A new proof of the analyticity of the electronic density of molecules.

Thierry Jecko

A new proof of the analyticity of the electronic density of molecules.

Thierry Jecko
AGM, UMR 8088 du CNRS, Université de Cergy-Pontoise,
Département de mathématiques, site de Saint Martin,
2 avenue Adolphe Chauvin,
F-95302 Cergy-Pontoise cédex, France.
e-mail: thierry.jecko@u-cergy.fr
web: http://www.u-cergy.fr/tjecko/

12-06-2009

Abstract

We give a new, short proof of the regularity away from the nuclei of the electronic density of a molecule obtained in [FHHS1, FHHS2]. The new argument is based on the regularity properties of the Coulomb interactions underlined in [KMSW] and on well-known elliptic technics.

Keywords: Elliptic regularity, analytic elliptic regularity, molecular Hamiltonian, electronic density, Coulomb potential.

1 Introduction.

For the quantum description of molecules, it is very useful to study the so-called electronic density and, in particular, its regularity properties. This has be done for molecules with fixed nuclei: see [FHHS1, FHHS2, FHHS3] for details and references. The smoothness and the analyticity of the density away from the nuclei are proved in [FHHS1] and [FHHS2] respectively. In this paper, we propose an alternative proof.

Let us recall the framework and the precise results of [FHHS1, FHHS2]. We consider a molecule with N moving electrons ($N \geq 2$) and L fixed nuclei. While the distinct vectors $R_1, \cdots, R_L \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the positions of the nuclei, the positions of the electrons are given by $x_1, \cdots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The charges of the nuclei are given by the positive $Z_1, \cdots, Z_L$ and the electronic charge is -1. In this picture, the Hamiltonian of the system is

$$\begin{aligned} H \;:=\; & \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Big(-\Delta_{x_j} \,-\, \sum_{k=1}^{L} \frac{Z_k}{|x_j - R_k|} \Big) \,+\, \sum_{1 \leq j < j' \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_j - x_{j'}|} \\ & +\, \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq L} \frac{Z_k Z_{k'}}{|R_k - R_{k'}|} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $-\Delta_{x_j}$ stands for the Laplacian in the variable x_j. We denote the constant and last term in (1.1) by E_0. Setting $\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_j}$, the potential V of the system is the multiplication operator defined by $H = -\Delta + V$. Thanks to Hardy's inequality

$$\exists c > 0 \,;\; \forall f \in \mathrm{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \,,\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big(|t|^{-1} \, |f(t)| \Big)^2 \, dt \;\leq\; c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla f(t)|^2 \, dt \,, \tag{1.2}$$

one can show that V is Δ-bounded with relative bound 0 and that H is self-adjoint on the domain of the Laplacian Δ, namely $\mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ (see Kato's theorem in [RS], p. 166-167). Let $\psi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $H\psi = E\psi$. Actually E is smaller than E_0 by [FH]. The electronic density associated to ψ is the following $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$-function

$$\rho(x) \;:=\; \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \Big| \psi(x_1, \cdots, x_{j-1}, x, x_j, \cdots, x_N) \Big|^2 \, dx_1 \cdots dx_{j-1} dx_j \cdots dx_N \,.$$

Here we used $N \geq 2$. The regularity result is the following

Theorem 1.1. [FHHS1, FHHS2]. *The density ρ is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}$.*

Remark 1.2. *In [FHHS1], it is proved that ρ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}$. This result is then used in [FHHS2] to derive the analyticity.*

Now let us sketch the new proof of Theorem 1.1, the complete proof and the notation used are given in Section 2. We consider the almost everywhere defined L^2-function

$$\tilde{\psi} \;:\; \mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto \psi(x, \cdot, \cdots, \cdot) \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}) \tag{1.3}$$

and denote by $\| \cdot \|$ the $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$-norm. By permutation of the variables, it suffices to show that the map $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto \|\tilde{\psi}(x)\|^2$ belongs to $C^\omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}; \mathbb{R})$, the space of

real analytic functions on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$. We define the potentials V_0, V_1 by

$$V \;=\; V_0 \,+\, V_1 \quad \text{with} \quad V_0(x) \;=\; E_0 \,-\, \sum_{k=1}^{L} \frac{Z_k}{|x - R_k|}\,. \tag{1.4}$$

