

Contribution of picoplankton to the total particulate organic carbon (POC) concentration in the eastern South Pacific

C. Grob, O. Ulloa, Hervé Claustre, Y. Huot, G. Alarcón, D. Marie

► To cite this version:

C. Grob, O. Ulloa, Hervé Claustre, Y. Huot, G. Alarcón, et al.. Contribution of picoplankton to the total particulate organic carbon (POC) concentration in the eastern South Pacific. Biogeosciences Discussions, 2007, 4 (3), pp.1461-1497. hal-00330238

HAL Id: hal-00330238 https://hal.science/hal-00330238

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Biogeosciences Discuss., 4, 1461–1497, 2007 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/2007/ © Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of Biogeosciences

Contribution of picoplankton to the total particulate organic carbon (POC) concentration in the eastern South Pacific

C. Grob^{1,2,3}, O. Ulloa², H. Claustre³, Y. Huot³, G. Alarcón², and D. Marie⁴

¹Graduate Programme in Oceanography, Department of Oceanography, University of Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile

²Department of Oceanography and Center for Oceanographic Research in the eastern South Pacific, University of Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile

³CNRS, Laboratoire d'océanographie de Villefranche, 06230 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France ; Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris 6, Laboratoire d'océanographie de Villefranche, 06230 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France

⁴Station Biologique, CNRS, INSU et Université Pierre et Marie, 29482 Roscoff Ceder, France

Received: 27 February 2007 - Accepted: 10 April 2007 - Published: 9 May 2007

Correspondence to: C. Grob (mgrob@profc.udec.cl)



Abstract

Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, picophytoeukaryotes and bacterioplankton abundances and contributions to the total particulate organic carbon concentration (POC), derived from the total particle beam attenuation coefficient (c_p) , were determined across the eastern South Pacific between the Marquesas Islands and the coast of Chile. All flow cytometrically derived abundances decreased towards the hyperoligotrophic centre of the gyre and were highest at the coast, except for *Prochlorococcus*, which is not detected under eutrophic conditions. Temperature and nutrient availability appeared important in modulating picophytoplankton abundance, according to the prevailing trophic conditions. Although the non-vegetal particles tended to dominate the c_p signal everywhere along the transect (50 to 83%), this dominance seemed to weaken from oligo- to eutrophic conditions, the contributions by vegetal and non-vegetal particles being about equal under mature upwelling conditions. Spatial variability in the vegetal compartment was more important than the non-vegetal

one in shaping the water column particulate attenuation coefficient. Spatial variability in picophytoplankton biomass could be traced by changes in both Tchla and c_p. Finally, picophytoeukaryotes contributed with ~38% on average to the total integrated phytoplankton carbon biomass or vegetal attenuation signal along the transect, as determined by direct size measurements on cells sorted by flow cytometry and optical
 theory. The role of picophytoeukaryotes in carbon and energy flow would therefore be very important, even under hyper-oligotrophic conditions.

1 Introduction

Global estimates indicate that about half of the earth's primary production (PP) takes place in the ocean (Field et al., 1998). Of a mean global marine PP of $50.7 \,\text{Gt}\,\text{C}\,\text{y}^{-1}$

estimated through ocean-colour-based models (Carr et al., 2006), 86% would occur in the open ocean (Chen et al., 2003), where the photosynthetic biomass is dominated

BGD 4, 1461-1497, 2007 **Picoplankton in the** eastern South Pacific C. Grob et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

by three main picophytoplanktonic (<2–3 μ m) groups (e.g., Li, 1995): cyanobacteria of the genera *Prochlorococcus* (Chisholm et al., 1988) and *Synechococcus* (Waterbury et al., 1979), and eukaryotes belonging to diverse taxa (Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001).

- ⁵ Although cyanobacteria, especially *Prochlorococcus*, tend to dominate in terms of numerical abundance, the picophytoeukaryotes can make a significant contribution to the picophytoplanktonic PP (Li, 1994 and 1995; Worden et al., 2004) and carbon biomass (Grob et al., 2007). Carbon being the universal currency in marine ecological modelling, looking inside the pico-autotrophic "black box" to determine the distribu-
- tion of carbon biomass among the different groups becomes fundamental to better understand the respective roles of these groups in the global carbon cycle. Recent biogeochemical models have made a significant step forward on this subject by incorporating not only different plankton functional types, but also different groups within these functional types (e.g., cyanobacteria, picophytoeukaryotes, nitrogen fixers) in or-15 der to reproduce some of the ecosystem's variability (e.g., Bisset et al., 1999; Le Quéré
- et al., 2005).

The measurement of the particulate attenuation coefficient (c_p) has proven to be a very powerful tool in determining particle load and particulate organic carbon (POC) concentrations at the global (e.g., Gardner, 2006) as well as the regional scale (e.g.,

- ²⁰ Claustre et al., 1999; Oubelkheir et al., 2005). High frequency measurements of c_p signal can also be used to derive rates of change in particulate organic stocks like gross and net community production (Claustre et al., 2007¹). In situ c_p profiles associated with the simultaneous cytometric determination of the different phytoplanktonic groups and bacterioplankton (Bacteria + Archaea) abundances have the potential to allow the
- estimation of the contribution of these groups to the bulk c_p , and hence to POC. Groupspecific contributions to POC can therefore be estimated from their contributions to c_p . In the equatorial Pacific, for instance, picophytoeukarotic cells would dominate the

BGD 4, 1461–1497, 2007				
Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific				
C. Grob et al.				
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	►I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

¹Claustre, H., Obernosterer, I., Lewis, M., and Huot, Y.: The metabolic balance of the South Pacific Gyre, submitted, 2007.

vegetal contribution to c_p (Chung et al., 1996; DuRand and Olson, 1996; Claustre et al, 1999). These estimations require however that the mean cell size and refractive index of each group are known or at least assumed (Claustre et al., 1999, and references therein). Total and group-specific beam attenuation coefficients can be obtained at relatively short time scales, but also have the advantage of being amenable to large scale in situ surveys on carbon stocks and cycling, and even to global estimation, since bulk oceanic bio-optical properties can be retrieved from space (e.g., Gardner, 2006).

In the present work we tried to answer the following questions: (1) what is the contribution of the different picoplanktonic groups to POC in the upper ocean? and (2) how

- ¹⁰ does the spatial variability in these group's contributions influence the spatial changes in POC in the upper ocean? For this, we studied the waters of the eastern South Pacific, which present an extreme gradient in trophic conditions: from the hyper-oligotrophic waters of the central gyre to the eutrophic coastal upwelling waters off South America. Using flow cytometry cell sorting we were able to isolate different picophytoplankton ¹⁵ populations in situ to obtain their actual cell sizes, which allowed us to improve esti-
- mations on the group-specific attenuation coefficients, and therefore on group-specific contributions to POC.

2 Methods

5

A total of 24 stations were sampled between the Marquesas Islands (~8.4° S; 141.2° W) and the coast of Chile (~34.6° S; 72.4° W) during the French expedition BIOSOPE (Blogeochemistry and Optics SOuth Pacific Experiment) in austral spring time (26 October to 11 December 2004) (Fig. 1). Temperature, salinity and oxygen profiles were obtained with a conductivity-temperature-depth-oxygen profiler (CTDO, Seabird 911 Plus). Nutrient concentrations (nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, phosphate and silicate) were

BGD 4, 1461-1497, 2007 **Picoplankton in the** eastern South Pacific C. Grob et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures Tables** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

determined onboard (see Raimbault et al., 2007^2). Pigment concentrations from noon profiles (local time) were determined using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). For HPLC analyses, water samples were vacuum filtered through 25 mm diameter and 0.7 μ m porosity Whatman GF/F glass fibre filters (see Ras et al., 2007^3),

- ⁵ where on average 97% of *Prochlorococcus* cells are retained (Chavez et al., 1995). The above implies a maximum error of 3% on the total divinyl-chlorophyll *a* concentrations (dv-chl*a*, pigment that is specific only to this group) determined using this technique. Daily integrated surface total irradiance was determined from on-board calibrated measurements.
- All stations reported here were sampled at local noon time at 6 to 14 different depths from the surface down to 300 m (Fig. 1). The position of the deepest sampling depth was established relative to the position of the bottom of the photic layer, Ze (m) defined as the depth where the irradiance is reduced to 1% of its surface value. Five stations of very different trophic conditions, here referred to as long stations, were also sampled at high frequency (i.e., every 3h) during 2 to 4 days: (1) mesotrophic (MAR,
- ¹⁵ Sampled at high frequency (i.e., every 3h) during 2 to 4 days: (1) mesotrophic (MAR, Marquesas Islands), (2) high nutrient-low chlorophyll (HNL, ~9.0° S and 136.9° W), (3) hyper-oligotrophic (GYR, ~26.0° S and 114.0° W), (4) oligotrophic (EGY, ~31.8° S and 91.5° W) and (5) eutrophic (UPW, highly productive upwelling region, ~34.0° S and 73.3° W) (Fig. 1). The coastal-most station (UPX) was additionally sampled to compare it with UPW's upwelling condition (Fig. 1).

