

Non-existence and uniqueness results for supercritical semilinear elliptic equations

Jean Dolbeault, Robert Stanczy

▶ To cite this version:

Jean Dolbeault, Robert Stanczy. Non-existence and uniqueness results for supercritical semilinear elliptic equations. 2009. hal-00349574v1

HAL Id: hal-00349574 https://hal.science/hal-00349574v1

Preprint submitted on 2 Jan 2009 (v1), last revised 22 Aug 2009 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Non-existence and uniqueness results for supercritical semilinear elliptic equations

Jean Dolbeault and Robert Stańczy

Abstract. Non-existence and uniqueness results are proved for several local and non-local supercritical bifurcation problems involving a semilinear elliptic equation depending on a parameter. The domain is star-shaped but no other symmetry assumption is required. Uniqueness holds when the bifurcation parameter is in a certain range. Our approach can be seen, in some cases, as an extension of non-existence results for non-trivial solutions. It is based on Rellich-Pohožaev type estimates. Semilinear elliptic equations naturally arise in many applications, for instance in astrophysics, hydrodynamics or thermodynamics. We simplify the proof of earlier results by K. Schmitt and R. Schaaf in the so-called local multiplicative case, extend them to the case of a non-local dependence on the bifurcation parameter and to the additive case, both in local and non-local settings.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary: 35A05; Secondary: 35J60, 35J65.

Keywords. Semi-linear elliptic equation; uniqueness; Gelfand's problem; Pohožaev's method; Rellich-Pohožaev identities; Brezis-Nirenberg problem; bifurcation; branches of solutions; non-existence results; critical explosion parameter; nonlinear eigenvalue problem; non-local constraints.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to non-existence and uniqueness results for various supercritical semilinear elliptic equations depending on a bifurcation parameter, in a star-shaped domain in \mathbb{R}^d . We shall distinguish the *multiplicative case* when the equation can be written as

$$\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0 \tag{1}$$

and the $additive\ case$ for which the equation is

$$\Delta u + f(u + \mu) = 0. (2)$$

We shall also distinguish two sub-cases for each equation: the *local case* when λ and μ are the bifurcation parameters, and the *non-local case* when λ and μ are determined by a non-local condition, respectively

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u) \ dx = \kappa$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u+\mu) \ dx = M.$$

In the multiplicative non-local case, the equation is

$$\Delta u + \kappa \frac{f(u)}{\int_{\Omega} f(u) \, dx} = 0 \,. \tag{3}$$

In many applications, the term $f(u)/\int_{\Omega}f(u)\,dx$ is interpreted as a probability measure and κ is a coupling parameter. Such a parameter arises from physical constants after a proper adimensionalization. In the *additive non-local* case, the problem to solve is

$$\Delta u + f(u+\mu) = 0 , \quad M = \int_{\Omega} f(u+\mu) \, dx . \tag{4}$$

The parameter M is typically a mass and, in a variational setting, μ can be interpreted as a Lagrange multiplier associated with the mass constraint, that is, a chemical potential from the point of view of physics. We shall consider the four problems, (1), (2), (3) and (4), and prove that if the domain Ω is star-shaped, with boundary $\partial\Omega$ in $C^{2,\gamma}$, $\gamma\in(0,1)$, and if f is a non-decreasing nonlinearity with supercritical growth at infinity, such that f(0)>0 in the case of (1) or (3), or such that f>0 on $(\bar{\mu},\infty)$ and $\lim_{\mu\to\bar{\mu}}f(\mu)=0$ for some $\bar{\mu}\in[-\infty,\infty)$ in the case of (2) or (4), then solutions are unique in $L^\infty\cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ in a certain range of the parameters λ , μ , κ or M, while no solution exists for large enough values of the same parameters. Typical nonlinearities are the exponential function $f(u)=e^u$ and the power law nonlinearity $f(u)=(1+u)^p$, for some p>(d+2)/(d-2), $d\geq 3$. In the exponential case, (1) is the well known $Gelfand\ equation,\ cf.\ [28]$.

Our approach is based on Pohožaev's estimate, see [42], which is obtained by multiplying the equations by $(x \cdot \nabla u)$, integrating over Ω and then integrating by parts. Also see [48] for an earlier result based on the local dilation invariance in a linear setting. In this paper, we shall only consider solutions in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, which are therefore classical solutions, so that multiplying the equation by u or by $(x \cdot \nabla u)$ is allowed. Some results can be extended to the $H_0^1(\Omega)$ framework, but some care is then required.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider the multiplicative local and non-local bifurcation problems, respectively (1) and (3). In Section 3, we study the additive local and non-local bifurcation problems, respectively (2) and (4). In all cases, we establish non-existence and uniqueness results, and give some indications on how to construct the branches of solutions, although this is not our main purpose.

Before giving the details of our results, let us give a brief review of the literature. Concerning (1), we primarily refer to the contributions of K. Schmitt in [50] and R. Schaaf in [49], which cover even more general cases than ours and will be discussed more thoroughly later in this section.

The parameter λ in (1) can be seen as a bifurcation parameter. Equation (1) is sometimes called a nonlinear eigenvalue problem. It is well known that for certain values of λ , multiplicity of solutions can occur, see for instance [30]. In some cases there are infinitely many positive solutions, even in the radial case, when Ω is a ball. Radial solutions have been intensively studied. We refer for instance to [15] for a review of problems with positione structure, i.e. for which f(0) < 0 and f changes sign once on \mathbb{R}^+ . A detailed analysis of bifurcation diagrams can be found in [39, 40]. Also see [31] for earlier and more qualitative results. Positive bounded solutions of such a nonlinear scalar field equation are often called ground states and can be characterized in many problems as minimizers of a semi-bounded coercive energy functional. They are relevant in many cases of practical interest in physics, chemistry, mathematical biology, etc.

When Ω is a ball, all bounded positive solutions are radial under rather weak conditions on the nonlinearity f, according to [29] and subsequent papers. Lots of efforts have been devoted to uniqueness issues for the solutions of the corresponding ODE and slightly more general problems like quasilinear elliptic ones, see, e.g., [26]. Several other results also cover the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, see [52]. There are also numerous papers in case of more general nonlinearities, including, for instance, functions of x, u, and ∇u , or more general bifurcation problems than the ones considered in this paper. It is out of the scope of this introduction to review all of them. In a ball, the set of bounded solutions can often be parametrized. The corresponding bifurcation diagrams have the following properties. For nonlinearities with subcritical growth, for instance for $f(u) = (1+u)^p$, p < (d+2)/(d-2), $d \ge 3$, multiple positive solutions may exist when λ is positive, small, while for supercritical growths, for example $f(u) = (1+u)^p$ with p > (d+2)/(d-2), $d \ge 3$, or $f(u) = e^u$ and d=3, there is one branch of positive solutions which oscillates around some positive, limiting value of λ and solutions are unique only for λ positive, small. See [3, 22, 24, 31, 39, 40, 57] for more details.

Another well known fact is that, at least for star-shaped domains, $Poho-\check{z}aev$'s method allows to discriminate between super- and subcritical regimes. This approach has been used mostly to prove the non-existence of non-trivial solutions, see [11, 43, 45], and [42, 48] for historical references. Such a method is for instance at the basis of the result of [11] on the Brezis-Nirenberg problem. Also see [3] and references therein for more details. The identity in $Poho\check{z}aev$'s method amounts to consider the effect of a dilation on an energy associated to the solution and therefore carries some important information on the problem, see, e.g., [23]. In this context, stereographic projection and connections between euclidean spaces and spheres are natural, as was already noted in [1] by C. Bandle and R. Benguria.

