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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of bounding below the minimum value $m$ taken by a positive polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right]$ of degree $d$ over the standard simplex $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^{k}$. Using recent algorithmic developments in real algebraic geometry enables us to obtain a positive lower bound on $m$ in terms of the dimension $k$, the degree $d$ and the bitsize $\tau$ of the coefficients of $P$. The bound is explicit, and obtained without any extra assumption on $P$, in contrast with previous works reported in the literature.


## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Problem statement

Let $P \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right]$ be a multivariate polynomial of degree $d$ taking only positive values on the standard simplex

$$
\Delta=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{k} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Let $\tau$ be an upper bound on the bitsize of the coefficients of $P$. Writing

$$
m=\min _{\Delta} P>0
$$

we consider the problem of finding an explicit bound $m_{k, d, \tau}$ depending only on $k, d$ and $\tau$ such that $0<m_{k, d, \tau}<m$.

### 1.2 Previous work

Several authors have worked on this subject. There are two main approaches: Canny's gap theorem can be used, under no degeneracy conditions ([C]); in [LS], the authors use the Lojasiewicz inequality, leading to a bound involving a universal constant. The method presented here gives a completely explicit bound, with no extra assumption on $P$.

### 1.3 Univariate case

We begin with the univariate case, which contains the basic ideas of the proof in the general case. This situation has already been studied in [BPR], although the proof needs to be corrected. We present here a corrected proof, leading to a slightly better bound.

Consider a univariate polynomial of degree $d$

$$
P=\sum_{i=0}^{d} a_{i} T^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[T],
$$

taking only positive values on the interval $[0,1]$. Let $\tau$ be a bound on the bitsize of its coefficients.
The minimum $m$ of $P$ on $[0,1]$ occurs either at 0 or 1 , or at a point $x^{*}$ lying in the interior $] 0,1[$. The first case is trivial, as $P(0), P(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $m$ is clearly greater than 1 . In the second case, $P\left(x^{*}\right)=0$, so that $m$ is a root of the resultant $R(Z)=\operatorname{Res}_{T}\left(P(T)-Z, P^{\prime}(T)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[Z]$.The resultant $R(Z)$ is the determinant of the matrix $\operatorname{Syl}(Z)$, where $\operatorname{Syl}(Z)$ is the following Sylvester matrix:

$$
\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
a_{d} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1} & a_{0}-Z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{d} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1} & a_{0}-Z \\
(d-1) a_{d-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & (d-1) a_{d-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1}
\end{array}\right)\right\} d-1
$$

$R(Z)=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} r_{i} Z^{i}$ is a polynomial of degree $d-1$ in $Z$, whose coefficients are controlled in the following fashion:

Lemma 1.1. For all $i \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$, we have

$$
\left|r_{i}\right|<3^{-d / 2}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{(d+1)^{3}}\right]^{d}\binom{d-1}{i}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{d+1}-1\right]^{d-1-i}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d-1}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{d}\right)$ denote the rows of the classical Sylvester matrix $S(0)$. Then

$$
R(Z)=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}+Z e_{d+1}, \ldots, A_{d-1}+Z e_{2 d-1}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{d}\right)
$$

where $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 d-1}\right)$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2 d-1}$. Using the multilinearity of the determinant, we can write $R(Z)=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} r_{i} Z^{i}$, where, for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}, r_{i}$ is a sum of $\binom{d-1}{i}$ determinants of matrices built with:

- $i$ rows among the $e_{j}$ 's
- $d-1-i$ rows among the $A_{j}$ 's
- the $d$ rows $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{d}$.

Hadamard's bound (see [BPR]) implies that, for all $i$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r_{i}\right| & \leq\binom{ d-1}{i} \sqrt{\left[(d+1)\left(2^{2 \tau}-1\right)\right]^{d-1-i}} \sqrt{\left[\frac{d(d+1)(2 d+1)}{6}\left(2^{2 \tau}-1\right)\right]^{d-1-i}} \\
& <\binom{d-1}{i}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{d+1}-1\right]^{d-1-i}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{\frac{(d+1)^{3}}{3}}\right]^{d} \\
& \leq 3^{-d / 2}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{(d+1)^{3}}\right]^{d}\binom{d-1}{i}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{d+1}-1\right]^{d-1-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

as announced.
Since the minimum $m$ is a root of the resultant $R(Z)$, Cauchy's bound finally implies the following theorem
Theorem 1.2. Let $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ be a univariate polynomial of degree $d$ taking only positive values on the interval $[0,1]$. Let $\tau$ be an upper bound on the bitsize of the coefficients of $P$. Let $m$ denote the minimum of $P$ over $[0,1]$. Then

$$
m>\frac{3^{d / 2}}{2^{(2 d-1) \tau}(d+1)^{2 d-1 / 2}}
$$

Proof. $\quad \circ$ If $m$ is attained at 0 or 1 , then the result is obvious.

