



**HAL**  
open science

## Design and Modelling of a New Motorcycle Riding Simulator

Lamri Nehaoua, Salim Hima, Hichem Arioui, Nicolas Seguy, Stéphane Espié

► **To cite this version:**

Lamri Nehaoua, Salim Hima, Hichem Arioui, Nicolas Seguy, Stéphane Espié. Design and Modelling of a New Motorcycle Riding Simulator. IEEE American Control Conference (ACC'07), 2007, New York, United States. pp.176–181, 10.1109/ACC.2007.4283070 . hal-00342977

**HAL Id: hal-00342977**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00342977v1>**

Submitted on 1 Jul 2009

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Design and Modeling of a New Motorcycle Riding Simulator

L. Nehaoua, S. Hima, H. Arioui, N. Séguy and S. Éspie

**Abstract**—This paper presents the various stages for the construction of a two wheeled riding simulator. Despite its simplicity, the particularity of this simulator comes from the possibility to reproduce most of the movements and the inertial effects allowing to perceive sensations close to reality cases. This simulator has been developed for two purposes:

- as a training tool for new riders with different scenarios: normal traffic environment, dangerous riding situations (avoidance, emergency braking, nearly falling or slipping situations, bad weather conditions, etc.)
- to study riders behaviours in such situations

Our studies have lead to an original 5 degrees of freedom (DOF) mechanical platform including a double haptic feedback on the handlebar. The three basic movements are classical and consist of pitch, roll and yaw one. The choices of the platform movements and the system actuation are motivated and described. Also, some performances results are shown validating the initial requirements.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, the urban traffic density and the rising constraints and costs supported by car drivers (parking lot, fuel, etc.) caused an increase of the use of two wheeled vehicles even if it is recognised to be one of the least secure mean of transport.

Indeed, the road safety of the two motorized wheels vehicle has been neglected for a long time. Whereas the number of car driver killed in road accidents dropped, the number of killed motorcyclists unfortunately increased [1]. For this reason, the research institutions endeavour as soon as possible to bring adapted answers to the problem of motorcyclists safety by developing works on motorcycle riders, whose risk of fatal accident is much higher compared to car drivers.

Specificities and difficulties of the two wheels vehicle driving are, initially, of a dynamic nature: equilibrium, control, manoeuvrability, braking and reaction to emergencies. Finally, the problem of visibility is particularly critical especially in situations of intersection or overtaking. About behaviors, it has to be notice that riders underestimate the motorcycle dynamics and often ride at speeds which does not permits to face the unforeseen situations.

Driving simulators are efficient tools destined to realize the different studies in a safe environment. Its purpose is to reproduce riding sensations in a constrained environment and makes possible to train novices as well as experienced riders. It permits to recognize accident situations and to evaluate the

rider actions necessary to take again its vehicle control. Thus, it constitutes a safe tool for sensitizing and formation to the emergency manoeuvres (braking/avoidance). Moreover, it can be used for the riders driving behavior study and for validation with observations carried out in real riding situations.

In the past, some motorcycle driving simulators were build. The first prototype was developed by Honda in 1988, with the purpose of studying the motorcycle stability and manoeuvrability [2]. It consists of a platform with five degrees of freedom (lateral, roll, yaw, pitch and handlebar steering) actuated by seven actuators. A cradle type swinging system is developed for the longitudinal accelerations restitution. To control the simulator platform, a four DOF linearized motorcycle model was used. After 1990, Honda launches out a second prototype of simple simulators with three DOF (roll, pitch and steering), to allow the safe trainee to experience hazardous situations after various traffic conditions on the real city roads. This prototype is controled by an empirical motorcycle model which was validated by specialists on a real ride experiences [3]. A third prototype was developped using a six DOF parallel manipulator to plan the motion of the platform, and a head mounted display (HMD) for visual projection [4].