In this paper we are going to study first the regime corresponding to λ small and show that Pohožaev's method provides a uniqueness result also in cases for which a non-trivial solution exists. The existence of a branch of positive solutions of (1) is a widely studied issue, see for instance [20, 44]. Also see [50] for a review, and references therein. As already said, our two basic examples are based on the power law case, $f(u) = (1+u)^p$, and the exponential nonlinearity, $f(u) = e^u$, for which useful informations and additional references can be found in [22, 30, 37, 51, 59]. We shall also consider a third example, with a nonlinearity corresponding to the case of Fermi-Dirac statistics, which behaves like a power law for large, positive values of u, and like an exponential function for large, negative values of u.

The functional framework of bounded solutions and a bootstrap argument imply that we work with classical solutions. Apart from the condition that the domain is star-shaped and satisfies the Poincaré inequality, e.g., is bounded in one direction, we will assume no other geometrical condition. In the local multiplicative case, several uniqueness results are known for small $\lambda > 0$, including in the case of Gelfand's equation, see [33, 49, 50]. One should note that in the framework of the larger space $H_0^1(\Omega)$, if the boundedness assumption is relaxed, it is not even known if all solutions are radial when Ω is a ball. The results of [29] and subsequent papers almost always rely on the assumption that the solutions are continuous or at least bounded on $\overline{\Omega}$. Notice that, according to [32, 47], even for a ball, it is possible to prescribe a given isolated singularity which is not centered. In [47], the case of our two basic examples, $f(u) = e^u$ and $f(u) = (1+u)^p$, with $\frac{d+2}{d-2} , <math>d > 3$, has been studied and then generalized to several singularities in [46]. Also see [41] for an earlier result. These singularities are in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and, for a given value of a parameter λ set apart from zero, they are located at an a priori given set of points. Similar problems on manifolds were considered in [4].

We refer to [2, 27] for bounds on the solutions to Gelfand's problem, which have been established earlier than uniqueness results but are actually a key tool. Also see [35] for a more recent contribution. Concerning the uniqueness of the solutions to Gelfand's problem for $d \geq 3$ and $\lambda > 0$, small, we refer to [33, 49, 50]. In the case of a ball, this is even known since the paper of D.D. Joseph and T.S. Lundgren, [30], when combined with the symmetry result of [29].

The local multiplicative case corresponding to Problem (1) is the subject of Section 2.1. The literature on such semilinear elliptic problems and associated biffurcation problems is huge. The results of non-existence of non-trivial solutions are well known, see [21, 43, 49] and references therein. Also see [36] for extension to systems. Concerning the uniqueness result on non-trivial solutions, the method was apparently discovered independently by several people including F. Mignot and J.-P. Puel, and X. Cabré and P. Majer, [12], but it seems that the first published reference on uniqueness results by Rellich-Pohožaev type estimates is due to K. Schmitt [50] and later, to R. Schaaf [49]. A more general result for the multiplicative case has been obtained in [10] to the price of more intricate reasoning.

Numerous papers have been devoted to the understanding of the role of the geometry and they extend the standard results, mostly the non-existence results, to the case of non strictly star-shaped domains: see for instance [21, 43, 49] and several papers of J. McGough *et al.*, see [33, 34, 35], which are, as far as we know, the most up-to-date results on such issues.

As already mentioned above, Problem (1) has been studied by K. Schmitt in [50] and R. Schaaf in [49]. In [50, Theorem 2.6.7], it is proved that if one replaces f(u) in (1) by a more general function f(x, u) in $C^2(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfying

$$(i) f(x,u) > 0, f_u(x,u) > 0, u \ge 0, x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

(ii)
$$\limsup_{u \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \frac{2 dF(x, u)}{(d-2) u f(x, u)} < 1$$
,

(iii)
$$\left[\nabla_x F(x, u+1) - \nabla_x F(x, u) - u \nabla_x f(x, u)\right] \cdot x \leq 0$$
 for $u \gg 1$, $x \in \overline{\Omega}$,

then uniqueness holds for a star-shaped domain Ω . A survey on the existence and continuation results for linear and superlinear (sub- and supercritical) growth of the nonlinear term f in (1) can also be found in [50], as well as a study of the influence of the geometry, topology and dimension of the domain, which is of interest for our purpose.

In [49], R. Schaaf studies uniqueness results for the semilinear elliptic problem (1) under the asymptotic condition $\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{F(u)}{u\,f(u)}<\frac12-M(\Omega)$ where $M(\Omega)=d/2$ for star-shaped domains. In general $M(\Omega)$ is some number in the interval (0,1/2). In the autonomous case, the above asymptotic condition is equivalent to the assumption (ii) made by K. Schmitt in [50] or to our assumption (7), to be found below. Our contribution to the question of the uniqueness for (1) relies on a simplification of the proof in [49, 50].

Imposing a non-local constraint dramatically changes the picture. For instance, in case of Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, $f(u) = e^u$, in a ball of \mathbb{R}^2 , the solution of (1) has two solutions for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$ and no solution for $\lambda > \lambda_*$, while uniqueness holds in (3) in terms of M, for any M for which a solution exists, see [5, 30]. Non-local constraints are motivated by considerations arising from physics. In the case of the exponential nonlinearity with a mass normalization constraint, a considerable effort has been done in the two-dimensional case for understanding the statistical properties of the so-called Onsager solutions of the Euler equation, see [13, 14, 38]. The same model, but rather in dimension d = 3, is relevant in astrophysical models for systems of gravitating particles, see [10]. Other standard examples are the polytropic distributions, with $f(u) = u^p$, and Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac distributions which result in nonlinearities involving special functions. Existence and non-existence results were obtained for instance in [5] and [54, 55], respectively for Maxwell-Boltzmann and Fermi-Dirac statistics.

An evolution model compatible with Fermi-Dirac statistics and the convergence of its solutions towards steady states has been thoroughly examined in [6], while the steady state problem was considered by R. Stańczy in [54, 55]. See [18]

and references therein for a model improved with respect to thermodynamics, [55] and references therein for more elaborate models, and [19] for a derivation of an evolution equation involving a mean field term, which also provides a relevant, stationary model studied in [10, 56]. Also see [17, 25] for an alternative, phenomenological derivation of drift-diffusion equations and their stationary counterparts. The case of a decoupled, external potential goes back to the work of Smoluchowski, see [16, 53]. For this reason, the evolution model is often referred to as the *Smoluchowski-Poisson equation*.

Our purpose is not to study the above mentioned evolution equations, but only to emphasize that for the corresponding steady states, non-local constraints are very natural, since they correspond to quantities which are conserved along evolution. Hence, to identify the asymptotic state of the solutions to the evolution equation, we have to solve a semilinear elliptic equation with a non-local constraint, which corresponds, for instance, to mass conservation.

2. The multiplicative case

2.1. The local bifurcation problem

We consider Problem (1) on a domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^d . Our first assumption is the geometrical condition that a Poincaré inequality holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \le C_{\mathcal{P}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \tag{5}$$

for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and some positive constant $C_P > 0$. Such an inequality holds for instance if Ω is bounded in one direction.