- If not, $m$ is a root of the resultant $R(Z)$. Cauchy's bound (see [BPR]) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{m} & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}\left|r_{i}\right| \\
& <\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} 3^{-d / 2}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{(d+1)^{3}}\right]^{d}\binom{d-1}{i}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{d+1}-1\right]^{d-1-i} \\
& \leq 3^{-d / 2}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{(d+1)^{3}}\right]^{d}\left[2^{\tau} \sqrt{d+1}\right]^{d-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which the result follows easily.
Remark 1.3. Our bound is slightly better than a recent one presented in [BCR], which was already almost sharp. Indeed, following [BCR], consider the polynomial $P_{k}=X^{d}+\left(2^{k} X-1\right)^{2}$. Here, $\tau=2 k$ and the minimum $m_{k}$ of $P_{k}$ satifies

$$
m_{k} \leq P_{k}\left(2^{-k}\right)=2^{-d \tau / 2}
$$

and thus decreases exponentially with $d$ and $\tau$.

## 2 Bound on the minimum of multivariate positive polynomial

We now switch to the multivariate case.

### 2.1 Problem statement

Let $P \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right]$ be a polynomial of degree $d$, and $\tau$ a bound on the bitsize of its coefficients. Moreover, assume that

$$
m=\min _{\Delta} P>0 .
$$

In order to find an explicit lower bound $0<m_{k, d, \tau}<m$, we generalize the proof of the univariate case. We first show that, up to a small rise in the bitsize of the coefficients, we can assume that the minimum is attained in the interior of the simplex. Obviously, there exists a face $\sigma$ of $\Delta$, of dimension $0 \leq s \leq k$, such that the minimum $m$ is attained at a point of the interior of $\sigma$ (with its induced topology). In the following we consider such a face $\sigma$, of minimal dimension $s$.

Remark 2.1. If $\sigma$ is a vertex of $\Delta$, then obviously $m \geq 1$. We now assume that $s \geq 1$.

Denote

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{0}=0 \\
V_{i}=e_{i}
\end{array} \quad(1 \leq i \leq k)\right.
$$

the vertices of $\Delta$, and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{0}=1-\sum X_{i} \\
\lambda_{i}=X_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq k)
\end{array}\right.
$$

the associated barycentric coordinates.
There exists a subset $I=\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\}$ of $\{0, \ldots, k\}$ such that the vertices of $\sigma$ are the vertices $\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. Let $J$ denote the complementary set of $I$ in $\{0, \ldots, k\}$. The face $\sigma$ is caracterized by :

$$
\sigma=\left\{x \in \Delta \mid \forall j \in J, \lambda_{j}(x)=0\right\} .
$$

We then proceed to the following substitutions in $P$ :

- If $j \in J$ and $j>0$, replace the variable $X_{j}$ by 0
- If $j \in J$ and $j=0$, replace the variable $X_{i_{0}}$ by $1-\sum_{\ell=1}^{s} X_{i_{\ell}}$

We then obtain a polynomial $P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{s}}\right]$ satisfying :

$$
\min _{\Delta} P=\min _{\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}} P_{\sigma} .
$$

Rewrite the variables $X_{i_{\ell}}$ into $Y_{\ell}$.
$P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}\right]$ is a polynomial verifying :
Lemma 2.2. The degree of $P_{\sigma}$ is bounded by d.
Besides, the bitsize of its coefficients is bounded by $\tau_{\sigma}$, where

$$
\tau_{\sigma}=\tau+1+\operatorname{dbit}(k) .
$$

Proof. The degree of $P_{\sigma}$ is clearly lower than $d$.
We now show the result concerning the bitsizes of the coefficients.

- The result is clear if $s=k$. Assume that $s \leq k-1$.
- Assume that $1 \leq s \leq k-1$.

