Formulas for the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra

Frederic Menous

Formulas for the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra

Frédéric Menous

Abstract

We give explicit formulas for the coproduct and the antipode in the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{CM}}$. To do so, we first restrict ourselves to a sub-Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ containing the nontrivial elements, namely those for which the coproduct and the antipode are nontrivial. There are two ways to obtain explicit formulas. On one hand, the algebra $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is isomorphic to the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra of coordinates on the group of identity-tangent diffeomorphism and computations become easy using substitution automorphisms rather than diffeomorphisms. On the other hand, the algebra $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is isomorphic to a sub-Hopf algebra of the classical shuffle Hopf algebra which appears naturally in resummation theory, in the framework of formal and analytic conjugacy of vector fields. Using the very simple structure of the shuffle Hopf algebra, we derive once again explicit formulas for the coproduct and the antipode in $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$.

1 Introduction.

The Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{CM}}$ was introduced in [5] in the context of noncommutative geometry. Because of its relation with the Lie algebra of formal vector fields, it was also proved in [5] that its subalgebra $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is isomorphic to the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra of coordinates of identity-tangent diffeomorphisms (see [5],[10]). In the past years, it appeared that this Hopf algebra was strongly related to the Hopf algebras of trees (see [2]) or graphs (see [3],[4]) underlying perturbative renormalization in quantum field theory.

Our aim is to give explicit formulas for the coproduct and the antipode in $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$, since only recursive formulas seem to be known.

We remind in section 2 the definition of the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra, as well as its properties and links with the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra and identity-tangent diffeomorphisms (for details, see [5],[10]). The formulas are given in section 3. We present a proof based on the isomorphism between identity-tangent diffeomorphisms and substitution automorphisms which are easier to handle in the computations. These manipulations on substitution automorphisms are very common in J. Ecalle's work on the formal classification of differential equations, vector fields, diffeomorphism... (see [6],[7],[8],[9]). In fact, the first proof for these formulas was based on mould calculus and shuffle Hopf algebras, which we shortly describe in section 4. Sections 5 and 6 give the

outlines of the initial proof based on a Hopf morphism from $\mathcal{H}^1 \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{CM}}$ in a shuffle Hopf algebra.

Contents

2 Connes-Moscovici and Faà di Bruno Hopf algebras.

2.1 The Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra

The Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{CM}}$ defined in [5] is the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra which is the linear span of Y, X, δ_n, $n \geq 1$ with the relations,

$$[X, Y] = X, [Y, \delta_n] = n\delta_n, [\delta_n, \delta_m] = 0, [X, \delta_n] = \delta_{n+1} \tag{1}$$

for all $m, n \geq 1$. The coproduct Δ in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is defined by

$$\Delta(Y) = Y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Y, \Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X + \delta_1 \otimes Y, \Delta(\delta_1) = \delta_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_1 \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta(\delta_n)$ is defined recursively, using equation 1 and the identity

$$\forall h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{CM}}, \quad \Delta(h_1 h_2) = \Delta(h_1)\Delta(h_2) \tag{3}$$

The coproduct of X and Y is given, whereas the coproduct of δ_n is nontrivial. Nonetheless, the algebra generated by $\{\delta_n, \quad n \geq 1\}$ is a graded sub-Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{CM}}$ where the graduation is defined by

$$\mathrm{gr}(\delta_{n_1} \dots \delta_{n_s}) = n_1 + \dots + n_s \tag{4}$$

As mentioned in [5], the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is strongly linked to Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra.

2.2 The Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra

Let us consider the group of formal identity tangent diffeomorphisms :

$$G_2 = \{f(x) = x + \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n x^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}[[x]]\}$$

with, by convention, the product $\mu : G_2 \times G_2 \to G_2$:

$$\mu(f, g) = g \circ f$$

For $n \geq 0$, the functionals on G_2 defined by

$$a_n(f) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}(\partial_x^{n+1} f)(0) = f_n \quad a_n : G_2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

are called de Faà di Bruno coordinates on the group G_2 and $a_0 = 1$ being the unit, they generates a graded unital commutative algebra

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{FdB}} = \mathbb{R}[a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots] \quad (\mathrm{gr}(a_n) = n)$$

Moreover, the action of these functionals on a product in G_2 defines a coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{FdB}}$ that turns to be a graded connected Hopf algebra (see [10] for details). For $n \geq 0$, the coproduct is defined by

$$a_n \circ \mu = m \circ \Delta(a_n) \tag{5}$$

where m is the usual multiplication in $\mathbb{R}$, and the antipode reads

$$S \circ a_n = a_n \circ \mathrm{rec}$$

where $\mathrm{rec}(\varphi) = \varphi^{-1}$ is the composition inverse of φ.

For example if $f(x) = x + \sum_{n\geq 1} f_n x^{n+1}$ and $g(x) = x + \sum_{n\geq 1} g_n x^{n+1}$ then if $h = \mu(f,g) = g \circ f$ and $h(x) = x + \sum_{n\geq 1} h_n x^{n+1}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a_0(h) & = & 1 = a_0(f)a_0(g) & \rightarrow & \Delta a_0 & = & a_0 \otimes a_0 \\ a_1(h) & = & f_1 + h_1 & \rightarrow & \Delta a_1 & = & a_1 \otimes a_0 + a_0 \otimes a_1 \\ a_2(h) & = & f_2 + f_1 g_1 + g_2 & \rightarrow & \Delta a_2 & = & a_2 \otimes a_0 + a_1 \otimes a_1 + a_0 \otimes a_2 \end{array}$$

As proved in [5] and [10], there exists a Hopf isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{FdB}}$ and $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$.

2.3 Connes-Moscovici coordinates

Following [5], one can define new functionals on G_2 by $\gamma_0 = a_0{=}1$ (unit) and for $n \geq 1$,

$$\gamma_n(f) = (\partial_x^n \log(f'))(0)$$

These functionals, which may be called the Connes-Moscovici coordinates on G_2, freely generates the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{FdB}} = \mathbb{R}[a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots] = \mathbb{R}[\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n, \ldots] \quad \mathrm{gr}(a_n) = \mathrm{gr}(\gamma_n) = n$$

and their coproduct is given by the formula 5. Now, see [5], [2] :

Theorem 1 *The map Θ defined by $\Theta(\delta_n) = \gamma_n$ is a graded Hopf isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{FdB}}$ and $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$*

This means that the coproduct and the antipode in $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ can be rather computed in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{FdB}}$. Unfortunately, if the coproduct and the antipode is well-known for the functionals a_n, using the Faà di Bruno formulas for the composition and the inverse of diffeomorphisms in G_2, it seems that formulas for the γ_n cannot be easily derived. In order to do so, we will either work with substitution automorphism which are easier to handle than diffeomorphisms (see section 3, or identify $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{FdB}}$ as a sub-Hopf algebra of a shuffle Hopf algebra and use mould calculus (see sections 4, 5, 6).

