



HAL
open science

Tautomerism of uracil probed via IR spectroscopy of singly hydrated uracil.

Joost M. Bakker, Thierry Besson, Maurizio Brugnara, Paolo Tosi, Jean-Yves Salpin, Philippe Maître

► **To cite this version:**

Joost M. Bakker, Thierry Besson, Maurizio Brugnara, Paolo Tosi, Jean-Yves Salpin, et al.. Tautomerism of uracil probed via IR spectroscopy of singly hydrated uracil.. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 2008, 112 (48), pp.12393-12400. 10.1021/jp806396t . hal-00368127

HAL Id: hal-00368127

<https://hal.science/hal-00368127>

Submitted on 4 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Tautomerism of uracil probed via IR spectroscopy of singly hydrated uracil

Joost M. Bakker,¹ Maurizio Brugnara,² Thierry Besson,² Jean-Yves Salpin,³ and Philippe Maître^{,2}*

Laboratoire de Chimie Physique, Université Paris-Sud 11, UMR8000 CNRS,

Faculty of Sciences, Bâtiment 350, 91405 Orsay, FRANCE

FOM Institute for Plasma Physics Rijnhuizen, Edisonbaan 14, 3439 MN Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

Laboratoire d'Analyse et de Modélisation pour la Biologie et l'Environnement (LAMBE) Université
d'Evry Val d'Essonne – CNRS – Bâtiment Maupertuis – Boulevard François Mitterrand – 91025 EVRY;

FRANCE

**RECEIVED DATE (to be automatically inserted after your manuscript is accepted if required
according to the journal that you are submitting your paper to)**

¹ FOM institute for Plasma Physics “Rijnhuizen”, Nieuwegein.

² Laboratoire de Chimie Physique, Orsay.

³ Laboratoire d'Analyse et de Modélisation pour la biologie et l'environnement, Evry.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: philippe.maitre@u-psud.fr. Tel. : +33-1-69-15-74-63. Fax : +33-1-69-15-61-88.

ABSTRACT. Tautomerism of the nucleobase uracil is characterized in the gas phase through IR photodissociation spectroscopy of singly hydrated protonated uracil created with tandem-mass spectrometric methods in a commercially available Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance mass spectrometer. Protonated uracil ions generated by electrospray ionization are re-solvated in a low-pressure collision cell filled with water vapor seeded argon. Their structure is investigated by IR photodissociation spectroscopy in the NH and OH stretching region (2500-3800 cm^{-1}) using a tabletop IR laser source, and in the 1000-2000 cm^{-1} range with a Free-Electron Laser. In both regions the IR photodissociation spectrum exhibits well-resolved spectral signatures that point to the presence of two different types of structure for mono-hydrated protonated uracil, which result from the two lowest-energy tautomers of uracil. *Ab initio* calculations confirm that no water-catalyzed tautomerization occurs during the re-solvation process, indicating that the two protonated forms of uracil are directly originating from the electrospray process.

KEYWORDS. Mass Spectrometry; Infrared Spectroscopy; Multiple Photon Dissociation; Pyrimidic bases; Gas-Phase ions, photodissociation, protonated nucleobases, electrospray ionization.

1. Introduction

Among the molecular bricks of the living world, DNA bases play a key role by establishing non covalent hydrogen bonds responsible for the encoding and the expression of the genetic information. In this respect, the rich tautomerism of these DNA bases is of fundamental importance. Uracil, for instance, is found in its canonical structure, a dioxo tautomer, in the Watson-Crick **base-pair** structure, where it binds to the adenine nucleobase.¹ Alternative tautomeric forms of uracil, however, can be formed upon proton transfer reactions. These different tautomers may be implicated in point mutations, which develop in the nucleic acid replication.^{2,3} **Acido-basic** properties of the nucleobases are of fundamental importance for the strength of inter-nucleic acid hydrogen bonds, which play a role in stabilization of triplex structures⁴ and in mutagenic processes.⁵

To obtain information on the intrinsic properties such as tautomerism of these biologically important building blocks, it is of particular interest to study molecules isolated from their environment. Following the advent of soft ionization methods such as electrospray,⁶ and matrix-assisted laser desorption,⁷ that allow for facile formation of large ions in the gas phase, the thermochemistry of gas-phase ions derived from biologically important molecules has been studied extensively by tandem mass spectrometry (MS). Although several MS and theoretical^{8-10,11} studies have characterized the gas-phase basicity and proton affinity of the nucleobases, gas-phase structural information is rather scarce. It is therefore clear that direct information on the protonation sites of nucleic bases and on the presence of tautomers in the gas-phase is of fundamental importance.

A powerful method to obtain such direct structural information on molecular ions is the combination of tandem mass-spectrometry with structure specific activation techniques. Especially resonant Infrared (IR) photodissociation spectroscopy allows for a direct probe of the structure of the species under study. The use of Free-Electron Lasers (FELs) as a source of intense, tunable IR radiation has made it possible to perform IR Multiple Photon Dissociation (IR-MPD) spectroscopy of gas-phase molecular ions over a wide spectral range in the mid-IR. The combination of powerful tandem mass spectrometers with FELs has opened up the possibility of multi-step tandem mass spectrometry with resonant IR-MPD as a highly

structure sensitive activation technique. Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance (FT-ICR) mass spectrometers, in particular, have been coupled to the CLIO¹²⁻¹⁸ and FELIX¹⁹⁻²⁴ FELs, and a large variety of molecular ions has been characterized by IR-MPD spectroscopy.

