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#### Abstract

We give a new, short proof of the regularity away from the nuclei of the electronic density of a molecule obtained in FHHS1, FHHS22. The new argument is based on the regularity properties of the Coulomb interactions underlined in KMSW and on well-known elliptic technics.
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## 1 Introduction.

For the quantum description of molecules, it is very useful to study the so-called electronic density and, in particular, its regularity properties. This has be done for molecules with fixed nuclei: see [FHHS1, FHHS2, FHHS3] for details and references. The smoothness and the analyticity of the density away from the nuclei are proved in FHHS1] and [FHHS2 respectively and it was not an easy task. In this paper, we propose an alternative proof.
Let us recall the framework and the precise results of [FHHS1, FHHS2]. We consider a molecule with $N$ moving electrons with $N \geq 2$ and $L$ fixed nuclei. While the distinct vectors $R_{1}, \cdots, R_{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ denote the positions of the nuclei, the positions of the electrons are given by $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. The charges of the nuclei are given by the positive $Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{L}$ and the electronic charge is -1 . In this picture, the Hamiltonian of the system is

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(-\Delta_{x_{j}}-\sum_{k=1}^{L} \frac{Z_{k}}{\left|x_{j}-R_{k}\right|}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<j^{\prime} \leq N} \frac{1}{\left|x_{j}-x_{j^{\prime}}\right|}  \tag{1.1}\\
& +\sum_{1 \leq k<k^{\prime} \leq L} \frac{Z_{k} Z_{k^{\prime}}}{\left|R_{k}-R_{k^{\prime}}\right|},
\end{align*}
$$

where $-\Delta_{x_{j}}$ stands for the Laplacian in the variable $x_{j}$. We denote the last term in (1.1) by $E_{0}$. Setting $\Delta:=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_{j}}$, the potential $V$ of the system is the multiplication operator defined by $H=-\Delta+V$. Since the $V$ is $\Delta$-bounded with relative bound 0 by Hardy inequality, $H$ is self-adjoint on the domain of the Laplacian $\Delta$, namely $\mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3 N}\right)$ (see Kato's theorem in $\mathbb{R S S}$, p. 166-167). Let $\psi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3 N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ and $E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $H \psi=E \psi$. Actually $E$ is smaller than $E_{0}$ by $F H$. The electronic density associated to $\psi$ is the following $\mathrm{L}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$-function

$$
\rho(x):=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}}\left|\psi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{j-1}, x, x_{j}, \cdots, x_{N}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{j-1} d x_{j} \cdots d x_{N} .
$$

Here we used $N \geq 2$. The regularity result is the following
Theorem 1.1. FHHS1, FHHS2]. The density $\rho$ is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left\{R_{1}, \cdots, R_{L}\right\}$.
Remark 1.2. In FHHS1], it is proved that $\rho$ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left\{R_{1}, \cdots, R_{L}\right\}$. This result is then used in FHHSD to derive the analyticity.

