

First hitting time law for some jump-diffusion processes: existence of a density

Laure Coutin, Diana Dorobantu

▶ To cite this version:

Laure Coutin, Diana Dorobantu. First hitting time law for some jump-diffusion processes: existence of a density. 2008. hal-00374879v1

HAL Id: hal-00374879 https://hal.science/hal-00374879v1

Preprint submitted on 10 Apr 2009 (v1), last revised 11 Jan 2012 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

First hitting time law for some jump-diffusion processes: existence of a density*

Laure Coutin † and Diana Dorobantu[‡]

Abstract. Let $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ be a diffusion process with jumps, sum of a Brownian motion with drift and a compound Poisson process. We consider τ_x the first hitting time of a fixed level x > 0 by $(X_t, t \ge 0)$. We prove that the law of τ_x has a density (defective when $\mathbb{E}(X_1) < 0$) with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Keywords: Lévy process, jump-diffusion process, hitting time law.

1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to show that the first hitting time distribution associated with a jump-diffusion process (sum of a Brownian motion with drift and an independent compound Poisson process) has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Let $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ be a càd-làg process started at 0 and τ_x the first hitting time of level x > 0 by X.

Lévy, in [16], computes the law of τ_x when X is a Brownian motion with drift. This result is extended by Alili, Patie and Petersen [1] or Leblanc [13] to the case where X is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. The case where X is a Bessel process was studied by Borodin and Salminen in [4].

Some results are also available when the process X has jumps. The first results are obtained by Zolotarev [23] and Borokov [5] when X is a spectrally negative Lévy process. They give the law of τ_x . Moreover, if X_t has the probability density with respect to the Lebesgue measure p(t,x), then the law of τ_x has the density with repect to the Lebesgue measure f(t,x), where xf(t,x) = tp(t,x). In this case where X has only negative jumps, $X_{\tau_x} = x$ almost surely.

If X is a spectrally positive Lévy process, Doney [7] gives an explicit formula for the joint Laplace transform of τ_x and the overshoot $X_{\tau_x} - x$. When X is a stable Lévy process, Peskir [17], and Bernyk, Dalang and Peskir [2] obtain an explicit formula for the hitting time density.

^{*}The authors thank M. Pontier and Ph. Carmona for their careful reading and G. Letac for his helpfull comments

[†]IMT, University of Toulouse, France, laure.coutin@math.univ-toulouse.fr

[‡]ISFA, University of Lyon, France, diana.dorobantu@adm.univ-lyon1.fr

The case where X has signed jumps is more recently studied. In [9], the authors give the law of τ_x when X is the sum of a decreasing Lévy process and an independent compound process with exponential jump sizes. This result is extended by Kou et Wang in [11] to the case of a diffusion process with jumps where the jump sizes follow a double exponential law. They compute the Laplace transform of τ_x and derive an expression for the density of τ_x . For a more general jump-diffusion process, Roynette, Vallois and Volpi [20] show that the Laplace transform of $(\tau_x, x - X_{\tau_x}, X_{\tau_x} - x)$ is solution of some kind of random integral.

Doney and Kyprianou [8] studied the problem for general Lévy processes. They give the quintuple law of $(\bar{G}_{\tau_x-}, \tau_x - \bar{G}_{\tau_x-}, X_{\tau_x} - x, x - X_{\tau_x-}, x - \bar{X}_{\tau_x-})$ where $\bar{X}_t = \sup_{s \leq t} X_s$ and $\bar{G}_t = \sup\{s < t, \ \bar{X}_s = X_s\}$.

Results are also available for some Lévy processes without Gaussian component, see Lefèvre, Loisel and Picard [14, 15, 18, 19]. Blanchet [3] considers a process satisfying the following stochastic equation : $dS_t = S_{t^-}(\mu dt + \sigma \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\phi}(t)=0}dW_t + \phi \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\phi}(t)=\phi}d\tilde{N}_t), \ t \leq T$ where T is a finite horizon, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma > 0, \ \tilde{\phi}(.)$ is a function taking two values 0 or ϕ , W is a Brownian motion, N is a Poisson process with intensity $\frac{1}{\phi^2}\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\phi}(t)=\phi}$ and \tilde{N} is the compensated Poisson process.

The aim of our paper is to add to these studies the law of a first hitting time by a Lévy process which is the sum of a Brownian motion with drift and a compound Poisson process. We do not limit our study to a particular distribution of the jumps sizes.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the main result (Theorem 2.1) which gives the first hitting time law by a jump Lévy process. The following two sections (Section 2.1 and Section 2.2) are dedicated to the proof of Theorem 2.1. In these sections we compute the derivative at t=0 (Section 2.1) and at t>0 (Section 2.2) of the hitting time distribution function. Section 3 contains the proofs of some useful results.

2 Hitting time law

Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $(W_t, t \geq 0)$ be a standard Brownian motion, $(N_t, t \geq 0)$ be a Poisson process with constant positive intensity a and $(Y_i, i \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with distribution function F_Y . We suppose that the following σ -fields $\sigma(Y_i, i \in \mathbb{N}^*)$, $\sigma(N_t, t \geq 0)$ and $\sigma(W_t, t \geq 0)$ are independent. Let $(T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ be the sequence of the jump times of the process N.

Let X be the process defined by

$$X_{t} = mt + W_{t} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} Y_{i}, \quad t \ge 0.$$
 (1)

Let τ_x be the first hitting time of level x>0 by the stochastic process $(X_t,\ t\geq 0):$ $\tau_x=\inf\{u>0: X_u\geq x\}.$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem. It gives the law of τ_x .