Replacing $X_{j}$ by 0 does not change the bitsize of the coefficients, then only the replacement of $Y_{0}$ by $1-\sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_{i}$ has to be taken into account. If

$$
P=\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{k} \\|\alpha| \leq d}} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha},
$$

then

$$
P_{\sigma}=\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{s} \\|\gamma| \leq d}} b_{\gamma} Y^{\gamma},
$$

where

$$
b_{\gamma}=\sum_{\beta \in I_{\gamma}} \pm\binom{|\beta|}{\beta} a_{\left(\gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}-\beta_{k}\right)},
$$

and

$$
I_{\gamma}=\left\{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1}| | \beta \mid \leq d \text { and } \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}, \beta_{i} \leq \gamma_{i}\right\} .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|b_{\gamma}\right| & \leq 2^{\tau} \sum_{\beta \in I_{\gamma}}\binom{|\beta|}{\beta} \\
& \leq 2^{\tau} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1} \\
|\beta| \leq d}}\binom{|\beta|}{\beta} \\
& \leq 2^{\tau} \sum_{p=0}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1} \\
|\beta|=p}}\binom{|\beta|}{\beta} \\
& \leq 2^{\tau} \sum_{p=0}^{d}(s+1)^{p} \\
& \leq 2^{\tau} \frac{(s+1)^{d+1}}{s} \\
& \leq 2^{\tau} \times 2(s+1)^{d} \\
& \leq 2^{\tau+1} k^{d},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the conclusion follows.

Note that $\sigma$ can be identified with the standard simplex of $\mathbb{R}^{s}$. Since

$$
m=\min _{\Delta} P=\min _{\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}} P_{\sigma}
$$

$P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}\right]$ achieves its minimum in the interior of $\sigma$. Consequently, m is attained at a critical point of $P_{\sigma}$, ie a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ such that the gradient of $P_{\sigma}$ is zero. We are thus interested in computing the values of $P$ at the zeros of its gradient. We aim at giving a univariate reformulation of this problem, enabling us to use resultant methods. The following section introduces the necessary material.

### 2.2 Rational univariate representation

We first introduce the notion of Thom encoding :
Definition 2.3. Let $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ be a real univariate polynomial, $x \in \mathbb{R} a$ real number and $\sigma \in\{0,1,-1\}^{\operatorname{Der}(P)}$ a sign condition on the set $\operatorname{Der}(P)=$ $\left\{P, P^{\prime}, \ldots, P^{(\operatorname{deg} P)}\right\}$ of the derivatives of $P$.

The sign condition $\sigma$ is a Thom encoding of $x$ if $\sigma(P)=0$ and

$$
\forall i, \quad \operatorname{sign}\left(P^{(i)}(x)\right)=\sigma\left(P^{(i)}\right)
$$

We can now define a rational univariate representation as follows :
Definition 2.4. A s-rational univariate representation $u$ is a $(s+3)$-tuple of the form

$$
u=\left(F(T), g_{0}(T), \ldots, g_{s}(T), \pi\right)
$$

such that :

1. $F, g_{0}, \ldots, g_{s} \in \mathbb{R}[T]$
2. $F$ et $g_{0}$ are coprime,
3. $\pi$ is a Thom encoding of a root $t_{\pi} \in \mathbb{R}$ of $F$.

Remark 2.5. If $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a root of $F$, then $g_{0}(t) \neq 0$.
We then define the point associated to the rational univariate representation :

Definition 2.6. The point associated to $u$ is the point

$$
x_{u}\left(t_{\pi}\right)=\left(\frac{g_{1}\left(t_{\pi}\right)}{g_{0}\left(t_{\pi}\right)}, \ldots, \frac{g_{s}\left(t_{\pi}\right)}{g_{0}\left(t_{\pi}\right)}\right) .
$$

Hence, a rational univariate representation gives rise to a point whose coordinates are rational fractions evaluated at a root of $F$.

Let $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ be a nonnegative polynomial over $\mathbb{R}^{s}$, and

$$
\mathcal{Z}(Q)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{s} \mid Q(x)=0\right\}
$$

be the (real) zero-set of $Q$. We are interested in finding a point in each connected component of $\mathcal{Z}(Q)$ included in the unit ball

$$
B(0,1)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{s} \mid\|x\|_{2} \leq 1\right\}
$$

This can be done by applying algorithm 12.15 of [BPR] :
Algorithm 1 Bounded Algebraic Sampling
Require: A polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots X_{s}\right]$, nonnegative over $\mathbb{R}^{s}$.
Ensure: A set $\mathcal{U}$ of rational univariate representations of the form

$$
\left(F(T), g_{0}(T), \ldots, g_{s}(T), \pi\right),
$$

where the polynomials $F, g_{0}, \ldots, g_{s}$ have integer coefficients, and such that the associated points meet every connected component of $\mathcal{Z}(Q)$ included in the unit ball $B(0,1)$.

