



HAL
open science

Acyclic coloring of graphs with maximum degree five

Hervé Hocquard, Mickael Montassier

► **To cite this version:**

Hervé Hocquard, Mickael Montassier. Acyclic coloring of graphs with maximum degree five. 2010.
hal-00375166

HAL Id: hal-00375166

<https://hal.science/hal-00375166>

Submitted on 8 Feb 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Acyclic coloring of graphs with maximum degree five

Hervé Hocquard and Mickaël Montassier

LaBRI, Université Bordeaux I, 33405 Talence Cedex, France

February 5, 2010

Abstract

An acyclic k -coloring of a graph G is a proper vertex coloring of G which uses at most k colors such that the graph induced by the union of every two color classes is a forest. In this paper, we mainly prove that every 5-connected graph with maximum degree five is acyclically 8-colorable, improving partially [5].

1 Introduction

A *proper vertex coloring* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is an assignment of colors to the vertices of the graph such that two adjacent vertices do not use the same color. A proper vertex coloring of a graph G is *acyclic* if G contains no bicolored cycles; in other words, the graph induced by every two color classes is a forest. The *acyclic chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi_a(G)$, is the smallest integer k such that G is acyclically k -colorable. Acyclic colorings were introduced by Grünbaum [6] who proved that every planar graph is acyclically 9-colorable and conjectured that 5 colors are sufficient. Mitchem [9] improved this result to 8 colors, Albertson and Berman [2] to 7 colors and Kostochka [8] to 6 colors. Finally, in 1979, Borodin [3] proved that 5 colors are sufficient. This bound is best possible since there exist 4-regular planar graphs [6] which are not acyclically colorable with four colors. Concerning graphs with bounded maximum degree, Alon *et al.* [1] proved that asymptotically every graph with maximum degree Δ is acyclically colorable with $O(\Delta^{4/3})$ colors; moreover they exhibited graphs with maximum degree Δ with acyclic chromatic number at least $\Omega(\Delta^{4/3}/(\log \Delta)^{1/3})$. For small maximum degrees, it was proved that 4 colors are sufficient to acyclically color graph with maximum degree 3 (this bound is best possible because of K_4). In 1979, Burstein [4] proved that every graph with maximum degree 4 is acyclically 5-colorable (this bound is tight because of K_5). It was proved by Fertin and Raspaud [5] that every graph of maximum degree 5 can be acyclically colored with 9 colors. In this note we improve partially this result proving that:

Lemma 1 *Every graph G with maximum degree at most 5 and minimum degree strictly less than 5 is acyclically 8-colorable.*

Then, we will refine our approach to prove :

Theorem 1 *Every 5-connected graph with maximum degree five is acyclically 8-colorable.*

We now introduce some notations. The following terminology was introduced in [5]. A partial acyclic coloring of G is a coloring φ of a subset S of V such that φ is an acyclic coloring of $G[S]$ (the subgraph induced by S). A partial acyclic coloring using at most k colors is said to be a partial acyclic k -coloring of G . Let φ be a partial acyclic 8-coloring of G and let v be an uncolored vertex of G . We say that a color c for v allows us to extend φ if the partial coloring φ' defined by $\varphi'(u) = \varphi(u)$ for all colored vertex u and by $\varphi'(v) = c$ is a partial acyclic 8-coloring of G . For a vertex $u \in V \setminus S$, we denote the set of colored neighbors of u by $N_c(u) = N(u) \cap S$ (where $N(u)$ is the set of the neighbors of u) and $\#cn(u) = |N_c(u)|$. We denote by $SC(N_c(u))$ the set




Figure 1: The list $L_u = (2, 1, 1, 1)$

of colors used by vertices in $N_c(u)$ and $\#dcn(u) = |SC(N_c(u))|$. Given a vertex u and a color c , let $n_c(u)$ be the number of vertices in $N_c(u)$ colored with the color c . For each vertex u , we set $L_u = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\#dcn(u)})$ where each n_i denotes the number that a color appears in the neighborhood of u and $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{\#dcn(u)}$, and we call L_u the color list of u . For example in Figure 1 we have : all the neighbors of u are colored (thus $\#cn(u) = 5$ and $N_c(u) = N(u)$), $SC(N_c(u)) = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$, $\#dcn(u) = 4$ and $L_u = (2, 1, 1, 1)$ (two neighbors colored c_1 , one colored with c_2, c_3, c_4). Finally, we denote by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$, the maximum and the minimum degree of the graph G , respectively. We use $\llbracket 1; n \rrbracket$ to denote the set of integers $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

2 Proof of Lemma 1

In this section, we prove that, if G is connected with $\Delta(G) \leq 5$ and $\delta(G) < 5$, then G is acyclically 8-colorable. The proof is based on a greedy algorithm. We first define an order \prec on the vertices of G , and then we color the vertices of G according to \prec . Let v be a vertex of degree $d(v) < 5$. Let T be a spanning tree of G rooted at v . The order \prec is defined by a post order walk on T . Let x_1, \dots, x_n be the vertices of G such that for every i, j , $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $x_i \prec x_j$ and $x_n = v$. Observe that for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, x_i has at most four neighbors x_j with $j < i$. We will color the x_i 's successively using Lemmas 2 and 3. The obtained coloring will be an acyclic 8-coloring of G .

