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#### Abstract

We give by simple arguments sufficient conditions, so called Lyapunov conditions, for Talagrand's transportation information inequality and for the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. Those sufficient conditions work even in the case where the Bakry-Emery curvature is not lower bounded. Several new examples are provided.
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## 1. Introduction and main results.

Transportation cost information inequalities have been recently deeply studied, especially for their connection with the concentration of measure phenomenon, or for deviation inequalities for Markov processes (see [24, 22]). In particular, Talagrand [29] establishes the so-called $T_{2}$ inequality (or Talagrand's transportation inequality, or $W_{2} H$ inequality) for the Gaussian measure, establishing thus Gaussian dimension free concentration of measure. But before going further in the numerous results around these inequalities, let us present the object under study.
Given a metric space ( $E, d$ ) equipped with its Borel $\sigma$ field, and $1 \leq p<+\infty$, the $L^{p}$ Wasserstein distance between two probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on $E$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p}(\mu, \nu):=\left(\inf _{\pi} \int_{E \times E} d^{p}(x, y) \pi(d x, d y)\right)^{1 / p} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum runs over all coupling $\pi$ of ( $\mu, \nu$ ), see Villani 31] for an extensive study of such quantities.
A probability measure $\mu$ is then said to satisfy the transportation-entropy inequality $W_{p} H(C)$, where $C>0$ is some constant, if for all probability measure $\nu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p}(\nu, \mu) \leq \sqrt{2 C H(\nu \mid \mu)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $H(\nu \mid \mu)$ is the Kullback-Leibler information, or relative entropy, of $\nu$ with respect to $\mu$ :

$$
H(\nu \mid \mu):= \begin{cases}\int \log \left(\frac{d \nu}{d \mu}\right) d \nu & \text { if } \nu \ll \mu  \tag{1.3}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Marton (25] has first shown how $W_{1} H$ inequality implies Gaussian concentration of measure and Talagrand, via a tensorization argument, established that the standard Gaussian measure, in any dimension, satisfies $W_{2} H(C)$ with the sharp constant $C=1$.
However, if $W_{1} H$ is completely characterized via a practical Gaussian integrability criterion (see [14, 9]), $W_{2} H$ is much more difficult to describe. Nevertheless several equivalent beautiful conditions are known.

Theorem 1.4. The following conditions are equivalent
(1) $\mu$ satisfies $W_{2} H(C)$ for some constant $C>0$.
(2) For any bounded and measurable function $f$ with $\mu(f)=0$, defining the inf-convolution

$$
Q f(x)=\inf _{y \in E}\left\{f(y)+d^{2}(x, y)\right\}
$$

we have

$$
\int e^{\frac{1}{2 C} Q f} d \mu \leq 1
$$

(3) There exist $a, r_{0}, b$ such that for all $n$ all measurable $A \subset E^{n}$, with $\mu^{\otimes n}(A) \geq 1 / 2$, the probability measure $\mu^{\otimes n}$ satisfies

$$
\mu^{\otimes n}\left(A^{r}\right) \geq 1-b e^{-a\left(r-r_{0}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $A^{r}=\left\{x \in E^{n} ; \exists y \in A, \sum_{1}^{n} d^{2}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \leq r^{2}\right\}$.
$(1) \Leftrightarrow(2)$ was proved in the seminal paper by Bobkov-Götze [8], and $(1) \Leftrightarrow(3)$ very recently by Gozlan [20]. Hence we have the beautiful characterization, $W_{2} H$ is nothing else than a dimension free Gaussian concentration for the product measure. Note also that GozlanLéonard 21] established another criterion as a large deviation upper bound. One point is however important to remark: if these various characterizations have nice implications (concentration, deviation,...), it is rather difficult to directly use them to prove a $W_{2} H$ inequality.
The first step towards practical criterion was done by Otto-Villani 28], soon followed by Bobkov-Gentil-Ledoux [7], who established that if $\mu$ satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality, then $\mu$ satisfies $W_{2} H$ (note that many explicit sufficient conditions for log-Sobolev inequalities are now known). To be more precise, let us present our framework.
Throughout this paper $E$ is a complete and connected Riemannian manifold of finite dimension, $d$ the geodesic distance, and $d x$ the volume measure. $\mu(d x)=e^{-V(x)} d x / Z$ is the Boltzmann measure with $V \in C^{2}$ and $Z=\int e^{-V} d x<+\infty$. If the logarithmic Sobolev inequality $L S I(C)$ is verified, i.e. for all locally lispchitz $g$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}\left(g^{2}\right):=\int g^{2} \log \left(\frac{g^{2}}{\int g^{2} d \mu}\right) d \mu \leq 2 C \int|\nabla g|^{2} d \mu \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mu$ satisfies also $W_{2} H(C)$. The proof of Otto-Villani [28] relies on a dynamical approach, namely to derive the Wasserstein distance between $\nu_{t}$ and $\nu_{t+s}$ when $\nu_{t}$ is the dynamical
transport leading from $\nu$ to $\mu$, whereas Bobkov-Gentil-Ledoux [7] apply the hypercontractivity of the Hamilton semigroup, leading to an Herbst's like argument to derive $W_{2} H$.
It is only a few years ago that the two first authors 13] succeeded in proving that $W_{2} H$ is strictly weaker than $L S I$, providing an example in one dimension of a measure (with unbounded curvature) satisfying $W_{2} H$ but not $L S I$. Their method is a refinement of the argument of Bobkov-Gentil-Ledoux [7]: indeed, a full $L S I$ is too strong to give $W_{2} H$, a $L S I$ for a restricted class of functions is sufficient. They were however only able to give an explicit sufficient condition in dimension one for this restricted inequality. We will give here a Lyapunov condition ensuring that this restricted logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds, and thus $W_{2} H$ too. We will also show that if the Bakry-Emery curvature Ric $+H e s s_{V}$ is lower bounded then the same condition implies $L S I$.
Consider the $\mu$-symmetric operator $\mathcal{L}=\Delta-\nabla V$. $\nabla$ on E. A Lyapunov condition is of the form: there exists $W \geq 1$ and $r, b>0$ such that for some positive function $\phi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} W \leq-\phi W+b \mathbb{I}_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such Lyapunov conditions have been used a lot both in discrete and continuous time case to study the speed of convergence towards the invariant measure of the associated semigroup under various norms, see [27, 16, 15]. The deep connection between such conditions and various form of functional inequalities have been recently studied by the authors (and coauthors). For example, if $\phi$ is constant, it is shown in [6] that the Lyapunov condition implies both a Poincaré inequality and a Cheeger inequality (with some slight additional assumptions on $W)$. If $\phi:=\phi(W)$ and $\phi$ is sub-linear then optimal weak Poincaré or isoperimetric inequalities can be established, see [3, 11]. Finally if $\phi:=\phi(W)$ is super-linear, then it is shown to imply super Poincaré inequalities [12], and thus various $F$-Sobolev inequalities including logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.
Their implications in transportation cost inequalities were up to now not explored. It is the purpose of this short note.
Here is our main result:
Theorem 1.9. Let $\mu$ be a Boltzmann measure.

