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# SKEW GROUP ALGEBRAS OF PATH ALGEBRAS AND PREPROJECTIVE ALGEBRAS 

LAURENT DEMONET


#### Abstract

We compute explicitly up to Morita-equivalence the skew group algebra of a finite group acting on the path algebra of a quiver and the skew group algebra of a finite group acting on a preprojective algebra. These results generalize previous results of Reiten and Riedtmann RR85 for a cyclic group acting on the path algebra of a quiver and of Reiten and Van den Bergh RV89, proposition 2.13] for a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C} X \oplus \mathbb{C} Y)$ acting on $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$.


## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field and $G$ be a finite group such that the characteristic of $k$ does not divide the cardinality of $G$. If $\Lambda$ is a $k$-algebra and if $G$ acts on $\Lambda$, the action being denoted exponentially, the skew group algebra of $\Lambda$ under the action of $G$ is by definition the $k$-algebra whose underlying $k$-vector space is $k[G] \otimes_{k} \Lambda$ and whose multiplication is linearly generated by $(g \otimes a)\left(g^{\prime} \otimes a^{\prime}\right)=g g^{\prime} \otimes a^{g^{\prime-1}} a^{\prime}$ for all $g, g^{\prime} \in G$ and $a, a^{\prime} \in \Lambda$ (see RR85). It will be denoted by $\Lambda G$. Identifying $k[G]$ and $\Lambda$ with subalgebras of $\Lambda G$, an alternative definition is

$$
\Lambda G=\left\langle\Lambda, k[G] \mid \forall(g, a) \in G \times \Lambda, g a g^{-1}=a^{g}\right\rangle_{k-\mathrm{alg}}
$$

Let now $Q=(I, A)$ be a quiver where $I$ denotes the set of vertices and $A$ the set of arrows. Consider an action of $G$ on the path algebra $k Q$ permuting the set of primitive idempotents $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$. Note that this is more general than an action coming from an action of $G$ on $Q$ since an arrow may be sent to a linear combination of arrows. We now define a new quiver $Q_{G}$. We first need some notation.

Let $\widetilde{I}$ be a set of representatives of the classes of $I$ under the action of $G$. For $i \in I$, let $G_{i}$ denote the subgroup of $G$ stabilizing $e_{i}$, let $i_{\circ} \in \widetilde{I}$ be the representative of the class of $i$ and let $\kappa_{i} \in G$ be such that $\kappa_{i} i_{\circ}=i$.

For $(i, j) \in \widetilde{I}^{2}, G$ acts on $O_{i} \times O_{j}$ where $O_{i}$ and $O_{j}$ are the orbits of $i$ and $j$ under the action of $G$. A set of representatives of the classes of this action will be denoted by $F_{i j}$.

For $i, j \in I$, define $A_{i j}=e_{j}\left(\operatorname{rad}(k Q) / \operatorname{rad}(k Q)^{2}\right) e_{i}$ where $\operatorname{rad}(k Q)$ is the Jacobson radical of $k Q$. We regard $A_{i j}$ as a left $k\left[G_{i} \cap G_{j}\right]$-module by restricting the action of $G$.

The quiver $Q_{G}$ has vertex set

$$
I_{G}=\bigcup_{i \in \widetilde{I}}\{i\} \times \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)$ is a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $G_{i}$. The set of arrows of $Q_{G}$ from $(i, \rho)$ to $(j, \sigma)$ is a basis of

$$
\bigoplus_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\bmod k\left[G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}\right]}\left(\left.\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \rho\right)\right|_{G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}} \otimes A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}},\left.\left(\kappa_{j^{\prime}} \cdot \sigma\right)\right|_{G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}}\right)^{*}
$$

where the representation $\kappa_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \rho$ of $G_{i^{\prime}}$ is the same as $\rho$ as a vector space, and $\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \rho\right)_{g}=\rho_{\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} g \kappa_{i^{\prime}}}$ for $g \in G_{i^{\prime}}=\kappa_{i^{\prime}} G_{i} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1}$. Table 1 gives two examples of quivers $Q_{G}$. A detailed example is also computed in section 2 .

We can now state the two main results of this paper and two corollaries :
Theorem 1. There is an equivalence of categories

$$
\bmod k\left(Q_{G}\right) \simeq \bmod (k Q) G
$$

Theorem 1 was proved by Reiten and Riedtmann in RR85, §2] for cyclic groups. Its proof is split into two propositions (15) and 19). It relies on the category of representations of a group species which is introduced in section 3 .

The following theorem deals with the case of preprojective algebras. The definition of the preprojective algebra $\Lambda_{Q}$ of a quiver $Q$ is recalled in section 3 .

Theorem 2. If $G$ acts on $k \bar{Q}$, where $\bar{Q}$ is the double quiver of $Q$, by permuting the primitive idempotents $e_{i}$, and if for all $g \in G, r^{g}=r$ where $r$ is the preprojective relation of this quiver, then $(\bar{Q})_{G}$ is of the form $\bar{Q}^{\prime}$ for some quiver $Q^{\prime}$ and $\left(\Lambda_{Q}\right) G$ is Morita equivalent to $\Lambda_{Q^{\prime}}$.

The proof of theorem 2 is split into two propositions ( 17 and 20) and uses lemma 21. One can always extend an action on $k Q$ to an action on $k \bar{Q}$ and this yields :

Corollary 3. The action of $G$ on a path algebra $k Q$ permuting the primitive idempotents induces naturally an action of $G$ on $k \bar{Q}$ and $(\bar{Q})_{G}$ is isomorphic to the double quiver of $Q_{G}$. Moreover, there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\bmod \Lambda_{Q_{G}} \simeq \bmod \Lambda_{Q} G
$$

Theorem and corollary 3 will be used in fem for constructing 2-Calabi-Yau categorifications of skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras. Another corollary related to the McKay correspondence is :

Corollary 4. Let $Q$ be the quiver

and $G$ a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{C} \alpha \oplus \mathbb{C} \alpha^{*}\right)$. Then
(1) There is an identification of $Q_{G}$ with a double quiver $\overline{\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}$ such that the non oriented underlying graph of $Q^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the affine Dynkin diagram corresponding to $G$ through the McKay correspondence.
(2) We have $k Q /\left(\alpha \alpha^{*}-\alpha^{*} \alpha\right) \simeq k\left[\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right]$ and there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\bmod \left(k\left[\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right] G\right) \simeq \bmod \Lambda_{Q^{\prime}} .
$$

Corollary 4 was proved by a geometrical method in RV89, proof of proposition 2.13] (see also [CBH98, theorem 0.1]).