Our results are presented in terms of oligo-, meso- and eutrophic conditions according to surface total chlorophyll *a* concentrations (Tchl*a*, chlorophyll *a* + divinyl chlorophyll *a*) of ≤ 0.1 , >0.1 and ≤ 1 , and >1 mg m⁻³, respectively (Antoine et al., 1996). This division has been used to characterize the trophic status of the ocean from space and we consider it as appropriate to describe the large spatial patterns investigated during

BGD					
4, 1461–1497, 2007					
Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific					
C. Grob et al.					
Title	Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				
Tables Figures					
14	►I.				
	•				
Back	Close				
Full Screen / Esc					
Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion					
EGU					

²Raimbault, P. and Garcia, N.: Nutrients distribution and new production estimation in the southwest Pacific: Evidence for intense nitrogen recycling, in preparation, 2007.

³Ras, J., Uitz, J., and Claustre, H.: Spatial variability of phytoplankton pigment distribution in the South East Pacific, in preparation, 2007.

the BIOSOPE cruise.

2.1 Picoplankton analyses

Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus and picophytoeukaryotes abundances were determined on fresh samples on-board with a FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson) flow cytometer. For bacterioplankton counts (Bacteria + Archaea), samples fixed either with paraformaldehyde at 1% or glutaraldehyde at 0.1% final concentration and quick-frozen in liquid nitrogen were stained with SYBR-Green I (Molecular Probes) and run in the same cytometer within two months after the end of the cruise. Reference beads (Fluoresbrite YG Microspheres, calibration grade 1.00 μm, Polysciences, Inc) were added to each sample before acquiring the data with the Cell Quest Pro software (Becton Dickinson) in logarithmic mode (256 channels). During data acquisition, between 5 and 300×10³ events were registered in order to count at least 500 cells for each picoplanktonic group. The error associated to abundances determined using flow cytometry is ≤5%. The data were then analysed with the Cytowin software (Vaulot 1989) to separate the picoplanktonic populations based on their scattering and fluorescence signals, according to Marie et al. (2000).

Surface *Prochlorococcus* abundance for weakly fluorescent populations was estimated by fitting a Gaussian curve to the data using Cytowin. When their fluorescence was too dim to fit the curve (e.g. surface and sub-surface samples at the center of the gyre) their abundance was estimated from dv-chl*a* concentrations by assuming an

- the gyre) their abundance was estimated from dv-chla concentrations by assuming an intracellular pigment content of 0.23 fg cell⁻¹ (see supplemental material http://www. biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/2007/bgd-4-1461-2007-supplement.pdf). This intracellular dv-chla content corresponds to the mean value obtained for cells in the surface layer (above ~5% of surface light) by dividing the HPLC-determined dv-chla by the
- cell number estimated from flow cytometry, considering all but the MAR data (Fig. 2). At the GYR station, *Synechococcus* and picophytoeukaryotes abundances above 100 m were only available for the first morning profile (samples taken above 90 m for the other GYR profiles are unfortunately not available). This profile showed that both groups'

BGD

4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific



abundances were homogeneous over the first 100 m, so we assumed the abundances measured at 90–100 m to be representative of the abundances within the 0–100 m layer. All picoplankton abundances were then integrated from the surface to 1.5 Ze rather than to Ze, because deep chlorophyll maxima (DCM) were observed between these two depths at the center of the gyre.

5

In order to establish a relationship between actual sizes and the mean forward scatter cytometric signal normalized to the reference beads (FSC in relative units, r.u.; see supplemental material http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/2007/ bgd-4-1461-2007-supplement.pdf), in situ *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus* and picophytoeukaryotes populations were sorted separately on board with a FACS Aria flow

- ¹⁰ cophytoeukaryotes populations were sorted separately on board with a FACS Aria flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). Each sorted population was then analysed with a Multisizer 3 Coulter Counter (Beckman Coulter) for size (μ m) and with the FACS Calibur flow cytometer for FSC. Several *Synechococcus* and picophytoeukaryotes populations isolated in situ could be measured with the Coulter Counter. *Prochlorococcus* size, on
- ¹⁵ the other hand, could only be determined for one population because they were at the detection limit of the instrument. A similar analysis was performed on monospecific cultures of various picophytoplankton species (without pre-sorting) to combine both in situ and laboratory measurements to establish a log-log polynomial relationship between FSC and size (Fig. 3a). We believe that even though the left-most end of the fitted is a barrier between the state and the monospecific between the state and the monospecific between the state and size (Fig. 3a).
- ²⁰ curve is driven by a sole data point, it is still very useful to the relationship because it represents the actual mean cell size of a natural *Prochlorococcus* population. Based on this relationship established within the picophytoplankton size range we calculated the upper size limit for the FSC settings we used during the whole cruise at $3 \mu m$.

Also using culture cells, we established a direct relationship between the mean cytometric FSC signal and intracellular carbon content to estimate *Synechococcus* and picophytoeukaryotes carbon biomass (Fig. 3b). To obtain intracellular carbon contents, a known volume of each culture population was filtered onto GF/F filters previously precombusted at 400°C, in triplicate. One blank filter per culture was put aside to be used as controls. The number of phytoplankton and contaminating bacterioplankton

BGD

4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific



cells retained in and passing through the filters were determined using flow cytometry (see supplemental material http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/2007/ bgd-4-1461-2007-supplement.pdf). The filters were then dried at 60°C for 24 h, fumigated with concentrated chlorhydric acid for 6 to 8 h to remove inorganic carbon

- and dried again for 6 to 8 h. Each filter was finally putted on a tin capsule and analysed with a Carbon-Hydrogen-Nitrogen (CHN) autoanalyzer (Thermo Finnigan, Flash EA 1112) (see supplemental material http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/ 2007/bgd-4-1461-2007-supplement.pdf). Carbon contents were estimated based on a calibration curve performed using Acetanilida.
- ¹⁰ Considering both size and carbon content derived from FSC, a conversion factor (in fgC μ m⁻³) was established for *Synechococcus* and then applied to the mean cell size estimated for *Prochlorococcus* to obtain the intracellular carbon content of that group. Picophytoplankton carbon biomass was then calculated by multiplying cell abundance and intracellular carbon content for each group.
- 15 2.2 Beam attenuation coefficients specific for each picoplankton group

Profiles of the total particle beam attenuation coefficient at 660 (c_p , m⁻¹), a proxy for POC (e.g. Claustre et al., 1999), were obtained with a C-Star transmissometer (Wet Labs, Inc.) attached to the CTD rosette. Procedures for data treatment and validation have been described elsewhere (Loisel and Morel, 1998; Claustre et al., 1999). Inherent optical properties of sea water (IOP's), such as c_p , depend exclusively on the medium and the different substances in it (Preisendorfer, 1961). The vegetal (c_{veg}) and non-vegetal (c_{nveg}) contribution (Eq. 1) to the particulate attenuation coefficient can therefore be expressed as

 $C_{\rm P} = C_{\rm veg} + C_{\rm nveg}$

20

²⁵ whereas the *Prochlorococcus* (c_{proc}), *Synechococcus* (c_{syn}), picophytoeukaryotes (c_{euk}) and larger phytoplankton (>3 μ m, c_{large}) contribution to the vegetal signal (Eq. 2)



(1)

can be described by,

С

 $C_{\text{veg}} = C_{\text{proc}} + C_{\text{syn}} + C_{\text{euk}} + C_{\text{large}}$

Bacterioplankton (c_{bact}), heterotrophs (c_{het}) and detritus (c_{det} = non living particles) contribute to the non-vegetal component (Eq. 3) as follows,

where c_{het} is assumed to be approximately $2c_{bact}$ (Morel and Ahn, 1991). This assumption was adopted in order to be able to roughly estimate the fraction of total particulate organic carbon corresponding to detritus (see below; Eq. 4).