In a previous study, we reported the mid-IR spectra of the protonated nucleobases cytosine, thymine and uracil,²⁵ formed through electrospray ionization (ESI), recorded with the FEL based at CLIO in Orsay (France). The case of uracil is interesting since each of its tautomers provides several potential protonation sites leading to a multitude of isomeric ions. It was found that the IR-MPD spectrum of protonated uracil in the 1000-1700 cm⁻¹ frequency range is in excellent agreement with the calculated IR absorption spectrum of the protonated keto-enol tautomer, which is the lowest energy structure of the system.¹¹ However, a clear IR-MPD band observed at 1800 cm⁻¹ could not be interpreted considering only the protonated keto-enol tautomer. An IR-MPD band in this frequency range is typically the signature of a CO stretching vibration and it was proposed that this could be due to the presence of a protonated di-keto tautomer. The proposition of co-existence of two tautomers under our experimental conditions was further strengthened by quantum chemical calculations which predict the two tautomeric forms to be energetically close.²⁵ Although the evidence is rather convincing, additional spectroscopic information in the range between 2000-4000 cm⁻¹ could have re-enforced the present interpretation. In this spectral range, the highly characteristic NH and OH stretching vibrations are found, which form a direct evidence for the presence of protonated canonical dioxo and enolic tautomers. Unfortunately, this spectral range is inaccessible with the CLIO FEL. An alternative source of IR radiation, a table-top optical parametric oscillator/amplifier (OPO/OPA) combination, does cover the spectral range, but the light produced is not sufficiently intense to induce fragmentation in strongly bound ionic systems.

To circumvent this problem, we here present IR spectra of the mono-hydrated protonated uracil. The relative weakness of the protonated uracil-water bond allows for an efficient IR induced photodissociation. At the same time, it will be shown that the stepwise addition of water molecules to bare uracil ions formed under ESI conditions does not influence their tautomeric form, as this change requires an interconversion with a rather high internal energy barrier.

To achieve this, ESI conditions are optimized to produce bare protonated uracil. The uracil ions are then complexed with water molecules in a collision cell containing low pressure argon seeded with water vapor. The IR spectrum of mono-hydrated protonated uracil is recorded with the CLIO FEL in the mid-IR spectral range and with the OPO/OPA laser system in the 2500-4000 cm^{-1} spectral range. Both experiments are performed under the same experimental conditions in a 7 tesla FT-ICR mass spectrometer.¹⁸ The analysis of the IR-MPD spectrum indeed suggests that two classes of structures are involved. A comparison with calculated IR absorption spectra of structural isomers of the ions suggests that the spectra recorded correspond to those of monohydrated protonated di-keto and keto-enol tautomers of uracil.

2. Experimental and computational details.

IR-MPD spectroscopy of hydrated protonated uracil ions is performed employing a 7 T FT-ICR mass spectrometer (Bruker, Apex Qe) into which IR light produced by one of two IR lasers is coupled. As the detailed layout of this experimental apparatus is described elsewhere,¹⁸ only a brief overview is given here.

Protonated uracil ions are prepared in an ESI source by introducing a 10^{-4} M aqueous solution of the nucleobase prepared in pure water (purified with a Milli-Q water purification system) and introduced in the source using direct infusion with a syringe pump. Critical ESI conditions used are a flow rate of 3 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$, a spray voltage of 3500 V and a capillary temperature of 200°C.

The most important feature of the mass spectrometer for the present study is its quadrupole-hexapole interface between the electrospray source and the ICR cell. The bias voltage and RF amplitude of the quadrupole are adjusted to selectively transmit protonated uracil. Mass-selected ions are then trapped in a ~ 5 cm long hexapole ion trap contained within a collision cell where ions normally are collisionally cooled using a flow of high-purity argon buffer gas. In the present study, water vapor is seeded in the argon gas flow. Ions are typically stored in the ion trap over periods of ~ 50 ms, leading to solvation of some $\sim 60\%$ of the protonated uracil ions. Ions are then pulse-extracted towards the ICR cell where mass-

selection of mono-solvated protonated uracil is performed. They are then irradiated with IR light, after which the resulting ions are mass-analyzed.

If the IR light is in resonance with an IR active vibrational mode of molecular ions stored in the ICR cell, IR photons can be absorbed by the ions and the sequential absorption of several IR photons can lead to fragmentation of the mass-selected ions. This photofragmentation, which is the result of a multiple photon absorption process, is often termed Infrared Multiple Photon Dissociation (IR-MPD). By monitoring the number of detected ions in the parent mass channel and that in the fragment mass channels while varying the frequency of the IR light an IR-MPD spectrum is obtained. For each wavelength, the mass spectrum is the Fourier transform of a time-domain transient averaged 5 times.

For the current study, two sources of IR light are used. The 2500-4000 cm^{-1} wavenumber range is explored using an IR optical parametric oscillator/amplifier (OPO/OPA) system (LaserVision), pumped by a 25 Hz Nd:YAG laser (Innolas Spitlight 600, 550 mJ per pulse, 1064 nm, 4-6 ns pulse duration). In the presently covered wavenumber range, the typical output energy slowly decreases from ~ 12 mJ per pulse at 3600 cm^{-1} to 3.5 mJ at 2500 cm^{-1} with a constant spectral bandwidth of ~ 3 cm^{-1} . For the 1000-2000 cm^{-1} spectral range, the CLIO FEL is used.²⁶ The FEL produces IR light in so-called macropulses at a repetition rate of 25 Hz. Each macropulse consists of a pulse train of picosecond duration light pulses. The spectral bandwidth is ~ 0.4 % of the central frequency, thus ranging from ~ 4 cm^{-1} at 1000 cm^{-1} to ~ 10 cm^{-1} at 2000 cm^{-1} . Typical macropulse energies are 40 mJ, but to avoid spectral saturation in the present study, the IR intensity is reduced using fixed-value attenuators and the resulting macropulse energy is ~ 4 mJ. For both lasers, the IR laser beam is steered into the FT-ICR along the axis of the magnetic trapping field and loosely focused using a spherical mirror with a two meter focal distance. The light beam has a nearly constant diameter throughout the ICR cell region.