Now let us sketch the new proof of Theorem 1.1, the complete proof is given in Section 2 . We consider the almost everywhere defined $\mathrm{W}^{2,2}$-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\psi}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \ni x \mapsto \psi(x, \cdot, \cdots, \cdot) \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)$-norm. It suffices to show that the map $\mathbb{R}^{3} \ni x \mapsto$ $\|\tilde{\psi}(x)\|^{2}$ belongs to $C^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left\{R_{1}, \cdots, R_{L}\right\} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$, the space of real analytic functions on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left\{R_{1}, \cdots, R_{L}\right\}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$. We define the potentials $V_{0}, V_{1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{0}+V_{1} \quad \text { with } \quad V_{0}(x)=E_{0}-\sum_{k=1}^{L} \frac{Z_{k}}{\left|x-R_{k}\right|} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We view the function $\tilde{\psi}$ as a distributional solution in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} ; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{x} \tilde{\psi}+Q(x) \tilde{\psi}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $x$-dependent operator $Q(x) \in \mathcal{B}:=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right) ; \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=-\Delta_{x^{\prime}}+V_{0}-E+V_{1} \quad \text { with } \quad \Delta_{x^{\prime}}=\sum_{j=2}^{N} \Delta_{x_{j}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering (1.4) in a small enough neighbourhood $\Omega$ of some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left\{R_{1}, \cdots, R_{L}\right\}$, we pick from KMSW a $x$-dependent unitary operator $U_{x_{0}}(x)$ on $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)$ such that the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
W: \Omega \ni x \mapsto U_{x_{0}}(x) V_{1}(x) U_{x_{0}}(x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $C^{\omega}(\Omega ; \mathcal{B})$. It turns out that $P_{0}=U_{x_{0}}\left(-\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{x^{\prime}}\right) U_{x_{0}}^{-1}$ is a differential operator in $x$ with analytic, differential coefficients in $\mathcal{B}$, and, as differential operator in all variables, it is elliptic. Applying $U_{x_{0}}$ to (1.4) and setting $\varphi=U_{x_{0}} \tilde{\psi}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{0}+W(x)+V_{0}(x)-E\right) \varphi=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $U_{x_{0}}(x)$ is unitary on $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right),\|\tilde{\psi}(x)\|=\|\varphi(x)\|$. Thus, it suffices to show that $\varphi \in C^{\omega}\left(\Omega ; \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right)$. Using a parametrix of $P_{0}$, we show by induction that, for all $k$, $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k, 2}\left(\Omega ; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right)$. Thus $\varphi \in C^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right)$. Finally we check that we can follow the arguments in H1] p. 178-180 to get $\varphi \in C^{\omega}\left(\Omega ; \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right)$.
The main idea in the construction of the unitary operator $U_{x_{0}}$ is to change, locally in $x$, the variables $x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N}$ in a $x$-dependent way such that the $x$-dependent singularities $1 /\left|x-x_{j}\right|$ becomes locally $x$-independent (see Section 2). In KMSW, where this clever method was introduced, the nuclei positions play the role of the $x$ variable and the $x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N}$ are the electronic degrees of freedom. The validity of the Born-Oppenheimer approximation is proved there for the computation of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the molecule. We point out that this method is the core of a recently introduced, semiclassical pseudodifferential calculus adapted to the treatment of Coulomb singularities in molecular systems, namely the twisted $h$-pseudodifferential calculus ( $h$ being the semiclassical parameter). This calculus is due to A. Martinez and V. Sordoni in MS.

As one can see in KMSW, MS, the above method works in a larger framework. So do Theorem 1.1 and our proof. For instance, we do not need the positivity of the charges $Z_{k}$, the fact that $E<E_{0}$, and the precise form of the Coulomb interaction. We do not use the self-adjointness (or the symmetry) of the operator $H$. We could replace in (1.1) each $-\Delta_{x_{j}}$ by $\left|i \nabla_{x_{j}}+A(x)\right|^{2}$, where $A$ is a suitable, analytic, magnetic vector potential. We could also add a suitable, analytic exterior potential.
Let us now compare our proof with the one in FHHS1, FHHS2]. Here we only use that, as function of all variables, the eigenfunction $\psi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3 N}\right)$. In FHHS1, FHHS2], the authors used much more precise and involved regularity results on $\psi$ and also its strong decay at infinity. The shortness and the relative simplicity of the new proof is due to the clever method borrowed from KMSW, which transforms the singular potential $V_{1}$ in an analytic function with values in $\mathcal{B}$.

Acknowledgment: The author is supported by the french ANR grant "NONAa" and by the european GDR "DYNQUA". He thanks Vladimir Georgescu, Sylvain Golénia, HansHenrik Rugh, and Mathieu Lewin, for stimulating discussions.