Theorem 2.1 If there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\beta|Y_1|}\right) < \infty$, then the distribution function of τ_x has a right derivative at 0 and is differentiable at every point of $]0, \infty[$. The derivative, denoted f(.,x), is equal to

$$f(0,x) = \frac{a}{2} \left(2 - F_Y(x) - F_Y(x^-) \right) + \frac{a}{4} \left(F_Y(x) - F_Y(x^-) \right)$$

and for every t > 0 $f(t,x) = a\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t}(1 - F_Y)\left(x - X_t\right)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_{N_t}}\tilde{f}\left(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}}\right)\right)$

where

$$\tilde{f}(u,z) = \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{(z-mu)^2}{2u}\right] \mathbf{1}_{]0,\infty[}(u), \ u \in \mathbb{R}, \ z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Furthermore, $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x = \infty) = 0$ if and only if $m + a\mathbb{E}(Y_1) \geq 0$.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Sections 2.1 and 2.2.

Let \tilde{X} be the m drifted Brownian motion defined by

$$\tilde{X}_t = mt + W_t$$
, for all $t \ge 0$,

and $\tilde{\tau}_z$ be the first hitting time of z > 0 by \tilde{X} . Then, according to [10], $\tilde{f}(.,z)$ is the derivative of the distribution function of $\tilde{\tau}_z$ (see Section 3 for more details).

Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the completed natural filtration generated by the processes $(W_t, t \geq 0)$, $(N_t, t \geq 0)$ and the random variables $(Y_i, i \in \mathbb{N}^*)$.

Remark 2.2 This result is already known in the following cases:

When X has no positive jumps, the law of τ_x is given in Theorem 46.4 page 348 of [21].

When X is a stable Lévy process, with no negative jumps, the law of τ_x is given in [2].

When X is a jump-diffusion where the jump sizes follow a double exponential law, the result is given in [11].

2.1 Existence of the right derivative at t = 0

In this section we prove the first part of Theorem 2.1. Our goal is to show that the distribution function of τ_x (i.e. $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq t)$) has a right derivative at 0 and we compute this derivative.

For this purpose we split the probability $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h)$ according to the values of N_h :

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \le h) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_x \le h, \ N_h = 0) + \mathbb{P}(\tau_x \le h, \ N_h = 1) + \mathbb{P}(\tau_x \le h, \ N_h \ge 2).$$

Note that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h, N_h \geq 2) \leq \mathbb{P}(N_h \geq 2) = 1 - e^{-ah} - ahe^{-ah}$, thus

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \le h, \ N_h \ge 2)}{h} = 0.$$

The proof of the first part of Theorem 2.1 will be complete when the following lemma and proposition are shown :

Lemma 2.3 The term $\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h, N_h = 0)}{h}$ converges to 0 when h goes to 0.

Proposition 2.4 If there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\beta|Y_1|}\right) < \infty$, then for every x > 0 the term $\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h, N_h = 1)}{h}$ converges to $\frac{a}{2}(2 - F_Y(x) - F_Y(x^-)) + \frac{a}{4}(F_Y(x) - F_Y(x^-))$ when h goes to 0.

Proof of Lemma 2.3.

The essential observation is that on the set $\{\omega : N_h(\omega) = 0\}$, the processes $(X_t, 0 \le t \le h)$ and $(\tilde{X}_t, 0 \le t \le h)$ are equal, and \mathbb{P} -almost surely $\tau_x \wedge h = \tilde{\tau}_x \wedge h$. Since $\tilde{\tau}_x$ is independent of N, then

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \le h, \ N_h = 0)}{h} = \frac{e^{-ah}\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_x \le h)}{h}.$$

The law of $\tilde{\tau}_x$ has a C^{∞} density (possibly defective) with respect to the Lebesgue measure, null on $]-\infty,0]$. Thus the limit of $\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h,\ N_h=0)}{h}$ exists and is equal to 0 when h goes to 0.

To prove Proposition 2.4, we use the same type of arguments as in [20] (for the proof of Theorem 2.4). In [20], the authors compute the joint Laplace transform of (a passage time of a Lévy process, overshoot) as solution of an integral equation.

Proof of Proposition 2.4.

We split the probability $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h, N_h = 1)$ into three parts according to the relative position of τ_x and T_1 , the first jump time of the Poisson process N:

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \le h, \ N_h = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_x < T_1, \ N_h = 1) + \mathbb{P}(\tau_x = T_1, \ N_h = 1) + \mathbb{P}(T_1 < \tau_x \le h, \ N_h = 1). \tag{2}$$

Step 1: We prove that the contribution to the limit $\lim_{h\to 0^+} h^{-1}\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h, N_h = 1)$ of the first term on the right hand side of (2) is null.

Since the processes $(X_t, 0 \le t < T_1)$ and $(\tilde{X}_t, 0 \le t < T_1)$ are equal, then on the set $\{\omega, \tau_x(\omega) < T_1(\omega)\}$, the stopping time τ_x and $\tilde{\tau}_x$ are equal. Thus

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x < T_1, \ N_h = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_x < T_1 \le h, \ N_h = 1) \le \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_x \le h).$$

The law of $\tilde{\tau}_x$ has a C^{∞} density (possibly defective) with respect to the Lebesgue measure, null on $]-\infty,0]$. Thus $\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x< T_1,\ N_h=1)}{h}$ converges to 0 when h goes to 0.

Step 2: We prove that the contribution to the limit $\lim_{h\to 0^+} h^{-1}\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h, N_h = 1)$ of the second term on the right hand side of (2) is $\frac{a}{2}(2 - F_Y(x) - F_Y(x^-))$.

