

Convergence of multi-class systems of fixed possibly infinite sizes

Carl Graham

▶ To cite this version:

Carl Graham. Convergence of multi-class systems of fixed possibly infinite sizes. Statistics and Probability Letters, 2011, 81 (1), pp.31-35. 10.1016/j.spl.2010.09.015. hal-00358575

HAL Id: hal-00358575

https://hal.science/hal-00358575

Submitted on 3 Feb 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CONVERGENCE OF MULTI-CLASS SYSTEMS OF FIXED POSSIBLY INFINITE SIZES

CARL GRAHAM,* École Polytechnique, CNRS

Email address: carl@cmapx.polytechnique.fr

Abstract

Multi-class systems having possibly both finite and infinite classes are investigated under a natural partial exchangeability assumption. It is proved that the conditional law of such a system, given the vector of the empirical measures of its finite classes and directing measures of its infinite ones (given by the de Finetti Theorem), corresponds to sampling *independently* from each class, without replacement from the finite classes and i.i.d. from the directing measure for the infinite ones. The equivalence between the convergence of multi-exchangeable systems with fixed class sizes and the convergence of the corresponding vectors of measures is then established.

Keywords: Interacting particle systems; multi-class; multi-type; multi-species; mixtures; partial exchangeability; empirical measures; de Finetti Theorem

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60K35

Secondary 60B10; 60G09; 62B05

1. Introduction

Kallenberg [7], Kingman [8], Diaconis and Freedman [2], and Aldous [1] are among many studies of exchangeable random variables (r.v.) with Polish state spaces, a fundamental topic in many fields of probability and statistics. Nevertheless, many models in stratified sampling, statistical mechanics, chemistry, communication networks, biology, etc., actually involve *varied* classes of similar objects, which we call "particles". Some examples can be found in Graham [3, 4] and Graham and Robert [6].

Several classical results for exchangeable systems are extended to such multi-class particle systems in Graham [5], under a natural partial exchangeability assumption called multi-exchangeability. It is notably proved that the convergence in law of a family of finite systems, with limit a system with infinite class sizes, is equivalent to the convergence in law of the corresponding family of vectors of the empirical measures within each class, with limit the vector of the directing measures of each limit class (which is exchangeable, and the directing measure is given by the de Finetti theorem). This result allows use of compactness-uniqueness techniques on the measure vectors for convergence proofs, extending those for propagation of chaos proofs for exchangeable systems introduced by Sznitman [10] and developed among others by Méléard [9] and Graham [3, 4]. We refer to [5] for further motivation.

In the present paper, we extend this convergence result to multi-exchangeable systems with *fixed* class sizes, which may be both finite and infinite, by showing that their convergence in law is equivalent to the convergence in law for the vectors of measures with components the empirical measures for finite classes and the directing measure for

^{*} Postal address: CMAP, École Polytechnique, CNRS, 91128 Palaiseau France.

2 Carl Graham

infinite classes (given by the de Finetti Theorem). We first extend another result of [5], and prove that the conditional law of a multi-echangeable system, given the vector of measures defined above, corresponds to sampling *independently* without replacement from *each* finite class and i.i.d. from the directing measure for *each* infinite class, the remarkable fact being independence between *different* classes.

These new results are related to, and combine well with, those of [5]. For instance, results in [5] can yield a tractable large size limit for a finite model of interest, one hopes that the long-time behavior of the finite model is well approximated by that of the limit, and the new results can help us study all the long-time behaviors and their relationship. They can be likewise used for e.g. fluid limits or diffusion approximations.

All state spaces S are Polish, and the weak topology is used for the space of probability measures $\mathcal{P}(S)$ which is then also Polish, as are products of Polish spaces. For $k \geq 1$ we denote by $\Sigma(k)$ the set of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$, and by $\Sigma(\infty)$ the set of permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$ with *finite* support.

2. Some combinatorial facts

Theorem 1. Let $1 \le k \le N$ and $(N)_k = N(N-1)\cdots(N-k+1)$. Sampling k times without replacement among N possibly not distinct points $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds to sampling from the law

$$\lambda^{N,k}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \frac{1}{(N)_k} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n_1,\ldots,n_k \le N \\ \text{distinct}}} \delta_{(x_{n_1},\ldots,x_{n_k})} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}^k)$$

which is a continuous function (for the weak and the total variation topologies) of

$$\lambda^{N,1}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{x_n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}).$$

More precisely, $\lambda^{N,k}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)$ can be written as a sum of continuous linear functions of $\lambda^{N,1}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)^{\otimes j} = \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{x_n}\right)^{\otimes j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ by an exclusion-inclusion formula. Sampling k times with replacement corresponds to using the law $\lambda^{N,1}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)^{\otimes k} = \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{x_n}\right)^{\otimes k}$.