3 Formulas in $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$.

3.1 Notations

In the sequel we note

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^s, \quad s \geq 1\}$$

For $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{n}\| = n_1 + \ldots + n_s, \quad l(\boldsymbol{n}) = s$$

and if $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{N}_n = \{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N} \quad ; \quad \|\boldsymbol{n}\| = n\}$$

For a tuple $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}$, we note $\boldsymbol{n}! = n_1! \ldots n_s!$. More over, $\text{Split}(\boldsymbol{n})$ is the subset of $\bigcup_{t \geq 1} \mathcal{N}^t$ such that $(\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t) \in \text{Split}(\boldsymbol{n})$ if and only if the concatenation of $(\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t)$ is equal to $\boldsymbol{n}$:

$$\text{Split}(\boldsymbol{n}) = \{(\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t) \in \mathcal{N}^t, \quad \boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n}\} \tag{6}$$

In summation formulas, we will use the fact that

$$\bigcup_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}_n} \text{Split}(\boldsymbol{n}) = \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_n} \mathcal{N}_{n_1} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{N}_{n_s} \tag{7}$$

so that if f is a function on $\mathcal{N}$ and g is a function on $\bigcup_{t \geq 1} \mathcal{N}^t$, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_n} \quad \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^1 \in \mathcal{N}_{n_1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{m}^s \in \mathcal{N}_{n_s}}} f(\boldsymbol{n}) g(\boldsymbol{m}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^s) = \\ \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{m}^s = \boldsymbol{n}} f(\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|, \ldots, \|\boldsymbol{m}^s\|) g(\boldsymbol{m}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^s) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{m}^s = \boldsymbol{n}}$ is the sum over $\text{Split}(\boldsymbol{n})$.

Finally, for $(\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t) \in \mathcal{N}^t$ $(t \geq 1)$,

$$A(\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t) = \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n}^1)! \ldots l(\boldsymbol{n}^t)!} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\| + 1} \tag{9}$$

and, for $k \geq 1$,

$$B_k(\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t) = C_k^{l(\boldsymbol{n}^t)} \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} C^{l(\boldsymbol{n}^i)}_{\|\boldsymbol{n}^{i+1}\| + \ldots + \|\boldsymbol{n}^t\| + k} \tag{10}$$

3.2 Main formulas

We will now prove the following formulas :

Theorem 2 *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\delta_n) \quad = \quad & \delta_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_n \\ & + \sum_{\substack{(n_1, \ldots, n_{s+1}) \in \mathcal{N}_n \\ s \geq 1}} \frac{n!}{n_1! \ldots n_{s+1}!} \alpha^{n_1,\ldots,n_s}_{n_{s+1}} \delta_{n_1} \ldots \delta_{n_s} \otimes \delta_{n_{s+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and, for $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}$ *(*$l(\boldsymbol{n}) = s$*) and* $m \geq 1$*,*

$$\alpha_m^{\boldsymbol{n}} = \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} C_m^t \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n}} A(\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t) \tag{12}$$

where, for $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}$*,* $l(\boldsymbol{n}) = 1$*,* $\|\boldsymbol{n}\| = n_1 + \ldots + n_s$ *and with the convention* $C_m^t = \dfrac{m!}{t!(m-t)!} = 0$ *if* $t > m$*.*

For the antipode S :

Theorem 3 *For* $n \geq 1$*,*

$$S(\delta_n) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N} \\ n_1 + \ldots + n_s = n}} \frac{n!}{n_1! \ldots n_s!} \beta^{n_1, \ldots, n_s} \delta_{n_1} \ldots \delta_{n_s} \tag{13}$$

with $\beta^{n_1} = -1$ *and, if* $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_{s+1}) \in \mathcal{N}$ *(*$s \geq 1$*),*

$$\beta^{n_1, \ldots, n_s, n_{s+1}} = \sum_{t=1}^{s} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n}} U_{n_{s+1}}^{\|\boldsymbol{n}^1\|, \ldots, \|\boldsymbol{n}^t\|} A(\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t) \tag{14}$$

where, if $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_t) \in \mathcal{N}/\{\emptyset\}$ *and* $k \geq 1$*,*

$$U_k^{\boldsymbol{m}} = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})} (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{m}^i = \boldsymbol{m}} B_k(\boldsymbol{m}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^i) \tag{15}$$

We will now give the more recent proof of this formulas. These formulas were first conjectured and then proved using a Hopf morphism between $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{CM}}^1$ and a shuffle Hopf algebra noted $\mathrm{sh}(\mathbb{N}^*)$. We will come back later on this morphism and the afferent proofs. Let us first look at the correspondence between FdB coordinates and the CM coordinates on G_2.

3.3 Coordinates on G_2

Let $\varphi(x) = x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n x^{n+1}$. We have for $n \geq 1$:

$$a_n(\varphi) = \varphi_n, \quad \gamma_n(\varphi) = (\partial_x^n \log(\varphi'))(0) = f_n \tag{16}$$

If $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \dfrac{f_n}{n!} x^n$, then

$$f(x) = \log(\varphi'(x)) \quad \varphi(x) = \int_0^x e^{f(t)} dt \tag{17}$$

For any sequence $(u_n)_{n\geq 1}$, we note

$$\forall \boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}, \quad u_{\boldsymbol{n}} = u_{n_1} \ldots u_{n_s} \tag{18}$$

Using equation 17, we get easily that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(x) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}} \frac{(-1)^{l(\boldsymbol{n})}}{l(\boldsymbol{n})}(n_1+1)\ldots(n_s+1)\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|} \\ \varphi(x) & = & x + \displaystyle\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}} \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n})!\boldsymbol{n}!}\frac{f_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+1} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+1} \end{array} \tag{19}$$

and these formulas establish the correspondence between FdB and CM coordinates on G_2. In order to prove theorems 2 and 3, we need to understand how these coordinates read on φ^{-1} and $\mu(\varphi,\psi) = \psi \circ \varphi$ $(\varphi, \psi \in G_2)$. To do so, we will rather work with substitution automorphisms than with diffeomorphism.

3.4 Taylor expansions and substitution automorphisms

Definition 1 *Let $\tilde{G}_2$ be the set of linear maps from $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ to $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ such that*

1. *For $F \in \tilde{G}_2$, the image $F(x)$ by F of the series x is in G_2.*
2. *For any two series A and B in $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$, we have*

$$F(A.B) = F(A).F(B) \tag{20}$$

The elements of $\tilde{G}_2$ are called substitution automorphisms and

Theorem 4 *$\tilde{G}_2$ is a group for the composition and the map :*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \tau & : & \tilde{G}_2 & \to & G_2 \\ & & F & \mapsto & \varphi(x) = F(x) \end{array}$$

defines an isomorphism between the groups $\tilde{G}_2$ and G_2. Moreover, for $A \in \mathbb{R}[[x]]$,

$$F(A) = A \circ \tau(F) \tag{21}$$

Proof If $F \in \widetilde{G}_2$, then, thanks to equation 20, for $k \geq 0$,

$$F(x^k) = (F(x))^k = (\tau(F)(x))^k = (\varphi(x))^k \tag{22}$$

thus, for $A(x) = \sum_{k\geq 0} A_k x^k \in \mathbb{R}[[x]]$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F(A)(x) & = & F\left(\sum_{k\geq 0} A_k x^k\right) \\ & = & \sum_{k\geq 0} A_k F(x^k) \\ & = & \sum_{k\geq 0} A_k (\varphi(x))^k \\ & = & A \circ (\tau(F))(x) \end{array} \tag{23}$$

This proves that τ is injective and for any $\varphi \in G_2$ the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F & : & \mathbb{R}[[x]] & \to & \mathbb{R}[[x]] \\ & & A & \mapsto & A \circ \varphi \end{array}$$

is a substitution automorphism of $\tilde{G}_2$ such that $\tau(F) = \varphi$. The map τ is a bijection. Now, for F and G in $\tilde{G}_2$,

$$\tau(F \circ G)(x) = F(G(x)) = \tau(G) \circ \tau(F)(x) = \mu(\tau(F), \tau(G))(x) \tag{24}$$

and if $H = \tau^{-1}((\tau(F))^{-1})$ then $F \circ H = H \circ F = \mathrm{Id}$. This ends the proof.