To aid in interpretation of the recorded spectra Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations are performed to obtain the absorption spectra of several possible structures of protonated uracil-water. All calculations are performed using the Gaussian03 program suite²⁷ using the B3LYP hybrid density functional. Kohn-Sham orbitals are expanded in the 6-311++G(3df,2p) Gaussian basis set, except for the

determination of the potential energy profile associated to the water-catalyzed tautomerization for which the reduced 6-31+G** basis set was chosen.

Harmonic vibrational frequencies are determined. In order to facilitate the comparison of IRMPD spectra with calculated IR absorption spectra, each calculated band is convoluted assuming a lorentzian profile. The width (FWHM) is 20 cm^{-1} (10 cm^{-1}) in the 1000-2000 cm^{-1} (2500-3800 cm^{-1}) frequency range.

2. Results

2.1. Photodissociation spectra.

Multiple collisions of protonated uracil (UH^+) with the water/argon buffer gas in the hexapole collision cell over a time period of 50 ms leads to a substantial fraction of the uracil ions to be clustered with one water molecule ($\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$). Interestingly, larger cluster sizes are not observed in substantial amounts. After the ejection of masses other than that of the singly hydrated uracil ions in the ICR cell, m/z 131 ions are irradiated for 150 ms. Mass spectra recorded after irradiation at two different wavenumbers are shown in Figure 1. In Figure 1a, the loss of the water molecule is clearly observed after irradiation with light at 3548 cm^{-1} . The mass-spectrum depicted in Figure 1b is typical for what is observed when the IR laser is off-resonance. The small intensity at m/z 113 present here is most likely due to thermal dissociation as it is also observed when no IR laser is present.

A maximum photofragmentation yield of $\sim 73\%$ is observed when the IR laser is tuned to 3425 cm^{-1} . It should be noted that even under these circumstances no photo-fragments other than the bare protonated uracil (m/z 113) are observed on resonance with an IR active vibrational of $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$. Considering that the irradiation time of 150 ms comprises 3 IR laser pulses, a sequential IR induced photofragmentation of the UH^+ photo-fragment itself (m/z 113) could have been expected since solvated and non-solvated protonated uracil are likely to share some spectral features. In order to confirm that bare UH^+ cannot be fragmented upon resonant IR absorption in the experimental time window, an additional experiment is performed where mass-selected UH^+ (m/z 113) ions were irradiated by the IR laser. Even after irradiation times exceeding 2 s no photofragmentation of protonated uracil was observed in the 3500 cm^{-1} region

where the output energy of the IR OPO/OPA system is maximal (~ 12 mJ) and where the most intense spectral modes are expected.

2.2. IR spectra in the 2600-3800 cm^{-1} spectral range.

If the wavelength is varied while recording the number of precursor and fragment ions, the IR spectrum is obtained. It is typically expressed in the IR photodissociation efficiency defined as $-\ln[I_P/(I_P+I_F)]$,²⁸ where I_P and I_F are the intensities of the precursor ions and the fragment ions, respectively. The resulting IR spectrum of $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$ ions recorded in the 2600-3800 cm^{-1} spectral range is shown in Figure 2. As can be seen in this figure, four clear IR bands with bandwidths (fwhm) of $\sim 25\text{-}30$ cm^{-1} are observed in the 3200-3800 cm^{-1} range, with band maxima at 3425, 3556, 3625, and 3702 cm^{-1} . The strongest, at 3425 cm^{-1} , has a distinct shoulder on the red, which is attributed to a fifth resonance at 3390 cm^{-1} . The frequencies of these IR bands typically fall in the spectral range where one would expect NH and OH stretches. Below 3200 cm^{-1} a broad, but distinct resonance structure can be discerned with a maximum at ~ 2920 cm^{-1} . This broad feature, a sixth resonance, could be the signature of a red-shifted X-H (X=N, O) stretching vibration of which the hydrogen is involved in a hydrogen bond.

3. Discussion

3.1. Optimized structures of mono-hydrated protonated uracil.

An analysis of the experimental IR spectrum can be supported by the comparison with calculated IR absorption spectra for the possible structures of $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$. A starting point for the search for $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$ structures is formed by the known low energy structures for UH^+ .^{11,25} Similar to a recent study of UH^+ solvated by ammonia,²⁹ the hydrated structures considered here are those **resulting** from the addition of water to the two lowest energy structures of UH^+ . In Scheme 1 the relationships between the different low energy structures found for $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$ and the neutral uracil tautomers are indicated.

Protonation of the canonical di-keto form of uracil leads to the least stable of the UH^+ structures in which the proton is bound to one of the carbonyls. Interestingly, the addition of water to this structure yields the most stable of all hydrated structures, the one here labeled $\text{U}(\text{DK})\text{H}^+_W$. Two hydrated structures that are slightly higher in energy result from the solvation of the most stable structure of UH^+ .