1) Suppose that there exists a $C^{2}$-function $W: E \rightarrow\left[1, \infty\left[\right.\right.$, some point $x_{0}$ and constants $b, c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} W \leq\left(-c d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+b\right) W, x \in E \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or more generally there exists some nonnegative locally Lipschitzian function $U(=\log W)$ such that in the distribution sense (see the remark below),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} U+|\nabla U|^{2} \leq-c d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+b \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $W_{2} H(C)$ holds for some constant $C>0$.
2) Under the Lyapunov condition (1.10), suppose moreover that Hess(V)+Ric $\geq$ KId for some $K \leq 0$ (in the sense of matrix). Then the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (1.7) holds.

Remark 1.12. (1) In both cases, it is of course possible to track all the constants involved to get an upper bound of the constant of $W_{2} H(C)$ inequality and of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, as will be seen from the proof. One will also remark that contrary to [3, 11, 12], we will not use localization technique, constants are thus easier to derive.
(2) If $U=\log W \in C^{2}$, then $\mathcal{L} U+|\nabla U|^{2}=-\mathcal{L} W / W$ so that (1.10) and (1.11) are equivalent. The condition (1.11) in the distribution sense means that for any $h \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(E)$ (the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support) such that $h \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left(\mathcal{L} U+|\nabla U|^{2}\right) h d x & :=\int U \Delta h d x+\int\left(-\nabla V \cdot \nabla U+|\nabla U|^{2}\right) h d x \\
& \leq \int\left(-c d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+b\right) h d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) The Lyapunov condition (1.10) implies that there exists $r_{0}>0$ and $b^{\prime}, \lambda>0$, such that

$$
\mathcal{L} W \leq-\lambda W+b^{\prime} \mathbb{I}_{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)}
$$

so that, by [6], $\mu$ satisfies a Poincaré inequality.
This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we present several corollaries and examples for showing the usefulness and sharpness of the Lyapunov condition (1.11). The very simple proof of Theorem 1.9 is given in Section 3. And in the last section we combine the above-tangent lemma and the Lyapunov function method to yield the LSI in the unbounded curvature case.

## 2. Corollaries and examples

Some practical conditions. From Theorem 1.9, one easily deduces
Corollary 2.1. Suppose that $\mu$ is a Boltzmann measure on $E=\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $x \cdot y$ and $|x|=\sqrt{x \cdot x}$ be the Euclidean inner product and norm, respectively.

1) If one of the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists a<1, R, c>0, \text { such that if }|x|>R, \quad(1-a)|\nabla V|^{2}-\Delta V \geq c|x|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists R, c>0, \text { such that } \forall|x|>R, \quad x \cdot \nabla V(x) \geq c|x|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, then $W_{2} H$ holds.
2) Under the same conditions, suppose moreover that $H e s s(V) \geq K I d$ then a logarithmic Sobolev inequality (LSI in short) holds.

Proof. Under (2.2), one takes $W=e^{a V}$; and under (2.3) one choose $W=e^{a|x|^{2}}$ with $0<a<$ $c / 2$. One sees that condition $(\overline{1.10})$ is satisfied in both case.

Remark 2.4. (1) Condition (2.2) is of course reminiscent to the Kusuoka-Stroock condition for logarithmic Sobolev inequality (replace $d^{2}$ by $V$ ). On the real line, it implies the condition of [13, Prop. 5.5].
(2) Gozlan [20, Prop. 3.9 and Theorem 4.8] proves $W_{2} H$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ under the condition

$$
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{1+x_{i}^{2}} \geq c
$$

for some positive $c$, using weighted Poincaré inequality. Note that this condition is in general not comparable to ours, for the terms in the sum can be negative, and also
for we have more freedom with the choice of $a$ (limited to $3 / 4$ in Gozlan's method). Whether this condition can be retrieved from a right choice of $W$ in (1.10) seems unlikely. We will however simply show how to retrieve (and generalize) Gozlan's like conditions in the last section.
(3) Condition (2.3) may also be compared with condition (1.7) in [6]: $x \cdot \nabla V(x) \geq$ $c d\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ which implies Poincaré inequality.

Comparison with Wang's criterion. Wang's criterion for LSI says the following: if $H e s s_{V}+R i c \geq K I d$ with $K \leq 0$ and

$$
\int e^{(|K| / 2+\varepsilon) d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)} d \mu(x)<+\infty
$$

then $\mu=e^{-V} d x / C$ satisfies the LSI. We give now an example for which the previous criterion does not apply, but ours does.