## 2 Details of the proof.

This section is devoted to the completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1, sketched in Section [1. We first introduce some notation and recall some well-known, basic facts.
For a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \ni(x, y) \mapsto f(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, we denote by $d_{x} f$ the total derivative of $f$ w.r.t. $x$, by $\partial_{x}^{\alpha} f$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ the corresponding partial derivatives, and we set $D_{x}^{\alpha}=$ $-i \partial_{x}^{\alpha}$ and $D_{x}=-i \nabla_{x}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, x^{\alpha}:=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{d}^{\alpha_{d}},|\alpha|:=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{d}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach space and $O$ an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we denote by $C_{c}^{\infty}(O ; \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $C_{b}^{\infty}(O ; \mathcal{A})$, resp. $\left.C^{\omega}(O ; \mathcal{A})\right)$ the space of functions from $O$ to $\mathcal{A}$ which are smooth with compact support (resp. smooth with bounded derivatives, resp. analytic). We use the traditional notation $W^{k, 2}(O ; \mathcal{A})$ for the Sobolev spaces of $\mathrm{L}^{2}(O ; \mathcal{A})$-functions with $k$ derivatives in $\mathrm{L}^{2}(O ; \mathcal{A}), k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is another Banach space, we denote by $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{A} ; \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ the space of the continuous linear maps from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and set $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A} ; \mathcal{A})$. For $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}), A^{\mathrm{T}}$ denotes the transpose of $A$ and $\operatorname{Det} A$ its determinant. By the Sobolev injections,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\infty}(O ; \mathcal{A})=\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} W^{k, 2}(O ; \mathcal{A}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known (cf. [H3]) that a function $u \in C^{\infty}(O ; \mathcal{A})$ is analytic if and only if, for any compact $K \subset O$, one can find some constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, \quad \sup _{x \in K}\left\|\left(D_{x}^{\alpha} u\right)(x)\right\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} \cdot(\alpha!) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us construct $U_{x_{0}}$ (see KMSW, MS]). Let $\tau \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\tau\left(x_{0}\right)=1$ and, for all $k \in\{1 ; \cdots ; L\}, \tau=0$ near $R_{k}$. For $x, s \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we set $f(x, s)=s+\tau(s)\left(x-x_{0}\right)$. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(x ; s) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{2}, f\left(x, x_{0}\right)=x \text { and } f(x, s)=s \text { if } s \notin \operatorname{supp} \tau \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(d_{s} f\right)(x, s) . s^{\prime}=s^{\prime}+\left\langle\nabla \tau(s), s^{\prime}\right\rangle\left(x-x_{0}\right)$, we can choose a small enough, relatively compact neighborhood $\Omega$ of $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \Omega, \quad \sup _{s}\left\|\left(d_{s} f\right)(x, s)-I_{3}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq 1 / 2 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I_{3}$ being the identity matrix of $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Thus, for $x \in \Omega, f(x, \cdot)$ is a $C^{\infty}$-diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and we denote by $g(x, \cdot)$ its inverse. By (2.4) and a Neumann expansion in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, we see that, for $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$,

$$
\left(\left(d_{s} f\right)(x, s)\right)^{-1}=I_{3}+\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(-\left\langle\nabla \tau(s),\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right\rangle\right)^{n-1}\right)\langle\nabla \tau(s), \cdot\rangle\left(x-x_{0}\right) .
$$

Notice that the power serie converges uniformly w.r.t. $s$. This is still true for the serie of the derivatives $\partial_{s}^{\beta}$, for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$. Since
$\left(d_{s} g\right)(x, f(x, s))=\left(\left(d_{s} f\right)(x, s)\right)^{-1}$ and $\left(d_{x} g\right)(x, f(x, s))=-\left(d_{s} g\right)(x, f(x, s)) \cdot\left(d_{x} f\right)(x, s)$,
we see by induction that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{s}^{\beta} g\right)(x, f(x, s))=\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{3}}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma} a_{\alpha \beta \gamma}(s) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$, with coefficients $a_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$. For $\alpha=\beta=0$, this follows from $g(x, f(x, s))=s$. Notice that, except for $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=(0,0,0)$ and for $|\beta|=1$ with $(\alpha, \gamma)=$ $(0,0)$, the coefficients $a_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ are supported in the compact support of $\tau$.
For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $y=\left(y_{2}, \cdots, y_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, let $F(x, y)=\left(f\left(x, y_{2}\right), \cdots, f\left(x, y_{N}\right)\right)$. For $x \in \Omega, F(x, \cdot)$ is a $C^{\infty}$-diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$ satisfying the following properties: There exists $C_{0}>0$ such that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$, for all $x \in \Omega$, for all $y, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
C_{0}^{-1}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|F(x, y)-F\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C_{0}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|, \\
\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} F(x, y)-\partial_{x}^{\alpha} F\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C_{0}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|, \\
\text { and, for }|\alpha| \geq 1,\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} F(x, y)\right| \leq C_{0} . \tag{2.8}
\end{array}
$$