The complexity analysis in [BPR] shows that, if $d_{Q}$ is a bound on the degree of $Q$ and $\tau_{Q}$ a bound on the bitsize of its coefficients, then :

1. The degree of the polynomials $F, g_{0}, \ldots, g_{k}$ is bounded by

$$
\left(d_{Q}+2\right)\left(d_{Q}+1\right)^{k-1}
$$

2. The bitsize of their coefficients is bounded by

$$
\left(d_{Q}+2\right)\left(d_{Q}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(k d_{Q}+2\right)\left(\tau^{\prime}+2 \operatorname{bit}(k d+3)+3 \mu+\operatorname{bit}(4 k)\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\prime} & =\sup \left[\tau_{Q}, d_{Q}+2, \operatorname{bit}(2 k-1)\right]+1+2 \operatorname{bit}\left[k\left(d_{Q}+2\right)\right] \\
\mu & =\operatorname{bit}\left[\left(d_{Q}+2\right)\left(d_{Q}+1\right)^{k-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3 The bound

Recall that $P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}\right]$ achieves its minimum in the interior of $\sigma$. Consequently, this minimum is attained at a critical point of $P_{\sigma}$, ie a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ such that the gradient of $P_{\sigma}$ is zero. Consider the set of critical points

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{s} \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\frac{\partial P_{\sigma}}{\partial Y_{i}}\right)^{2}(x)=0\right.\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that if $C$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{Z}$ containing a minimizer of $P_{\sigma}$ in $\sigma$, then $C \subset \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ by minimality of the dimension $s$ of $\sigma$. In particular, $C \subset B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{s}$.

Algorithm 1 then gives a set of rational univariate representations of the form

$$
u=\left(F(T), g_{0}(T), g_{1}(T), \ldots, g_{s}(T), \pi\right)
$$

whose associated points meet every connected component of $\mathcal{Z}$ contained in $B(0,1)$. In particular, they meet every connected component of $\mathcal{Z}$ containing a minimizer of $P_{\sigma}$ in $\sigma$. We easily control the degree and the bitsize of the coefficients of the polynomials $F(T), g_{0}(T), g_{1}(T), \ldots, g_{s}(T)$ :

Lemma 2.7. The degree of the polynomials $F, g_{0}, \ldots, g_{s}$ is bounded by $d_{u}$, where

$$
d_{u}=2 d(2 d-1)^{k-1}
$$

Moreover, the bitsize of their coefficients is bounded by
$\tau_{u}=2 d(2 d-1)^{k-1}(2 k d-2 k+2)\left[\tau^{\prime}+2 b i t(2 k d-2 k+3)+3 \mu+b i t(4 k)\right]$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\prime} & =2 \tau+(2 d+2) b i t(k)+(k+3) b i t(d)+4 \\
\mu & =b i t\left[2 d(2 d-1)^{k-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $Q$ denote the polynomial

$$
Q=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\frac{\partial P_{\sigma}}{\partial Y_{i}}\right)^{2} .
$$

Clearly, its degree is bounded by $d_{Q}=2 d-2$. Moreover, we can bound the bitsize of its coefficients as follows.

If

$$
P_{\sigma}=\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{s} \\|\gamma| \leq d}} b_{\gamma} Y^{\gamma}
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{\partial P_{\sigma}}{\partial Y_{i}}\right)^{2}=\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{s} \\|\gamma| \leq d}} c_{\gamma} Y^{\gamma-2 e_{i}}
$$

where

$$
c_{\gamma}=\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s} \\ \alpha \leq \gamma}} \alpha_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) a_{\alpha} a_{\gamma-\alpha}
$$