The goal of this section is to state a non-existence result for large values of λ and give sufficient conditions on $f \geq 0$ such that, for some $\lambda_0 > 0$, Equation (1) has a unique solution in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$. We assume that f is of class C^2 . By standard elliptic bootstraping arguments, a bounded solution is then a classical one.

Next we assume that for some $\lambda_* > 0$, there exists a branch of positive minimal solutions $(\lambda, u_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)}$ originating from (0, 0) and such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0_{\perp}} \left(\|u_{\lambda}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_{\lambda}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \right) = 0.$$
 (6)

Sufficient conditions for such a property to hold can be found in various papers. We can for instance quote the following result.

Lemma 1. Assume that Ω is bounded with smooth, i.e. $C^{2,\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$, boundary, $f \in C^2$ is positive on $[0,\infty)$ and $\inf_{u>0} f(u)/u > 0$. Then (6) holds.

We refer for instance to [50] for a proof. The solutions satisfying (6) can be characterized as a branch of minimal solutions, using sub- and super-solutions. Although this is standard, for the sake of completeness let us state a non-existence result for values of the parameter λ large enough.

Proposition 2. Assume that (5) holds. If $\Lambda := \inf_{u>0} f(u)/u > 0$, then there exists $\lambda_* > 0$ such that (1) has no non-trivial nonnegative solution in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ if $\lambda > \lambda_*$.

The lowest possible value of λ_* is usually called the *critical explosion parameter*.

Proof. Let φ_1 be a positive eigenfunction associated with the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 1/C_P$ of $-\Delta$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$:

$$-\Delta\varphi_1 = \lambda_1\,\varphi_1\;.$$

By multiplying this equation by u and (1) by φ_1 , we get

$$\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u \, \varphi_1 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_1 \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u) \, \varphi_1 \, dx \ge \Lambda \, \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \, \varphi_1 \, dx \,,$$

thus proving that there are no non-trivial nonnegative solutions if $\lambda > \lambda_1/\Lambda$. \square

Next we present a simplified version of the proof of a uniqueness result stated in [49], under slightly more restrictive hypotheses. We assume that $d \geq 3$ and that f has a supercritical growth at infinity, *i.e.*, f is such that

$$\limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{F(u)}{u f(u)} = \eta < \frac{d-2}{2 d}, \qquad (7)$$

where $F(u) := \int_0^u f(s) ds$. Notice that, in Proposition 2, $\Lambda > 0$ if (7) holds and if we assume that f is positive.

Theorem 3. Assume that Ω is a star-shaped domain in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$, with $C^{2,\gamma}$ boundary, such that (5) holds for some $C_P > 0$. If f(z) is positive for large values of z, of class C^2 and satisfies (6) and (7), then there exists a positive constant λ_0 such that Equation (1) has at most one solution in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.

Proof. We follow the lines of the proof of [49] with some minor simplifications. Up to a translation, we can assume that Ω is star-shaped with respect to the origin. Assume that (1) has two solutions, u and u+v. With no restriction, we can assume that u is a minimal solution and satisfies (6). As a consequence, v is nonnegative and satisfies

$$\Delta v + \lambda \left[f(u+v) - f(u) \right] = 0.$$
 (8)

If we multiply (8) by v and integrate with respect to $x \in \Omega$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} v \left[f(u+v) - f(u) \right] dx . \tag{9}$$

Multiply (8) by $x \cdot \nabla v$ and integrate with respect to $x \in \Omega$ to get

$$\frac{d-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla v|^2 (x \cdot \nu(x)) d\sigma$$

$$= d \lambda \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) - F(u) - F'(u)v] dx$$

$$+ \lambda \int_{\Omega} (x \cdot \nabla u) [f(u+v) - f(u) - f'(u)v] dx \quad (10)$$

where $d\sigma$ is the measure induced by Lebesgue's measure on $\partial\Omega$. Recall that F is a primitive of f such that F(0) = 0. Take $\eta_1 \in (\eta, (d-2)/(2d))$ where η is defined in Assumption (7). Since $u = u_{\lambda}$ is a minimal solution and therefore uniformly small as $\lambda \to 0_+$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain $|x \cdot \nabla u| \le \varepsilon$ for any $x \in \Omega$, provided $\lambda > 0$ is small enough. Define h_{ε} by

$$h_{\varepsilon}(u,v) := d \left[F(u+v) - F(u) - F'(u) v \right] + \varepsilon |f(u+v) - f(u) - f'(u) v| - d \eta_1 v \left[f(u+v) - f(u) \right].$$

Because of the smoothness of f and by Assumption (7), the function $h_{\varepsilon}(u,v)/v^2$ is bounded from above by some constant H, uniformly in $\varepsilon > 0$, small enough. By the assumption of star-shapedeness of the domain Ω , $x \cdot \nu(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \partial \Omega$. From (9) and (10), it follows that

$$\frac{d-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \le d\lambda H \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + d\eta_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx.$$

Due to the Poincaré inequality (5), the condition

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{C_{\rm P} H} \left(\frac{d-2}{2 d} - \eta_1 \right)$$

implies v = 0 and the uniqueness follows.

Examples

- 1. If $f(u) = e^u$, Condition (7) is always satisfied. Notice that if d = 2 and Ω is a ball, the uniqueness result is wrong, see [30].
- 2. If $f(u) = (1+u)^p$, $d \ge 3$, Condition (7) holds if and only if $p > \frac{d+2}{d-2}$. Also see [30] for more details. Similarly in the same range of parameters for $f(u) = u^p$ we only get the trivial, zero solution.
- 3. The Fermi-Dirac distribution

$$f(u) = f_{\delta}(u) := \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\delta}}{1 + e^{t - u}} dt \tag{11}$$

behaves like $\frac{1}{\delta+1}u^{\delta+1}$ as $u\to\infty$. Condition (7) holds if and only if $\delta+1>(d+2)/(d-2)$. The physically relevant examples require that $\delta=d/2-1$, that is $d>2\left(1+\sqrt{2}\right)\approx 4.83$. For more properties of these functions see, e.g., [6, 9].

2.2. The non-local bifurcation problem

In this section we address, in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, the non-local boundary value problem (3) with parameter $\kappa > 0$. Here Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$, with C^1 boundary. We start with a non-existence result. Computations are similar to the ones of Section 2.1 and rely on Pohožaev's method. First multiply (3) by u to get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \kappa \frac{\int_{\Omega} u f(u) dx}{\int_{\Omega} f(u) dx}.$$
 (12)

Multiplying (3) by $(x \cdot \nabla u)$, we also get

$$\frac{d-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 (x \cdot \nu) d\sigma = d\kappa \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(u) dx}{\int_{\Omega} f(u) dx}$$
(13)

where F is the primitive of f chosen so that F(0) = 0 and $d\sigma$ is the measure induced by Lebesgue's measure on $\partial\Omega$. A simple integration of (3) gives

$$\kappa = -\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \, dx = -\int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nu \, d\sigma \, .$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\kappa^2 = \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nu \ d\sigma \right)^2 \le |\partial\Omega| \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u \cdot \nu|^2 \ d\sigma = |\partial\Omega| \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \ d\sigma \ ,$$

where the last equality holds because of the boundary conditions. Assume that Ω is strictly star-shaped with respect to the origin:

$$\alpha := \inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} (x \cdot \nu(x)) > 0.$$
 (14)

Because of the invariance by translation of the problem, this is equivalent to assume that Ω is strictly star-shaped with respect to any other point in \mathbb{R}^d . Hence

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 (x \cdot \nu) d\sigma \ge \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma \ge \frac{\alpha \kappa^2}{|\partial\Omega|}.$$

Collecting this estimate with (12) and (13), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[2 dF(u) - (d-2) u f(u) \right] dx \ge \frac{\alpha \kappa}{|\partial \Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(u) dx.$$

As a straightforward consequence, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4. Assume that Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$, with C^1 boundary satisfying (14) for some $\alpha > 0$. If f is a C^1 function such that for some C > 0,

$$2 dF(u) \le (d-2) u f(u) + C f(u)$$
(15)

for any $u \geq 0$, then (3) has no solution in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ if $\kappa > C |\partial \Omega|/\alpha$.

