

Structural, magnetic and photomagnetic study of the [Fe(PM–NEA)2(NCS)2] spin crossover complex

Jean-François Letard, Matthias Kollmansberger, Chiara Carbonera, Mathieu Marchivie, Philippe Guionneau

► To cite this version:

Jean-François Letard, Matthias Kollmansberger, Chiara Carbonera, Mathieu Marchivie, Philippe Guionneau. Structural, magnetic and photomagnetic study of the [Fe(PM–NEA)2(NCS)2] spin crossover complex. Comptes Rendus. Chimie, 2008, 11 (10), pp.1155-1165. 10.1016/j.crci.2008.05.009. hal-00342698

HAL Id: hal-00342698 https://hal.science/hal-00342698

Submitted on 4 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





C. R. Chimie 11 (2008) 1155-1165

http://france.elsevier.com/direct/CRAS2C/

Full paper / Mémoire

Structural, magnetic and photomagnetic study of the [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] spin crossover complex

Jean-François Létard^{*}, Matthias Kollmansberger, Chiara Carbonera, Mathieu Marchivie, Philippe Guionneau^{*}

CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, ICMCB, 87 avenue du Dr A. Schweitzer, Pessac, F-33608, France

Received 14 March 2008; accepted after revision 23 May 2008

Abstract

The new spin-crossover compound [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] with PM-NEA = N-(2-pyridylmethylene)-4-(naphthalene-1ethynyl)aniline has been synthesized. The temperature dependence of $\chi_M T$ (χ_M = molar magnetic susceptibility and T = temperature) has revealed a very gradual complete spin conversion between LS (S = 0) and HS (S = 2) states with $T_{1/2}$ of about 204 K. At 10 K the well-known LIESST (light-induced excited spin state trapping) effect has been observed within the SQUID cavity, by irradiating a powder sample with a Kr⁺ laser coupled with an optical fibre. The HS \rightarrow LS relaxation after LIESST has been analyzed with a stretched exponential behaviour. This is consistent with structural analysis, since the presence of naphthalene rings induces a less compact crystal packing than in already reported [Fe(PM-L)₂(NCS)₂] crystal structures. In particular, the intermolecular interaction network shows a noteworthy dissymmetry on each side of the complex. Furthermore, the structure-property relationship confirms the important role of the metal coordination sphere distortion on the $T_{1/2}$ value. To cite this article: J.-F. Létard et al., C. R. Chimie 111 (2008).

© 2008 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Coordination chemistry; Iron(II) metal ions; Spin crossover; X-ray diffraction; Photomagnetism; Structure-property relationship; Phase transition

1. Introduction

Spin crossover between a low-spin (LS) state and a high-spin (HS) state in transition metal compounds is a well-known phenomenon [1]. Especially several octahedrally coordinated iron(II) compounds show a transition between an S = 0 and an S = 2 state which can be induced by temperature or light irradiation. Whereas in diluted systems (e.g. in solution) the spin crossover is essentially monomolecular and the conversion is therefore very gradual, in the solid state the conversion can be much more abrupt and a hysteresis can occur if the intersite interactions are strong enough [1-4].

To obtain compounds with large hysteresis, the cooperativity of the systems, i.e. the intermolecular interactions in the crystal lattice needs to be strong, which can be achieved either by covalent bonding [2] or by noncovalent interactions between the ligands, e.g. stacking of aromatic rings [3,4]. Concerning this latter approach,

1631-0748/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.crci.2008.05.009

^{*} Corresponding authors. *E-mail address:* letard@icmcb-bordeaux.cnrs.fr (J.-F. Létard).

we have investigated a family of compounds [Fe(PM–L)₂(NCS)₂], where PM is *N*-2'-pyridylmethylene and the aromatic subunit L is, respectively, 4-aminoterphenyl [TeA], 4-(phenylazo)aniline [AzA], 4-aminobiphenyl [BiA] and 4-(phenylethynyl)aniline [PEA] [2,3,5]. The members of this family show different spin-cross-over behaviours that range from very smooth and incomplete for [Fe(PM–TeA)₂(NCS)₂], smooth with almost no hysteresis for [Fe(PM–AzA)₂(NCS)₂], exceptionally abrupt for [Fe(PM–BiA)₂(NCS)₂] and abrupt with a very large hysteresis (37 K) for [Fe(PM–PEA)₂(NCS)₂].

The crystal structures of the above [Fe(PM-L)₂ (NCS)₂] complexes have been largely depicted and structural modifications due to thermal [3,5,6], piézo-[7] and photo-induced [8] spin crossover as well as effects of metal substitution and solvent inclusion [9] have been already studied. Direct correlations between structural and physical properties were also drawn and used as a basis for general structure-property correlations in Fe(II) spin-crossover materials [10]. In particular, intermolecular contacts involving S atoms have been identified as directly responsible for the cooperativity in these complexes since the gradual character of the magnetic curve depends almost linearly on the length of this contact [11]. Elsewhere, for these complexes, the temperature of the thermal transition, $T_{1/2}$, seems to decrease almost linearly when the distortion of the FeN₆ octahedron increases [12].