Proof. The statements about the laws used for sampling without and with replacement are obvious. Sampling from $\lambda^{N,k}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)$ corresponds to sampling k times without replacement from the atoms of $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{x_n}$ counted with their multiplicities, and this fact clearly implies the continuity statement. More precisely,

$$\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\delta_{x_{n}}\right)^{\otimes k} = \frac{1}{N^{k}}\sum_{1\leq n_{1},\dots,n_{k}\leq N}\delta_{(x_{n_{1}},\dots,x_{n_{k}})}$$

$$= \frac{(N)_{k}}{N^{k}}\lambda^{N,k}(x_{1},\dots,x_{N}) + \frac{1}{N^{k}}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\sum_{\substack{1\leq n_{1},\dots,n_{k}\leq N\\ \operatorname{Card}\{n_{1},\dots,n_{k}\}=j}}\delta_{(x_{n_{1}},\dots,x_{n_{k}})} \quad (1)$$

where the term of index j in the sum is a continuous linear function of $\lambda^{N,j}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)$, and since $j \leq k-1$ we conclude by recurrence over $k \geq 1$.

The following result quantifies the difference between sampling with and without replacement in variation norm $\|\mu\| = \sup\{\langle \phi, \mu \rangle : \|\phi\|_{\infty} \le 1\}$. See also [1, Prop. 5.6 p. 39] and [2, Theorem 13 p. 749].

Theorem 2. With the notations of Theorem 1, we have

$$\|\lambda^{N,k}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) - \lambda^{N,1}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)^{\otimes k}\| \le 2\frac{N^k - (N)_k}{N^k} \le \frac{k(k-1)}{N}$$

and the first inequality is an equality if and only if the x_1, \ldots, x_N are distinct.

Proof. Equation (1) yields the first inequality for the variation norm, and the condition for it to be an equality. The second inequality follows by bounding $N^k - (N)_k$ by counting k(k-1)/2 possible positions for two identical indices with N choices and N^{k-2} choices for the other k-2 positions.

3. Multi-exchangeable systems

3.1. Reminders on exchangeable systems

Let $N \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, ...\}$ be fixed. A *finite* system $(X_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N}$ of random variables (r.v.) with state space S is *exchangeable* if

$$\mathcal{L}(X_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,X_{\sigma(N)}) = \mathcal{L}(X_1,\ldots,X_N), \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma(N).$$

The empirical measure of the system is the random probability measure

$$\Lambda^{N} = \lambda^{N,1}(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta_{X_n}$$
 (2)

with samples in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$. The conditional law of such an exchangeable system given its empirical measure Λ^N is $\lambda^{N,N}(X_1,\ldots,X_N)$, see Theorem 1 for the definition of $\lambda^{N,N}$ and e.g. Aldous [1, Lemma 5.4 p. 38] for the result.

An infinite system $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is exchangeable if every finite subsystem $(X_n)_{1\leq n\leq N}$ is exchangeable. The de Finetti Theorem, see e.g. [7, 8, 2, 1], states that such a system is a mixture of i.i.d. sequences, and precisely that its law is of the form

$$\int P^{\otimes \infty} \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{\infty}}(dP)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{\infty}}$ is the law of the random probability measure

$$\Lambda^{\infty} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \lambda^{N,1}(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta_{X_n} \text{ a.s.}$$
 (3)

called the *directing measure* of the system. The conditional law of such a system given Λ^{∞} corresponds to i.i.d. draws from Λ^{∞} .

3.2. Multi-class systems

In order to consider finite and infinite systems simultaneously, we will take class sizes in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, and be redundant for clarity. Let $C \geq 1$ and $N_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and state spaces S_i be fixed for $1 \leq i \leq C$, and consider a *multi-class* system

$$(X_{n,i})_{1 \le n \le N_i, 1 \le i \le C}, \qquad X_{n,i} \text{ with state space } S_i,$$
 (4)

4 Carl Graham

where the r.v. $X_{n,i}$ is the *n*-th particle, or object, of class i, and $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ is interpreted as $n \geq 1$. We say that (4) is a multi-exchangeable system if its law is invariant under finite permutations of the particles within classes, and precisely if

$$\mathcal{L}((X_{\sigma,(n),i})_{1 \le n \le N_i, 1 \le i \le C}) = \mathcal{L}((X_{n,i})_{1 \le n \le N_i, 1 \le i \le C}), \quad \forall \sigma_i \in \Sigma(N_i).$$

This natural assumption means that particles of a class are statistically indistinguishable, and obviously implies that $(X_{n,i})_{1 \leq n \leq N_i}$ is exchangeable for all i. It is sufficient to check that it is true when all σ_i but one are the identity.