Table 1. Examples of computations of $Q_{G}$

| $Q$ | G | $Q_{G}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ |  |
| $\bigcap_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ | $\begin{gathered} G \subset \\ \text { SL }(\mathbb{C} \alpha \oplus \mathbb{C} \beta) \\ \text { type } A_{n} \end{gathered}$ |  |

## 2. An EXAMPLE

Suppose that $k=\mathbb{C}$ and that $Q$ is the following quiver


Let also

$$
G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{3}=b^{2}, b^{4}=1, a b a=b\right\rangle
$$

be the binary dihedral group of order 12. One lets $G$ act on $k Q$ by :

|  | $e_{0}$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | $\alpha$ | $\alpha^{*}$ | $\beta$ | $\beta^{*}$ | $\gamma$ | $\gamma^{*}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $e_{0}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | $e_{1}$ | $\zeta^{-1} \alpha$ | $\zeta \alpha^{*}$ | $\gamma$ | $\gamma^{*}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta^{*}$ | $\beta$ | $\beta^{*}$ |
| $b$ | $e_{0}$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{3}$ | $e_{2}$ | $\alpha^{*}$ | $-\alpha$ | $-\beta$ | $-\beta^{*}$ | $-\delta$ | $-\delta^{*}$ | $-\gamma$ | $-\gamma^{*}$ |

where $\zeta$ is a primitive sixth root of unity.
Using the notation of the introduction, one can choose $\widetilde{I}=\{0,1\}, \kappa_{0}=\kappa_{1}=1, \kappa_{2}=a$, $\kappa_{3}=a^{2}$. One has $G_{0}=G, G_{1}=\langle b\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}, G_{2}=\langle b a\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}, G_{3}=\langle a b\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$. One can also choose $F_{0,0}=\{(0,0)\}, F_{0,1}=\{(0,1)\}, F_{1,0}=\{(1,0)\}$ and $F_{1,1}=\{(1,1),(1,2),(2,1)\}$.

The irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ will be denoted by $\theta_{\alpha}$ where $\alpha \in\{i,-1,-i, 1\}$ is the scalar action of a specified generator ( $b, b a$ or $a b$ when $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ is realized as $G_{1}, G_{2}$ or $G_{3}$ ). The group $G$ has six irreducible representations : four of degree 1 of the form $a \mapsto \alpha^{2}, b \mapsto \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in\{i,-1,-i, 1\}$, which will be denoted by $\lambda_{\alpha}$, and two of degree 2 :

$$
\rho: a \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\zeta^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \zeta
\end{array}\right) \quad b \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\sigma: a \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\zeta^{-2} & 0 \\
0 & \zeta^{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad b \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

One checks easily that $\lambda_{i} \otimes \rho \simeq \sigma, \rho \otimes \rho \simeq \sigma \oplus \lambda_{1} \oplus \lambda_{-1}, \rho \otimes \sigma \simeq \rho \oplus \lambda_{i} \oplus \lambda_{-i}, \sigma \otimes \sigma \simeq \sigma \oplus \lambda_{1} \oplus \lambda_{-1}$. The other product formulas are deduced from these. One computes $A_{0,0}=\rho, A_{0,1}=A_{(1,0)}=\lambda_{-1}$ and $A_{(1,1)}=A_{(1,2)}=A_{(2,1)}=0$. The vertices of $Q_{G}$ are then $0_{i}, 0_{-1}, 0_{-i}, 0_{1}, 0_{\rho}, 0_{\sigma}, 1_{-1}$ and $1_{1}$ where we write $0_{\alpha}=\left(0, \lambda_{\alpha}\right)$ and $1_{\alpha}=\left(1, \theta_{\alpha}\right)$ for simplicity. One has

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(\rho \otimes \rho, \sigma) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\sigma \oplus \lambda_{1} \oplus \lambda_{-1}, \sigma\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}
$$

and therefore there is one arrow from $0_{\rho}$ to $0_{\sigma}$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\lambda_{1} \otimes \rho, \sigma\right) \simeq 0
$$

and therefore there is no arrow from $0_{1}$ to $0_{\sigma}$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\lambda_{i} \otimes \rho, \sigma\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}
$$

and therefore there is one arrow from $0_{i}$ to $0_{\sigma}$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}}\left(\theta_{i} \otimes \theta_{-1}, \sigma_{\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}}\left(\theta_{-i}, \theta_{1} \oplus \theta_{-1}\right) \simeq 0
$$

and therefore there is no arrow from $1_{i}$ to $0_{\sigma}$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}}\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{-1}, \sigma_{\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}}\left(\theta_{-1}, \theta_{1} \oplus \theta_{-1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}
$$

and therefore there is one arrow from $1_{1}$ to $0_{\sigma}$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}}\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{-1},\left.\lambda_{1}\right|_{\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}
$$

and therefore there is one arrow from $1_{1}$ to $0_{-1}$. All the other computations can be done in the same way. Finally, $Q_{G}$ is the following quiver :

where one can remark that the full subgraph having vertices $\left\{0_{i}, 0_{-1}, 0_{-i}, 0_{1}, 0_{\rho}, 0_{\sigma}\right\}$ is the affine Dynkin diagram corresponding to $G$ in the McKay correspondence, as expected. Hence $\bmod (\mathbb{C} Q) G \simeq \bmod \mathbb{C} Q_{G}$. Moreover, it is easy to check that the preprojective relation $\alpha \alpha^{*}-$ $\alpha^{*} \alpha+\beta \beta^{*}-\beta^{*} \beta+\gamma \gamma^{*}-\gamma^{*} \gamma+\delta \delta^{*}-\delta^{*} \delta$ is stable under the action of $G$ and therefore there is an equivalence of Morita between $\Lambda_{Q_{1}} G$ and $\Lambda_{Q_{2}}$ where $\bar{Q}_{1}=Q, \bar{Q}_{2}=Q_{G}$, and $\Lambda_{Q_{1}}, \Lambda_{Q_{2}}$ are the preprojective algebras of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$.

## 3. Representations of group species

Let $k$ be a field.
Definition 5. A group species is a triple $\left(I,\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(A_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ where $I$ is a set, for each $i \in I$, $G_{i}$ is a group and for each $(i, j) \in I^{2}, A_{i j}$ is a $\left(k\left[G_{j}\right], k\left[G_{i}\right]\right.$ )-bimodule (the first acting on the left and the second on the right).

Fix now such a group species $Q=\left(I,\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(A_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$
Definition 6. A representation of $Q$ is a pair $\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ where for each $i \in I, V_{i}$ is a $k$-representation of $G_{i}$ and for each $(i, j) \in I^{2}$,

$$
x_{i j} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(A_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} V_{i}, V_{j}\right) .
$$

Definition 7. Let $\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ and $\left(\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i j}^{\prime}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ be two representations of $Q$. A morphism from the first one to the second one is a family $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{i}}\left(V_{i}, V_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ such that for each $(i, j) \in I^{2}$ the following diagram commute :


Remarks 8. - The previous definitions give rise to an abelian category.

- If for each $i \in I, G_{i}$ is the trivial group, we get back the classical definition of a quiver (up to the choice of a basis of each $A_{i j}$ ) and of the category of representations of a quiver.
- If for each $i \in I, k\left[G_{i}\right]$ is replaced by a division algebra, we obtain the usual definition of a species (see for example (DR76]).