Our aim in the present work is to modify the acetylenic ligand and study the influence on the spin-crossover regime, in particular whether the cooperativity could be further enhanced. To this aim we have synthesized the [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] complex where PM-NEA is the *N*-(2-pyridylmethylene)-4-(naphthalene-1-ethynyl) aniline ligand (Scheme 1). The magnetic susceptibility, the diffuse reflectivity, and the crystal structure at both temperatures 298 K (HS spin state) and 120 K (LS state) will be reported. We will also present the LIESST (light-



Scheme 1. Molecular diagram of [Fe(PM-NEA)2(NCS)2] complex.

induced excited spin state trapping) properties recorded at low temperature. This new $[Fe(PM-L)_2(NCS)_2]$ complex will also be used to validate, or not, the previously reported structure-property statements.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

[Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] complex, *cis*-bis(thiocyanato) bis(N-(2-pyridylmethylene)-4-(naphthalene-1-ethynyl) aniline)-iron(II), was prepared from a solution of the PM-NEA ligand in CH₂Cl₂ and a methanolic solution of Fe(NCS)₂, in analogy to the procedure described in Ref. [3a]. Anal. calcd for C₃₀H₃₂N₆FeS₂: C, 71.77; H, 3.85; N, 10.04; Fe, 6.67; S, 7.66. Found: C, 70.61; H, 3.97; N, 10.01; Fe, 6.69; S, 7.36.

The Schiff's base PM—NEA was synthesized from 2-pyridinecarbaldehyde and 4-(naphthalene-1-ethynyl) aniline (NEA). The synthesis of NEA unit involves the preparation of the acetylenic amine. For this purpose we have chosen to use *p*-ethynylaniline as an intermediate which can be coupled with aromatic halide using standard Pd-coupling chemistry [3a,13]. *p*-Ethynylaniline was synthesized from *p*-iodoaniline by coupling MEBYNOL with *p*-iodotrifluoroacetanilide and subsequently deprotecting simultaneously both functional groups [14]; this procedure yielded a pure product that can be conveniently stored at -20 °C without decomposition. *p*-Ethynylaniline was then coupled to the corresponding aromatic iodide in diethylamine using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ and CuI as a catalyst [14].

N-(2-Pyridylmethylene)-4-(naphthalene-1-ethynyl) aniline (*PM*–*NEA*): the corresponding amine and 2pyridinecarboxaldehyde were refluxed in ca. 100 ml of benzene with a few drops of acetic acid as a catalyst for 4 h. The solution was neutralized with K₂CO₃, filtered and the solvent evaporated. The residue was extracted with diethyl ether and evaporated to yield the products as brown oils. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 8.74 (d, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz), 8.65 (s, 1H), 8.46 (d, 1H, J = 8.1Hz), 8.23 (d, 1H, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.89–7.77 (8m, 4H), 7.72 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.45 (m, 3H), 7.43 (m, 1H), 7.34 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 88.2, 94.1, 120.9, 121.4, 121.8, 122.0, 125.3, 126.2, 126.4, 126.8, 128.3, 128.8, 130.4, 132.7, 133.2, 133.2, 136.7, 149.8, 150.8, 154.4, 161.0.

(4-Naphthalene-1-ethynyl)aniline (NEA): 585 mg (5 mmol) of *p*-ethynylaniline and 5 mmol of aryl iodide were dissolved in 50 ml of diethylamine under a nitrogen atmosphere. About 0.1 mmol of bis(triphenylphosphin)palladium(II)chloride and 0.2 mmol of CuI were then added and the mixture was stirred for 20 h at

room temperature (TLC control silica/CH₂Cl₂). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue extracted three times with diethyl ether. After evaporation, the product was purified by column chromatography (silica/CH₂Cl₂) and recrystallized using CH₂Cl₂/petroleum ether. Yield: 740 mg (60%, 3.05 mmol). IR (KBr): 2200 (CC). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 3.85 (s, 2H), 6.69 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.62 (m, 5H), 7.73 (m, 1H), 7.80–7.88 (m, 2H), 8.47 (m, 1H). Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₃N: C, 88.86; H, 5.39; N, 5.75. Found: C, 88.74; H, 5.40; N, 5.82.

2.2. Magnetic and photomagnetic measurements

The magnetic susceptibility measurements were obtained with an MPMS-55 Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer. Photomagnetic experiments were carried out by using the light source of a Spectra Physics Series 2025 Kr⁺ laser ($\lambda = 647$ nm, optical power adjusted to 5 mW cm⁻²) coupled via an optical fibre to the cavity of the SQUID magnetometer. Photomagnetic samples consisted of a very thin layer of the compound. Its weight was obtained by comparing its thermal spin-crossover curve with the curve recorded with a heavier and accurately weighed sample of the same compound. The procedure to measure the *T*(LIESST) temperature was as previously published [3b,15].

The measurement of the diffuse absorption spectra and reflectivity signal was performed by using a custom-built set-up equipped with an SM240 spectrometer (Opton Laser International). Under illumination with broad band source, this equipment allows us to record both the diffuse absorption spectra within the range of 500-900 nm at a given temperature and the temperature dependence (5–290 K) of the reflectivity signal at a selected wavelength (±2.5 nm). The diffuse reflectance spectrum was calibrated with respect to activated charcoal (Merck) as black standard and barium sulfate (BaSO₄, Din 5033, Merck) as white standard.