For a multi-exchangeable system, a fundamental quantity is the random vector of probability measures, with samples in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}_C)$, given by

$$(\Lambda_i^{N_i})_{1 \le i \le C}$$
, $\Lambda_i^{N_i} = \begin{cases} \text{the empirical measure given by (2) if } N_i < \infty \\ \text{the directing measure given by (3) if } N_i = \infty \end{cases}$ (5)

The following extends the results in Section 3.1, as well as Graham [5, Theorem 1] and Aldous [1, Cor. 3.9 p. 25] in which respectively $N_i < \infty$ and $N_i = \infty$ for all i. The remarkable fact is conditional independence between different classes.

Theorem 3. The conditional law of a multi-exchangeable system (4) given the random measure vector $(\Lambda_i^{N_i})_{1 \leq i \leq C}$ in (5) corresponds to drawing independently for each class i, if $N_i < \infty$ from $\lambda^{N_i,N_i}(X_{n,1},\ldots,X_{n,N_i})$ given in Theorem 1, i.e, without replacement from the atoms of the empirical measure $\Lambda_i^{N_i} = \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=1}^{N_i} \delta_{X_{n,i}}$ counted with their multiplicities, if $N_i = \infty$ in i.i.d. fashion from the directing measure Λ_i^{∞} .

Proof. Let $1 \le k \le M < \infty$ be arbitrary and, for $1 \le i \le C$,

$$k_i = M_i = N_i \text{ if } N_i < \infty, \qquad k_i = k \text{ and } M_i = M \text{ if } N_i = \infty.$$
 (6)

Since $\Lambda_i^{N_i}$ does not change if one applies a permutation to $(X_{n,i})_{1 \leq n \leq M_i}$, see (5), multi-exchangeability implies that for all $f_i \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_i^{k_i}, \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in C_b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}_C), \mathbb{R})$, with the notation in Theorem 1,

$$\mathbf{E} \left[g\left((\Lambda_{i}^{N_{i}})_{1 \leq i \leq C} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{C} f_{i}(X_{1,i}, \dots X_{k_{i},i}) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{M_{1}!} \sum_{\sigma_{1} \in \Sigma(M_{1})} \dots \frac{1}{M_{C}!} \sum_{\sigma_{C} \in \Sigma(M_{C})} \mathbf{E} \left[g\left((\Lambda_{i}^{N_{i}})_{1 \leq i \leq C} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{C} f_{i}(X_{\sigma_{i}(1),i}, \dots X_{\sigma_{i}(k_{i}),i}) \right] \\
= \mathbf{E} \left[g\left((\Lambda_{i}^{N_{i}})_{1 \leq i \leq C} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{C} \frac{1}{M_{i}!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(M_{i})} f_{i}(X_{\sigma(1),i}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k_{i}),i}) \right] \\
= \mathbf{E} \left[g\left((\Lambda_{i}^{N_{i}})_{1 \leq i \leq C} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{C} \left\langle f_{i}, \lambda^{M_{i},k_{i}}(X_{1,i}, \dots, X_{M_{i},i}) \right\rangle \right]. \tag{7}$$

Considering (6), if $N_i < \infty$ then $\lambda^{M_i,k_i}(X_{1,i},\ldots,X_{N_i,i}) = \lambda^{N_i,N_i}(X_{1,i},\ldots,X_{N_i,i})$, and if $N_i = \infty$ then we let M go to infinity and use Theorem 2, (3), continuity, boundedness,

and dominated convergence, and we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}\left[g\left((\Lambda_{i}^{N_{i}})_{1\leq i\leq C}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{C}f_{i}(X_{1,i},\ldots X_{k_{i},i})\right]$$

$$=\mathbf{E}\left[g\left((\Lambda_{i}^{N_{i}})_{1\leq i\leq C}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{C}\left\langle f_{i},\mathbb{I}_{N_{i}<\infty}\lambda^{N_{i},N_{i}}(X_{1,i},\ldots,X_{N_{i},i})+\mathbb{I}_{N_{i}=\infty}(\Lambda_{i}^{N_{i}})^{\otimes k}\right\rangle\right]$$
(8)

and we conclude using that $k \geq 1$ and $g, f_i \in C_b$ are arbitrary, the spaces are Polish, $\lambda^{N_i,N_i}(X_{1,i},\ldots,X_{N_i,i})$ depends measurably on $\Lambda_i^{N_i} = \lambda^{N_i,1}(X_{1,i},\ldots,X_{N_i,i})$ (see Theorem 1), and the characteristic property of conditional expectation.