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x = T_1, \ N_h = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_x > T_1, \ \tilde{X}_{T_1} + Y_1 \ge x, \ T_1 \le h < T_2).$$

Here, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $T_n = S_1 + ... + S_n$ where $(S_i, i \geq 1)$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with exponential distribution with parameter a. Using the independence between $(S_i, i \geq 1)$ and $(Y_1, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}_x)$ we get:

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x = T_1, \ N_h = 1) = \int_0^h ae^{-as_1} \int_{h-s_1}^\infty ae^{-as_2} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_x > s_1} \mathbf{1}_{Y_1 \ge x - \tilde{X}_{s_1}}\right) ds_2 ds_1$$
$$= ae^{-ah} \int_0^h \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_x > s} \mathbf{1}_{Y_1 \ge x - \tilde{X}_s}\right) ds.$$

Integrating with respect to Y_1 , we obtain :

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x = T_1, \ N_h = 1) = ae^{-ah} \int_0^h \mathbb{E}\left((1 - F_Y)((x - \tilde{X}_s)^-)\right) ds - ae^{-ah} \int_0^h \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_x \leq s}(1 - F_Y)((x - \tilde{X}_s)^-)\right) ds.$$

On the one hand, since F_Y is a càdlàg bounded function and \tilde{X} is a Brownian motion with drift, we get

$$\lim_{s\to 0} \mathbb{E}\left(F_Y((x-\tilde{X}_s)^-)\right) = \frac{F_Y(x) + F_Y(x^-)}{2}.$$

On the other hand, since the distribution function of $\tilde{\tau}_x$ is differentiable, then

$$\lim_{s\to 0} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_x \le s} (1 - F_Y)((x - \tilde{X}_s)^-)\right) = 0.$$

We deduce that

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x = T_1, N_h = 1)}{h} = \frac{a}{2} (2 - F_Y(x) - F_Y(x^-)).$$

Step 3: We prove that the contribution to the limit $\lim_{h\to 0^+} h^{-1}\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq h, N_h = 1)$ of the third term on the right hand side of (2) is $\frac{a}{4}(F_Y(x) - F_Y(x^-))$.

To this end, we state the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5 The term $\frac{\mathbb{P}(T_1 < \tau_x \le h, N_h = 1)}{h}$ converges to $\frac{a}{4}(F_Y(x) - F_Y(x^-))$ when h goes to 0.

Proof Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(T_1 < \tau_r < h, N_h = 1) = \mathbb{P}(T_1 < \tau_r < h, T_1 < h < T_2)$$

and $T_2 = T_1 + S_2 \circ \theta_{T_1}$ where θ is the translation operator. Here S_2 is a random variable with exponential distribution with parameter a, independent of $(T_1, (W_t, t \ge 0), (Y_i, i \in \mathbb{N}^*))$.

Moreover, on $\{\omega: T_1(\omega) < \tau_x(\omega) \le h < T_2(\omega)\}$, $X_s = X_{T_1} + \tilde{X}_{s-T_1} \circ \theta_{T_1}$ when $T_1 < s \le h$ and $\tau_x = T_1 + \tilde{\tau}_{x-X_{T_1}} \circ \theta_{T_1}$.

Strong Markov Property at the $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$ -stopping time T_1 gives :

$$\mathbb{P}(T_1 < \tau_x \le h, \ N_h = 1) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_1} \mathbf{1}_{h \ge T_1} \mathbb{E}^{T_1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_{x - X_{T_1}} \le h - T_1} \mathbf{1}_{h - T_1 < S_2}\right)\right)$$

where $\mathbb{E}^{T_1}(.)$ is $\mathbb{E}(. \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_1})$.

Integrating with respect to S_2 , we obtain :

$$\mathbb{P}(T_1 < \tau_x \le h, \ N_h = 1) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_1} \mathbf{1}_{h \ge T_1} e^{-a(h - T_1)} \mathbb{E}^{T_1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_{x - X_{T_1}} \le h - T_1}\right)\right).$$

Remark that $\{\omega : \tau_x(\omega) > T_1(\omega)\} = \{\omega : \tilde{\tau}_x(\omega) > T_1(\omega)\} \cap \{\omega : X_{T_1(\omega)} < x\}$. Consequently

$$\mathbb{P}(T_1 < \tau_x \le h, \ N_h = 1) = -\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_x \le T_1 \le h} \mathbf{1}_{X_{T_1} < x} e^{-a(h-T_1)} \mathbb{E}^{T_1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_{x-X_{T_1}} \le h-T_1}\right)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{h \ge T_1} \mathbf{1}_{X_{T_1} < x} e^{-a(h-T_1)} \mathbb{E}^{T_1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_{x-X_{T_1}} \le h-T_1}\right)\right).$$

Since the distribution function of $\tilde{\tau}_x$ has a null derivative at 0, then

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_x \leq T_1 \leq h} \mathbf{1}_{X_{T_1} < x} e^{-a(h-T_1)} \mathbb{E}^{T_1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_{x-X_{T_1}} \leq h-T_1}\right)\right) = 0.$$

It remains to show that

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{G(h)}{h} = \frac{a}{4} [F(x) - F(x^-)] \tag{3}$$

where

$$G(h) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{h \geq T_1} \mathbf{1}_{X_{T_1} < x} e^{-a(h-T_1)} \mathbb{E}^{T_1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_{x-X_{T_1}} \leq h-T_1}\right)\right).$$

Integrating with respect to T_1 and then using the fact that $\tilde{f}(.,z)$ is the derivative of the distribution function of $\tilde{\tau}_z$, we get :

$$G(h) = \int_0^h ae^{-as} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{X}_s + Y_1 < x} e^{-a(h-s)} \mathbb{E}^s (\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\tau}_{x-\tilde{X}_s - Y_1} \le h-s})\right] ds$$
$$= ae^{-ah} \int_0^h \int_0^{h-s} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{X}_s + Y_1 < x} \tilde{f}(u, x - \tilde{X}_s - Y_1)\right] du ds.$$

Since $\tilde{X}_s = ms + W_s$, we may apply Lemma 3.1 to $\mu = x - ms - Y_1$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{s}$, then

$$G(h) = \frac{ae^{-ah}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^h \int_0^{h-s} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{(x-m(u+s)-Y_1)^2}{2(u+s)}} \left(\frac{x-Y_1}{(u+s)^{3/2}} + \frac{G\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{u}(u+s)}\right)_+\right] duds.$$