Since particulate absorption is negligible at 660 nm (Loisel and Morel, 1998), beam attenuation and scattering are equivalent, so we can estimate c_{proc} , c_{svn} , c_{euk} , c_{large} and c_{bact} by determining the group-specific scattering coefficients b_i (m⁻¹) = N_i [s_i Q_{bi}], where i = proc, syn, euk, large or bact. We used flow cytometry to retrieve both picophytoplankton cell abundance (N_i, cells m^{-3}) and mean cell sizes (through FSC, see Sect. 2.1). Mean geometrical cross sections (s, m² cell⁻¹) were calculated from size, while Q_{hi} (660), the optical efficiency factors (dimensionless), were com-15 puted through the anomalous diffraction approximation (Van de Hulst, 1957) assuming a refractive index of 1.05 for all groups (Claustre et al., 1999). For Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus we used mean sizes obtained from a few samples, whereas for the picophytoeukaryotes we used the mean cell size estimated for each sample (see supplemental material http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/2007/ 20 bgd-4-1461-2007-supplement.pdf). For samples where picophytoeukaryotes abundance was too low to determine their size we used the nearest sample value. For bacterioplankton we used a value of 0.5 μ m, as used by Claustre et al. (1999). Finally, once c_{veg} , c_{bact} and therefore c_{het} are determined, c_{det} is obtained directly by difference (Eq. 4). 25

$$c_{det} = c_{nveg} - c_{bact} - c_{het} = c_{nveg} - c_{bact} - 2c_{bact} = c_{nveg} - 3c_{bact}$$
(4)
1469

BGD 4, 1461-1497, 2007 **Picoplankton in the** eastern South Pacific C. Grob et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

(2)

Contributions to c_p by larger phytoplanktonic cells in the western and eastern part of the transect were estimated by assuming that peaks larger than 3 μ m in the particle size distribution data obtained either with the Coulter Counter or with a HIAC optical counter (Royco; Pacific Scientific) corresponded to autotrophic organ-

- ⁵ isms (see supplemental material http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/2007/ bgd-4-1461-2007-supplement.pdf). Coulter Counter data were only available for 1 (surface samples, ≤5 m) to 3 different depths. Thus, in order to obtain water column profiles for MAR, HNL, EGY and UPW, the estimated c_{large} were extrapolated by assuming $c_{\text{large}} = 0$ at the depth where no peak >3 µm was detected (usually below
- ¹⁰ 50 m). When only surface data were available, c_{large} was assumed to be negligible at the depth where chlorophyll fluorescence became lower than the surface one. Groupspecific attenuation signals were integrated from the surface down to 1.5 Ze (water column, $c_{0-1.5 \text{ Ze}}$) and from the surface to 50 m (surface layer, $c_{0-50 \text{ m}}$) to estimate their contribution to integrated c_{p} .
- ¹⁵ Finally, $c_p(660)$ was converted to particulate organic carbon (POC) by using the empirical relationship established by Claustre et al. (1999) for the tropical Pacific (Eq. 5), which has proven to be valid as part of BIOSOPE (see Stramski et al., 2007⁴).

 $POC(mg m^{-3}) = c_p(m^{-1}) \times 500(mg m^{-2})$

(5)

To evaluate the ability of Tchl*a* and c_p to trace spatial changes in picophytoplankton ²⁰ biomass along the transect we used local noon time data within the integration depth (0 to 1.5 Ze) from the stations where no large phytoplankton cells were detected with the particle counters (Coulter or HIAC), i.e., stations 3 to 15 + GYR. We chose these stations because we do not have intracellular carbon content data for larger cells to include in the photosynthetic carbon biomass estimates.

BGD

4, 1461-1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific



⁴Stramski, D., Reynolds, R., Babin, M., et al.: Relationships between the particulate organic carbon concentration and optical properties of surface waters in the South East Pacific and Atlantic Oceans, in preparation, 2007.

3 Results

The sampled transect included South Pacific Tropical Waters (SPTW), with a clear salinity maximum extending from the surface down to 150 m between HNL and GYR, Eastern South Pacific Central Waters (ESPCW) characterized by salinities of 34.5 to

⁵ 36 (Fig. 4h) and temperatures of 15 to 20°C at the centre of the gyre (GYR to EGY) and colder and fresher waters at the Chilean coast (Claustre et al., 2007¹). Limits between oligo-, meso- and eutrophic conditions were set at 133, 89 and 74.5°W according to the measured surface chlorophyll *a* concentrations, as explained above. Under oligotrophic conditions nitrate concentrations were close to 0 μM or undetectable between the surface and 150–200 m, and still very low (~2.5 μM) between the latter depth and 1.5 Ze (Fig. 4f). Expectedly, nutrient concentrations were higher under mesotrophic conditions and highest near the coast (see Raimbault et al., 2007²), whereas phos-

phate was never a limiting factor (Moutin et al., 2007^5).

- The hyper-oligotrophic centre of the South Pacific Subtropical Gyre (SPSG), i.e., the clearest waters of the world's ocean (Morel et al., 2007), was characterized by extremely low surface Tchl*a* concentrations (<0.03 mg m⁻³; see Ras et al., 2007³) and undetectable nutrient levels (see Raimbault et al., 2007²), greatly differing from the Marquesas Islands' mesotrophic conditions and the typical High Nutrient – Low Chlorophyll situation (i.e., HNL) encountered at the borders of the gyre, and the upwelling conditions observed at the coast.
 - - 3.1 Picoplankton numerical abundance

All groups' abundances tended to decrease towards the centre of the gyre. *Prochlorococcus* was highest at the western (up to 300×10^3 cells ml⁻¹ around 50 m, associated with SPTW) and eastern (up to 200×10^3 cells ml⁻¹ in the 50 to 100 m layer) bor-

⁵Moutin, T., Karl, D., Duhamel, S., et al.: Phosphate availability and the ultimate control of nitrate input by nitrogen fixation in the Pacific Ocean, in preparation, 2007.



ders of the oligotrophic region (Fig. 4a). Peaks in *Synechococcus* (up to 190×10³ cells ml⁻¹; Fig. 4b), picophytoeukaryotes (10–70×10³ cells ml⁻¹; Fig. 4c) and bacterio-plankton abundances (up to 2×10⁶ cells ml⁻¹; Fig. 4d) were registered near the coast. Deep *Prochlorococcus* (100–150×10³ cells ml⁻¹ between 50 and 200 m; Fig. 4a) and
⁵ picophytoeukaryotes (~2×10³ cells ml⁻¹ between 150 and 200 m; Fig. 4c) maxima were recorded at the centre of the gyre following the pattern of Tchla concentrations (~0.15 mg m⁻³; Fig. 4e), above the deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) for the former and within the DCM depth range for the latter (Figs. 4c and e). *Synechococcus* reached lower depth ranges than the rest of the groups everywhere along the transect (Fig. 4b).

- In terms of chlorophyll biomass, the importance of the DCM at the centre of the gyre is highlighted when comparing the surface-to-DCM average ratios for the different long stations: 0.67±0.13 at MAR, 0.44±0.04 at HNL, 0.12±0.02 at GYR and 0.27±0.02 at EGY.
- Water column integrated picoplankton abundance (0 to 1.5 Ze) was strongly dominated by bacterioplankton along the whole transect (83±7% of total picoplanktonic cells), followed by *Prochlorococcus* when present (up to 27% under oligotrophic conditions), the contributions by *Synechococcus* (0.1 to 3.7%) and picophytoeukaryotes (0.2 to 3.1%) being almost negligible. When not considering MAR, *Prochlorococcus* showed an evident positive relationship with surface temperature (Fig. 5a), which was
- ²⁰ representative of the general eastward decrease in water temperature within the integration depth (0 to 1.5Ze) along the transect (see Claustre et al., 2007¹). Picophytoeukaryotes and *Synechococcus* abundances did not follow the surface temperature trend. Bacterioplankton, on the other hand, followed *Prochlorococcus* pattern under oligotrophic conditions (Fig. 5b).
- Prochlorococcus integrated abundance was negatively correlated to Tchla, whereas bacterioplankton and Synechococcus (strongest correlation) were both positively correlated to this variable (Table 1). Bacterioplankton abundance covaried with phytoplankton biomass (Table 1). Except for Synechococcus and picophytoeukaryotes, no statistically significant correlations were observed between picoplanktonic groups (Ta-

BGD 4, 1461-1497, 2007 **Picoplankton in the** eastern South Pacific C. Grob et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

ble 1).