IN 1995, the MORIS simulator project was started by the PERCRO Laboratory, with the aim of developing a tool for designers to acquire knowledge on motorcycle handling and stability as well as the rider control behavior implications on motorcycle performances [5]. It consists of a real scooter mock-up mounted on a Stewart parallel platform with seven DOF (include steering axis). The virtual motorcycle dynamic model has a one-DOF longitudinal motion for the speed calculation used to solve the four DOF lateral dynamic model.

Finally, a motorcycle simulator prototype has been designed at Mechanical Engineering Department at the University of Padova in order to study the man-vehicle interaction in safe conditions [6]. This interaction allows to develop a rider control model. The mechanical platform is a simple structure with five DOF (lateral, roll, pitch, yaw and steering) actuated by five electric servomotors. All driver commands are instrumented to be sent to the inputs of an eleven DOF multibody motorcycle model.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

The choice of the simulator architecture is guided by the necessary needs to have a sufficient perception during the riding simulation. Our goal is to reproduce the important inertial effects perceived for the application needs but not

L.Nehaoua and S. Éspie: INRETS, 2 Av GI Malleret-Joinville, 94114, Arceuil, France. email: nehaoua, espie@inrets.fr  
N. Séguy and H. Arioui: IIBISC LSC-LAMI CNRS-FRE 2873, 40 rue Pelvoux 91020, Evry, France. email: seguy,arioui,hima@iup.univ-evry.fr

all the motorcycle movements. So, the real amplitude of the various DOF was not a dominating object during the design phase.

From these considerations, the number of DOF privileged for our architecture is determined by the simulator's application. We aim to conceive a mechanical platform for the training and the behavioral study of the two wheels vehicle users. After several investigations, three rotations were privileged:

- roll: for the reproduction of short cornering (slalom, way changes),
- pitch: to restitute the accelerations movements illusion as well as fork movements,
- yaw: to reproduce the rear motorcycle wheel skid like in close accident situations. The skid of the front wheel is not reproduced because it is immediately fatal. Indeed, the time between the stable and instable states is extremely short in this case. So, it is difficult to feel it in a real situation and thus it is useless to restitute it to learn any correction technics which is impossible in reality.

Lastly, for a cost reason, the longitudinal and lateral displacement were not retained in this first prototype design, knowing .

Otherwise, the multiplication of the perception stimuli strongly increases the riding simulation sensations [7]. Based on this idea, a double haptic feedback is implemented on the handlebar. The first one enables to restitute an inertial delay on the rider bust during the acceleration and braking phases. An effort is created on the motorcyclist arms while varying the distance between the saddle and the handlebar. The second force feedback have the aim to restitute the torque resulting from the tire - road contact, gyroscopic effects and of the front wheel trail [8].




Fig. 1. CAD model of the simulator platform

The position of the various rotation axes is of great importance. No psychophysical study was carried out in the literature except some very simple cases [9]. Therefore, these axes are taken starting from the real motorcycle kinematics [10]. In order to produce the necessary yaw to feel the

rear wheel skid, a slide is positioned on the back of the motorcycle frame. The roll axis is taken in the motorcycle symmetry plane with an adjustable height in order to test several configurations and to find the best perception results. Lastly, for the pitch axis, it is the displacement of the front fork in the acceleration and braking phases which was privileged, therefore the axis passes by the back of the motorcycle frame. Figure 1 represents the CAD model of the platform simulator which will be described in the next sections.

### III. PLATFORM KINEMATICS

From the various considerations cited in the previous section, the mechanical layout of the simulator's platform was established (figure 2). The proposed architecture has:

- 3 spherical joints ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  and  $O_3$ ) with 3 rotations each,
- 1 prismatic joint  $O_3$  with one translation DOF for the yaw motion,
- 2 legs ( $\overline{O_1P_1}$  and  $\overline{O_2P_2}$ ) with one prismatic joint each for roll and pitch motion,
- 2 cylindrical joints ( $O_1$  and  $O_2$ ) with 1 rotation and 1 translation each,
- 1 constraint to insure symmetric displacements of points  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  about ( $xOz$ ) plane.