We make the following change of variable r = u + s.

$$G(h) = \frac{ae^{-ah}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^h \int_s^h \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{(x-mr-Y_1)^2}{2r}} \left(\frac{x-Y_1}{r^{3/2}} + \frac{G\sqrt{s}}{r\sqrt{r-s}}\right)_+\right] dr ds.$$

Firstly, we apply Fubini's Theorem and secondly, we make the following change of variable $v = \frac{s}{r}$.

$$G(h) = \frac{ae^{-ah}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^h \int_0^r \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{(x-mr-Y_1)^2}{2r}} \left(\frac{x-Y_1}{r^{3/2}} + \frac{G\sqrt{s}}{r\sqrt{r-s}}\right)_+\right] ds dr$$

$$= \frac{ae^{-ah}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^h g(r) dr,$$

where

$$g(r) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{(x-mr-Y_1)^2}{2r}}\left(\frac{x-Y_1}{\sqrt{r}} + \frac{G\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{1-v}}\right)_\perp\right]dv.$$

But,

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} e^{-\frac{(x-mr-Y_1)^2}{2r}} \left(\frac{x-Y_1}{\sqrt{r}} + \frac{G\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{1-v}} \right)_+ = \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{1-v}} G_+ \mathbf{1}_{x=Y_1},$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \le r \le 1} e^{-\frac{(x-mr-Y_1)^2}{2r}} \left(\frac{x-Y_1}{\sqrt{r}} + \frac{G\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{1-v}} \right)_+ \le \sup_{z \ge 0} z e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} + |m| + \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{1-v}} |G|.$$

Then from Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem we obtain:

$$\lim_{r\to 0} g(r) = \mathbb{P}(Y_1 = x) \mathbb{E}(G_+) \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{1-v}} dv = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4} \mathbb{P}(Y_1 = x).$$

We deduce the identity (3), i.e.

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{G(h)}{h} = \frac{a}{4} \mathbb{P} \left(Y_1 = x \right),$$

which achieves the proof of Lemma 2.5

Propostion 2.4 is a consequence of the Steps 1 to 3.

2.2 Existence of the derivative at t > 0

Our task is now to show that the distribution function of τ_x is differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+^* and to compute its derivative. For this purpose we split the probability $\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h)$ according to the values of $N_{t+h} - N_t$:

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h) = \mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) + \mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 1) + \mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t \ge 2).$$
(4)

The third term on the right hand side of (4) is upper bounded by

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t \ge 2) \le \mathbb{P}(N_{t+h} - N_t \ge 2) = 1 - e^{-ah} - ahe^{-ah}.$$

Therefore $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(t<\tau_x\leq t+h,\ N_{t+h}-N_t\geq 2)}{h}=0.$

Let us study the second term on the right hand side of (4). Markov Property at t gives:

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 1) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} \mathbb{P}^t(\tau_{x-X_t} \le h, \ N_h = 1)),$$

where $\mathbb{P}^t(.) = \mathbb{P}(.|\mathcal{F}_t)$.

In virtue of Lemma 2.4, $\frac{\mathbb{P}^t(\tau_{x-X_t} \leq h, N_h=1)}{h}$ converges to

$$\frac{a}{2} \left[2 - F_Y (x - X_t) - F_Y ((x - X_t)^-) \right] + \frac{a}{4} \left[F_Y (x - X_t) - F_Y ((x - X_t)^-) \right]$$

and is upper bounded by $\frac{\mathbb{P}(N_h=1)}{h}=ae^{-ah}\leq a$. Dominated Convergence Theorem gives :

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 1)}{h} = a\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t}(1 - F_Y)(x - X_t)\right) + \frac{3a}{4}\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t}\Delta F_Y(x - X_t)\right)$$

where $\Delta F_Y(z) = F_Y(z) - F_Y(z^-)$.

However the jumps set of F_Y (the distribution function of Y) is countable and X has a density (cf. Proposition 3.12 page 90 of [6]). Thus $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\Delta F_Y(x-X_t))=0$: indeed $0\leq \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\Delta F_Y(x-X_t))\leq \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{Y_1=x-X_t})=\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{X_t=x-Y_1})=0$. Therefore

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t+h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 1)}{h} = a\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t}(1 - F_Y)(x - X_t)\right).$$

The proof of the second part of Theorem 2.1 will be complete when the following proposition is shown:

Proposition 2.6 If there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\beta|Y_1|}\right) < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 0)}{h} = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_{N_t}} \tilde{f}\left(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}}\right)\right)$$

where \tilde{f} is the function introduced in Theorem 2.1.

Proof Since T_{N_t} is not a stopping time, we can not apply Strong Markov Property. We split

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} = N_t = k)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(t < \tilde{\tau}_x \le t + h < T_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ T_k < t < t + h < T_{k+1}).$$

On the set $\{(\omega,t): T_k(\omega) < t\}$, we have $X_t(\omega) = X_{T_k}(\omega) + X_{t-T_k} \circ \theta_{T_k}(\omega)$, hence on the set $\{\omega, \tau_x(\omega) > T_k(\omega)\}$, $\tau_x = T_k + \tau_{x-X_{T_k}} \circ \theta_{T_k}$. Strong Markov Property at the stopping time T_k gives

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) = e^{-a(t+h)} \mathbb{P}(t < \tilde{\tau}_x \le t + h) \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{T_k < t} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_k} \mathbb{E}^{T_k} \left(\mathbf{1}_{t-T_k < \tilde{\tau}_{x-X_{T_k}} \le t + h - T_k} \mathbf{1}_{t+h-T_k < S_{k+1}} \right) \right).$$

On the set $\{(\omega, t) : \tau_z(\omega) \le t < S_{k+1}(\omega)\}$, we have $\tau_z = \tilde{\tau}_z$ for every z < 0. Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) = e^{-a(t+h)} \mathbb{P}(t < \tilde{\tau}_x \le t + h) \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{T_k < t} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_k} e^{-a(t+h-T_k)} \mathbb{E}^{T_k} \left(\mathbf{1}_{t-T_k < \tilde{\tau}_{x-X_{T_k}} \le t+h-T_k} \right) \right).$$