Standard examples, for which Condition (15) is satisfied, are:

1. Exponential case: $f(u) = e^u$ with C = 2d, cf. [5]. A sharper estimate can be easily achieved as follows. The function $h(u) := C e^u + (d-2) u e^u - 2 d (e^u - 1)$ is nonnegative if C is such that 0 = h'(u) = h(u) for some $u \ge 0$. After eliminating u, we find

$$C = d + 2 + (d - 2) \log \left(\frac{d - 2}{2d}\right)$$
 (16)

- 2. Pure power law case: If $f(u) = u^p$, the result holds with $p \ge \frac{d+2}{d-2}$ and C = 0, cf. [28, 58]. There are no non-trivial solutions.
- 3. Power law case: If $f(u) = (1+u)^p$ with $p \ge \frac{d+2}{d-2}$, then (15) holds with C = d-2.

Uniqueness results in the non-local case follow from Section 2.1, when the coupling constant κ is positive, small. In case of nonlinearities of exponential type, as far as we know, uniqueness results were guaranteed only under some additional assumptions, see [7, 8]. We are now going to extend such uniqueness results to more general nonlinearities satisfying (6) and (7) by comparing Problems (1) and (3).

Denote by u_{λ} the solutions of (1). For $\lambda > 0$, small, a branch of solutions of (3) can be parametrized by $\lambda \mapsto (\kappa(\lambda) := \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_{\lambda}) dx$, u_{λ}). Reciprocally, if Ω is bounded and

$$0 < \beta := \inf_{u > 0} f(u) ,$$

then any solution $u \in L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ of (3) is also a solution of (1) with

$$\lambda = \frac{\kappa}{\int_{\Omega} f(u) \, dx} \le \frac{\kappa}{\beta \, |\Omega|} \, .$$

This implies that λ is small for small κ and, as a consequence, for small values of κ , all solutions to (3) are located somewhere on the local branch originating from (0,0). Moreover, as $\kappa \to 0_+$, the solution of (3) also converges to (0,0). To prove the uniqueness in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the solutions of (3), it is therefore sufficient to establish the monotonicity of $\lambda \mapsto \kappa(\lambda)$ for small values of λ . Assume that

$$f(0) > 0$$
 and f is monotone non-decreasing on \mathbb{R}^+ . (17)

Under this assumption, we observe that $\beta = f(0)$.

Let u_1 and u_2 be two solutions of (1) with $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ and let $v := u_2 - u_1$. Then for some function θ on Ω , with values in [0,1], we have

$$-\Delta v - \lambda_1 f'(u_1 + \theta v) v = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) f(u_2) \ge 0,$$

so that, by the Maximum Principle, v is nonnegative. Notice indeed that for λ_2 small enough, u_1 and u_2 are uniformly small since they lie on the local branch, close to the point (0,0) and therefore $\lambda_1 f'(u_1 + \theta v) < 1/C_P$. It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u_2) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u_1 + v) dx \ge \int_{\Omega} f(u_1) dx,$$

thus proving that $\kappa(\lambda_2) = \lambda_2 \int_{\Omega} f(u_2) dx > \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} f(u_1) dx = \kappa(\lambda_1)$.

Corollary 5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, if moreover f satisfies (17), then there exists a positive constant κ_0 such that Equation (3) has at most one solution in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ for any $\kappa \in (0, \kappa_0)$.

3. The additive case

3.1. The local bifurcation problem

Consider in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ the equation (2). In the two standard examples of this paper the problem can be reduced to (1) as follows.

- 1. Exponential case: If $f(u) = e^u$, (2) is equivalent to (1) with $\lambda = e^{\mu}$ and the limit $\lambda \to 0_+$ corresponds to $\mu \to -\infty$.
- 2. Power law case: If $f(u) = (1+u)^p$, (2) is equivalent to (1) with $\lambda = (1+\mu)^{p-1}$ and the limit $\lambda \to 0_+$ corresponds to $\mu \to -1_+$. If u is solution of $\Delta u + (1+u+\mu)^p = 0$, one can indeed observe that v such that $1+u+\mu = (1+\mu)(1+v)$ solves $\Delta v + \lambda (1+v)^p = 0$ with $\lambda = (1+\mu)^{p-1}$.

Equation (2) is however not completely equivalent to (1). To obtain a non-existence result for large values of μ , we impose the assumption that reads

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} = +\infty \ . \tag{18}$$

Proposition 6. Assume that (5) and (18) hold. There exists $\mu_* > 0$ such that (2) has no positive, bounded solution in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ if $\mu > \mu_*$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Proposition 2. Let φ_1 be a positive eigenfunction associated with the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 1/C_P$ of $-\Delta$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. For any $\mu > 0$,

$$\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u \, \varphi_1 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u+\mu) \, \varphi_1 \, dx \ge \Lambda(\mu) \int_{\Omega} (u+\mu) \, \varphi_1 \, dx \ge \Lambda(\mu) \int_{\Omega} u \, \varphi_1 \, dx \, ,$$

where $\Lambda(\mu) := \inf_{s \ge \mu} f(s)/s$, thus proving that there are no nonnegative solutions if $\Lambda(\mu) > \lambda_1$.

Let us make a few comments on the existence of a branch of solutions, although this is out of the main scope of this paper. Let f be a positive function of class C^2 on $(\bar{\mu}, \infty)$, for some $\bar{\mu} \in [-\infty, \infty)$, with $\lim_{\mu \to \bar{\mu}_+} f(\mu) = 0$. We shall assume that there is a branch of minimal solutions (μ, u_{μ}) originating from $(\bar{\mu}, 0)$ and such that

$$\lim_{\mu \to \bar{\mu}} \left(\|u_{\mu}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_{\mu}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \right) = 0.$$
 (19)

This can be guaranteed if Ω is bounded and if we additionally require that the function f is increasing, as in [54] for the Fermi-Dirac model. This is also true for exponential and power-like nonlinearities. At least at a formal level, this can easily be understood by taking $\zeta = f'(\mu)$ as a bifurcation parameter. A solution of (2) is then a zero of $F(\zeta, u) = u - (-\Delta)^{-1} f(u + (f')^{-1}(\zeta))$ and it is therefore easy to find a branch issued from $(\zeta, u) = (0, 0)$ by applying the implicit function theorem at $(\zeta, u) = (0, 0)$ with F(0, 0) = 0, even if $\bar{\mu} = -\infty$. Using comparison arguments, one can prove that this branch is a branch of minimal solutions.