Example 2.5. Let $E=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $V(x, y)=r^{2} g(\theta)$ for all $r:=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \geq 1$ (and $V \in$ $\left.C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$, where $(r, \theta)$ is the polar coordinates system and $g(\theta)=2+\sin (k \theta)\left(k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ for all $\theta \in S^{1} \equiv[0,2 \pi]$. We have for $r>1$,

$$
(x, y) \cdot \nabla V(x, y)=r \partial_{r} V=2 r^{2} g(\theta) \geq 2 r^{2}
$$

i.e., the condition (2.3) is satisfied. Moreover $\mathrm{Hess}_{V}$ is bounded. Thus by Corollary 2.1, $\mu=e^{-V} d x d y / C$ satisfies the LSI.
However Wang's integrability condition is not satisfied for large $k$. Indeed $\Delta V=4 g(\theta)+$ $g^{\prime \prime}(\theta)=8+\left(4-k^{2}\right) \sin \theta$, then the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ of $H e s s_{V}$ satisfies

$$
\lambda_{\min } \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\text { Hess }_{V}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \Delta V=4+\left(2-k^{2} / 2\right) \sin (k \theta)
$$

Then the largest constant $K$ so that $H e s s_{V} \geq K I d$ in the case $k \geq 2$ satisfies

$$
K \leq 6-k^{2} / 2
$$

When $k \geq 4, K / 2 \leq 3-k^{2} / 4 \leq-1$ and Wang's integrability condition is not satisfied for $\int e^{r^{2}} d \mu=+\infty$. In other words Wang's criteria does not apply for this example once $k \geq 4$.

Riemannian manifold with unbounded curvature. Let $E$ be a $d$-dimensional ( $d \geq 2$ ) connected complete Riemannian manifold with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{x} \geq-\left(c+\sigma^{2} d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right), x \in E \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $c, \sigma>0$, where $x_{0}$ is some fixed point $x_{0}$. Let $V \in C^{2}(E)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla d\left(x, x_{0}\right), \nabla V\right\rangle \geq \delta d\left(x, x_{0}\right)-k \text { outside of } \operatorname{cut}\left(x_{0}\right) \text { for some constants } \delta, k>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\operatorname{cut}\left(x_{0}\right)$ denotes the the cut-locus of $x_{0}$.
Corollary 2.8. Assume (2.6) and (2.7). If $\delta>\sigma \sqrt{d-1}$, then $\mu=e^{-V} d x / C$ satisfies $W_{2} H(C)$.
Remark 2.9. Assume that $H e s s_{V} \geq \delta$. Pick some $x \notin \operatorname{cut}\left(x_{0}\right)$, and denote by $U$ the unit tangent vector along the minimal geodesic $\left(x_{s}\right)_{0 \leq s \leq d\left(x, x_{0}\right)}$ from $x_{0}$ to $x$, we have

$$
\left\langle\nabla d\left(x, x_{0}\right), \nabla V\right\rangle=\langle\nabla V, U\rangle\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{d\left(x, x_{0}\right)} \operatorname{Hess}_{V}(U, U)\left(x_{s}\right) d s \geq \delta d\left(x, x_{0}\right)-c_{1}
$$

So condition (2.7) holds. Furthermore if $\operatorname{Hess}_{V} \geq \delta>(1+\sqrt{2}) \sigma \sqrt{d-1}$, Wang 33] proves the LSI for $\mu$. When $\sigma \sqrt{d-1}<\delta \leq(1+\sqrt{2}) \sigma \sqrt{d-1}$, the LSI is actually unknown. Also see [2] for the Harnack type inequality on this type of manifold.
One main feature of our condition (2.7) is: it demands only on the radial derivative of $V$, NOT on Hess $V$.

Proof. At first we borrow the proof of [33, Lemma 2.1] for controlling $\Delta \rho$ where $\rho(x)=$ $d\left(x, x_{0}\right)$. By (2.6) and the Laplacian comparison theorem, we have for $x \notin \operatorname{cut}\left(x_{0}\right)$ different from $x_{0}$

$$
\Delta \rho \leq \sqrt{\left(c+\sigma^{2} \rho^{2}\right)(d-1)} \operatorname{coth}\left(\rho \sqrt{\left(c+\sigma^{2} \rho^{2}\right) /(d-1)}\right)
$$

Then outside of $\operatorname{cut}\left(x_{0}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \rho^{2} & =2 \rho \Delta \rho+2 \\
& \leq 2 \rho \sqrt{\left(c+\sigma^{2} \rho^{2}\right)(d-1)} \operatorname{coth}\left(\rho \sqrt{\left(c+\sigma^{2} \rho^{2}\right) /(d-1)}\right)+2 \\
& \leq 2 d+2 \rho \sqrt{\left(c+\sigma^{2} \rho^{2}\right)(d-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows by $r \cosh r \leq(1+r) \sinh r(r \geq 0)$. It is well known that $\Delta \rho$ in the distribution sense gives a non-positive measure on $\operatorname{cut}\left(x_{0}\right)$, the above inequality holds in the distribution sense over $E$.
Hence under the condition that $\delta>\sigma \sqrt{d-1}$, for $U=\lambda \rho^{2}$ where $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{2}(\delta-\sigma \sqrt{d-1})$, we have in the sense of distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} U+|\nabla U|^{2} & \leq 2 \lambda\left[2 d+2 \rho \sqrt{\left(c+\sigma^{2} \rho^{2}\right)(d-1)}\right]-2 \lambda \rho\langle\nabla \rho, \nabla V\rangle+4 \lambda^{2} \rho^{2} \\
& \leq-c \rho^{2}+b
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constants $b, c$, i.e. condition (1.11) is satisfied. So the $W_{2} H$ inequality follows by Theorem 1.9(1).

Our condition " $\delta>\sigma \sqrt{d-1}$ " for $W_{2} H$ is sharp as shown by the following example taken from [33].