The \mathcal{F}_{T_k} -conditional law of $\tilde{\tau}_{x-X_{T_k}}$ has the density (possibly defective) $\tilde{f}(.,x-X_{T_k})$, thus

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) = e^{-a(t+h)} \mathbb{P}(t < \tilde{\tau}_x \le t + h) \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{T_k < t} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_k} e^{-a(t+h-T_k)} \int_{t-T_k}^{t+h-T_k} \tilde{f}(u, x - X_{T_k}) du\right).$$

Let us point out that $e^{-a(t-T_k)} = \mathbb{E}^{T_k} (\mathbf{1}_{T_{k+1}>t})$ then

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) = e^{-ah} \int_t^{t+h} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{0 \le t < T_1}\right) \tilde{f}(u, x) du
+ e^{-ah} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_t^{t+h} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{T_k \le t < T_{k+1}} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_k} \tilde{f}(u - T_k, x - X_{T_k})\right) du,$$

or shortly

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t + h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) = e^{-ah} \int_t^{t+h} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{T_{N_t} < \tau_x} \tilde{f}(u - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}})\right) du.$$
 (5)

Since \tilde{f} is continuous with respect to u, then

$$\lim_{u \to t^+} \mathbf{1}_{T_{N_t} < \tau_x} \tilde{f}(u - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}}) = \mathbf{1}_{T_{N_t} < \tau_x} \tilde{f}(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}}),$$

From Lemma 3.2, Propositions 3.5 and 3.6, $\tilde{f}(u - T_{N-t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}})$ is dominated uniformly in u by a integrable random variable

$$\tilde{f}(u - T_{N-t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}}) \le c_{\varepsilon, M} (t - T_{N_t})^{1-\varepsilon} \left[\frac{1}{(x - X_{T_{N_t}})^{4\varepsilon}} + \exp\left(\frac{2m}{M} (x - X_{T_{N_t}})\right) \right].$$

Here $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ and $M > \max(1, 2|m|\beta^{-1})$, (where $\mathbb{E}(e^{\beta|Y|}) < +\infty$,) and $c_{\varepsilon,M}$, is a constant defined in Lemma 3.2 depending only on ε and M. Then, using Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem in equation (5) we obtain

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_x \le t+h, \ N_{t+h} - N_t = 1)}{h} = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_{N_t}} \tilde{f}(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}})\right).$$

Using Proposition 2.6, the limit $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(t<\tau_x\leq t+h)}{h}$ exists and is equal to

$$a\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}(1-F_Y)(x-X_t)\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T_{N_t}}\tilde{f}(t-T_{N_t},x-X_{T_{N_t}})\right).$$

The following lemma allows to conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1. The results are known, for example in [15] and [22] but for sake of completeness we give a proof.

Lemma 2.7 For all x > 0, the stopping time τ_x is finite almost surely if and only if $m+a\mathbb{E}(Y_1) \ge 0$.

Proof Remark that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x = \infty) = \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t > 0} X_t < x).$$

Thanks to Theorem 7.2 page 183 of [12] which is a consequence of Strong Law of Large Numbers,

if
$$m + a\mathbb{E}(Y_1) > 0$$
, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = +\infty$ and if $m + a\mathbb{E}(Y_1) = 0$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = -\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = -\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = \infty$.

Therefore (see Exercise 39.11 page 271 of [21]), if $m + a\mathbb{E}(Y_1) \ge 0$, then $\sup_{t\ge 0} X_t = +\infty$. This proves the first part of the lemma.

Conversely, let us suppose that $m + a\mathbb{E}(Y_1) < 0$. Then $\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t = -\infty$, and according to Theorem 48.1 page 363 of [21], $\sup_{t\geq 0} X_t < \infty$. Assume that there exists $x_0 > 0$, such that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{x_0} < +\infty) = 1$. Then from all x, such that $x \leq x_0$ we have $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x < +\infty) = 1$.

Now we use recurrence reasoning. Assume that for $n \ge 1$ and for all $x \le nx_0$, we have $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x < +\infty) = 1$. Let x such that $nx_0 < x \le (n+1)x_0$, then using Strong Markov Property,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x < +\infty) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_{nx_0} < +\infty} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\tau_{nx_0}} \ge x}) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_{nx_0} < +\infty} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\tau_{nx_0}} < x} \mathbb{P}^{\tau_{nx_0}}(\tau_{x - X_{\tau_{nx_0}}} < \infty)).$$

Using the recurrence hypothesis, since $x - X_{\tau_{nx_0}} < x_0$, almost surely $\mathbb{P}^{\tau_{nx_0}}(\tau_{x-X_{\tau_{nx_0}}} < \infty) = 1$ and then

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_x < +\infty) = 1.$$

We have proved that if there exists $x_0 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{x_0} < \infty) = 1$, then for all x > 0, $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x < \infty) = \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \geq 0} X_t \geq x) = 1$. This contradicts the fact that $\sup_{t \geq 0} X_t < \infty$. Then, if $m + a\mathbb{E}(Y_1) < 0$, for all x > 0, $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x < \infty) < 1$.