□

Using Taylor expansion, we also get formulas for $\tau^{-1}(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in G_2$,

Proposition 1 *Let* $\varphi(x) = x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n x^{n+1} \in G_2$ *and* $F = \tau^{-1}(\varphi)$, *then*

$$F = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s) \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n})!} \varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\| + l(\boldsymbol{n})} \partial_x^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} \tag{25}$$

This also means that F can be decomposed in homogeneous components :

$$F = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{n \geq 1} F_n \quad , \quad F_n = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_n} \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n})!} \varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\| + l(\boldsymbol{n})} \partial_x^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} \tag{26}$$

such that

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \forall k \geq 1, \quad \exists c \in \mathbb{R}, \quad F_n(x^k) = c x^{n+k} \tag{27}$$

Proof If $\varphi(x) = x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n x^{n+1} = x + \bar{\varphi}(x) \in G_2$, then, if $F = \tau^{-1}(\varphi)$, then for $A \in \mathbb{R}[[x]]$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(A)(x) &= A(x + \bar{\varphi}(x)) \\ &= A(x) + \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{(\bar{\varphi}(x))^s}{s!} A^{(s)}(x) \\ &= A(x) + \sum_{s \geq 1} \sum_{n_1 \geq 1, \ldots, n_s \geq 1} \frac{1}{s!} \varphi_{n_1} \ldots \varphi_{n_s} x^{n_1 + \ldots + n_s + s} A^{(s)}(x) \\ &= \left(\mathrm{Id} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s) \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n})!} \varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\| + l(\boldsymbol{n})} \partial_x^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} \right) (A(x)) \end{aligned}$$

□

The automorphism F can be seen as a differential operator acting on $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ and from now on we note multiplicatively the action of such operators :

$$F.\varphi = F(\varphi) \tag{28}$$

As this will be of some use later, let us give the following formula : If $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\boldsymbol{n}}.x^k &= F_{n_1} \ldots F_{n_s}.x^k \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^i \in \mathcal{N}_{n_i} \\ 1 \leq i \leq s}} \left(\frac{\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^1} x^{n_1 + l(\boldsymbol{m}^1)}}{l(\boldsymbol{m}^1)!} \partial_x^{l(\boldsymbol{m}^1)} \right) \ldots \left(\frac{\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^s} x^{n_s + l(\boldsymbol{m}^s)}}{l(\boldsymbol{m}^s)!} \partial_x^{l(\boldsymbol{m}^s)} \right) .x^k \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^i \in \mathcal{N}_{n_i} \\ 1 \leq i \leq s}} B_k(\boldsymbol{m}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^s) \varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \ldots \varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^s} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+k} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$B_k(\boldsymbol{m}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^s) = C_k^{l(\boldsymbol{m}^s)} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} C^{l(\boldsymbol{m}^i)}_{\|\boldsymbol{m}^{i+1}\| + \ldots + \|\boldsymbol{m}^s\| + k}$$

With these results one can already derive formulas for the FdB coordinates on G_2.

3.5 Formulas in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{FdB}}$

We recover the usual formulas :

Proposition 2 *We have for $n \geq 1$,*

$$\Delta(a_n) = a_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_k} C^{l(\boldsymbol{n})}_{n-k+1} a_{\boldsymbol{n}} \otimes a_{n-k} \tag{30}$$

and

$$S(a_n) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}_n} \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{m}^s = \boldsymbol{n}} (-1)^s B_1(\boldsymbol{m}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^s) \right) a_{\boldsymbol{n}} \tag{31}$$

Proof Let $\varphi(x) = x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n x^{n+1}$ and $\psi(x) = x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \psi_n x^{n+1}$ two elements of G_2 and $\eta = \mu(\varphi, \psi) = \psi \circ \varphi$ with

$$\eta(x) = x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \eta_n x^{n+1} \tag{32}$$

If F, G and H are the substitution automorphisms corresponding to φ, ψ and η, then $H = F \circ G$:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{n \geq 1} H_n \\ &= \left(\mathrm{Id} + \sum_{n \geq 1} F_n \right) \left(\mathrm{Id} + \sum_{n \geq 1} G_n \right)_n \\ &= \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=0} F_k G_{n-k} \qquad (F_0 = G_0 = \mathrm{Id}) \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

But for $l \geq 1$, $G_l(x) = \psi_l x^{l+1}$ and then, for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_k G_l.x &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}_k} \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n})!}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+l(\boldsymbol{n})}\partial_x^{l(\boldsymbol{n})}(\psi_l x^{l+1}) \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}_k} \psi_l \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n})!}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} \frac{(l+1)!}{(l+1-l(\boldsymbol{n}))!} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+l+1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}_k} C_{l+1}^{l(\boldsymbol{n})}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}}\psi_l\right) x^{k+l+1} \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and then, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\eta_n = \varphi_n + \psi_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}_k} C_{l+1}^{l(\boldsymbol{n})}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}}\psi_{n-k} \tag{35}$$

If now $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi^{-1}$ and $\tilde{F} = \tau^{-1}(\tilde{\varphi})$, then, as $\tilde{F}F = \mathrm{Id}$ we get

$$\tilde{F} = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{s\geq 1}(-1)^s F_{n_1}\ldots F_{n_s} = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}}(-1)^{l(\boldsymbol{n})}F_{\boldsymbol{n}} \tag{36}$$

but for $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(x) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^i \in \mathcal{N}_{n_i} \\ 1\leq i\leq s}} B_1(\boldsymbol{m}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^s)\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^1}\ldots\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^s} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+1} \tag{37}$$

Now

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\,=\,(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\,\in\,\mathcal{N}_n} (-1)^s \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^i \in \mathcal{N}_{n_i} \\ 1\leq i\leq s}} B_1(\boldsymbol{m}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}^s)\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^1}\ldots\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^s} \tag{38}$$

and this gives the attempted result.

□

Using the same ideas, we will finally prove theorems 2 and 3

3.6 Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

As before, let $\varphi(x) = x + \sum_{n\geq 1}\varphi_n x^{n+1}$ and $\psi(x) = x + \sum_{n\geq 1}\psi_n x^{n+1}$ two elements of G_2 and $\eta = \mu(\varphi, \psi) = \psi \circ \varphi$ with

$$\eta(x) = x + \sum_{n\geq 1}\eta_n x^{n+1} \tag{39}$$

If

$$\begin{array}{rcccll} f(x) & = & \log(\varphi'(x)) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{f_n}{n!}x^n & (f_n = \gamma_n(\varphi)) \\ g(x) & = & \log(\psi'(x)) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{g_n}{n!}x^n & (g_n = \gamma_n(\psi)) \\ h(x) & = & \log(\eta'(x)) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_n}{n!}x^n & (h_n = \gamma_n(\eta)) \end{array} \tag{40}$$

then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h(x) & = & \log((\psi\circ\varphi)'(x)) \\ & = & \log(\varphi'(x).\psi'(\varphi(x)) \\ & = & \log(\varphi'(x)) + (\log\psi')\circ\varphi(x) \\ & = & f(x) + F(g)(x) \end{array} \tag{41}$$

where F is the substitution automorphism associated to φ. We remind that $F = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{n\geq 1} F_n$. Because of equation 19,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F_n & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}_n} \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n})!}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}}x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+l(\boldsymbol{n})}\partial_x^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} \\ & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}_n} \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n})!} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^i\in\mathcal{N}_{n_i} \\ 1\leq i\leq s}} \frac{A(\boldsymbol{m}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{m}^s)f_{\boldsymbol{m}^1}\ldots f_{\boldsymbol{m}^s}}{\boldsymbol{m}^1!\ldots\boldsymbol{m}^s!}x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+l(\boldsymbol{n})}\partial_x^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} \\ & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}!} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1\ldots\boldsymbol{m}^s=\boldsymbol{n}} A(\boldsymbol{m}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{m}^s)\frac{1}{s!}x^{n+s}\partial_x^s \end{array} \tag{42}$$

But for $k\geq 1$,

$$F_n\left(\tfrac{g_k}{k!}x^k\right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{n}}g_k}{\boldsymbol{n}!k!} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1\ldots\boldsymbol{m}^s=\boldsymbol{n}} A(\boldsymbol{m}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{m}^s)C_k^s x^{n+k} \tag{43}$$

and we obtain immediately the formula for the coproduct.