Example 2.10. Let $E=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ be equipped with the following Riemannian metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+\left(r e^{k r^{2}}\right) d \theta^{2}
$$

under the polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$, where $k>0$ is constant. Then $\operatorname{Ric}_{(r, \theta)}=-4 k-4 k^{2} r^{2}$. Then (2.6) holds with $\sigma=2 k$. Let $V:=\frac{\delta}{2} r^{2}$, which satisfies (2.7). If $\delta>\sigma \sqrt{d-1}=2 k$, we have $W_{2} H$. But if $\delta \leq \sigma \sqrt{d-1}=2 k, e^{-V} d x=r e^{k r^{2}-\delta r^{2} / 2} d r d \theta$ is infinite measure, so that $W_{2} H$ does not hold.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.9

3.1. Several lemmas. As was recalled in a previous remark, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mu$ verifies a Poincaré inequality with constant $C_{P}$, i.e. $\int g^{2} d \mu \leq C_{P} \int|\nabla g|^{2} d \mu$ for all smooth $g$ with $\mu(g)=0$.
We begin with the following

Lemma 3.1. ( $\sqrt[13]{ }$, Theorem 1.13]) If $\mu$ satisfies the Poincaré inequality with constant $C_{P}$, then for all smooth and bounded $g$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}\left(g^{2}\right) \leq 2 C_{P}\left(2 \log 2+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left\|g^{2}\right\|_{\infty}}{\mu\left(g^{2}\right)}\right) \int|\nabla g|^{2} d \mu \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if the preceding restricted logarithmic Sobolev is true then $\mu$ satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant $4 C_{P} \log 2$.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that the following restricted logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds: there exist constants $\eta, C_{\eta}>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}\left(g^{2}\right) \leq 2 C_{\eta} \int|\nabla g|^{2} d \mu
$$

for all smooth and bounded functions $g$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{2} \leq\left(\int g^{2} d \mu\right) e^{2 \eta\left(d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+\int d^{2}\left(y, x_{0}\right) d \mu(y)\right)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mu$ satisfies $W_{2} H(C)$ with $C=\max \left\{C_{\eta} ;(2 \eta)^{-1}\right\}$.
Proof. We recall the (short and simple) proof from 13, Theorem 1.17].
Given a fixed bounded $f$ with $\mu(f)=0$ consider for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, g_{\lambda}^{2}:=e^{\tilde{\eta} Q(\lambda f)}$ where $\tilde{\eta}:=\min \left\{1 /\left(2 C_{\eta}\right) ; \eta\right\} \in(0, \eta]$. By the definition of $Q$ we easily get

$$
Q(\lambda f)(x) \leq \int\left(\lambda f(y)+d^{2}(x, y)\right) d \mu(y) \leq 2 d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+2 \int d^{2}\left(y, x_{0}\right) \mu(d y)
$$

Let $G(\lambda)=\mu\left(g_{\lambda}^{2}\right)$. By Bobkov-Goetze's criterion (Theorem 1.4(2)), if $G(1) \leq 1$ (for all such $f$ ), then $W_{2} H(C)$ holds with $C=1 /(2 \tilde{\eta})=\max \left\{C_{\eta} ;(2 \eta)^{-1}\right\}$. Assume by absurd that $G(1)>1$. Introduce $\lambda_{0}=\inf \{\lambda \in[0,1] ; G(u)>1, \forall u \geq \lambda\}$, and remark that $\lambda_{0}<1$, $G\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=1$ as well as $G(0)=1$ and that $G(\lambda)>1$ as soon as $\left.\left.\lambda \in\right] \lambda_{0}, 1\right]$.
Note at first that if $G(\lambda) \geq 1$ then

$$
g_{\lambda}^{2} \leq e^{2 \tilde{\eta}\left(d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+\int d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) d \mu(x)\right)} \leq G(\lambda) e^{2 \eta\left(d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+\int d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) d \mu(x)\right)}
$$

i.e., $g_{\lambda}$ satisfies condition (3.4). Since $Q_{t} f(x):=\inf _{y \in E}\left(f(y)+\frac{1}{2 t} d^{2}(x, y)\right)$ is the Hopf-Lax solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation: $\partial_{t} Q_{t} f+\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla Q_{t} f\right|^{2}=0(\|)$ and $Q(\lambda f)=\lambda Q_{\lambda / 2} f$, we have

$$
\lambda G^{\prime}(\lambda)=\int g_{\lambda}^{2} \log g_{\lambda}^{2} d \mu-\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}} \int\left|\nabla g_{\lambda}\right|^{2} d \mu
$$

Since $\tilde{\eta}=\min \left\{1 /\left(2 C_{\eta}\right) ; \eta\right\}$, the restricted logarithmic Sobolev inequality in Lemma 3.3 yields for $\left.\lambda \in] \lambda_{0}, 1\right]$

$$
\lambda G^{\prime}(\lambda) \leq G(\lambda) \log G(\lambda)
$$

which is nothing else than the differential inequality $\left(\lambda^{-1} \log G(\lambda)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$. That implies that $\lambda^{-1} \log G(\lambda)$ is nonincreasing so that

$$
\log G(1) \leq \frac{\log \left(G\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)}{\lambda_{0}}
$$

(taken as limit $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log (G(\lambda))}{\lambda}=0$ if $\lambda_{0}=0$ ). It readily implies that $G(1) \leq 1$ which is the Bobkov-Goetze's condition.