Definition 9. If $V$ is a ( $k\left[H_{1}\right], k\left[H_{2}\right]$ )-bimodule and $V^{\prime}$ is a ( $\left.k\left[H_{2}\right], k\left[H_{1}\right]\right)$-bimodule then a non degenerate pairing between $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ is a bilinear map $\langle$,$\rangle from V \times V^{\prime}$ to $k$ such that for every $v \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and $v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime} \backslash\{0\},\langle v,-\rangle$ and $\left\langle-, v^{\prime}\right\rangle$ do not vanish and such that for every $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ and $\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in V \times V^{\prime},\left\langle h_{1} v h_{2}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle v, h_{2} v^{\prime} h_{1}\right\rangle$. It induces an isomorphism
between $V^{\prime}$ and the dual bimodule of $V$ (that is the natural structure of $\left(k\left[H_{2}\right], k\left[H_{1}\right]\right)$-bimodule on $\left.V^{*}\right)$.
Definition 10. A double group species is a triple $\left(Q,\left(\langle,\rangle_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}},\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ where $Q=$ $\left(I,\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(A_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ is a group species and for each $(i, j) \in I^{2},\langle,\rangle_{i j}$ is a non degenerate pairing between $A_{i j}$ and $A_{j i}$ satisfying for every $\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) \in A_{i j} \times A_{j i}\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle_{i j}=\left\langle a^{\prime}, a\right\rangle_{j i}$, and $\varepsilon_{i j}$ is an automorphism of $A_{i j}$ such that $\varepsilon_{i j}=-\varepsilon_{j i}$. As there is no possibility of confusion, $\langle,\rangle_{i j}$ will be denoted by $\langle$,$\rangle .$
Definition 11. The double group species of a group species $Q=\left(I,\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(A_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ is the group species $\bar{Q}=\left(I,\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(\bar{A}_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ where for each $(i, j) \in I^{2}$,

$$
\bar{A}_{i j}=A_{i j} \oplus A_{j i}^{*} .
$$

There is a canonical identification between $\bar{A}_{i j}$ and $\bar{A}_{j i}^{*}$ which leads to a non degenerate pairing between $\bar{A}_{i j}$ and $\bar{A}_{j i}$. One can define $\varepsilon_{i j}$ as $\varepsilon_{i j}=\operatorname{Id}_{A_{i j}} \oplus-\operatorname{Id}_{A_{j i}^{*}}$.

Fix now a double group species $(Q,\langle\rangle,, \varepsilon)$. For every pair $(i, j)$ of vertices of $Q$, there is an isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(A_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} V, W\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{i}}\left(V, A_{j i} \otimes_{G_{j}} W\right)$ which is functorial in $V \in \bmod k\left[G_{i}\right]$ and $W \in \bmod k\left[G_{j}\right]$. More precisely, choose a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $A_{i j}$ and for every $a \in \mathcal{B}$, denote by $a^{*}$ the element of $A_{j i}$ corresponding to $a$ in the dual basis of $\mathcal{B}$. Then, to each $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(A_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} V, W\right)$, one can associate $\tilde{f} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{i}}\left(V, A_{j i} \otimes_{G_{j}} W\right)$ such that for every $v \in V$,

$$
\tilde{f}(v)=\sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}} a^{*} \otimes f(a \otimes v)
$$

which does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{B}$. Conversely, to every $\tilde{f} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{i}}\left(V, A_{j i} \otimes_{G_{j}} W\right)$, one can associate $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(A_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} V, W\right)$ such that, for every $a \otimes v \in A_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} V$,

$$
f(a \otimes v)=\frac{1}{\# G} \varphi(a \otimes \widetilde{f}(v))
$$

where $\varphi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi: A_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} A_{j i} \otimes_{G_{j}} W & \rightarrow W \\
a^{\prime} \otimes a^{\prime \prime} \otimes w & \mapsto \sum_{g \in G}\left\langle a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} g^{-1}\right\rangle g w .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{f} \mapsto f$ are reciprocal one of each other.
Definition 12. A representation $\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ of the double group species $Q$ is said to satisfy the preprojective relations if for all $i \in I$,

$$
\sum_{j \in I} x_{j i} \circ\left(\varepsilon_{j i} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{V_{j}}\right) \circ \widetilde{x}_{i j}=0 .
$$

The full subcategory of the category of representations of $Q$ consisting of these objects will be called the category of representations of $Q$ satisfying the preprojective relations.
Lemma 13. Up to an isomorphism of categories, the previous definition does not depend on the choice of $\varepsilon$ or $\langle$,$\rangle .$
Proof. Let $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ satisfy the same hypothesis as $\varepsilon$. With the hypothesis on $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$, it is easy to choose, for every $(i, j) \in I^{2}$ an automorphism $\varphi_{i j}$ of $A_{i j}$ such that $\varphi_{j i}=\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \varphi_{i j}^{-1} \varepsilon_{j i}^{-1}$. Then one obtains an automorphism of the category of representations of $Q$ :

$$
\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right) \mapsto\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i j} \circ\left(\varphi_{i j} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{V_{i}}\right)\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)
$$

which sends the representations of $Q$ satisfying the preprojective relations of type $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ to the representations of $Q$ satisfying the preprojective relations of type $\varepsilon$.

Suppose now that $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ is another pairing satisfying the hypothesis. For every $(i, j) \in I^{2}$, for every basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $A_{i j}$ and every $x \in \mathcal{B}$ denote by $d_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$ (resp. $d_{\mathcal{B}}^{\prime}(x)$ ) the corresponding element in
the dual basis of $\mathcal{B}$ for the pairing $\langle$,$\rangle (resp. \langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ ). Let $\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}$ be the automorphism of $A_{i j}$ satisfying $\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}\left(d_{\mathcal{B}}^{\prime}(x)\right)=\varepsilon_{i j}\left(d_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{B}$. It does not depend on the basis $\mathcal{B}$ (it is easy to see that the definition is stable under transvections and dilations of $A_{i j}$ ). One has

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{i j}=-\varepsilon_{j i} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathcal{B}, \varepsilon_{i j}\left(d_{\varepsilon_{j i}\left(d_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})\right)}\left(\varepsilon_{j i}\left(d_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right)\right)\right)=-x \\
\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}=-{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathcal{B}, \varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}\left(d_{\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(d_{\mathcal{B}}^{\prime}(\mathcal{B})\right)}\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(d_{\mathcal{B}}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)\right)=-x
\end{gathered}
$$

and therefore, using the definition of $\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{i j}=-{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \varepsilon_{j i} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}=-{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that the preprojective relations for $\langle$,$\rangle and \varepsilon$ are the same than those for $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$, which ends the proof.

Remarks 14. - Because of the previous lemma, we will not specify $\varepsilon$ or $\langle$,$\rangle in general.$

- If $G$ is the trivial group and $\bar{Q}$ is the double quiver of some quiver $Q$, we obtain the classical definition of the representations of the preprojective algebra of a quiver.
- If, for $i \in I, k\left[G_{i}\right]$ is replaced by a division algebra, one gets back the definition of the preprojective algebra of a species introduced in DR80 (for more details, see also Rin98, §6]).