Write $Q=\sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{s} \\|\delta| \leq d-2}} d_{\delta} Y^{\delta}$. Since $Q=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\frac{\partial P_{\sigma}}{\partial Y_{i}}\right)^{2}$, its coefficients are bounded as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|d_{\delta}\right| & \leq s \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s} \\
\alpha \leq \gamma}} \alpha_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) a_{\alpha} a_{\gamma-\alpha} \\
& \leq s d^{2} 2^{2 \tau_{\sigma}} d^{k} \\
& \leq k 2^{2 \tau_{\sigma}} d^{k+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the bitsize of the coefficients of $Q$ is bounded by $\tau_{Q}$, where
$\tau_{Q}=2 \tau_{\sigma}+(k+2) \operatorname{bit}(d)+\operatorname{bit}(k)=2 \tau+(2 d+1) \operatorname{bit}(k)+(k+2) \operatorname{bit}(d)+2$.
The result now follows from the complexity analysis of algorithm 1.
We can now construct the following polynomials :

$$
P_{u}(T)=g_{0}(T)^{d} P_{\sigma}\left(\frac{g_{1}(T)}{g_{0}(T)}, \ldots, \frac{g_{s}(T)}{g_{0}(T)}\right)
$$

We then have :
Lemma 2.8. The degree of $P_{u}$ is bounded by $d_{P, u}=d_{u} d$.
Besides, the bitsize of its coefficients is bounded by $\tau_{P, u}$, where

$$
\tau_{P, u}=d\left[\tau_{u}+b i t\left(d_{u}+1\right)\right]+\tau+d b i t(k)+d+k+1
$$

Proof. The result about the degree is clear in sight of the previous lemma. The bound on the bitsize of the coefficients is obtained by substitution, using proposition 8.11 of $[\mathrm{BPR}]$.

The minimum $m$ of $P_{\sigma}$ over $\sigma$ is attained at a point $x \in \sigma$ contained in a connected component of $\mathcal{Z}$ included in the ball $B(0,1)$. Since $P_{\sigma}$ is constant on such a component, $m$ is also attained at some point $x_{u}\left(t_{\pi}\right)$ associated to an already computed rational univariate representation $u=$ $\left(F(T), g_{0}(T), g_{1}(T), \ldots, g_{s}(T), \pi\right)$.

Since $t_{\pi}$ is a root of $F$, the minimum $m=P_{\sigma}\left(x_{u}\left(t_{\pi}\right)\right)$ is a root of the resultant

$$
R(Z)=\operatorname{Res}_{T}\left(P_{u}(T)-g_{0}(T)^{d} Z, F(T)\right) .
$$

Example 2.9. We consider here the following easy example (Berg polynomial, see example 37 in [Sc]]):

$$
B:=x^{2} y^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right)+1 .
$$

It is easy to show that $B$ is positive on $\Delta$. We now compute its minimum.

- On the three vertices of $\Delta$, we have $B=1$.
- On the faces $\{x=0\}$ and $\{y=0\}$, we have $B=1$.
- Consider the face $\{x+y=1\}$. Replacing $x$ by $1-y$ leads to consider the (univariate) polynomial

$$
B_{\{x+y=1\}}=2 y^{6}-6 y^{5}+6 y^{4}-2 y^{3}+1 .
$$

Since $B_{\{x+y=1\}}^{\prime}=6 y^{2}(y-1)^{2}(2 y-1)$, the minimum of $B_{\{x+y=1\}}$ is $31 / 32$, attained at $y=1 / 2$.

- We now compute the values of $B$ at its critical points contained in the interior of $\Delta$. It is easy to show that those points $(x, y)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 x^{2}+y^{2}=1 \\
& x^{2}+2 y^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We now compute a rational univariate representation of this set. Salsa software (see [Sa]) produces the following one :

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\left(3 T^{2}-1\right)\left(T^{2}-3\right) \\
g_{0} & =T\left(3 T^{2}-5\right) \\
g_{1} & =T^{2}+1 \\
g_{2} & =2\left(T^{2}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The resultant $R(Z)$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(Z) & =\operatorname{Res}_{T}\left(g_{0}(T)^{6} B\left(\frac{g_{1}(T)}{g_{0}(T)}, \frac{g_{2}(T)}{g_{0}(T)}\right)-Z g_{0}(T)^{6}, F(T)\right) \\
& =2^{48} 3^{6}(27 T-26)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The only root $26 / 27<\min (1,31 / 32)$ is thus the minimum of $B$ over $\Delta$, corresponding to the root $\sqrt{3}$ of $F$, and giving the minimizer

$$
\left(\frac{g_{1}(\sqrt{3})}{g_{0}(\sqrt{3})}, \frac{g_{2}(\sqrt{3})}{g_{0}(\sqrt{3})}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) .
$$

