

A simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation on primary productivity for global vegetation models

G. Krinner, Philippe Ciais, N. Viovy, P. Friedlingstein

▶ To cite this version:

G. Krinner, Philippe Ciais, N. Viovy, P. Friedlingstein. A simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation on primary productivity for global vegetation models. 2008. hal-00330222

HAL Id: hal-00330222 https://hal.science/hal-00330222

Preprint submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Biogeosciences Discussions, 2, 1243–1282, 2005 www.biogeosciences.net/bgd/2/1243/ SRef-ID: 1810-6285/bgd/2005-2-1243 European Geosciences Union



Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of Biogeosciences

A simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation on primary productivity for global vegetation models

G. Krinner¹, P. Ciais², N. Viovy², and P. Friedlingstein³

¹Laboratoire de Glaciologie et de Géophysique de l'Environnement, CNRS-UJF Grenoble 1, France
³Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA-CNRS Saclay, France

Received: 4 August 2005 - Accepted: 25 August 2005 - Published: 30 August 2005

Correspondence to: G. Krinner (krinner@ujf-grenoble.fr)

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation



Abstract

Nitrogen limitation of ecosystem productivity is ubiquitous, and it is thought that it has and will have a significant impact on net ecosystem productivity, and thus carbon sequestration, in the context of ongoing future increase of atmospheric CO₂ concentra-

- tion and climate change. However, many vegetation models do not represent nitrogen limitation, and might thus overestimate future terrestrial C sequestration. This work presents a simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation that can be easily implemented in vegetation models which do not yet include a complete nitrogen cycle. This parameterization is based on the ratio between heterotrophic respiration (considered a
- ¹⁰ "proxy" of net mineralization rate) and net primary productivity of the ecosystem (considered a "proxy" of nitrogen demand). It is implemented in a global vegetation model and tested against site experiments of CO₂ fertilization and soil warming. Furthermore, global simulations of past and future CO₂ fertilization are carried out and compared to other model results and available estimates of global C sequestration. It is shown that when N limitation is taken into account using the simple parameterization presented
- here, the model reproduces fairly realistically the carbon dynamics observed under CO₂ fertilization and soil warming.

1. Introduction

Our lack of knowledge about the possible positive or negative feedbacks due to modified carbon fluxes of the terrestrial biosphere contribute to the present uncertainties in climate change predictions. Higher atmospheric CO_2 concentrations (C_a) will certainly lead to increased carbon sequestration by the terrestrial biosphere. However, Hungate et al. (2003) showed that the vegetation models used in the Third IPCC Assessment Report (IPCC, 2001) are likely to overestimate this carbon accumulation because nutrient – in particular nitrogen – limitation is not taken into account in most state-of-the-art

models. This is also shown by model intercomparison studies (e.g. Kicklighter et al.,

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

1999) which show that the present simulated current terrestrial carbon sink caused by CO_2 fertilization is clearly lower when nitrogen limitation is taken into account.

Nitrogen limitation is ubiquitous in terrestrial ecosystems (Vitousek and Howarth, 1991), but it is particularly strong in boreal and arctic regions (Hobbie et al., 2002)

- ⁵ because cold and often wet conditions act to slow the release of mineral N from organic matter and to impede the oxidation of organic C to CO₂. However, a model-based study by Schimel et al. (1997) indicates that in steady state conditions, water/energy and nitrogen limitation will tend to "equilibrate", because carbon, water, and nitrogen fluxes are strongly correlated. Therefore, taking nitrogen limitation into account in model at the strong of a millibrium state and the schedule to be severe to
- studies of equilibrium states might not be absolutely necessary, except in permafrost regions where such an equilibrium cannot be obtained because soil organic matter "disappears" from the active biosphere (through incorporation of this matter into the permafrost below the active layer) before decomposition and mineralization can occur. On the other hand, Schimel et al. (1997) note that, because carbon, water, and nitrogen
- ¹⁵ cycles have different response times to perturbations, studies of transient climate or environmental change require that the interactions between these cycles be taken into account. The concept of "progressive nitrogen limitation" (Luo et al., 2004) constitutes a framework for the understanding of these transient effects in the case of present-day C_a increase and climate change. Progressive nitrogen limitation describes the tendency
- of available soil N to become increasingly limiting as C and N are sequestered in longlived plant biomass and soil organic matter. Implicitly, it supposes a quasi-closed N cycle in which nitrogen fluxes within the ecosystem are much more important than external sources or losses; this view seems justified (Schimel et al., 1997; Nadelhoffer et al., 1999), particularly in the context of adjustment to rapid climate change or C_a increase.

A long-term CO_2 fertilization experiment in a northern mid-latitude forest (FACE_P, Oren et al., 2001) showed nitrogen limitation of annual C increment in woody tissue after a few years of initially increased productivity (DeLucia et al., 2004). This limitation was caused by the gradual depletion of soil N due to the increased demand. A

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract References Conclusions **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

similar effect had been reported before by Oechel et al. (1994) for CO₂ fertilization experiments in the Arctic tundra. In these experiments, the net ecosystem CO₂ fertilization effect, initially positive, vanished in the third year of the treatment. When, in addition to C_a , temperature was artificially increased by 4°C, the net ecosystem CO₂

- fertilization effect remained positive in the third year of the treatment, probably because of increased nutrient availability in the soil due to increased microbial activity. This is coherent with the results of a soil warming experiment in the Harvard mixed temperate forest (Melillo et al., 2002), which showed increased nitrogen availability, and therefore more vigorous plant growth, as a consequence of the stimulated microbial activity.
- Melillo et al. (2002) concluded that the more vigorous plant growth had the potential to offset the increased soil microbial respiration. However, such an effect can be transient in turn. In a long-term CO₂ fertilization experiment in a grassland, Hu et al. (2001) reported reduced microbial decomposition, due to nitrogen limitation, after a few years of increased microbial activity and accelerated C cycling (Hungate et al., 1997). For
- ¹⁵ cases of particularly strong nutrient limitation, total absence of any CO₂ fertilization effect has been reported reported. For example, Hoosbeek et al. (2001) report that a CO₂ fertilization experiment on ombotrophic bog vegetation in Finland, using FACE technology, yielded only insignificant plant biomass increase. On the other hand, some CO₂ fertilization experiments, such as the FACE experiment in a sweetgum plantation
- on Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park in Tennessee, USA (Norby et al., 2002), did not show any signs on progressive nitrogen limitation during the course of the experiment, with the CO₂ fertilization effect remaining more or less constant.