For $x \in \Omega$, denote by $G(x, \cdot)$ the inverse diffeomorphism of $F(x, \cdot)$. By (2.5), the functions

$$
\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \ni(x, y) \mapsto\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} G\right)(x, F(x, y)),
$$

for $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{3} \times \mathbb{N}^{3(N-1)}$, are also given by a power serie in $x$ with smooth coefficients in $y$. Given $x \in \Omega$, let $U_{x_{0}}(x)$ be the unitary operator on $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)$ defined by

$$
\left(U_{x_{0}}(x) \theta\right)(y)=\left|\operatorname{Det}\left(d_{y} F\right)(x, y)\right|^{1 / 2} \theta(F(x, y)) .
$$

The conjugated terms in (1.7) has been computed in KMSW, MS. Consider the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega \ni x \mapsto J_{1}(x, \cdot) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right), \\
& \Omega \ni x \mapsto J_{2}(x, \cdot) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \\
& \Omega \ni x \mapsto J_{3}(x, \cdot) \in C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right), \\
& \Omega \ni x \mapsto J_{4}(x, \cdot) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} ; \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}(x, y)=\left(d_{x} G\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(x, y^{\prime}=F(x, y)\right), \\
& J_{2}(x, y)=\left.\left|\operatorname{Det} d_{y} F(x, y)\right|^{1 / 2} D_{x}\left(\left|\operatorname{Det} d_{y^{\prime}} G\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=F(x, y)}, \\
& J_{3}(x, y)=\left(d_{y^{\prime}} G\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(x, y^{\prime}=F(x, y)\right), \\
& J_{4}(x, y)=\left.\left|\operatorname{Det} d_{y} F(x, y)\right|^{1 / 2} D_{y^{\prime}}\left(\left|\operatorname{Det} d_{y^{\prime}} G\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=F(x, y)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Actually, the support of $J_{k}(x, \cdot)$, for $k \neq 3$, is contained in the $x$-independent, compact support of the function $\tau$ (cf. (2.3)). So do also the supports of the derivatives $\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} J_{3}$ of $J_{3}$, for $|\alpha|+|\beta|>0$. Thanks to (2.5), the $J_{k}(\cdot, y)$ 's can also be written as a power serie in $x$ with smooth coefficients depending on $y$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{x_{0}} \nabla_{x} U_{x_{0}}^{-1}=\nabla_{x}+J_{1} \nabla_{y}+J_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad U_{x_{0}} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} U_{x_{0}}^{-1}=J_{3} \nabla_{y}+J_{4} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $U_{x_{0}}(x)$ preserves $\mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)$, for all $x \in \Omega$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=U_{x_{0}}\left(-\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{x^{\prime}}\right) U_{x_{0}}^{-1}=-\Delta_{x}+\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x ; y ; D_{y}\right) \cdot D_{x}+\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(x ; y ; D_{y}\right), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(x ; y ; D_{y}\right)$ is a scalar differential operator of order 2 and $\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x ; y ; D_{y}\right)$ is a colonn vector of 3 scalar differential operators of order 1. More precisely, the coefficients of $\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x ; y ; D_{y}\right)$ and of $\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(x ; y ; D_{y}\right)+\Delta_{y}$ are compactly supported, uniformly w.r.t. $x$. In particular, these scalar differential operators belong to $\mathcal{B}$. By (2.5), they are given on $\Omega$ by a power serie of $x$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{B}$ and therefore are analytic functions on $\Omega$ with values in $\mathcal{B}$ (cf. H3]). Furthermore, one can check that (2.10) is an elliptic operator as differential operator in all variables, in the sense that its symbol $p_{0}(x ; \xi ; y ; \eta)$ does not vanish for $(\xi ; \eta) \neq(0 ; 0)$. In fact, $p_{0}$ belongs to the Hörmander class $S(m, g)$ on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, where

$$
m(x, y ; \xi, \eta)=|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}+1 \quad \text { and } \quad g=d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d \xi^{2} /\langle\xi\rangle^{2}+d \eta^{2} /\langle\eta\rangle^{2}
$$

