



HAL
open science

Well, Papa, can you multiply triplets?

Sophie Morier-Genoud, Valentin Ovsienko

► **To cite this version:**

Sophie Morier-Genoud, Valentin Ovsienko. Well, Papa, can you multiply triplets?. 2008. hal-00335502

HAL Id: hal-00335502

<https://hal.science/hal-00335502>

Preprint submitted on 29 Oct 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

WELL, PAPA, CAN YOU MULTIPLY TRIPLETS?

SOPHIE MORIER-GENOUD

VALENTIN OVSIENKO

ABSTRACT. We show that the classical algebra of quaternions is a commutative $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra. A similar interpretation of the algebra of octonions is impossible.

This note is our “private investigation” of what really happened on the 16th of October, 1843 on the Brougham Bridge when Sir William Rowan Hamilton engraved on a stone his fundamental relations:

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = i \cdot j \cdot k = -1.$$

Since then, the elements i, j and k , together with the unit, 1 , denote the canonical basis of the celebrated 4-dimensional associative algebra of quaternions \mathbb{H} .

Of course, the algebra \mathbb{H} is not commutative: the relations above imply that the elements i, j, k *anticommute* with each other, for instance

$$i \cdot j = -j \cdot i = k.$$

Yes, but...

1. THE ALGEBRA OF QUATERNIONS IS COMMUTATIVE

Our starting point is the following amazing observation.

The algebra \mathbb{H} is, indeed, commutative.

Let us introduce the following “triple degree”:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(1) &= (0, 0, 0), \\ \sigma(i) &= (0, 1, 1), \\ \sigma(j) &= (1, 0, 1), \\ \sigma(k) &= (1, 1, 0), \end{aligned}$$

then, quite remarkably, the usual product of quaternions satisfies the graded commutativity condition:

$$(2) \quad p \cdot q = (-1)^{\langle \sigma(p), \sigma(q) \rangle} q \cdot p,$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$ are *homogeneous* (i.e., proportional one of the basic vector) and where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product of 3-vectors. Indeed, $\langle \sigma(i), \sigma(j) \rangle = 1$ and similarly for k , so that i, j and k anticommute with each other, but $\langle \sigma(i), \sigma(i) \rangle = 2$. The product $i \cdot i$ of i with itself is commutative and similarly for j and k , without any contradiction.

The degree (1) viewed as an element of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ satisfies the following linearity condition

$$(3) \quad \sigma(x \cdot y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y),$$

for all homogeneous $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$. The relations (2) and (3) together mean that \mathbb{H} is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded commutative algebra.

We did not find the above observation in the literature (see however [1] for a different “abelianization” of \mathbb{H} in terms of a twisted $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ group algebra, see also [2, 3, 4]). Its main consequence is a systematic procedure of *quaternionization* (similar to complexification). Indeed, many classes of algebras allow tensor product with commutative algebras. Let us give an example. Given an arbitrary real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the tensor product $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{H}} := \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie algebra. If furthermore \mathfrak{g} is a real form of a simple complex Lie algebra, then $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{H}}$ is again simple.

The above observation gives a general idea to study graded commutative algebras over the abelian group

$$\Gamma = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

One can show that, in some sense, this is the most general grading, in the graded-commutative-algebra context, but we will not provide the details here. Let us mention that graded commutative algebras are essentially studied in the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$ (or \mathbb{Z}), almost nothing is known in the general case.

2. ...BUT NOT THE ALGEBRA OF OCTONIONS

After the quaternions, the next “natural candidate” for commutativity is of course the algebra of octonions \mathbb{O} . However, let us show that

The algebra \mathbb{O} cannot be realized as a graded commutative algebra.