One of the main reasons why many vegetation models in use today do not represent the nitrogen cycle is that the interactions between the carbon and nitrogen cycle are

extremely complicated and far from perfectly understood. For example, it has long been thought that, in boreal ecosystems, warming would accelerate decomposition of plant litter and therefore lead to increased nutrient availability, which, in turn, would stimulate plant production and increase ecosystem carbon storage (Hobbie et al., 2002). But Mack et al. (2004) recently reported results of a long-term fertilization experiment in

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation



Alaskan tundra which indicate that increased nutrient availability in arctic soils leads to reduced ecosystem carbon storage because soil bacterial activity is currently also strongly nitrogen limited. More generally, the effect of nitrogen fertilization on stability and turnover of soil carbon is rather complicated because of varying impacts of N

- ⁵ addition on the different soil carbon reservoirs (Neff et al., 2002). Our understanding of coupled ecosystem C/N dynamics is further hampered by the fact that results of short-term experiments can often be misleading, and that other elements may play an important role. For example, a recent study by Hungate et al. (2004) shows that the previously reported increase of biological N fixation with rising C_a (Poorter, 1993),
- which was thought to provide the N necessary to support increased C accumulation induced by rising C_a , is in fact only transient: after 5 years of growth under elevated C_a , a consistent decrease of legume N fixation, probably due to the onset of molybdenum limitation, was observed.
- Here we present a simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation on primary productivity included in a dynamic global vegetation model called ORCHIDEE (Krinner et al., 2005). This parameterization was designed to represent, as discussed above, progressive nitrogen limitation (Luo et al., 2004) after climate change or a change in C_a , and the particularly strong "equilibrium" nitrogen limitation in cold regions subject to permafrost. However, the general case of "equilibrium" N limitation is not represented
- by the scheme because, as stated before, Schimel et al. (1997) indicate that in steady state conditions, water/energy and nitrogen limitation will tend to "equilibrate" because carbon, water, and nitrogen fluxes are strongly correlated. The motivation for taking into account the particularly strong "equilibrium" nitrogen limitation in cold regions subject to permafrost was that ORCHIDEE overestimates forest net primary productivity
- (NPP) in Eastern Siberia, while it correctly simulates the observed productivity in Europe: Krinner et al. (2005) speculated that this misfit might be due to the fact that the standard version of the model does not take into account the regionally varying nitrogen limitation. This simple parameterization is to be seen as a tool designed to allow to take into account particularily important cases of N limitation in models which do not

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction References Conclusions Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

include a complete prognostic nitrogen cycle. The final goal should be to include the N cycle in biosphere models, but as long as this is not the state of the art, the simple scheme presented here could be helpful. The following section describes this parameterization. Results from numerical CO_2 -fertilization experiments, both on global and local scales, are presented thereafter, followed by a discussion of the parameterization and the model results.

2. Parameterization

2.1. Description

5

20

In its baseline version (Krinner et al., 2005), ORCHIDEE calculates prognostic vegetation and soil carbon stocks. Heterotrophic respiration from three soil carbon pools (active, slow, and passive) and four litter pools (structural and metabolic litter, above and below ground) is parameterized following Parton et al. (1988). C:N ratios of plant tissues are prescribed and determine the litter quality. Nitrogen limitation on primary productivity is not taken into account.

¹⁵ In the modified version of ORCHIDEE, N limitation on primary productivity is taken into account by modifying the maximum potential photosynthetic capacity (that is, unstressed photosynthetic capacity at optimum temperature) $V_{max,0}$:

 $V_{\rm max} = V_{\rm max,0} a_N$

Here, $V_{\text{max},0}$ depends on the leaf age and on the PFT, as described by Krinner et al. (2005). The nitrogen availability a_N is parameterized as depending on the ratio between long-term heterotrophic respiration R_H and long-term NPP P_N :

$$a_N = \frac{R_H}{P_N}.$$
 (2)

Here, the "long-term" variables are calculated through linear relaxation with a time constant of τ =7y for NPP and τ =3y for heterotrophic respiration. The rationale for this

BGD

2, 1243–1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

G. Krinner et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I	۶I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

(1)

parameterization of a_N is as follows. Nitrogen limitation will depend on the balance between demand and supply. The main supply of N in unperturbed natural soil is generally mineralization through bacterial activity (Vitousek and Howarth, 1991). Nitrogen demand is, for obvious reasons, tightly linked to plant productivity. By definition, if the $_5$ ecosystem is in equilibrium, net ecosystem productivity will be zero, that is $P_N = R_H$, and therefore $a_N = 1$. If NPP increases strongly, for example after a sudden increase of C_a ,

- nitrogen availability will decrease after a few years because R_H , representing N supply, will not increase quickly. This gradual depletion of the nitrogen reservoir, seen in many CO₂ fertilization experiments (e.g. Oechel et al., 1994; Oren et al., 2001), then leads to
- a strong reduction of the CO₂ fertilization effect after several years of initially increased primary productivity. On the other hand, in soil warming experiments (Melillo et al., 2002; Oechel et al., 1994), increased nitrogen availability seems to occur fairly rapidly, faster than gradual nitrogen depletion in C_a fertilization experiments. Based on these observations, the linear relaxation constant τ was set to 7 years for "long-term" NPP, and 3 years for heterotrophic respiration.

Strong N limitation in boreal regions occurs because soil bacterial activity is weak due to the low temperatures. This is particularly true in regions subject to permafrost, where soil organic matter can be locked below the permafrost horizon before being decomposed. Enormous quantities of organic carbon, thought to represent about one

- third to one quarter of the total global soil carbon (Stokstad, 2004), have therefore accumulated in permafrost soils. To represent this effect, the original parameterization of heterotrophic respiration has been modified to take into account the regular "export" of soil carbon from the active layer, where decomposition can occur, towards the permafrost. The soil respiration scheme in ORCHIDEE has no vertical discretization,
- and the whole soil carbon is decomposed using parameterizations of bacterial activity dependent on temperature and humidity at typical decomposer depth of about 20 cm. In reality, soil matter deeper in the ground will decompose very slowly because of decreasing oxygen availability. To represent the effect of accumulation of organic matter and the subsequent gradual export of matter from the active layer towards the