Let now $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi^{-1}$ and

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \log(\tilde{\varphi}'(x)) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\tilde{f}_n}{n!}x^n \quad (\tilde{f}_n = \gamma_n(\tilde{\varphi})) \tag{44}$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}\circ\varphi(x) = x$,

$$0 = \log((\tilde{\varphi}\circ\varphi)'(x)) = f(x) + F.\tilde{f}(x) \tag{45}$$

thus

$$\tilde{f}(x) = -\tilde{F}.f(x) = -f(x) - \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}}(-1)^{l(\boldsymbol{n})}F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(f)(x) \tag{46}$$

But, once again,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\boldsymbol{n},k}(x) &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} (-1)^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\frac{f_k}{k!}x^k) \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} (-1)^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} \frac{f_k}{k!} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^i\in\mathcal{N}_{n_i} \\ 1\le i\le s}} B_k(\boldsymbol{m}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{m}^s)\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^1}\dots\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}^s} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+k} \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1\dots\boldsymbol{m}^s=\boldsymbol{n}} (-1)^s B_k(\boldsymbol{m}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{m}^s)\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} \frac{f_k}{k!} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+k} \\ &= -\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} U_k(\boldsymbol{n})\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} \frac{f_k}{k!} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+k} \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Now, replacing $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ as in equation 42,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\boldsymbol{n},k}(x) &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} (-1)^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\frac{f_k}{k!}x^k) \\ &= -\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} U_k(\boldsymbol{n})\varphi_{\boldsymbol{n}} \frac{f_k}{k!} x^{\|\boldsymbol{n}\|+k} \\ &= -\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{n}} f_k}{\boldsymbol{n}!k!} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1\dots\boldsymbol{m}^s=\boldsymbol{n}} A(\boldsymbol{m}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{m}^s) U_k(\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|,\dots,||\boldsymbol{m}^s\|) x^{n+k} \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Now, for $l \ge 1$,

$$\tilde{f}_l = -f_l + \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \frac{l! f_{\boldsymbol{n}} f_{l-n}}{\boldsymbol{n}!(l-n)!} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1\dots\boldsymbol{m}^s=\boldsymbol{n}} A(\boldsymbol{m}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{m}^s) U_{l-n}(\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|,\dots,||\boldsymbol{m}^s\|)$$

and this gives immediately the attempted formula.

This ends the proofs for our formulas but, as we said before, the first proofs were derived from mould calculus and we will give the main ideas in the next sections.

4 Mould calculus and the shuffle Hopf algebra $\mathrm{sh}(\mathbb{N}^*)$.

4.1 An example of mould calculus

4.1.1 Formal Conjugacy of equations

Mould calculus, as defined by J. Ecalle (see [7],[8],[9]), appears in the study of formal or analytic conjugacy of differential equations, vector fields, diffeomorphisms. In order to introduce it, we give here a very simple but useful example.

Let $u \in G_2$ and the associated equation

$$(E_u) \qquad \partial_t x = u(x) = x + \sum_{n\ge 1} u_n x^{n+1}$$

For u and v in G_2 the equations (E_u) and (E_v) are formally conjugated if there exists an element φ of G_2 such that, if x is a solution of (E_u) then $y = \varphi(x)$ is a solution of (E_v). This defines an equivalence relation on the set of such equations and one can easily check that there is only one class : For any equation (E_u), there exist a unique φ of G_2 such that, if x is a solution of (E_u) then $y = \varphi(x)$ is a solution of

$$(E_0) \qquad \partial_t y = y$$

The equation for φ reads

$$u(x)\varphi'(x) = \varphi(x) \tag{49}$$

and, if

$$\varphi(x) = x + \sum_{n\geq 1} \varphi_n x^{n+1} \tag{50}$$

then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_1 + 2\varphi_1 & = & \varphi_1 \\ u_2 + 2\varphi_1 u_1 + 3\varphi_2 & = & \varphi_2 \\ & \vdots & \\ u_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(k+1)u_{n-k}\varphi_k + (n+1)\varphi_n & = & \varphi_n \end{array} \tag{51}$$

Recursively, one can determine the values $a_n(\varphi) = \varphi_n$ and thus the diffeomorphism φ. This does not give a direct formula for the coefficients of φ. Among other properties that may be useful for more sophisticated equations, we will see that the mould calculus will give explicit formulas.

Mould calculus, for this example, is based on two remarks which are detailed in the next two sections.

4.1.2 Diffeomorphisms an substitution automorphisms

As we have seen in section 3.4, to any diffeomorphism $\varphi \in G_2$ one can associate a substitution automorphism $F \in \tilde{G}_2$

$$F = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{n\geq 1} F_n \tag{52}$$

Moreover, the action of such an operator on a product of formal power series induces a coproduct

$$\Delta F = F \otimes F \quad (F(fg) = (Ff)(Fg)) \tag{53}$$

which also reads

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \Delta F_n = F_n \otimes \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} F_k \otimes F_{n-k} + \mathrm{Id} \otimes F_n \tag{54}$$

4.1.3 Symmetral moulds and shuffle Hopf algebra

Now, for $u \in G_2$, the equation (E_u) reads

$$\partial_t x = \left(\mathbb{B}_0 + \sum_{n\geq 1} u_n \mathbb{B}_n \right) .x = \mathbb{B}.x \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{B}_n = x^{n+1}\partial_x \tag{55}$$

Instead of computing the conjugating map φ we could look for its associated substitution automorphism F in the following shape :

$$F = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{s\geq 1} \sum_{n_1\geq 1,\ldots,n_s\geq 1} M^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} \mathbb{B}_{n_1} \ldots \mathbb{B}_{n_s} \tag{56}$$

As we will see later, in order to get a substitution automorphism, is is sufficient to impose that for any sequences $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_s)$ and $\boldsymbol{l} = (l_1, \ldots, l_t)$,

$$M^{\boldsymbol{k}} M^{\boldsymbol{l}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{l}} M^{\boldsymbol{m}} \tag{57}$$

where $\mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{l}}$ is the number of shuffling of the sequences $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{l}$ that gives the sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$. The set of such coefficients is called a symmetral mould. Moreover the conjugacy equation reads

$$\mathbb{B}F.x = F\mathbb{B}_0.x \tag{58}$$

Now we can solve the equation $\mathbb{B}F = F\mathbb{B}_0$ by noticing that, for $(n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^s$,

$$[\mathbb{B}_0, \mathbb{B}_{n_1} \ldots \mathbb{B}_{n_s}] = (n_1 + \ldots + n_s)\mathbb{B}_{n_1} \ldots \mathbb{B}_{n_s} \tag{59}$$

and using this commutation relations, one can check that for $s = 1$ and a sequence (n_1) we get

$$u_{n_1} + n_1 M^{n_1} = 0 \tag{60}$$

and for $s \geq 2$ and a sequence $(n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^s$,

$$u_{n_1} M^{n_2,\ldots,n_s} + (n_1 + \ldots + n_s) M^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} = 0 \tag{61}$$