In order to obtain a lower bound on the minimum depending only on $k, d$ and $\tau$, one needs to evaluate the roots of $R(Z)$. This can be done by controlling the size of the coefficients of $R(Z)$ and then using Cauchy's bound. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(T)=\sum_{i=0}^{d_{u}} f_{i} T^{i}, \\
& P_{u}(T)=\sum_{i=0}^{d_{P, u}} a_{i} T^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
g_{0}(T)^{d}=\sum_{i=0}^{d_{u} d} b_{i} T^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{d_{P, u}} b_{i} T^{i} .
$$

Lemma 2.10. $g_{0}(T)^{d}$ is a polynomial of degree less than $d_{u} d=d_{P, u}$, and the bitsize of its coefficients is bounded by $d\left(\tau_{u}+\operatorname{bit}\left(d_{u}+1\right)\right) \leq \tau_{P, u}$.

Proof. The degree of $g_{0}(T)^{d}$ is clearly less than $d_{u} d=d_{P, u}$. We now show the bound on the bitsize of its coefficients. Recall that the degree of $g_{0}$ is bounded by $d_{u}$ and that the bitsize of its coefficients is less than $\tau_{u}$. When multiplying a univariate polynomial $f$ by $g_{0}$, the rise of the bitsize of the coefficients is at most $\tau_{u}+\operatorname{bit}\left(d_{u}+1\right)$. Indeed, the coefficients of $f g_{0}$ are sums of at most $\left(d_{u}+1\right)$ products of a coefficient of $f$ by a coefficient of $g_{0}$. The conclusion follows.

The resultant $R(Z)$ is the determinant of the matrix $\operatorname{Syl}(Z)$, where
$\operatorname{Syl}(Z)$ is the following Sylvester matrix :

$$
\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
a_{d_{P, u}}-b_{d_{P, u}} Z & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{0}-b_{0} Z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{d_{P, u}}-b_{d_{P, u}} Z & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{0}-b_{0} Z \\
f_{d_{u}} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & f_{0} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & f_{d_{u}} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & f_{0}
\end{array}\right)\right\} d_{u}
$$

$R(Z)=\sum_{i=0}^{d_{u}} r_{i} Z^{i}$ is a polynomial of degree $d_{u}$ in $Z$, whose coefficients are controlled in the following fashion :
Lemma 2.11. For all $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, d_{u}\right\}$,

$$
\left|r_{i}\right|<\binom{d_{u}}{i}\left[2^{\tau_{P, u}} \sqrt{d_{P, u}+1}\right]^{d_{u}}\left[2^{\tau_{u}} \sqrt{d_{u}+1}\right]^{d_{P, u}} .
$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of lemma 1.1.
With obvious notations, write

$$
\left(A_{1}+Z B_{1}, \ldots, A_{d_{u}}+Z B_{d_{u}}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{d_{P, u}}\right)
$$

the rows of the Sylvester matrix $\operatorname{Syl}(Z)$. Using the multilinearity of the determinant, we can write $R(Z)=\sum_{i=1}^{d_{u}} r_{i} Z^{i}$, where, for all $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, d_{u}\right\}, r_{i}$ is a sum of $\binom{d_{u}}{i}$ determinants of matrices built with:

- $i$ rows among the $B_{j}$ 's
- $d_{u}-i$ rows among the $A_{j}$ 's
- the $d_{P, u}$ rows $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{d_{P, u}}$.

Hadamard's bound (see [BPR]) implies that, for all $i$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r_{i}\right| & \leq\binom{ d_{u}}{i} \sqrt{\left[\left(d_{P, u}+1\right)\left(2^{2 \tau_{P, u}}-1\right)\right]^{d_{u}}} \sqrt{\left[\left(d_{u}+1\right)\left(2^{2 \tau_{u}}-1\right)\right]^{d_{P, u}}} \\
& \leq\binom{ d_{u}}{i}\left[2^{\tau_{P, u}} \sqrt{d_{P, u}+1}\right]^{d_{u}}\left[2^{\tau_{u}} \sqrt{d_{u}+1}\right]^{d_{P, u}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as announced.

Since the minimum $m$ is a root of the resultant $R(Z)$, Cauchy's bound finally implies the following theorem :

Theorem 2.12. Let $P \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right]$ be a polynomial of degree $d$, $\tau$ a bound on the bitsize of its coefficients and $m=\min _{\Delta} P$ the minimum of $P$ over the standard simplex $\Delta$. Assume that $m>0$.