We view the function $\tilde{\psi}$ as a distributional solution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$ of

$$-\Delta_x \tilde{\psi} \,+\, Q(x)\tilde{\psi} = 0\,, \tag{1.5}$$

where the x-dependent operator $Q(x) \in \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}); \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$ is given by

$$Q(x) \;=\; -\Delta_{x'} \,+\, V_0 \,-\, E \,+\, V_1 \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta_{x'} \;=\; \sum_{j=2}^{N} \Delta_{x_j}\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Considering (1.5) in a small enough neighbourhood Ω of some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}$, we pick from [KMSW] a x-dependent unitary operator $U_{x_0}(x)$ on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ such that the map

$$W :\; \Omega \ni x \mapsto U_{x_0}(x) V_1(x) U_{x_0}(x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B} \tag{1.7}$$

belongs to $C^\omega(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$. It turns out that $P_0 = U_{x_0}(-\Delta_x - \Delta_{x'})U_{x_0}^{-1}$ is a differential operator in x with analytic, differential coefficients in $\mathcal{B}$, and, as differential operator in all variables, it is elliptic. Applying U_{x_0} to (1.5) and setting $\varphi = U_{x_0}\tilde{\psi}$, we obtain

$$\Big(P_0 \,+\, W(x) \,+\, V_0(x) \,-\, E\Big)\varphi \;=\; 0\,. \tag{1.8}$$

Since $U_{x_0}(x)$ is unitary on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$, $\|\tilde{\psi}(x)\| = \|\varphi(x)\|$. Thus, it suffices to show that $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega; \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$. Using (1.8), a parametrix of the operator P_0, and Hardy's inequality (1.2), we show by induction that, for all k, $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\Omega; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$. Thus $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$. Finally we check that we can follow the arguments in [H1] p. 178-180 to get $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega; \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$.

The main idea in the construction of the unitary operator U_{x_0} is to change, locally in x, the variables $x_2, \cdots, x_N$ in a x-dependent way such that the x-dependent singularities $1/|x - x_j|$ becomes locally x-independent (see Section 2). In [KMSW], where this clever method was introduced, the nuclei positions play the role of the x variable and the $x_2, \cdots, x_N$ are the electronic degrees of freedom. The validity of the Born-Oppenheimer approximation is proved there for the computation of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the molecule. We point out that this method is the core of a recently introduced, semiclassical pseudodifferential calculus adapted to the treatment of Coulomb singularities in molecular systems, namely the twisted h-pseudodifferential calculus (h being the semiclassical parameter). This calculus is due to A. Martinez and V. Sordoni in [MS].

As one can see in [KMSW, MS], the above method works in a larger framework. So do Theorem 1.1 and our proof. For instance, we do not need the positivity of the charges Z_k, the fact that $E < E_0$, and the precise form of the Coulomb interaction. We do not use the self-adjointness (or the symmetry) of the operator H. We could replace in (1.1) each $-\Delta_{x_j}$ by $|i\nabla_{x_j} + A(x)|^2$, where A is a suitable, analytic, magnetic vector potential. We could also add a suitable, analytic exterior potential.

Let us now compare our proof with the one in [FHHS1, FHHS2]. Here we only use (almost) classical arguments of elliptic regularity. In [FHHS1, FHHS2], the elliptic regularity is essentially replaced by some Hölder continuity regularity result on ψ. The authors introduced an adapted, smartly chosen variable w.r.t. which they can derivate ψ. Here the x-dependent change of variables produces regularity with respect to x. As external tools, we only exploit basic notions of pseudodifferential calculus, the rest being elementary. In [FHHS1, FHHS2], a general, involved regularity result from the litterature on "PDE" is an important ingredient of the arguments. We believe that, in spirit, the two proofs are similar. The shortness and the relative simplicity of the new proof is due to the clever method borrowed from [KMSW], which transforms the singular potential V_1 in an analytic function with values in $\mathcal{B}$.

Acknowledgment: The author is supported by the french ANR grant "NONAa" and by the european GDR "DYNQUA". He thanks Vladimir Georgescu, Sylvain Golénia, Hans-Henrik Rugh, and Mathieu Lewin, for stimulating discussions. He also thanks the referees for constructive comments.

2 Details of the proof.

This section is devoted to the completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1, sketched in Section 1. We first introduce some notation and recall some well-known, basic facts.

For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x,y) \mapsto f(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we denote by $d_x f$ the total derivative of f w.r.t. x, by $\partial_x^\alpha f$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ the corresponding partial derivatives. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $D_x^\alpha := (-i\partial_x)^\alpha := (-i\partial_{x_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (-i\partial_{x_d})^{\alpha_d}$, $D_x = -i\nabla_x$, $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$, $\alpha! := (\alpha_1!) \cdots (\alpha_d!)$, $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$, and $\langle x \rangle := (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach space and O an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $C_c^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $C_b^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})$, resp. $C^\omega(O;\mathcal{A})$) the space of functions from O to $\mathcal{A}$ which are smooth with compact support (resp. smooth with bounded derivatives, resp. analytic). Let $\mathcal{D}'(O;\mathcal{A})$ denotes the topological dual of $C_c^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})$. We use the traditional notation $W^{k,2}(O;\mathcal{A})$ for the Sobolev spaces of $\mathrm{L}^2(O;\mathcal{A})$-functions with k derivatives in $\mathrm{L}^2(O;\mathcal{A})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathcal{A}'$ is another Banach space, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A};\mathcal{A}')$ the space of the continuous linear maps from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}'$ and set $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A};\mathcal{A})$. For $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, A^{T} denotes the transpose of A and, if $\mathcal{A}$ has finite dimension, $\mathrm{Det}A$ its determinant. By the Sobolev injections,