This defines a symmetral mould, for $s \geq 1$ and $(n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^s$,

$$M^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} = \frac{(-1)^s u_{n_1} \ldots u_{n_s}}{(n_1 + \ldots + n_s)(n_2 + \ldots + n_s) \ldots (n_{s-1} + n_s) n_s} \tag{62}$$

thus we get explicit formulas for F and $\varphi(x) = F.x$: For $n \geq 1$,

$$\varphi_n x^{n+1} = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \ldots + n_s = n \\ n_i \geq 1}} M^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} \mathbb{B}_{n_1} \ldots \mathbb{B}_{n_s}.x \tag{63}$$

and

$$\varphi_n = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \ldots + n_s = n \\ n_i \geq 1}} (n_s+1)(n_{s-1}+n_s+1) \ldots (n_2 + \ldots + n_s + 1) M^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} \tag{64}$$

We just gave the outlines of the method here. The important idea is that we only used the commutation of $\mathbb{B}_0$ with the over derivations $\mathbb{B}_n$ ($n \geq 1$), which means that we worked as these derivations were free of other relations. This can be interpreted in the following algebraic way.

4.2 The free group and its Hopf algebra of coordinates

4.2.1 Lie algebra and substitution automorphisms

Let $\mathcal{A}^1$ the Lie algebra of formal vector fields generated by the derivations

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{B}_n = x^{n+1}\partial_x \tag{65}$$

Its enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^1)$ is a graded Hopf algebra and, see [5], the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is the dual of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^1)$. Note that this dual is well-defined as the graded components of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^1)$ are vector spaces of finite dimension. If $G(\mathcal{A}^1) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^1)$ is the group of the group-like elements of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^1)$, this is exactly the group of substitution automorphism describe above and it is isomorphic to the group G_2

$$\forall F \in G(\mathcal{A}^1), \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[[x]] \quad F.f = f \circ \varphi, \quad \varphi \in G_2 \tag{66}$$

In other terms, $G(\mathcal{A}^1) = \widetilde{G}_2$.

4.2.2 The free group and its Hopf algebra of coordinates

Our previous mould calculus suggests to introduce, by analogy with $\mathcal{A}^1$, the graded free Lie algebra A^1 generated by a set of primitive elements X_n, $n \geq 1$,

$$\Delta(X_n) = X_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_n \tag{67}$$

The enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(A^1)$ is a Hopf algebra which is also called the concatenation Hopf algebra in combinatorics (see [11]). If the unity is $X_\emptyset = 1$ ($\emptyset$ is the empty sequence), then an element $\boldsymbol{U}$ of $\mathcal{U}(A^1)$ can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{U} &= U^\emptyset X_\emptyset + \sum_{s\geq 1} \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_s \geq 1} U^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} X_{n_1} \ldots X_{n_s} \\ &= U^\emptyset X_\emptyset + \sum_{s\geq 1} \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_s \geq 1} U^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} X_{n_1,\ldots,n_s} \\ &= \sum U^\bullet X_\bullet \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where the collection of coefficients $U^\bullet$ is called a *mould*. The structure of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(A^1)$ can be described as follows : the product is given by

$$\forall \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}, \quad X_{\boldsymbol{m}} X_{\boldsymbol{n}} = X_{\boldsymbol{mn}} \quad \text{(concatenation)}, \tag{69}$$

the coproduct is

$$\Delta(X_{\boldsymbol{n}}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1, \boldsymbol{n}^2} \mathrm{sh}\left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{n}^1, \boldsymbol{n}^2 \\ \boldsymbol{n} \end{array} \right) X_{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \otimes X_{\boldsymbol{n}^2} \tag{70}$$

where $\mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\boldsymbol{n}^2}$ is the number of shuffling of the sequences $\boldsymbol{n}^1, \boldsymbol{n}^2$ that gives $\boldsymbol{n}$. Finally, the antipode S is defined by

$$S(X_{n_1,\ldots,n_s}) = (-1)^s X_{n_s,\ldots,n_1} \tag{71}$$

Once again one can define the group $G(A^1)$ and if $\boldsymbol{F} \in G(A^1)$ then

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}\cup\{\emptyset\}} F^{\boldsymbol{n}} X_{\boldsymbol{n}} \tag{72}$$

where the mould $F^\bullet$ is *symmetral* : $F^\emptyset = 1$ and

$$\forall \boldsymbol{n}^1, \boldsymbol{n}^2, \quad F^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} F^{\boldsymbol{n}^2} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\boldsymbol{n}^2} F^{\boldsymbol{n}} \tag{73}$$

Moreover, if $\boldsymbol{G}$ is the group inverse of $\boldsymbol{F}$, then its associated mould is given by the formulas

$$G^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} = (-1)^s F^{n_s,\ldots,n_1}$$

Thanks to the graduation on $\mathcal{U}(A^1)$, its dual H^1 is a Hopf algebra, the Hopf algebra of coordinates on $G(A^1)$ and, if the dual basis of $\{X_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \quad \boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is $\{Z^{\boldsymbol{n}}, \quad \boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}\}$ then the product in H^1 is defined by :

$$\forall \boldsymbol{n}^1, \boldsymbol{n}^2, \quad Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^2} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\boldsymbol{n}^2} Z^{\boldsymbol{n}} \tag{74}$$

The coproduct is :

$$\Delta(Z^{\boldsymbol{n}}) = Z^{\boldsymbol{n}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Z^{\boldsymbol{n}} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1\boldsymbol{n}^2=\boldsymbol{n}} Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \otimes Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^2} \tag{75}$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}^1\boldsymbol{n}^2$ is the concatenation of the two nonempty sequences $\boldsymbol{n}^1$ and $\boldsymbol{n}^2$ and $Z^\emptyset = 1$ is the unity. Finally, the antipode is given by

$$S(Z^{n_1,\ldots,n_s}) = (-1)^s Z^{n_s,\ldots,n_1} \tag{76}$$

The structure of H^1 (coproduct, antipode, ...) is fully explicit. This will be of great use since our previous mould calculus suggests that there exists a surjective morphism from A^1 on $\mathcal{A}^1$ that induces an injective morphism from $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ into H^1. In other words, $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ can be identified to a sub-Hopf algebra of H^1 and, as everything is explicit in H^1, one can derive formulas for the coproduct and the antipode in $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$.

5 Morphisms.

The application defined by $\rho(X_n) = \mathbb{B}_n = x^{n+1}\partial_x$ obviously determines a morphism from A^1 (resp. $\mathcal{U}(A^1)$, resp. $G(A^1)$) on $\mathcal{A}^1$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^1)$, resp.