Fig. 2. Kinematics sketch of the simulator platform

Using the general formula of Grubler which gives the mobility  $m$  of a spacial mechanism by:

$$m = I_c - 6(l - n + 1) \quad (1)$$

where,  $I_c$  is the number of kinematics unknowns,  $l$  the number of links,  $n$  the number of platform solides. Hence, we have 16 kinematics unknowns, 8 links and 7 bodies, so  $m = 4$ . The constraint leads to a final mobility of 3. This mobilities are all actuated which allow to realize the three rotation movements (roll, pitch and yaw).




Fig. 3. Reference frame configuration

The actual configuration of the motorcycle chassis frame is given by the position and the orientation of the mobile reference  $\mathfrak{R}_m(O_m, \vec{i}_m, \vec{j}_m, \vec{k}_m)$  with respect to the inertial reference  $(O, \vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k})$ . The inverse kinematics makes possible to transform these position and orientation in articular coordinates in order to drive the various actuators. Because only three among the six position and orientation parameters of the mobile platform of this mechanism are independent, the origin point  $O_m$  of the mobile reference can be located either by the orientation angles  $(\varphi, \theta, \psi)$  which are respectively roll, pitch and yaw rotations. Moreover, for the motion cueing algorithms consideration (as will be explained in section IV-D), we adopt the rotation angles representation. To do this, we must express the mobile reference frame position vector  $OO_m$  as a function of orientation angles. For this, we define four intermediate frame references;  $\mathfrak{R}_1(\vec{i}_1, \vec{j}_1, \vec{k}_1)$  obtained by translating the frame  $\mathfrak{R}$  from  $O$  to  $O_3$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_2(\vec{i}_2, \vec{j}_2, \vec{k}_2)$  by tilting the frame  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  about the  $\vec{j}_1$  axis,  $\mathfrak{R}_3(\vec{i}_3, \vec{j}_3, \vec{k}_3)$  by yawing the frame  $\mathfrak{R}_2$  about  $\vec{k}_2$  axis and  $\mathfrak{R}_4(\vec{i}_4, \vec{j}_4, \vec{k}_4)$  by rolling the frame  $\mathfrak{R}_3$  about  $\vec{i}_3$  axis as shown in figure 3. We write than the following transformations for  $i = 1, 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} O_i P_i &= O_i O + O P_i \\ O P_i &= O O_3 + O_3 O_m + O_m P_i \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where:

$$O_i O = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mp d \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad O O_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \rho_3 \\ z_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} O_3 O_m &= R \cdot O_3 O_m^{R_4} \\ O_m P_i &= R \cdot O_m P_i^{R_4} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$d$  is the variable distance between points  $O$  and respectively  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ ,  $\rho_3$  is the lateral displacement of the point  $O_3$  and  $R$  is the rotation matrix which expresses the orientation of the mobile reference  $\mathfrak{R}_4$  with respect to the fixed reference  $\mathfrak{R}$ :

$$R = R_\theta R_\psi R_\varphi \quad (4)$$

$\theta$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  are respectively the tilt, yaw and roll angles. After developing, we find the expression of vectors  $OO_m$  and  $OP_i$ :

$$OO_m^R = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + lc(\theta + \beta)c\psi \\ ls\psi + \rho_3 \\ z_0 - ls(\theta + \beta)c\psi \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

and

$$O_m P_i^R = \begin{bmatrix} \pm l_m(-c(\theta + \beta)s\psi c\varphi + s(\theta + \beta)s\varphi) \\ \pm l_m c\psi c\varphi \\ \pm l_m(s(\theta + \beta)s\psi c\varphi + c(\theta + \beta)s\varphi) \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