## 4. Proofs of the main propositions

We retain the notation of section 1 . Thus $Q$ is a quiver, $G$ acts on $k Q$ by stabilizing primitive idempotents, $\widetilde{I}$ is a fixed set of representatives of the $G$-orbits of $I$, etc.. For $(i, j) \in \widetilde{I}^{2}$, define

$$
\widetilde{A}_{i j}=\bigoplus_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} G_{j} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} G_{i} \subset(k Q) G
$$

which is a $\left(k\left[G_{j}\right], k\left[G_{i}\right]\right.$-bimodule. Remark that, for every $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in I^{2}$ and $\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ belonging to the $G$-orbit of ( $i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}$ ), one has

$$
G_{j} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} G_{i}=G_{j} \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} A_{i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime \prime}} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}} G_{i}
$$

and therefore, the previous decomposition does not depend on the choice of $F_{i j}$. This fact will be used in the computations of proposition 17 .

Now let $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$ be the group species defined by $\widetilde{Q}_{G}=\left(\widetilde{I},\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}},\left(\widetilde{A}_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^{2}}\right)$.
Proposition 15. The category of representations of $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$ is equivalent to $\bmod (k Q) G$.
Proof. Let $V \in \bmod (k Q) G$. For each $i \in \widetilde{I}$, let $V_{i}=e_{i} V$ which is a representation of $G_{i}$ because for $g \in G_{i}, g$ and $e_{i}$ commute in $(k Q) G$. For each $(i, j) \in \widetilde{I}^{2}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i j}: \widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} V_{i} & \rightarrow V_{j} \\
a \otimes v & \mapsto a v
\end{aligned}
$$

which is clearly a morphism of representations.
Hence, one gets a representation

$$
\Phi(V)=\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^{2}}\right)
$$

of $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$. If $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{(k Q) G}(V, W)$, it is easy that it induces a morphism $\Phi(f)$ from $\Phi(V)$ to $\Phi(W)$ by taking the restrictions to the $\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}}$. So $\Phi$ is a functor.

Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{(k Q) G}(V, W)$ and suppose that $\Phi(f)=0$. If $i \in I$, for every $v \in e_{i} V$,

$$
f(v)=\kappa_{i} f\left(\kappa_{i}^{-1} v\right)=\kappa_{i} \Phi(f)\left(\kappa_{i}^{-1} v\right)=0 .
$$

In other terms, $\Phi$ is faithful. Let now

$$
f^{\prime}: \Phi(V)=\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^{2}}\right) \rightarrow \Phi(W)=\left(\left(W_{i}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}},\left(y_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^{2}}\right)
$$

be a morphism. For each $i \in I$, define $f_{i}: e_{i} V \rightarrow e_{i} W$ by $f_{i}(v)=\kappa_{i} f_{i_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{i}^{-1} v\right)$ and $f: V \rightarrow W$ by $f=\bigoplus_{i \in I} f_{i}$. Now, for each $g \in G, i \in I$ and $v \in V_{i}$, one have

$$
f(g v)=f_{g i}(g v)=\kappa_{g i} f_{i_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{g i}^{-1} g v\right)=\kappa_{g i} f_{i_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{g i}^{-1} g \kappa_{i} \kappa_{i}^{-1} v\right)=\kappa_{g i} \kappa_{g i}^{-1} g \kappa_{i} f_{i_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{i}^{-1} v\right)=g f_{i}(v)=g f(v)
$$

the fourth equality being true because $\kappa_{g i}^{-1} g \kappa_{i} \in G_{i_{0}}$. Let $(i, j) \in I^{2}, a \in A_{i j}$ and $v \in V$. Let $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}$ and $g \in G$ such that $(i, j)=g\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$.

$$
f(a v)=f_{j}(a v)=\kappa_{j} f_{j_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{j}^{-1} a v\right)=\kappa_{j} f_{j_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{j}^{-1} g\left(g^{-1} a g\right) g^{-1} v\right)=g \kappa_{j^{\prime}} f_{j_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(g^{-1} a g\right) g^{-1} v\right)
$$

as $\kappa_{j}^{-1} g \kappa_{j^{\prime}} \in G_{j_{\circ}}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a v) & =g \kappa_{j^{\prime}} f_{j_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(x_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}\left(\kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} g^{-1} a g \kappa_{i^{\prime}} \otimes \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} g^{-1} v\right)\right)=g \kappa_{j^{\prime}} y_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}\left(\kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} g^{-1} a g \kappa_{i^{\prime}} \otimes f_{j_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} g^{-1} v\right)\right) \\
& =g \kappa_{j^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} g^{-1} a g \kappa_{i^{\prime}} f_{i_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} g^{-1} v\right)\right)=a g \kappa_{i^{\prime}} f_{i_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} g^{-1} v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and because $\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} g^{-1} \kappa_{i} \in G_{i_{0}}$, one have

$$
f(a v)=a \kappa_{i} f_{i_{\circ}}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{i}^{-1} v\right)=a f_{i}(v)=a f(v)
$$

so that $f$ is a morphism in $\bmod (k Q) G$. Moreover, one has $\Phi(f)=f^{\prime}$ and then $\Phi$ is full.
Let now $\left(\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in \widetilde{I}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \widetilde{I}^{2}}\right)$ be a representation of $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$. For each $i \in I$, fix a vector space $V_{i}$ and an isomorphism $\iota_{i}: V_{i \circ}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{i}$. Let $V=\bigoplus_{i \in I} V_{i}$. For $g \in G, \kappa_{g i}^{-1} g \kappa_{i} \in G_{i \circ}$ and then, for every $v_{i} \in V_{i}$, one can define

$$
g v_{i}=\iota_{g i}\left(\kappa_{g i}^{-1} g \kappa_{i} \iota_{i}^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)
$$

which leads clearly to an action of $G$ on $V$. For $i \in I, e_{i} \in(k Q) G$ acts on $V$ by

$$
e_{i} \sum_{i^{\prime} \in I} v_{i^{\prime}}=v_{i}
$$

Let $(i, j) \in I^{2}$. For $a \in A_{i j}, a$ acts on $V$ by

$$
a \sum_{\ell \in I} v_{\ell}=\iota_{j}\left(x_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}\left(\kappa_{j}^{-1} a \kappa_{i} \otimes \iota_{i}^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)\right)
$$

which clearly defines an action of $k Q$ on $V$ (to see that $\kappa_{j}^{-1} a \kappa_{i} \in \widetilde{A}_{i_{\circ} j_{o}}$, it is enough to choose $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i_{\circ} j_{0}}$ and $g \in G$ such that $\left.g\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)=(i, j)\right)$. Now, keeping the same notations, if $h \in G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(a\left(h^{-1} \sum_{\ell \in I} v_{\ell}\right)\right) & =h\left(a \sum_{\ell \in I} \iota_{h^{-1} \ell}\left(\kappa_{h^{-1} \ell}^{-1} h^{-1} \kappa_{\ell} \iota_{\ell}^{-1}\left(v_{\ell}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =h \iota_{j}\left(x_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}\left(\kappa_{j}^{-1} a \kappa_{i} \otimes \iota_{i}^{-1}\left(\iota_{i}\left(\kappa_{i}^{-1} h^{-1} \kappa_{h i} \iota_{h i}^{-1}\left(v_{h i}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\iota_{h j}\left(\kappa_{h j}^{-1} h \kappa_{j} x_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}\left(\kappa_{j}^{-1} a \kappa_{i} \otimes \kappa_{i}^{-1} h^{-1} \kappa_{h i} \iota_{h i}^{-1}\left(v_{h i}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\iota_{h j}\left(x_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}\left(\kappa_{h j}^{-1} h \kappa_{j} \kappa_{j}^{-1} a \kappa_{i} \otimes \kappa_{i}^{-1} h^{-1} \kappa_{h i} \iota_{h i}^{-1}\left(v_{h i}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\kappa_{h j}^{-1} h \kappa_{j} \in G_{j_{\circ}}$. Hence