3.2 Picoplankton contributions to c_{p} , a proxy for POC

Mean pico- and large phytoplankton cell sizes used to estimate the groupspecific attenuation cross sections are summarized in Table 2 and compared 5 with values from the literature. No peaks >3 μ m were detected between Station 3 and 15, including GYR. The largest size difference was observed for the picophytoeukaryotes (Table 2). For this group, the attenuation coefficients were determined by changes in both size (decreasing towards the coast; see supplemental material http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/ 2007/bgd-4-1461-2007-supplement.pdf) and abundance, when considering a constant refractive index. As a result, for instance, an average decrease in mean cells size of 0.22 μ m (0.0056 μ m³) from MAR to HNL (see supplemental material http://www. biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/1461/2007/bgd-4-1461-2007-supplement.pdf) counteracts the higher cell abundance in the latter to modulate c_{euk} along the transect (Fig. 6

and Fig. 7). Cyanobacteria and bacterioplankton attenuation coefficients, on the other hand, varied only according to their abundances (see Sect. 2.1).

Along the transect, the shape and magnitude of the vertical c_p profiles were mainly determined by the non-vegetal compartment, with c_p and c_{nveg} presenting the same vertical pattern at all long stations (Fig. 6). At MAR and HNL, c_p was rather homogeneous in the top 50 m and declined below this depth, whereas c_{nveg} decreased systematically with depth (Figs. 6a and b). At GYR c_p and c_{nveg} subsurface maxima were both observed around 100 m, these two variables being highest around 40 m

- at EGY (Figs. 6c and d). Both $c_{\rm p}$ and $c_{\rm veg}$ tended to be lower under hyper- and oligotrophic conditions at the centre of the gyre and were highest at UPW (Fig. 6). Both
- ²⁵ *Prochlorococcus* (when present) and picophytoeukaryotes usually presented subsurface maxima in their attenuation coefficients (e.g., at GYR around 125 m for the former and between 150 and 250 m for the latter; Fig. 6c) except at UPW, where c_{euk} tended to decrease below 30 m (Fig. 6e). UPX profiles were included to highlight the differences

4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific



observed with UPW, the other upwelling station (Figs. 6e and f).

Total and group-specific integrated attenuation coefficients (0 to 1.5 Ze) tended all to decrease from the western side towards the center of the gyre and increased again towards the coast (Fig. 7a). The integrated non-vegetal attenuation coefficient (detritus + bacterioplankton + heterotrophic organisms) was quite variable, constituting \geq 70% 5 of $c_{0-1.5 \text{Ze}}$ in most of the transect, reaching the highest (83%) and lowest (50%) contributions at GYR and UPW, respectively (Fig. 7b). Detritus being estimated by difference (Eq. 4), c_{det} and c_{veg} 's contributions to $c_{0-1.5Ze}$ followed a general opposite trend, presenting similar values near the meso-oligotrophic limits (~128 and 87° W) (Fig. 7b). Detritus contribution to $c_{0-1.5\text{Ze}}$ was always \leq 50%, the lowest values being associated 10 with highest vegetal contributions (Fig. 7b). Interestingly, between the two extreme trophic conditions encountered at GYR (hyper-oligotrophic; see Claustre et al., 2007¹) and UPW (eutrophic), $c_{\rm 0-1.5\,Ze}$ and integrated $c_{\rm veq}$ increased ~2- and 6-fold, respectively, whereas integrated c_{nveg} and c_{det} were only ~ 1.2- and 1.1-fold higher at the upwelling station (Fig. 7a). Furthermore, in terms of contribution to $c_{0-1.5Ze}$, c_{vea} was 15 ~3 times higher at UPW, c_{nveg} and c_{det} representing only about half of the percentage estimated at GYR (Fig. 7b).

Mean integrated *Prochlorococcus* (when present) and picophytoeukaryotes contributions to $c_{0-1.5 \text{ Ze}}$ for the whole transect were equivalent (9.7±4.1 and 9.4±3.8%, respectively), although the latter were clearly more important under mesotrophic con-

- ²⁰ respectively), although the latter were clearly more important under mesotrophic conditions in both absolute values (Fig. 7a) and relative terms (Fig. 7b). *Synechococcus* attenuation coefficients were too low (Fig. 7a) to contribute significantly to c_p (only $1.0\pm1.0\%$ on average), so we did not include them in Fig. 7b. Bacterioplankton attenuation coefficients varied little along the transect and were always lower than all phyto-
- ²⁵ plankton combined (Fig. 7b). Large phytoplankton attenuation coefficients were lower than that of the picophytoplankton (cyanobacteria and picophytoeukaryotes combined) in the western part of the transect and higher or similar near the coast (Fig. 7a), their contributions to c_p following the same trend (included in c_{veg} 's contribution, Fig. 7b). When comparing $c_{0-1.5\text{Ze}}$ to $c_{0-50\text{ m}}$ and their integrated group-specific attenuation

BGD

4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific



coefficients, it becomes clear that not considering data below 50 m leads to very different results in most of the transect and especially at the centre of the gyre (Figs. 7a and c). For instance, whereas at UPW $c_{0-1.5Ze}$ and c_{0-50m} were equivalent, the former is 2- and the latter 13-fold higher than the corresponding GYR integrated values (Figs. 7a and c). Similarly, there was a 2-fold difference in c_{veg} 's contributions to $c_{0-1.5Ze}$ and

 c_{0-50m} at the centre of the gyre (Figs. 7b and d).

3.3 Phytoplanktonic carbon biomass stocks and spatial variability

To avoid the use of carbon conversion factors from the literature, in the present work we used two different approaches to estimate the picophyoteukaryotes carbon biomass:

- ¹⁰ (1) from intracellular carbon content (Figs. 7b; see Sect. 2.1) and (2) calculating c_{euk} contribution to c_p , the latter assumed to be equivalent to POC (see Sect. 2.2). Both approaches gave very similar results, indicating that the premise that all picophytoeukary-otic organisms have the same refractive index (~1.05) is valid for the sampled transect, even if we know that this group is usually constituted by diverse taxa (Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001). The above provides strong support for the use of optical techniques
- and theory to determine picophytoeukaryotes contribution to POC, under the sole condition of using actual mean cell sizes. Intracellular carbon contents used to estimate picophytoplankton biomass are given in Table 2.

Regarding spatial variability, both Tchl*a* (r=0.67) and c_p (r=0.53) were equally well correlated to the dominant picophytoplankton carbon biomass, i.e., *Prochlorococcus* + picophytoeukaryotes (Fig. 8). *Synechococcus* biomass, on the other hand, was negatively correlated to Tchl*a* (Fig. 8a) and positively to c_p (Fig. 8b). However, despite of the differences observed between this cyanobacterium and the other two groups, correlation coefficients calculated for total picophytoplankton biomass (i.e., dominant + *Synechococcus*; not shown) were not significantly different from those calculated for the dominant groups (Fig. 8). *Synechococcus* had no influence on the general relationships because of its negligible biomass (Fig. 8). Tchl*a* and c_p were therefore

useful in tracing total picophytoplanktonic carbon biomass.

