

Asymptotic stability and blow up for a semilinear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions.

Stéphane Gerbi, Belkacem Said-Houari

▶ To cite this version:

Stéphane Gerbi, Belkacem Said-Houari. Asymptotic stability and blow up for a semilinear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, 2011, 74 (18), pp.7137-7150. 10.1016/j.na.2011.07.026. hal-00339258v3

HAL Id: hal-00339258 https://hal.science/hal-00339258v3

Submitted on 16 Jul 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Asymptotic stability and blow up for a semilinear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions.

S. Gerbi^{*1} and B. Said-Houari^{†2}

¹Laboratoire de Mathématiques, UMR 5127 - CNRS and Université de Savoie,
 73376 Le Bourget-du-Lac Cedex, France.
 ²Division of Mathematical and Computer Sciences and Engineering,
 King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

In this paper we consider a multi-dimensional wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions, related to the Kelvin-Voigt damping. Global existence and asymptotic stability of solutions starting in a stable set are proved. Blow up for solutions of the problem with linear dynamic boundary conditions with initial data in the unstable set is also obtained.

Keywords: Damped wave equations, stable and unstable set, global solutions, blow up, Kelvin-Voigt damping, dynamic boundary conditions.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the following semilinear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \alpha \Delta u_t = |u|^{p-2}u, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0 \\ u(x,t) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_0, \ t > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x,t) = -a \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x,t) + \frac{\alpha \partial u_t}{\partial \nu}(x,t) + r|u_t|^{m-2}u_t(x,t) \right] & x \in \Gamma_1, \ t > 0 \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \ u_t(x,0) = u_1(x) & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where u=u(x,t), $t\geq 0$, $x\in \Omega$, Δ denotes the Laplacian operator with respect to the x variable, Ω is a regular and bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $(N\geq 1)$, $\partial\Omega=\Gamma_0\cup\Gamma_1$, $mes(\Gamma_0)>0$, $\Gamma_0\cap\Gamma_1=\varnothing$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}$ denotes the unit outer normal derivative, $m\geq 2$, a, α and r are positive constants, p>2 and u_0 , u_1 are given functions. For the sake of simplicity, in this paper we consider the problem (1) where we have set a=1. From the mathematical point of view, these problems do not neglect acceleration terms on the boundary. Such type of boundary conditions are usually called *dynamic boundary conditions*. They are not only important from the theoretical point of view but also arise in numerous practical problems. For instance in one space dimension, the problem (1) can modelize the dynamic evolution of a viscoelastic rod that is fixed at one end and has a tip mass attached to its free end. The dynamic boundary conditions represent the Newton's law for the attached mass, (see [3, 1, 6] for more details). In the two dimension space, as showed in [30] and in the references therein, these boundary conditions arise when we consider the transverse motion of a flexible membrane Ω whose boundary may be affected by the vibrations only in a region. Also some dynamic boundary conditions as in problem (1) appear when we assume that Ω is an exterior domain of

^{*}stephane.gerbi@univ-savoie.fr

[†]saidhouarib@yahoo.fr

 \mathbb{R}^3 in which homogeneous fluid is at rest except for sound waves. Each point of the boundary is subjected to small normal displacements into the obstacle (see [2] for more details). This type of dynamic boundary conditions are known as acoustic boundary conditions. More results on the wave equations with acoustic boundary conditions can be found in [9].

Before state and prove our results, let us first recall some works related to the problem we address.

Among the early results dealing with this type of boundary conditions are those of Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen [13, 14] in which the author has made contributions to this field.

In [13] the author introduced a model which describes the damped longitudinal vibrations of a homogeneous flexible horizontal rod of length L when the end x = 0 is rigidly fixed while the other end x = L is free to move with an attached load. This yields to a following systems of partial differential equations:

$$\begin{cases}
 u_{tt} - u_{xx} - u_{txx} = 0, & x \in (0, L), t > 0 \\
 u(0, t) = u_t(0, t) = 0, & t > 0 \\
 u_{tt}(L, t) = -\left[u_x + u_{tx}\right](L, t), & t > 0 \\
 u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = v_0(x) & x \in (0, L) \\
 u(L, 0) = \eta, & u_t(L, 0) = \mu.
\end{cases}$$
(2)

By rewriting problem (2) within the framework of the abstract theories of the so-called *B*-evolution theory, an existence of a unique solution in the strong sense has been shown. An exponential decay result was also shown in [14] for a problem related to (2), which describe the weakly damped vibrations of an extensible beam. See [14] for more details.

Subsequently, Zang and Hu [35], considered the problem

$$\begin{cases}
 u_{tt} - p(u_x)_{xt} - q(u_x)_x = 0, & x \in (0,1), t > 0, \\
 u(0,t) = 0, & t \ge 0 \\
 (p(u_x)_t + q(u_x)(1,t) + ku_{tt}(1,t)) = 0, & t \ge 0, \\
 u(x,0) = u_0(x), & u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in (0,1).
\end{cases}$$
(3)

By using the Nakao inequality, and under appropriate conditions on p and q, they established both an exponential and polynomial decay rates for the energy depending on the form of the terms p and q. It is clear that in the absence of the source term $|u|^{p-2}u$ and for r=0, problem (2) is the one dimensional model of (1). Similarly, in the case where the source term vanishes identically and for $r \neq 0$, m=2 and p=2, Pellicer and Solà-Morales [28] considered the one dimensional problem as an alternative model for the classical spring-mass damper system, and by using the dominant eigenvalues method, they showed that the large time behavior of the solutions of problem (1) is the same as for a classical spring-mass damper ODE, namely:

$$m_1 u''(t) + d_1 u'(t) + k_1 u(t) = 0,$$
 (4)

when a tends to zero, where the parameters m_1 , d_1 and k_1 are determined from the values of the spring-mass damper system.