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation



permafrost, the modified scheme translates the total accumulated soil carbon into an equivalent minimum depth of this organic layer, supposing a maximum soil carbon density of $\rho_{c,m}$ =30 kgC/m³ of soil matter. As significant heterotrophic respiration will only occur in the first meter of soil or so, one can suppose an exponential distribution of maximum decomposable carbon $C_{d,m}$ such that

$$\rho_c = \rho_{c,m} \exp\left(-z/z_0\right) , \tag{3}$$

with $z_0=1$ m. Total maximum decomposable soil carbon $C_{d,m}$ between 0 and infinite depth is then

$$C_{d,m} = \int_0^\infty \rho_c dz = 30 \,\mathrm{kgC/m^2} \,.$$
 (4)

¹⁰ Such quantities of soil carbon are usually only reached in boreal regions (Batjes, 2000). At any given moment of the year, the depth of the freeze and thaw fronts (d_f and d_t , respectively) in the ground can be calculated using the diagnostic Stefan equations:

$$d_t = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{L} \int_{t_0}^t \Delta T_t dt}$$
(5)

with $\Delta T_t = \max(T - 0^{\circ}C, 0)$, and

5

15

$$d_f = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{L}} \int_{t_0}^t \Delta T_f dt \tag{6}$$

with $\Delta T_f = \max (0^{\circ}C - T, 0)$. Here, λ is the soil heat conductivity and L is the volumetric latent heat released or taken up during phase transitions, T is the surface temperature, and t_0 is midwinter in Eq. (5) and midsummer in Eq. (6). Using these equations, and supposing that almost no decomposition occurs in soil at sub-zero temperatures (Zimov et al., 1996), one can easily determine, at each time step, how much carbon C_d can at best be accessible to decomposer activity. Calculated heterotrophic decomposition

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

will then be limited to this quantity C_d , even if total soil carbon $C > C_d$. In this way, heterotrophic respiration will be limited to the active layer where permafrost is present, and inactive carbon will accumulate. This should lead to increased N limitation, as typically observed.

⁵ The underlying assumptions and the limitations of this parameterization are discussed in Sect. 5 together with the model results.

3. Simulations

25

For all simulations, the model was forced at its half-hourly time step by meteorological parameters obtained from a Richardson-type weather generator (Foley, personal communication; Richardson and Wright (1984); Friend (1998)). All simulations were carried out with prescribed C_a from 1800 to present (Etheridge et al., 1998; Keeling and Whorf, 2004). Note that the weather generator does produce artificial interannual climate variability. Extreme climate events (droughts, heat waves, cold shocks, etc.) and their impacts on vegetation are therefore represented in the simulations.

¹⁵ The scheme was evaluated using simulations reproducing the FACE_P C_a enrichment experiment at Duke Forest (Oren et al., 2001), the FACE C_a enrichment experiment at Oak Ridge (Norby et al., 2002), and the Harvard soil warming experiment (Melillo et al., 2002).

Furthermore, global simulations from 1800 to 2100 were carried out to analyze the response to a gradual increase in C_a , with and without N limitation. Particular specifications for each of these simulations will be described in the following.

3.1. FACE_P CO₂ enrichment experiment at Duke Forest

The history of the FACE_P site before the 20th century is rather uncertain. It is possible, but not sure, that the site was grass-covered during the late 1700s and early 1800s. It was covered by a mixed hardwood forest in the 1920s. This forest was clear-cut

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation



and replaced by a loblolly pine plantation in 1983. Similar to the simulations for the Harvard forest site, the model was initialized at the year 0 BC with present-day natural vegetation, that is, temperate mixed forest. It was then run for 1800 years with dynamic vegetation and fixed $C_a(280 \text{ ppm})$. We used monthly climatological data for

- ⁵ 1961–1990 produced by New et al. (1999) as input for the weather generator. In order to accelerate convergence towards soil carbon equilibrium, the soil carbon scheme was run separately for 1000 years every 100 years during the first 8 centuries of the simulation, using mean litter input, soil temperature and soil humidity from the preceding 100 years of the full model simulation (this is similar to the procedure described in
- ¹⁰ Krinner et al. (2005)). From 1800 to 1992, historic C_a was used to force the model, but due to the uncertainties about the site history, no vegetation change was prescribed in the model. The loblolly pine plantation (beginning in 1983) was represented by a prescribed 98% areal coverage with the corresponding model PFT (temperate needleleaf evergreen trees) and low initial biomass (corresponding to newly established saplings)
- ¹⁵ in 1983. Above-ground biomass of the clear-cut forest was supposed to have been removed, while below-ground biomass was assigned to below-ground litter. The initialization procedure was gone through both with the original model and with the model version including the nitrogen limitation parameterizations, and two simulations for 10 model years were carried out for each of the model versions: one control simulation ²⁰ with ambient observed C_a , and one with C_a increased by 200 ppm with respect to the control simulation.

3.2. FACE CO₂ enrichment experiment at Oak Ridge

In fall, 1988, a sweetgum plantation was established on an old terrace of the Clinch River. The canopy is closed. The model was initialized in the same way as for the ²⁵ FACE_P CO₂ enrichment experiment at Duke Forest. In model year 1988, the obtained natural vegetation (temperate mixed forest) was replaced by full coverage with young temperature broadleaf evergreen trees, which is the PFT corresponding to sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*). Concerning above and below ground biomass and soil car-

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction References Conclusions **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

bon in the model, the transition from natural vegetation to sweetgum plantation was handled as for the FACE_P CO₂ enrichment experiment at Duke Forest. The three-year FACE experiment began in 1997 with an average C_a offset of +180 ppm in the enriched plots. Again, we used monthly climatological data for 1961–1990 produced by New et al. (1999) as input for the weather generator.

3.3. Harvard soil warming experiment

5

The Harvard soil warming experiment was carried out in an even-aged mixed hardwood forest which established after the abandonment of agricultural cultivation of the site around 1900. Soil temperature was increased by 5°C in heated plots and left unchanged in control plots. The model was initialized at the year 0 BC with presentday vegetation. It was then run for 1800 years with dynamic vegetation and fixed *C_a* (280 ppm). Model soil carbon equilibrium was attained in the same way as for the FACE_P simulations. In model year 1800, the modeled forest was replaced by C₃ agriculture. Soil litter was reinitialized at this stage, while soil carbon is taken from the end of the 1800 (+8×1000) years of carbon spinup. After 90 model years (i.e. in model year 1890), dynamic vegetation was switched on again, resulting in a mixed hardwood forest in model year 1990. Again, at the transition from agriculture to the new forest, soil carbon was not re-initialized, while this was done for biomass and other vegetationrelated variables. The initialization procedure was gone through both with the original model and with the model version including the nitrogen limitation parameterizations.