$$
h\left(a\left(h^{-1} \sum_{\ell \in I} v_{\ell}\right)\right)=\iota_{h j}\left(x_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}\left(\kappa_{h j}^{-1} h a \kappa_{i} \kappa_{i}^{-1} h^{-1} \kappa_{h i} \otimes \iota_{h i}^{-1}\left(v_{h i}\right)\right)\right)
$$

because $\kappa_{i}^{-1} h^{-1} \kappa_{h i} \in G_{i_{\circ}}$. Finally,

$$
h\left(a\left(h^{-1} \sum_{\ell \in I} v_{\ell}\right)\right)=\iota_{h j}\left(x_{i_{\circ} j_{\circ}}\left(\kappa_{h j}^{-1}\left(h a h^{-1}\right) \kappa_{h i} \otimes \iota_{h i}^{-1}\left(v_{h i}\right)\right)\right)=\left(h a h^{-1}\right) \sum_{\ell \in I} v_{\ell}
$$

and therefore an action of $(k Q) G$ is defined on $V$. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(V) & \rightarrow\left(\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^{2}}\right) \\
\left(v_{i}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}} & \mapsto\left(\kappa_{i} \iota_{i}^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism and therefore, $\Phi$ is essentially surjective. As $\Phi$ is fully faithful and essentially surjective, $\Phi$ is an equivalence of categories.

Suppose now that $Q$ is a double quiver. We regard it as a double group species with trivial group at each vertex. Thus we have a pairing $\langle$,$\rangle and an \varepsilon$. Moreover, the group $G$ still acts on $k Q$, and we will assume that $\langle$,$\rangle and \varepsilon$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall(i, j) \in I^{2}, \forall\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in A_{i j} \times A_{j i}, \forall g \in G, \\
& \left\langle g a_{1} g^{-1}, g a_{2} g^{-1}\right\rangle_{g i, g j}=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle_{i j} \text { and } \varepsilon_{g i, g j}\left(g a_{1} g^{-1}\right)=g \varepsilon_{i j}\left(a_{1}\right) g^{-1} . \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

For each $(i, j) \in \widetilde{I},\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right),\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right) \in F_{i j}, a_{1} \in A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}, a_{2} \in A_{j^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}},\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in G_{i}$ and $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in G_{j}$, let

$$
\left\langle h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1}, g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} a_{2} \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2}\right\rangle_{i j}^{\prime}=\left\langle\kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}, a_{2}\right\rangle
$$

if $\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ and $\kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}=1$ and

$$
\left\langle h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1}, g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} a_{2} \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2}\right\rangle_{i j}^{\prime}=0
$$

otherwise. Define also $\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}\left(h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\# G_{i} \# G_{j}} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \varepsilon_{i j}\left(a_{1}\right) \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} .
$$

Lemma 16. The bracket $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ endow $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$ with the structure of a double group species.
Proof. First of all, if one chooses $\bar{i}^{\prime}, \bar{j}^{\prime}, \bar{h}_{1}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{g}_{1}$ such that $\bar{h}_{1} \kappa_{\bar{j}^{\prime}}^{-1} \bar{a}_{1} \kappa_{\bar{i}^{\prime}} \bar{g}_{1}=h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1}$, we get that $\bar{a}_{1}=\kappa_{\bar{j}^{\prime}} \bar{h}_{1}^{-1} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} \bar{g}_{1}^{-1} \kappa_{\bar{i}^{\prime}}^{-1}$ and therefore, because of the presentation of $(k Q) G$, one has $\kappa_{\bar{j}^{\prime}} \bar{h}_{1}^{-1} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} \bar{g}_{1}^{-1} \kappa_{\bar{i}^{\prime}}^{-1}=1$. In other terms, $\bar{h}_{1} \kappa_{\bar{j}^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{\bar{i}^{\prime}} \bar{g}_{1}=h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1}$ and then $\kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} \bar{h}_{1} \kappa_{\overline{j^{\prime}}}^{-1} \kappa_{\bar{i}^{\prime}} \bar{g}_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}=1$ if and only if $\kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}=1$. On the other hand, $\kappa_{j^{\prime}} h_{1}^{-1} \bar{h}_{1} \kappa_{\bar{j}^{\prime}}^{-1}=\kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} \bar{g}_{1}^{-1} \kappa_{\bar{i}^{\prime}}^{-1}$ which is an element that sends $\left(\bar{i}^{\prime}, \bar{j}^{\prime}\right)$ to $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$. By definition of $F_{i j}$, one gets that $\left(\bar{i}^{\prime}, \bar{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ and then $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ is well defined. By the same reasoning, $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is well defined.

It is now clear that $\langle,\rangle_{i j}^{\prime}$ is a non degenerate pairing and that for every $\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) \in \widetilde{A}_{i j} \times \widetilde{A}_{j i}$, $\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle_{i j}=\left\langle a^{\prime}, a\right\rangle_{j i}$. Keeping the same notation as before, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# G_{i} \# G_{i}\left\langle{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1}\right), g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} a_{2} \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2}\right\rangle_{i j}^{\prime}=\left\langle h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1}, g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} \varepsilon_{j i}\left(a_{2}\right) \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2}\right\rangle_{i j}^{\prime} \\
& = \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left\langle\kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}, \varepsilon_{j i}\left(a_{2}\right)\right\rangle & \text { if }\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}=1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left\langle-\varepsilon_{i j}\left(\kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}\right), a_{2}\right\rangle & \text { if }\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}=1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left\langle-\kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \varepsilon_{i j}\left(a_{1}\right) \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}, a_{2}\right\rangle & \text { if }\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2} h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1} g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}=1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\# G_{i} \# G_{j}\left\langle-\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}\left(h_{1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a_{1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{1}\right), g_{2} \kappa_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} a_{2} \kappa_{j^{\prime \prime}} h_{2}\right\rangle_{i j}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that ${ }^{\dagger} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}=-\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 17. The category of representations of $(k Q) G$ satisfying the preprojective relations is equivalent to the category of representations of $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$ satisfying the preprojective relations.

