime}$-Hölder continuous $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ valued function. Consider the following integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\omega, \widetilde{\omega})=\int_{a}^{b} \Phi_{t}(\omega) \otimes d X_{t}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $Z$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \widehat{\otimes} W^{1}$ where $W^{1}$ is the first Wiener chaos and $\widehat{\otimes}$ is the Hilbert tensor product. We get by formula (5)

$$
\left\|Z-\Phi_{a} \otimes\left(X_{b}^{H}-X_{a}^{H}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \widehat{\otimes} W^{1}} \leq K\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)\|\Phi\|_{H^{\prime}, \mathcal{D}^{1,2}}|b-a|^{H+H^{\prime}}
$$

Apply now the divergence operator, and define the fBm-Skorohod integral [1,6,7,8,13]

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \Phi_{t}(\omega) \odot d X_{t}^{H}(\omega)=(\operatorname{div} Z)(\omega)
$$

Hence we get by continuity of the divergence

$$
\left\|\int_{a}^{b}\left[\Phi_{t}-\Phi_{a}\right] \odot d X_{t}^{H}\right\|_{L^{2}(d \omega)} \leq k^{\prime}\|\Phi\|_{H, \mathcal{D}^{1,2}}|b-a|^{H+H^{\prime}}
$$

Example: suppose that $\Phi$ takes values into a non-homogneous Wiener chaos of degree $k$ which is included in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ with an equivalent norm as in $L^{2}$ [8]. For $H>1 / 4$ it is straightforward to verify that we can define in this way

$$
X_{a b, i j}^{H,(2)}=\int_{a}^{b} d X_{t, i}^{H} \odot \int_{a}^{t} d X_{s, j}^{H}, \quad \text { and } \quad X_{a b, i j k}^{H,(3)}=\int_{a}^{b} d X_{t, i}^{H} \odot X_{a t, j k}^{H,(2)}
$$

As $X_{a b}^{H,(2)}$ belongs to the second Wiener chaos, then $X_{a b}^{H,(3)}$ is well defined as an element of the third Wiener chaos for every $\{i, j, k\}$ (coordinate indices). Besides we have

$$
\left\|X_{a b}^{H,(2)}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \operatorname{Cst}|b-a|^{2 H}, \quad\left\|X_{a b}^{H,(3)}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \operatorname{Cst}|b-a|^{3 H}
$$

It is easily seen that we get a vector valued rough path for $H>1 / 4$, for example we have for every $c \in[a, b]$

$$
X_{a b}^{H,(2)}-X_{a c}^{H,(2)}-X_{c b}^{H,(2)}=\left(X_{b}^{H}-X_{c}^{H}\right) \otimes\left(X_{c}^{H}-X_{a}^{H}\right)
$$

Note the inversion of the tensor product, which does not matter.
We could recover $\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \odot d X_{t}^{H}$ thanks to the sewing lemma ([11,12]). Observe that we can also obtain pathwise rough paths in the sense of T.Lyons ([14]) for $H>1 / 4$.

## IV. Extending the classical calculus.

Let $F$ be a polynomial. It is easily seen that $t \rightarrow F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right)$ is Hölder continuous, so that if $H>1 / 2$ we get

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \odot d X_{t}^{H}=\operatorname{div} \int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}(\omega)\right) \otimes d X_{(\widetilde{\omega})}^{H}
$$

where the second member is a Young integral. By computing it, we find

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}(\omega)\right) d X_{t}^{H}(\omega)-\int_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(X_{t}^{H} d X_{t}^{H}\right)
$$

and finally

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \odot d X_{t}^{H}=\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}(\omega)\right) d X_{t}^{H}(\omega)-2 H K(2 \alpha) \int_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) t^{2 H-1} d t
$$

Then it is natural to put for $H>1 / 4$
3 Definition : For $H>1 / 4$ and $F$ a polynomial, we define

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}(\omega)\right) \circ d X_{t}^{H}(\omega)=\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \odot d X_{t}^{H}+2 H K(2 \alpha) \int_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) t^{2 H-1} d t
$$