- ²⁰ model and with the model version including the nitrogen limitation parameterizations. Starting from the soil carbon, soil litter, and vegetation status obtained for model year 1991, two simulations (10 years each) were carried out for each of the model versions: one in which heterotrophic respiration in the soil was subject to increased soil temperature (+5°C) and one with unmodified soil temperature. As for the other single-point invalues mentions and the single late for 1001, 1000 and here the blance the blance to (1000).
- simulations, monthly climatological data for 1961–1990 produced by New et al. (1999) were used.

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation



3.4. Global simulations

With both model versions, global simulations were run at $4^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ (zonal×meridional) resolution as in Krinner et al. (2005). We used monthly climatological data for 1961–1990 produced by New et al. (1999) and added simulated decadal climate anomalies

- ⁵ from transient climate change simulations with the IPSL climate model (historical and, for the present to 2100, a SRESA1B scenario run). The model was run to equilibrium with prescribed present-day vegetation (Loveland et al., 2000) (including present agriculture), C_a =284 ppm and preindustrial climate for the early 1800s. Afterwards, transient simulations from 1800 to 2100 with prescribed varying C_a were carried out with both model versions. For the future, C_a concentrations corresponding to the SRESA1B scenario were used. Additional equilibrium simulations are carried out with a constant prescribed C_a of 352 ppm, using climate forcing for the 1990s. These simulations allow
 - to better assess the impact of N limitation on global NPP and carbon sequestration today.

15 4. Results

25

4.1. FACE_P CO₂ enrichment experiment at Duke Forest

The main result of the FACE_P CO₂ enrichment experiment at Duke Forest (Oren et al., 2001) was that at this moderately fertile site, the increase of annual carbon increment in woody tissue, following an artificial free-air CO₂ enrichment by 200 ppm, was limited
 ²⁰ by nitrogen. The increase of annual carbon increment was only transient, and after three years, the soil N pool was depleted, so that the difference between treated and control plots vanished.

ORCHIDEE does not allow to properly simulate tree plantations. All forests are supposed to be natural, and thus the model structure does not allow to control the number of individuals per unit area. This creates problems when comparing hardwood in-



creases in young forests to measurements in tree plantations, where new saplings do not establish, and therefore we decided to take simulated relative NPP changes as a surrogate for the measured relative differences in annual carbon increment in woody tissue. This is justified by the fact that biomass increase is commonly taken as a meas sure for NPP.

Figure 1 shows the simulated relative difference in annual-mean NPP between treated and untreated plots for both model versions. The measured relative increase of annual carbon increment in woody tissue, obtained by digitizing Fig. 1a of Oren et al. (2001), is also plotted in the figure. One can see that in the model version with N limitation, the NPP increase due to the step increase in C_a , which is about 20% in the first year, vanishes in the third year. The model NPP decreases somewhat faster than the real one, indicating that the enhanced nitrogen limitation occurs too fast in the model, but the general trend is captured. In the model version without N limitation, the NPP increase also slightly weakens after a few years, but it does not vanish. Luo and

- ¹⁵ Reynolds (1999) and Luo (2001) give an explanation for the weakening of the NPP increase even in the absence of N limitation: After a step CO₂ enrichment, photosynthesis immediately increases, and so does NPP. This enhanced NPP then leads to increased biomass and therefore to an increase in autotrophic respiration. This leads to partial reduction of the NPP increase. Because the biomass increase is not immediately.
- ate, but a time-integrated consequence of the immediate GPP increase, there is a time lag between these two flux changes. Therefore, even in the absence of N limitation, the NPP increase following a step CO₂ enrichment decreases after an initial maximum. This decrease is stronger in the model version with N limitation, as there is a second effect reducing NPP after the initial GPP increase: the enhanced NPP is not compen-
- sated by an immediate increase in soil heterotrophic respiration, leading to reduced N availability and thus to reduced productivity.

This difference in model behavior appears clearly in the annual GPP (not shown). Relative GPP increase vanishes after a few years when N limitation is taken into account, while it does not decrease in the opposite case until the end of the experiment.

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation



Again, it is noteworthy that the simulated N limitation is fairly strong even in the control plots. This is due to the ongoing CO_2 increase over the industrial period and the site history. At the FACE_P site, significant soil C loss is simulated in the first years after a major disturbance (clear-cutting and re-plantation about 10 years before the beginning of the CO_2 enrichment experiment).

4.2. FACE CO₂ enrichment experiment at Oak Ridge

5

The main result of this experiment was that NPP increased by 21% in the sweetgum stands exposed to elevated C_a without loss of response over time during the 3 years of CO₂ enrichment. Figure 2 displays displays the measured and simulated relative NPP increase caused by CO₂ fertilization. Norby et al. (2002) state that the measured temporal variations of NPP difference between treated and control plots during the three years of the experiment do not allow to conclude on a loss of response in time. This means that progressive nitrogen limitation did not occur during the three years of the experiment. The simulated CO₂ fertilization effect is identical in both model versions (with/without N limitation) during the first four years. The reason is that, in the version with N limitation, the simulated N availability a_N is equal to 1 at the beginning of the simulated FACE experiment, and it falls below 1 only afterwards. This model behaviour contrasts to the Duke Forest simulations, where N limitation occurred fairly fast, both in model and reality. One reason is that the FACE CO₂ enrichment experiment at Oak

- Ridge was carried out somewhat earlier after the plantation was established (9 years) than was the case at Duke forest (11 years). Soils lose carbon during the first years after a plantation is established, because litterfall is lower than in a grown-up forest, and this leads to a reduction of N mineralization rates, and thus stronger N limitation, with time. A second reason is that the Duke forest experiment took place in a plantation of
- ²⁵ loblolly pines, which are needleleaf trees, while the Oak Ridge site is a sweetgum (that is, broadleaf summergreen) plantation with better litter quality and quantity, leading to higher soil heterotrophic respiration, and thus higher N mineralization rates, and less severe N limitation.

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction References Conclusions Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

After the fourth year of the model experiment, N limitation occurs also at the Oak Ridge site, leading to reduced CO_2 fertilization in the model version with N limitation. Unfortunately, NPP data for the years after 2000 are not yet available.