Proof. For every $(i, j) \in I^{2}$, denote by $\mathcal{B}_{i j}$ a basis of $A_{i j}$. For each $(g, h),\left(g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right) \in G_{i o} \times G_{j_{0}}$, say that $(g, h) \sim\left(g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)$ if $h \kappa_{j}^{-1} \kappa_{i} g=h^{\prime} \kappa_{j}^{-1} \kappa_{i} g^{\prime}$ and then fix a set $G_{i j}$ of representatives of the equivalence classes for this relation. Then, for $(i, j) \in \widetilde{I}^{2}$,

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}=\bigcup_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \bigcup_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} h \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g
$$

is a basis of $\widetilde{A}_{i j}$. Indeed, it is an easy consequence of the definition of $\widetilde{A}_{i j}$ and of the relations in $(k Q) G$. Moreover, if $h \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}$ then the corresponding element of $\widetilde{A}_{j i}$ in the dual basis of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}$ for $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ is $\left(h \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g\right)^{*}=g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} a^{*} \kappa_{j^{\prime}} h^{-1}$ where $a^{*}$ is the element of $A_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}$ corresponding to $a$ in the dual basis of $\mathcal{B}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$ for $\langle$,$\rangle (this is an easy computation). We prove now that the map$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}} & \rightarrow I \\
(g, h) & \mapsto g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

has image $I_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}=\left\{j^{\prime \prime} \in I \mid \exists g \in G,\left(i, j^{\prime \prime}\right)=g\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ and that each element of $I_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$ has exactly $\# G_{j}$ preimages. First of all, it is clear that the image is a subset of $I_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$. Let now $j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$. There exists $h_{\circ} \in G$ such that $\left(i, j^{\prime \prime}\right)=h_{\circ}\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$. The equality $i=h_{\circ} i^{\prime}$ is equivalent to the fact that $h_{\circ}=g_{\circ}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1}$ for some $g_{\circ} \in G_{i}$. The aim is now to count the $(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$ such that $g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}=g_{\circ}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}$ or in other terms $\kappa_{i^{\prime}} g g_{\circ}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} \in G_{j^{\prime}}$. Finally, as $G_{i}=\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} G_{i^{\prime}} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{0}$, one has to compute

$$
\#\left(\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}\right) \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{0} \times G_{j}\right) \cap G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

If $(g, h) \in \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}\right) \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{0} \times G_{j}$, its equivalence class for $\sim$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left(g^{\prime}, h \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g g^{\prime-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}\right) \in G_{i} \times G_{j}\right\} \\
= & \left\{\left(g^{\prime}, h \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g g^{\prime-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} \kappa_{j^{\prime}}\right) \in \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}\right) \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{0} \times G\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a subset of $\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}\right) \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{0} \times G_{j}$ of cardinality $\#\left(G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}\right)$. One deduces easily that

$$
\#\left(\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}\right) \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g_{0} \times G_{j}\right) \cap G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right)=\# G_{j} .
$$

One also has

$$
I=\coprod_{j \in \tilde{I}\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} I_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}
$$

Now, it is immediate that $\bmod \Lambda_{Q} G$ can be seen as the category of representations of $(k Q) G$ satisfying the preprojective relations, in the obvious way. Let $V=\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right) \in$ $\bmod (k Q) G$. Then, using the same notations as in the proposition 15 ,

$$
\Phi(V)=\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}},\left(y_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^{2}}\right)
$$

satisfies the preprojective relations if and only if, for all $i \in \widetilde{I}$ and $v \in V_{i}$,

$$
\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} y_{j i}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{V_{j}}\right)\left(\widetilde{y}_{i j}(v)\right)\right)=0 .
$$

It is equivalent to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} y_{j i}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{V_{j}}\right)\left(\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} a^{*} \otimes y_{i j}(a \otimes v)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \widetilde{I}} y_{j i}\left(\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes a v\right)=\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) a v \\
& =\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \sum_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(\left(h \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g\right)^{*}\right) h \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g v \\
& =\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \sum_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} a^{*} \kappa_{j^{\prime}} h^{-1}\right) h \kappa_{j^{\prime}}^{-1} a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g v \\
& =\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \sum_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{\# G_{i^{\prime}} \# G_{j^{\prime}}} g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} \varepsilon_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\left(a^{*}\right) a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g v \\
& =\frac{1}{\# G_{i}} \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \frac{1}{\# G_{j}} \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \sum_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}, g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} i^{\prime}}\left(g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} a^{*} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g\right) g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g v \\
& =\frac{1}{\# G_{i}} \sum_{j \in \widetilde{I}} \frac{1}{\# G_{j}} \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \sum_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}, i}\left(\left(g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g\right)^{*}\right) g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} a \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g v \\
& =\frac{1}{\# G_{i}} \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \frac{1}{\# G_{j}} \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \sum_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \sum_{a \in g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} \kappa^{\prime} \kappa_{i^{\prime}} g}} \varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}, i}\left(a^{*}\right) a v \\
& =\frac{1}{\# G_{i}} \sum_{j \in \widetilde{I}} \frac{1}{\# G_{j}} \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \sum_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i, g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}{ }^{-1}} \varepsilon_{j^{\prime}}} \varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}, i}\left(a^{*}\right) a v \\
& =\frac{1}{\# G_{i}} \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \frac{1}{\# G_{j}} \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \sum_{(g, h) \in G_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}} x_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}, i}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}, i} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{V_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}-1 j^{\prime}}}\right)\left(\widetilde{x}_{i, g^{-1} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} j^{\prime}}(v)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\# G_{i}} \sum_{j \in I} x_{j, i}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j, i} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{V_{j}}\right)\left(\widetilde{x}_{i, j}(v)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the preprojective relation for $V$ at the vertex $i$. Moreover, all preprojective relations of $Q$ are conjugates by elements of $G$ to some of these relations for $i \in \widetilde{I}$.

We suppose now that the characteristic of $k$ does not divide the cardinality of $G$ and that the simple representations of $G$ on $k$ are absolutely indecomposable, in the sense that for every simple representation $\rho$ of $G, \rho \otimes_{k} \bar{k}$ is simple over the algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of $k$, or equivalently $\operatorname{End}_{k[G]}(\rho) \simeq k$. In particular, this is the case when $k=\bar{k}$. As in section 1, define

$$
I_{G}=\bigcup_{i \in \widetilde{I}}\{i\} \times \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)$ is a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $G_{i}$. For every $(i, \rho),(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}$, define

$$
A_{(i, \rho)(j, \sigma)}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} \rho, \sigma\right)^{*}
$$