Thus, the asymptotic stability of the model for small values of a has been determined as a consequence of this limit. But they did not obtain any rate of convergence. This result was followed by recent works [27, 29]. In [29], a continuous model for a spring-mass-damper system has been treated, where possible differences in the internal deformation of the spring are considered. More precisely, they investigated the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} - \alpha u_{txx} = 0, & x \in (0,1), t > 0 \\ u(0,t) = 0, & t > 0 \\ u_{tt}(1,t) = -\varepsilon \left[u_x + \alpha u_{tx} + ru_t \right] (1,t), & t > 0 . \end{cases}$$
(5)

By using the spectral analysis approach, they showed that for different values of the parameter α , the limit behaviors are very different from the classical ODE (4). While in [27] the author considered a one dimensional

nonlocal nonlinear strongly damped wave equation with dynamical boundary conditions. In other words, they looked to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases}
 u_{tt} - u_{xx} - \alpha u_{txx} + \varepsilon f\left(u(1,t), \frac{u_t(1,t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) = 0, \\
 u(0,t) = 0, \\
 u_{tt}(1,t) = -\varepsilon \left[u_x + \alpha u_{tx} + ru_t\right](1,t) - \varepsilon f\left(u(1,t), \frac{u_t(1,t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right),
\end{cases}$$
(6)

with $x \in (0,1)$, t > 0, $r, \alpha > 0$ and $\varepsilon \ge 0$. The above system modelises a spring-mass-damper system, where the term $\varepsilon f\left(u(1,t),\frac{u_t(1,t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)$ represents a control acceleration at x=1. By using the invariant manifold theory, the authors proved that for small values of the parameter ε , the solutions of (6) are attracted to a two dimensional invariant manifold. See [29], for further details.

We recall that the presence of the strong damping term $-\Delta u_t$ in the problem (1) makes the problem different from that considered in [11] and widely studied in the literature [34, 31, 32, 10, 33] for instance. For this reason less results were known for the wave equation with a strong damping and many problems remained unsolved. Especially the blow-up of solutions in the presence of a strong damping and a nonlinear boundary damping at the same time is still an open problem. In [12], the present authors showed that the solution of (1) is unbounded and grows up exponentially when time goes to infinity if the initial data are large enough. Recently, Gazzola and Squassina [10] studied the global solution and the finite time blow-up for a damped semilinear wave equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions by a careful study of the stationary solutions and their stability using the Nehari manifold and a mountain pass energy level of the initial condition.

The main difficulty of the problem considered is related to the non ordinary boundary conditions defined on Γ_1 . Very little attention has been paid to this type of boundary conditions. We mention only a few particular results in the one dimensional space and for a linear damping i.e. (m = 2) [16, 28, 7, 18]. A problem related to (1) is the following:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + g(u_t) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + K(u)u_{tt} + h(u_t) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$(7)$$

where f = f(x,t) and the boundary term $h(u_t) = |u_t|^{\rho} u_t$ arises when one studies flows of gas in a channel with porous walls. The term u_{tt} on the boundary appears from the internal forces, and the nonlinearity $K(u)u_{tt}$ on the boundary represents the internal forces when the density of the medium depends on the displacement. This problem has been studied in [7], in the one dimensional case and in [8] for N-dimensional with $N \geq 1$. By using the Faedo-Galerkin approximations and a compactness argument, they proved the global existence of the solution. Also, the exponential decay of the total energy of problem (7) has been proved under the condition f = 0.

Most of the above mentioned papers only treat particular cases of problem (1). The aim of our previous paper [12] and of this paper is to apply known methods in order to investigate the more general problem (1). Recently, the present authors studied problem (1) in [12]. A local existence result was obtained by combining the Faedo-Galerkin method with the contraction mapping theorem. Concerning the asymptotic behavior, the authors showed that the solution of such problem is unbounded and grows up exponentially when time goes to infinity if the initial data are large enough and the damping term is nonlinear (i.e. m > 2).

As we have said before, our problem (1) can be seen as a model which describe the interaction between an elastic medium and a rigid mass. So, it seems more convenient to recall some results related to the interaction of an elastic medium with rigid mass. By using the classical semigroup theory, Littman and Markus [22] established a uniqueness result for a particular Euler-Bernoulli beam rigid body structure. They also proved the asymptotic stability of the structure by using the feedback boundary damping. In [23] the authors considered the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation which describes the dynamics of clamped elastic beam in

which one segment of the beam is made with viscoelastic material and the other of elastic material. By combining the frequency domain method with the multiplier technique, they proved the exponential decay for the transversal motion but not for the longitudinal motion of the model, when the Kelvin-Voigt damping is distributed only on a subinterval of the domain. In relation with this point, see also the work by Chen et al. [5] concerning the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation with the global or local Kelvin-Voigt damping. Also models of vibrating strings with local viscoelasticity and Boltzmann damping, instead of the Kelvin-Voigt one, were considered in [24] and an exponential energy decay rate was established. Recently, Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen [15] considered an extensible thermo-elastic beam which is hanged at one end with rigid body attached to its free end, i.e. one dimensional hybrid thermoelastic structure, and showed that the method used in [25] is still valid to establish an uniform stabilization of the system. Concerning the controllability of the hybrid system we refer to the work by Castro and Zuazua [4], in which they considered flexible beams connected by point mass and the model takes account of the rotational inertia.

In this paper we consider the problem (1) and we will show that if the initial data are in the "stable set", the solution continues to live there forever. In addition, we will prove that the presence of the strong damping forces the solution to go to zero uniformly and with an exponential decay rate, even if the boundary damping is nonlinear i.e. m > 2. To obtain our results we combine the potential well method with the energy method. We will also proved that in the absence of the nonlinearity in the boundary term (that is, in the case where m = 2), the solution blows up in finite time.

Let us now give a short summary of the content of this paper. In section 2, after having stated the local existence and uniqueness theorem obtained by the authors in [12], we will prove that if the initial data are in the stable manifold, the solution continues to live there forever and so we will prove the global existence and the exponential decay of the solution.

In section 3, we prove the blow up result of the problem (1), in the case of a linear boundary damping (that is, m = 2), in spite of the presence of the strong damping term $\triangle u_t$. The technique we use follows closely the method used in [10], which is based on the concavity argument due to Levine [19]. Let us mention, that despite the methods used here are well-known tools to prove the global existence, exponential decay and blow of solution, therefore, the main novelty of the work presented in this paper is that we will use these techniques to study the asymptotic behavior of the semilinear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions. To our knowledge, this has not been done before and this is the first paper dealing with the asymptotic behavior of such problem.

2 Asymptotic stability

In this section, we will first recall the local existence and the uniqueness result of the solution of the problem (1) proved in [12]. Then we state and prove the global existence and exponential decay of the solution of problem (1). In order to do this, a suitable choice of the Lyapunov functional will be made.