4.3. Harvard soil warming experiment

15

⁵ The main result of the Harvard soil warming experiment (Melillo et al., 2002) was that, although soil warming accelerated soil organic matter decay, the increased soil carbon release was transient because soil warming increased the availability of mineral nitrogen to plants, and thus stimulated CO₂ uptake. Average yearly CO₂ fluxes from the two types differed strongly only during the first year of the treatment, and are almost identical after 5 years or so.

Figure 3 displays the observed and simulated relative change of the total ecosystem CO₂ flux caused by soil heating. It shows that when N limitation is not taken into account, soil warming leads to greatly increased C release in the model (initially up to 100%, then about 40% during the second half of the first decade). In a longer simulation (not shown), the labile soil C pool essentially attains a new equilibrium after about 30 years; the subsequently persisting weaker C release is due to slightly enhanced decomposition of the more stable soil C pools.

When N limitation is taken into account, the model response is similar to these observations, and the mechanisms are those described by Melillo et al. (2002), as will be ²⁰ shown in the following. The simulated strong C release is then essentially limited to the first few years. As in the observations, the increased soil C release (black curve in Fig. 4) caused by the heating is then largely compensated by a NPP increase (red curve in Fig. 4) of almost the same magnitude. This NPP increase is due to the increased soil heterotrophic respiration which induces increased N availability, as can be seen in Fig. 5 which shows the nitrogen availability factor a_N for the model version in

which N limitation is taken into account. This compensation effect is not simulated in the original model (that is, without N limitation), leading to unrealistic C dynamics (that is, sustained C release as a consequence of the soil warming).

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction References Conclusions **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

The observed relative CO_2 flux increase during the first year is about 40%, while the model (with N limitation) simulates an increase of almost 100%. After this first year, the modified model simulates the relative CO_2 flux increase quite realistically. This means that, contrary to the simulations for the FACE_P experiment, where enhanced N limitation occurs too fast after the C_a increase, it appears that in the simulations for the Harvard soil warming experiment, the N limitation reacts too slowly in the model.

The significant N limitation simulated by the model (Fig. 5) is due to two factors. First, soil carbon is not in equilibrium because of the agriculture in the 19th century. The carbon loss which occurred during this period is not yet compensated by the end of the 20th century, so that the heterotrophic respiration is lower than the NPP. Second, the C_a increase since 1800 also leads to ongoing carbon sequestration in the ecosystem,

meaning that NPP exceeds soil heterotrophic respiration. The same effect is visible in the other site simulations and in the transient global simulations.

4.4. Global simulations

5

- ¹⁵ Figure 6 displays the annual mean simulated global natural, and natural plus agricultural, NPP from 1800 to 2100 from the transient simulations for both model versions. In addition, values of total natural NPP for certain specific decades are listed in Table 1. From the preindustrial period to the 1990s, the relative NPP increase is 32% without N limitation, while it is only 19% in the modified model version. When, in addition to
 ²⁰ the natural surfaces, agricultural surfaces are taken into account, the relative difference
- between the two model versions is weaker (see Fig. 6). The reason is that agricultural NPP increases equally (and quite strongly) in both model versions because the model supposes that there is no nitrogen limitation on agricultural ground.

The simulated natural equilibrium NPP at C_a =352 ppm and under the 1990s' cli-²⁵ mate is 48.5 GtC with N limitation and 49.8 GtC without; the natural NPP simulated in the transient simulations for the 1990s, during which the prescribed C_a was close to 352 ppm, was 42.5 GtC/year (with N limitation) and 48.6 GtC/year (without). This means that, compared to the corresponding 352 ppm equilibrium simulation, the tran-



sient model run with N limitation shows is a clear effect of N limitation on the global scale, leading to lower than equilibrium NPP. Compared to the 35.7 GtC for the preindustrial "equilibrium" run, the transient simulation with N limitation yields a 19% increase between preindustrial times and 1990 (as stated before), while the correspond-5 ing "equilibrium" NPP change is 36% (from 35.7 to 48.5 GtC). In the model version

without N limitation, "equilibrium" and "transient" NPP at C_a =350 ppm are the same.

The global terrestrial biosphere is thought to have taken up 1.9 (from -0.3 to 3.8) GtC per year in the 1980s as residual sink (net of land-use change) as a consequence of the increase of C_a since the beginning of the industrial period (IPCC, 2001). The reason for

- this uptake is the slow response of the heterotrophic respiration to the NPP increase, and it is this imbalance between NPP and heterotrophic respiration that causes major N limitation to be simulated by the model (see Fig. 7). During the 20th century, the simulated N limitation is particularly strong in the high latitudes and in the equatorial forests. In the boreal regions, the strong N limitation is in part caused by the model
- formulation which limits the quantity of decomposable organic carbon in the presence of soil freezing – this was, as stated before, one of the motivations of this work – , and it is in part caused by the increased C_a . However, the simulated boreal N limitation decreases towards the end of the 20th century due to simulated warming, which leads to enhanced decomposition of organic matter. In the equatorial forests, the particularly
- strong N limitation occurs because in the dominant PFT (tropical broadleaf evergreen trees) responds particularly strongly to increasing C_a .

The simulated decadal-mean terrestrial residual carbon sink for the 1980s is 5.9 GtC/yr without N limitation and 4.1 GtC/yr with N limitation. However, the interannual and decadal variability of this flux is quite high; the corresponding thirty-year means centered around 1985 are 5.0 GtC/yr and 3.3 GtC/yr, respectively. This means that without N limitation, the simulated terrestrial residual carbon is overestimated, while it is at the upper limit of current estimates when N limitation is parameterized. In the model version with N limitation, a non-negligible C sink is simulated at "equilibrium" because the model was deliberately formulated so that organic carbon can accumu-

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction References Conclusions Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

late in the high latitudes (see Sect. 2). This is a realistic model feature, as it is well known that organic carbon does indeed accumulate in many boreal ecosystems. This carbon sink operates since longer than the industrial period, and, as stated before, enormous amounts of organic matter have accumulated in these regions (Stokstad,