This most stable structure of UH^+ (by 3.8 kJ/mol at the present level of theory) originates from the protonation of neutral keto-enol and can be seen as the resonance of two Kekule structures. The hydrated structures $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ and $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$ correspond to the addition of the water molecule on the formal location of the proton in each of the two, and have relative energies of 7.2 and 13.1 kJ/mol higher than that for structure U(DK)H^+_W , respectively. The fully optimized geometries of the lowest energy hydrated structures U(DK)H^+_W , $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ and $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$ are depicted in Figure 3, with their corresponding bond lengths and relative energies

The structures and energetics of $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$ can be compared to the ones of $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{NH}_3$, proposed in a recent high pressure mass spectrometric study.²⁹ The same energy ordering was found when UH^+ was solvated by ammonia.²⁹ Similar to the present case, the lowest energy structure of $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{NH}_3$ corresponds to the protonated canonical form of uracil (U(DK)H^+), with NH_3 bound to the proton. Upon geometry optimization of the clusters formed by UH^+ and NH_3 , a partial proton transfer from uracil to NH_3 was found. As can be seen in Figure 3, no such proton transfer occurs for $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$, where the $\text{C}=\text{O}\text{---}\text{H}^+$ distance is typically on the order of 1.01 Å and the $\text{H}_2\text{O}\text{---}\text{H}^+$ bond length 1.58 Å. This result may not be surprising considering that the proton affinity of water is significantly lower than that of ammonia. It should be stressed, however, that a partial proton transfer is found for uracil- NH_3 even though the proton affinity of uracil is larger than for NH_3 .²⁹ The minimum number of absorbed IR photons required for fragmentation of $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$ into UH^+ and water can be estimated from the calculated binding energies of structures U(DK)H^+_W , $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$, and $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$. Using the lowest-energy structure of UH^+ as a reference, the 0 K binding energy of structure U(DK)H^+_W is 70.2 kJ/mol at the B3LYP/6-311++G** level of theory. The difference in free energies at 298 K is 36.1 kJ/mol. In the frequency range explored with the relatively low-intense OPO/OPA laser, the absorption of one or two IR photons is thus sufficient to overcome the lowest energy fragmentation threshold for $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$ ions.

3.2. NH and OH stretches band assignment.

It is interesting to note that the number of experimentally observed resonances cannot be accounted for by fundamental vibrations of one single structure of $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$. Each of the $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$ structures discussed above has five X-H (X=N or O) groups, whereas in the experimental spectrum clearly six resonances have been observed. It is thus not unlikely that the observed IRMPD spectrum is due to a mixture of different structures co-existing in the ICR cell.

To aid in the interpretation of the experimental spectra, the calculated IR spectra for the three structures discussed above are given in Figure 4. In order to facilitate the comparison with experimental IR photodissociation spectrum, each calculated IR absorption band is convoluted by a lorentzian function with a width (FWHM) of 10 cm^{-1} . It can be seen in Figure 4 that the three calculated IR absorption spectra have several common features. At low wavenumber, each spectrum exhibits a strong calculated absorption feature (at 2799 , 2781 and 2880 cm^{-1} for structures U(DK)H^+_W , U(KE)H^+_{Wa} and U(KE)H^+_{Wb} respectively). For each structure, the corresponding normal mode is the stretching vibration of the OH involved as a donor in the hydrogen bond with water. It is likely that the broad feature centered at 2919 cm^{-1} observed in the IR spectrum (Figure 4) can be assigned to this red-shifted OH stretch. Previously, such simultaneous red-shifts and broadening effects of stretching vibrations of X-H (X=N,O) involved in a hydrogen bond have been observed for water solvated clusters,³⁰ or solvated amino acids.³¹

On the blue side of the IR spectrum, two IR absorption features characteristic for the water OH stretches are found. The symmetric OH stretching vibrations are calculated to lie at 3619 , 3631 and 3631 cm^{-1} for structures U(DK)H^+_W , U(KE)H^+_{Wa} and U(KE)H^+_{Wb} respectively. The asymmetric OH stretching vibrations are predicted at slightly higher frequencies, at 3703 , 3719 , and 3718 cm^{-1} . Based on the rather small differences in calculated frequencies and intensities of the water OH stretching vibrations for the different structures and the favorable comparison between experimental and calculated IR spectra in this region, it seems reasonable to assign the two highest frequency bands (D and E in Figure 4) observed at 3625 , and 3702 cm^{-1} , respectively, to the symmetric and asymmetric OH stretching vibrations of water, respectively. It is interesting to note that although the calculated IR intensity of the symmetric water OH stretch is approximately three times lower than that of the asymmetric stretch (calculated

intensities are 50 and 150 km/mol, respectively), the observed IRMPD efficiencies for these vibrational modes are approximately equal. Similar observations were made for water solvated clusters, for which photodissociation on resonance with the asymmetric water OH stretch has always been observed to be relatively small. It was recently proposed that the low photodissociation efficiency observed for the water OH asymmetric stretch could be due to an inefficient intramolecular vibrational redistribution (IVR) of the energy from this excited vibrational mode to the bath of vibrational modes of the ion.³²

The vibrational features observed at bands B and C may provide the most valuable information for structural assignment. As can be seen in Figure 4, the position of band C (3556 cm^{-1}) nicely matches with the IR absorption band associated to the calculated free OH stretching vibrations of structures $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ and $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$ at 3586 and 3567 cm^{-1} , respectively. Since structure U(DK)H^+_W does not display any IR resonance in this wavenumber range, the observation of band C suggests that $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ or $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$, or a mixture of these structures is formed under current experimental conditions.