BGD 4, 1461-1497, 2007 **Picoplankton in the** eastern South Pacific C. Grob et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

EGU

At the centre of the gyre (~120.36 to 98.39° W or Station 7 to 14 + GYR) the photosynthetic biomass (dominated by picophytoplankton) constituted ~18% of the total integrated POC (Fig. 7b). Even more interestingly, ~43% of this photosynthetic biomass would correspond to the picophytoeukaryotes (Fig. 9). Let us now assume that the contribution to integrated c_p by all phytoplanktonic groups is representative of their contribution to POC, as proven for the picophytoeukaryotes (see above). Under this assumption picophytoeukaryotes would constitute 51% of the total phytoplankton carbon biomass (large phytoplankton included) at MAR, about 39% at HNL and GYR and 43% at EGY. At UPW, however, where mean integrated POC estimated from c_p (see

- ¹⁰ Sect. 2.2) was ~6 g m⁻² (right axis on Fig. 7a), picophytoeukaryotes would only constitute 5% of the photosynthetic biomass (Fig. 9a). When considering the whole transect, picophytoeukaryotes mean contribution to the total photosynthetic carbon biomass was ~38%.
- Contributions to POC by *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* were ~1.7 and 1.5 ¹⁵ times higher when estimated from carbon biomasses rather than attenuation coefficients (not shown). Using these higher values for cyanobacteria and assuming that the contribution by large phytoplankton is equivalent to c_{large} 's contribution to c_{p} , picophytoeukaryotes mean contribution to the total photosynthetic carbon biomass along the transect would be ~30%, representing ~28 instead of 43% at the centre of the gyre (Fig. 9a). These contributions are slightly lower than the ones estimated through the optically-based approach, with almost all data points being below the 1-to-1 line relating both estimates (Fig. 9b).

4 Discussion and conclusion

- 4.1 Picoplankton abundance
- ²⁵ Macroecological studies indicate that 66% of the variance in picophytoplankton abundance can be explained by temperature (the dominant factor), nitrate and chlorophyll



a concentration (Li, 2007). It has also been established that higher *Prochlorococcus* abundances are observed in more stratified waters, whereas Synechococcus and picophytoeukaryotes are more abundant when mixing prevails (e.g. Blanchot and Rodier, 1996; Shalapyonok et al., 2001). Here we showed that across the eastern South Pacific

Ocean temperature, especially for *Prochlorococcus* and bacterioplankton, and nutrient 5 availability appear important in modulating picophytoplankton abundance, their influence varying according to the prevailing trophic conditions (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5).

As expected (e.g., Gasol and Duarte, 2000), integrated bacterioplankton abundances covaried with phytoplankton biomass (Table 1). Picophytoeukaryotes were the

- only group to vary independently from Tchla, suggesting that the factors controlling 10 picophytoplankton population, such as sinking, sensibility to radiation, grazing, viral infection, etc (Raven, 2005) acted differently on this group. Thus, the ecology of picophytoeukaryotes needs to be studied in further detail. Across the eastern South Pacific, surface bacterioplankton concentrations were similar to those found by Grob
- et al. (2007) at 32.5° S. However, in the deep layer of the hyper-oligotrophic part of 15 the gyre (200 m) this group was 2.5 times more abundant than published by Grob et al. (2007). Given the correlation between bacterioplankton and Tchla (Table 1), the latter could be attributed to the presence of deep *Prochlorococcus* and picophytoeukaryotes maxima that were not observed by Grob et al. (2007). Such deep maxima are a
- recurrent feature in the oligotrophic open ocean (Figs. 4a and c; Table 3). Along the 20 transect, picophytoplankton abundances were usually within the ranges established in the literature for oligo-, meso- and eutrophic regions of the world's ocean (see Table 3). It is worth noticing that our estimates for surface *Prochlorococcus* abundance were, to our knowledge, the lowest ever estimated for the open ocean (see Table 3), although a possible underestimation cannot be ruled out. 25

The presence of the mentioned groups under extreme poor conditions suggests a high level of adaptation to an environment where inorganic nutrients are below detection limit. Although little is known on picophytoeukaryotes metabolism, several cyanobacteria ecotypes have been shown to grow on urea and ammonium (Moore



et al., 2002). Ammonium uptake at the centre of the gyre was low but still detectable (Raimbault et al., this issue). Considering that heterotrophic bacteria would be responsible for ~40% of this uptake in marine environments (Kirchman, 2000), the possibility of surface picophytoplankton growing on this form of nitrogen at the centre of the gyre cannot be discarded.

4.2 Picoplankton contribution to c_p

The larger increase of integrated c_{veg} as compared to c_{nveg} observed between extreme trophic conditions (see Sect. 3.2) indicates that across the eastern South Pacific spatial variability in the vegetal compartment was more important than the non-vegetal one in shaping the water column optical properties, at least the particulate attenuation coefficient. As expected (e.g., Chung et al., 1996; Loisel and Morel, 1998; Claustre et al., 1999), c_p and c_{veg} tended to be lower under hyper- and oligotrophic conditions at the centre of the gyre and were highest at UPW. Here, the highest c_p and c_{veg} were associated with mature upwelling conditions characterized by the highest primary production (Moutin et al., 2007⁵) and Tchl*a* (Fig. 4e), and low nutrient concentration (Fig. 4f; Raimbault et al., 2007²).

Although the non-vegetal particles tended to dominate the c_p signal, and therefore POC, regardless of trophic condition (Fig. 7b; e.g., Chung et al., 1998; Claustre et al., 1999; Oubelkheir et al., 2005), this dominance seems to weaken from oligo- to eutrophic conditions (Claustre et al., 1999; this study). Here we showed that under mature

- ²⁰ trophic conditions (Claustre et al., 1999; this study). Here we showed that under mature upwelling conditions (UPW) the contribution by vegetal and non-vegetal particles may even be equivalent (Fig. 7b), in contrast with the invariant ~ 80% c_{nveg} contribution estimated by Oubelkheir et al. (2005) for different trophic conditions. We therefore emphasize the importance of using complementary data to interpret bio-optical measure-
- ²⁵ ments since, for instance, the ~2.3-fold difference in c_{veg} 's contribution to c_{p} observed between our UPW results and those published by Ouberkheir et al. (2005) seems to be related to the state of development of the upwelling event (mature versus early).

At the hyper-oligotrophic centre of the gyre, c_{euk} contribution to $c_{0-1.5\text{Ze}}$ was equiv-



alent to the one possibly overestimated (because of the larger cell size assumed) by Claustre et al. (1999). The above highlights the importance of making good size estimates when decomposing the total attenuation signal since, for example, a difference of $1.02 \,\mu$ m in size leads to a 10-fold difference in the scattering cross-section ⁵ calculated for picophytoeukaryotes (Claustre et al., 1999; Oubelkheir et al., 2005). In the present work, picophytoplankton populations were isolated on board by flowcytometry cell sorting in order to measure their actual sizes using a particle counter (see Sect. 2.1). It is the first time to our knowledge that such direct measurements have been done in the field. By establishing a relationship with FSC to estimate actual picophytoplankton cell size (Fig. 3a), we confirmed that picophytoeukaryotes were 10 more important contributors to c_n than cyanobacteria under both meso- and eutrophic conditions (Claustre et al., 1999). Differences in cell size (Table 2) would also explain the much lower Synechococcus contribution to $c_{\rm p}$ observed in the hyper-oligotrophic centre of the gyre compared to that published by Claustre et al. (1999) for the tropical

Pacific (16° S, 150° W). 15

4.3 Phytoplankton carbon biomass stocks and spatial variability

One of the most important observations of the present study is that spatial variability in open ocean picophytoplankton carbon biomass can be traced by changes in both Tchla and $c_{\rm p}$ (Fig. 8). While chlorophyll concentration has widely been used as a proxy for photosynthetic carbon biomass, the use of $c_{\rm p}$ is more controversial. For instance, al-20 though c_p seems to be a better estimate of phytoplankton biomass than Tchla in Case I waters (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2003) and within the mixed layer of the eastern Equatorial Pacific (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2006), chlorophyll concentration would work better in subtropical stratified waters (Huot et al., 2007⁶). Our results indicate that Tchla and $c_{\rm p}$ would be equally useful estimates of photosynthetic carbon biomass in the open

⁶Huot, Y., Babin, M., Bruyant, F., et al.: Does chlorophyll a provide the best index of phytoplankton biomass for primary productivity studies?, submitted, 2007.



ocean, where it is mainly constituted by picophytoplankton ($\leq 3 \mu m$). c_p measurements being much less time-consuming than determining chlorophyll concentration, the former seems a good alternative for large scale open ocean surveys.