3 Appendix

A Brownian motion with drift is a process

$$\tilde{X}_t = mt + W_t, \quad t \ge 0$$

with $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let z > 0 and $\tilde{\tau}_z$ be the passage time defined by $\tilde{\tau}_z = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \tilde{X}_t \geq z\}$. By (5.12) page 197 of [10], $\tilde{\tau}_z$ has the following law on \mathbb{R}_+ :

$$\tilde{f}(u,z)du + \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_z = \infty)\delta_{\infty}(du)$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(u,z) = \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{(z-mu)^2}{2u}\right] \mathbf{1}_{]0,\infty[}(u), \ u \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_z = \infty) = 1 - e^{mz - |mz|}.$$
 (6)

For a fixed z, the function $\tilde{f}(.,z)$ and all its derivatives admit 0 as limit at 0^+ . The function \tilde{f} admits an extension (denoted \tilde{f}) of class \mathcal{C}^{∞} on \mathbb{R} , defined by $\tilde{f}(u,z)=0$ for $u\leq 0$. Moreover it checks the two following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1 Let G be a Gaussian random variable $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(u, \mu + \sigma G)\mathbf{1}_{\mu + \sigma G > 0}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{(\mu - mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2 + u)}} \left(\frac{\mu + \sigma^2 m}{(\sigma^2 + u)^{3/2}} + \frac{\sigma G}{\sqrt{u}(\sigma^2 + u)}\right)_+\right]$$

where $(x)_{+} = max(x,0)$.

Proof Using the probability density function of G and the definition of \tilde{f} (see (6)), we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(u, \mu + \sigma G)\mathbf{1}_{\mu + \sigma G > 0}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |\mu + \sigma g| e^{-\frac{(\mu + \sigma g - mu)^2}{2u}} e^{-\frac{g^2}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\mu + \sigma g > 0} dg.$$

Since $|\mu + \sigma g| \mathbf{1}_{\mu + \sigma g > 0} = (\mu + \sigma g) \mathbf{1}_{\mu + \sigma g > 0} = (\mu + \sigma g)_+$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(u, \mu + \sigma G)\mathbf{1}_{\mu + \sigma G > 0}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (\mu + \sigma g)_{+} e^{-\frac{(\mu + \sigma g - mu)^2}{2u}} e^{-\frac{g^2}{2}} dg.$$

A simple computation shows that $\frac{(\mu+\sigma g-mu)^2}{u}+g^2=\frac{\sigma^2+u}{u}\left(g+\frac{\sigma(\mu-mu)}{\sigma^2+u}\right)^2+\frac{(\mu-mu)^2}{\sigma^2+u}$. Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(u,\mu+\sigma G)\mathbf{1}_{\mu+\sigma G>0}] = \frac{e^{-\frac{(\mu-mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2+u)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (\mu+\sigma g)_{+} e^{-\frac{\sigma^2+u}{2u} \left(g + \frac{\sigma(\mu-mu)}{\sigma^2+u}\right)^2} dg.$$

Making the following change of variable $x = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2 + u}{u}} \left(g + \frac{\sigma(\mu - mu)}{\sigma^2 + u} \right)$, we conclude the proof

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(u, \mu + \sigma G)\mathbf{1}_{\mu + \sigma G > 0}] = \frac{e^{-\frac{(\mu - mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2 + u)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{\mu^2 + \sigma^2 m}{(\sigma^2 + u)^{3/2}} + \frac{\sigma x}{\sqrt{u}(\sigma^2 + u)}\right)_{+} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx.$$

Lemma 3.2 Let $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be the function defined by

$$\tilde{f}(u,z) = \begin{cases} \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \exp[-\frac{(z-mu)^2}{2u}] & \text{si } u > 0\\ 0 & \text{si } u \le 0, \end{cases}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M \ge 1$, there exists a constant $c_{\varepsilon,M} > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{f}(u,z) \le c_{\varepsilon,M} u^{-1+\varepsilon} \left[\frac{1}{|z|^{4\varepsilon}} + \exp\left(\frac{2mz}{M}\right) \right].$$

Proof Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M \ge 1$ be fixed. Remark that it is enough to prove that there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_{\varepsilon,M} > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{f}(u,z) \le \tilde{c}_{\varepsilon,M} u^{-1+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z|^{2\varepsilon}} \exp\left(\frac{mz}{M}\right).$$

Then we conclude the proof using the inequality $x_1x_2 \leq \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}$ for $x_1 = \frac{1}{|z|^{2\varepsilon}}$ and $x_2 = \exp\left(\frac{mz}{M}\right)$.

We now seek to upper bound the quotient $\frac{\tilde{f}(u,z)}{u^{-1+\varepsilon}\frac{1}{|z|^{2\varepsilon}}\exp[\frac{mz}{M}]}$, z<0, $u\in\mathbb{R}_+$.

Since $\exp\left[-\frac{(z-mu)^2}{2u}\right] \le \exp\left[-\frac{(z-mu)^2}{2uM}\right]$, then

$$\frac{\tilde{f}(u,z)}{u^{-1+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z|^{2\varepsilon}} \exp\left[\frac{mz}{M}\right]} \leq \frac{\frac{|z|}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{(z-mu)^2}{2uM}\right]}{u^{-1+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z|^{2\varepsilon}} \exp\left[\frac{mz}{M}\right]}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{z^2}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \exp\left[-\frac{z^2}{2uM}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{m^2u}{2M}\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} 2^{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{z^2}{2uM}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \exp\left[-\frac{z^2}{2uM}\right].$$

Since the function $x \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-x}$ is continuous, null at 0 and at $+\infty$, then it is bounded on \mathbb{R}_+ . Hence there exists $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $x^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-x} \le c_{\varepsilon}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We apply this inequality to $x = \frac{z^2}{2uM}$, so $(\frac{z^2}{2uM})^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \exp[-\frac{z^2}{2uM}] \le c_{\varepsilon}$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 3.3 Let G be a Gaussian random variable $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there exists two constants $k_{1,\alpha} > 0$, $k_{2,\alpha} > 0$, depending only on α , such that

$$\mathbb{E}(|\mu + \sigma G|^{-\alpha}) \le \frac{k_{1,\alpha}}{\sigma} |\mu|^{1-\alpha} + k_{2,\alpha} \sigma^{-\alpha}.$$

Proof Using the probability density function of G, we get :

$$\mathbb{E}(|\mu + \sigma G|^{-\alpha}) = \int_{-\frac{\mu}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (\mu + \sigma x)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \mu x + \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (-\mu - \sigma x)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx.$$