This formula can be read as an Ito formula for $H>1 / 4$. Put

$$
\widetilde{X}_{a b, i j}^{H,(2)}=\int_{a}^{b} d X_{t, i}^{H} \circ \int_{a}^{t} d X_{s, j}^{H}, \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{X}_{a b, i j k}^{H,(3)}=\int_{a}^{b} X_{t b, i}^{H} \circ d X_{t, j}^{H} \circ X_{a t, k}^{H}
$$

As for the Skorohod integral, we see that $\widetilde{X}_{a b}^{H,(2)}$ belongs to the second Wiener chaos, so that $\widetilde{X}_{a b}^{H,(3)}$ is well defined as an element of the third Wiener chaos for every $\{i, j, k\}$. Besides we have

$$
\left\|\widetilde{X}_{a b}^{H,(2)}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \mathrm{Cst}|b-a|^{2 H}, \quad\left\|\widetilde{X}_{a b}^{(H, 3)}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \mathrm{Cst}|b-a|^{3 H}
$$

Now, for $H>1 / 2$ we get standard Young integrals, so that the rough path algebraic relations are satisfied. They also hold for $H>1 / 4$ thanks to the analyticity with respect to $H$. Hence, we get another vector valued rough path for $H>1 / 4$.

## V. Approximations of the fBm .

$\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{o}}$. Let $\rho_{n}(t) \geq 0$ be a regularizing sequence, that is $\int \rho_{n}(t) d t=1$ and $\rho_{n}$ converges narrowly to the Dirac mass at 0 . Put

$$
S_{n}(u)=S * \rho_{n}(u), \quad S_{t, n}(u)=S_{t} * \rho_{n}(u), \quad T_{t, n}(u)=T * \rho_{n}(u)
$$

Put

$$
X_{t, n}^{H}=\int S_{t, n} d B_{u}, \quad \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d X_{t, n}^{H}=\int f_{n}(u) d B_{u}
$$

with

$$
f_{n}(u)=\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) T_{t, n}(u) d t
$$

As $n$ goes to infinity, $S_{n, t}$ converges to $S_{t}$ in $L^{2}(d u)$ so that $X_{t, n}^{H}$ converge to $X_{t}^{H}$ in the first Wiener chaos.
We have $f_{n}=\varphi_{a b} *\left(T * \rho_{n}\right)$ where $\varphi_{a b}$ is worth $\varphi$ in $[a, b]$ and 0 elsewhere. It is easily seen that we have the associative relation $f_{n}=\left(\varphi_{a b} * T\right) * \rho_{n}$. As $\varphi_{a b} * T$ belongs to $L^{2}$, the convolution by $\rho_{n}$ converges to $f$ in $L^{2}$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d X_{t}^{H}=\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d X_{t, n}^{H}
$$

Example: take the 1-dimensional process $B_{u}$, and consider for $y>0 \rho_{y}(t)=$ $\frac{y}{\pi\left(t^{2}+y^{2}\right)}$. As well known $T_{t, y}(u)=\rho_{y} * T_{t}(u)$ is harmonic with respect to $(t, y) \in$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Hence we get a harmonic extension $X_{t, y}^{H}$ with respect to $(t, y)$. When $y$ goes to $0, X_{t, y}^{H}$ converges to $X_{t}^{H}$. Note that $\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d X_{t, y}^{H}$ is a usual integral with respect to a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-function $X_{t, y}^{H}$ for every $y>0$. It should be observed that $X_{t, y}^{H}$ is the real part of a holomorphic function of $t+\mathrm{i} y$ in the upper half-plane. This holomorphic function has been investigated in [15].
$\mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{o}}$. (cf. [6,7]). For $\lambda>0$ put

$$
G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(t)=\frac{2^{-\alpha / 2}}{\Gamma(\alpha / 2)} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{\alpha / 2-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda u} h_{u}(t) d u
$$

where

$$
h_{u}(t)=(2 \pi u)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-t^{2} / 2 u\right)
$$