Although when present Prochlorococcus largely dominates in terms of abundance,

- ⁵ the picophytoeukaryotes would constitute on average ~38% of the total integrated phytoplankton carbon biomass (*Prochlorococcus* + *Synechococcus* + picophytoeukaryotes + large phytoplankton) estimated from c_{euk} 's contribution to c_{veg} (Fig. 8; see Sect. 3.3). Furthermore, under oligotrophic conditions this group constituted ~43% of the photosynthetic carbon biomass. Picophytoeukaryotes contributions obtained by
- estimating cyanobacteria biomass from intracellular carbon content were probably underestimated compared to c_{veg} (Fig. 8b) because of the conversion factor used for *Prochlorococcus* (Table 2). We believe that establishing a relationship between intracellular carbon content and FSC for this cyanobacterium, as we did for *Synechococcus* and picophytoeukaryotes, would lead to contributions similar to those estimated using attenuation coefficients. It is worth noticing that higher or lower cyanobacteria carbon biomasses would only modify the ventercent of the biomass relationships with Tchla
- biomasses would only modify the y-intercept of the biomass relationships with Tchla and c_p (Fig. 8), but not their slope or their strength.

When normalized to $1 \,\mu m^3$, maximal growth rates estimated for picophytoeukaryotes are higher than for *Prochlorococcus* (Raven, 2005, and references therein). Consider-

- ing that the former are ~16 times larger than the latter in terms of mean cell volume, the amount of carbon passing through the picophytoeukaryotes could be very important. For the same reason, this group could also be the most important contributor to export fluxes in the open ocean, since picophytoplankton share to this carbon pathway seems to be much more important than previously thought (Richardson and Jackson, and Jackson
- ²⁵ 2007; Barber, 2007). The role of this group in carbon and energy flow would therefore be crucial.

Picophytoeukaryotes carbon biomass in the open ocean seems to be much more important than previously thought. Across the eastern South Pacific, this group's biomass is almost equivalent to that of *Prochlorococcus* under hyper-oligotrophic conditions and

BGD				
4, 1461–1497, 2007				
Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific				
C. Grob et al.				
Title	Page			
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	►I.			
•	•			
Back Close				
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

even more important under mesotrophic ones. The role of picophytoeukaryotes in biogeochemical cycles needs to be evaluated in the near future. Further attention needs to be focused on this group.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Chilean National Commission for Scien tific and Technological Research (CONICYT) through the FONDAP Program and a graduate fellowship to CG; the ECOS (Evaluation and Orientation of the Scientific Cooperation, France) CONICYT Program, the French program PROOF (Processus Biogeochimiques dans l'Océan et Flux), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), the Institut des Sciences de l'Univers (INSU), the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES), the European Space Agency
 (ESA), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the Natural Sciences

- and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). This is a contribution of the BIOSOPE project of the LEFE-CYBER program. D. Tailliez and C. Bournot are warmly thanked for their efficient help in CTD rosette management and data processing. We also thank the scientific party and the Captain and crew of the RV L'Atalante during the BIOSOPE Expedition; Fanny Thibbes for her help with laboratory works L. Farías and M. Callagae for argamic asthen analy
- ¹⁵ Thièche for her help with laboratory work; L. Farías and M. Gallegos for organic carbon analyses, B. Gentili for PAR data processing and R. Wiff for help with statistical analyses.

References

20

Antoine, D., André, J. M., and Morel, A.: Oceanic primary production II. Estimation at global scale from satellite (Coastal Zone Color Scanner) chlorophyll, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 10, 57–69, 1996.

Barber, R. T.: Picoplankton Do Some Heavy Lifting, Science, 315, 777-778, 2007.

- Behrenfeld, M. J. and Boss, E.: The beam attenuation to chlorophyll ratio: an optical index of phytoplankton physiology in the surface ocean?, Deep Sea Res. Part I, 50, 1537–1549, 2003.
- Behrenfeld, M. J. and Boss, E.: Beam attenuation and chlorophyll concentration as alternative optical indices of phytoplankton biomass, J. Mar. Res., 64, 431–451, 2006.
 - Bissett, W. P., Walsh, J. J., Dieterle, D. A., and Carder, K. L.: Carbon cycling in the upper waters of the Sargasso Sea: I. Numerical simulation of differential carbon and nitrogen fluxes, Deep Sea Res. I, 46, 205–269, 1999.

BGD				
4, 1461–1497, 2007				
Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific				
C. Gro	b et al.			
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables Figures				
14	۶I			
•	•			
Back Close				
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

- Blanchot, J. and Rodier, M.: Picophytoplankton abundance and biomass in the western Tropical Pacific Ocean during the 1992 El Nino year: Results from flow cytometry, Deep-Sea Res., 43, 877–896, 1996.
- Campbell, L. and Vaulot, D.: Photosynthetic picoplankton community structure in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean near Hawaii (station ALOHA), Deep Sea Res. I, 40, 2043–2060, 1993.
 - Carr, M.-E., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Schmeltz, M., Aita, M. N., Antoine, D., Arrigo, K. R., Asanuma, I., Aumont, O., Barber, R., Behrenfeld, M., Bidigare, R., Buitenhuis, E. T., Campbell, J., Ciotti, A., Dierssen, H., Dowell, M., Dunne, J., Esaias, W., Gentili, B., Gregg, W., Groom, S.,
- Hoepffner, N., Ishizaka, J., Kameda, T., Quéré, C. L., Lohrenz, S., Marra, J., Mélin, F., Moore, K., Morel, A., Reddy, T. E., Ryan, J., Scardi, M., Smyth, T., Turpie, K., Tilstone, G., Waters, K., and Yamanaka, Y.: A comparison of global estimates of marine primary production from ocean color, Deep Sea Res. II, 53, 741–770, 2006.
- Chavez, F. P., Buck, K. R., Bidigare, R. R., Karl, D. M., Hebel, D., Latasa, M., and Campbell, L.: On the chlorophyll a retention properties of glass-fiber GF/F filters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 40, 428–433, 1995.
 - Chen, C.-T. A., Liu, K.-K., and MacDonald, R. W.: Continental margin exchanges. Ocean Biogeochemistry, in: The Role of the Ocean Carbon Cycle in Global Change, edited by Fasham, M. J. R., IGBP Book Series, Springer, 53–97, 2003.
- ²⁰ Chisholm, S. W., Olson, R. J., Zettler, E. R., Goericke, R., Waterbury, J. B., and Welschmeyer, N. A.: A novel free-living prochlorophyte occurs at high cell concentrations in the oceanic euphotic zone, Nature, 334, 340–343, 1988.
 - Chung, S. P., Gardner, W. D., Richardson, M. J., Walsh, I. D., and Landry, M. R.: Beam attenuation and microorganisms: spatial and temporal variations in small particles along 14 O" W during the 1992 JGOFS EqPac transects, Deep Sea Res. II, 43, 1205–1226, 1996.
- ²⁵ during the 1992 JGOFS EqPac transects, Deep Sea Res. II, 43, 1205–1226, 1996. Chung, S. P., Gardner, W. D., Landry, M. R., Richardson, M. J., and Walsh, I. D.: Beam attenuation by microorganisms and detrital particles in the Equatorial Pacific, J. Geophys. Res., 103(C6), 12 669–12 681, 1998.

Claustre, H., Morel, A., Babin, M., Cailliau, C., Marie, D., Marty, J. C., Tailliez, D., and Vaulot, D.:

- ³⁰ Variability in particle attenuation and chlorophyll fluorescence in the Tropical Pacific: Scales, patterns, and biogeochemical implications, J. Geophys. Res., 104(C2), 3401–3422, 1999.
 - DuRand, M. D. and Olson, R. J.: Contributions of phytoplankton light scattering and cell concentration changes to diel variations in beam attenuation in the equatorial Pacific from flow

BGD
4, 1461–1497, 2007
coplankton in the stern South Pacific
C. Grob et al.
Title Page

Pi eas

Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I	۶I			
•	•			
Back Close				
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

cytometric measurements of pico-, ultra- and nanoplankton, Deep Sea Res. Part II, 43, 891–906, 1996.

- Falkowski, P. G., Barber, R. T., and Smetacek, V.: Biogeochemical Controls and Feedbacks on Ocean Primary Production, Science, 200–206, 1998.
- ⁵ Field, C. B., Behrenfeld, M. J., Randerson, J. T., and Falkowski, P.: Primary Production of the Biosphere: Integrating Terrestrial and Oceanic Components, Science, 281, 237–240, 1998.
 - Gardner, W. D., Mishonov, A. V., and Richardson, M. J.: Global POC concentrations from in-situ and satellite data, Deep Sea Res. II, 53, 718–740, 2006.
 - Gasol, J. M. and Duarte, C. M.: Comparative analyses in aquatic microbial ecology: how far do they go?, FEMS Microbiol Ecol., 31, 99–106, 2000.
- Grob, C., Ulloa, O., Li, W. K. W., Alarcón, G., Fukasawa, M., and Watanabe, S.: Picoplankton abundance and biomass across the eastern South Pacific Ocean along latitude 32.5° S, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 332, 53–62, 2007.