Let now $Q_{G}$ be the quiver $\left(I_{G},\left(A_{i j}^{\prime}\right)_{(i, j) \in I_{G}^{2}}\right)$.
Remark 18. One has another way to compute the arrows:

$$
A_{(i, \rho)(j, \sigma)}^{\prime} \simeq \bigoplus_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in F_{i j}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\left.\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \rho\right)\right|_{G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}} \otimes_{k} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}},\left.\left(\kappa_{j^{\prime}} \cdot \sigma\right)\right|_{G_{i^{\prime}} \cap G_{j^{\prime}}}\right)^{*}
$$

where the representation $\kappa_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \rho$ of $G_{i^{\prime}}$ is the same as $\rho$ as a vector space and where, if $g \in G_{i^{\prime}}=$ $\kappa_{i^{\prime}} G_{i} \kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1},\left(\kappa_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \rho\right)_{g}=\rho_{\kappa_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} g \kappa_{i^{\prime}}}$.
Proposition 19. There is an equivalence of categories between $\bmod k Q_{G}$ and the category of representations of $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$.
Proof. For each $(i, \rho),(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}$ and for every pair of vector spaces $(V, W)$, there is a linear isomorphism :

$$
\varphi_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} \rho, \sigma\right)^{*} \otimes_{k} V, W\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} \rho \otimes_{k} V, \sigma \otimes_{k} W\right)
$$

which is bifunctorial in $(V, W)$ (contravariantly in $V$ and covariantly in $W$ ). It is defined by

$$
\varphi_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}(f)(a \otimes t \otimes v)=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha(a \otimes t) \otimes f\left(\alpha^{*} \otimes v\right)
$$

which does not depend on the choice of a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} \rho, \sigma\right)$. Its inverse is defined by

$$
\varphi_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{-1}(g)(\alpha \otimes v)=\sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha\left(\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\sigma} \otimes w^{*}\right) \circ g \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes \rho} \otimes v\right)\right) \otimes w
$$

which does not depend on the choice of a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $W$.
Let $\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{G}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I_{G}^{2}}\right)$ be a representation of $Q_{G}$. For each $i \in \widetilde{I}$, let

$$
V_{i}^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{\rho \in \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)} \rho \otimes_{k} V_{(i, \rho)}
$$

We regard it as a representation of $G_{i}$ and for each $(i, j) \in \widetilde{I}$, we set

$$
x_{i j}^{\prime}=\left(\varphi_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\left(x_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\right)\right)_{(\sigma, \rho) \in \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{j}\right) \times \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)}
$$

with the usual matrix notation. Denote $\Psi\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{G}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I_{G}^{2}}\right)=\left(\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in \widetilde{I}},\left(x_{i j}^{\prime}\right)_{(i, j) \in \widetilde{I}^{2}}\right)$. By functoriality of $\varphi_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}$, a morphism $f:\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{G}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I_{G}^{2}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(W_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{G}},\left(y_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I_{G}^{2}}\right)$ induces a morphism $\Psi(f): \Psi\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{G}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I_{G}^{2}}\right) \rightarrow \Psi\left(\left(W_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{G}},\left(y_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I_{G}^{2}}\right)$ by setting for each $i \in \widetilde{I}$

$$
\Psi(f)_{i}=\bigoplus_{\rho \in \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)} \operatorname{Id}_{\rho} \otimes f_{(i, \rho)}
$$

Hence one gets a functor $\Phi$ from the category of representations of $Q_{G}$ to the category of representations of $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$. It is easily seen to be faithful and essentially surjective (because $\varphi_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}$ is an isomorphism). As $k$ is algebraically closed and its characteristic does not divide the cardinality of $G$, for each $(i, \rho) \in I_{G}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(V_{(i, \rho)}, W_{(i, \rho)}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{i}}\left(\rho \otimes_{k} V_{(i, \rho)}, \rho \otimes_{k} W_{(i, \rho)}\right), f \mapsto \operatorname{Id}_{\rho} \otimes f$ is an isomorphism and therefore, $\Phi$ is full.

Let $(i, \rho),(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}^{2}$. For $f \in A_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{* *}=\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} \rho, \sigma\right)$ and $g \in A_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}^{*}=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{i}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{j i} \otimes_{G_{j}} \sigma, \rho\right)$, define

$$
\langle f, g\rangle^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(s \mapsto g\left(a^{*} \otimes s\right)\right) \circ(r \mapsto f(a \otimes r))\right)
$$

which is clearly bilinear symmetric. Remark also that for every $r \in \rho$,

$$
\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} g\left(a^{*} \otimes f(a \otimes r)\right)=\frac{\langle f, g\rangle^{\prime \prime}}{\operatorname{dim} \rho} r
$$

by comparing the traces and by observing that the left hand side is a morphism from $\rho$ to $\rho$ that must be a multiple of the identity because $\rho$ is simple. Moreover, the map that sends $f$ to $r \mapsto \sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} a^{*} \otimes f(a \otimes r)$ is an isomorphism from $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} \rho, \sigma\right)$ to $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{i}}\left(\rho, \widetilde{A}_{j i} \otimes_{G_{j}} \sigma\right)$
as observed in the definition of the preprojective relations. In particular if $f \neq 0$ then $r \mapsto$ $\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} a^{*} \otimes f(a \otimes r)$ is not null and $\langle f,-\rangle^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. So that $\langle,\rangle^{\prime \prime}$ is not degenerate. By biduality, one has also a non degenerate pairing between $A_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{\prime}$ and $A_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}^{\prime}$ which will be also denoted by $\langle,\rangle^{\prime \prime}$. Define also

$$
\varepsilon_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}^{\prime \prime}(f)=f \circ\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\rho}\right)=-f \circ\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\rho}\right) .
$$

One then has for every $r \in \rho$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varepsilon_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}^{\prime \prime}(f), g\right\rangle^{\prime \prime} r & =-\operatorname{dim}(\rho) \sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} g\left(a^{*} \otimes f\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}(a) \otimes r\right)\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{dim}(\rho) \sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} g\left(\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime-1}(a)\right)^{*} \otimes f(a \otimes r)\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{dim}(\rho) \sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} g\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes f(a \otimes r)\right) \\
& =-\left\langle f, \varepsilon_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{\prime \prime}(g)\right\rangle^{\prime \prime} r
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\varepsilon_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}^{\prime \prime}=-\varepsilon_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{\prime \prime}$. The automorphism $\varepsilon_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{\prime \prime}$ of $A_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}^{\prime *}$ can be seen as an automorphism $A_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{\prime}$ through the pairing $\langle,\rangle^{\prime \prime}$ and therefore, $Q_{G}$ has a structure of double quiver.

Proposition 20. The category of representations of $Q_{G}$ satisfying the preprojective relations is equivalent to the category of representations of $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$ satisfying the preprojective relations.
Proof. For every $(i, \rho),(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}^{2}$, let $\mathcal{B}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}$ be a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{j}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i j} \otimes_{G_{i}} \rho, \sigma\right)$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}$ are dual basis for $\langle,\rangle^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $V=\left(\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{G}},\left(x_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I_{G}^{2}}\right) \in \bmod k Q_{G}$. Then, using the same notation as in proposition 19, $\Psi(V)=\left(\left(W_{i}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I}},\left(y_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^{2}}\right)$ satisfies the preprojective relations if and only if, for all $i \in \widetilde{I}$, $\rho \in \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)$ and $r \otimes v \in \rho \otimes V_{(i, \rho)} \subset W_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\sum_{j \in \widetilde{I}} y_{j i}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{W_{j}}\right)\left(\widetilde{y}_{i j}(r \otimes v)\right)\right)=\sum_{j \in \widetilde{I}} y_{j i}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{W_{j}}\right)\left(\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} a^{*} \otimes y_{i j}(a \otimes r \otimes v)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} y_{j i}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{W_{j}}\right)\left(\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} a^{*} \otimes \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{j}\right)} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}} \alpha(a \otimes r) \otimes x_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\left(\alpha^{*} \otimes v\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{j}\right)} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}} y_{j i}\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r) \otimes x_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\left(\alpha^{*} \otimes v\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}} \sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{B} \\
\beta \in \mathcal{B}(j, \rho),(j, \sigma),(i, \tau)}} \beta\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)\right) \otimes x_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}\left(\beta^{*} \otimes x_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\left(\alpha^{*} \otimes v\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}, \tau \in \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right), \alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}, \beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j, \sigma),(i, \tau)}$ and $r \in \rho$, define