Integration by parts gives:

$$\mathbb{E}(|\mu + \sigma G|^{-\alpha}) = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\frac{(\mu + \sigma x)^{1-\alpha}}{\sigma(1-\alpha)}\right]_{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}}^{\infty} + \frac{1}{\sigma(1-\alpha)}\int_{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(\mu + \sigma x)^{1-\alpha}xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx$$

$$+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\frac{(-\mu - \sigma x)^{1-\alpha}}{-\sigma(1-\alpha)}\right]_{-\infty}^{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}} - \frac{1}{\sigma(1-\alpha)}\int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(-\mu - \sigma x)^{1-\alpha}xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma(1-\alpha)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}|\mu + \sigma x|^{1-\alpha}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\left(x\mathbf{1}_{x>-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}} - x\mathbf{1}_{x\leq -\frac{\mu}{\sigma}}\right)dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma(1-\alpha)}\mathbb{E}\left[|\mu + \sigma G|^{1-\alpha}G(\mathbf{1}_{G>-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}} - \mathbf{1}_{G\leq -\frac{\mu}{\sigma}})\right].$$

However for every $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$, we have

$$|G(\mathbf{1}_{G>-\frac{\mu}{2}} - \mathbf{1}_{G<-\frac{\mu}{2}})| \le G - 2G\mathbf{1}_{G\le 0} = |G|.$$

Consequently $\mathbb{E}(|\mu + \sigma G|^{-\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(1-\alpha)} \mathbb{E}(|G||\mu + \sigma G|^{1-\alpha}).$ The inequality $|\mu + \sigma G|^{1-\alpha} \leq (|\mu| + \sigma|G|)^{1-\alpha} \leq |\mu|^{1-\alpha} + \sigma^{1-\alpha}|G|^{1-\alpha}$ concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.4 For every t > 0 and $(\alpha, \gamma) \in]-1, \infty[^2$, the following two series

$$\sum_{i\geq 1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{t>T_i} (t-T_i)^{\alpha} T_i^{\gamma} \right) z^i$$
$$\sum_{i\geq 1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{t>T_i} (t-T_i)^{\alpha} T_i^{\gamma} \right) i z^i,$$

have an infinite radius of convergence.

Proof It is enough to prove that the first series has an infinite radius of convergence.

Note that for $i \geq 1$, T_i admits as density the function $u \mapsto \frac{a^i}{(i-1)!}u^{i-1}e^{-au}$, thus

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{t>T_{i}}(t-T_{i})^{\alpha}T_{i}^{\gamma}\right) = \frac{a^{i}}{(i-1)!} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-au}(t-u)^{\alpha}u^{\gamma+i-1}du$$

$$\leq \frac{a^{i}}{(i-1)!} \int_{0}^{t} (t-u)^{\alpha}u^{\gamma+i-1}du$$

$$= \frac{a^{i}}{(i-1)!} t^{\gamma+i+\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+i)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+i+\alpha+1)}$$

and the conclusion holds.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.4, we have the following two propositions which are used to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 3.5 Assume that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\beta|Y_1|}\right) < \infty$. For every t > 0, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M \geq 1$ such that $\left|\frac{2m}{M}\right| \leq \beta$, the random variable $(t - T_{N_t})^{-1+\varepsilon} \exp \frac{2m}{M}(x - X_{T_{N_t}})$ \mathbb{P} -integrable.

Proof Note that

$$(t - T_{N_t})^{-1+\varepsilon} \exp \frac{2m}{M} (x - X_{T_{N_t}}) = t^{-1+\varepsilon} \exp \frac{2mx}{M} \mathbf{1}_{t < T_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{T_i \le t < T_{i+1}} (t - T_i)^{-1+\varepsilon} \exp \frac{2m}{M} (x - X_{T_i}),$$

$$\leq t^{-1+\varepsilon} \exp \frac{2mx}{M} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{t > T_i} (t - T_i)^{-1+\varepsilon} \exp \left[\frac{2m}{M} (x - X_{T_i}) \right].$$

Let $\sigma(T_i)$ be the σ -field generated by T_i , i > 0. Conditioning by T_i , we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left[\frac{2m}{M}(x-X_{T_i})\right]|\sigma(T_i)\right] = e^{\frac{2m}{M}x}e^{-\frac{2m^2}{M}T_i + \frac{2m^2}{M^2}T_i}\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\frac{2m}{M}Y_1}\right)^i.$$

Since $M \ge 1$, then $e^{-\frac{2m^2}{M}T_i + \frac{2m^2}{M^2}T_i} \le 1$, therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left[\frac{2m}{M}(x-X_{T_i})\right]|\sigma(T_i)\right] \le e^{\frac{2m}{M}x}\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\frac{2m}{M}Y_1}\right)^i.$$

Consequently

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(t-T_{N_t})^{-1+\varepsilon}\exp\left[\frac{2m}{M}(x-X_{T_{N_t}})\right]\right] \leq e^{\frac{2m}{M}x}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{t>T_i}(t-T_i)^{-1+\varepsilon}\right]\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\frac{2m}{M}Y_1}\right)^i.$$

By the choice of M, $\mathbb{E}(e^{-\frac{2m}{M\sigma^2}Y_1}) < \mathbb{E}(e^{\beta|Y_1|}) < \infty$. We use Lemma 3.4, and the conclusion holds.

Another useful result for the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following:

Proposition 3.6 Assume that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\beta|Y_1|}\right) < \infty$. For every t > 0 and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ the random variable $(t - T_{N_t})^{-1+\varepsilon}|X_{T_{N_t}} - x|^{-4\varepsilon}$ is \mathbb{P} -integrable.