$G(\mathcal{A}^1) \simeq G_2$) and it is surjective : If $\varphi \in G_2$ and $F = \tau^{-1}(\varphi) \in G(\mathcal{A}^1) = \tilde{G}_2$, then, if

$$b(x) = x + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n x^{n+1} = \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi'(x)} \tag{77}$$

then φ is the unique diffeomorphism of G_2 that conjugates (E_b) to (E_0) thus

$$F = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}} M^{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathbb{B}_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \rho \left(X_\emptyset + \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}} M^{\boldsymbol{n}} X_{\boldsymbol{n}} \right) \tag{78}$$

By duality, it induces a morphism ρ^* from $\mathcal{H}^1$ to H^1 by

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{H}^1, \quad \rho^*(\gamma) = \gamma \circ \rho \tag{79}$$

and, since ρ is surjective, ρ^* is injective : $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is isomorphic to the sub-Hopf algebra $\rho^*(\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}) \subset H^1$. Using this injective morphism, we define

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \Gamma_n = \rho^*(\gamma_n) \tag{80}$$

and $\rho^*(\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}})$ is then the Hopf algebra generated by the Γ_n. In order to get formulas in $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$, we will use the algebra $\rho^*(\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}})$ and express the Γ_n in terms of the $Z^{\boldsymbol{n}}$:

Theorem 5 *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n &= n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{t=1}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n}} Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\ &= n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_n} Q^{\boldsymbol{n}} Z^{\boldsymbol{n}} \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

where $S^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} (n_i + n_{i+1} + \ldots + n_s + 1) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} (\hat{n}_i + 1)$ and $Q^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} = (n_s + 1) \prod_{i=2}^{s} \hat{n}_i$ with $Q^{n_1} = (n_1 + 1)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{F} = X_\emptyset + \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{N}} F^{\boldsymbol{n}} X_{\boldsymbol{n}} \in G(A^1)$. If $F = \rho(\boldsymbol{F}) \in G(\mathcal{A}^1)$, then

$$\Gamma_n(\boldsymbol{F}) = \gamma_n(F) = \gamma_n(\varphi) = (\partial_x^n \log(\varphi')(x))_{x=0} \tag{82}$$

where $\varphi \in G_2$ is defined by :

$$\varphi(x) = \rho(\boldsymbol{F}).x = F.x = x + \sum_{(n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}} F^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} \mathbb{B}_{n_1} \ldots \mathbb{B}_{n_s}.x \tag{83}$$

Then

$$\varphi'(x) = 1 + \sum_{(n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}} F^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} S^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} x^{n_1 + \ldots + n_s} \tag{84}$$

Using the logarithm and derivation, one easily gets the formula

$$\Gamma_n(\boldsymbol{F}) = n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\,=\,(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\,\in\,\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{t=1}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1\ldots\boldsymbol{n}^t\,=\,\boldsymbol{n}} F^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots F^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \tag{85}$$

We prove the second part of the formula in section 6, using the fact that $F^\bullet$ is symmetral. As

$$\Gamma_n(\boldsymbol{F}) = n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\,=\,(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\,\in\,\mathcal{N}_n} F^{\boldsymbol{n}} Q^{\boldsymbol{n}} \tag{86}$$

and $Z^{\boldsymbol{n}}.\boldsymbol{F} = F^{\boldsymbol{n}}$, theorem 5 will be proved.

As $\rho^*(\delta_n) = \Gamma_n \in H^1$, and, since the coproduct and the antipode are explicit in H^1, we can once again obtain the formulas given in theorems 2 and 3.

6 Initial Proofs.

6.1 Proof of theorem 5

We already proved that, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\Gamma_n = n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\,=\,(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\,\in\,\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{t=1}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1\ldots\boldsymbol{n}^t\,=\,\boldsymbol{n}} Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \tag{87}$$

Extending the notion of shuffling, for $t \geq 1$, if $\boldsymbol{m}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{m}^t,\boldsymbol{m}$ are $t+1$ sequences, then $\mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{m}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{m}^t}$ is the number of ways to obtain the sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ by shuffling the sequences $\boldsymbol{m}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{m}^t$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n!}\Gamma_n &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1\ldots\boldsymbol{n}^t=\boldsymbol{n}} Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots Z^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1\ldots\boldsymbol{n}^t=\boldsymbol{n}} \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} Z^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \left(Z^{\boldsymbol{m}} \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t\in\mathcal{N}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

Note that in these equations, we had $\|\boldsymbol{m}\| = \|\boldsymbol{n}\|$ and $l(\boldsymbol{m}) = l(\boldsymbol{n})$. For a given sequence $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathcal{N}$, let

$$Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} = \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t\in\mathcal{N}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1}\ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \tag{89}$$

it remains to prove that, if $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1,\ldots,m_s)$ then $Q^{m_1,\ldots,m_s} = (m_s{+}1)\prod_{i=2}^{s} \hat{m}_i$ with $Q^{m_1} = (m_1+1)$. We prove this formula by induction on $l(\boldsymbol{m})$.

If $l(\boldsymbol{m}) = 1$, then $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1)$ and

$$Q^{m_1} = \frac{(-1)^0}{1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \in \mathcal{N}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} = S^{m_1} = m_1 + 1 \tag{90}$$

If $l(\boldsymbol{m}) = s \geq 2$, then let $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_s)$ and $\boldsymbol{p} = (m_2, \ldots, m_s)$. For any sequence $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, we note $m_1\boldsymbol{n} = (m_1, n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. If a shuffling of $t \geq 1$ sequences $\boldsymbol{n}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n}^t$ gives $\boldsymbol{m}$ then

- Either there exists $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $\boldsymbol{n}^i = (m_1)$ (but then $t \geq 2$), and, omitting $\boldsymbol{n}^i = (m_1)$, the corresponding shuffling of the $t-1$ remaining sequences gives $\boldsymbol{p}$.
- Either there exists $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $\boldsymbol{n}^i = m_1\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}^i$ ($\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}^i \neq \emptyset$) (necessarily, $t < l(\boldsymbol{m})$) and, replacing $\boldsymbol{n}^i$ by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}^i$, the corresponding shuffling of the t sequences gives $\boldsymbol{p}$.

This means that :

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} &= \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})-1} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{m_1\boldsymbol{n}^i} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^{t-1}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^{t-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^i} S^{m_1} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^{i+1}} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^{t-1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

but as $S^{m_1} = m_1 + 1$ and $S^{m_1\boldsymbol{n}^i} = (m_1 + \|\boldsymbol{n}^i\| + 1)S^{\boldsymbol{n}^i}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} &= \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})-1} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} (m_1 + \|\boldsymbol{n}^i\| + 1) S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^i} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})-1} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \sum_{i=0}^{t} (m_1 + 1) S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^i} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^{i+1}} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})-1} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} (t(m_1 + 1) + \|\boldsymbol{p}\|) \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{m})-1} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+1} (t+1)(m_1 + 1) \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&= \|\boldsymbol{p}\| \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})} \frac{(-1)^{t-1}}{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t} S^{\boldsymbol{n}^1} \ldots S^{\boldsymbol{n}^t} \\
&= \|\boldsymbol{p}\| Q^{\boldsymbol{p}}
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

And it obviously gives the right formula for $Q^{\boldsymbol{m}}$.