Remark 3.5. The fact that the restricted logarithmic Sobolev inequality implies $W_{2} H$ inequality was proven in [13, Th. 1.17]. In addition a Hardy criterion for this inequality on the real line is given in [13, Prop. 5.5].
Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ be the Dirichlet form associated with $\mathcal{L}$ in $L^{2}(\mu)$. It is the closure of $\mathcal{E}(f, g)=$ $\langle-\mathcal{L} f, g\rangle_{L^{2}(\mu)}=\int \nabla f \cdot \nabla g d \mu, f, g \in C_{0}^{\infty}(E)$ by the essential self-adjointness of $\left(\mathcal{L}, C_{0}^{\infty}(E)\right)$.
Lemma 3.6. Let $U$ be a nonnegative locally Lipschitzian function such that $\mathcal{L} U+|\nabla U|^{2} \leq-\phi$ in the distribution sense, where $\phi$ is lower bounded, then for any $g \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \phi g^{2} d \mu \leq \mathcal{E}(g, g) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As $\phi \wedge N$ satisfies also the condition, if (3.7) is true with $\phi \wedge N$, then it is true with $\phi$ by letting $N \rightarrow+\infty$. In other words we can and will assume that $\phi$ is bounded.
One can approach any $g \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E})$ by $\left(g_{n}\right) \subset C_{0}^{\infty}(E): \int\left(g_{n}-g\right)^{2} d \mu+\mathcal{E}\left(g_{n}-g, g_{n}-g\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus is enough to prove (3.7) for $g \in C_{0}^{\infty}(E)$. For $g \in C_{0}^{\infty}(E)$, we have

$$
\int(-\mathcal{L} U) g^{2} d \mu=\int U\left(-\mathcal{L} g^{2}\right) d \mu=\int \nabla U \cdot \nabla\left(g^{2}\right) d \mu
$$

where the first equality comes from the definition of the distribution $-\mathcal{L} U$ and a direct calculus, the second one is true at first for $U \in C_{0}^{\infty}(E)$ and is extended at first to any Lipschitzian $U$ with compact support, then to any locally Lipschitzian $U$.
Thus using $2 g \nabla U \cdot \nabla g \leq|\nabla U|^{2} g^{2}+|\nabla g|^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \phi g^{2} d \mu & \leq \int\left(-\mathcal{L} U-|\nabla U|^{2}\right) g^{2} d \mu \\
& =\int\left(2 g \nabla U \cdot \nabla g-|\nabla U|^{2} g^{2}\right) d \mu \leq \int|\nabla g|^{2} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired result.
We also require the consequence below of the Lyapunov condition (1.11).
Lemma 3.8. If the Lyapunov condition (1.11) holds, then there exist $\delta>0, x_{0} \in E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int e^{\delta d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)} d \mu<\infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Under the condition (1.11), $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies a spectral gap property in $L^{2}(\mu)$ and then by [22], the following $W_{1} I$-inequality holds:

$$
W_{1}^{2}(\nu, \mu) \leq 4 C^{2} I(\nu \mid \mu), \forall \nu \in M_{1}(E)
$$

where

$$
I(\nu \mid \mu):= \begin{cases}\mathcal{E}(\sqrt{h}, \sqrt{h}), & \text { if } \nu=h \mu, \sqrt{h} \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E})  \tag{3.10}\\ +\infty, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

is the so called Fisher information. By [23], the above $W_{1} I$-inequality is stronger than $W_{1} H(C)$, which is equivalent to the gaussian integrability (3.9).

It would be interesting to find a simple or direct argument leading to (3.9).
3.2. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 9}(\mathbf{1})$. Choose $\eta>0$ such that $\eta<\min (1, \delta / 2)$ where $\delta$ comes from the gaussian integrability condition (3.9) which holds by Lemma 3.8. We have only to prove the restricted LSI in Lemma 3.3 under the Lyapunov condition (1.10).
To simplify the notation, define $M=e^{2 \eta \int d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) d \mu(x)}$.
Let $h=g^{2}$ be positive and smooth with $\mu(h)=1$ and $h \leq M e^{2 \eta d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)}$. By Lemma 3.8 and our choice of $\eta, \int h \log h d \mu$ is bounded by some constant, say $c(\eta, \mu)$. Take $K>e$, to be chosen later. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int h \log h d \mu & =\int_{h \leq K} h \log h d \mu+\int_{h>K} h \log h d \mu  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq \int(h \wedge K) \log (h \wedge K) d \mu+(\log M) \int_{h>K} h d \mu+2 \eta \int_{h>K} h d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

As $\int_{h \leq K} h \log h d \mu \geq \int_{h \leq K}(h-1) d \mu \geq-\int_{h>K} h d \mu$, we have

$$
\int h \log h d \mu \geq \int_{h>K} h \log h d \mu-\int_{h>K} h d \mu
$$

It yields

$$
\int_{h>K} h d \mu \leq \frac{1}{\log K} \int_{h>K} h \log h d \mu \leq \frac{1}{\log K}\left(\int h \log h d \mu+\int_{h>K} h d \mu\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{h>K} h d \mu \leq \frac{1}{\log K-1} \int h \log h d \mu \leq \frac{c(\eta, \mu)}{\log K-1} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.12) furnishes an immediate useful bound for the second term in the right hand side of (3.11). Indeed, if $3 \log M \leq \log K-1$ then

$$
\log M \int_{h>K} h d \mu \leq \frac{1}{3} \int h \log h d \mu
$$

Remark also that for $K>e$

$$
1 \geq \int h \wedge K d \mu \geq 1-\frac{c(\eta, \mu)}{\log K-1}
$$

so that for $K$ large enough (independent of $h$ ), $\int h \wedge K d \mu \geq 1 / 2$ and thus by Lemma 3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int(h \wedge K) \log (h \wedge K) d \mu & \leq \int(h \wedge K) \log \left(\frac{h \wedge K}{\int h \wedge K d \mu}\right) d \mu \\
& \leq C_{P}\left(2 \log 2+\frac{1}{2} \log (2 K)\right) \int|\nabla \sqrt{h}|^{2} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

We then only have to bound the last term in (3.11). Unfortunately, we cannot directly apply the Lyapunov condition due to a lack of regularity of $h \mathbb{I}_{h>K}$. So we first regularize this function. To this end, introduce the map $\psi$ with

$$
\psi(u)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leq u \leq \sqrt{K / 2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}-1}(u-\sqrt{K / 2}) & \text { if } \sqrt{K / 2} \leq u \leq \sqrt{K} \\ u & \text { if } \sqrt{K} \leq u\end{cases}
$$