$$\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} W^{k,2}(O;\mathcal{A}) \;\subset\; C^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})\,. \tag{2.1}$$

It is well-known (cf. [H3]) that a function $u \in C^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})$ is analytic if and only if, for any compact $K \subset O$, one can find some constant C such that

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d\,, \quad \sup_{x\in K} \left\| (D_x^\alpha u)(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} \;\leq\; C^{|\alpha|+1} \cdot (\alpha!)\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Now let us construct U_{x_0} (see [KMSW, MS]). Let $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tau(x_0) = 1$ and, for all $k \in \{1;\cdots;L\}$, $\tau = 0$ near R_k. For $x, s \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we set $f(x,s) = s + \tau(s)(x - x_0)$. Notice that

$$\forall (x;s) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^2\,,\; f(x,x_0) = x \text{ and } f(x,s) = s \text{ if } s \notin \operatorname{supp}\tau\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $(d_s f)(x,s).s' = s' + \langle \nabla\tau(s), s'\rangle (x - x_0)$, we can choose a small enough, relatively compact neighborhood Ω of x_0 such that

$$\forall x \in \Omega\,, \quad \sup_s \|(d_s f)(x,s) \,-\, I_3\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \;\leq\; 1/2\,, \tag{2.4}$$

I_3 being the identity matrix of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus, for $x \in \Omega$, $f(x,\cdot)$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and we denote by $g(x,\cdot)$ its inverse. By (2.4) and a Neumann expansion in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we see that, for $(x,s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\Big((d_s f)(x,s)\Big)^{-1} \;=\; I_3 \,+\, \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big(-\langle \nabla\tau(s), (x - x_0)\rangle\Big)^{n-1}\Big) \langle \nabla\tau(s), \cdot\rangle (x - x_0)\,.$$

Notice that the power series converges uniformly w.r.t. s. This is still true for the series of the derivatives ∂_s^β, for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Since

$$(d_s g)(x, f(x,s)) \;=\; \Big((d_s f)(x,s)\Big)^{-1} \text{ and } (d_x g)(x, f(x,s)) \;=\; -(d_s g)(x, f(x,s))\cdot(d_x f)(x,s),$$

we see by induction that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$\Big(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_s^\beta g\Big)(x, f(x,s)) \;=\; \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^3} (x - x_0)^\gamma a_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(s) \tag{2.5}$$

on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. For $\alpha = \beta = 0$, this follows from $g(x, f(x,s)) = s$. Notice that, except for $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = (0,0,0)$ and for $|\beta| = 1$ with $(\alpha,\gamma) = (0,0)$, the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are supported in the compact support of τ.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $y = (y_2, \cdots, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, let $F(x,y) = (f(x,y_2), \cdots, f(x,y_N))$. For $x \in \Omega$, $F(x,\cdot)$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$ satisfying the following properties: There exists $C_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, for all $x \in \Omega$, for all $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$,

$$C_0^{-1}|y - y'| \;\leq\; |F(x,y) - F(x,y')| \;\leq\; C_0|y - y'|\,, \tag{2.6}$$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha F(x,y) - \partial_x^\alpha F(x,y')| \;\leq\; C_0|y - y'|\,, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\text{and, for } |\alpha| \geq 1\,,\; |\partial_x^\alpha F(x,y)| \;\leq\; C_0\,. \tag{2.8}$$