Let us first present some material that we shall use later in this paper. We denote

$$H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega)/\ u_{\Gamma_0} = 0 \right\}.$$

By (.,.) we denote the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$ i.e. $(u,v)(t)=\int_{\Omega}u(x,t)v(x,t)dx$. Also we mean by $\|.\|_q$ the $L^q(\Omega)$ norm for $1\leq q\leq \infty$, and by $\|.\|_{q,\Gamma_1}$ the $L^q(\Gamma_1)$ norm. Let us denote for $v\in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)$

$$||v||_*^2 = \alpha ||v||_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + r||\nabla v||_2^2$$
(8)

Let T > 0 be a real number and X a Banach space endowed with norm $\|.\|_X$. $L^p(0,T;X)$, $1 \le p < \infty$ denotes the space of functions f which are L^p over (0,T) with values in X, which are measurable and $\|f\|_X \in L^p(0,T)$. This space is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$||f||_{L^p(0,T;X)} = \left(\int_0^T ||f||_X^p dt\right)^{1/p}.$$

 $L^{\infty}(0,T;X)$ denotes the space of functions $f:]0,T[\to X$ which are measurable and $||f||_X \in L^{\infty}(0,T)$. This space is a Banach space endowed with the norm:

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;X)} = \operatorname{ess} \sup_{0 < t < T} ||f||_{X}.$$

We recall that if X and Y are two Banach spaces such that $X \hookrightarrow Y$ (continuous embedding), then

$$L^{p}(0,T;X) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(0,T;Y), 1 \le p \le \infty.$$

We define the critical Sobolev exponent for the trace functional space by:

$$\bar{q} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}, & \text{if } N \ge 3\\ +\infty, & \text{if } N = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Let us define the space Y_T as:

$$Y_T = \left\{ (v, v_t) : v \in C\left([0, T], H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^1\left([0, T], L^2(\Omega)\right), \\ v_t \in L^2\left(0, T; H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^m\left((0, T) \times \Gamma_1\right) \right\}$$

endowed with the norm:

$$\|(v, v_t)\|_{Y_T}^2 = \max_{0 \le t \le T} \left[\|v_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \right] + \|v_t\|_{L^m((0,T) \times \Gamma_1)}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla v_t(s)\|_2^2 ds.$$

For $m \leq \bar{q}$, from Poincaré's inequality, the continuity of the trace operator on Γ_1 and Sobolev imbedding this norm is equivalent to:

$$||u|| = \max_{0 \le t \le T} [||\nabla u||_2 + ||u_t||_2].$$
(10)

In this work, we will deal with the weak solution of the problem (1), consequently, we use the same definition as in [12].

Definition 2.1. A function u(x,t) defined on $\Omega \times [0,T]$, such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u & \in & L^{\infty}\left(0,T;H_{\Gamma_{0}}^{1}(\Omega)\right)\;,\\ u_{t} & \in & L^{2}\left(0,T;H_{\Gamma_{0}}^{1}(\Omega)\right)\cap L^{m}\left((0,T)\times\Gamma_{1}\right)\;,\\ u_{t} & \in & L^{\infty}\left(0,T;H_{\Gamma_{0}}^{1}(\Omega)\right)\cap L^{\infty}\left(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma_{1})\right)\;,\\ u_{tt} & \in & L^{\infty}\left(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\cap L^{\infty}\left(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma_{1})\right)\;,\\ u(x,0) & = & u_{0}(x)\;,\\ u_{t}(x,0) & = & u_{1}(x)\;, \end{array}$$

is a generalized solution to the problem (1) if for any function $\omega \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \cap L^m(\Gamma_1)$ and $\varphi \in C^1(0,T)$ with $\varphi(T) = 0$, we have the following identity:

$$\int_{0}^{T} (|u|^{p-2}u, w)(t) \varphi(t) dt = \int_{0}^{T} \left[(u_{tt}, w)(t) + (\nabla u, \nabla w)(t) + \alpha(\nabla u_{t}, \nabla w)(t) \right] \varphi(t) dt + \int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \left[u_{tt}(t) + r|u_{t}(t)|^{m-2}u_{t}(t) \right] w d\sigma dt.$$

Theorem 2.1. [12] Let $2 \le p \le \bar{q} \ and \max \left(2, \frac{\bar{q}}{\bar{q} + 1 - p} \right) \le m \le \bar{q}$.

Then given $u_0 \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists T > 0 and a unique solution u of the problem (1) on [0,T) such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u & \in & C\Big([0,T],H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)\Big) \cap C^1\Big([0,T],L^2(\Omega)\Big), \\[1mm] u_t & \in & L^2\Big(0,T;H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)\Big) \cap L^m\Big((0,T) \times \Gamma_1\Big) \end{array}$$

We proved this theorem by using the Faedo-Galerkin approximations and the well-known contraction mapping theorem.

Definition 2.2. Let $2 \le p \le \bar{q}$, $\max\left(2, \frac{\bar{q}}{\bar{q}+1-p}\right) \le m \le \bar{q}$, $u_0 \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$. We denote by u the solution of (1). We define:

$$T_{max} = \sup \Big\{ T > 0 , u = u(t) \text{ exists on } [0, T] \Big\}$$

Since the solution $u \in Y_T$ (the solution is "regular enough"), from the definition of the norm given by (10), let us recall that if $T_{max} < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{\substack{t \to T_{max} \\ t < T_{max}}} \|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u_t\|_2 = +\infty.$$

If $T_{max} < \infty$, we say that the solution of (1) blows up and that T_{max} is the blow up time. If $T_{max} = \infty$, we say that the solution of (1) is global.

In order to study the blow up phenomenon or the global existence of the solution of (1), and following [10], we define the functions $I, J: H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$\begin{split} I(u) &= & \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \|u\|_p^p \;, \\ J(u) &= & \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_p^p \;. \end{split}$$

For a given function $u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)$, when we will use the evaluation of the above functions at a time $0 \le t < T_{max}$, for the sake of simplicity, we will write:

$$I(t) = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} - \|u(t)\|_{p}^{p}, \qquad (11)$$

$$J(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{p} \|u(t)\|_{p}^{p}.$$
(12)

We then define the energy of a solution u of (1) as:

$$E(t) = J(t) + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \quad \forall 0 \le t < T_{max}$$
(13)

As in [12], multiplying the first equation in (1) by u_t and integrating over Ω and with respect to t, we obtain the following energy identity:

$$E(t) - E(s) = -\int_{s}^{t} \|u(\tau)\|_{*}^{2} d\tau, \quad \forall \, 0 \le s \le t < T_{max}.$$
(14)

Thus the function E is decreasing along the trajectories.