We begin with an observation of [5]:

Observation 1 [5] *Let G be a graph with maximum degree 5 and let φ be a partial acyclic 8-coloring of G . Suppose that v is a uncolored vertex of G . If all colored neighbors of v have distinct colors, it suffices to proper color v to extend φ . If a color c appears $n_c(v) > 1$ times among the neighbors of v , then, to color v , we need to forbid at most $2n_c(v)$ colors to avoid the creation of possible bicolored cycles going through v and the vertices colored with c .*

Lemma 2 *Let G be a graph with $\Delta(G) \leq 5$ and let φ be a partial acyclic 8-coloring of G . Then, for any uncolored vertex u such that $\#cn(u) \leq 3$, there exists a color for u that allows us to extend φ .*

Proof

First, suppose that no color is repeated among the neighbors of u , thus $L_u = (1)$, $L_u = (1, 1)$, or $L_u = (1, 1, 1)$. By Observation 1, u only needs to avoid the colors used by its neighbors, then it remains at least five colors to color u .

Now, suppose that a color appears (at least) twice among the neighbors of u . Then, since $\#cn(u) \leq 3$, we have exactly three cases : $L_u = (2)$, $L_u = (2, 1)$, or $L_u = (3)$. When $L_u = (2)$, $L_u = (2, 1)$ (resp. $L_u = (3)$), u needs to forbid four colors to avoid the creation of possible bicolored cycles by Observation 1 (resp. six colors) and at most two more colors to maintain the proper coloring (resp. one more color). In each case, at least one choice remains to color u . \square

Lemma 3 *Let G be a graph with $\Delta(G) \leq 5$ and let φ be a partial acyclic 8-coloring of G . Then, for any uncolored vertex u such that $\#cn(u) = 4$, there exists a color for u that allows us to extend φ .*

Proof

Let G be a graph with $\Delta(G) \leq 5$, φ be a partial acyclic 8-coloring of G , and u be an uncolored vertex u such that $\#cn(u) = 4$. Let v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 be its four colored neighbors, and for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 4$, let v_i^j be the four neighbors of v_i distinct from u .

To extend φ to u , we will consider all possible L_u :

Case $L_u = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. By Observation 1, it suffices to proper color u (we have four remaining colors).

Case $L_u = (2, 1, 1)$. By Observation 1, u needs to forbid four colors to avoid the possible creation of bicolored cycles and three more colors to maintain the proper coloring. Then, one choice remains to color u .

Case $L_u = (3, 1)$. W.l.o.g. suppose that $\varphi(v_1) = \varphi(v_2) = \varphi(v_3) = 1$ and $\varphi(v_4) = 2$. If there exists a color $\alpha \in \llbracket 3; 8 \rrbracket$ that it is not involved in a possible bicolored cycle with colors 1, α , then we color u with α . Otherwise, this implies that each color in $\llbracket 3; 8 \rrbracket$ appears twice (and exactly twice) in the neighborhood of v_1, v_2, v_3 , and for each v_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$), we have $\#dcn(v_i) = 4$. It suffices now to recolor v_1 with a color different from 1, 2 and those in $SC(N_c(v_1))$ (we have two colors to recolor v_1). Hence, L_u becomes $(2, 1, 1)$, a case seen previously.

Case $L_u = (4)$. W.l.o.g. suppose that $\varphi(v_1) = \varphi(v_2) = \varphi(v_3) = \varphi(v_4) = 1$. Observe that if one of the v_i 's has $\#dcn(v_i) = 4$, say v_1 , then we can recolor v_1 with a color different from 1 and those in $SC(N_c(v_1))$. We obtain $L_u = (3, 1)$, a case seen previously. So suppose that for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $\#dcn(v_i) \leq 3$. Observe that, to avoid the possible creation of bicolored cycles, at most six colors may be forbidden for u . Hence it remains a choice to color u .