For $x \in \Omega$, denote by $G(x,\cdot)$ the inverse diffeomorphism of $F(x,\cdot)$. By (2.5), the functions

$$\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \ni (x,y) \;\mapsto\; \Big(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta G\Big)(x, F(x,y))\,,$$

for $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \times \mathbb{N}^{3(N-1)}$, are also given by a power series in x with smooth coefficients in y. Given $x \in \Omega$, let $U_{x_0}(x)$ be the unitary operator on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ defined by

$$(U_{x_0}(x)\theta)(y) = |\mathrm{Det}(d_y F)(x,y)|^{1/2}\theta(F(x,y))\,.$$

The conjugated terms in (1.8) has been computed in [KMSW, MS]. Consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega \ni x \mapsto J_1(x,\cdot) \;&\in\; C_c^\infty\Big(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}; \mathbb{R}^3)\Big)\,,\\
\Omega \ni x \mapsto J_2(x,\cdot) \;&\in\; C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}; \mathbb{R}^3)\,,\\
\Omega \ni x \mapsto J_3(x,\cdot) \;&\in\; C_b^\infty\Big(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})\Big)\,,\\
\Omega \ni x \mapsto J_4(x,\cdot) \;&\in\; C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}; \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(x,y) &= (d_x G(x,y'))^{\mathrm{T}}\Big(x, y' = F(x,y)\Big)\,, \\ J_2(x,y) &= \Big|\mathrm{Det}\, d_y F(x,y)\Big|^{1/2} D_x\Big(\Big|\mathrm{Det}\, d_{y'} G(x,y')\Big|^{1/2}\Big)\Big|_{y'=F(x,y)}\,, \\ J_3(x,y) &= (d_{y'} G(x,y'))^{\mathrm{T}}\Big(x, y' = F(x,y)\Big)\,, \\ J_4(x,y) &= \Big|\mathrm{Det}\, d_y F(x,y)\Big|^{1/2} D_{y'}\Big(\Big|\mathrm{Det}\, d_{y'} G(x,y')\Big|^{1/2}\Big)\Big|_{y'=F(x,y)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Actually, the support of $J_k(x,\cdot)$, for $k \neq 3$, is contained in the x-independent, compact support of the function τ (cf. (2.3)). So do also the supports of the derivatives $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta J_3$ of J_3, for $|\alpha| + |\beta| > 0$. Thanks to (2.5), the $J_k(\cdot,y)$'s can also be written as a power series in x with smooth coefficients depending on y. Now

$$U_{x_0} \nabla_x U_{x_0}^{-1} \;=\; \nabla_x \,+\, J_1 \nabla_y \,+\, J_2 \quad \text{and} \quad U_{x_0} \nabla_{x'} U_{x_0}^{-1} \;=\; J_3 \nabla_y \,+\, J_4\,. \tag{2.9}$$

In particular, $U_{x_0}(x)$ preserves $\mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$, for all $x \in \Omega$. Furthermore,

$$P_0 \;=\; U_{x_0}\Big(-\Delta_x \,-\, \Delta_{x'}\Big)U_{x_0}^{-1} \;=\; -\Delta_x \,+\, \mathcal{J}_1(x;y;D_y)\cdot D_x \,+\, \mathcal{J}_2(x;y;D_y)\,, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_2(x;y;D_y)$ is a scalar differential operator of order 2 and $\mathcal{J}_1(x;y;D_y)$ is a column vector of 3 scalar differential operators of order 1. More precisely, the coefficients of $\mathcal{J}_1(x;y;D_y)$ and of $\mathcal{J}_2(x;y;D_y) + \Delta_y$ are compactly supported, uniformly w.r.t. x. In particular, these scalar differential operators belong to $\mathcal{B}$. By (2.5), they are given on Ω by a power series of x with coefficients in $\mathcal{B}$ and therefore are analytic functions on Ω with values in $\mathcal{B}$ (cf. [H3]). Furthermore, one can check that (2.10) is an elliptic operator as differential operator in all variables, in the sense that the second order part of its symbol $p_0(x;\xi;y;\eta)$ does not vanish for $(\xi;\eta) \neq (0;0)$. In fact, p_0 belongs to the Hörmander class $S(m^2, g)$ on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, where

$$m(x,y;\xi,\eta) \;=\; \Big(|\xi|^2 \,+\, |\eta|^2 \,+\, 1\Big)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad g \;=\; dx^2 \,+\, dy^2 \,+\, d\xi^2/\langle\xi\rangle^2 \,+\, d\eta^2/\langle\eta\rangle^2\,.$$

Thus (see [H2] for instance) we can find two pseudodifferential operators P_1 and R with symbols $p_1, r \in S(m^{-2}, g)$ such that $P_1 P_0 = I + R$ (I being the identity operator) and, for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P_1 \;\in\; \mathcal{L}\Big(\mathrm{W}^{k,2}\Big(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\Big); \mathrm{W}^{k+2,2}\Big(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\Big)\Big)\,, \tag{2.11}$$

$$R \;\in\; \mathcal{L}\Big(\mathrm{W}^{k,2}\Big(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\Big); \mathrm{W}^{k+\ell,2}\Big(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\Big)\Big)\,, \tag{2.12}$$