The mechanical constraint impose that in the fixed reference  $\mathfrak{R}$ , the  $y$  component of the vector  $OO_m$  is equal to zero and the two points  $P_i$  and  $O_i$  have the same  $y$  components. From this, we can deduce the displacements  $\rho_3$  and  $d$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_3 &= -l \sin \psi \\ d &= l_m \cos \psi \sin \varphi \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now, replacing equations (5) and (6) into equation (2), we can deduce the position vector  $O_i P_i$  expressed in the inertial reference  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

From the expression of the two vectors  $O_i P_i$  of equation (2), we can calculate the two legs stroke  $\rho_i$  with  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore, we can write:

$$\rho_i^2 = O_i P_i^T \cdot O_i P_i \quad (8)$$

Equations (7) and (8) constitute the so called Inverse Geometric model, which gives the articular coordinates  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$  and  $\rho_3$  as a function of the mobile platform orientation  $(\theta, \psi, \varphi)$ .

After establishing the inverse geometry model, we look to determine the legs actuators velocity  $\rho_i$  from the upper platform angular velocity  $\omega$ , which means to express the so called Inverse Kinematics of the platform, then we have for  $i = 1, 2$ :

$$\dot{\rho}_i = O_i \dot{P}_i \bullet n_i \quad (9)$$

where  $n_i = \frac{O_i P_i}{\rho_i}$  is the unit vector along the two legs and the operator  $\bullet$  is the scalar product. By derivating equation (2) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} O_i \dot{P}_i &= O_i \dot{O} + \dot{O} P_i \\ \dot{O} P_i &= \dot{O} O_3 + O_3 \dot{O}_m + \dot{O}_m P_i \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where:

$$O_i \dot{O} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mp \dot{d} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{O} O_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \dot{\rho}_3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} O_3 \dot{O}_m &= \omega^R \times R \cdot O_3 O_m^{R_4} \\ \dot{O}_m P_i &= \omega^R \times R \cdot O_m P_i^{R_4} \\ \omega &= \dot{\theta} \vec{j}_1 + \dot{\psi} \vec{k}_2 + \dot{\varphi} \vec{i}_3 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By transforming the vectors  $\vec{k}_2$  and  $\vec{i}_3$  in the fixed frame  $\mathcal{R}(\vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k})$ , we write the expression of  $\omega^R$  as following:

$$\omega^R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s(\theta + \beta) & c(\theta + \beta)c\psi \\ 1 & 0 & s\psi \\ 0 & c(\theta + \beta) & -s(\theta + \beta)c\psi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \\ \dot{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

After developping, we can formulate the inverse kinematics in the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\rho}_1 \\ \dot{\rho}_2 \\ \dot{\rho}_3 \end{pmatrix} = J \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \\ \dot{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

where  $J$  is a  $9 \times 9$  Jacobian matrix,  $\dot{\rho}_1, \dot{\rho}_2$  are determined from equation (9) and  $\dot{\rho}_3 = -l\dot{\psi} \cos \psi$

#### IV. SIMULATOR SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE

Based on the SIM<sup>2</sup> car driving simulator software architecture [11] [12], some adaptation are bring to close the simulation loop of the constructed riding motorcycle simulator (figure 4). This software platform is organized around an interfacing system and two PCs linked with UDP communication protocole. The first PC is dedicated to the motorcycle dynamic model and the traffic management (ARCHISIM PC) and the second one for the motion planning and cueing control.




Fig. 4. Simulator software architecture

##### A. Acquisition's electronic modules

An interface board is used for the low management level of the simulators mock-up. It is based on an industrial microcontroller, and has both analog and digital Input/Output. It aims to acquire data from the driver commands to send the resulting signals to the ARCHISIM PC via a parallel port. After updating the motorcycle states, informations on

the engine mode and motorcycle speed are sent from the traffic management module towards the acquisition system to be displayed on the mock-up dashboard.