Then

$$
\widehat{G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}}(\xi)=2^{-\alpha / 2}\left(\lambda+\xi^{2} / 2\right)^{-\alpha / 2}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{t}^{H, \lambda}=\int G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(t-u) d B_{u} \\
\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{t}^{H, \lambda}, Y_{s}^{H, \lambda}\right)=\int G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(t-u) G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(s-u) d u=G_{\lambda}^{2 \alpha}(t-s)=G_{\lambda}^{2 \alpha}(h) \\
X_{t}^{H, \lambda}=Y_{t}^{H, \lambda}-Y_{0}^{H, \lambda}=\int\left[G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(u)-G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(t-u)\right] d B_{u}
\end{gathered}
$$

For $\alpha-1 / 2 \in] 0,1[$, we get by the Fourier transform

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{t}^{H, \lambda}, Y_{s}^{H, \lambda}\right)=\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{2 \pi} \int \frac{\cos h \xi}{\left(\lambda+\xi^{2} / 2\right)^{\alpha}} d \xi
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(X_{t}^{H, \lambda}, X_{s}^{H, \lambda}\right)=\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{2 \pi} \int \frac{1-\cos t \xi-\cos (s \xi)+\cos (t-s) \xi}{\left(\lambda+\xi^{2} / 2\right)^{\alpha}} d \xi
$$

As $\lambda \rightarrow 0, G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(u)-G_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(t-u)$ converges towards $S_{t}(u)$ in $L^{2}(d u)$, so that $X^{H, \lambda}$ converges towards $X^{H}$ in $L^{2}(d \omega)$.

## VI. Riemann sums associated with a partition.

Let $\Delta=\left\{a=t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}=b\right\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ with mesh $\delta$. Choose points $\tau_{i} \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$, and consider the Riemann sum

$$
Z=\sum_{a}^{b} \varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\left[X_{t_{i+1}}^{H}-X_{i}^{H}\right]
$$

where $\varphi$ is $H^{\prime}$-Hölder continuous on $[a, b]$. Also consider the function

$$
J(u)=\sum_{a}^{b} \varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\left[S_{t_{i+1}}(u)-S_{i}(u)\right]
$$

4 Lemma: For $H+H^{\prime}>1 / 2, J(u)$ converges to $\varphi_{a b} * T(u)$ in $L^{2}(d u)$ as $\delta$ vanishes.
Proof: We have

$$
\varphi_{a b} * T(u)=\sum_{a}^{b} \text { p.v. } \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \varphi(t) T(u-t) d t
$$

Hence the difference

$$
D(u)=\varphi_{a b} * T(u)-J(u)=\sum_{a}^{b} \text { p.v. } \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left[\varphi(t)-\varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right] T(u-t) d t
$$

For $u \notin[a, b]$ we have

$$
|D(u)| \leq\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}\left|S_{b}(u)-S_{a}(u)\right| \delta^{H^{\prime}}
$$