Next, we look at W defined in (1.7). For $j, j' \in \{2;\cdots;N\}$ with $j \neq j'$, for $k \in \{1;\cdots;L\}$, for $x \in \Omega$,

$$U_{x_0}(x)\Big(|x - x_j|^{-1}\Big)U_{x_0}^{-1}(x) \;=\; |f(x;x_0) - f(x;y_j)|^{-1}\,, \tag{2.13}$$

$$U_{x_0}(x)\Big(|x_j - R_k|^{-1}\Big)U_{x_0}^{-1}(x) \;=\; |f(x;y_j) - f(x;R_k)|^{-1}\,, \tag{2.14}$$

$$U_{x_0}(x)\Big(|x_j - x_{j'}|^{-1}\Big)U_{x_0}^{-1}(x) \;=\; |f(x;y_j) - f(x;y_{j'})|^{-1}\,. \tag{2.15}$$

Lemma 2.1. *The potential W in* (1.7) *is an analytic function from Ω to $\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof: Notice that W is a sum of terms of the form (2.13), (2.14), and (2.15). We show the regularity of (2.13). Similar arguments apply for the other terms. We first recall the arguments in [KMSW], which prove the C^∞ regularity.

Using the fact that $d_x(f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j))$ does not depend on x,

$$D_x^\alpha\Big(|f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j)|^{-1}\Big) \;=\; (\tau(x_0) - \tau(y_j))^{|\alpha|}\left(D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j))$$

for $x_0 \neq y_j$. By (2.6) and (2.7), we see that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and for $x_0 \neq y_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_x^\alpha\Big(|f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j)|^{-1}\Big) &\leq C_0^{2|\alpha|}|f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j)|^{|\alpha|}\left|D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right|(f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j)) \\ &\leq C_0^{2|\alpha|}C(|\alpha|)) \cdot |f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j)|^{-1}\,, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3\,,\; \exists C(|\alpha|) > 0\,,\; \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}\,,\quad \left|D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right|(y) \;\leq\; \frac{C(|\alpha|)}{|y|^{|\alpha|+1}}\,. \tag{2.16}$$

Since $|x'|^{-1}$ is $\Delta_{x'}$-bounded by (1.2) and since $U(x_0)(x)$ is unitary, $|f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j)|^{-1}$ is $U(x_0)(x)\Delta_{x'}(U(x_0)(x))^{-1}$-bounded with the same bounds. But, by (2.9),

$$U(x_0)(x)\Delta_{x'}U(x_0)(x)^{-1}\Big(-\Delta_y \,+\, 1\Big)^{-1}$$

is uniformly bounded w.r.t. x. Thus

$$\Big\|D_x^\alpha\Big(|f(x,x_0) - f(x,y_j)|^{-1}\Big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{B}} \;\leq\; C_1 C_0^{2|\alpha|}C(|\alpha|)\,, \tag{2.17}$$

uniformly w.r.t. $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $x \in \Omega$. Therefore W is a distribution which derivatives belong to $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega)$, thus to $\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)$. By (2.1), W is smooth.

To show the analyticity of W, we just add the following (known?) improvement of (2.16), that we prove in appendix below. There exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3\,,\; \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}\,,\quad \left|D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right|(y) \;\leq\; \frac{K^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)}{|y|^{|\alpha|+1}}\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Thus the l.h.s. of (2.17) is, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $x \in \Omega$, bounded by $C_1 C_0^{2|\alpha|}K^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!) \leq K_1^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)$, for some $K_1 > 0$. This yields the result by (2.2). □

Now we come back to (1.8) and want to follow usual arguments of elliptic regularity. We shall use well-known properties of pseudodifferential operators of Hörmander class (in particular, composition and boundedness on L^2 properties, see [H2]). It is natural to apply P_1 (cf. (2.11)) to (1.8) to arrive at

$$R\varphi \,+\, P_1(W \,+\, V_0 \,-\, E)\varphi \;=\; -\varphi\,. \tag{2.19}$$

The main difference with the usual elliptic situation is that W has only a low regularity w.r.t. y. So we only expect that φ is an analytic function of x with valued in $\mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. The regularization properties of P_1 and R (cf. (2.11) and (2.12)) are not well suited to this kind of regularity. Lemma 2.2 below will help us to overcome this difficulty. Let $\mathcal{W} := \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ and $\mathcal{W}_0 := \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. Let $\mathcal{D}(P_0) = \{\theta \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}); P_0\theta \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})\}$, the domain of the operator P_0 which is actually $\mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $R(\mathrm{W}^{j,2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})) \subset C^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$. If the property*

$$\mathcal{P}(\theta, k) \;:=\; \Big(\theta \;\in\; \Big\{\tilde\theta \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathcal{W}); \partial_x^\alpha \tilde\theta \in \mathcal{D}(P_0)\,, |\alpha| \le k\Big\}\Big)$$

holds true then $(W + V_0 - E)\theta \in \mathrm{W}^{k+1,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W}_0)$ and $P_1(W + V_0 - E)\theta \in \mathrm{W}^{k+1,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$.