The strongest observed band in this spectral range is band B, which appears to consist of two resonances: the maximum at 3425 cm^{-1} and a red-shifted shoulder at 3390 cm^{-1} . For all calculated structures, IR active normal modes in the spectral region of band B are free NH stretching vibrations. The free NH stretching vibrations of structures $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ and $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$ are predicted at almost identical frequencies (3412 and 3413 cm^{-1}). Structure U(DK)H^+_W has two free NH bonds, for which one calculated at 3417 cm^{-1} has a frequency very similar to those of the free NH stretching vibrations of structures $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ and $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$. The second free NH stretching vibration for structure U(DK)H^+_W is calculated at 3389 cm^{-1} . Interestingly, the frequency difference between the two calculated NH stretching modes for this structure, 28 cm^{-1} , is quite similar to the observed frequency difference between the maximum and the shoulder of band B, 35 cm^{-1} . From this it is inferred that the shape of band B is the signature of the presence of ions with structure U(DK)H^+_W under our experimental conditions. The fact that all three structures (U(DK)H^+_W , $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$, and $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$) have a free N(1)-H stretching mode within a narrow frequency range (3412 - 3417 cm^{-1}) suggests an assignment of the maximum of band B to that stretching mode in multiple isomers

(U(DK)H⁺_W, U(KE)H⁺_Wa, and U(KE)H⁺_Wb). The shoulder of band B at 3390 cm⁻¹ is then assigned to the N(3)-H stretching vibration in U(DK)H⁺_W only.

3.3. The mid-IR (1000-2000 cm⁻¹) spectrum: further evidence for two co-existing tautomers.

Additional evidence for the simultaneous formation of isomers U(DK)H⁺_W, U(KE)H⁺_Wa, and U(KE)H⁺_Wb under our experimental conditions can be found by recording the IRMPD spectrum in the 1000-2000 cm⁻¹ spectral range using the IR light produced by the CLIO FEL. The resulting spectrum is shown in Figure 5 together with the calculated IR absorption spectra of structures U(DK)H⁺_W, U(KE)H⁺_Wa, and U(KE)H⁺_Wb.

It can be seen in Figure 5 that the experimental IRMPD spectrum of UH⁺•OH₂ is well structured, with the strongest feature observed at 1636 cm⁻¹. Interestingly, the maximum of IRMPD signal was also obtained at about the same frequency in the case of UH⁺.²⁵ The three structures U(DK)H⁺_W, U(KE)H⁺_Wa and U(KE)H⁺_Wb all have their strongest IR absorption bands in the 1620-1659 cm⁻¹ frequency range. The associated normal modes are ring deformation with a strong component on the C(5)-C(6) stretch. The strongest photodissociation feature observed at 1636 cm⁻¹ for UH⁺•OH₂ is thus not conclusive and could be the result of the photofragmentation of each single one, or a mixture of the three structures of UH⁺•OH₂ proposed above.

A clear-cut IR signature for the presence of the hydrated diketo tautomer of UH⁺, however, can be found. As in the case of UH⁺,²⁵ a band is observed in the 1800 cm⁻¹ frequency range (band G in Figure 5) where a CO stretching mode is the only expected IR active mode. The observed frequency (1828 cm⁻¹) nicely matches with the calculated CO stretching frequency for structure U(DK)H⁺_W, i.e. the mono-hydrated protonated diketo tautomer of uracil. The present results on UH⁺•OH₂ thus support our earlier conclusion that a fraction of the UH⁺ ions formed under our experimental conditions correspond to the protonated diketo tautomer of uracil²⁵.

Overall, the IRMPD spectrum in the spectral range below 1600 cm⁻¹ is less unambiguous than in the NH and OH stretching range. Nevertheless, evidence for the formation of singly hydrated protonated keto-enol tautomer of uracil, i.e. structures U(KE)H⁺_Wa and/or U(KE)H⁺_Wb, can also be found in this

spectral range. As can be seen in Figure 5, band F observed at 1362 cm^{-1} nicely matches with an IR absorption band of structures $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ (1360 cm^{-1}) or $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$ (1370 cm^{-1}). On the other hand, structure $\text{U(DK)H}^+_{\text{W}}$ of $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$ does not display any significant IR active mode in the $1299\text{-}1470\text{ cm}^{-1}$ frequency range. From this, it is inferred that the band observed at 1362 cm^{-1} is a signature of the formation of structure $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$, structure $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$ or a mixture of both under our experimental conditions. The IR active vibrations of $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ (1360 cm^{-1}) and $\text{U(KE)H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$ (1370 cm^{-1}) are associated to the bending of the C-O-H group involved in the hydrogen bond with water. The frequency of this vibration for structure $\text{U(DK)H}^+_{\text{W}}$ is calculated to be lower (1272 cm^{-1}). The blueshift of this vibration in the keto-enol structures could be attributed to the interaction between the C-O-H hydrogen and the N(3), which is not involved in the diketo structure due to the orientation of the H-bound COH (see Figure 3).

3.4. Proton transfer in protonated uracil.

The IR signature of the two mono-hydrated protonated tautomers of uracil can be unambiguously distinguished in the two IR photodissociation spectra discussed above. In our recent study on protonated uracil, spectroscopic evidence for the coexistence of two protonated tautomers of uracil UH^+ were also given, and it was proposed that these two structures were formed under electrospray conditions.²⁵ In the present experiment, $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$ ions are formed through multiple collisions in a pressurized collision cell where water is seeded in Argon at an estimated pressure of 10^{-3} mbar. It is important to evaluate whether tautomerization can occur during this microsolvation process.