2.3. X-ray diffraction

The needle-shaped dark single crystals of the title compound show a poor diffraction pattern with no Bragg peaks at high θ angle. After preliminary selection by X-ray, the best sample was mounted on a Bruker–Nonius κ -CCD diffractometer, Mo K_{α} radiation (0.71073 Å) at 293 K and a data collection was run by means of ϕ scans, 2° per rotation frame, 600 s per frame and a crystal– detector distance of 26.0 mm. The same sample was then cooled at a rate of 2 K min⁻¹ to 120 K where the diffraction pattern proved to be slightly better, and a larger data collection was run by means of mixed ϕ and ω scans,

2° per frame and 300 s per frame. The structural determination by direct methods and the refinement of atomic parameters based on full-matrix least squares on F^2 were performed using the SHELX-97 programs [16] within the WINGX package [17]. Hydrogen atom positions were all calculated. The twin law (100, 0-10, 0)00-1) has been introduced for the atomic parameters' refinement in both high- and low-temperature crystal structures. This twin law was applied using two twin components with about the same final refined relative weights. Moreover, due to the vicinity of the unit cells to a higher symmetry, orthorhombic and tetragonal (low-temperature) solutions were tested but gave no acceptable solution. Results are summarized in Table 1. Full experimental and crystal data can be obtained with the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper, numbers CCDC-681125 and CCDC-681126.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Magnetic and photomagnetic properties

The variation in the magnetic susceptibility of $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$ with temperature is shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen that at 300 K the $\chi_M T$ product,

Table 1

X-ray diffraction experimental and crystal data for $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$

Formula	FeC ₅₀ H ₃₂ N ₆ S ₂		
Spin state	HS	LS	
T (K)	293	120	
System	Monoclinic		
Space group	$P2_1/c$		
Space group_Z	4	4	
Formula_Z	4	4	
a (Å)	16.489(5)	16.510(5)	
b (Å)	15.732(5)	15.540(5)	
c (Å)	16.016(5)	15.550(5)	
β (°)	90.30(1)	90.10(1)	
$V(Å^3)$	4155(2)	3990(2)	
$\rho_{\rm calc} ({\rm g/cm}^3)$	1.338	1.393	
μ (Mo K α , mm ⁻¹)	0.507	0.528	
Crystal size (mm ³)	$0.30 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$	0.30 imes 0.10 imes 0.10	
$\theta_{\rm max}$ for data	21.07	24.99	
collection (°)			
Completeness (%)	95.1	99.4	
Collected reflections	15870	26 559	
Unique reflections	4283	6992	
Observed reflections	3611	5556	
R _{int}	0.040	0.070	
Parameters	533	533	
Refinement on	F^2	F^2	
S	1.05	0.96	
$R_{\rm obs} (R_{\rm all})$	0.043 (0.056)	0.056 (0.080)	
$wR2_{obs} (wR2_{all})$	0.108 (0.119)	0.154 (0.174)	

where $\chi_{\rm M}$ is the molar magnetic susceptibility and *T* the temperature, is near to 2.73 cm³ K mol⁻¹, suggesting that approximately 80% of the iron(II) metal centres behave as in the quintet HS state. On cooling, the magnetic signal drops gradually and reaches a minimum value close to 0.06 cm³ K mol⁻¹, as predicted for a singlet LS ground state. The warming curve shows no thermal hysteresis. The measurement of a gradual SCO transition indicates that the degree of cooperativity between the iron metal centres in the [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] complex is weak.

The thermal spin-crossover behaviour can also be monitored by following the visible spectrum of the sample as a function of the temperature, measured by diffuse reflectance (Fig. 2). The broad diffuse absorption band at 800-870 nm corresponds to a d-d transition of the HS iron(II) centre, while the absorption in the 500-750 nm region can be assigned to both d-dand MLCT (Metal to Ligand Charge Transfer) transitions of the LS iron metal centre. Consequently, any change in the signal can be directly used to monitor the thermal SCO transition at the surface of the sample. The increase in the diffuse absorption in the 600-700nm range from room temperature down to 100 K corresponds to the increasing population of the LS state following thermal SCO. The same reflectivity experiment can also monitor any light-induced phenomena occurring at the surface of the sample. When the temperature is sufficiently low that relaxation of



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of $\chi_M T$. $\Delta =$ Data recorded in cooling and warming modes without irradiation; $\bigcirc =$ data recorded with irradiation at 10 K; $\blacklozenge = T(LIESST)$ measurement, data recorded in warming mode with the laser turned off after irradiation for 1 h. The solid line through the *T*(LIESST) measurement shows the fit generated from the deduced experimental thermodynamic parameters $(k_0 = 6.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})$, $E_a = 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $k = 0.12 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\sigma = 64 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The insets show the derivate of the d $\chi_M T/dT$ curves, whose minimum corresponds to *T*(LIESST).