We shall now address the uniqueness issues. We assume that (7) holds:

$$\forall \eta_1 \in \left(\eta, \frac{d-2}{2d}\right), \quad \limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{F(u) - \eta_1 u f(u)}{u f(u)} = \eta - \eta_1 < 0.$$

As a consequence, for any $\mu > \bar{\mu}$,

$$F(v + \mu) - F(\mu) - F'(\mu) v - \eta_1 v \left[f(v + \mu) - f(\mu) \right]$$

is negative for large v, and the function $\mathcal{H}(v,\mu,\eta_1)$ defined by

$$v^{2} \mathcal{H}(v, \mu, \eta_{1}) = F(v + \mu) - F(\mu) - F'(\mu) v - \eta_{1} v \left[f(v + \mu) - f(\mu) \right]$$

achieves a maximum for some finite value of v. With $H(\mu, \eta_1) = \sup_{v>0} \mathcal{H}(v, \mu, \eta_1)$, we have

$$F(v+\mu) - F(\mu) - F'(\mu) v - \eta_1 v \left[f(v+\mu) - f(\mu) \right] \le H(\mu, \eta_1) v^2.$$
 (20)

Next we assume that, for some $\eta_1 \in (\eta, \frac{d-2}{2d})$, we have

$$C_{\rm P} H(\mu, \eta_1) < \frac{d-2}{2d} - \eta_1 ,$$
 (21)

where $C_{\rm P}$ is the Poincaré constant. This condition is non-trivial. It relates $H(\mu, \eta_1)$, a quantity attached to the nonlinearity, to $C_{\rm P}$ which has to do only with Ω . It is satisfied for all our basic examples.

- 1. Exponential case: If $f(u) = e^u$, we take μ negative, with $|\mu|$ big enough. Indeed, using first the homogeneity, one obtains $\mathcal{H}(v,\mu,\eta_1) = e^\mu \mathcal{H}(v,0,\eta_1)$. Since $\lim_{v\to 0_+} \mathcal{H}(v,0,\eta_1) = (1-2\eta_1)/2$ and $\mathcal{H}(v,0,\eta_1)$ becomes negative as $v\to +\infty$, as a function of $v\in \mathbb{R}^+$ $\mathcal{H}(v,0,\eta_1)$ admits a maximum value. To get a more explicit bound, we take a Taylor expansion at second order, namely $e^{\theta v}(1-2\eta_1-\eta_1\theta v)/2$ for some intermediate number $\theta\in(0,1)$. An upper bound is given by $\eta_1 e^{1/\eta_1-3}/2$, which corresponds to the above expression evaluated at $\theta v = 1/\eta_1 3$. According to (7), $\eta = 0$: taking η_1 small enough guarantees (21).
- 2. Power law case: If $f(u) = (1+u)^p$, we have $\mathcal{H}(v,\mu,\eta_1) = (1+\mu)^{p+1}\mathcal{H}(w,0,\eta_1)$ where $w = v/(\mu+1)$. Since $\lim_{v\to 0_+} \mathcal{H}(v,0,\eta_1) = p (1-2\eta_1)/2$ and $\mathcal{H}(v,0,\eta_1)$ becomes negative as $v\to +\infty$, \mathcal{H} achieves a positive maximum.
- 3. Fermi-Dirac distribution case: If $f(u) = f_{d/2-1}(u)$, we observe that

$$\limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{f'(u)}{u f''(u) + 2 f'(u)} = \eta < \frac{d-2}{2 d}$$
 (22)

if $d > 2(1 + \sqrt{2})$, which is stronger than Assumption (7), as can easily be recovered by integrating $f'(u) - \eta \left[u f''(u) + 2 f'(u) \right]$ twice, for large values of u. Take $\eta_1 \in (\eta, (d-2)/(2d))$. A Taylor expansion shows that

$$\mathcal{H}(v,\mu,\eta_1) = f'(u) - \eta_1 \left(u f''(u) + 2 f'(u) \right) + \mu \eta_1 f''(u)$$

= $a \left[f'(u) - \frac{\eta + \eta_1}{2} \left(u f''(u) + 2 f'(u) \right) \right] + (\mu - b u) \eta_1 f''(u)$

with $a = \frac{1-2\eta_1}{1-\eta-\eta_1}$, $b = \frac{\eta_1-\eta}{2\eta_1(1-\eta-\eta_1)}$ and $u = \mu + \theta v$ for some $\theta \in (0,1)$. Both terms in the above right hand side are negative for u large enough, which

proves the existence of a constant $H(\mu, \eta_1)$ such that (20) holds. Notice that by [9, Appendix], f and its derivatives behave like exponentials for u < 0, |u| large. Under the additional assumption $d \ge 6$, a tedious but elementary computation shows that, as $\mu \to -\infty$, the maximum of

$$u \mapsto a \left[f'(u) - \frac{\eta + \eta_1}{2} \left(u \, f''(u) + 2 \, f'(u) \right) \right] + (\mu - b \, u) \, \eta_1 \, f''(u)$$

is achieved at some $u = o(\mu)$, which proves that $\lim_{\mu \to -\infty} H(\mu, \eta_1) = 0$. Moreover, for any $d > 2(1 + \sqrt{2})$ one can still show that this maximum value behaves like $\exp(\mu)$ and thus can be made arbitrarily small for negative μ with $|\mu|$ large enough.

Assume that (2) has two solutions, u and u + v, with $v \ge 0$, and let us write the equation for the difference v as

$$\Delta v + f(u + v + \mu) - f(u + \mu) = 0.$$
 (23)

The method is the same as in Section 2. Multiply (23) by $x \cdot \nabla v$ and integrate with respect to $x \in \Omega$. If F is a primitive of f such that $F(\bar{\mu}) = 0$, then

$$\frac{d-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla v|^{2} (x \cdot \nu(x)) d\sigma
= d \int_{\Omega} \left[F(u+v+\mu) - F(u+\mu) - F'(u+\mu) v \right] dx
+ \int_{\Omega} (x \cdot \nabla u) \left[f(u+v+\mu) - f(u+\mu) - f'(u+\mu) v \right] dx.$$

Assume that (21) holds for some η_1 . Since $|x \cdot \nabla u|$ is uniformly small as $\mu \to \bar{\mu}_+$, we may assume that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, arbitrarily small, there exists $\mu_0 > \bar{\mu}$, sufficiently close to $\bar{\mu}$ (that is, $\mu_0 - \bar{\mu} > 0$, small if $\bar{\mu} > -\infty$, or $\mu_0 < 0$, $|\mu_0|$ big enough if $\bar{\mu} = -\infty$), such that $|x \cdot \nabla u| \le \varepsilon$ for any $x \in \Omega$ if $\mu \in (\bar{\mu}, \mu_0)$. Next we define

$$h_{\varepsilon}(v) := d \left[F(z+v) - F(z) - F'(z) v \right] + \varepsilon |f(z+v) - f(z) - f'(z) v| - d \eta_1 v [f(z+v) - f(z)],$$

where $z = u + \mu$. Using the star-shapedeness of the domain Ω , we have

$$\frac{d-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} h_{\varepsilon}(v) dx + d \eta_1 \int_{\Omega} v [f(z+v) - f(z)] dx.$$