Proof: The first statement follows from (2.12) and the fact that $\mathrm{W}^{j+2,2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}) \subset \mathrm{W}^{j,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $\mathcal{P}(\theta, k)$ true. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}^3$ such that $|\alpha_1| = 1$ and $|\alpha_2| = k$. Recall that $W \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) and that $V_0 - E$ is smooth on Ω. The hypothesis implies that $\theta \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$. Thus, by Leibniz formula, there exists $\tilde\theta \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ such that

$$\partial_x^\alpha (W + V_0 - E)\theta \;=\; \tilde\theta \;+\; (W + V_0 - E)\langle D_y\rangle^{-1} \cdot \langle D_y\rangle \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \langle P_0\rangle^{-1} \cdot \langle P_0\rangle \partial_x^{\alpha_2}\theta\,.$$

By (1.2), $(W + V_0 - E)\langle D_y\rangle^{-1}$ is bounded on $\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. By pseudodifferential calculus, so is also $\langle D_y\rangle \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \langle P_0\rangle^{-1}$. Using again $\mathcal{P}(\theta, k)$, we see that $\partial_x^\alpha (W + V_0 - E)\theta \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. Thus $(W + V_0 - E)\theta \in \mathrm{W}^{k+1,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W}_0)$. Take $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{3(N-1)}$ with $|\beta| \le 2$. By (2.11), we can find $\tilde\theta \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}) \subset \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$ such that

$$\partial_y^\beta \partial_x^\alpha P_1 (W + V_0 - E)\theta \;=\; \partial_y^\beta \tilde\theta \;+\; \partial_y^\beta [\partial_x^\alpha, P_1] \langle D_x\rangle^{-|\alpha|} \cdot \langle D_x\rangle^{|\alpha|} (W + V_0 - E)\theta\,.$$

By pseudodifferential calculus, $\partial_y^\beta [\partial_x^\alpha, P_1] \langle D_x\rangle^{-|\alpha|}$ is bounded on $\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. This shows that $P_1(W + V_0 - E)\theta \in \mathrm{W}^{k+1,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$. □

We show by induction on k the property $\mathcal{P}(\varphi, k)$ (cf. Lemma 2.2). The initialization is true since the eigenfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P_0)$. Assume that $\mathcal{P}(\varphi,k)$ is true for some k. By Lemma 2.2, $P_1(W + V_0 - E)\theta \in \mathrm{W}^{k+1,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$ and $R\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$. Thus (2.19) implies that $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k+1,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $|\alpha| = k + 1$. Applying ∂_x^α to (1.8), we obtain

$$P_0\Big(\partial_x^\alpha \varphi\Big) \;=\; -[\partial_x^\alpha, P_0] \langle D_x\rangle^{-(k+1)} \cdot \langle D_x\rangle^{k+1} \varphi \;-\; \partial_x^\alpha (W + V_0 - E)\varphi\,.$$

By Lemma 2.2, the last term belongs to $\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. Recall (2.10). Since ∂_x^α commutes with $-\Delta_x$, $[\partial_x^\alpha, P_0]$ is a differential operator of order $k + 1 + 1 - 1 = k + 1$ w.r.t. x with differential coefficients in $\mathcal{B}$. Thus $[\partial_x^\alpha, P_0] \langle D_x\rangle^{-(k+1)}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$ to $\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega; \mathcal{W}_0)$. Using again that $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k+1,2}(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$, we conclude that $P_0(\partial_x^\alpha \varphi) \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$, that is $\partial_x^\alpha \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P_0)$. Therefore $\mathcal{P}(\varphi, k + 1)$ is true.

We have proven that $\mathcal{P}(\varphi, k)$ holds true, for all k. Thus $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$ by (2.1). We have recovered the result in [FHHS1]. Note that, to get it, we need neither the refined bounds (2.18) nor the power series mentioned above but just use the fact that the functions f, g, F, G are smooth w.r.t. x.