As in [26], the potential well depth is defined as:

$$d = \inf_{u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{\lambda \ge 0} J(\lambda u). \tag{15}$$

We can now define the so called "Nehari manifold" as follows:

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}; \ I(u) = 0 \right\}.$$

 \mathcal{N} separates the two unbounded sets:

$$\mathcal{N}^+ = \left\{ u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega); \ I(u) > 0 \right\} \cup \{0\} \ \text{ and } \ \mathcal{N}^- = \left\{ u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega); I(u) < 0 \right\}.$$

The stable set W and unstable set U are defined respectively as:

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega); J(u) \le d \right\} \cap \mathcal{N}^+ \text{ and } \mathcal{U} = \left\{ u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega); J(u) \le d \right\} \cap \mathcal{N}^-.$$

It is readily seen that the potential depth d is also characterized by (see [10])

$$d = \min_{u \in \mathcal{N}} J(u). \tag{16}$$

As it was remarked by Gazzola and Squassina in [10], this alternative characterization of d shows that

$$\beta = \text{dist}(0, \mathcal{N}) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{N}} \|\nabla u\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2dp}{p-2}} > 0.$$
 (17)

In Lemma 2.1, we would like to prove that if the initial datum u_0 is in the set \mathcal{N}^+ and if the initial energy E(0) is not large (we will precise exactly how large may be the initial energy), then u(t) stays in \mathcal{N}^+ , for each $t \in [0, T)$, where u(t) is the solution of (1) obtained in Theorem 2.1.

For this purpose, as in [10, 34], we denote by C_* the best constant in the Poincaré-Sobolev embedding $H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ defined by:

$$C_*^{-1} = \inf \left\{ \|\nabla u\|_2 : u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega), \|u\|_p = 1 \right\}.$$
(18)

Let us denote the Sobolev critical exponent:

$$\bar{p} = \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2}, & \text{if } N \ge 3\\ +\infty, & \text{if } N = 1, 2 \end{cases}.$$

Let us remark (as in [10, 34]) that if $p < \bar{p}$ the previous embedding is compact and the infimum in (18) (as well as in (15)) is attained. In such case (see, e.g. [26, Section 3]), any mountain pass solution of the stationary problem is a minimizer for (18) and C_* is related to its energy:

$$d = \frac{p-2}{2p} C_*^{-2p/(p-2)}. (19)$$

Let us remark also that in the Theorem 2.1, we have supposed that $p < \bar{q}$ where \bar{q} is defined by (9). As $\bar{q} < \bar{p}$, we may use the above characterization of the potential well depth d.

We can now proceed in the global existence result investigation. For this sake, let us state three lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Assume
$$2 \le p \le \bar{q}$$
 and $\max\left(2, \frac{\bar{q}}{\bar{q}+1-p}\right) \le m \le \bar{q}$.

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$, $u_0 \neq 0$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, assume that E(0) < d. Let us define u the solution of problem (1) in the sense of the Defintion 2.1. Then $u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{N}^+$ for each $t \in [0, T_{max})$.

Remark 2.1. Let us remark, that if there exists $\overline{t} \in [0, T_{max})$ such that

$$E(\overline{t}) < d$$
 and $u(\overline{t}) \in \mathcal{N}^+$

the same result stays true. It is the reason why we choose $\overline{t} = 0$.

Moreover, one can easily see that, from (19), the condition E(0) < d is equivalent to the inequality:

$$C_*^p \left(\frac{2p}{p-2}E(0)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} < 1$$
 (20)

This last inequality will be used in the remaining proofs.

Proof. Since $I(u_0) > 0$, then by continuity, there exists $T_* \leq T_{max}$ such that $I(u(t, .)) \geq 0$, for all $t \in [0, T_*)$. Since we have the relation:

$$J(t) = \frac{p-2}{2p} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{p} I(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*)$$

we easily obtain:

$$J(t) \ge \frac{p-2}{2p} \|\nabla u\|_2^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*).$$

Hence we have:

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \le \frac{2p}{p-2}J(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*).$$

From (12) and (13), we obviously have $J(t) \leq E(t), \forall t \in [0, T_*)$. Thus we obtain:

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \le \frac{2p}{p-2}E(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*).$$

Since E is a decreasing function of t, we finally have:

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \le \frac{2p}{p-2}E(0), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*).$$
 (21)

By definition of C_* , we have:

$$||u||_p^p \le C_*^p ||\nabla u||_2^p = C_*^p ||\nabla u||_2^{p-2} ||\nabla u||_2^2$$
.

Using the inequality (21), we deduce:

$$||u||_p^p \le C_*^p \left(\frac{2p}{p-2}E(0)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} ||\nabla u||_2^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*).$$
 (22)

Now exploiting the inequality on the initial condition (20) we obtain:

$$||u||_p^p < ||\nabla u||_2^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*).$$

Hence $\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \|u\|_p^p > 0$, $\forall t \in [0, T_*)$. This shows that $u(t, .) \in \mathcal{N}^+$, $\forall t \in [0, T_*)$. Since the energy E is decreasing along trajectories, we have the following inequality:

$$\lim_{t \to T_*} C_*^p \left[\frac{2p}{p-2} E(t) \right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \leq C_*^p \left[\frac{2p}{p-2} E(0) \right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} < 1,$$

Thus by repeating this procedure, T_* is extended to T_{max} .

Lemma 2.2. Assume $2 \le p \le \bar{q}$ and $\max\left(2, \frac{\bar{q}}{\bar{q}+1-p}\right) \le m \le \bar{q}$.

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$, $u_0 \neq 0$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, assume that E(0) < d. Then the solution of the problem (1) in the sense of the Definition 2.1 is global in time.

Proof. Since the map $t \mapsto E(t)$ is a non increasing function of time t, and using the relation (21), we have:

$$E(0) \ge E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \frac{(p-2)}{2p} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{p} I(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{max}).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we know that $u(t, .) \in \mathcal{N}^+$ for all $t \in (0, T]$. Hence,

$$E(0) \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{(p-2)}{2p} \|\nabla u\|_2^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{max}).$$

Thus, $\forall t \in [0, T_{max})$, the norm $\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u_t\|_2$ is uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on E(0) and p. Then by Definition 2.2, the solution is global, that is $T_{max} = \infty$.

The following Lemma is crucial in the proof of our result. A similar one (but for a different problem) was introduced in [17].