10

Gundersen, K., Heldal, M., Norland, S., Purdie, D. A., and Knap, A. H.: Elemental C, N, and

- ¹⁵ P cell content of individual bacteria collected at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) site, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47, 1525–1530, 2002.
 - Kirchman, D. L.: Uptake and regeneration of inorganic nutrients by marine heterotrophic bacteria, in: Microbial ecology of the oceans, edited by: Kirchman, D.L., Wiley-Liss, NewYork, 261–288, 2000.
- Landry, M. R., Brown, S. L., Neveux, J., Dupouy, C., Blanchot, J., Christensen, S., and Bidigare, R. R.: Phytoplankton growth and microzooplankton grazing in high-nutrient, low-chlorophyll waters of the equatorial Pacific: Community and taxon-specific rate assessments from pigment and flow cytometric analyses, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8142–8156, 2003.

Le Quéré, C, Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., Buitenhuis, E. T., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Claustre,

H., Cunha, L. C. D., Geider, R., Giraud, X., Klaas, C., Kohfeld, K. E., Legendre, L., Manizza, M., Platt, T., Rivkin, R. B., Sathyendranath, S., Uitz, J., Watson, A. J., and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: Ecosystem dynamics based on plankton functional types for global ocean biogeochemistry models, Glob. Change Biol., 11, 2016–2040, 2005.

Li, W. K. W.: Primary production of prochlorophytes, cyanobacteria, and eucaryotic ultraphy-

- toplankton: Measurements from flow cytometric sorting, Limnol. Oceanogr., 39, 169–175, 1994.
 - Li, W. K. W.: Composition of ultraphytoplankton in the Central North Atlantic, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 122, 1–8, 1995.

BGD				
4, 1461–1497, 2007				
Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific				
C. Grob et al.				
The	гауе			
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables Figures				
I	►I.			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

- Loisel, H. and Morel, A.: Light scattering and chlorophyll concentration in case 1 waters: A reexamination, Limnol. Oceanogr., 43, 847–858, 1998.
- Mackey, D. J., Blanchot, J., Higgins, H. W., and Neveux, J.: Phytoplankton abundances and community structure in the equatorial Pacific, Deep Sea Res. II, 49, 2561–2582, 2002.
- ⁵ Marie, D., Partensky, F., Simon, N., Guillou, L., and Vaulot, D.: Flow cytometry analysis of marine picoplankton, in: Living Colors: Protocols in Flow Cytometry and Cell sorting, edited by: Diamond, R. A., DeMaggio, S., 421–454, 2000.
 - Moon van der Staay, S. Y., Wachter, R. D., and Vaulot, D.: Oceanic 18S rDNA sequences from picoplankton reveal unsuspected eukaryotic diversity, Nature, 409, 607–610, 2001.
- Moore, L. R., Post, A. F., Rocap, G., and Chisholm, S. W.: Utilization of different nitrogen sources by the marine cyanobacteria *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47, 989–996, 2002.
 - Morel, A. and Ahn, Y.-H.: Optics of heterotrophic nanoflagellates and ciliates: A tentative assessment of their scattering role in oceanic waters compared to those of bacterial and algal cells, J. Mar. Res., 49, 177–202, 1991.
- Morel, A., Gentili, B., Claustre, H., Babin, M., Bricaud, A., Ras, J., and Tièche, F.: Optical properties of the "clearest" natural waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 52, 217–229, 2007.

15

20

- Not, F., Valentin, K., Romari, K., Lovejoy, C., Massana, R., Töbe, K., Vaulot, D., and Medlin, L. K.: Picobiliphytes: A Marine Picoplanktonic Algal Group with Unknown Affinities to Other Eukaryotes, Science, 315, 253–255, 2007.
- Oubelkheir, K., Claustre, H., and Babin, A. S.: Bio-optical and biogeochemical properties of different trophic regimes in oceanic waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 50, 1795–1809, 2005.
 - Preisendorfer, R. W.: Application of radiative transfer theory to light measurements in the sea, Monogr., 10, 11–30, Int Union Geod Geophys, Paris, 1961.
- Raven, J. A., Finkel, Z. V., and Irwin, A. J.: Picophytoplankton: bottom-up and top-down controls on ecology and evolution, Vie Milieu, 55, 209–215, 2005.
 - Richardson, T. L. and Jackson, G. A.: Small Phytoplankton and Carbon Export from the Surface Ocean, Science, 315, 838–840, 2007.
 - Shalapyonok, A., Olson, R. J., and Shalapyonok, L. S.: Arabian Sea phytoplankton during
- Southwest and Northeast Monsoons 1995: composition, size structure and biomass from individual cell properties measured by flow cytometry, Deep-Sea Res. Part II, 48, 1231– 1261, 2001.

Sherr, E. B., Sherr, B. F., and Wheeler, P. A.: Distribution of coccoid cyanobacteria and small

BGD 4, 1461–1497, 2007 **Picoplankton in the** eastern South Pacific C. Grob et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

eukaryotic phytoplankton in the upwelling ecosystem off the Oregon coast during 2001 and 2002, Deep Sea Res. II, 52, 317–330, 2005.

- Ulloa, O., Sathyendranath, S., Platt, T., and Quiñones, R. A.: Light scattering by marine heterotrophic bacteria, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 9619–9629, 1992.
- Van de Hulst, H. C.: Light scattering by small particles, Wiley, New York, 1957.
 Vaulot, D.: CYTOPC: Processing software for flow cytometric data. Signal and Noise, 2, 8, 1989.
 - Vaulot, D. and Marie, D.: Diel variability of photosynthetic picoplankton in the equatorial Pacific, J Geophys. Res., 104(C2), 3297–3310, 1999.
- ¹⁰ Veldhuis, M. J. W. and Kraay, G. W.: Phytoplankton in the subtropical Atlantic Ocean: towards a better assessment of biomass and composition. Deep Sea Res. I, 51, 507–530, 2004.
 - Waterbury, J. B., Watson, S. W., Guillard, R. R. L., and Brand, L. E.: Widespread occurrence of a unicellular, marine planktonic, cyanobacterium, Nature, 277, 293–294, 1979.

Worden, A. Z., Nolan, J. K., and Palenik, B.: Assessing the dynamics and ecology of marine

- picophytoplankton: The importance of the eukaryotic component, Limnol. Oceanogr., 49, 168–179, 2004.
 - Zubkov, M. V., Sleigh, M. A., Burkill, P. H., and Leakey, R. J. G.: Picoplankton community structure on the Atlantic Meridional Transect: a comparison between seasons, Prog. Oceanogr., 45, 369–386, 2000.

4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific



Table 1. Correlation matrix for log integrated (0 to 1.5Ze) picoplankton abundances (*Proc* = *Prochlorococcus*, Syn = Synechococcus, Euk = picophytoeukaryotes and Bact = bacterioplankton; x 10¹¹ cells m⁻²) and log total chlorophyll *a* (Tchla; mg m⁻²). Picophytoplankton = Proc + Syn + Euk; picoplankton = *Proc* + *Syn* + Euk + Bact.

	Proc	Syn	Euk	Bact	Tchl <i>a</i>
Proc	1.00	n.s	n.s	n.s	-0.42*
Syn	_	1.00	0.68**	n.s	0.82**
Euk	_	_	1.00	n.s	n.s
Bact	-	-	-	1.00	0.46*
Picophytoplankton	-	-	-	-	0.58*
Picoplankton	_	_	_	_	0.61**

Upper right values show correlation coefficients with their corresponding level of significance: ** significance level <0.001; * significance level <0.05; n.s., not statistically significant.

BGD 4, 1461–1497, 2007 Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific C. Grob et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction



Table 2. Picoplankton mean cell size (μ m), volume (μ m³) and intracellular carbon content (fgC $cell^{-1}$).