Now using Lyapunov condition (1.11) and Lemma 3.6 (applicable for $\psi(\sqrt{h})=\psi(g)$ is locally
Lipschitzian), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \eta \int_{h>K} h d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) d \mu & \leq 2 \eta \int \psi^{2}(\sqrt{h}) d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{2 \eta}{c} \int \psi^{2}(\sqrt{h})\left[c d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)-b\right] d \mu+\frac{2 \eta b}{c} \int \psi^{2}(\sqrt{h}) d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{2 \eta}{c} \int|\nabla \psi(\sqrt{h})|^{2} d \mu+\frac{2 \eta b}{c} \int \psi^{2}(\sqrt{h}) d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{4 \eta}{c(\sqrt{2}-1)^{2}} \int|\nabla \sqrt{h}|^{2} d \mu+\frac{2 \eta b}{c} \int \psi^{2}(\sqrt{h}) d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\psi^{2}(\sqrt{h}) \leq h 1_{h>K / 2}$, the lat term above can be bounded by $(1 / 3) \int h \log h d \mu$ if $K$ is large enough so that $2 \eta b c^{-1} \leq(\log (K / 2)-1) / 3$, by (3.12).
Plugging all those estimates into (3.11), we obtain the desired restricted LSI.
3.3. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 9}(\mathbf{2})$. Our argument will be a combination of the Lyapunov condition, leading to defective $W_{2} I$ inequality and the HWI inequality of Otto-Villani.
We begin with the following fact ([31, Proposition 7.10]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}^{2}(\nu, \mu) \leq 2\left\|d\left(\cdot, x_{0}\right)^{2}(\nu-\mu)\right\|_{T V} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for every function $g$ with $|g| \leq \phi(x):=c d\left(x, x_{0}\right)^{2}$, we have by (1.11) and Lemma 3.6,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int g d(\nu-\mu) & \leq \nu(\phi)+\mu(\phi) \\
& \leq \int\left(-c d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+b\right) d \nu(x)+\mu(\phi) \\
& \leq I(\nu \mid \mu)+b+\mu(\phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the supremum over all such $g$, we get

$$
\frac{c}{2} W_{2}^{2}(\nu, \mu) \leq c\left\|d\left(\cdot, x_{0}\right)^{2}(\nu-\mu)\right\|_{T V} \leq I(\nu \mid \mu)+b+\mu(\phi)
$$

which yields thanks to (3.13)

$$
W_{2}^{2}(\nu, \mu) \leq \frac{2}{c} I(\nu \mid \mu)+\frac{2}{c}[b+\mu(\phi)]
$$

Substituting it into the HWI inequality of Otto-Villani 28 (or for its Riemannian version by Bobkov-Gentil-Ledoux [7]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\nu \mid \mu) \leq 2 \sqrt{I(\nu \mid \mu)} W_{2}(\nu, \mu)-\frac{K}{2} W_{2}^{2}(\nu, \mu) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using $2 a b \leq \varepsilon a^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} b^{2}$ we finally get

$$
\begin{align*}
H(\nu \mid \mu) & \leq \varepsilon I(\nu \mid \mu)+\left(1-\frac{K}{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) W_{2}(\nu, \mu)^{2}  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leq A I(\nu \mid \mu)+B
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left(1-\frac{K}{2}\right) \frac{2}{c}+\varepsilon, \quad B=\frac{2}{c}[b+\mu(\phi)]\left(1-\frac{K}{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

This inequality is sometimes called a defective log-Sobolev inequality. But it is well known by Rothaus' lemma, that a defective log-Sobolev inequality together with the spectral gap implies the (tight) log-Sobolev inequality

$$
\left.H(\nu \mid \mu) \leq\left[A+(B+2) C_{P}\right)\right] I(\nu \mid \mu)
$$

The proof is completed.
Remark 3.16. If for any $c>0$, there are $U, b$ such that the Lyap condition (1.11) holds, then the defective LSI (3.15) becomes the so called super-LSI, which is equivalent to the supercontractivity of the semigroup $\left(P_{t}\right)$ generated by $\mathcal{L}$, i.e., $\left\|P_{t}\right\|_{L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}}<+\infty$ for any $t>0, q>p>1$.

## 4. Some further remarks

### 4.1. A generalization of Corollary 2.8.

Corollary 4.1. Assume that

$$
R i c_{x} \geq-\alpha\left(d\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right)
$$

where $\alpha(r)$ is some positive increasing function on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, and

$$
\left\langle\nabla d\left(x, x_{0}\right), \nabla V\right\rangle \geq \beta\left(d\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right)-b
$$

for some constant $b>0$ and some positive increasing function $\beta$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(r)-\sqrt{\alpha(r)} \geq \eta r, r>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $\eta$, then $\mu$ satisfies $W_{2} H$.
Proof. As in the proof recalled in Corollary 2.8, for $\rho=d\left(x, x_{0}\right)$, by the Laplacian comparison theorem, there is some constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\Delta \rho^{2} \leq c_{1}(1+\rho)+2 \rho \sqrt{\alpha(\rho)}
$$

at first outside of $\operatorname{cut}\left(x_{0}\right)$ then in distribution over $E$. Consequently by condition (4.2) there are positive constants $c_{2}<2 \eta, c_{3}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{L} \rho^{2}=\Delta \rho^{2}-2 \rho\langle\nabla \rho, \nabla V\rangle \leq c_{1}(1+\rho)+2 \rho(\sqrt{\alpha(\rho)}-\beta(\rho)+b) \leq-c_{2} \rho^{2}+c_{3}
$$

Now for $U=\lambda \rho^{2}$, it satisfies (1.11) when $\lambda>0$ is small enough. Then the $W_{2} H$ follows by Theorem 1.9.
4.2. LSI in the unbounded curvature case. We now generalize the LSI in Theorem 1.9 in the case where Bakry-Emery's curvature is not lower bounded, by means of the above-tangent lemma.

