# On the Calderón-Zygmund lemma for Sobolev functions 

 Pascal Auscher
## To cite this version:

Pascal Auscher. On the Calderón-Zygmund lemma for Sobolev functions. 2008. hal-00335122

HAL Id: hal-00335122

## https://hal.science/hal-00335122

Preprint submitted on 28 Oct 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# On the Calderón-Zygmund lemma for Sobolev functions 

Pascal Auscher *

october 16, 2008


#### Abstract

We correct an inaccuracy in the proof of a result in Aus1].
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We recall the lemma.
Lemma 0.1. Let $n \geq 1,1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be such that $\|\nabla f\|_{p}<$ $\infty$. Let $\alpha>0$. Then, one can find a collection of cubes $\left(Q_{i}\right)$, functions $g$ and $b_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=g+\sum_{i} b_{i} \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following properties hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla g\|_{\infty} \leq C \alpha \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i} \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(Q_{i}\right) \text { and } \int_{Q_{i}}\left|\nabla b_{i}\right|^{p} \leq C \alpha^{p}\left|Q_{i}\right|, \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left|Q_{i}\right| \leq C \alpha^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla f|^{p}, \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \mathbf{1}_{Q_{i}} \leq N \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $C$ and $N$ depend only on dimension and $p$.
This lemma was first stated in [Aus1] in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then it appears in various forms and extensions in Aus2] (same proof), AD] (same proof on manifolds), AM] (on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ but with a doubling weight), B. Ben Ali's PhD thesis $[\mathrm{B}]$ and AB (The Sobolev space is modified to adapt to Schrödinger operators), N. Badr's PhD thesis Ba and Ba1, Ba2 (used toward interpolation of Sobolev spaces on manifolds and measured metric spaces) and in BR] (Sobolev spaces on graphs). The same inaccuracy can be corrected everywhere as below. The proof of the generalisation to higher order Sobolev spaces in [Aus1] can also be corrected with similar ideas.

The second equation tells that $g$ is in fact Lipschitz continuous. There is a direct proof of this fact in N. Badr's thesis Ba. The proof proposed in Aus1 is as follows:

Define $b_{i}=\left(f-c_{i}\right) \mathcal{X}_{i}$ where $c_{i}$ are appropriate numbers and $\left(\mathcal{X}_{i}\right)$ forms a smooth partition of unity of $\Omega=\cup Q_{i}$ subordinated to the cubes $\left(\frac{1}{2} Q_{i}\right)$ with support of $\mathcal{X}_{i}$ contained in $Q_{i}$. For example, the choice $c_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)$ for some well chosen $x_{i}$ or $c_{i}=m_{Q_{i}} f$, the mean of $f$ over the cube $Q_{i}$, ensures that $\sum_{i}\left|b_{i}\right| \ell_{i}^{-1}$ is locally integrable ( $\ell_{i}$ is the length of $Q_{i}$ ) and that $\sum_{i} b_{i}$ is a distribution on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $g$ defined as $g=f-\sum_{i} b_{i}$ is a distribution on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Its gradient $\nabla g$ can be calculated as $\nabla g=(\nabla f) \mathbf{1}_{F}+h$ in the sense of distributions (on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) with $h=\sum_{i} c_{i} \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i}$. It is then a consequence of the construction of the set $F=\Omega^{c}$ that $|\nabla f|$ is bounded on $F$ by $\alpha$ and then it is shown that $|h|$ is bounded by $C \alpha$, which implies the boundedness of $|\nabla g|$.

Everything is correct in the argument above BUT the representation of $h$. The series $\sum_{i} c_{i} \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i}$, viewed as the distributional derivative on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of $\sum_{i} c_{i} \mathcal{X}_{i}$, may not be a measurable function (section) on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For example, if $c_{i}=1$ for all $i$, then $\sum_{i} \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i}=\nabla \mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$ is a non-zero distribution supported on the boundary of $\Omega$ (a measure if $\Omega$ has locally finite perimeter). One needs to renormalize the series to make it converge in the distribution sense.