Fig. 2. Diffused absorption spectra of $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$ complex over 290–100 K for the thermal SCO (left) and 100–10 K (right) for the LIESST effect.

the photo-induced high-spin state is slow, the light intensity at the surface of the sample can be used to tune the spin state of the complex. In this sense, the diffuse absorption bands (Fig. 2), which decrease (or increase) along the thermal spin transition and reversely increase (or decrease) at lowest temperature, from 100 K to 10 K, are a clear indication that the LIESST phenomenon occurs. The occurrence of a photo-induced effect is also evidenced by following the change in the reflectivity signal recorded at 617 nm (Fig. 3). The diffuse reflectivity signal, which decreases along the thermal spin transition, reversely increases at lower temperatures. Moreover, from the comparison of the signal recorded at 10 K and the one recorded at room temperature, it is suggested that the photo-excitation at the surface is almost quantitative.

We have then decided to study the LIESST effect in bulk condition, using a SQUID magnetometer coupled to an optical source. Fig. 1 shows the photomagnetic behaviour of [Fe(PM-NEA)2(NCS)2]. At 10 K, irradiation results in a sharp increase in $\chi_{\rm M}T$. The magnetic signal reaches saturation under light irradiation at a value close to $2.51 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$. As the temperature is increased in the dark to 0.3 K min⁻¹, $\chi_{\rm M}T$ initially increases slightly before reaching a plateau and then decreases sharply to recover the magnitude before irradiation. The small increase in the magnetic signal recorded at low temperatures corresponds to the anisotropy of the HS iron(II) ion in octahedral surroundings, also known as zero-field splitting. The $\chi_{\rm M}T$ of the plateau is nearly identical to that observed at room temperature, suggesting an almost quantitative



Fig. 3. Evolution of the diffused reflectivity signal recorded at 617 nm (i.e. related to the d-d and MLCT bands of the LS state) upon cooling and upon laser irradiation.

conversion of the LS state to the metastable HS state on irradiation. The almost zero value recorded at high temperature indicates the occurrence of complete relaxation from the metastable HS state to the LS state. The T(LIESST) values were estimated to be 58 K.

Fig. 4 displays the decay kinetics for the metastable LIESST state recorded in the 10–60 K temperature range, i.e. up to the highest temperature where the decay of the metastable state can be satisfactorily monitored with a SQUID set-up. The most striking feature of these relaxation curves is the strong deviation from single exponential with a marked stretched exponential behaviour, with a fast component at earlier times and a long decay process at infinite times.



Fig. 4. Time dependence at various temperatures of the high-spin molar fraction generated by light irradiation at 10 K. Each point represents the high-spin fraction deduced from the magnetic response measured within the SQUID magnetometer for 30 s. The relaxation curves are fitted according to stretched exponential model (Table 2).

Such stretched exponential behaviours have already been mentioned in disordered systems, like an iron(II) spin-crossover complex dispersed into a polymer matrix [18] or organized on a surface by the Langmuir-Blodgett technique [19], or even pure iron(II) materials [20]. For reproducing this type of curves, Hauser et al. [18] used a distribution of relaxation rates at a given temperature with a Gaussian distribution of the activation energy. Following this procedure, the relaxation curves of the [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] complex can be satisfactorily fitted as illustrated by the solid lines in Fig. 4. The apparent activation energy, $E_{\rm a}$ $(=203 \text{ cm}^{-1})$, and the apparent pre-exponential factor, k_{∞} (=0.12 s⁻¹) of the activated region are calculated from the straight line of the $\ln k_{\rm HL}(T)$ vs. 1/T plot (Fig. 5). The standard deviation of the activation energy in a Gaussian approach is $64 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

An elegant way to account for the kinetic parameters is to use them for reproducing the experimental T(LIESST) curve [15,21]. Briefly, it is now well established that the T(LIESST) curve combines the relaxation of both the tunneling and the thermally activated regions, and we have demonstrated that for a non-cooperative spin crossover, the time dependence of the HS fraction at temperature T_i can be obtained by the following Eq. (1) [15,20,21]:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{\rm HS}}{\partial t}\right)_{T_i} = -\gamma_{\rm HS} \{k_{\rm HL}(T \to 0) + k_{\infty} \exp(-E_{\rm a}/k_{\rm B}T_i)\}$$
(1)

The rate constant k_0 [i.e. $k_{\text{HL}}(T \rightarrow 0)$], which characterizes the relaxation in the quantum mechanical



Fig. 5. Logarithm of the rate constant $k_{\rm HL}$ vs. 1/*T* plot of [Fe(PM–NEA)₂(NCS)₂] complex used for estimating the activation energy (*E*_a), the pre-exponential factor (k_{∞}) and the distribution width of the activation energy in the Gaussian approach (σ).

tunneling region, is estimated as an upper limit from the last complete kinetic measurement recorded at low temperature, i.e. at 40 K (Table 2). Another difficulty arises from the fact that the relaxation process of [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] complex does not follow a purely exponential behaviour, as expressed by Eq. (1), but a marked stretched exponential behaviour with a Gaussian distribution of the activation energy. We have then decided to simulate the T(LIESST) curve as a Gaussian distribution of individual T(LIESST) curves with different activation energies [20a]. Based on this, and by using the deduced experimental parameters we have calculated the T(LIESST) curve (solid line) in Fig. 1. The agreement between the calculated and the experimental T(LIESST) curves is very good, which confirms that both the experimental kinetic parameters used in this simulation as well as the fitting procedure are adequate.