Moreover, let $\mathcal{U}$ be a set of rational univariate representations of the form

$$
u=\left(F(T), g_{0}(T), \ldots, g_{k}(T), \pi\right)
$$

giving a point in each connected component included in the ball $B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{s}$ of

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\sigma}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{s} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial P_{\sigma}}{\partial Y_{1}}(x)=\cdots=\frac{\partial P}{\partial Y_{s}}(x)=0\right.\right\}
$$

for all face $\sigma$ of $V$.
Besides, let $d_{u}$ (resp. $\tau_{u}$ ) be a bound on the degree (resp. the bitsize of the coefficients) of the polynomials occuring in the rational univariate representations of $\mathcal{U}$.

We then write

$$
d_{P, u}=d_{u} d
$$

and

$$
\tau_{P, u}=d\left[\tau_{u}+\operatorname{bit}\left(d_{u}+1\right)\right]+\tau+\operatorname{dbit}(k)+d+k+1
$$

the bounds on the degree and on the bitsize of the coefficients of the polynomials

$$
P_{u}(T)=g_{0}(T)^{d} P_{\sigma}\left(\frac{g_{1}(T)}{g_{0}(T)}, \ldots, \frac{g_{s}(T)}{g_{0}(T)}\right) .
$$

Then:

$$
m>m_{d, \tau, u},
$$

where

$$
m_{d, \tau, u}=\frac{1}{\left[2^{\tau_{P, u}+1} \sqrt{d_{P, u}+1}\right]^{d_{u}}\left[2^{\tau_{u}} \sqrt{d_{u}+1}\right]^{d_{P, u}}} .
$$

Proof. Since $R$ has at least one non-zero root $(R(m)=0)$, we can write

$$
R(Z)=\sum_{i=c}^{d_{u}} r_{i} Z^{i},
$$

with $d_{u}>c$ and $r_{c} r_{d_{u}} \neq 0$.

Cauchy's bound then implies :

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{d_{u}}\left|r_{i}\right|} \\
& >\frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{d_{u}}\binom{d_{u}}{i}\left[2^{\tau_{P, u}} \sqrt{d_{P, u}+1}\right]^{d_{u}}\left[2^{\tau_{u}} \sqrt{d_{u}+1}\right]^{d_{P, u}}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2^{d_{u}}\left[2^{\tau_{P, u}} \sqrt{d_{P, u}+1}\right]^{d_{u}}\left[2^{\tau_{u}} \sqrt{d_{u}+1}\right]^{d_{P, u}}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\left[2^{\tau_{P, u}+1} \sqrt{d_{P, u}+1}\right]^{d_{u}}\left[2^{\tau_{u}} \sqrt{d_{u}+1}\right]^{d_{P, u}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as announced.
Corollary 2.13. In particular, one can choose
$d_{u}=2 d(2 d-1)^{k-1}$
$\tau_{u}=2 d(2 d-1)^{k-1}(2 k d-2 k+2)\left[\tau^{\prime}+2 b i t(2 k d-2 k+3)+3 \mu+b i t(4 k)\right]$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\prime} & =2 \tau+(2 d+2) b i t(k)+(k+3) \text { bit }(d)+4 \\
\mu & =\text { bit }\left[2 d(2 d-1)^{k-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The bound corresponding to this choice is the bound $m_{k, d, \tau}$ we were aiming at.

Remark 2.14. In $[L S]$, the authors give the following estimate :

$$
\frac{1}{m} \leq\left(2^{\tau}\right)^{D^{c(k+1)}} 2^{D^{c(k+1)}}
$$

where $D$ denotes a bound on $\max (d+1, k+1)$ and $c$ is (unknown) universal constant. With our method, repetitive but straightforward estimations lead to the following simple bound :

$$
\frac{1}{m_{k, d, \tau}} \leq\left(2^{\tau}\right)^{2^{2 k+3} d^{2 k+2}(k+1)} 2^{2^{2 k+8}(d+1)^{2 k+2}(k+1)^{2}}
$$

In particular, we have :

$$
\frac{1}{m} \leq\left(2^{\tau}\right)^{D^{4 k+6}} 2^{D^{4 k+12}}
$$

Thus, we can take $c=12$ in the bound $(\dagger)$.
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