Here we give correct renormalizations of $h$. A first one is obtained right away from differentiation of $g$ :

$$
h=-\sum_{i}\left(f-c_{i}\right) \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i} .
$$

The convergence in the distributional sense in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is in fact hidden of Aus1.
A second one is

$$
h=-\sum_{m}\left(\sum_{i}\left(c_{m}-c_{i}\right) \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i}\right) \mathcal{X}_{m}
$$

This representation converges in the distributional sense in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and can be shown to be a bounded function.

Let us show how to obtain the second representation in the sense of distributions. Then the proof of boundedness is as in Aus1. Take a test function $\phi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then by definition the distribution $\sum_{i} \nabla b_{i}$ tested against $\phi$ is given by

$$
\sum_{i} \int \nabla b_{i} \phi
$$

To compute this, we take a finite subset $J$ of the set $I$ of indices $i$ and we have to pass to the limit in the sum restricted to $J$ as $J \uparrow I$. Because now the sum is finite, and all functions have support in the set $\Omega$, we can introduce $\sum_{m} \mathcal{X}_{m}=\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$. We have

$$
\sum_{i \in J} \int \nabla b_{i} \phi=\sum_{m} \sum_{i \in J} \int \nabla b_{i} \mathcal{X}_{m} \phi
$$

Now recall that $b_{i}=\left(f-c_{i}\right) \mathcal{X}_{i}$. Call $I_{m}$ the set of indices such that the support of $\mathcal{X}_{i}$ meets the support of $\mathcal{X}_{m}$. By property of the Whitney cubes, $I_{m}$ is a finite set with bounded cardinal. Hence we can write

$$
\sum_{m} \sum_{i \in J} \int \nabla b_{i} \mathcal{X}_{m} \phi=\sum_{m} \sum_{i \in J \cap I_{m}} \int \nabla f \mathcal{X}_{i} \mathcal{X}_{m} \phi+\sum_{m} \sum_{i \in J \cap I_{m}} \int\left(f-c_{i}\right) \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i} \mathcal{X}_{m} \phi
$$

It is clear that the first sum in the RHS converges to $\int_{\Omega} \nabla f \phi$ as $J \uparrow I$. As for the second it is equal to

$$
\sum_{m} \sum_{i \in J \cap I_{m}} \int\left(c_{m}-c_{i}\right) \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i} \mathcal{X}_{m} \phi+\sum_{m} \sum_{i \in J \cap I_{m}} \int\left(f-c_{m}\right) \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i} \mathcal{X}_{m} \phi
$$

As one can show (with the argument in [Aus1]) that

$$
\sum_{m} \sum_{i}\left|c_{m}-c_{i}\right|\left|\nabla \mathcal{X}_{i}\right|\left|\mathcal{X}_{m}\right| \leq C \alpha
$$

one has

$$
\lim _{J \uparrow I} \sum_{m} \sum_{i \in J \cap I_{m}} \int\left(c_{m}-c_{i}\right) \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i} \mathcal{X}_{m} \phi=-\int h \phi
$$

where $h$ is defined above.
Finally, write

$$
\sum_{m} \sum_{i \in J \cap I_{m}} \int\left(f-c_{m}\right) \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i} \mathcal{X}_{m} \phi=\sum_{m} \int b_{m} R_{m, J} \phi
$$

with

$$
R_{m, J}=\sum_{i \in J \cap I_{m}} \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i} .
$$

By construction of the $\mathcal{X}_{i}$ and properties of Whitney cubes,

$$
\sum_{i \in I_{m}}\left|\nabla \mathcal{X}_{i}\right| \leq C \ell_{m}^{-1}
$$

where $\ell_{m}$ is the length of $Q_{m}$, and on the support of $\mathcal{X}_{m}$

$$
\sum_{i \in I_{m}} \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i}=\sum_{i \in I} \nabla \mathcal{X}_{i}=0 .
$$

As $\sum_{i}\left|b_{m}\right| \ell_{m}^{-1}$ has been shown to be locally integrable, one can conclude by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\lim _{J \uparrow I} \sum_{m} \int b_{m} R_{m, J} \phi=0 .
$$
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