Thus, the classes of a multi-exchangeable system are conditionally independent given $(\Lambda_i^{N_i})_{1 \leq i \leq C}$, with conditional laws as for an exchangeable system and depending on whether the class is finite or infinite. Given $(\Lambda_i^{N_i})_{1 \leq i \leq C}$ no further information can be attained on the law of the multi-exchangeable system by further observation, in particular involving r.v. in different classes. A statistical interpretation is that the empirical measure vector is a sufficient statistic for the law of the system, the family of all such laws being trivially parametrized by the laws themselves.

We now extend the convergence results for exchangeable systems in Kallenberg [7, Theorem 1.3 p. 25], see also Aldous [1, Prop. 7.20 p. 55] when $N_1 < \infty$, to a family of multi-exchangeable systems, of fixed possibly both finite and infinite class sizes.

Theorem 4. Let $C \geq 1$ and $N_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and state spaces S_i be fixed for $1 \leq i \leq C$. For $r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ let

$$(X_{n,i}^r)_{1 \leq n \leq N_i, 1 \leq i \leq C}, \qquad X_{n,i}^r \text{ with state space } \mathcal{S}_i,$$

be multi-exchangeable systems as in (4), and $(\Lambda_i^{N_i,r})_{1\leq i\leq C}$ be as in (5). Then

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}(X^r_{n,i})_{1\leq n\leq N_i,\,1\leq i\leq C}=(X^\infty_{n,i})_{n\geq 1\,,1\leq i\leq C}\ \ in\ law$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} (\Lambda_i^{N_i, r})_{1 \le i \le C} = (\Lambda_i^{N_i, \infty})_{1 \le i \le C} \text{ in law.}$$

Proof. With the notation (6), let $k \geq 1$ and $f_i \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_i^{k_i}, \mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq i \leq C$ be arbitrary. Taking g = 1 in (8), or conditioning first on $(\Lambda_i^{N_i, r})_{1 \leq i \leq C}$ and using Theorem 3, yields

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{C} f_i(X_{1,i}^r, \dots X_{k_i,i}^r) \right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{C} \left\langle f_i, \mathbb{I}_{N_i < \infty} \lambda^{N_i, N_i}(X_{1,i}^r, \dots, X_{N_i,i}^r) + \mathbb{I}_{N_i = \infty} (\Lambda_i^{N_i, r})^{\otimes k} \right\rangle \right]$$
(9)

and hence if $\lim_{r\to\infty} (\Lambda_i^{N_i,r})_{1\le i\le C}=(\Lambda_i^{N_i,\infty})_{1\le i\le C}$ in law then

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^C f_i(X_{1,i}^r, \dots X_{k_i,i}^r)\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^C f_i(X_{1,i}^\infty, \dots X_{k_i,i}^\infty)\right]$$

6 Carl Graham

using the continuity result in Theorem 1, which proves the "if" part of the theorem since $k \geq 1$ and f_i are arbitrary and the state spaces are Polish. The converse follows similarly from (9) with functions f_i depending only on the first variable.

References

- [1] Aldous, D.J. (1985). Exchangeability and related topics. In École d'été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIII 1983, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1117, Springer, Berlin, pp. 1–198.
- [2] DIACONIS, P. AND FREEDMAN, D. (1980). Finite exchangeable sequences. Ann. Prob. 8, 745-764.
- [3] GRAHAM, C. (1992). McKean-Vlasov Ito-Skorohod equations, and nonlinear diffusions with discrete jump sets. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 40, 69–82.
- [4] GRAHAM, C. (2000). Kinetic limits for large communication networks. In Modelling in Applied Sciences: A Kinetic Theory Approach, eds N. Bellomo and M. Pulvirenti, Birkhauser, Boston, pp. 317–370.
- [5] Graham, C. (2008). Chaoticity for multi-class systems and echangeability within classes. J. Appl. Prob. 45, 1196–2003.
- [6] Graham, C. and Robert, Ph. (2008). Interacting multi-class transmissions in large stochastic networks. Preprint, arXiv:0810.0347, hal:inria-00326156
- [7] Kallenberg, O. (1973). Canonical representations and convergence criteria for processes with interchangeable increments. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. 27, 23–36.
- [8] Kingman, J.F.C. (1978). Uses of exchangeability. Ann. Prob. 6, 183-197.
- [9] MÉLÉARD, S. (1996). Asymptotic behaviour of some interacting particle systems; McKean-Vlasov and Boltzmann models. In: CIME summer school Montecatini Terme 1995, eds D. Talay and L. Tubaro, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1627, Springer, Berlin, pp. 42–95.
- [10] SZNITMAN, A.S. (1991). Topics in propagation of chaos. In École d'été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX - 1989, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1464, Springer, Berlin, pp. 165–251.