For $u \in] a, b[$, we first look for $u \in] t_{k}, t_{k+1}[$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(u)=\left(\int_{a}^{t_{k}}+\int_{t_{k+1}}^{b}\right)\left[\varphi(t)-\varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right] T(u-t) d t+\text { p.v. } \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left[\varphi(t)-\varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right] T(u-t) d t \\
|D(u)| \leq 2\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}} \delta^{H^{\prime}}\left[S_{t_{k}}(u)+S_{t_{k+1}}(u)\right]+|R(u)|
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(u) & =\left[\varphi(u)-\varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right]\left[S_{t_{k+1}}(u)-S_{t_{k}}(u)\right]+\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}[\varphi(t)-\varphi(u)] T(u-t) d t \\
|R(u)| & \leq\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}} \delta^{H^{\prime}}\left[S_{t_{k}}(u)+S_{t_{k+1}}(u)\right]+\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}} \delta^{\alpha+H^{\prime}-1} /\left(\alpha+H^{\prime}-1\right) \\
|D(u)| & \leq 3\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}} \delta^{H^{\prime}}\left[S_{t_{k}}(u)+S_{t_{k+1}}(u)\right]+\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}} \delta^{\alpha+H^{\prime}-1} /\left(\alpha+H^{\prime}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int D(u)^{2} d u=\left(\int_{-\infty}^{a}+\int_{b}^{\infty}\right) D(u)^{2} d u+\sum_{a}^{b} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} D(u)^{2} d u \\
& \int D(u)^{2} d u \leq K\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}^{2} \delta^{2 H^{\prime}}|b-a|^{2 H}+K\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}^{2} \sum_{a}^{b} \delta^{2 H^{\prime}+2 \alpha-1} \\
& \int D(u)^{2} d u \leq K\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}^{2}\left[\delta^{2 H^{\prime}}|b-a|^{2 H}+\delta^{2 H+2 H^{\prime}-1}|b-a|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges to 0 as $\delta$ vanishes.
5 Remark : In fact this lemma also holds with a function $\tau_{i}(u)$ in place of $\tau_{i}$.
Then we can claim
6 Theorem : We have in $L^{2}(d \omega)$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d X_{t}^{H}(\omega)=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a}^{b} \varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\left[X_{t_{i+1}}^{H}-X_{t_{i}}^{H}\right](\omega)
$$

## Application to the fBm integrals.

Applying this result to equation (6), we get

$$
Z(\omega, \widetilde{\omega})=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a}^{b} \Phi_{\tau_{i}}(\omega)\left[X_{t_{i+1}}^{H}-X_{t_{i}}^{H}\right](\widetilde{\omega})
$$

in the space $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(d \omega) \widehat{\otimes} L^{2}(d \widetilde{\omega})$. By taking the divergence, we get

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \Phi_{t}(\omega) \odot d X_{t}^{H}(\omega)=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a}^{b} \Phi_{\tau_{i}}(\omega)\left[X_{t_{i+1}}^{H}-X_{t_{i}}^{H}\right](\omega)-\widetilde{E}\left[\nabla \Phi_{\tau_{i}}(\omega, \widetilde{\omega})\left[X_{t_{i+1}}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega})-X_{t_{i}}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega})\right]\right.
$$

in $L^{2}(d \omega)$. When $\Phi_{t}=F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right)$, we have

$$
\nabla \Phi_{t}(\omega, \widetilde{\omega})=\nabla F\left(X_{t}^{H}(\omega)\right) X_{t}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{\tau_{i}}^{H}(\omega)\right) \widetilde{E}\left[X_{\tau_{i}}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega})\left[X_{t_{i+1}}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega})-X_{t_{i}}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega})\right]=\right. \\
& =K(2 \alpha) \sum_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{\tau_{i}}^{H}(\omega)\right)\left[\left|t_{i+1}\right|^{2 H}-\left|t_{i}\right|^{2 H}-\left|t_{i+1}-\tau_{i}\right|^{2 H}+\left|t_{i}-\tau_{i}\right|^{2 H}\right] \\
& =2 H K(2 \alpha) \int_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{t}^{H}(\omega)\right) t^{2 H-1} d t-K(2 \alpha) \sum_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{\tau_{i}}^{H}(\omega)\right)\left[\left|t_{i+1}-\tau_{i}\right|^{2 H}-\left|t_{i}-\tau_{i}\right|^{2 H}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

7 Theorem : Suppose that $\tau_{i}$ is the midpoint of $\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \odot d X_{t}^{H}=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{\tau_{i}}^{H}\right)\left[X_{t_{i+1}}^{H}-X_{t_{i}}^{H}\right]-2 H K(2 \alpha) \int_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) t^{2 H-1} d t \\
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \circ d X_{t}^{H}=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{\tau_{i}}^{H}\right)\left[X_{t_{i+1}}^{H}-X_{t_{i}}^{H}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence the limite above exists in $L^{2}(d \omega)$.