To show that $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega; \mathcal{W}_0)$, we shall use the proof of Theorem 7.5.1 in [H1], the equation (7.5.1) there being replaced here by (1.8). So we view the latter as $P(x; D_x)\varphi = 0$ where $P(x; D_x)$ is a differential operator with analytic differential coefficients in $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}; \mathcal{W}_0)$. We first show that, for some $C > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{W}) \, , \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3 \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq 2 \, , \\ &\|D_x^\alpha v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathcal{W}_0)} \;\leq\; C\|P(x; D_x)v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathcal{W}_0)} \,+\, C\|v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathcal{W}_0)} \, . \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

This is true if $P(x; D_x)$ is replaced by $-\Delta_x - \Delta_{x'}$. Recall (2.10). Note that, for $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$,

$$\|(-\Delta_x - \Delta_{x'})v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathcal{W}_0)} \;=\; \|P_0 U_{x_0} v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathcal{W}_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathcal{W}_0)} \;=\; \|U_{x_0} v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega;\mathcal{W}_0)} \, ,$$

since, for all $x \in \Omega$, $U_{x_0}(x)$ is unitary on $\mathcal{W}_0$ and preserves $\mathcal{W}$. This implies that (2.20) is true for $\alpha = 0$ with $P(x; D_x)$ replaced by P_0. Writing, for $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{W})$ and $|\alpha| \leq 2$, $D_x^\alpha v = D_x^\alpha P_1 \cdot P_0 v - D_x^\alpha R v$, we see, thanks to (2.11), that (2.20) holds true with $P(x; D_x)$ replaced by P_0 and for $|\alpha| \leq 2$. Since V is $(\Delta_x + \Delta_{x'})$-bounded with relative bound 0, W is P_0-bounded with relative bound 0, by the properties of U_{x_0}. Thus (2.20) holds true.

Now we follow the proof of Theorem 7.5.1 in [H1], replacing the estimate (7.5.2) in [H1] by the weaker estimate (2.20) and keeping in mind that the coefficients a^β of our operator $P(x; D_x)$ are differential operators in $\mathcal{B}$. One can check that (2.20) is sufficient to get the estimate (7.5.3) in [H1]. In the estimates (7.5.5) and (7.5.6) in [H1], we just have to remplace the absolute value of each $D_x^\alpha a^\beta$ by its norm in $\mathcal{B}$ and use Lemma 2.1. Then the arguments in [H1] gives the desired result.

A Appendix

Using the multidimensional Faà di Bruno formula (cf. [Ha] and [Fe] p. 222), we prove here the following extension of (2.18) that we used above. For $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \, , \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \, , \quad \Big(D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|} \Big)(y) \;\leq\; \frac{K^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)}{|y|^{|\alpha|+1}} \, . \tag{A.1}$$

In dimension one, it is elementary. We did not find in the literature a reference for the multidimensional case.

We see the function $|\cdot|^{-1}$ as the composition of $f : (0; +\infty) \ni t \mapsto t^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $g : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \ni y \mapsto |y|^2 \in (0; +\infty)$. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, let $n = |\alpha|$ and introduce n variables $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ such that, for $j \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$,

$$|\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_{j-1}| < \ell \leq |\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_j| \implies x_\ell = y_j \, .$$

By $x_\ell = y_j$, we mean that the variable x_ℓ is actually (a copy of) the variable y_j. Thus

$$\Big(D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|} \Big)(y) \;=\; \Big(D^\alpha (f \circ g) \Big)(y) \;=\; \frac{\partial^n (f \circ g)}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_n}(y) \, .$$

Now the multidimensional Faà di Bruno formula in [Ha] tells us that

$$\frac{\partial^n (f\circ g)}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_n}(y) \;=\; \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}_n} f^{(|\pi|)}(g(y)) \cdot \prod_{b\in\pi} \frac{\partial^{|b|} g}{(\partial x)^b}(y)\,. \tag{A.2}$$

Here $\mathcal{P}_n$ denotes the set of partitions of $\{1,\cdots,n\}$, $|\pi|$ denotes the number of subsets of $\{1,\cdots,n\}$ present in the partition π, and $|b|$ denotes the cardinal of a subset b of the partition π. For $p\in\mathbb{N}$, $f^{(p)}$ is the p-th derivative of f and, for $b\in\pi$ with $\pi\in\mathcal{P}_n$, $\partial^{|b|}g/(\partial x)^b$ is the $|b|$-th derivative of g w.r.t. the variables x_j, for $j\in b$. Since g is a quadratic polynomial, $\partial^{|b|}g/(\partial x)^b$ vanishes if $|b|>2$. Furthermore, for $|b|\leq 2$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{|b|} g}{(\partial x)^b}(y)\right| \;\leq\; 2\,|y|^{2-|b|}\,. \tag{A.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}_n$ be the set of partitions $\pi\in\mathcal{P}_n$ such that $|\pi|\leq 2$. Then

$$\mathcal{Q}_n \;=\; \bigsqcup_{p\in\{0,\cdots,E(n/2)\}} \mathcal{Q}_{n,p} \quad\text{with}\quad \mathcal{Q}_{n,p} \;=\; \Big\{\pi\in\mathcal{Q}_n;\, |\{b\in\pi;|b|=2\}|=p\Big\}\,,$$

where $E(n/2)$ denotes the integer part of $n/2$. Denoting by $C_n^p = n!\cdot(p!)^{-1}\cdot((n-p)!)^{-1}$ the binomial coefficients, the cardinal $|\mathcal{Q}_{n,p}|$ of $\mathcal{Q}_{n,p}$ is given by:

$$\frac{1}{p!}\cdot\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} C_{n-2k}^2 \;=\; \frac{n!}{((n-2p)!)\cdot(p!)}\cdot\frac{1}{2^p}\,.$$