Case $L_u = (2, 2)$. W.l.o.g. suppose that $\varphi(v_1) = \varphi(v_2) = 1$ and $\varphi(v_3) = \varphi(v_4) = 2$. Observe that if one of the v_i 's has $\#dcn(v_i) = 4$, say v_j , then we can recolor v_j with a color different from 1, 2 and those in $SC(N_c(v_j))$. We obtain $L_u = (2, 1, 1)$, a case seen previously. So suppose that for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $\#dcn(v_i) \leq 3$. Now, if we cannot color u with a color in $\llbracket 3; 8 \rrbracket$, this implies that for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $\#dcn(v_i) = 3$, and w.l.o.g. $SC(N_c(v_1)) = SC(N_c(v_2)) = \{3, 4, 5\}$, $SC(N_c(v_3)) = SC(N_c(v_4)) = \{6, 7, 8\}$. We focus on v_1 and its neighborhood. We will try to recolor v_1 with a color different from 1: if we succeed, then we will obtain a new L_u solved previously; if not, then we will show that there exists a color for u that extends φ . If $\#cn(v_1) = 3$, then we recolor v_1 with a color different from $\llbracket 1; 5 \rrbracket$ and we are done. So assume that $\#cn(v_1) = 4$ and w.l.o.g. set $\varphi(v_1^1) = \varphi(v_1^2) = 3$, $\varphi(v_1^3) = 4$, and $\varphi(v_1^4) = 5$. We try to recolor v_1 with a color different from 3, 4, 5, and those of $SC(N_c(v_1)) \setminus \{1\}$. If there is a choice different from 1, we are done. If not, then we can color u with 3 (since 3 does not appear in $SC(N_c(v_3))$, $SC(N_c(v_4))$, and v_1^1 has a unique neighbor colored with 1 (v_1)). That completes the proof. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we prove that every 5-connected graph with maximum degree five is acyclically 8-colorable. In fact we will prove that following stronger result. Let G be a 5-regular graph. A *good spanning tree* T^* of G is a spanning tree of G having a vertex with four leaves.

Theorem 2 *Let G be a 5-regular graph. If G admits a good spanning tree, then G is acyclically 8-colorable.*

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2. Let G be a 5-connected 5-regular graph and u be a vertex adjacent to v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 . A spanning tree of $G \setminus v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ plus the edges uv_1, uv_2, uv_3, uv_4 is a good spanning tree of G .

Proof of Theorem 2

Let G be a 5-regular graph admitting a good spanning tree T^* . We order the vertices of G from x_1 to x_n according to a post order walk of T^* rooted at r where x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are four leaves of r and $r = x_n$. First, we color x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 with distinct colors and then we will successively color x_5, x_6, \dots, x_n . To color x_i with $5 \leq i \leq n-1$, we use Lemmas 2 and 3 but without ever recolor the vertices x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 . In Lemma 2, no recoloring is used. In the case $L_u = (3, 1)$ of Lemma 3, v_1 cannot be x_1, x_2, x_3 or x_4 since v_1 has four colored neighbors and u is not x_n . Similarly in the case $L_u = (4)$ of Lemma 3, v_1 cannot be x_1, x_2, x_3 or x_4 . In the case $L_u = (2, 2)$ of Lemma 3, we focus on v_1 . If v_1 is, say x_1 , then we just focus on v_2 instead of v_1 (since v_1 and v_2 have the same color, we are sure that v_2 is not x_2, x_3, x_4). At this point, we have an acyclic coloring of $G \setminus \{x_n\}$ such that x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 use four distinct colors. Finally, to color x_n we have two cases:

Case $L_{x_n} = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. By Observation 1, it suffices to properly color x_n (we have three remaining colors).

Case $L_{x_n} = (2, 1, 1, 1)$. W.l.o.g. $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_{n-1}) = 1, \varphi(x_2) = 2, \varphi(x_3) = 3, \varphi(x_4) = 4$. We choose for x_n a color different from 1, 2, 3, 4 and those in $SC(N_c(x_1))$. If there is a choice, then we are done. Otherwise, we color x_n with 1 and recolor properly x_1 and x_{n-1} .

That completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

We conclude with the following question:

Question 1 *Is it true that every 5-regular graph admits a good spanning tree?*

References

- [1] N. Alon, C. McDiarmid, B. Reed, Acyclic coloring of graphs, *Random structures and algorithms*, 2(3):277-288, 1991.
- [2] M.O Albertson and D.M. Berman. Every planar graph has an acyclic 7-coloring, *Israel J. Math.*,(28):169-174, 1977.
- [3] O.V. Borodin, On acyclic colorings of planar graphs, *Discrete Math.*, (25):211-236, 1979.
- [4] M.I. Burstein, Every 4-valent graph has an acyclic 5-coloring, *Soobšč. Akad. Nauk Gruzin SSR*, (93):21-24, 1979 (in Russian).
- [5] Guillaume Fertin and André Raspaud, Acyclic coloring of graphs of maximum degree five : nine colors are enough, *Inform. Process. Lett.*, (105):65-72, 2008.
- [6] B. Grünbaum, Acyclic colorings of planar graphs, *Israel J. Math.*, 14(3):390-408, 1973.
- [7] A.V. Kostochka and L.S. Mel'nikov, Note to the paper of Grünbaum on acyclic colorings, *Discrete Math.*, (14):403-406, 1976.
- [8] A.V. Kostochka, Acyclic 6-colorings of planar graphs, *Metody Diskret. Anal.*, (28):40-56, 1976 (in Russian).
- [9] J. Mitchem, Every planar graph has an acyclic 8-coloring, *Duke Math. J.*, (41):177-181, 1974.