3.2. Crystal structures' description

3.2.1. General description

Up to now, there are only two kinds of crystal packing encountered in [Fe(PM-L)₂(NCS)₂] complexes, since some of them crystallise in the orthorhombic space group *Pccn* while the others crystallise in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$. In both cases, however, the unit cell contains four molecules. In the orthorhombic packing, the iron complexes lie on a two-fold axis and are thus aligned. Within the *ab* plane the neighbouring molecules are symmetrical about the screw axis and so lie in an antiparallel orientation. The monoclinic description is very close except that the iron complexes are not anymore aligned and the centres of adjacent molecules form a zigzag. The packing appears relatively compact in all cases and is driven by $\pi - \pi$ interactions and short hydrogen-like intermolecular contacts. [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] belongs to the monoclinic description in both HS and LS states.

3.2.2. Metal coordination sphere and molecular geometries

Examination of the geometry of the coordination sphere allows us to know the spin state of the complexes in the determined crystal structures (Table 3). The metal ligand bond lengths correspond to an HS at 293 K and to a pure LS state at 120 K. The variation in the average Fe–N distances from HS to LS (-0.186 Å) appears lower than the usual value for the FeN₆ octahedron (-0.20 Å) [10,22]. This is due to a slightly shorter Fe-N_{pvr} distance in HS than the usual values in the [Fe(PM-L)₂(NCS)₂] series. Note that only one of the Fe-N_{pvr} distance (Fe-N5 = 2.196(5) Å) is relatively short in comparison with the usual value $(\sim 2.26(2) \text{ Å})$, since its corresponding Fe–N distance on the other ligand is a typical one (Fe-N4 = 2.251(4) Å) (Fig. 6). The spin state of the complex in both crystal structures is confirmed by the distortion Σ parameter values that correspond to the value of the HS and LS states, respectively [7a]. This parameter shows that the iron coordination sphere goes from a strongly distorted octahedron, almost a trigonal prism, in HS to a slightly distorted octahedron in LS. The polyhedron volume decreases by 25% at the transition. The modification of the geometry of the coordination sphere in [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] at the spin crossover confirms thus the usually observed effects of the spin crossover on a FeN₆ octahedron.

The atoms of the molecule and particularly the naphthalene rings are affected by a strong disorder at 293 K that has almost disappeared at 120 K, confirming its dynamical nature (Fig. 6). The molecular geometry pointed up by angles between ligand rings demonstrates a strong dissymmetry of the complex at 293 K in HS (Fig. 7). The large disparity shown by the angles between the phenyl and naphthalene rings of each ligand ($\phi_1 = 48.6^\circ$ and $\phi_2 = 10.3^\circ$) is the main feature of this dissymmetry. When the ϕ angle between phenyl and naphthalene rings is high, pyridylmethylene rings appear almost orthogonal ($\alpha_1 = 89.5^\circ$) and when phenyl and naphthalene rings are almost coplanar, the angle between pyridylmethylene rings decreases ($\alpha_2 = 64.2^\circ$). Naphthalene rings of the two ligands within the molecular complex are almost coplanar ($\omega = 7.6^{\circ}$). The spin crossover has almost no effect on the molecular dissymmetry, since the differences between each ligand side remain despite these angles being slightly modified at 120 K in LS $(\phi_1 = 56.0^\circ)$ and $\phi_2 = 12.8^{\circ};$ $\alpha_1 = 83.0^{\circ}$ and $\alpha_2 = 74.6^{\circ}; \ \omega = 7.9^{\circ}).$

Table 2

Kinetic parameters of the photo-induced HS \rightarrow LS relaxation process with k_{HL} the rate constant at a given temperature (*T*) and σ the standard deviation in activation energy according to a Gaussian distribution

		-				
T (K)	20	30	40	50	55	60
$k_{\rm HL} ({\rm s}^{-1})$	6×10^{-6}	1×10^{-5}	4×10^{-5}	$3.1 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.5 imes 10^{-4}$	1.46×10^{-3}
$\sigma (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	50	65	70	72	64	65

Table 3 FeN₆ octahedron geometry in [Fe(PM–NEA)₂(NCS)₂]

T (K)	Spin state	$d_{\rm FeN}$ (Å)	Σ (°)	Vp (Å ³)	Θ (°)
293	HS	2.146	77	13.0	221
120	LS	1.959	47	10.1	137

 $d_{\rm FeN}$ is the average value of the six iron nitrogen distances. Σ is the sum of the deviations from 90° of the 12 *cis* N–Fe–N angles in the coordination sphere. Vp is the volume of the octahedron [10]. Θ is defined as the sum of the deviations from 60° of the 24 possible octahedron twist angles [12]. Standard deviations are 0.005 Å for $d_{\rm Fe-N}$, 3° for Σ , 0.2 Å³ for Vp and 6° for Θ .

3.2.3. Unit cell parameters

The modification of the unit cell volume from 293 K to 120 K is $\Delta V = 165 \text{ Å}^3$, i.e. $\Delta V = 4\%$. Note that this value also corresponds to the modification of the macroscopic sample volume by definition in the case of a single crystal and if there is no change of unit cell choice to describe the crystal network. This value, however, takes into account both thermal contraction and spin-crossover contributions. The former is known to be of about 2% in this temperature range for this kind of complexes [6a,9a]. The modification of the unit cell volume and thus of the macroscopic sample volume due to the sole spin crossover is then $\Delta V_{SC} \sim 2\%$, which perfectly matches the usual value for discrete structures based on mononuclear Fe(II) complexes [10].