Up to a small change of η_1 , so that Condition (21) still holds, for $\varepsilon > 0$, small enough, we get

$$\frac{1}{d} h_{\varepsilon}(v) \le F(z+v) - F(z) - F'(z) v - \eta_1 v \big[f(z+v) - f(z) \big].$$

As $\varepsilon \to 0_+$, z converges to μ uniformly and the above right hand side is equivalent to $F(v+\mu) - F(\mu) - F'(\mu) v - \eta_1 v [f(v+\mu) - f(\mu)]$. For some $\delta > 0$, arbitrarily small, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{d} h_{\varepsilon}(v) \le (H(\mu, \eta_1) + \delta) v^2.$$

From (23) multiplied by v, after an integration by parts we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} v [f(z+v) - f(z)] dx.$$

Hence we have shown that

$$\left(\frac{d-2}{2d} - \eta_1\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \le (H(\mu, \eta_1) + \delta) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx ,$$

By the Poincaré inequality (5), the left hand side is bounded from below by

$$\left(\frac{d-2}{2d} - \eta_1\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \ge \frac{1}{C_{\rm P}} \left(\frac{d-2}{2d} - \eta_1\right) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx .$$

Summarizing, we have proved that, if $\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \neq 0$, then, for an arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{C_{\mathcal{P}}} \left(\frac{d-2}{2d} - \eta_1 \right) \le H(\mu, \eta_1) + \delta$$

if $\mu - \bar{\mu} > 0$ is small if $\bar{\mu} > -\infty$, or $\mu < 0$, $|\mu|$ big enough if $\bar{\mu} = -\infty$. This contradicts (21) unless $v \equiv 0$.

Theorem 7. Assume that Ω is a star-shaped domain in \mathbb{R}^d , with $C^{2,\gamma}$ boundary, $\gamma \in (0,1)$, such that (5) holds. If $f \in C^2$ satisfies (7) and (21), if $\lim_{\mu \to \bar{\mu}} f(\mu) = 0$, then there exists a $\mu_0 \in (\bar{\mu}, \infty)$ such that Equation (2) has at most one solution in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ for any $\mu \in (\bar{\mu}, \mu_0)$.

In cases of practical interest for applications, one often has to deal with the equation $\Delta u + f(x, u + \mu) = 0$. Our method can be adapted in many cases, that we omit here for simplicity. The necessary adaptations are left to the reader.

3.2. The non-local bifurcation problem

In this section we address problem (4) with parameter M > 0, in a bounded starshaped domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^d . Consider in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ the positive solutions of (4), that is of

$$\Delta u + f(u + \mu) = 0 \tag{24}$$

where μ is determined by the non-local normalization condition

$$M = \int_{\Omega} f(u+\mu) \, dx \,. \tag{25}$$

We observe that in the exponential case, $f(u) = e^u$, (4) is equivalent to the non-local multiplicative case, (3). The condition (25) is indeed explicitly solved by $e^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} e^u dx = M = \kappa$.

Non-existence results for large values of M can be achieved by the same method as in the multiplicative non-local case. If we multiply (24) by u and $(x \cdot \nabla u)$,

we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} u f(u+\mu) dx ,$$

$$\frac{d-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 (x \cdot \nu) d\sigma = d \int_{\Omega} \left(F(u+\mu) - F(\mu) \right) dx .$$

The elimination of $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[2 d \left(F(u+\mu) - F(\mu) \right) - (d-2) u f(u+\mu) \right] dx \ge \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 (x \cdot \nu) d\sigma.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we know that

$$M^{2} = \left(\int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nu \ d\sigma \right)^{2} \le |\partial \Omega| \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} \ d\sigma \ .$$

If (14) holds, then, as in Section 2.2,

$$\alpha M^2 \le |\partial \Omega| \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 (x \cdot \nu) d\sigma$$
.

Summarizing we have found that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[2 d \left(F(u+\mu) - F(\mu) \right) - (d-2) u f(u+\mu) \right] dx \ge \frac{\alpha M^2}{|\partial \Omega|}. \tag{26}$$

This suggests a condition similar to the one in the multiplicative case, (15). Define

$$G(\mu) := \sup_{z>\mu} \left[2 d \left(F(z) - F(\mu) \right) - (d-2) f(z) (z-\mu) \right] / f(z) .$$

If f is supercritical in the sense of (7), G is well defined, but in some cases, it also makes sense for d=2. For simplicity, we shall assume that G is a non-decreasing function of μ . As a consequence, we can state the following theorem, which generalizes known results on exponential and Fermi-Dirac distributions, cf. [5] and [54, 55], respectively.

Theorem 8. Assume that Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, with C^1 boundary satisfying (14) for some $\alpha > 0$. If f is a C^1 positive, non-decreasing function such that (7) holds and if G is non-decreasing, then (4) has no solution in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ if

$$M > \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{\alpha} (G \circ f^{-1}) \left(\frac{M}{|\Omega|}\right)$$
.

Here by f^{-1} one has to understand the generalized inverse given by $f^{-1}(t) := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} : f(s) \le t\}.$

Proof. From the above definitions and computations, we have

$$\frac{\alpha M^2}{|\partial \Omega|} \le G(\mu) M .$$

Since f is non-decreasing and the solution u of (24) is positive, while $M = \int_{\Omega} f(u + \mu) dx \ge f(\mu) |\Omega|$, this completes the proof.

Theorem 8 can be illustrated by the following examples.

- 1. Exponential case: if $f(u) = e^u$ and $d \ge 3$, then $G(\mu) \equiv d + 2 + (d 2) \log(\frac{d 2}{2d})$ does not depend on μ . If d = 2, $G(\mu) \equiv 4$. In both cases (4) has no bounded solution if $M > |\partial\Omega| \, G/\alpha$. We recover here the condition corresponding to (16) and Theorem 4.
- 2. Power law case: if $f(u) = u^p$ with $p \ge \frac{d+2}{d-2}$, then $G(\mu) = \mu G(1)$. Using $\mu \le (M/|\Omega|)^{1/p}$, it follows that (4) has no bounded solution if

$$M^{\frac{p-1}{p}} > \frac{G(1)}{\alpha} \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|^{1/p}}$$
.

3. Fermi-Dirac distribution case: If $f(u) = f_{\delta}(u)$ where f_{δ} is the Fermi-Dirac distribution defined by (11) with $\delta = d/2 - 1$ and $d > 2(1 + \sqrt{2})$, then f is increasing, $F = \frac{2}{d} f_{d/2}$ is the primitive of f such that $\lim_{u \to -\infty} F(u) = 0$,

$$G_d := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left[4 f_{d/2}(z) - (d-2) z f_{d/2-1}(z) \right] = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left[2 d F(z) - (d-2) z f(z) \right]$$

is finite according to [9, Appendix] and depends only on the dimension d. It is indeed known that $f'_{\delta} = \delta f_{\delta-1}$, $f_{\delta}(z) \sim \Gamma(\delta+1) e^z$ as $z \to -\infty$ and $f_{\delta}(z) \sim u^{\delta+1}/(\delta+1)$ as $z \to +\infty$. From (26), we deduce that

$$\frac{\alpha M^2}{|\partial\Omega|} \leq \int_{\Omega} \left[2 d \left(F(z) - F(\mu) \right) - (d-2) z f(z) \right] dx + (d-2) \int_{\Omega} \mu f(z) dx$$

with $z:=u+\mu$. By dropping the term $F(\mu)$, we see that the first integral in the right hand side is bounded by $G_d |\Omega|$, and the second one by $(d-2)\mu M$. Since f is increasing and u positive, $f(\mu) |\Omega| \leq \int_{\Omega} f(z) dx = M$ and therefore $\mu \leq f^{-1}(M/|\Omega|)$. As a consequence, (4) has no bounded solution if

$$\alpha M^2 > |\partial \Omega| \left[G_d |\Omega| + (d-2) M f^{-1} \left(\frac{M}{|\Omega|} \right) \right].$$

For a similar approach, one can refer to [55].