Proposition 4.3. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ric }_{x}+H e s s_{V} \geq-\Phi\left(d\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is some positive non-decreasing continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, and there is some nonnegative locally Lipschitzian function $U$ such that for some constants $b, c>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} U+|\nabla U|^{2} \leq-c d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \Phi\left(2 d\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right)+b \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in distribution, then $\mu$ satisfies the LSI.
Proof. Instead of the HWI in the proof of the LSI in Theorem 1.9, we go back to the abovetangent lemma (see [5, Theorem 7.1] and references therein) : for two probability measures $\nu=h \mu, \tilde{\nu}=\tilde{h} \mu$ with smooth and compactly supported densities $h, \tilde{h}$, let $T(x):=\exp _{x}(\nabla \theta)$ (where $\theta$ is some "convex" function) be the optimal transport pushing forward $\nu$ to $\tilde{\nu}$ and realizing $W_{2}^{2}(\nu, \tilde{\nu})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E n t_{\mu}(h) \leq E n t_{\mu}(\tilde{h})-\int\langle\nabla \theta, \nabla h\rangle d \mu+\int \mathcal{D}_{V}(x, T(x)) h d \mu \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{V}(x, T(x))$ is the defect of the convexity of $V$, defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{V}(x, T(x))=-\int_{0}^{1}(1-t)\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\gamma(t)}+\operatorname{Hess}_{V, \gamma(t)}\right)(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) d t
$$

Here $\gamma(t)=\exp _{x}(t \nabla \theta)$ is the geodesic joining $x$ to $T(x)$.
Choose a sequence of $\mu$-probability measures $\mu_{n}:=h_{n} \mu$ with $h_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(E)$, such that $W_{2}\left(\mu_{n}, \mu\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $I\left(\mu_{n} \mid \mu\right) \rightarrow 0$ (recalling that the condition (4.5), stronger than (1.11), implies the Gaussian integrability of $\mu$ by Lemma 3.8). Below we apply the above-tangent lemma to $\left(\nu, \tilde{\nu}=\mu_{n}\right)$
The first term on the right hand of (4.6) is easy to control by Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$
\left|\int\langle\nabla \theta, \nabla h\rangle d \mu\right|=\left|\int 2 \sqrt{h}\langle\nabla \theta, \nabla \sqrt{h}\rangle d \mu\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{\int|\nabla \theta|^{2} h d \mu \int|\nabla \sqrt{h}|^{2} d \mu}=2 W_{2}\left(\nu, \mu_{n}\right) \sqrt{I(\nu \mid \mu)}
$$

Now we treat the last term in (4.6). By our condition,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{V}(x, T(x)) \leq \int_{0}^{1}(1-t) \Phi\left(d\left(\gamma(t), x_{0}\right)\right)|\nabla \theta|^{2} d t
$$

Note that $|\nabla \theta|=d(x, T(x)) \leq 2 \max \left\{d\left(x, x_{0}\right), d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)\right\}$ and using $d\left(\gamma(t), x_{0}\right) \leq d\left(x, x_{0}\right)+$ $t d(x, T(x))$ for $t \in[0,1 / 2]$ and $d\left(\gamma(t), x_{0}\right) \leq d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)+(1-t) d(x, T(x))$ for $t \in[1 / 2,1]$, $d\left(\gamma(t), x_{0}\right) \leq 2 \max \left\{d\left(x, x_{0}\right), d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)\right\}$. We thus obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \mathcal{D}_{V}(x, T(x)) h d \mu & \leq 2 \int \Phi\left(2 \max \left\{d\left(x, x_{0}\right), d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)\right\}\right) \max \left\{d\left(x, x_{0}\right)^{2}, d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)^{2}\right\} h d \mu \\
& \leq 2\left(\int \Phi\left(2 d\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right) d\left(x, x_{0}\right)^{2} h d \mu+\int \Phi\left(2 d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)\right) d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)^{2} h d \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.6 and our condition (4.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c \int \Phi\left(2 d\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right) d\left(x, x_{0}\right)^{2} h d \mu \leq b+I(\nu \mid \mu) \\
& c \int \Phi\left(2 d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)\right) d\left(T(x), x_{0}\right)^{2} h d \mu \leq b+I\left(\mu_{n} \mid \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging those estimates into (4.6) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get finally

$$
H(\nu \mid \mu) \leq 2 W_{2}(\nu, \mu) \sqrt{I(\nu \mid \mu)}+\frac{1}{c}(I(\nu \mid \mu)+2 b)
$$

Again using Lemma 3.6 and our condition (4.5), we have

$$
W_{2}^{2}(\nu, \mu) \leq 2\left(\int d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) d \mu+\int d^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right) d \mu\right) \leq \frac{2}{c \Phi(0)}(I(\nu \mid \mu)+2 b)
$$

Consequently we obtain the defective LSI:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\nu \mid \mu) \leq 2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{c \Phi(0)}}(I(\nu \mid \mu)+b)+\frac{1}{c}(I(\nu \mid \mu)+2 b) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the LSI follows for the spectral gap exists under (4.5).
Remark 4.8. Under (4.4), if for any $c>0$ there are $U, b$ such that the Lyapunov function condition (4.5) holds, the defective LSI (4.7) says that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is some constant $B(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
H(\nu \mid \mu) \leq \varepsilon I(\nu \mid \mu)+B(\varepsilon), \nu \in M_{1}(E)
$$

which is well known to be equivalent to the supercontractivity of the semigroup $\left(P_{t}\right)$ generated by $\mathcal{L}$, i.e., $\left\|P_{t}\right\|_{L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}}<+\infty$ for any $t>0, q>p>1$.