Unimolecular proton transfer in protonated uracil has been shown to be associated with a large activation barrier. At the B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,2p)//B3LYP/6-31++G(d,p) level, the energy barrier for the interconversion of U(DK)H^+ into the more stable U(KE)H^+ tautomer is 164.7 kJ/mol , where the rate limiting step is the 1,3 proton transfer from cyclic N(3) to exocyclic O(7).²⁵ However, upon addition of a base, such a 1,3 proton transfer can be efficiently catalysed.³³ This has been demonstrated for the addition of NH_3 to protonated thymine, where the activation barrier is lowered by about 125 kJ/mol .²⁹

The potential energy profile for the **water-catalyzed** tautomerization of protonated uracil is given in Figure 6, where the ZPE corrected 0K energies are given in Figure 6a, whereas the 298K Gibbs energies are reported in Figure 6b. These calculations are performed at the B3LYP/6-31++G(d,p) level of theory, which is the reason for small discrepancies in the relative energies of $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$ compared to those given in Figure 3 which are obtained at the B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,2p) level of theory.

As found by Wu and McMahon for the reaction involving UH^+ and NH_3 ,²⁹ tautomerization of U(DK)H^+_W into U(KE)H^+_W involves two intermediates (I1 and I2 in Figure 6): starting from U(DK)H^+_W , a rotation about the exocyclic C-O(8) is followed by a transfer of water from exocyclic O(8)H to N(3)H.

In contrast to the case of ammonia,²⁹ the transition state (TS3, see Figure 6) associated with the water catalyzed 1,3 proton transfer is high in energy. Relative to the U(DK)H^+_W isomer, the 0K energy barrier is 61.1 kJ/mol. It is thus significantly lowered by the addition of water (-103.6 kJ/mol), but significantly less than upon addition of ammonia. This difference is expected since TS3 involves a partial proton transfer from uracil to the base, and the proton affinity of water is significantly lower than the one of ammonia (691 and 853.6 kJ/mol, respectively).³⁴ Considering that our calculated value for the difference in proton affinity of uracil and water is only slightly lower than the experimental value (181.3 and 181.7 kJ/mol, respectively), the calculated barrier height associated with TS3 (Figure 6) can be taken with confidence.

Energies reported in Figure 6 are given relative to the lowest energy dissociation channel leading to $\text{U(KE)H}^+ + \text{OH}_2$. As can be seen in Figure 6, TS3 associated with the rate limiting step lies only 15.6 kJ/mol below $\text{U(KE)H}^+ + \text{OH}_2$. If instead 0K energies, 298K Gibbs energies (Figure 6b) are considered, one can see that TS3 is significantly higher in energy than $\text{U(KE)H}^+ + \text{OH}_2$. On the basis of these results, one may conclude that **water-catalyzed** tautomerization of protonated uracil is unlikely to occur during the **resolution step within the hexapole** ~~under our experimental conditions~~. It is rather suggested that the two types of isomers characterized by their spectroscopic signatures are formed through microsolvation of **two tautomeric forms of protonated uracil**, U(DK)H^+ and U(KE)H^+ , formed by electrospray ionisation.

4. Summary and outlook

Gas phase IR photodissociation spectra of singly hydrated protonated uracil $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$ have been recorded in the 1000-2000 cm^{-1} and 2500-3800 cm^{-1} spectral ranges. In both regions, the IR spectrum exhibits well-resolved spectral features that indicate the presence of two types of structures for $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$. IR photodissociation spectrum in the 2500-3800 cm^{-1} region clearly displays six resonances, whereas each of the $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$ structures can only display five fundamental vibrational modes in this spectral range.

Ab initio calculations identify three possible low-energy structures which are the result of the solvation of the two lowest-energy structures of protonated uracil: a protonated di-keto and a protonated keto-enol tautomer.

A comparison with the calculated IR absorption spectra of the three lowest-energy structures of $\text{UH}^+\bullet\text{OH}_2$ shows that a band observed at 3556 cm^{-1} is the signature of a protonated keto-enol tautomer or uracil, while a doublet structure in the NH stretching range (3389 cm^{-1} and 3412-3417 cm^{-1}) provides evidence for the simultaneous formation of the protonated keto-enol tautomer under our experimental conditions. These conclusions are further supported by the IR photodissociation spectrum recorded in the 1000-2000 cm^{-1} using the IR-FEL. The solvation of the protonated diketo tautomer is unambiguously characterized by a band observed in the CO stretching region (1828 cm^{-1}). From a comparison between the calculated IR absorption spectra a band observed at 1362 cm^{-1} clearly points out the presence of the structures resulting from the hydration of the protonated keto-enol tautomer.

It would be particularly interesting to record the IR spectrum of a single conformer. For gas-phase ions such conformation specific spectroscopy could be performed using different schemes. For larger protonated peptides, a selection based on the shape of the system could be performed using an ion mobility drift tube upstream of the ion trap. UV-based double-resonance (IR-UV) spectroscopic techniques have been successfully applied by the Rizzo group, who have obtained exciting results for systems ranging from protonated amino acids to medium-sized polypeptides³⁵⁻³⁷. A third alternative would be to use IR-IR double resonance spectroscopy, where a first scanning IR laser is fired before a second conformer-specific fixed frequency probe laser. Any fragments created by the first laser (the burn laser) are removed by mass-isolation before the probe laser is fired. The probe signal will then be depleted


when the burn laser is in resonance with a vibration that belongs to the same conformer. This method is currently being pursued by Johnson and co-workers who implement the tandem-mass spectrometry procedure with a double-reflectron time-of-flight configuration [CITE]. The capabilities of our current experiment make it a logical step to evaluate the possibilities of such double-resonance techniques.