- ⁵ 2004). However, the natural preindustrial strength of this carbon sink is unknown. The "equilibrium" model sink for the preindustrial is a little less that 0.6 GtC/year. Substracting this sink from the simulated global terrestrial carbon sink for the period 1970 to 2000, one obtains a carbon sink increase of 3.3 GtC/yr–0.6 GtC/yr=2.7 GtC/yr for the modified model, due to climate and C_a change since the preindustrial period.
- For the transient simulation with N limitation, Fig. 8 displays the net ecosystem production as a function of latitude and time. Significant carbon sinks starts to occur at the end of the 20th century in the equatorial rainforest regions and in the Northern midlatitudes, the equatorial sink exceeding the northern mid-latitude sink sink because of the strong increase in heterotrophic respiration in the latter regions. The latitudinal pattern of NEP partially reflects that of nitrogen limitation: strong N limitation is diag-
- ¹⁵ pattern of NEP partially reflects that of nitrogen limitation: strong N limitation is diagnosed where NPP exceeds heterotrophic respiration R_H , and the difference between NPP and R_H is simply the definition of NEP. However, the strong N limitation in high northern latitudes does not show up in Fig. 8 because of the low productivity of the corresponding ecosystems.
- Figure 9 displays the impact of nitrogen limitation on NEP as a function of latitude and time. Nitrogen limitation becomes globally very obvious around 1970 or so. The simulated impact in tropical regions is very strong in the second half of the 20th century. After 2050, the NEP difference in the two model versions is similar in boreal and equatorial regions. Given the fact that primary productivity is low in the high latitudes, the relative impact of NUimitation on hereal NEP is fairly strong often 2000.
- the relative impact of N limitation on boreal NEP is fairly strong after 2000.

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

5. Discussion

5.1. Formulation of the scheme

Nitrogen fertilization has lead to increased primary productivity in N-limited ecosystems in many field experiments. But the fundamental physiological mechanism leading

- to reduced productivity in the presence of N limitation is not totally clear. Plants need nitrogen for photosynthesis, as reflected in the fact that N concentration is highest in leaves (Lousteau et al., 2001). The CO₂ carboxylation enzyme rubisco contains a large fraction of this leaf nitrogen (Evans, 1989). Therefore, the N limitation is taken into account here by reducing the plants' photosynthetic capacity V_{max}. However, some field
- experiments rather indicate that decreasing N supply decreases the rate of leaf expansion more than the rate of photosynthesis per unit leaf area (Petterson and McDonald, 1992). For the scope of this work, this uncertainty about the exact physiological effect underlying plant response to N limitation is not crucial, as long as the parameterization is able to represent the observed effect, including temporal dynamics, of N limitation on primary productivity.

In this scheme, heterotrophic respiration is limited by soil freezing. There are other factors limiting heterotrophic respiration in high latitudes, and in particular in permafrost regions. Some of these factors are: Decomposer activity is itself N-limited (Mack et al., 2004); Northern ecosystems are frequently dominated by mosses, which give litter of

- extremely poor quality (Hobbie et al., 2000); and waterlogging, favored by soil freezing (Hobbie et al., 2000). These factors are not taken into account in the parameterization presented here. Therefore, this scheme is only a first step towards a better simulation of high latitude soil carbon dynamics, the only intention being to allow to represent N limitation on primary productivity in high latitudes.
- Soil freezing and thawing, and the associated release and uptake of latent energy, is not yet taken in account in ORCHIDEE. A parameterization of soil water phase changes following Poutou et al. (2004) would allow to calculate more properly the position of the soil freeze and thaw fronts. Most plant-available nitrogen is derived from internal recy-

2, 1243–1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation



 cling (Schimel et al., 1997; Nadelhoffer et al., 1999). On a global scale, this internal recycling is at least an order of magnitude higher than the fluxes due to N deposition, biological fixation, leaching and gaseous loss (Vitousek and Howarth, 1991). Although Schimel et al. (1997) indicate that in steady state conditions, water/energy and nitrogen limitation will tend to "equilibrate" because carbon, water, and nitrogen fluxes are strongly correlated, external nitrogen sources and losses should be taken into in long-

- term steady state numerical experiments if nitrogen limitation is to be represented in a consistent manner. However, the aim of this work was to develop a scheme that allows to represent transient or progressive nitrogen limitation following a climate change or
- C_a increase (and, additionnally, the particularly strong N limitation in permafrost regions), and therefore the main focus was to represent progressive nitrogen limitation as discussed by Luo et al. (2004). Therefore, N deposition, biological fixation, leaching and gaseous loss are not accounted for in this simple scheme. Note that, in a way, "equilibrium" N limitation is taken into account implicitly in the model (both in the base-
- ¹⁵ line and the modified version) by setting the plants' maximum photosynthetic capacities lower than it would be in the case of optimum nitrogen availability.

5.2. Degree of realism of the model results

5.2.1. Site experiments

The model results compare fairly favourably with the site measurements obtained dur-

- ²⁰ ing soil warming and C_a fertilization experiments when N limitation is taken into account. The site experiments typically lasted for several (up to 10) years, and the model was able to represent the essential features of the observed soil and vegetation carbon dynamics (such as, for example, onset of strong N limitation after a few years of increased NPP in the FACE_P C_a enrichment experiment). However, it is obvious that
- ²⁵ "real-world" climate and C_a change do not occur as step functions, as in the field experiments and the simulations carried out here. Luo and Reynolds (1999) showed that the results of the field experiments must be interpreted very carefully in terms of future C

BGD 2, 1243-1282, 2005 Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction References Conclusions **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

dynamics of ecysystems subject to climate change and/or C_a increase. This, however, is not an issue here. The aim of the simulations was to test whether the modified model is able to correctly reproduce ecosystem C dynamics under conditions of rapid climate and C_a change.

5 5.2.2. Global simulations: Comparison with C sequestration and productivity data

Concerning the global simulations, the results of the simulations from the preindustrial period to today cannot easily be tested against measurements as our knowledge of C sequestration on the global scale is rather uncertain. Regional estimates of terrestrial C sequestration based on inverse atmospheric transport models (Fan et al., 1998;

- ¹⁰ Bousquet et al., 2000) point to a large carbon sink in the northern hemisphere extratropics. The results of these models are not too badly constrained in these regions because a large number of regular C_a measurements are carried out there. But in the tropics and equatorial regions, no firm conclusions can be drawn from these models because the C_a observation network is too sparse (Gurney et al., 2002). However,
- ¹⁵ long-term measurements of carbon balance in tropical regions (Phillips et al., 1998) show that South American equatorial forests have accumulated significant amounts of carbon in recent decades. The global simulations carried out with the modified model simulates large terrestrial carbon sequestration both in the northern hemisphere extratropics and in the Amazon region, and the global terrestrial carbon sink is on the high end of current estimates.