## Piecewise linear interpolation.

Let $\varphi$ be a $H^{\prime}$-Hölder continuous function, and let $\varphi_{n}$ be the function deduced from $\varphi$ by linear interpolation with vertices $t_{i}$ of $\Delta_{n}$. It is easily seen that the Hölder semi-norm satisfies $\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{H^{\prime}} \leq\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}$ and that $\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right\|_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ converges to 0 for every $H^{\prime \prime}<H^{\prime}$. Hence $J\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}(d u)$ and

$$
N_{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left[\varphi(t)-\varphi_{n}(t)\right] d X_{t}^{H}\right) \leq k(H)\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right\|_{H^{\prime \prime}}|b-a|^{H+H^{\prime}}
$$

which tends to 0 when $n$ tends to infinity.
Let $X_{t, n}^{H}$ be the linear interpolation of $X_{t}^{H}$ with respect to $\Delta_{n}$, and consider $\varphi_{n}=F\left(X_{t, n}^{H}\right)$ for a polynomial $F$. As $\varphi_{n}$ belongs to a non-hogoneous Wiener chaos of degree $k$, we have for $s \leq t$

$$
\begin{gathered}
F\left(X_{t, n}^{H}\right)-F\left(X_{s, n}^{H}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \nabla F\left(X_{s, n}^{H}+\lambda\left[X_{t, n}^{H}-X_{s, n}^{H}\right]\right)\left[X_{t, n}^{H}-X_{s, n}^{H}\right] d \lambda \\
N_{2}\left(F\left(X_{t, n}^{H}\right)-F\left(X_{s, n}^{H}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{Sup}_{\lambda \in[0,1]} N_{4}\left(\nabla F\left(X_{s, n}^{H}+\lambda\left[X_{t, n}^{H}-X_{s, n}^{H}\right]\right)\right) N_{4}\left(X_{t, n}^{H}-X_{s, n}^{H}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

By the Nelson inequalities for non-homogeneous chaos (cf. [8]), we get

$$
N_{2}\left(F\left(X_{t, n}^{H}\right)-F\left(X_{s, n}^{H}\right)\right) \leq M^{\prime}(a, b) N_{2}\left(X_{t, n}^{H}-X_{s, n}^{H}\right) \leq M(a, b)|t-s|^{H}
$$

where $M^{\prime}(a, b)$ and $M(a, b)$ depends only on $a$ and $b$.
8 Lemma : Let $S_{t, n}$ be the interpolation of $S_{t}$ relative to $\Delta_{n}$. Then for any $H^{\prime}$-Hölder continuous $\varphi$ with $H+H^{\prime}>1 / 2$, we have

$$
\varphi_{a b} * T=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(t) d S_{t, n}
$$

Proof: First, if $\varphi$ is real valued, there exists functions $u \rightarrow \tau_{i}(u) \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ such that the second member is worth

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(t) d S_{t, n}=\sum_{a}^{b} \varphi\left(\tau_{i}(u)\right)\left[S_{t_{i+1}}-S_{t_{i}}\right]
$$

Applying lemma 4 and remark 5, we obtain

$$
N_{2}\left(\varphi_{a b} * T-\int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(t) d S_{t, n}\right) \leq K\|\varphi\|_{H^{\prime}}\left[\delta^{2 H^{\prime}}|b-a|^{2 H}+\delta^{2 H+2 H^{\prime}-1}|b-a|\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Second, if $\varphi$ is Banach valued, we get the same inequality thanks to the HahnBanach theorem.

9 Proposition : We have

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d X_{t}^{H}(\omega)=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(t) d X_{t, n}^{H}(\omega)
$$

the limit being taken in $L^{2}(d \omega)$. Moreover if $F$ is a polynomial,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}(\omega)\right) d X_{t}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega})=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0} \int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t, n}^{H}(\omega)\right) d X_{t, n}^{H}(\widetilde{\omega})
$$

in $L^{2}(d \omega \otimes d \widetilde{\omega})$.
Proof: The first assertion follows from the lemma, and the second one is a particular case in view of above.