Fig. 7. View of the molecular complex [Fe(PM–NEA)₂(NCS)₂] and nomenclature of the angles between rings of the ligands discussed in the text. Angles are understood as angles between the average planes defined by all the atoms of the corresponding ring. For visualizing colours, see the web version for this article.

The modification of the unit cell parameters is highly anisotropic. From 293 K to 120 K, the *a* unit cell parameter remains almost constant (+0.13%), while the *b* and *c* parameters strongly decrease (-1.22% and -2.90%, respectively). Since the *a* parameter matches the length of the PM–NEA ligands, *b* almost matches the –NCS ligand line and *c* matches the long axis of the naphthalene rings, the anisotropic character of the unit cell contraction must correspond to the modifications of the intermolecular interactions.



Fig. 6. ORTEP diagram with thermal ellipsoids cut at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms in [Fe(PM–NEA)₂(NCS)₂] at 293 K in HS (high) and at 120 K in LS (low). For visualizing colours, see the web version for this article.

3.2.4. Naphthalene rings and intermolecular interactions

The intermolecular contact network as described for other complexes of the series [5] is still present in $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$, even though it appears less compact with longer intermolecular contacts.

Let us see the effect of the presence of the naphthalene rings within the crystal packing. First, the introduction of naphthalene rings does not produce voids in the crystal packing that could host a solvent molecule, contrary to what usually happens for this series when using relatively long L ligand [5] and in particular in [Fe(PM-PEA)₂(NCS)₂] [9b]. At 293 K in HS, no $\pi - \pi$ interaction is formed between naphthalene rings of neighbouring molecules. Only four intermolecular contacts per complex considered as short involve the naphthalene rings. Three of these contacts, denoted D, E and F, correspond to hydrogen-like contacts and the fourth one, G, corresponds to a $\pi - \pi$ interaction (Fig. 8). The D and G contacts concern the naphthalene ring that forms a high angle with the phenyl group while E and F concern the naphthalene that is almost coplanar with the phenyl group. The intramolecular dissymmetry described above is thus extended to the crystal packing, since the nature of the intermolecular contacts is different on both sides of the molecule. Only one of the naphthalene rings, i.e. one of the molecule extremity, is indeed involved in $\pi - \pi$ interactions. Hydrogen-like contacts on each side of the molecule are also different in nature, since D involves one S atom of the neighbouring molecule while E and F involve one carbon atom of the neighbouring molecule (Table 4). At 120 K in LS, this dissymmetry remains. From 293 K to 120 K the intermolecular D, E and F contacts are shortened by roughly the same ratio (Table 4). The G type $\pi - \pi$ contact is the most affected since it is strongly shortened from 293 K in HS (C···C = 3.526 Å) to 120 K in LS (C···C = 3.373 Å).

The intermolecular interactions involving S atoms through hydrogen-like contacts have proven to be of crucial importance for the propagation of the spin crossover along the solid within the [Fe(PM-L)₂(NCS)₂] series [11]. At 293 K in HS for [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂], this S···C contact is short for one of the S atoms $(S2 \cdots C8 = 3.438 \text{ Å})$ and rather long for the other one $(S1 \cdots C4 = 3.818 \text{ Å})$. At 120 K in LS, the dissymmetry character slightly decreases since one contact length increases $(S2 \cdots C8 = 3.445 \text{ Å})$, whereas the other one decreases $(S1 \cdots C4 = 3.745 \text{ Å})$. While intermolecular contacts are perfectly symmetric in orthorhombic structures of this series, the dissymmetry of the S…C intermolecular hydrogen-like contact is less pronounced for the other monoclinic compounds such as [Fe(PM-AzA)₂(NCS)₂] (3.487 Å and 3.722 Å) and [Fe(PM-BiA)₂(NCS)₂] polymorph II (3.540 Å and 3.750 Å).

To sum up the main difference due to the introduction of the naphthalene rings into the crystal network typical for $[Fe(PM-L)_2(NCS)_2]$ complexes is the



Fig. 8. View and labels of the shortest intermolecular contacts that involved the naphthalene rings in the $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$ crystal packing. For visualizing colours, see the web version for this article.

Table 4 Hydrogen-like intermolecular contact parameters involving the naph-thalene rings in $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$ for HS at 293 K and LS at 120 K

Contact type	$M \cdots C(H)$ (Å)	M…H−C (Å)	М…Н−С (°)
D, HS	3.809	2.884	172.7
D, LS	3.762	2.840	170.9
E, HS	3.751	2.898	153.3
E, LS	3.656	2.814	151.1
F, HS	3.779	2.915	155.3
F, LS	3.690	2.835	153.4

See Fig. 8 for definition of the contacts. M=S for D and M=C for E and F.

presence of a notable dissymmetry at the molecular and intermolecular levels. This asymmetry is probably responsible for the small cooperativity of this material.