Another important issue is to improve the spectroscopic sensitivity, especially for the use of relatively low power IR OPO/OPA laser systems in IR photodissociation spectroscopy of gas phase ions. The aforementioned IR-UV double-resonance technique has been shown to be particularly sensitive. A good alternative is to tag the molecular ions of interest using weakly bound rare gas atoms, as demonstrated by the recent IR spectra recorded in the fingerprint spectral range using pulse power tabletop laser systems.^{38,39} Using tandem mass spectrometers such as an FTICR, the absorption of an IR photon can also be probed by monitoring the rate of an IR induced ion-molecule reaction. Provided that the intrinsic endothermicity of the reaction is on the order of magnitude of the photon energy, a greater spectroscopic sensitivity can be anticipated than when absorption of multiple photons is needed to induce fragmentation. This approach has already successfully been used using a 22-pole ion trap within a relative high pressure collision cell.⁴⁰ Preliminary results indicate that this approach can also be used within the ICR cell at low pressure.⁴¹

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. We gratefully acknowledge the European Commission for a generous grant associated to the EPITOPES (Electron Plus Infrared TO Probe and Elucidate Structures, EC project #15637) funded through the NEST (New and Emerging Science and Technology) program of the 6th framework program.

- (1) Watson, J. D.; Crick, F. H. *Nature* **1953**, *171*, 964.
- (2) Topal, M. D.; Fresco, J. R. *Nature* **1976**, *263*, 285.
- (3) Sowers, L. C.; Fazakerley, G. V.; Eritja, R.; Kaplan, B. E.; Goodman, M. F. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1986**, *83*, 5434.
- (4) Frankkamenetskii, M. D.; Mirkin, S. M. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **1995**, *64*, 65.
- (5) Sinden, R. R. *DNA Structure and Function*, First edition ed.; Academic Press: San Diego CA, 1994.
- (6) Fenn, J. B.; Mann, M.; Meng, C. K.; Wong, S. F.; Whitehouse, C. M. *Science* **1989**, *246*, 64.
- (7) Karas, M.; Hillenkamp, F. *Anal. Chem.* **1988**, *60*, 2299.
- (8) Podolyan, Y.; Gorb, L.; Leszczynski, J. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2000**, *104*, 7346.
- (9) Chandra, A. K.; Nguyen, M. T.; Zeegers-Huyskens, T. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1998**, *102*, 6010.
- (10) Chandra, A. K.; Nguyen, M. T.; Uchimar, T.; Zeegers-Huyskens, T. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1999**, *103*, 8853.
- (11) Wolken, J. K.; Turecek, F. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2000**, *11*, 1065.
- (12) Jones, W.; Boissel, P.; Chiavarino, B.; Crestoni, M. E.; Fornarini, S.; Lemaire, J.; Maitre, P. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition* **2003**, *42*, 2057.
- (13) Simon, A.; Jones, W.; Ortega, J. M.; Boissel, P.; Lemaire, J.; Maitre, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 11666.
- (14) Reinhard, B. M.; Lagutschenkov, A.; Lemaire, J.; Maitre, P.; Boissel, P.; Niedner-Schatteburg, G. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2004**, *108*, 3350.
- (15) Kapota, C.; Lemaire, J.; Maitre, P.; Ohanessian, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 1836.
- (16) Mac Aleese, L.; Simon, A.; McMahan, T. B.; Ortega, J. M.; Scuderi, D.; Lemaire, J.; Maitre, P. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2006**, *249*, 14.
- (17) Simon, A.; MacAleese, L.; Maitre, P.; Lemaire, J.; McMahan, T. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 2829.
- (18) Bakker, J. M.; Besson, T.; Lemaire, J.; Scuderi, D.; Maitre, P. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2007**, *111*, 13415.
- (19) Moore, D. T.; Oomens, J.; Eyler, J. R.; Meijer, G.; von Helden, G.; Ridge, D. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 14726.
- (20) Moore, D. T.; Oomens, J.; van der Meer, L.; von Helden, G.; Meijer, G.; Valle, J.; Marshall, A. G.; Eyler, J. R. *Chemphyschem* **2004**, *5*, 740.
- (21) Oomens, J.; Moore, D. T.; von Helden, G.; Meijer, G.; Dunbar, R. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 724.
- (22) Valle, J. J.; Eyler, J. R.; Oomens, J.; Moore, D. T.; van der Meer, A. F. G.; von Helden, G.; Meijer, G.; Hendrickson, C. L.; Marshall, A. G.; Blakney, G. T. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2005**, *76*, 023103.
- (23) Dunbar, R. C.; Moore, D. T.; Oomens, J. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2006**, *110*, 8316.