6.2 Proof of theorem 2

Using the above formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\Gamma_n &= n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}}(\Delta Z^{\boldsymbol{m}}) \\
&= n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} \left(Z^{\boldsymbol{m}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Z^{\boldsymbol{m}} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{pq}=\boldsymbol{m}} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}} \otimes Z^{\boldsymbol{q}} \right) \\
&= \left(n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} Z^{\boldsymbol{m}} \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} Z^{\boldsymbol{m}} \right) \\
&\quad + n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{pq}=\boldsymbol{m}} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}} \otimes Z^{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
&= \Gamma_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma_n + n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{pq}=\boldsymbol{m}} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}} \otimes Z^{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
&= \Gamma_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma_n + \tilde{\Delta}\Gamma_n
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Now if $\boldsymbol{pq} = \boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_s)$ with $\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q} \in \mathcal{N}$ $(s \geq 2)$, then

$$\frac{Q^{\boldsymbol{m}}}{Q^{\boldsymbol{q}}} = \frac{(m_s+1)\prod_{i=2}^{s} \hat{m}_i}{(m_s+1)\prod_{i=l(\boldsymbol{p})+2}^{s} \hat{m}_i} = \prod_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})+1} \hat{m}_i = \prod_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})+1} (\hat{p}_i + \|\boldsymbol{q}\|) = R^{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\|\boldsymbol{q}\|} \tag{94}$$

with the convention that if $i = l(\boldsymbol{p}) + 1$, then $\hat{p}_i = 0$. As this coefficient only depends on $\boldsymbol{p}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{q}\|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Delta}\Gamma_n &= n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{pq}=\boldsymbol{m}} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}} \otimes Z^{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
&= n! \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{N}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{pq}=\boldsymbol{m}} (R^{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\|\boldsymbol{q}\|} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}}) \otimes Q^{\boldsymbol{q}} Z^{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
&= n! \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{N}_{n-k}} R^{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\|\boldsymbol{q}\|} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\mathcal{N}_k} Q^{\boldsymbol{q}} Z^{\boldsymbol{q}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{N}_k} R^{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\|\boldsymbol{q}\|} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}} \right) \otimes \Gamma_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P^n_k \otimes \Gamma_k
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

and it remains to prove that, for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$P^n_k = \sum_{\substack{(n_1,\ldots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N} \\ n_1+\ldots+n_s=n-k,\, s\geq 1}} \frac{n!}{n_1!\ldots n_s! k!} \alpha_k^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} \Gamma_{n_1} \ldots \Gamma_{n_s} \tag{96}$$

with

$$\alpha_k^{\boldsymbol{n}} = \sum_{t=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{n})} C_k^t \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \dots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n} \\ \boldsymbol{n}^i \neq \emptyset}} \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{n}^1)! \dots l(\boldsymbol{n}^t)!} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\| + 1} \tag{97}$$

This formula was first conjectured on the first values of n. Now let

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_k^n &= \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_{n-k}} \frac{n!}{n_1! \dots n_s! k!} \alpha_k^{n_1, \dots, n_s} \Gamma_{n_1} \dots \Gamma_{n_s} \\
&= \frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_{n-k}} \alpha_k^{n_1, \dots, n_s} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^i \in \mathcal{N}_{n_i}} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^s} Z^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Z^{\boldsymbol{m}^s} \\
&= \frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathcal{N}_{n-k}} \alpha_k^{n_1, \dots, n_s} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^i \in \mathcal{N}_{n_i}} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^s} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}} \\
&= \frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{N}_{n-k}} Z^{\boldsymbol{p}} \sum_{s \geq 1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} \alpha_k^{\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|, \dots, \|\boldsymbol{m}^s\|} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^s}
\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

It remains to prove that for a given $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{N}_{n-k}$, we have

$$\tilde{R}_k^{\boldsymbol{p}} = \sum_{s=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} \alpha_k^{\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|, \dots, \|\boldsymbol{m}^s\|} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^s} = R_k^{\boldsymbol{p}} = \prod_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})+1} (\hat{p}_i + k)$$

As in the previous proof, if $l(\boldsymbol{p}) = 1$ then $R_k^{p_1} = k$ and

$$\tilde{R}_k^{p_1} = \alpha_k^{p_1} Q^{p_1} = C_k^1 \frac{1}{l(\boldsymbol{p})!} \frac{1}{p_1 + 1} (p_1 + 1) = k \tag{99}$$

and if $l(\boldsymbol{p}) \geq 2$, as $\boldsymbol{p} = p_1 \boldsymbol{q}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}_k^{\boldsymbol{p}} &= \tilde{R}_k^{p_1 \boldsymbol{q}} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})-1} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s \\ 1 \leq i \leq s}} \alpha_k^{\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|, \dots, \|\boldsymbol{m}^i\| + p_1, \dots, \|\boldsymbol{m}^s\|} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Q^{p_1 \boldsymbol{m}^i} \dots Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^s} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})-1} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} \alpha_k^{\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|, \dots, \|\boldsymbol{m}^i\|, p_1, \|\boldsymbol{m}^{i+1}\|, \dots, \|\boldsymbol{m}^s\|} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^s} Q^{p_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Since $Q^{p_1} = (p_1 + 1)$ and $Q^{p_1 \boldsymbol{m}^i} = \|\boldsymbol{m}^i\| Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^i}$, we get

$$\tilde{R}_k^{\boldsymbol{p}} = \sum_{s=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\boldsymbol{m}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}^s} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^s} V_{k, p_1}^{\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|, \dots, \|\boldsymbol{m}^s\|} \tag{101}$$

where

$$V_{k, p_1}^{n_1, \dots, n_s} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i \alpha_k^{n_1, \dots, n_i + p_1 \dots, n_s} + (p_1 + 1) \sum_{i=0}^{s} \alpha_k^{n_1, \dots, n_i, p_1, n_{i+1} \dots, n_s} \tag{102}$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k,p_1}^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} &= \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i \sum_{t=1}^{s} C_k^t \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = (n_1,\ldots,n_i+p_1\ldots,n_s)} A(\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t) \\ &\quad +(p_1+1)\sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{t=1}^{s+1} C_k^t \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = (n_1,\ldots,n_i,p_1,\ldots,n_s)} A(\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t) \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

In the first term, we get a sequence $\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = (n_1,\ldots,n_i+p_1\ldots,n_s)$ starting with a decomposition $\boldsymbol{m}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{m}^t = (n_1,\ldots,n_s)$ and adding p_1 to one element of one of the sequences $\boldsymbol{m}^i$. In the second term, $\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = (n_1,\ldots,n_i,p_1,\ldots,n_s)$, then either p_1 is one of the sequences $\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t$, and, once it is omitted, we get a decomposition $\boldsymbol{m}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{m}^{t-1} = (n_1,\ldots,n_s)$, either we start with a decomposition $\boldsymbol{m}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{m}^t = (n_1,\ldots,n_s)$ and p_1 is inserted in one of the sequences $\boldsymbol{m}^i$: If $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1,\ldots,n_s)$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k,p_1}^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} &= \sum_{t\geq 1} C_k^t \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|(\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+1)}{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+p_1+1} A(\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t) \\ &\quad +(p_1+1)\sum_{t\geq 1} C_k^{t+1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n}} \frac{t+1}{p_1+1} A(\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t) \\ &\quad +(p_1+1)\sum_{t\geq 1} C_k^{t} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+1}{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+p_1+1} A(\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t) \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

But

$$(p_1+1)C_k^{t+1}\frac{t+1}{p_1+1} = C_k^t(k-t) \tag{105}$$

and

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|(\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+1)}{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+p_1+1} + (p_1+1)\frac{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+1}{\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+p_1+1} = \|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+1 \tag{106}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k,p_1}^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} &= \sum_{t\geq 1} C_k^t \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}^1 \ldots \boldsymbol{n}^t = \boldsymbol{n}} A(\boldsymbol{n}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{n}^t)\left((k-t)+\sum_{i=1}^{t}(\|\boldsymbol{n}^i\|+1)\right) \\ &= (n_1+\ldots+n_s+k)\alpha_k^{n_1,\ldots,n_s} \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

Now by induction we get, if $\boldsymbol{p} = p_1 \boldsymbol{q}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}_k^{\boldsymbol{p}} &= \sum_{s=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{m}^s} \mathrm{sh}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\boldsymbol{m}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{m}^s} Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^1} \dots Q^{\boldsymbol{m}^s} (\|\boldsymbol{q}\| + k) \alpha_k^{\|\boldsymbol{m}^1\|,\dots,\|\boldsymbol{m}^s\|} \\
&= (\|\boldsymbol{q}\| + k) \tilde{R}_k^{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
&= (\|\boldsymbol{q}\| + k) R_k^{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
&= (\|\boldsymbol{q}\| + k) \prod_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{q})+1} (\hat{q}_i + k) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{q})+1} (\hat{q}_i + k) \\
&= \prod_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{p})+1} (\hat{q}_i + k) \\
&= R_k^{\boldsymbol{p}}
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

We live the second proof of theorem 3 to the reader : the ideas are the same, noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\Gamma_n) &= \sum_{(n_1,\dots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}_n} Q^{n_1,\dots,n_s} S(Z^{n_1,\dots,n_s}) \\
&= \sum_{(n_1,\dots,n_s)\in\mathcal{N}_n} (-1)^s Q^{n_1,\dots,n_s} Z^{n_s,\dots,n_1}
\end{aligned}$$

7 Tables and conclusion.

Some computations give the following tables.