Indeed, recall that \mathbb{O} contains 7 mutually anticommuting elements e_1, \dots, e_7 such that $(e_\ell)^2 = -1$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, 7$ that form several copies of \mathbb{H} (see [2, 3] for beautiful introduction to the octonions). Assume there is a grading $\sigma : e_\ell \mapsto \Gamma$ with values in an abelian group Γ , satisfying (2) and (3). Then, for three elements $e_{\ell_1}, e_{\ell_2}, e_{\ell_3} \in \mathbb{O}$ such that $e_{\ell_1} \cdot e_{\ell_2} = e_{\ell_3}$, one has

$$\sigma(e_{\ell_3}) = \sigma(e_{\ell_1}) + \sigma(e_{\ell_2}).$$

If now e_{ℓ_4} anticommutes with e_{ℓ_1} and e_{ℓ_2} , then e_{ℓ_4} has to commute with e_{ℓ_3} because of the linearity of the scalar product. This readily leads to a contradiction.

3. MULTIPLYING THE TRIPLETS

Let us now take another look at the grading (1). It turns out that there is a simple way to reconstitute the whole structure of \mathbb{H} directly from this formula.

First of all, we rewrite the grading as follows:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leftrightarrow (0, 0, 0), \\ i &\leftrightarrow (0, 1_2, 1_3), \\ j &\leftrightarrow (1_1, 0, 1_3), \\ k &\leftrightarrow (1_1, 1_2, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Second of all, we define the rule for multiplication of triplets. This multiplication is nothing but the usual operation in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, i.e., the component-wise addition (modulo 2), for instance,

$$(1_1, 0, 0) \cdot (1_1, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0), \quad (1_1, 0, 0) \cdot (0, 1_2, 0) = (1_1, 1_2, 0),$$

but with an important additional *sign rule*. Whenever we have to exchange “left-to-right” two units, 1_n and 1_m with $n > m$, we put the “ $-$ ” sign, for instance

$$(0, 1_2, 0) \cdot (1_1, 0, 0) = -(1_1, 1_2, 0),$$

since we exchanged 1_2 and 1_1 .

One then has for the triplets in (4):

$$i \cdot j \leftrightarrow (0, 1_2, 1_3) \cdot (1_1, 0, 1_3) = (1_1, 1_2, 0) \leftrightarrow k,$$

since the total number of exchanges is *even* (1_2 and 1_3 were exchanged with 1_1) and

$$j \cdot i \leftrightarrow (1_1, 0, 1_3) \cdot (0, 1_2, 1_3) = -(1_1, 1_2, 0) \leftrightarrow -k,$$

since the total number of exchanges is *odd* (1_3 was exchanged with 1_2). In this way, one immediately recovers the complete multiplication table of \mathbb{H} .

Remark 3.1. The above realization is of course related to the embedding of \mathbb{H} into the associative algebra with 3 generators $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ subject to the relations

$$\varepsilon_n^2 = 1, \quad \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_m = -\varepsilon_m \varepsilon_n, \quad \text{for } n \neq m.$$

This embedding is given by

$$i \mapsto \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3, \quad j \mapsto \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3, \quad k \mapsto \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2$$

and is well-known.

Everybody knows the famous story of Hamilton and his son asking his father the same question every morning: “Well, Papa, can you multiply triplets?” and always getting the same answer: “No, I can only add and subtract them”, with a sad shake of the head. This story has now a happy end. As we have just seen, Hamilton did nothing but multiplied the triplets. Or should we rather say added and subtracted them?

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to S. Tabachnikov for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Albuquerque, S. Majid, *Quasialgebra structure of the octonions*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), 188–224.
- [2] J. Baez, *The octonions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **39** (2002), 145–205.
- [3] J. Conway, D. Smith, *On quaternions and octonions: their geometry, arithmetic, and symmetry*, A K Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, 2003.
- [4] T. Y. Lam, *Hamilton’s quaternions*, Handbook of algebra, Vol. 3, 429–454, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.

SOPHIE MORIER-GENOUD, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT PARIS 7, UFR DE MATHÉMATIQUES CASE 7012, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

VALENTIN OVSIENKO, CNRS, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, 43 BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: sophiemg@umich.edu, ovsienko@math.univ-lyon1.fr