Remark 4.9. Barthe and Kolesnikov [5] used the above-tangent lemma to derive modified LSI and isoperimetric inequalities. One aspect of their method consists in controlling the defective term $\int \mathcal{D}_{V}(x, T(x)) h d \mu$ by $c E n t_{\mu}(h)+b$ for some positive constant $c<1$, by using some integrability condition on $\mu$ (as in Wang's criterion). Our method is to bound that defective term by $c I(\nu \mid \mu)+b$, by means of the Lyapunov function: the advantage here is that constant $c>0$ can be arbitrary.
Example 4.10. Let $E=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ equipped with the Euclidean metric. For any $p>2$ fixed, consider $V=r^{p}(2+\sin (k \theta))$, where $(r, \theta)$ is the polar coordinates system and $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Since

$$
\Delta V=r^{p-2}\left[p^{2}(2+\sin (k \theta))-k^{2} \sin (k \theta)\right]
$$

Assume $k>\sqrt{3} p$. Then in the direction $\theta$ such that $\sin (k \theta)=1, H e s s_{V} \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left(k^{2}-3 p^{2}\right) r^{p-2}$, i.e., the Bakry-Emery curvature is very negative and no known result exists in such case.

It is easy to see that condition (4.4) is verified with $\Phi(r)=a r^{p-2}$ for some $a>0$. Taking $U=r^{2}$, we see that

$$
\mathcal{L} U+|\nabla U|^{2}=4-2 p r^{p}(2+\sin (k \theta))+4 r^{2}
$$

i.e., condition (4.5) is satisfied. We get thus the LSI for $\mu$ by Proposition 4.3.
4.3. A Lyapunov condition for Gozlan's weighted Poincaré inequality. As mentionned before, in a recent work, Gozlan 19] proved that $W_{2} H$ inequality on $E=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is implied by a weighted Poincaré inequality

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) \leq c \int \sum_{1}^{d} \frac{1}{1+x_{i}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} d \mu
$$

In dimension one, a Hardy criterion is available for this weighted Poincaré inequality which is not the same as the one from [13]. Note however that this weighted Poincaré inequality, as stronger than Poincaré inequality, can be shown to imply a converse weighted Poincaré inequality (the weight is now in the variance), by a simple change of function argument, and in dimension one a Hardy's criterion is also available for this inequality which is in fact the same as the one for the restricted logarithmic Sobolev inequality.

From this, we conclude that in fact, in the real line case, the restricted logarithmic Sobolev inequality is in fact implied by Gozlan's weighted Poincaré inequality. Whether it is the case in any dimension would have to be investigated.
It is however quite easy, following [6] to give a Lyapunov condition for Gozlan's weighted Poincaré inequality on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Theorem 4.11. Let $w_{i}=w_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ be positive for all $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $\omega_{i}>\epsilon_{r}>0$ on $B(0, r)$. Introduce the diffusion generator

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\omega_{i} \partial_{i}^{2}+\left(\partial_{i} \omega_{i}-\omega_{i} \partial_{i} V\right) \partial_{i}\right)
$$

where $\partial_{i}=\partial / \partial x_{i}$. Suppose now that there exists $W \geq 1, \lambda, b>0$ and $R>$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} W \leq-\lambda W+b \mathbb{I}_{B(0, R)} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mu$ verifies a weighted Poincaré inequality with some constant $c>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) \leq c \int \sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega_{i}\left(\partial_{i} f\right)^{2} d \mu \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows exactly the line of the one of [6] once it has been remarked that
(1) $\widetilde{L}$ is associated to the Dirichlet form $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(f, g)=-\int f \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} g d \mu$ on $L^{2}(\mu)$, reversible w.r.t. $\mu$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(f, f)=\int \sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega_{i}\left(\partial_{i} f\right)^{2} d \mu ;$
(2) a local weighted Poincaré inequality is valid for this Dirichlet form as $\omega_{i}>\epsilon_{r}>0$ on $B(0, r)$ (as a local Poincaré inequality is available on balls).

Remark 4.14. Our setting is a little bit more general than Gozlan 19] concerning the assumption on $\omega$ but with the additional term $\partial_{i} \omega_{i} \partial_{i} W$ in the sum. Note once again that they are a little bit more difficult to handle than the one in Corollary 2.1 and still not comparable.
One of the major points of Gozlan's weighted Poincaré inequality is, in the case where $\omega_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\omega\left(x_{i}\right)$, in fact equivalent to some transportation-information inequality (with an unusual distance function) when $\omega$ satisfies some conditions (namely, $\omega=\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}^{\prime}}$ where $\tilde{\omega}$ is odd, at least linearly increasing). However, when $\omega_{i}=1 /\left(1+x_{i}^{2}\right)$, this transportation inequality is stronger than $W_{2} H$.

We end up this note with some final conditions ensuring $W_{2} H$, similar to Gozlan's one (see Remark 2.4(2)).

Corollary 4.15. In the setting of Corollary 2.1.

1) If there are positive constants $a<1, R, c>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left((1-a) \omega_{i}\left(\partial_{i} V\right)^{2}-\partial_{i} \omega_{i} \partial_{i} V-\omega_{i} \partial_{i}^{2} V\right) \geq c, \forall x:|x|>R \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is verified then the weighted Poincaré inequality (4.13) is verified.
2) In particular, consider $\omega_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\left(1+x_{i}^{2}\right)^{-1}$, if there are positive constants $a<$
$1, R, c>0$, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $|x|>R$, one of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left((1-a)\left(\partial_{i} V\right)^{2}+\frac{2 x_{i}}{1+x_{i}^{2}} \partial_{i} V-\partial_{i}^{2} V\right) \frac{1}{1+x_{i}^{2}} \geq c \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\frac{x_{i} \partial_{i} V}{1+x_{i}^{2}}-\frac{1-x_{i}^{2}}{\left(1+x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \geq c \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is verified, then $W_{2} H$ holds.
Proof. Part 1) is a particular case of Theorem 4.11 with $W=e^{a V}$, together with Gozlan's result. Condition (4.17) is just a particular version of part 1 ). The last case under condition (4.18) comes from Theorem 4.11 with $W=e^{a|x|^{2}}$ for sufficiently small $a$.
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