Thus (see H2 for instance) we can find two pseudodifferential operators $P_{1}$ and $R$ with symbols $p_{1}, r \in S\left(m^{-1}, g\right)$ such that $P_{1} P_{0}=I+R$ ( $I$ being the identity operator) and, for all $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}, R \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{k, 2}\left(\Omega ; \mathrm{W}^{\ell, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right) ; \mathrm{W}^{k+2,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathrm{W}^{\ell+2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)\right)\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we look at $W$ defined in (1.6). For $j, j^{\prime} \in\{2 ; \cdots ; N\}$ with $j \neq j^{\prime}$, for $k \in\{1 ; \cdots ; L\}$, for $x \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{x_{0}}(x)\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|^{-1}\right) U_{x_{0}}^{-1}(x) & =\left|f\left(x ; x_{0}\right)-f\left(x ; y_{j}\right)\right|^{-1},  \tag{2.12}\\
U_{x_{0}}(x)\left(\left|x_{j}-R_{k}\right|^{-1}\right) U_{x_{0}}^{-1}(x) & =\left|f\left(x ; y_{j}\right)-f\left(x ; R_{k}\right)\right|^{-1},  \tag{2.13}\\
U_{x_{0}}(x)\left(\left|x_{j}-x_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{-1}\right) U_{x_{0}}^{-1}(x) & =\left|f\left(x ; y_{j}\right)-f\left(x ; y_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right|^{-1} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. The potential $W$ in (1.6) is an analytic function from $\Omega$ to $\mathcal{B}$.
Proof: We follow the arguments in [KMSW] where the $C^{\infty}$ regularity of $W$ is already proved. We just add the following (known?) fact, that we prove in appendix below, to show the analyticity. There exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}, \quad\left|D^{\alpha} \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right|(y) \leq \frac{K^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)}{|y|^{|\alpha|+1}} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $W$ is a sum of terms of the form (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14). We show the regularity of (2.12). Similar arguments apply for the other terms.

Using the fact that $d_{x}\left(f\left(x, x_{0}\right)-f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right)$ does not depend on $x$,

$$
D_{x}^{\alpha}\left(\left|f\left(x, x_{0}\right)-f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right|^{-1}\right)=\left(\tau\left(x_{0}\right)-\tau\left(y_{j}\right)\right)^{|\alpha|}\left(D^{\alpha} \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)\left(f\left(x, x_{0}\right)-f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right)
$$

as distribution. By (2.6) and (2.7), we see that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{x}^{\alpha}\left(\left|f\left(x, x_{0}\right)-f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right|^{-1}\right) & \leq C_{0}^{2|\alpha|}\left|f\left(x, x_{0}\right)-f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right|^{|\alpha|}\left|D^{\alpha} \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right|\left(f\left(x, x_{0}\right)-f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \leq C_{0}^{2|\alpha|} K^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!) \cdot\left|f\left(x, x_{0}\right)-f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right|^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

thanks to (2.15). Since $\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{-1}$ is $\Delta_{x^{\prime}}$-bounded and since $U\left(x_{0}\right)(x)$ is unitary, $\mid f\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ $\left.f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right|^{-1}$ is $U\left(x_{0}\right)(x) \Delta_{x^{\prime}}\left(U\left(x_{0}\right)(x)\right)^{-1}$-bounded with the same bounds. But, by (2.9),

$$
U\left(x_{0}\right)(x) \Delta_{x^{\prime}} U\left(x_{0}\right)(x)^{-1}\left(-\Delta_{y}+1\right)^{-1}
$$

is uniformly bounded w.r.t. $x$. Thus

$$
\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha}\left(\left|f\left(x, x_{0}\right)-f\left(x, y_{j}\right)\right|^{-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C_{1} C_{0}^{2|\alpha|} K^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!) \leq K_{1}^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)
$$

uniformly w.r.t. $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$ and $x \in \Omega$. This yields the result by (2.2).