$$
\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(r)=\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \beta\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)\right)
$$

which does not depend on the basis $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}$ of $\widetilde{A}_{i j}$. One then has, for every $g \in G_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(g r) & =\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \beta\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes g r)\right)=\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \beta\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a g \otimes r)\right) \\
& =\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j} g} \beta\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(\left(a g^{-1}\right)^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)\right)=\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j} g} \beta\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(g a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)\right) \\
& =g \sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j} g} \beta\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)\right)=g \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a morphism from $\rho$ to $\tau$. Therefore, if $\tau \neq \rho, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}=0$. One also has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\alpha, \varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime \prime-1}(\beta)}(r) & =\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime \prime-1}(\beta)\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}}\left(\beta \circ\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime-1} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\sigma}\right)\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i j}} \beta\left(a^{*} \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)\right)=-\frac{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle^{\prime \prime}}{\operatorname{dim} \rho} r
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Psi(V)$ satisfies the preprojective relations if and only if, for all $(i, \rho) \in I_{G}$ and $r \otimes v \in$ $\rho \otimes V_{(i, \rho)} \subset W_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\sum_{\substack{(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}}}} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(r) \otimes x_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}\left(\beta^{*} \otimes x_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\left(\alpha^{*} \otimes v\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}^{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}}}} \varphi_{\alpha, \varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime \prime-1}(\beta)}(r) \otimes x_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime \prime-1}(\beta)\right)^{*} \otimes x_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\left(\alpha^{*} \otimes v\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)} \\
\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}}} \frac{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle^{\prime \prime}}{\operatorname{dim} \rho} r \otimes x_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime \prime}\left(\beta^{*}\right) \otimes x_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\left(\alpha^{*} \otimes v\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{r}{\operatorname{dim} \rho} \otimes \sum_{(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)} x_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \otimes x_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}\left(\alpha^{*} \otimes v\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{r}{\operatorname{dim} \rho} \otimes \sum_{(j, \sigma) \in I_{G}} x_{(j, \sigma),(i, \rho)}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j i}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \operatorname{Id}\right)\left(\widetilde{x}_{(i, \rho),(j, \sigma)}(v)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof.
To conclude the proof of theorem 2 we need to relate its hypothesis to the condition (因) given before lemma 16 .

Lemma 21. Let $Q=\left(I,\left(A_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ be a quiver endowed with a structure of double quiver given by $\langle$,$\rangle and \varepsilon$. Suppose that a finite group $G$ acts on $k Q$ by stabilizing $I$. Then the following are equivalent
(1) The preprojective relation is stable under the action of $G$, in the sense that for every $g \in G$,

$$
\sum_{(i, j) \in I^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{j i}\left(a^{*}\right) a=\left(\sum_{(i, j) \in I^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{j i}\left(a^{*}\right) a\right)^{g}
$$

(note that each side does not depend on the choice of the basis $\mathcal{B}_{i j}$ of $A_{i j}$ ).
(2) There exists some double quiver structure $\left(\langle,\rangle^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ on $Q$ such that the preprojective relation for $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is the same than the one for $\langle$,$\rangle and \varepsilon$ satisfying, for every $g \in G$, $\operatorname{every}(i, j) \in I^{2}$ and every $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in A_{i j} \times A_{j i}$,

$$
\left\langle a_{1}^{g}, a_{2}^{g}\right\rangle_{g i, g j}^{\prime}=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle_{i j}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon_{g i, g j}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}^{g}\right)=\varepsilon_{i j}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}\right)^{g}
$$

Proof. First of all, if the second condition is satisfied, then one can suppose that $\langle\rangle=,\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime}$. One then has

$$
\left(\sum_{(i, j) \in I^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{j i}\left(a^{*}\right) a\right)^{g}=\sum_{(i, j) \in I^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{g j, g i}\left(\left(a^{*}\right)^{g}\right) a^{g}=\sum_{(i, j) \in I^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{g j, g i}\left(\left(a^{g}\right)^{*}\right) a^{g}
$$

so that the first condition is satisfied because it does not depend on the choice of the basis $\mathcal{B}_{i j}$.
Now, suppose that the first condition is satisfied. It is easy to construct $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ that is $G$-invariant in the sens given before. Now, by the proof of the lemma $13, \varepsilon^{\prime}$ can be chosen such that $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ leads to the same preprojective relation as $\langle$,$\rangle and \varepsilon$. We suppose now that $\langle,\rangle^{\prime}=\langle$,$\rangle and$ $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon$. One has

$$
\sum_{(i, j) \in I^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{j i}\left(a^{*}\right) a=\sum_{(i, j) \in I^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{j i}\left(a^{*}\right)^{g} a^{g}=\sum_{(i, j) \in I^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}} \varepsilon_{j i}\left(\left(a^{g^{-1}}\right)^{*}\right)^{g} a
$$

which implies, because of the algebra structure of $k Q$ that for every $(i, j) \in I^{2}$ and $a \in \mathcal{B}_{i j}$,

$$
\varepsilon_{g^{-1} j, g^{-1} i}\left(\left(a^{g^{-1}}\right)^{*}\right)^{g}=\varepsilon_{i j}\left(a^{*}\right)
$$

which is equivalent to $\varepsilon_{g j, g i}\left(\left(a^{g}\right)^{*}\right)=\varepsilon_{i j}\left(a^{*}\right)^{g}$. As $\langle$,$\rangle is G$-invariant, $\left(a^{g}\right)^{*}=\left(a^{*}\right)^{g}$ and therefore $\varepsilon$ satisfies the searched relation.

The following lemma leads to the computation of $(\bar{Q})_{G}$ when $\bar{Q}$ is the double quiver of a quiver $Q$ and the action of $G$ on $k \bar{Q}$ comes from an action of $G$ on $k Q$. This lemma relies corollary 3 with theorem 2 .

Lemma 22. Suppose that $Q=\left(I,\left(A_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in I^{2}}\right)$ is a quiver and that $G$ acts on $k Q$ by stabilizing I. Then $G$ acts in a natural way on the double quiver $\bar{Q}$ and stabilizes the preprojective relation. Moreover, $\widetilde{\bar{Q}}_{G}=\overline{\widetilde{Q}}_{G}$ and $(\bar{Q})_{G}=\overline{Q_{G}}$ where $\widetilde{\bar{Q}}_{G}$ and $\widetilde{Q}_{G}$ are as in section 女.
Proof. If $a \oplus f \in \bar{A}_{i j}=A_{i j} \oplus A_{j i}^{*}$ and $g \in G$, let $(a \oplus f)^{g}=a^{g} \oplus\left(a^{\prime} \mapsto f\left(a^{\prime g^{-1}}\right)\right) \in \bar{A}_{g i, g j}$. With this action, all the rest of the lemma is clear.
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