Lemma 2.3. For every solution of (1), given by Theorem 2.1, only one of the following assumption holds:

- (i) if there exists some $\overline{t} \geq 0$ such that $u(\overline{t}) \in \mathcal{W}$ and $E(\overline{t}) < d$, then $u(t) \in \mathcal{W}$ and E(t) < d, $\forall t \geq \overline{t}$.
- (ii) if there exists some $\overline{t} > 0$ such that $u(\overline{t}) \in \mathcal{U}$ and $E(\overline{t}) < d$, then $u(t) \in \mathcal{U}$ and E(t) < d, $\forall t > \overline{t}$.
- (iii) $E(t) \ge d, \forall t \ge 0.$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\overline{t} = 0$ and all along the paper, we suppose that $u_0 \neq 0$. Let us first prove (i). Indeed, exploiting inequality (14), we deduce that the energy functional is a non-increasing function and consequently, E(t) < d, for all $t \in [0, T_{max})$. Therefore (13) implies that J(t) < d for all $t \in [0, T_{max})$. This together with Lemma 2.1 gives (i).

Secondly, let us prove (ii). Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that E(0) < d. Then (14) implies that

$$E(t) \le E(0) < d, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{max}).$$

Next, let us assume by contradiction that there exists $\hat{t} \in [0, T_{max})$ such that $u(\hat{t}) \notin \mathcal{U}$ and by continuity $I(u(\hat{t})) = 0$. This implies that $u(\hat{t}) \in \mathcal{N}$. Now using (16), we get $J(u(\hat{t})) \geq d$. This cannot be true since J(u(t)) < d, for all $t \in [0, T_{max})$. Consequently, (ii) holds.

The assertion (iii) is always true if (i) and (ii) are false. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

We can now state the asymptotic behavior of the solution of problem (1).

Theorem 2.2. Assume $2 \leq p \leq \bar{q}$ and $\max\left(2, \frac{\bar{q}}{\bar{q}+1-p}\right) \leq m \leq \bar{q}$. Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Moreover, assume that E(0) < d. Then there exist two positive constants \widehat{C} and ξ independent of t such that:

$$0 < E(t) \le \widehat{C}e^{-\xi t}, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

Remark 2.2. Let us remark that these inequalities imply that there exist positive constants K and ζ independent of t such that:

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 \le Ke^{-\zeta t}, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

Thus, this result improves the decay rate of Gazzola and Squassina [10, Theorem 3.8] (although the problem investigated by the two authors is slightly different), in which they showed only the polynomial decay of the wave equation with strong damping and Dirichlet boundary conditions on the whole boundary of the domain. Here we show that for any initial data satisfying $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and verify the inequality (20), the solution can decay faster than 1/t, in fact with an exponential rate, even in the case m > 2. Also, by adapting the following proof in the spirit of the work done by Gazzola and Squassina in [10], we can show an exponential decay rate even in the absence of the strong damping ($\alpha = 0$) and m = 2.

Proof. Since $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and E(0) < d, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we already have $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^+$ for all $t \geq 0$. So we firstly get:

$$0 < E(t), \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

The proof of the other inequality relies on the construction of a Lyapunov functional by performing a suitable modification of the energy. To this end, for $\varepsilon > 0$, to be chosen later, we define for $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$,

$$\forall t \ge 0 , \ L(t) = E(t) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_1} u u_t d\sigma + \frac{\varepsilon \alpha}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$
 (23)

Let us see that we have: for all $t \geq 0$

$$|L(t) - E(t)| = \left| \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_1} u u_t d\sigma + \frac{\varepsilon \alpha}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right|.$$

Since we have proved in Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 that for all $t \ge 0$ I(t) > 0 and $\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u_t\|_2$ is uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on E(0) and p, using Young's inequalities on the two integral terms and then Poincaré's inequality, there exists a constant C > 0 such that:

$$\left| \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_1} u u_t d\sigma + \frac{\varepsilon \alpha}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right| \le C \varepsilon E(t).$$

Consequently, from the above two inequalities, we have

$$(1 - C\varepsilon)E(t) \le L(t) \le (1 + C\varepsilon)E(t), \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

It is clear that for ε sufficiently small, we can find two positive constants β_1 and β_2 such that

$$\beta_1 E(t) \le L(t) \le \beta_2 E(t), \qquad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (24)

By taking the time derivative of the function L defined above in equation (23), using problem (1) and formula (14), and performing several integration by parts, we get:

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} = -\alpha \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 - r\|u_t\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m + \varepsilon \|u_t\|_2^2 - \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2
+ \varepsilon \|u\|_p^p + \varepsilon \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \varepsilon r \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t|^{m-2} u_t u d\sigma.$$
(25)

Now, we estimate the last term in the right hand side of (25) as follows. By using Young's inequality, we obtain, for any $\delta > 0$

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t|^{m-2} u_t u d\sigma \right| \le \frac{\delta^{-m}}{m} \|u\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m + \frac{m-1}{m} \delta^{m/(m-1)} \|u_t\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m. \tag{26}$$

The trace inequality implies that:

$$||u||_{m,\Gamma_1}^m \leq C||\nabla u||_2^m$$
,

where C here and in the sequel denotes a generic positive constant which might change from line to line. Since the inequality (21) holds, we have

$$||u||_{m,\Gamma_1}^m \le C \left(\frac{2 p E(0)}{p-2}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} ||\nabla u||_2^2.$$
 (27)

Inserting the two inequalities (26) and (27) in (25) and using (22), we have:

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \leq -\alpha \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 + r \left(\varepsilon \frac{m-1}{m} \delta^{m/(m-2)} - 1\right) \|u_t\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m \\
+ \varepsilon \|u_t\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \\
+ \varepsilon \left(\frac{r\delta^{-m}}{m} C\left(\frac{2pE(0)}{p-2}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} + \underbrace{C_*^p \left(\frac{2p}{(p-2)}E(0)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - 1}_{<0}\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2. \tag{28}$$

From (20), we have

$$C_*^p \left(\frac{2p}{(p-2)}E(0)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - 1 < 0.$$

Now, let us choose δ large enough such that:

$$\left(\frac{r\delta^{-m}}{m}C\left(\frac{2\,p\,E(0)}{p-2}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} + C_*^p\left(\frac{2p}{p-2}E(0)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - 1\right) < 0.$$

Once δ is fixed, we fix ε small enough such that:

$$\left(\varepsilon \frac{m-1}{m} \delta^{m/(m-2)} - 1\right) < 0.$$

From (28), we may find $\eta > 0$, which depends only on δ , such that:

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \le -\alpha \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|u_t\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \varepsilon \eta \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

Consequently, using the definition of the energy (13), for any positive constant M, which will be chosen below, we obtain:

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \leq -M\varepsilon E(t) + \varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{M}{2}\right) \|u_t\|_2^2 - \alpha \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{M\varepsilon}{2} + \varepsilon\right) \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{M}{2} - \eta\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$
(29)

By using the Poincaré inequality and the trace inequality

$$||u_t||_2^2 \le C||\nabla u_t||_2^2$$

$$||u_t||_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \le C||\nabla u_t||_2^2,$$

choosing again ε small enough and $M \leq 2\eta$, from (29), we have:

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \le -M\varepsilon E(t), \qquad \forall t \ge 0.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (24), setting $\xi = M\varepsilon/\beta_2$, the last inequality becomes:

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \le -\xi L(t) , \qquad \forall t \ge 0. \tag{30}$$

Integrating the previous differential inequality (30) between 0 and t gives the following estimate for the function L:

$$L(t) \le Ce^{-\xi t}$$
, $\forall t \ge 0$.