Now we come back to (1.7). Let $\mathcal{W}:=\mathrm{W}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{0}:=\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\right)$. By (2.9) and the properties of the functions $J$ 's, $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$ since $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$ (cf. (1.2)). Applying $P_{1}$ to (1.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \varphi+P_{1} W \varphi+P_{1}\left(V_{0}-E\right) \varphi=-\varphi \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, $W \varphi \in \mathrm{~W}^{2,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)$. By (2.11), $P_{1} W \varphi \in \mathrm{~W}^{4,2}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$. Using again (2.11) and the smoothness of $V_{0}$ on $\Omega$, we derive from (2.16) that $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{4,2}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$. Inserting this information in (2.16) again, we get in the same way that $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{6,2}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$. By induction, we show that $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k, 2}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$ by (2.1). We have recovered the result in FHHS1]. Note that, to get it, we do not need the power series mentioned above but just use the fact that the functions $f, g, F, G$ are smooth w.r.t. $x$.
To show that $\varphi \in C^{\omega}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)$, we shall use the proof of Theorem 7.5.1 in [H1], the equation (7.5.1) there being replaced here by (1.7). So we view the latter as $P\left(x ; D_{x}\right) \varphi=0$ where $P\left(x ; D_{x}\right)$ is a differential operator with analytic differential coefficients in $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{W} ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)$. We first show that, for some $C>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall v \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3} \text { with }|\alpha| \leq 2 \\
& \left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} v\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|P\left(x ; D_{x}\right) v\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)}+C\|v\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

This is true if $P\left(x ; D_{x}\right)$ is replaced by $-\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{x^{\prime}}$. Recall (2.10). Note that, for $v \in$ $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$,

$$
\left\|\left(-\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{x^{\prime}}\right) v\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)}=\left\|P_{0} U_{x_{0}} v\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad\|v\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)}=\left\|U_{x_{0}} v\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)},
$$

since, for all $x \in \Omega, U_{x_{0}}(x)$ is unitary on $\mathcal{W}_{0}$ and preserves $\mathcal{W}$. This implies that (2.17) is true for $\alpha=0$ with $P\left(x ; D_{x}\right)$ replaced by $P_{0}$. Writing, for $v \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega ; \mathcal{W})$ and $|\alpha| \leq 2$, $D_{x}^{\alpha} v=D_{x}^{\alpha} P_{1} \cdot P_{0} v-D_{x}^{\alpha} R v$, we see, thanks to (2.11), that (2.17) holds true with $P\left(x ; D_{x}\right)$ replaced by $P_{0}$ and for $|\alpha| \leq 2$. Since $V$ is $\left(\Delta_{x}+\Delta_{x^{\prime}}\right)$-bounded with relative bound $0, W$ is $P_{0}$-bounded with relative bound 0 , by the properties of $U_{x_{0}}$. Thus (2.17) holds true.
Now we follow the proof of Theorem 7.5.1 in [H] , replacing the estimate (7.5.2) in [H]] by the weaker estimate (2.17) and keeping in mind that the coefficients $a^{\beta}$ of our operator $P\left(x ; D_{x}\right)$ are differential operators in $\mathcal{B}$. One can check that (2.17) is sufficient to get the estimate (7.5.3) in [H1]. In the estimates (7.5.5) and (7.5.6) in [H1], we just have to remplace the absolute value of each $D_{x}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}$ by its norm in $\mathcal{B}$ and use Lemma 2.1. Then the arguments in [H1] gives the desired result.

## A Appendix

Using the multidimensional Faà di Bruno formula (cf. Ha] and [F] p. 222), we prove here the following estimate that generalizes (2.15) we used above. For $d \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}, \quad\left(D^{\alpha} \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(y) \leq \frac{K^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)}{|y|^{|\alpha|+1}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In dimension one, it is elementary. We did not find in the litterature a reference for the multidimensional case.
We see the function $|\cdot|^{-1}$ as the composition of $f:(0 ;+\infty) \ni t \mapsto t^{1 / 2} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\} \ni y \mapsto|y|^{2} \in(0 ;+\infty)$. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$, let $n=|\alpha|$ and introduce $n$ variables $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ such that, for $j \in\{1, \cdots, d\}$,

$$
\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|\alpha_{j-1}\right|<\ell \leq\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \Longrightarrow x_{\ell}=y_{j} .
$$

By $x_{\ell}=y_{j}$, we mean that the variable $x_{\ell}$ is actually (a copy of) the variable $y_{j}$. Thus

$$
\left(D^{\alpha} \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(y)=\left(D^{\alpha}(f \circ g)\right)(y)=\frac{\partial^{n}(f \circ g)}{\partial x_{1} \cdots \partial x_{n}}(y) .
$$