##### B. Archisim PC

Is one of the most important parts of the simulation. It was the object of ARCHISIM project, which aims to implement a realistic simulation of road situations, starting from the individual drivers behavior [13]. ARCHISIM allows the simulation of a road traffic of several tens of moved objects in real-time. Thus, it is possible to immerse the driver in a realistic traffic and to install him under a desired conditions. The Visual system is based mainly on SGI Performer library. The visual cue is realized by three BARCO projectors and three adjacent screens giving a large visual field. 3D sound restitution is based on Windows AEX library. During the riding simulation, the restituted sounds are mainly those of the controlled virtual vehicle (engine mode) and of the traffic environment. The virtual environment sound restitution puts the driver in a more realistic riding situation and so improving immersion level.

##### C. Motorcycle dynamic model

It deals with the calcul of the motorcycle dynamics, based on the different driver actions (throttle, brake, clutch levers and gearbox selector) transmitted by the acquisition system. It consists of 5 DOF linearized equations for the longitudinal and lateral motion as following:

$$A\dot{X} = BX + CU \quad (14)$$

where,  $X = [u \ v \ \delta \ \varphi \ Y_r \ Y_f \ \dot{\psi} \ \dot{\delta} \ \dot{\varphi}]^T$  is the states vector,  $u$ : longitudinal velocity,  $v$ : lateral velocity,  $\delta, \varphi$ : steering and roll angle,  $Y_r, Y_f$ : rear and front tire sliding force,  $\dot{\psi}, \dot{\delta}$  and  $\dot{\varphi}$ : yaw, steering and roll rates.  $U$ : is the input vector which contains the exercised rider torque on the motorcycle handlebar (in our application, the rider movements are neglected). For a more details on the equations model refer to [14].

##### D. Motion planning and control PC

The simulator platform should be able to reproduce the motorcycle dynamics as faithfully possible as that calculated by the dynamic model. However, because of the physical limits, the mechanical platform cannot reproduce the totality of the inertial forces (accelerations), present in real riding situations. Thus, a cueing algorithm is necessary to plan the platform trajectories which remain inside the atteignable workspace and that reproduce well riding behavior.

Three principal motion cueing strategies were developed and detailed in the literature that is classic Washout [15] [16], the one based on optimal algorithm [17] and adaptive algorithm [18]. Recently a control strategy based on washout filters was proposed for a motorcycle riding simulator, whose kinematic structure is a 6 DOF Stewart platform [19].

As our simulator has 3 rotation DOF, we can not reproduce the transitory linear acceleration by translating the mobile platform. Based on our works on the driving simulator SIM<sup>2</sup>

[20], [21], we have chosen the classical control scheme by retrieving the translation canal and use only the tilt rendering as presented in the figure 5.




Fig. 5. Motion Cueing strategy

The inputs to the motion cueing strategy are the longitudinal, lateral accelerations and the three components of the rotation velocity as calculated by the virtual motorcycle model. The linear accelerations are low pass filtered to extract the maintained components and the resulting signals are exploited to determine respectively pitch and roll tilt angles as follow:

$$\theta_{tilt} = \arcsin\left(\frac{\ddot{x}_{LP}}{g \cos \varphi}\right) \quad (15)$$

$$\varphi_{tilt} = -\arcsin\left(\frac{\ddot{y}_{LP}}{g}\right) \quad (16)$$

where  $\ddot{x}_{LP}$ ,  $\ddot{y}_{LP}$  are the low pass component of  $\ddot{x}$ ,  $\ddot{y}$  and  $g$  is the gravity vector.

The rotation velocity of the virtual motorcycle is firstly transformed into a Euler angles rates, high pass filtered and integrated. The resulting signals are added to the tilt angles to give the simulator orientation angles. However, since the tilt is just an artifact to trick the driver, the corresponding rates are to be limited (generally at  $3^\circ/s$ ) to be not detected by the driver's vestibule.