One motivation for the development of this parameterization was the fact that Siberian forest productivity tends to be overestimated by ORCHIDEE. Goulden et al. (1998) showed that the boreal forst carbon balance is strongly influenced by soil freezing, with warm anonmies leading to strong carbon efflux from the soil. Nitrogen limitation, caused by low net mineralization rates due to low soil temperatures, has often been reported to be a significant limiting factor on ecosystem primary productivity in boreal regions (Hobbie et al., 2002). It was therefore hoped that strong N limitation, caused by a significant phase lag between NPP and R_H increases following indus-

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation



trial CO₂ fertilization, would improve the model performance in this respect. However, the model does not show a very strong N limitation over the Siberian boreal forest belt in the transient run for the present (see Fig. 7). For the second half of the 20th century, the simulated Siberian boreal forest NPP decreases only by about 6% in the

- transient run with N limitation compared to the run without limitation. Therefore, in these regions, the model still overestimates NPP roughly by a factor two compared to stand-level observations (Jarvis et al., 2001; Ciais et al., 2003) and forest biomass inventories (Shvidenko and Nilsson, 2003; Ciais et al., 2003). It is possible that the temperature dependence of soil respiration is not correctly represented in ORCHIDEE.
- Another possible reason for this misfit are that boreal forest subsurface soil tempera-10 tures are not correctly calculated. Zimov et al. (1999) showed that moss layers, which are very frequent in boreal ecosystems, strongly reduce the soil thermal conductivity and thus reduce the active layer depth. This, in turn, leads to reduced heterotrophic respiration and thus to reduced nitrogen mineralization. This effect is not taken into
- account in ORCHIDEE. Furthermore, ORCHIDEE does not represent the impact of soil 15 freezing on the soil hydrology. Soil freezing often induces waterlogging, and thus reduced heterotrophic respiration (Hobbie et al., 2002). Further model development in this sense will be necessary to correct the overestimate of NPP in the boreal forest belt. With corrected, decelerated soil carbon turnover in the boreal forest regions, diagnosed nitrogen limitation during transient C_a increase would become stronger.
- 20 In any case and as stated before, with the parameterization of N limitation presented here, the simulated global NEP for the present (3.3 GtC/yr) is at the upper limit of the range of current estimates of the real terrestrial sink net of land-use change (-0.3 to 3.8 GtC per year; IPCC, 2001). In the original model without N limitation, the present global NEP seems overestimated.
- 25

5.2.3. Global simulations: Comparison with other model results

Several intercomparison exercises designed to examine global vegetation model response to CO₂ fertilization and/or climate change have been carried out in the recent



years (Kicklighter et al., 1999; Cramer et al., 2001). As stated before, simulated global NEP tended to be lower in the models which took nitrogen limitation into account (Kicklighter et al., 1999), similar to what was shown here. For a global CO_2 fertilization experiment with projected climate change using six different vegetation models, Cramer

- 5 et al. (2001) reported, for the year 2100, a mean global NEP of 3.4 PgC/year (range 0.3-6.6 PgC/year). The corresponding values for ORCHIDEE are 6.3 PgC/year in both model versions; ORCHIDEE yields thus results at the upper limit of the model range analyzed by Cramer et al. (2001). The time series of simulated global NEP (Fig. 10) is fairly similar to the mean mean response shown by Cramer et al. (2001, Fig. 4) in the
- sense that the maximum global mean NEP is attained at about 2050 (Fig. 8). After-10 wards, NEP decreases particularly in tropical regions, while it remains at high values in the boreal regions, similar to what Cramer et al. (2001) report for the models they analyzed. For 2100, Cramer et al. (2001) reported strong positive simulated NEP in the equatorial regions as well as in the northern mid and high latitudes. ORCHIDEE shows
- ¹⁵ a very similar behaviour (see Fig. 8).

Supposing no change in the C:N ratio of trees (200) and soil (15), Hungate et al. (2003) have shown that most present-generation vegetation model yield terrestrial carbon stock increases between 2000 and 2100 which imply an additional nitrogen demand that exceeds estimated nitrogen supply limits. For simulations taking into account C_2 increase and climate change, the additional N required was between 2.3 and 20 16.9 petagrams of nitrogen (PgN) Using the C:N ratios of Hungate et al. (2003), the global simulations presented here imply an additional N demand of 16.1 PgN (with N limitation) and 17.5 PgN (without). As for most of the models examined by Hungate et al. (2003), this is more than the estimated upper nitrogen supply limit of 6.1 PgC. In

other words, the modified model version seems to yield a bit more realistic results than 25 the baseline model version, but it probably still overestimates the future carbon sink. However, it is noteworthy that even models with a complete prognostic N cycle yield carbon stocks increases that are not consistent with the projected maximum nitrogen supply limits.

6. Conclusions

5

The parameterization of nitrogen limitation presented here can be easily implemented in other vegetation models that do not dispose of a complete prognostic nitrogen cycle. The parameterization is not designed to make the nitrogen cycle in global vegetation models unnecessary. It is rather designed to improve productivity and carbon sink estimates, in the context of climate change and variability, by models in which no such cycle is implemented yet.

The parameterization presented here allows to reproduce the essential features of observed carbon dynamics in soil warming and CO₂ fertilization experiments. Esti-¹⁰ mated global NPP and NEP is reduced in CO₂ fertilization experiments when the parameterization is active. But due to the large uncertainties concerning the present global terrestrial carbon sink, it is not possible to state with certainty that the modified model version yields more realistic results in this respect. The work of Hungate et al. (2003) suggests that even the modified model version underestimates the N lim-

itation on global NPP in the next century. Rather than on such long timescales, this parameterization is therefore probably more adequate to improve model performance on interannual timescales, on which climate variability can induce significant short-term changes in plant productivity and soil respiration (e.g. Ciais et al., 2005).

Overestimated NPP in boreal forest areas was not sufficiently corrected with the implementation of the parameterization presented here, but the reason for this bias to subsist might actually lie in an inadequate parameterization of heterotrophic respiration in boreal soils. In this respect, it is worth noting that recent theoretical work indicates that labile and more stable soil carbon reservoirs might have different temperature sensitivities (Knorr et al., 2005). Taking these results into account might modify not

²⁵ only the direct simulated response of soil heterotrophic respiration to climate change, but also, via nitrogen mineralization, projected future global primary productivity.