Now the multidimensional Faà di Bruno formula in Ha tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{n}(f \circ g)}{\partial x_{1} \cdots \partial x_{n}}(y)=\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} f^{(||\pi|)}(g(y)) \cdot \prod_{b \in \pi} \frac{\partial^{|b|} g}{(\partial x)^{b}}(y) . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ denotes the set of partitions of $\{1, \cdots, n\},|\pi|$ denotes the number of subsets of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ present in the partition $\pi$, and $|b|$ denotes the cardinal of a subset $b$ of the partition $\pi$. For $p \in \mathbb{N}, f^{(p)}$ is the $p$-th derivative of $f$ and, for $b \in \pi$ with $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$, $\partial^{|b|} g /(\partial x)^{b}$ is the $|b|$-th derivative of $g$ w.r.t. the variables $x_{j}$, for $j \in b$. Since $g$ is a quadratic polynomial, we note that $\partial^{|b|} g /(\partial x)^{b}$ vanishes if $|b|>2$. Furthermore, for $|b| \leq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{|b|} g}{(\partial x)^{b}}(y)\right| \leq 2|y|^{2-|b|} . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}_{n}$ be the set of partitions $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that $|\pi| \leq 2$. Then

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{n}=\bigsqcup_{p \in\{0, \cdots, E(n / 2)\}} \mathcal{Q}_{n, p} \quad \text { with } \quad \mathcal{Q}_{n, p}=\left\{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_{n} ;|\{b \in \pi ;|b|=2\}|=p\right\}
$$

where $E(n / 2)$ denotes the integer part of $n / 2$. Denoting by

$$
C_{n}^{p}=\frac{n!}{(p!) \cdot((n-p)!)}
$$

the binomial coefficients, the cardinal $\left|\mathcal{Q}_{n, p}\right|$ of $\mathcal{Q}_{n, p}$ is given by:

$$
\frac{1}{p!} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} C_{n-2 k}^{2}=\frac{n!}{((n-2 p)!) \cdot(p!)} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p}}
$$

We also note that, for $\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_{n, p}, \pi$ contains $p$ pairs thus $n=(|\pi|-p)+2 p$ and $|\pi|=n-p$. So, we can rewrite (A.2) as

$$
\frac{\partial^{n}(f \circ g)}{\partial x_{1} \cdots \partial x_{n}}(y)=\sum_{p=0}^{E(n / 2)} f^{(n-p)}(g(y)) \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_{n, p}} \prod_{b \in \pi} \frac{\partial^{|b|} g}{(\partial x)^{b}}(y)
$$

and, using (A.3), get the bound

$$
\left|\left(D^{\alpha} \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(y)\right| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{E(n / 2)}\left|f^{(n-p)}(g(y))\right| \cdot(2|y|)^{n-2 p} \cdot 2^{p} \cdot\left|\mathcal{Q}_{n, p}\right|
$$

Since, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{(k)}(t)=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{4^{k}} \cdot \frac{(2 k)!}{k!} \cdot t^{-1 / 2-k} \\
&\left|\left(D^{\alpha} \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(y)\right| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{E(n / 2)} \frac{1}{2^{n-p}} \frac{(2 n-2 p)!}{(n-p)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{((n-2 p)!) \cdot(p!)} \frac{1}{2^{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{|y|^{n+1}} \\
& \leq \frac{n!}{2^{n}|y|^{n+1}} \sum_{p=0}^{E(n / 2)} \frac{(2 n-2 p)!}{((n-p)!)^{2}} \cdot C_{n-p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Stirling formula: $n!\sim e^{-n} n^{n} \sqrt{2 \pi n}$, we can find some constant $L>0$ such that, for all $p \in\{0, \cdots, E(n / 2)\}$,

$$
\frac{(2 n-2 p)!}{((n-p)!)^{2}} \leq L^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{n-p}^{p} \leq L^{n} \cdot C_{n}^{p}
$$

This yields

$$
\left|\left(D^{\alpha} \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right)(y)\right| \leq \frac{L^{2 n}}{2^{n}} \cdot \frac{n!}{|y|^{n+1}} \sum_{p=0}^{E(n / 2)} C_{n}^{p} \leq \frac{L^{2 n}}{2^{n}} \cdot \frac{n!}{|y|^{n+1}} \cdot 2^{n}=\frac{L^{2 n} n!}{|y|^{n+1}},
$$

which gives (A.1).
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