We also note that, for $\pi\in\mathcal{Q}_{n,p}$, π contains p pairs thus $n=(|\pi|-p)+2p$ and $|\pi|=n-p$. So, we can rewrite (A.2) as

$$\frac{\partial^n (f\circ g)}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_n}(y) \;=\; \sum_{p=0}^{E(n/2)} f^{(n-p)}(g(y)) \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{Q}_{n,p}} \prod_{b\in\pi} \frac{\partial^{|b|} g}{(\partial x)^b}(y)$$

and, using (A.3), get the bound

$$\left|\left(D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(y)\right| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{E(n/2)} \left|f^{(n-p)}(g(y))\right| \cdot (2|y|)^{n-2p}\cdot 2^p\cdot|\mathcal{Q}_{n,p}|\,.$$

Since, for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and all $t>0$, $f^{(k)}(t) = (-1)^k 4^{-k}\cdot(2k)!\cdot(k!)^{-1}\cdot t^{-1/2-k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left(D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(y)\right| \;&\leq\; \sum_{p=0}^{E(n/2)} \frac{1}{2^{n-p}}\frac{(2n-2p)!}{(n-p)!}\cdot\frac{n!}{((n-2p)!)\cdot(p!)}\frac{1}{2^p}\cdot\frac{1}{|y|^{n+1}} \\ &\leq\; \frac{n!}{2^n|y|^{n+1}}\sum_{p=0}^{E(n/2)}\frac{(2n-2p)!}{((n-p)!)^2}\cdot C_{n-p}^p\,.\end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling's formula: $n!\sim e^{-n}n^n\sqrt{2\pi n}$, we can find some constant $L>0$ such that, for all $p\in\{0,\cdots,E(n/2)\}$,

$$\frac{(2n-2p)!}{((n-p)!)^2} \;\leq\; L^n \quad\text{and}\quad C_{n-p}^p \;\leq\; L^n\cdot C_n^p\,.$$

Now (A.1) follows from the bound

$$\left|\left(D^\alpha \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(y)\right| \leq \frac{L^{2n}}{2^n}\cdot\frac{n!}{|y|^{n+1}}\sum_{p=0}^{E(n/2)} C_n^p \;\leq\; \frac{L^{2n}}{2^n}\cdot\frac{n!}{|y|^{n+1}}\cdot 2^n \;=\; \frac{L^{2n}n!}{|y|^{n+1}}\,.$$

References

[Fe] H. Federer: *Geometric Measure Theory.* Springer-Verlag 1969.

[FHHS1] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *The electron density is smooth away from the nuclei.* Comm. Math. Phys. **228, no. 3** (2002), 401-415.

[FHHS2] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *Analyticity of the density of electronic wave functions.* Ark. Mat. **42, no. 1** (2004), 87-106.

[FHHS3] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *Non-isotropic cusp conditions and regularity of the electron density of molecules at the nuclei.* Ann. Henri Poincaré **8** (2007), 731-748.

[FH] R.G. Froese, I. Herbst: *Exponential bounds and absence of positive eigenvalues for N-body Schrödinger operators.* Comm. Math. Phys. **87**, 429-447 (1982).

[Ha] M. Hardy: *Combinatorics of partial derivatives.* Electro. J. of Combinatorics **13, no. 1** (2006), research paper 1.

[H1] L. Hörmander: *Linear partial differential operators.* Fourth printing Springer Verlag, 1976.

[H2] L. Hörmander: *The analysis of linear partial differential operators III.* Springer Verlag, 1985.

[H3] L. Hörmander: *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables.* Elsevier science publishers B.V., 1990.

[KMSW] M. Klein, A. Martinez, R. Seiler, X.P. Wang: *On the Born-Oppenheimer expansion for polyatomic molecules.* Comm. Math. Phys. **143, no. 3**, 607-639 (1992).

[MS] A. Martinez, V. Sordoni: *Twisted pseudodifferential calculus and application to the quantum evolution of molecules.* Preprint 2008, mp_arc 08-171, to appear in the Memoirs of the AMS.

[RS] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. II : Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness.* Academic Press, 1979.