Consequently, by using (24) once again, we conclude

$$E(t) \leq \widehat{C} e^{-\xi t} \;, \qquad \forall t \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Remark 2.3. In [12], we have proved the following result:

Theorem 2.3. Assume $2 \le p \le \bar{q}$ and m < p. Let $u_0 \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Suppose that

$$E(0) < d \text{ and } \|\nabla u_0\|_2 > C_*^{-p/(p-2)}.$$

Then the solution of problem (1) growths exponentially in the L^p norm.

The present result on the asymptotic stability completes the above result on the exponential growth since when $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$, we have: $\|\nabla u_0\|_2 \leq C_*^{-p/(p-2)}$.

Indeed, since d is the mountain pass level of the function J, we have $J(u_0) \leq d$. From (21), this writes:

$$J(u_0) = \frac{p-2}{2p} \|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{p} I(0) \le d$$

Since $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$, we have I(0) > 0 and consequently,

$$\frac{p-2}{2p} \|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 \le d.$$

Using identity (19), we get finally $\|\nabla u_0\|_2 \leq C_*^{-p/p-2}$.

3 Blow up

In this section we consider the problem (1) in the linear boundary damping case (i.e. m=2) and we show that if for some $\overline{t} \in [0, T_{max})$, $u(\overline{t}) \in \mathcal{U}$ and $E(\overline{t}) \leq d$ then the solution of (1) blows up in finite time. Our result reads as follows:

Theorem 3.1. Assume $2 \le p \le \bar{q}$ and m = 2. Let u be the solution of (1) on $[0, T_{max})$. Then $T_{max} < \infty$ if and only if there exists $\bar{t} \in [0, T_{max})$ such that:

$$u(\overline{t}) \in \mathcal{U} \quad and \quad E(\overline{t}) \le d.$$
 (31)

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\overline{t} = 0$.

Let us suppose that $u(0) \in \mathcal{U}$ and $E(0) \leq d$. We will prove that $T_{max} < \infty$ by contradiction. We will suppose that the solution is global "in time" and we will use the concavity argument due to Levine [19, 20] where the basic idea of this method is to construct a positive functional $\theta(t)$ of the solution and show that for some $\gamma > 0$, the function $\theta^{-\gamma}(t)$ is a positive concave function of t. Thus it will exist T^* such that $\lim_{t \to T^*} \theta^{-\gamma}(t) = 0$. From the construction of the function θ , this will imply that:

$$\lim_{\substack{t \to T^* \\ t < T^*}} \|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u_t\|_2 = +\infty.$$

In order to find such γ , we will verify that:

$$\frac{d^2\theta^{-\gamma}(t)}{dt^2} = -\gamma\theta^{-\gamma-2}(t) \left[\theta\theta'' - (1+\gamma)\theta'^2(t)\right] \le 0, \qquad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (32)

Thus it suffices to prove that $\theta(t)$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$\theta \theta'' - (1 + \gamma) \theta'^{2}(t) \ge 0, \qquad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (33)

From Lemma 2.3, we firstly have:

$$E(t) \le d \text{ and } u(t) \in \mathcal{U}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{max}).$$

It is clear that \mathcal{N} can be seen as a set which separate the two sets \mathcal{N}^+ and \mathcal{N}^- in $H^1_{\Gamma_0}$. From the definition (15) of the potential well depth d, for $u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, we have:

$$d \le \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} J(\lambda u) = \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|_2^{2p}}{\|u\|_p^{2p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-2)}}.$$
 (34)

On the other hand, since $\forall t \in [0, T_{max}), u \in \mathcal{N}^-$, we have:

$$\forall t \in [0, T_{max}), I(t) < 0$$

This inequality gives naturally $\forall t \in [0, T_{max}), \|\nabla u\|_2^2 < \|u\|_p^p$. Therefore, using this last inequality, the inequality (34) becomes:

$$\forall t \in [0, T_{max}), d < \frac{p-2}{2p} \|\nabla u\|_2^2,$$

which will be used as:

$$\frac{2dp}{p-2} < \|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}, \qquad \forall t \in [0, T_{max}) . \tag{35}$$

Assume by contradiction that the solution u is global "in time". Then for any T > 0, let us define the functional θ as follows

$$\theta(t) = \|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \|u(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{2}^{2} ds + r \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} ds + (T - t) \left[\alpha \|\nabla u_{0}\|_{2}^{2} + r \|u_{0}\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right], \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

$$(36)$$

Taking the time derivative of (36) we have:

$$\theta'(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx + 2 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t u d\sigma + 2\alpha \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_t dx ds + 2r \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t u d\sigma ds.$$
(37)

Replacing u_{tt} by its expression given by problem (1) and using Green's formula (see [21]), the function θ' is differentiable and we have:

$$\theta''(t) = 2 \left[\|u_t(t)\|_2^2 - \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p + \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \right].$$

Therefore, using the definition of θ given by (36), we can easily see that:

$$\theta(t)\theta''(t) - \frac{p+2}{4}\theta'(t)^{2} = 2\theta(t) \left[\|u_{t}(t)\|_{2}^{2} - \|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \|u\|_{p}^{p} + \|u_{t}(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} \right]$$

$$- (p+2) \left[\theta(t) - (T-t) \left[\alpha \|\nabla u_{0}\|_{2}^{2} + r \|u_{0}\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} \right] \right]$$

$$\times \left[\|u_{t}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \|u_{t}(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u_{t}(t)\|_{2}^{2} ds + r \int_{0}^{t} \|u_{t}(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} ds \right]$$

$$+ (p+2) \eta(t)$$

$$(38)$$

where the function η is defined by:

$$\eta(t) = \left[\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \|u(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} ds + r \int_{0}^{t} \|u(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} ds \right]
\times \left[\|u_{t}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \|u_{t}(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u_{t}(t)\|_{2}^{2} ds + r \int_{0}^{t} \|u_{t}(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} ds \right]
- \left[\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u dx + \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u_{t} u d\sigma + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} dx ds + r \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u_{t} u d\sigma ds \right]^{2}.$$
(39)