Denote by u_{μ} a branch of solutions of (2) satisfying (19). For $\mu - \bar{\mu} > 0$, small if $\bar{\mu} > -\infty$, or $\mu < 0$, $|\mu|$ big enough if $\bar{\mu} = -\infty$, a branch of solutions of (4) can be parametrized by $\mu \mapsto \left(M(\mu) := \int_{\Omega} f(u_{\mu} + \mu) \, dx \,, \, u_{\mu}\right)$. Reciprocally, if Ω is bounded, then any solution $u \in L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ of (4) is of course a solution of (2) with $\mu = \mu(M)$ determined by (25). If f is monotone increasing, we additionally know that $\bar{\mu} < \mu < f^{-1}(M/|\Omega|)$. To prove the uniqueness in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the solutions of (4), it is therefore sufficient to establish the monotonicity of $\mu \mapsto M(\mu)$. Assume that

$$\lim_{\mu \to \bar{\mu}} f(\mu) = \lim_{\mu \to \bar{\mu}} f'(\mu) = 0 \text{ and } f \text{ is monotone increasing on } (\bar{\mu}, \infty) . \tag{27}$$

The function $v := du_{\mu}/d\mu$ is a solution in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of

$$\Delta v + f'(u_{\mu} + \mu)(1 + v) = 0$$
.

Because of (27), it is nonnegative when μ is in a right neighborhood of $\bar{\mu}$, thus proving that

$$\frac{dM}{d\mu} = \int_{\Omega} f'(u_{\mu} + \mu) (1 + v) dx$$

is nonnegative.

Theorem 9. Assume that Ω is a star-shaped bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with $C^{2,\gamma}$ boundary. If $f \in C^2$ is nonnegative, increasing, satisfies (5), (7), (21), and (27), then there exists $M_0 > 0$ such that (4) has at most one solution in $L^{\infty} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ for any $M \in (0, M_0)$.

4. Concluding remarks

Uniqueness issues in nonlinear elliptic problems are difficult questions when no symmetry assumption is made on the domain. In this paper we have considered only a few simple cases, which illustrate the efficiency of the approach based on Pohožaev's method when dealing with bifurcation problems. Our main contribution is to extend what has been done in the local multiplicative case to the additive case, and then to problem with non-local terms or constraints.

The key point is that Pohožaev's method, which is well known to provide non-existence results in supercritical problems, also gives uniqueness results. One can incidentally notice that non-existence results in many cases, for instance supercritical pure power law, are more precisely non-existence results of non-trivial solutions. The trivial solution is then the unique solution.

The strength of the method is that minimal geometrical assumptions have to be done, and the result holds true even if no symmetry can be expected. As a non-trivial byproduct of our results, when the domain Ω presents some special symmetry, for instance with respect to an hyperplane, then it follows from the uniqueness result that the solution also has the corresponding symmetry.

Acknowledgments. J.D. thanks J.-P. Puel for explaining him the method in the local, multiplicative case, for the exponential nonlinearity, $f(u)=e^u$, and X. Cabré for pointing to him [50]. This work has been partially supported by the EU financed network HPRN-CT-2002-00282 and the Polonium contract no. 13886SG. It was also partially supported by the European Commission Marie Curie Host Fellowship for the Transfer of Knowledge "Harmonic Analysis, Nonlinear Analysis and Probability" MTKD-CT-2004-013389 and by the Polish Ministry of Science project N201 022 32/0902.

© 2009 by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

References

- [1] C. Bandle and R. Benguria, *The Brézis-Nirenberg problem on* S³, J. Differential Equations, 178 (2002), pp. 264–279.
- [2] H. Bellout, On some singular solutions of the equations $\Delta u = -\lambda e^u$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 15 (1990), pp. 525–539.

- [3] R. D. BENGURIA, J. DOLBEAULT, AND M. J. ESTEBAN, Classification of the solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in a ball, J. Differential Equations, 167 (2000), pp. 438–466.
- [4] M.-F. BIDAUT-VÉRON AND L. VÉRON, Nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds and asymptotics of Emden equations, Invent. Math., 106 (1991), pp. 489–539.
- [5] P. BILER, J. DOLBEAULT, M. J. ESTEBAN, P. A. MARKOWICH, AND T. NADZIEJA, Steady states for Streater's energy-transport models of self-gravitating particles, in Transport in transition regimes (Minneapolis, MN, 2000), vol. 135 of IMA Vol. Math. Appl., Springer, New York, 2004, pp. 37–56.
- [6] P. BILER, P. LAURENÇOT, AND T. NADZIEJA, On an evolution system describing self-gravitating Fermi-Dirac particles, Adv. Differential Equations, 9 (2004), pp. 563– 586.
- [7] P. Biler and T. Nadzieja, A class of nonlocal parabolic problems occurring in statistical mechanics, Colloq. Math., 66 (1993), pp. 131–145.
- [8] ——, Existence and nonexistence of solutions for a model of gravitational interaction of particles. I, Colloq. Math., 66 (1994), pp. 319–334.
- [9] P. BILER, T. NADZIEJA, AND R. STAŃCZY, Nonisothermal systems of self-attracting Fermi-Dirac particles, in Nonlocal elliptic and parabolic problems, vol. 66 of Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2004, pp. 61–78.
- [10] P. BILER AND R. STAŃCZY, Parabolic-elliptic systems with general density-pressure relations, in Proceedings of the Conference on Variational Problems and Related Topics (Kyoto, 2004), vol. 1405 of Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku, 2004, pp. 31– 53
- [11] H. BRÉZIS AND L. NIRENBERG, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 36 (1983), pp. 437– 477.
- [12] X. Cabré and P. Majer, Personnal communication (communicated by X. Cabré).
- [13] E. CAGLIOTI, P.-L. LIONS, C. MARCHIORO, AND M. PULVIRENTI, A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description, Comm. Math. Phys., 143 (1992), pp. 501–525.
- [14] ——, A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description. II, Comm. Math. Phys., 174 (1995), pp. 229–260.
- [15] A. CASTRO, C. MAYA, AND R. SHIVAJI, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems with semipositone structure, in Proceedings of the Conference on Nonlinear Differential Equations (Coral Gables, FL, 1999), vol. 5 of Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf., San Marcos, TX, 2000, Southwest Texas State Univ., pp. 33–49 (electronic).
- [16] S. CHANDRASEKHAR, M. KAC, AND R. SMOLUCHOWSKI, Marian Smoluchowski: his life and scientific work, PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 2000. Chronological table and bibliography compiled by Alojzy Burnicki, Edited and with a preface by Roman Stanisław Ingarden.
- [17] P.-H. CHAVANIS, P. LAURENÇOT, AND M. LEMOU, Chapman-Enskog derivation of the generalized Smoluchowski equation, Phys. A, 341 (2004), pp. 145–164.
- [18] P.-H. CHAVANIS, C. ROSIER, AND C. SIRE, Thermodynamics of self-gravitating systems, Phys. Rev. E (3), 66 (2002), pp. 036105, 19.
- [19] P.-H. CHAVANIS, J. SOMMERIA, AND R. ROBERT, Statistical mechanics of twodimensional vortices and collisionless stellar systems, Astrophys. J., 471 (1996), pp. 385–399.
- [20] M. G. CRANDALL AND P. H. RABINOWITZ, Some continuation and variational methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 58 (1975), pp. 207–218.