## V. PERFORMANCE VALIDATION TESTS

Some tests were carried out in open-loop (without driver actions) to validate the actuation system performances (figure 6). Each DOF of the simulator platform motion is driven as following:

- roll and pitch motion: realized by two linear legs type Parker Electro-thrust ETB50M10, with a stroke limit of  $400mm$ , a maximum displacement speed and acceleration of ( $0.55m/s$  and  $6m/s^2$ ) and a maximum thrust force of  $3300N$ . Each of this legs is actuated by a Brushless actuator type Transtechnic SMBA60451.4 with a maximum stall torque of  $4.4N.m$  and a nominal velocity of  $4500rpm$ ,
- yaw motion: realized by a rear slide actuated by a Transtechnic SMB823003 brushless actuator, with a nominal output torque of  $3N.m$  and a nominal input speed of  $4000min^{-1}$ . Using a coaxial reductor, we can multiply the nominal output torque by a factor of 10.



Fig. 6. Constructed riding motorcycle simulator




Fig. 7. Measured actuator torque and linear leg velocity for a  $\pm 15^\circ$  roll motion




Fig. 8. Measured actuator torque and linear velocity of the rear slide for a  $\pm 15^\circ$  yaw motion

An exemple of  $\pm 15^\circ$  roll and yaw manoeuver is executed on the simulator platform with an  $80kg$  rider load. Figure ( 7) and figure ( 8) show respectively the mesured actuator torque and the linear velocity of one of the two legs and the rear slide. The actuation system has the necessary dynamics to achieve the desired manoeuver in the imposed simulator workspace. Indeed, for the roll simulation manoeuver, the two front legs lead to acceleration/deceleration close to  $3m/s^2$  far from actuators limits ( $6m/s^2$ ). We can then assume that the leg's actuator dynamics needed to have sufficient perception can be reached. For the yaw movement simulating rear wheel skid, the corresponding actuator has delivered a torque close to  $6N.m$  leading to a yaw motion which was jugged to be sufficiently perceived by the rider. Thus, the rear slide actuator is estimated to be overdimensionned ( $35N.m$  of maximum torque). These remarks constitute a global evaluation of the whole system allowing to state that the mechanical platform is operational for our applications.

## VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

In the first part of this paper, the important points retained to conceive a two wheels riding simulator have been listed. The application to which it is dedicated and the necessary perception guided us to choose the mechanical architecture.

The inverse kinematics of the platform was presented allowing to transform the motion cueing algorithm trajectories into actuator inputs. The mechanical design has been described and CAD model of each part is illustrated. Also, a general description simulator software architecture is given.

The validation tests of performances are satisfactory and permits to reach our objectives for normal, dangerous or extreme situations. Future tests of models validation in the closed-loop simulation including the virtual motorcycle are foreseen. The double kinaesthetic feedback on the handlebar is about to be integrated to the suited motion restitution algorithms. Moreover, the present simulator will be embarked on the SIM<sup>2</sup> driving simulator platform ( $\pm 60cm$ ), to explore either longitdinal and lateral DOF on the linear acceleration restitution during the riding simulation.

## VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by funds from the NATIONAL RESEARCH AGENCY in SIMACOM project.

## REFERENCES

- [1] *Les motocyclettes et la sécurité routière en France en 2003*. La documentation Francaise, Observatoire National Interministriel de Sécurité Routière, ISBN: 2-11-005951-6, 2005.
- [2] Y. Miyamaru, G. Yamasaki, and K. Aoki, "Development of a motorcycle riding simulator," *Society of Automotive Engineers of Japan*, vol. 23, pp. 121–126, 2002.
- [3] T. Katayama, "A simulation model for riders control behavior," *Society of Automotive Engineers of Japan*, no. 961, 1996.
- [4] S. Chiyoda, K. Yoshimoto, D. Kawasaki, Y. Murakami, and T. Sugimoto, "Development of a motorcycle simulator using parallel manipulator and head mounted display," in *Driving Simulation Conference(DSC00)*, Paris,France, 2000.