Our purpose now is to show that the right hand side of the equality (38) is non negative. Let us firstly show that $\eta(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in [0, T)$. To do this, we estimate all the terms in the third line of (39) making use

of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and compare the results with the terms in the first and second line in (39). For instance, when we develop the square term in the inequality (39), we estimate the terms as follows:

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u dx\right)^{2} \leq \|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \|u_{t}(t)\|_{2}^{2} \text{ and}$$

$$2 \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u dx \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u_{t} u d\sigma \leq \|u(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} \|u_{t}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \|u_{t}(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} \|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}.$$

Also, the following estimate holds:

$$2\alpha \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_t dx ds \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx \leq \alpha \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds$$
$$+\alpha \|u(t)\|_2^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u_t(s)\|_2^2 ds.$$

By carrying "carefully" all computations based on the same estimates as above, we finally obtain

$$\eta(t) \ge 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

Consequently, the equality (38) becomes

$$\theta(t)\theta^{"}(t) - \frac{p+2}{4}\theta^{'}(t)^{2} \ge \theta(t)\zeta(t), \quad \forall t \in [0,T).$$

where

$$\zeta(t) = 2 \left[\|u_t(t)\|_2^2 - \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p + \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \right]$$

$$-(p+2) \left\{ \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \right.$$

$$+ \alpha \int_0^t \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_2^2 ds + r \int_0^t \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 ds \right\}.$$

Let us remark that

$$\zeta(t) = -2pE(t) + (p-2)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} - (p+2)\alpha \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u_{t}(s)\|_{2}^{2} ds$$
$$-(p+2)r \int_{0}^{t} \|u_{t}(s)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} ds.$$

From the equality (14), we have:

$$E(t) + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u_{t}(s)\|_{2}^{2} ds + r \int_{0}^{t} \|u_{t}(s)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} ds = E(0), \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

$$(40)$$

Thus we can write:

$$\zeta(t) = -2pE(0) + (p-2)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$+ (p-2)\alpha \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u_{t}(s)\|_{2}^{2} ds + (p-2)r \int_{0}^{t} \|u_{t}(s)\|_{2,\Gamma_{1}}^{2} ds.$$

Therefore, by using (35) and since $E(0) \leq d$ we have:

$$\zeta(t) > 2p(d - E(0)) + (p - 2)\alpha \int_0^t \|\nabla u_t(s)\|_2^2 ds + (p - 2)r \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 ds
\geq (p - 2)\alpha \int_0^t \|\nabla u_t(s)\|_2^2 ds + (p - 2)r \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 ds.$$

Hence, there exist $t_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\zeta(t) \ge \delta, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, T) .$$

Also, since $\theta(t)$ is continuous and positive, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\theta(t) \ge \rho, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, T) .$$

Consequently,

$$\theta(t)\theta''(t) - \frac{p+2}{4}\theta'(t)^2 \ge \rho\delta, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, T) .$$

Setting

$$\gamma = \frac{p-2}{4} > 0,$$

the differential inequality (33) is verified on $[t_0, T)$. This proves that $\theta(t)^{-\gamma}$ reaches 0 in finite time, say as $t \to T^*$. Since T^* is independent of the initial choice of T, we may assume that $T^* < T$. This tells us that:

$$\lim_{t \to T^*} \theta(t) = +\infty.$$

From Poincaré's inequality and the continuity of the trace operator on Γ_1 , by the equation (36) defining θ , this implies that:

$$\lim_{\substack{t \to T^* \\ t < T^*}} \|\nabla u\|_2 = +\infty.$$

Thus we cannot suppose that the solution of (1) with m=2 is global "in time", that is $T_{max} < \infty$. Conversely, let us suppose that $T_{max} < \infty$. We want to show that there exists $\overline{t} \in [0, T_{max})$ such that:

$$u(\overline{t}) \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } E(\overline{t}) \leq d.$$

Notice first that, for every $0 < t < T_{max}$, by Hölder's inequality, there holds

$$\int_0^t \|u_t(\tau)\|_*^2 d\tau \ge \frac{1}{t} \left(\int_0^t \|u_t(\tau)\|_* d\tau \right)^2.$$

Thus since

$$\int_0^t \|u_t(\tau)\|_* d\tau \ge \left\| \int_0^t u_t(\tau) d\tau \right\|_* \ge \left| \|u(t)\|_* - \|u(0)\|_* \right|,$$

we have:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|u_{t}(\tau)\|_{*}^{2} d\tau \ge \frac{1}{t} \Big(\|u(t)\|_{*} - \|u(0)\|_{*} \Big)^{2} . \tag{41}$$

By the help of (40) and (41), we thus have:

$$E(t) \le E(0) - \frac{1}{t} \Big(\|u(t)\|_* - \|u(0)\|_* \Big)^2. \tag{42}$$

To prove that the conditions (31) are necessary, we will adapt the study of the dynamics of the waves equation performed by J. Esquivel-Avila in [17].

We proceed by contradiction and we assume that for all $t \geq 0$, $u(t) \notin \mathcal{U}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we have either:

- i) $u(t) \in \mathcal{W}$ and E(t) < d or
- ii) $E(t) \ge d$

In the first case, Lemma 2.2 implies that the solution is global in time. This is not possible since we assumed that $T_{max} < \infty$. In the second case by using (42) we get

$$\left(\|u(t)\|_* - \|u(0)\|_*\right)^2 \le t\left(E(0) - d\right) \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{max}).$$

Thus for any time $T \in [0, T_{max})$ there exists a constant C(T) such that

$$||u(T)||_* \le C(T).$$
 (43)

On the other hand, from Definition 2.2 and if $T_{max} < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{t \to T_{max}} \left(\left\| u_t \left(t \right) \right\|_2^2 + \left\| \nabla u \left(t \right) \right\|_2^2 \right) = +\infty .$$

Since the energy E is decreasing along trajectories, we have the inequality:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \right) \le E(u(0)) + \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_p^p,$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{\substack{t \to T_{max} \\ t < T_{max}}} ||u(t)||_p = +\infty. \tag{44}$$

Combining (44) with the Poincaré inequality, we deduce that for every M > E(0), there exists $\hat{t} > 0$ such that

$$M < \frac{p-2}{2p} \|u(\hat{t})\|_p^2 \le \frac{p-2}{2p} \|\nabla u(\hat{t})\|_2^2.$$
(45)

This inequality is exactly the same as inequality (35), where d is replaced by M. Consequently following the proof of the sufficiency part of the theorem, by replacing d by M and by defining the same function θ but for $t \in [\hat{t}, T_{max})$, we deduce that the solution blows up in finite time $T^* \in (\hat{t}, T_{max})$. Thus we obtain:

$$\lim_{\substack{t \to T_* \\ t < T_*}} \|\nabla u\|_2 = +\infty.$$

and this contradicts (43).