- [21] E. N. DANCER AND K. ZHANG, Uniqueness of solutions for some elliptic equations and systems in nearly star-shaped domains, Nonlinear Anal., 41 (2000), pp. 745-761.
- [22] M. DEL PINO, J. DOLBEAULT, AND M. MUSSO, Multiple bubbling for the exponential nonlinearity in the slightly supercritical case, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 5 (2006), pp. 463–482.
- [23] J. DOLBEAULT, M. J. ESTEBAN, AND G. TARANTELLO, The role of Onofri type inequalities in the symmetry properties of extremals for Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, in two space dimensions, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), 7 (2008), pp. 313–341.
- [24] J. DOLBEAULT AND I. FLORES, Geometry of phase space and solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in a ball, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 359 (2007), pp. 4073–4087.
- [25] J. DOLBEAULT, P. MARKOWICH, D. ÖLZ, AND C. SCHMEISER, Non linear diffusions as limit of kinetic equations with relaxation collision kernels, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 186 (2007), pp. 133–158.
- [26] L. Erbe and M. Tang, Uniqueness theorems for positive radial solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in a ball, J. Differential Equations, 138 (1997), pp. 351–379.
- [27] T. GALLOUËT, F. MIGNOT, AND J.-P. PUEL, Quelques résultats sur le problème $-\Delta u = \lambda e^u$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 307 (1988), pp. 289–292.
- [28] I. M. GEL'FAND, Some problems in the theory of quasilinear equations, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), 29 (1963), pp. 295–381.
- [29] B. GIDAS, W. M. NI, AND L. NIRENBERG, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, Comm. Math. Phys., 68 (1979), pp. 209–243.
- [30] D. D. JOSEPH AND T. S. LUNDGREN, Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 49 (1972/73), pp. 241–269.
- [31] P.-L. LIONS, On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations, SIAM Rev., 24 (1982), pp. 441–467.
- [32] H. MATANO, Solutions of a nonlinear elliptic equation with a prescribed singularity. Oral communication.
- [33] J. McGough and J. Mortensen, Pohozaev obstructions on non-starlike domains, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 18 (2003), pp. 189–205.
- [34] J. McGough, J. Mortensen, C. Rickett, and G. Stubbendieck, Domain geometry and the Pohozaev identity, Electron. J. Differential Equations, (2005), p. 16 (electronic).
- [35] J. McGough and K. Schmitt, Applications of variational identities to quasilinear elliptic differential equations, Math. Comput. Modelling, 32 (2000), pp. 661–673. Boundary value problems and related topics.
- [36] J. S. McGough, Nonexistence and uniqueness in semilinear elliptic systems, Differential Equations Dynam. Systems, 4 (1996), pp. 157–176.
- [37] F. MIGNOT AND J.-P. PUEL, Quelques résultats sur un problème elliptique avec non linéarité exponentielle, in Équations aux dérivées partielles et applications, Gauthier-Villars, Éd. Sci. Méd. Elsevier, Paris, 1998, pp. 683–704.
- [38] C. Neri, Statistical mechanics of the N-point vortex system with random intensities on a bounded domain, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 21 (2004), pp. 381– 399.
- [39] T. OUYANG AND J. SHI, Exact multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of semilinear problems, J. Differential Equations, 146 (1998), pp. 121–156.
- [40] ——, Exact multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of semilinear problem. II, J. Differential Equations, 158 (1999), pp. 94–151.
- [41] F. PACARD, Solutions de $\Delta u = -\lambda e^u$ ayant des singularités ponctuelles prescrites, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 311 (1990), pp. 317–320.

- [42] S. I. POHOŽAEV, On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 165 (1965), pp. 36–39.
- [43] P. PUCCI AND J. SERRIN, A general variational identity, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 35 (1986), pp. 681–703.
- [44] P. H. RABINOWITZ, Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, J. Functional Analysis, 7 (1971), pp. 487–513.
- [45] —, Variational methods for nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 23 (1973/74), pp. 729–754.
- [46] Y. RÉBAÏ, Solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with many isolated singularities: the unstable case, Nonlinear Anal., 38 (1999), pp. 173–191.
- [47] ——, Solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with one isolated singularity, Differential Integral Equations, 12 (1999), pp. 563–581.
- [48] F. Rellich, Darstellung der Eigenwerte von $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$ durch ein Randintegral, Math. Z., 46 (1940), pp. 635–636.
- [49] R. Schaaf, Uniqueness for semilinear elliptic problems: supercritical growth and domain geometry, Adv. Differential Equations, 5 (2000), pp. 1201–1220.
- [50] K. SCHMITT, Positive solutions of semilinear elliptic boundary value problems, in Topological methods in differential equations and inclusions (Montreal, PQ, 1994), vol. 472 of NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995, pp. 447–500.
- [51] T. Senba and T. Suzuki, Applied analysis, Imperial College Press, London, 2004. Mathematical methods in natural science.
- [52] J. SERRIN AND M. TANG, Uniqueness of ground states for quasilinear elliptic equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 49 (2000), pp. 897–923.
- [53] M. SMOLUCHOWSKI, Drei vortrage über diffusion, brownsche molekularbewegung und koagulation von kolloidteilchen, Phys. Zeit., 17 (1916), pp. 557–571, 585–599.
- [54] R. Stańczy, Self-attracting Fermi-Dirac particles in canonical and microcanonical setting, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 28 (2005), pp. 975–990.
- [55] ——, Steady states for a system describing self-gravitating Fermi-Dirac particles, Diff. Int. Equations, 18 (2005), pp. 567–582.
- [56] —, On some parabolic-elliptic system with self-similar pressure term, in Self-similar solutions of nonlinear PDE, vol. 74 of Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2006, pp. 205–215.
- [57] T. Suzuki, Global analysis for a two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problem with the exponential nonlinearity, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 9 (1992), pp. 367–397.
- [58] —, Semilinear elliptic equations, GAKUTO International Series. Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 3, Gakkōtosho Co. Ltd., Tokyo, 1994.
- [59] ——, Free energy and self-interacting particles, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 62, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2005.

Jean Dolbeault

Ceremade (UMR CNRS no. 7534), Université Paris-Dauphine, Place de Lattre de Tassigny, 75775 Paris Cédex 16, France.

e-mail: dolbeaul@ceremade.dauphine.fr

Robert Stańczy

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland.

e-mail: stanczr@math.uni.wroc.pl