- (24) Polfer, N. C.; Oomens, J.; Moore, D. T.; von Helden, G.; Meijer, G.; Dunbar, R. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 517.
- (25) Salpin, J. Y.; Guillaumont, S.; Tortajada, J.; MacAleese, L.; Lemaire, J.; Maitre, P. *Chemphyschem* **2007**, *8*, 2235.
- (26) Prazeres, R.; Glotin, F.; Insa, C.; Jaroszynski, D. A.; Ortega, J. M. *Eur. Phys. J. D* **1998**, *3*, 87.
- (27) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J., J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian Program Suite. In *Gaussian 03*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- (28) Lemaire, J.; Boissel, P.; Heninger, M.; Mauclaire, G.; Bellec, G.; Mestdag, H.; Simon, A.; Caer, S. L.; Ortega, J. M.; Glotin, F.; Maitre, P. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2002**, *89*, 273002.
- (29) Wu, R.; McMahon, T. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 569.
- (30) Wang, Y. S.; Chang, H. C.; Jiang, J. C.; Lin, S. H.; Lee, Y. T.; Chang, H. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 8777.
- (31) Kamariotis, A.; Boyarkin, O. V.; Mercier, S. R.; Beck, R. D.; Bush, M. F.; Williams, E. R.; Rizzo, T. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 905.
- (32) Pankewitz, T.; Lagutschenkov, A.; Niedner-Schatteburg, G.; Xantheas, S. S.; Lee, Y. T. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *126*, 074307.
- (33) Mourgues, P.; Chamot-Rooke, J.; van der Rest, G.; Nedev, H.; Audier, H. E.; McMahon, T. B. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes* **2001**, *210/211*, 429.
- (34) NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69; Lindstrom, P. J.; Mallard, W. G., Eds., June 2005 Release.
- (35) Stearns, J. A.; Boyarkin, O. V.; Rizzo, T. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 13820.
- (36) Stearns, J. A.; Guidi, M.; Boyarkin, O. V.; Rizzo, T. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *127*, 154322
- (37) Stearns, J. A.; Mercier, S.; Seaiby, C.; Guidi, M.; Boyarkin, O. V.; Rizzo, T. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 11814.
- (38) Hammer, N. I.; Diken, E. G.; Roscioli, J. R.; Johnson, M. A.; Myshakin, E. M.; Jordan, K. D.; McCoy, A. B.; Huang, X.; Bowman, J. M.; Carter, S. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *122*, 244301.
- (39) Douberly, G. E.; Ricks, A. M.; Ticknor, B. W.; Duncan, M. A. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 77.
- (40) Asvany, O.; Kumar, P.; Redlich, B.; Hegemann, I.; Schlemmer, S.; Marx, D. *Science* **2005**, *309*, 1219.
- (41) Sinha, R.; Maitre, P. *To be submitted* **2008**.



Scheme 1

Figure 1: Mass spectra recorded after the irradiation of precursor ions at 3548 cm^{-1} (a) and 3760 cm^{-1} (b), where the precursor and fragment ions are $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$ (m/z 131.1) and U^+ (m/z 113.1), respectively. The peak at m/z 72 (*) is due to electrical interference picked up by the detection plates of the ICR cell.

Figure 2: Infrared photodissociation spectrum of $\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$ (m/z 131.1) ions recorded with the OPO/OPA laser system. Line spectrum corresponds to a smoothing of the experimental data (■).

Figure 3: Optimized (Cs symmetry) structures of monohydrated protonated uracyl ($\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$) at the B3LYP/6-311++G** level of theory. Relative 0K energies in parentheses are in kJ/mol, bond lengths are in Å.

Figure 4: Infrared spectra of mono hydrated protonated uracil ($\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$) in the $2500\text{-}3800\text{ cm}^{-1}$ spectral range. (a) Experimental spectrum; ordinate (left scale) is the photodissociation efficiency. (b), (c) and (d): calculated (B3LYP/6-311++G** level of theory) IR absorption spectra of structures $\text{U}(\text{DK})\text{H}^+_{\text{W}}$, $\text{U}(\text{KE})\text{H}^+_{\text{Wa}}$ and $\text{U}(\text{KE})\text{H}^+_{\text{Wb}}$, respectively; Calculated intensities (right scale) in km/mol . Each IR absorption band is convoluted by a lorentzian profile ($\text{fwhm}=10\text{ cm}^{-1}$). Scaling factor is 0.955.

Figure 5: Infrared spectra of mono hydrated protonated uracil ($\text{UH}^+\cdot\text{OH}_2$) in the $1000\text{-}2000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ spectral range recorded with the CLIO IR FEL. (a) Experimental spectrum; ordinate (left scale) is the photodissociation efficiency. (b), (d) and (d): calculated (B3LYP/6-311++G**

level of theory) IR absorption spectra of structures U(DK)H⁺_W, U(KE)H⁺_Wa and U(KE)H⁺_Wb, respectively; Calculated intensities (right scale) in km/mol. Each IR absorption band is convoluted by a lorentzian profile (fwhm=20 cm⁻¹). Scaling factor is 0.98.

Figure 6: Potential energy profile associated with the water catalyzed 1,3 proton transfer converting U(DK)H⁺_W into U(KE)H⁺_Wa determined at the B3LYP/6-31+G** level of theory: (a) ZPE 0K energies. (b) 298K Gibbs energies. Energies are given relative to the protonated keto-enol uracil (U(KE)H⁺) + water asymptote. (c) Optimized structures of the three transition states (TS1, TS2, and TS3) and two intermediates (INT1, INT2) involved in the tautomerization.




Figure 1







Figure 2



U(DK)H⁺_W
(0.0)



U(KE)H⁺_Wa
(7.2)



U(KE)H⁺_Wb
(13.1)

Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5





Figure 6




Figure 6 (Continued)