Remark 3.1. The term $f(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$ is clearly responsible for the blow up situation. It is often called the "blow up term". Consequently when f(u) = 0, or $f(u) = -|u|^{p-2}u$ any solution with arbitrary initial data is global in time and the result of Theorem 3.1 holds without condition (20).

Remark 3.2. It's early well known ([19, 20]) that this blow up result appears for solutions with large initial data i.e. E(0) < 0. We note here that if E(0) < 0, then the blow up conditions (31) hold.

Acknowledgments. The second author was supported by MIRA 2007 project of the Région Rhône-Alpes. This author wishes to thank Univ. de Savoie of Chambéry for its kind hospitality. Moreover, the two authors wish to thank deeply the referees for their useful remarks and their careful reading of the proofs presented in this paper.

References

- [1] K. T. Andrews, K. L. Kuttler, and M. Shillor. Second order evolution equations with dynamic boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 197(3):781–795, 1996.
- [2] J. T. Beale. Spectral properties of an acoustic boundary condition. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 25(9):895–917, 1976.

- [3] B. M. Budak, A. A. Samarskii, and A. N. Tikhonov. A collection of problems on mathematical physics. Translated by A. R. M. Robson. The Macmillan Co., New York, 1964.
- [4] C. Castro and E. Zuazua. Boundary controllability of a hybrid system consisting in two flexible beams connected by a point mass. SIAM J. Control Optimization, 36(5):1576–1595, 1998.
- [5] S. Chen, K. Liu, and Z. Liu. Spectrum and stability for elastic systems with global or local Kelvin-Voigt damping. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 59(2):651–668, 1999.
- [6] F. Conrad and O. Morgül. On the stabilization of a flexible beam with a tip mass. SIAM J. Control Optim., 36(6):1962–1986 (electronic), 1998.
- [7] G. G. Doronin and N. A. Larkin. Global solvability for the quasilinear damped wave equation with nonlinear second-order boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.*, Theory Methods Appl., 8:1119–1134, 2002.
- [8] G. G. Doronin, N. A. Larkin, and A.J. Souza. A hyperbolic problem with nonlinear second-order boundary damping. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 1998, paper 28,1-10, 1998.
- [9] C. L. Frota and J. A. Goldstein. Some nonlinear wave equations with acoustic boundary conditions. J. Differential Equations, 164:92–109, 2000.
- [10] F. Gazzola and M. Squassina. Global solutions and finite time blow up for damped semilinear wave equations. *Ann. I. H. Poincaré*, 23:185–207, 2006.
- [11] V. Georgiev and G. Todorova. Existence of a solution of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms. *J. Differential Equations*, 109(2):295–308, 1994.
- [12] S. Gerbi and B. Said-Houari. Local existence and exponential growth for a semilinear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions. *Advances in Differential Equations*, 13(11-12):1051–1074, 2008.
- [13] M. Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen. On fractional powers of a closed pair of operators and a damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions. *Appl. Anal.*, 53(1-2):41–54, 1994.
- [14] M. Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen. On the initial-boundary-value problem for the extensible beam with attached load. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 19(12):943–957, 1996.
- [15] M. Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen. Uniform stabilization of a one-dimensional hybrid thermo-elastic structure. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 26(14):1223–1240, 2003.
- [16] M. Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen and A. Van Der Merwe. Boundary stabilization for the extensible beam with attached load. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 9(3):379–394, 1999.
- [17] J. Esquivel-Avila. The dynamics of nonlinear wave equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 279:135–150, 2003.
- [18] M. Kirane. Blow-up for some equations with semilinear dynamical boundary conditions of parabolic and hyperbolic type. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 21(2):221–229, 1992.
- [19] H. A. Levine. Instability and nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations of the form $Pu_{tt} = -Au + \mathcal{F}(u)$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 192:1–21, 1974.
- [20] H. A. Levine. Some additional remarks on the nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 5:138–146, 1974.
- [21] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes. Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications. Vol. 1, 2. Dunod, Paris, 1968.

- [22] W. Littman and L. Markus. Stabilization of a hybrid system of elasticity by feedback boundary damping. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., IV. Ser.*, 152:281–330, 1988.
- [23] K. Liu and Z. Liu. Exponential decay of energy of the Euler-Bernoulli beam with locally distributed Kelvin-Voigt damping. SIAM J. Control Optimization, 36(3):1086–1098, 1998.
- [24] K. Liu and Z. Liu. Exponential decay of energy of vibrating strings with local viscoelasticity. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 53(2):265–280, 2002.
- [25] K. Ono. On global existence, asymptotic stability and blowing up of solutions for some degenerate nonlinear wave equations of Kirchhoff type with a strong dissipation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 20(2):151–177, 1997.
- [26] L. E. Payne and D. H. Sattinger. Saddle points and instability of nonlinear hyperbolic equations. Israel J. Math., 22(3-4):273-303, 1975.
- [27] M. Pellicer. Large time dynamics of a nonlinear spring-mass-damper model. *Nonlin. Anal.*, 69(1):3110–3127, 2008.
- [28] M. Pellicer and J. Solà-Morales. Analysis of a viscoelastic spring-mass model. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 294(2):687–698, 2004.
- [29] M. Pellicer and J. Solà-Morales. Spectral analysis and limit behaviours in a spring-mass system. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 7(3):563–577, 2008.
- [30] G. Ruiz Goldstein. Derivation and physical interpretation of general boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 11(4):457–480, 2006.
- [31] G. Todorova. Cauchy problem for a non-linear wave with non-linear damping and source terms. C. R. Acad Sci. Paris Ser., 326(1):191–196, 1998.
- [32] G. Todorova. Stable and unstable sets for the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 239:213–226, 1999.
- [33] G. Todorova and E. Vitillaro. Blow-up for nonlinear dissipative wave equations in \mathbb{R}^n . J. Math. Anal. Appl., 303(1):242-257, 2005.
- [34] E. Vitillaro. Global nonexistence theorems for a class of evolution equations with dissipation. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 149(2):155–182, 1999.
- [35] H. Zhang and Q. Hu. Energy decay for a nonlinear viscoelastic rod equations with dynamic boundary conditions. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 30(3):249–256, 2007.