3.3. Structure-properties correlation

3.3.1. Hydrogen bonds and cooperativity

It has been previously shown in the [Fe(PM- $L_{2}(NCS)_{2}$ series that the cooperativity is directly connected with the hydrogen-like contact involving the S atoms [11]. Let us recall that cooperative systems lead to abrupt spin transition and poor cooperative ones lead to smooth spin transition. We defined the width of the magnetic transition as the temperature gap needed for a given complex to undergo a thermal transition from 80% HS to 80% LS directly calculated from SQUID magnetic curves. The smaller the width the more cooperative is the system. In the case of [Fe(PM-L)₂(NCS)₂] this width linearly decreases as the shortest intermolecular S…C distance in HS increases, showing a direct connection between one well-identified intermolecular interaction and the magnetic properties.

Present data for $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$ do not fit this previously drawn structure—property correlation (Fig. 9). The shortest S…C contact appears shorter than the one expected for the observed magnetic curve. One of the reasons is certainly that other intermolecular contacts, especially the ones corresponding to $\pi-\pi$ interactions, are longer for this complex than for the other one, which, in a sense, compensates the short S…C contact. Another reason is probably the unusual dissymmetry of the intermolecular interactions, including the S…C ones, observed for $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$.

3.3.2. Octahedron distortion and $T_{1/2}$

In general, FeN_6 octahedron goes from a trigonal prism in HS to a regular octahedron in LS [10,23].



Fig. 9. Plot of the width of the magnetic transition as a function of the shortest $S \cdots C$ intermolecular contacts in $[Fe(PM-L)_2(NCS)_2]$ complexes. The former comes from SQUID measurements and the latter are issued from the X-ray crystal structures. Triangles are for the already studied and reported complexes [11] and the circle shows the $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$ complex.

The temperatures of spin crossover are directly influenced by the distortion of the metal coordination sphere [12]. In particular, the temperature of the thermal transition, $T_{1/2}$, decreases almost linearly when the distortion of the FeN₆ octahedron increases. The distortion used to account for this structure—property feature has been defined as the sum of the deviations from 60° of the 24 possible trigonal twist angles and denoted Θ . The variation of this angle from HS to LS, denoted $\Delta\Theta$, is then directly connected to the $T_{1/2}$ value (Fig. 10).

In the case of [Fe(PM–NEA)₂(NCS)₂], the HS and LS Θ values lay in the range expected for iron complexes (Table 3). The $\Delta\Theta$ value perfectly fits the previous correlation (Fig. 10). This clearly confirms that the temperature of the spin crossover is mainly connected to the metal

 $\begin{array}{c} 260 \\ 240 \\ 220 \\ \end{array}$

Fig. 10. Plot of the temperature of spin crossover, $T_{1/2}$, as a function of the FeN₆ octahedron trigonal distortion parameter $\Delta\Theta$ for the already studied [Fe(PM-L)₂(NCS)₂] complexes (triangle) [12] and the [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂] complex (circle).

coordination geometry since notable differences in the crystal packing have not affected the correlation between $T_{1/2}$ and the distortion of the FeN₆ octahedron.

4. Conclusion

In this work, the structural, the magnetic and the photomagnetic properties of a new member of the [Fe(PM-L)₂(NCS)₂] spin crossover series, namely [Fe(PM-NEA)₂(NCS)₂], have been presented. The title complex shows a thermal spin crossover at $T_{1/2} =$ 204 K, with a smooth feature and no hysteresis. The latter is coherent with the relatively low cooperative character shown by the crystal packing, which originates in a strong dissymmetry of the intermolecular interactions due to the naphthalene rings. Furthermore, structure-property correlations confirm the direct dependence of $T_{1/2}$ on the distortion of the metal coordination sphere from HS to LS; the higher the octahedron distortion the lower the $T_{1/2}$. Elsewhere, an LIESST effect has been evidenced by means of diffuse reflectivity and carefully described in bulk condition with SQUID measurements, the T(LIESST) value being estimated to be 58 K. The corresponding HS-to-LS relaxation has been fitted with a stretched exponential behaviour, as expected from the small cooperativity of the system.

The $[Fe(PM-NEA)_2(NCS)_2]$ complex was initially designed to obtain a stronger cooperative behaviour than in the previously reported complexes of $[Fe(PM-L)_2(NCS)_2]$ series. If spin thermal and photo-induced spin crossover has indeed been observed, the cooperative character appears, however, very low in comparison to what was expected. This result illustrates the difficulty in designing efficient spin crossover materials even though a rational approach, using structure—property relationship for instance, is applied to achieve the design of materials with spin crossover properties à *la carte* (i.e. under request), which was one of the dreams of Prof. Olivier Kahn to the memory of whom this article is dedicated.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the bilateral DFG/ CNRS action, the ANR Fast-switch (NT05-3_45333) and the Aquitaine Region for supporting the platform of photomagnetism.

References

 See for general reviews: (a) P. Gütlich, H.A. Goodwin (Eds.), Spin Crossover in Transition Metal Compounds, Topics in Current Chemistry, vols. 233–235, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2004;

(b) P. Gütlich, A. Hauser, H. Spiering, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 33 (1994) 2024;

(c) P. Gütlich, Y. Garcia, T. Woike, Coord. Chem. Rev. 219–221 (2001) 839;

(d) J.-F. Létard, P. Guionneau, L. Goux-Capes, Top. Curr. Chem. 235 (2004) 221.