Proof Note that

$$(t - T_{N_t})^{-1+\varepsilon} |X_{T_{N_t}} - x|^{-4\varepsilon} = t^{-1+\varepsilon} |x|^{-4\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}_{t < T_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{T_i \le t < T_{i+1}} (t - T_i)^{-1+\varepsilon} |X_{T_i} - x|^{-4\varepsilon},$$

$$\leq |x|^{-4\varepsilon} t^{-1+\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{T_i \le t} (t - T_i)^{-1+\varepsilon} |X_{T_i} - x|^{-4\varepsilon}.$$

We apply Lemma 3.3 to $\alpha = 4\varepsilon$, $G = \frac{W_{T_i}}{\sqrt{T_i}}$, $\mu = mT_i + \sum_{j=1}^i Y_j - x$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{T_i}$. There exists $k_{1,\varepsilon} > 0$, $k_{2,\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X_{T_i} - x|^{-4\varepsilon} |\sigma(T_i, Y_j, j \le i)\right] \le \frac{k_{1,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{T_i}} |mT_i + \sum_{j=1}^i Y_j - x|^{1-4\varepsilon} + k_{2,\varepsilon} T_i^{-4\varepsilon}.$$

Let us use the inequality $x_1^{1-\alpha} \le 1 + x_1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $x_1 \ge 0$ for $x_1 = |mT_i + \sum_{j=1}^i Y_j - x|$ and $\alpha = 4\varepsilon$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X_{T_i} - x|^{-4\varepsilon} |\sigma(T_i, Y_j, j \le i)\right] \le k_{1,\varepsilon} T_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} + k_{1,\varepsilon} T_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} |mT_i + \sum_{j=1}^i Y_j - x| + k_{2,\varepsilon} T_i^{-4\varepsilon}.$$

Since $|mT_i + \sum_{j=1}^i Y_j - x| \le |m|T_i + \sum_{j=1}^i |Y_j| + |x|$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{T_{i} < t} \frac{(t - T_{i})^{-1 + \varepsilon}}{|X_{T_{i}} - x|^{-4\varepsilon}}\right] \le (k_{1,\varepsilon} + |x|) \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{T_{i} < t} (t - T_{i})^{-1 + \varepsilon} T_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] + k_{1,\varepsilon} |m| \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{T_{i} < t} (t - T_{i})^{-1 + \varepsilon} T_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] + k_{1,\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{T_{i} < t} (t - T_{i})^{-1 + \varepsilon} T_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] + k_{2,\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{T_{i} < t} (t - T_{i})^{-1 + \varepsilon} T_{i}^{-4\varepsilon}\right].$$

We conclude the proof using Lemma 3.4.

References

- [1] L. Alili, P. Patie, J.L. Pedersen, Representations of the First Hitting Time Density of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process, Stochastic Models 21, 2005, pp. 967-980.
- [2] V. Bernyk, R. C. Dalang, G. Peskir, The law of the supremum of stable Lévy processes with no negative jumps, Ann. Probab. Vol 36, Number 5, 2008, pp. 177-1789.
- [3] C. Blanchet, Processus à sauts et risque de défaut, Ph.D. Thesis, University of d'Evry-Val d'Essonne, 2001.
- [4] A. Borodin, P. Salminen, Handbook of Brownian Motion. Facts and Formulae, Birkhäuser, 1996.

- [5] A. A. Borokov, On the first passage time for one class of processes with independent increments, Theor. Prob. Appl. 10, 1964, pp. 331-334.
- [6] R. Cont, P. Tankov, Financial Modelling with Jump Processes, Chapman & Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series, 2004.
- [7] R.A. Doney, Hitting probabilities for spectrally positive Lévy processes, J. London, Math, Soc. (2) 44, 1991, pp. 556-576.
- [8] R.A. Doney, A.E. Kyprianou, Overshoots and undershoots of Lévy processes, Ann. Appl. Probab. 16, 2005, pp. 91-106.
- [9] M. Dozzi, P. Vallois, Level crossing times for certain processes without positive jumps, Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques, 121, 1997, pp. 355-376.
- [10] I. Karatzas, S.E. Shreve, Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1991.
- [11] S.G. Kou, H. Wang, First passage times of a jump diffusion process, Adv. Appl. Prob. 35, 2003, pp. 504-531.
- [12] A. E. Kyprianou, Introductory Lectures on Fluctuations of Lévy Processes with Applications, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [13] B. Leblanc, Modélisation de la volatilité d'un actif financier et applications, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Paris VII, 1997.
- [14] C. Lefèvre, S. Loisel, On finite-time ruin probabilities for classical risk models, Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, Vol. 1, 2008, pp. 41-60.
- [15] C. Lefèvre, S. Loisel, Finite-Time Horizon Ruin Probabilities for Independent or Dependent Claim Amounts, Working paper WP2044, Cahiers de recherche de l'Isfa, 2008.
- [16] P. Lévy, Processus stochastiques et mouvement brownien, Gauthier-Villars, 1948.
- [17] G. Peskir, The law of the hitting times to points by a stable Lévy process with no-negative jumps, Research Report No. 15, Probability and Statistics Group School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, 2007.
- [18] P. Picard, C. Lefèvre, The probability of ruin in finite time with discrete claim size distribution, Scand. Actuar. J. (1), 1997, pp. 58-69.
- [19] P. Picard, C. Lefèvre, The moments of ruin time in the classical risk model with discrete claim size distribution, Insurance Math. Econom. 23 (2), 1998, pp. 157-172.
- [20] B. Roynette, P. Vallois, A. Volpi, Asymptotic behavior of the hitting time, overshoot and undershoot for some Lévy processes, ESAIM PS, Vol. 12, 2008, pp. 58-93.
- [21] K.I. Sato, Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1999.

- [22] A. Volpi, Etude asymptotique de temps de ruine et de l'overshoot, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nancy 1, 2003.
- [23] V. M. Zolotarev, The first passage time of a level and the behavior at infinity for a class of processes with independent increments, Theor. Prob. Appl., 9, 1964, pp. 653-664.