7.1 The coproduct

The table gives the value of $\frac{n!}{n_1!\dots n_{s+1}!}\alpha_{n_{s+1}}^{n_1,\dots,n_s}$ for a given sequence $(n_1,\dots,n_{s+1})$:

$(1,1)=1$			
$(1,2)=3$	$(2,1)=1$	$(1,1,1)=1$	
$(1,3)=6$	$(2,2)=4$	$(3,1)=1$	$(1,1,2)=7$
$(1,2,1)=3/2$	$(2,1,1)=3/2$	$(1,1,1,1)=1$	
$(1,4)=10$	$(2,3)=10$	$(3,2)=5$	$(4,1)=1$
$(1,1,3)=25$	$(1,3,1)=2$	$(3,1,1)=2$	$(1,2,2)=25/2$
$(2,1,2)=25/2$	$(2,2,1)=3$	$(1,1,1,2)=15$	$(1,1,2,1)=2$
$(1,2,1,1)=2$	$(2,1,1,1)=2$	$(1,1,1,1,1)=1$	

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Delta}\Gamma_1 &= 0\\
\tilde{\Delta}\Gamma_2 &= \Gamma_1\otimes\Gamma_1\\
\tilde{\Delta}\Gamma_3 &= (\Gamma_2+\Gamma_1^2)\otimes\Gamma_1+3\Gamma_1\otimes\Gamma_2\\
\tilde{\Delta}\Gamma_4 &= (\Gamma_3+3\Gamma_1\Gamma_2+\Gamma_1^3)\otimes\Gamma_1+(4\Gamma_2+7\Gamma_1^2)\otimes\Gamma_2+6\Gamma_1\otimes\Gamma_3\\
\tilde{\Delta}\Gamma_5 &= (\Gamma_4+4\Gamma_1\Gamma_3+3\Gamma_2^2+6\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2+\Gamma_1^4)\otimes\Gamma_1\\
&\quad+(5\Gamma_3+25\Gamma_1\Gamma_2+15\Gamma_1^3)\otimes\Gamma_2+(10\Gamma_2+25\Gamma_1^2)\otimes\Gamma_3+10\Gamma_1\otimes\Gamma_4
\end{aligned}$$

7.2 The antipode

The table gives the value of $\frac{(n_1+\ldots+n_s)!}{n_1!\ldots n_s!}\beta^{n_1,\ldots,n_s}$ for a given sequence $(n_1,\ldots,n_s)$:

$(1)=-1$			
$(2)=-1$	$(1,1)=1$		
$(3)=-1$	$(1,2)=3$	$(2,1)=1$	$(1,1,1)=-2$
$(4)=-1$	$(1,3)=6$	$(2,2)=4$	$(3,1)=1$
$(1,1,2)=-11$	$(1,2,1)=-9/2$	$(2,1,1)=-5/2$	$(1,1,1,1)=6$
$(5)=-1$	$(1,4)=10$	$(2,3)=10$	$(3,2)=5$
$(4,1)=1$	$(1,1,3)=-35$	$(1,3,1)=-8$	$(3,1,1)=-3$
$(1,2,2)=-55/2$	$(2,1,2)=-35/2$	$(2,2,1)=-7$	
$(1,1,1,2)=50$	$(1,1,2,1)=22$	$(1,2,1,1)=29/2$	$(2,1,1,1)=19/2$
$(1,1,1,1,1)=-24$			

This gives :

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\Gamma_1) &= -\Gamma_1\\
S(\Gamma_2) &= -\Gamma_2+\Gamma_1^2\\
S(\Gamma_3) &= -\Gamma_3+4\Gamma_1\Gamma_2-2\Gamma_1^3\\
S(\Gamma_4) &= -\Gamma_4+7\Gamma_1\Gamma_3+4\Gamma_2^2-18\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2+6\Gamma_1^4\\
S(\Gamma_5) &= -\Gamma_5+11\Gamma_1\Gamma_4+15\Gamma_2\Gamma_3-46\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_3-52\Gamma_1\Gamma_2^2+96\Gamma_1^3\Gamma_2-24\Gamma_1^5
\end{aligned}$$

This is the attempted result but the formulas in proposition 2, theorem 2 and 3 are not unique because $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is commutative and, in the computations, it is much more "simple" to consider that the algebra generated by the δ_n is somehow noncommutative. This situation calls for furthers investigations, since the coefficients appearing in proposition 2 for the Faà di Bruno coordinates seem to arise in the study of a noncommutative version of diffeomorphisms (see [1]).

References

[1] Christian Brouder, Alessandra Frabetti, and Christian Krattenthaler. Non-commutative Hopf algebra of formal diffeomorphisms. *Adv. Math.*, 200(2):479–524, 2006.

[2] Alain Connes and Dirk Kreimer. Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry. In *Quantum field theory: perspective and prospective (Les Houches, 1998)*, volume 530 of *NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 59–108. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.

[3] Alain Connes and Dirk Kreimer. Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I: The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 210(1):249–273, 2000.

[4] Alain Connes and Dirk Kreimer. Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. II: The β-function, diffeomorphisms and the renormalization group. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 216(1):215–241, 2001.

[5] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici. Hopf algebras, cyclic cohomology and the transverse index theorem. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 198(1):199–246, 1998.

[6] Jean Écalle. *Les fonctions résurgentes. Tome I*, volume 5 of *Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay 81 [Mathematical Publications of Orsay 81]*. Université de Paris-Sud Département de Mathématique, Orsay, 1981. Les algèbres de fonctions résurgentes. [The algebras of resurgent functions], With an English foreword.

[7] Jean Écalle. *Les fonctions résurgentes. Tome II*, volume 6 of *Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay 81 [Mathematical Publications of Orsay 81]*. Université de Paris-Sud Département de Mathématique, Orsay, 1981. Les fonctions résurgentes appliquées à l'itération. [Resurgent functions applied to iteration].

[8] Jean Écalle. *Les fonctions résurgentes. Tome III*, volume 85 of *Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay [Mathematical Publications of Orsay]*. Université de Paris-Sud, Département de Mathématiques, Orsay, 1985. L'équation du pont et la classification analytique des objects locaux. [The bridge equation and analytic classification of local objects].

[9] Jean Écalle. Singularités non abordables par la géométrie. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 42(1-2):73–164, 1992.

[10] Héctor Figueroa and José M. Gracia-Bondia. Combinatorial Hopf algebras in quantum field theory. I. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 17(8):881–976, 2005.

[11] Christophe Reutenauer. *Free Lie algebras*, volume 7 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. , Oxford Science Publications.