- [5] D. Ferrazzin, F. Barbagli, C. Avizzano, G. Pietro, and M. Bergamasco, "Designing new commercial motorcycles through a highly reconfigurable virtual reality-based simulator," *Journal of Advanced Robotics*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 293–318, 2003.
- [6] V. Cossalter, A. Doria, and R. Lot, "Development and validation of a motorcycle riding simulator," in *World Automotive Congress(FISITA2004)*, Barcelona, Spain, May 2004.
- [7] J. Neimer, H. Mohellebi, S. Espié, and A. Kheddar, "Optimization of linear motion base dedicated to normal driving conditions," in *Driving Simulator Conference (DSC05)*, Orlando, Florida, 30 nov-2 dec 2005.
- [8] H. Mohellebi, S. Espié, and A. Kheddar, "Adaptive haptic steering wheel for driving simulators," in *Proceedings of 2004 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Robots and Intelligent Systems (IROS04)*, June 2004.
- [9] G. Yamasaki, K. Aoki, Y. Miyamaru, and K. Ohnuma, "Development of motorcycle training simulator," *Society of Automotive Engineers of Japan*, vol. 19, pp. 81–85, 1998.
- [10] V. Cossalter, *Motorcycle Dynamics*. Milwaukee, USA: Race Dynamics Inc, ISBN: 0-9720514-0-6, 2002.
- [11] H. Mohellebi, S. Espié, H. Arioui, A. Amouri, and A. Kheddar, "Low cost motion platform for driving simulator," in *5th International Conference on Machine Automation (ICMA04)*, Osaka, Japan, Nov. 2004.
- [12] L. Nehaoua, A. Amouri, and H.Arioui, "Classic and Adaptive Washout Comparaison for a Low Cost Driving Simulator," in *Proceedings of the 13th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation (MED05)*, Limassol, Cyprus, June 2005, pp. 586–591.
- [13] S. Espie, "Vehicle-driven simulator versus traffic-driven simulator: the inrets approach-driving simulation conference," in *Driving Simulation Conference (DSC99)*, Paris, France, 1999.
- [14] R. Sharp, "Vibrational modes of motorcycles and their design parameter sensitivities," in *Vehicle NVH and Refinement, Mechanical Engineering Publications*, London, England, 1994, pp. 107–121.
- [15] S. F. Schmidt and B. Conrad, "Motion drive signals for piloted flight simulators," in *Contractor Report NASA CR-1601*, Washington, USA, 1970.
- [16] P. R. Grant and L. D. Reid, "Motion washout filter tuning: Rules and requirements," *Journal Of Aircraft*, vol. 34, pp. 145–151, Mar-Apr. 1997.
- [17] R. Telban and F. Cardullo, "A nonlinear human centred approach to motion cueing with neurocomputing solver," in *AIAA Modeling and Simulation Technologies Conference and exhibit*, Monterey, California, Aug. 2002.
- [18] R. V. Parrish, J. E. Dieudonne, R. L. Bowles, and D. J. Martin, "Coordinated adaptive washout for motion simulators," *Journal of Aircraft*, vol. 12, pp. 44–50, July 1975.
- [19] F. Barbagli, D. Ferrazzin, C. Avizzano, and M. Bergamasco, "Washout filter design for a motorcycle simulator," in *Proceedings of VR2001*, Yokohama, Japan, 2001, pp. 225–232.
- [20] L. Nehaoua, H. Arioui, H. Mohellebi, and S. Espié, "Restitution movement for a low cost driving simulator," in *Proceedings of the 2006 American Control Conference (ACC06)*, Minneapolis, Minnesota, June 2006, pp. 2599–2604.
- [21] L. Nehaoua, H. Arioui, H. Mohellebi, and S. Espié, "Motion cueing algorithms for small driving simulator," in *Proceedings 2006 IEEE International Conference In Robotics and Automation (ICRA06)*, Orlando, Florida, May 2006, pp. 3189–3194.