- [2] (a) O. Kahn, J. Kröber, C. Jay, Adv. Mater. 4 (1992) 718;
 (b) J. Kröber, J.P. Audière, R. Claude, E. Codjovi, O. Kahn, J.G. Haasnoot, F. Grolière, C. Jay, A. Bousseksou, J. Linarès, F. Varret, A. Gonthier-Vassal, Chem. Mater. 6 (1994) 1404;
 (c) L.G. Lavrenova, V.N. Ikorskii, V.A. Varnek, I.M. Oglezneva, S.V. Larionov, Polyhedron 14 (1995) 1333.
- [3] (a) J.-F. Létard, P. Guionneau, E. Codjovi, O. Lavastre, G. Bravic, D. Chasseau, O. Kahn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 10861;
 (b) J.-F. Létard, P. Guionneau, L. Rabardel, J.A.K. Howard, A.E. Goeta, D. Chasseau, O. Kahn, Inorg. Chem. 37 (1998) 4432.
- [4] Z.J. Zhong, J.Q. Tao, C.Y. Dum, Y.J. Liu, X.Z. You, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1998) 327.
- [5] P. Guionneau, J.-F. Létard, D.S. Yufit, D. Chasseau, G. Bravic, A. Goeta, J.A.K. Howard, O. Kahn, J. Mater. Chem. 9 (1999) 985.
- [6] (a) M. Marchivie, P. Guionneau, J.-F. Létard, D. Chasseau, J.A.K. Howard, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 65 (2004) 17;
 (b) P. Guionneau, F. Le Gac, S. Lakhloufi, A. Kaiba, D. Chasseau, J.-F. Létard, P. Négrier, D. Mondieig, J.A.K. Howard, J.M. Léger, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 (2007) 32611.
- [7] (a) P. Guionneau, C. Brigouleix, Y. Barrans, A. Goeta, J.-F. Létard, J.A.K. Howard, J. Gaultier, D. Chasseau, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. II C 4 (2001) 161;
 (b) V. Legrand, F. Le Gac, P. Guionneau, J.-F. Létard, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 41 (2008) 1.
- [8] K. Ichiyanagi, J. Hebert, L. Toupet, H. Cailleau, P. Guionneau, J.-F. Létard, E. Collet, Phys. Rev. B 73 (2006) 060408.
- [9] (a) P. Guionneau, M. Marchivie, G. Bravic, J.-F. Létard, D. Chasseau, J. Mater. Chem. 12 (2002) 2546;
 (b) F. Le Gac, P. Guionneau, J.-F. Létard, P. Rosa, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., in press.
- [10] P. Guionneau, M. Marchivie, G. Bravic, J.-F. Létard, D. Chasseau, Top. Curr. Chem. 234 (2004) 97.
- [11] M. Marchivie, P. Guionneau, J.-F. Létard, D. Chasseau, Acta Crystallogr. B59 (2003) 479.
- [12] M. Marchivie, P. Guionneau, J.-F. Létard, D. Chasseau, Acta Crystallogr. B61 (2005) 25.
- [13] (a) A.E. Stiegman, E. Graham, K.J. Perry, L.R. Khundkar, L.-T. Cheng, J.W. Perry, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 (1991) 7658;
 (b) O. Lavastre, S. Cabioch, P.H. Dixneuf, J. Vohlidal, Tet-
- rahedron 53 (1997) 7195. [14] A.P. Melissanis, M.H. Litt, J. Org. Chem. 59 (1994) 5818.
- [15] (a) J.-F. Létard, P. Guionneau, O. Nguyen, J.S. Costa, S. Marcen,
 G. Chastanet, M. Marchivie, L. Capes, Chem. Eur. J. 11 (2005) 4582;
 (b) J.-F. Létard, J. Mater. Chem. 16 (2006) 2550.
- [16] G.M. Sheldrick, Programs for Crystal Structure Analysis (Release 97-2), Institüt für Anorganische Chemie der Universität, Tammanstrasse 4, D-3400 Göttingen, Germany, 1998.
- [17] L.J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 32 (1999) 837.

- [18] A. Hauser, J. Adler, P. Gütlich, Chem. Phys. Lett. 152 (1988) 468.
- [19] J.-F. Létard, O. Nguyen, H. Soyer, C. Mingotaud, P. Delhaès, O. Kahn, Inorg. Chem. 38 (1999) 3020.
 [20] (2) I.S. Curte C. Palda C. Cacharama D. Davara A. Wattiana
- [20] (a) J.S. Costa, C. Balde, C. Carbonera, D. Denux, A. Wattiaux, C. Desplanches, J.P. Ader, P. Gütlich, J.-F. Létard, Inorg. Chem. 46 (2007) 4114;
 - (b) C. Enachescu, J. Linarès, F. Varret, K. Boukheddaden,

E. Codjovi, Gawali S. Salunke, R. Mukherjee, Inorg. Chem. 43 (2004) 4880.

- [21] J.-F. Létard, G. Chastanet, O. Nguyen, S. Marcén, M. Marchivie, P. Guionneau, D. Chasseau, P. Gütlich, Monatsh. Chem. 134 (2003) 165.
- [22] E. König, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 35 (1987) 52.
- [23] S. Alvarez, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 (2003) 6795.