Group	Mean cell size (μ m)	Mean cell volume (μ m ³)	Intracellular carbon content (fgC cell ⁻¹)	Reference
Prochlorococcus	0.68±0.08	0.17	29±11***	1
	0.74	0.21	-	2
	0.7	0.18	-	3
	0.63±0.2	0.13	29	4
Synechococcus	0.86±0.1* and 1.16±0.02**	0.33 and 0.82	60±19* and 140±9**	1
	0.90	0.38		2
	1.2	0.90		3
	0.95±0.31	0.45	100	4
Picophytoeukaryotes	1.74 ± 0.13 (range = 1.37 to 1.99)	2.76	730±226 (range = 257 to 1266)	1
	1.26	1.05	-	2
	2.28	6.21	-	3
	2.35	6.8	1500	4
Large phytoplankton	3.3 (MAR) to ~20 (UPW)	18.8 to 4189	-	1
	10 to 22	523.6 to 5575.28	-	2
	6 to 13	113.1 to 1150.35	-	5
Bacterioplankton	0.5	0.07	-	1, 3
·	0.56	0.09	-	2
	0.46±0.14	0.05	-	4
	0.52 to 0.63	0.07 to 0.13	-	6
	0.15 to 0.73	0.002 to 2	-	7

This study

² Chung et al., 1998; Equatorial Pacific

³ Claustre et al., 1999; tropical Pacific Ocean

⁴ Zubkov et al., 2000; North and South Atlantic Subtropical Gyres

⁵ Oubelkheir et al., 2005; Mediterranean Sea

⁶ Ulloa et al., 1992; Sargasso Sea

⁷ Gundersen et al., 2002; Bermuda Atlantic Time Series (BATS)

* For most of the transect and ** for UPX, the most coastal station. *** Obtained using the conversion factor 171 ± 15 fgC μ m³ derived from *Synechococcus* (see Sect. 2.1).



4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific



Table 3. *Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus* and picophytoeukaryotes abundances $(\times 10^3 \text{ cells ml}^{-1})$ registered during spring time in different regions of the world's ocean under varying trophic conditons.

Trophic condition	Prochlorococcus	Synechococcus	Picophytoeukaryotes	Reference
Hyper-oligotrophic	16–18* 150–160 (125 m)	1.2–1.6* 0.8–1.4 (125 m)	0.76–1.3* 1.8–2.3 (175 m)	1 (GYR)
Oligotrophic	35–40° 200–250 (50–75 m)	6.9–8.6* 20 (50 m)	4.5–4.9* 14 (60 m)	1 (EGY)
	240 (0 to 100 m)	1.5 (0 to 100 m)	0.8-1 (0 to 100 m)	2
	30° 200 (120 m)	0.7* 1–1.5 (50–125 m)	0.5* 2 (140–150 m)	3
	100–150 [*] 100 (120 m)	3–30° 1 (120–160 m)	0.6–2* 1–2 (80–120 m)	4
	115* 150–200 (50–100 m)	0.2-1 (0 to 100 m)	0.25–0.5 [*] Up to 3 (100 m)	5
	60 (0 to 100 m)	2.5 (0 to 50-100 m)	2–4* 2 (100 m)	6
HNL	200 (surf) 270 (30–60 m)	10–28 (surf) 25 (50 m)	5-9 (0 to 80 m)	1
	150-300 (0 to 80 m)	3-5 (0 to 80 m)	0.6-1 (0 to 100 m)	3
	200 (0 to 50 m) 100 (80 m)	8 (0 to 100 m)	3 (0 to 100 m)	7
	200 (30 and 60 m)	15 and 13 (30 and 60 m)	6 and 5 (30 and 60 m)	8
Mesotrophic	50-60 (0 to 80 m)	17–20 (0 to 60 m)	3–5 (0 to 80 m)	1 (MAR)
	30–200* 1–40 (100 m)	5–44* 0.2–3 (100 m)	3–18* 0.4–4 (100 m)	6
Eutrophic	-	60–200	5–10	1 (UPW)
	-	50–250	10–60	9
	-	Up to 150	Up to 80–90	10

BGD

4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific

C. Grob et al.



*Surface data

¹ This study

² Campbell and Vaulot, 1993; Subtropical North Pacific (ALOHA)

³ Vaulot et al., 1999; Subtropical Pacific (16° S; 150° W). These authors considered their surface *Prochlorococcus* abundances as "severely underestimated".

⁴ Zubkov et al., 2000; North and South Atlantic Subtropical Gyres, ⁵ Veldhuis and Kraay; 2004; Eastern North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre, ⁶ Grob et al., 2007; Eastern South Pacific, ⁷ Mackey et al., 2002; ⁸ Landry et al., 2003; ⁹ Worden et al., 2004; Southern California Bight, North Pacific, ¹⁰ Sherr et al., 2005; Oregon upwelling ecosystem, North Pacific



4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific

C. Grob et al.



Fig. 1. BIOSOPE transect. In this study we include data from stations 1–8, 11–15 and 17–21, MAR, HNL, GYR, EGY, UPW (W) and UPX (X).

4, 1461-1497, 2007









Fig. 3. Log-log relationships established between the flow cytometric forward scatter signal (FSC), expressed in units relative to reference beads (relative units, r.u.), and mean cell size (a) and intracellular carbon (C) content (b). In (a), mean cell sizes measured on natural populations isolated in situ (empty circles) as well as on populations from culture (filled circles) are included. Mean intracellular carbon contents in (b) were obtained from culture cells. Carbon measurements were performed on triplicate with $\leq 5\%$ of standard deviation ^{**} indicates p<0.0001.

4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific







Fig. 4. *Prochlorococcus* (a), *Synechococcus* (b), picophytoeukaryotes (c) and bacterioplankton (d) abundances ($\times 10^3$ cells ml⁻¹), total chlorophyll *a* concentration in mg m⁻³ (e), nitrate concentrations in μ mol L⁻¹ (f), total particulate attenuation coefficient in m⁻¹ (g) and salinity (h). Vertical black lines indicate from left to right the limits between meso- (M), oligo- (O), meso- (M) and eutrophic (E) conditions. Horizontal black dashed line corresponds to the depth of the 1.5 Ze. Black dashed square in (a) indicates where Prochlorococcus abundances were estimated from dv-chl*a* concentration.

4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific





Fig. 5. *Prochlorococcus* (a), and bacterioplankton (b) integrated abundances (0 to 1.5 Ze, $\times 10^{11} \text{ cells ml}^{-1}$) as a function of temperature along the transect. Vertical lines indicate the limits established between meso- (M), oligo- (O) and eutrophic (E) conditions.



Fig. 6. Mean *Prochlorococcus* (c_{proc}), *Synechococcus* (c_{syn}), picophytoeukaryotes (c_{euk}), bacterioplankton (c_{bact}). Insets contain the vegetal (c_{veg}), non-vegetal (c_{nveg}), and total particulate attenuation coefficients (c_p) in m⁻¹. For MAR (**a**), HNL (**b**), GYR (**c**), EGY (**d**), UPW (**e**) and UPX (**f**). Note that UPW and UPX scales are equal to each other and different from the rest. For MAR, HNL, GYR and EGY all scale are the same except for GYR's c_p , c_{veg} and c_{nveg} . Horizontal bars represent standard deviations.

BGD 4, 1461-1497, 2007 **Picoplankton in the** eastern South Pacific C. Grob et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures Tables** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU



Fig. 7. Integrated *Prochlorococcus* (c_{proc}), *Synechococcus* (c_{syn}), picophytoeukaryotes (c_{euk}), nanophytoplankton (c_{large}), bacterioplankton (c_{bact}), heterotrophs (c_{het}) and detritus (c_{det}) attenuation coefficients for the 0 to 1.5 Ze layer (**a**) and 0 to 50 m (**c**) and c_{proc} , c_{euk} , c_{det} , vegetal (c_{veg}) and non-vegetal (c_{nveg}) contributions to the corresponding total integrated attenuation coefficients (**b** and **d**). Black dashed lines in (a) and (c) correspond to the total integrated particulate organic carbon concentration (— POC, g m⁻²) estimated from c_p using Claustre et al. (1999) relationship (see Sect. 3.4). M, O and E stand for meso-, oligo- and eutrophic conditions (top of each panel). H, G, EG and W indicate HNL, GYR, EGY and UPW stations.







4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific







4, 1461–1497, 2007

Picoplankton in the eastern South Pacific