## 10 Corollary :

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \odot d X_{t}^{H}=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0} \int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t, n}^{H}\right) d X_{t, n}^{H}-2 H K(2 \alpha) \int_{a}^{b} \nabla F\left(X_{t, n}^{H}\right) t^{2 H-1} d t
$$

Proof: A straightforward computation.

## 11 Corollary :

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t}^{H}\right) \circ d X_{t}^{H}=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0} \int_{a}^{b} F\left(X_{t, n}^{H}\right) d X_{t, n}^{H}
$$

12 Remark : In particular, the $\odot$-type and o-type rough paths are obtained in this way (linear interpolation). Note that the o-type rough path was obtained by ([2]). See also [13].

## VII. Retrieving the initial Brownian motion.

Now, let $X_{t}^{H}$ be given a fBm, that is a continuous centered Gaussian process defined on $\mathbb{R}$, with covariance

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(X_{s}^{H} X_{t}^{H}\right)=K(2 \alpha)\left[|t|^{2 H}+|s|^{2 H}-|t-s|^{2 H}\right]
$$

We suppose that $H \in] 0,1[$ and $\alpha=H+1 / 2$. Does there exists a standard Brownian motion $B_{t}$ such that formula (4) holds ?

First, let $A_{t}$ be the $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued standard Brownian motion defined on $\mathbb{R}$, and let $Y_{t}$ be the fBm defined above from $A_{t}$

$$
Y_{t}=\int\left[S^{\alpha}(u)-S^{\alpha}(u-t)\right] d A_{u}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}=-\int\left[S^{2-\alpha}(u)-S^{2-\alpha}(u-t)\right] d Y_{u} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, (7) makes sense as $u \rightarrow|u|^{\alpha-2}\left[S^{2-\alpha}(u)-S^{2-\alpha}(u-t)\right]$ belongs to $L^{2}(d u)$ as easily seen by Fourier transform. Put $\varphi(v)=S_{t}^{2-\alpha}(v)$, we get

$$
\int\left[S^{2-\alpha}(u)-S^{2-\alpha}(u-t)\right] d Y_{u}=\int\left(\varphi * T^{\alpha}\right)(v) d A_{v}=\int\left[T^{\alpha} * S^{2-\alpha}\right](v) d A_{v}
$$

By Fourier transform, we check that $\left.T^{\alpha} * S^{2-\alpha}\right](v)=-1_{[0, t](v)}$, so that we are done.

Let $\varphi(t)$ be a $H$-Hölder continuous function with compact support, we have seen that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(u) d Y_{u}=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a}^{b} \varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\left[Y_{u_{i+1}}-Y_{u_{i}}\right]
$$

for every partition of $[a, b]$ with mesh $\delta \rightarrow 0$. It follows that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(u) d X_{u}^{H}=\operatorname{Lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a}^{b} \varphi\left(\tau_{i}\right)\left[X_{u_{i+1}}^{H}-X_{u_{i}}^{H}\right]
$$

exists since the covariances are the same. Now take

$$
\varphi_{R}(u)=\left[S^{2-\alpha}(u)-S^{2-\alpha}(u-t)\right] 1_{[-R, R]}(u)
$$

As $R \rightarrow+\infty$, we get

$$
A_{t}=-\operatorname{Lim}_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R}^{R} \varphi_{R}(u) d Y_{u}
$$

then

$$
B_{t}=-\operatorname{Lim}_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R}^{R} \varphi_{R}(u) d X_{u}^{H}
$$

exists. Then we obtain a continuous centered Gaussian process $B_{t}$ on $\Omega$, which is a Brownian motion, as easily checked by computing the covariance function. Conversely one retrieves $X_{t}^{H}$ with the formula

$$
X_{t}^{H}=\int\left[S^{\alpha}(u)-S^{\alpha}(u-t)\right] d B_{u}
$$
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