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

References

20

- Batjes, N. H.: Global Data Set of Derived Soil Properties, 0.5-Degree Grid (ISRIC-WISE), available online (http://www.daac.ornl.gov) from Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA, 2000. 1250
- ⁵ Bousquet, P., Peylin, P., Ciais, P., Le Quéré, C., Friedlingstein, P., and Tans, P.: Regional Changes in Carbon Dioxide Fluxes of Land and Oceans Since 1980, Science, 290, 1342– 1346, 2000. 1263
 - Ciais, P., Janssens, I., Shvidenko, A., Wirth, C., Malhi, Y., Grace, J., Schulze, E., and Heimann, M.: Contrasted effects of rising CO₂ on the uptake of carbon by tropical, temperate and
- boreal forest biomes, in: Carbon sinks in forest biomes, edited by Griffith, H., BIOS, 2003. 1264
 - Ciais, P., Reichstein, M., Viovy, N., Granier, A., Ogée, J., Allard, V., Buchmann, N., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Carrara, A., Chevallier, F., de Noblet, N., Friend, A., Friedlingstein, P., Grünwald, T., Heinesch, B., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Krinner, G., Loustau, D., Manca, G.,
- Matteucci, G., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J., Pilegaard, K., Rambal, S., Seufert, G., Soussana, J., Sanz, M., Schulze, E., Vesala, T., and Valentini, R.: European-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003, Nature, in press, 2005. 1266
 - Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Woodward, I. et al.: Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function to CO₂ and climate change: results from six dynamic global vegetation models, Glob. Ch. Biol., 7, 357–373, 2001. 1265
 - DeLucia, E., Hamilton, J., Naidu, S., Thomas, R., Andrews, J., Finzi, A., Laine, M., Matamala, R., Mohan, J., Hendrey, G., and Schlesinger, M.: Net primary productivity of a forest ecosystem with experimental CO₂ enrichment, Science, 284, 1177–1179, 2004. 1245

Etheridge, D., Steele, L., Langenfelds, R., Francey, R., Barnola, J., and Morgan, V.: Historical

- ²⁵ CO₂ records from the Law Dome DE08, DE08-2, and DSS ice cores, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., USA, 1998. 1251
 - Evans, J.: Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C_3 plants, Oecologia, 78, 9–19, 1989. 1261
- Fan, S., Gloor, M., Mahlmann, J., Pacala, S., Sarmiento, J., Takahashi, T., and Tans, P.: A large terrestrail carbon sink in North America implied by atmospheric and oceanic carbon dioxide data and models, Science, 282, 442–446, 1998. 1263

2, 1243–1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I	۶I			
•	Þ			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

- Friend, A.: Parameterisation of a global daily weather generator for terrestrial ecosystem modelling, Ecological Modelling, 109, 121–140, 1998. 1251
- Goulden, M., Wofsky, S., Harden, J., Trumbore, S., Crill, P., Gower, S., Fries, T., Daube, B., Fan, S., Sutton, D., Bazzaz, A., and Munger, J.: Sensitivity of boreal forest carbon balance to soil thaw, Science, 279, 214–217, 1998. 1263
- Gurney, K., Law, R., et al.: Towards robust regional estimates of CO₂ sources and sinks using atmospheric transport models, Nature, 415, 626–630, 2002. 1263

5

15

25

- Hobbie, S., Schimel, J., Trumbore, S., and Randerson, J.: Controls over carbon storage and turnover in high-latitude soils, Glob. Ch. Biol., 6, 196–210, 2000. 1261
- Hobbie, S., Nadelhoffer, K. J., and Högberg, P.: A synthesis: The role of nutrients as constraints on carbon balances in boreal and arctic regions, Plant and Soil, 242, 163–170, 2002. 1245, 1246, 1263, 1264
 - Hoosbeek, M., van Breemen, N., Berendse, F., Grosvernier, P., and Vasander, H.: Limited effect of increased atmospheric CO₂ concentration on ombrotrophic bog vegetation, New Phytologist, 150, 459–463, 2001. 1246
 - Hu, S., Chapin III, F., Firestone, M., Field, C., and Chiariello, N.: Nitrogen limitation of microbial decomposition in a grassland under elevated CO₂, Nature, 409, 188–190, 2001. 1246
 - Hungate, B., Holland, E., Jackson, R., Chapin III, F., Mooney, H., and Field, C.: The fate of carbon in grasslands under carbon dioxide enrichment, Nature, 388, 576–579, 1997. 1246
- ²⁰ Hungate, B., Dukes, J., Shaw, M., Luo, Y., and Field, C.: Nitrogen and Climate Change, Science, 302, 1512–1513, 2003. 1244, 1265, 1266
 - Hungate, B., Stiling, P., Dijkstra, P., Johnson, D., Ketterer, M., Hymus, G., Hinkle, C., and Drake, B.: CO₂ elicits long-term decline in nitrogen fixation, Science, 304, 1291, 2004. 1247
 - IPCC: IPCC Third Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001 The scientific basis, Cambridge University Press, UK, 2001. 1244, 1259, 1264
 - Jarvis, P., Saugier, B., and Schulze, E.: Productivity of Boreal Forests, in Roy et al. (2001), 211–244, 2001. 1264
 - Keeling, C. and Whorf, T.: Atmospheric CO₂ records from sites in the SIO air sampling network, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department
- of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., USA, 2004. 1251 Kicklighter, D., Bruno, M., Dönges, S., Esser, G., Heimann, M., Helfrich, J., Ift, F., Joos, F., Kaduk, J., Kohlmaier, G., McGuire, A., Melillo, J., Meyer, R., Moore, B., Nadler, A., Prentice, I., Sauf, W., Schloss, A., Sitch, S., Wittenberg, U., and Würth, G.: A first-order analysis of

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I	۶I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

the potential rôle of CO_2 fertilization to affect the global carbon budget: a comparison of four terrestrial biosphere models, Tellus, 51, 343–366, 1999. 1244, 1265

- Knorr, W., Prentice, I., House, J., and Holland, E.: Long-term sensitivity of soil carbon turnover to warming, Nature, 433, 298–301, 2005. 1266
- ⁵ Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Ogée, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., and Prentice, C.: A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the coupled atmosphere-biosphere system, Glob. Biogeochem. Cyc., 19, GB1015, doi:10.1029/2003GB002199, 2005. 1247, 1248, 1252, 1254
- Lousteau, D., Hungate, B., and Drake, B.: Water, Nitrogen, Rising atmospheric CO₂, and terrestrial productivity, in Roy et al. (2001), 123–167, 2001. 1261
- Loveland, T., Reed, B., Brown, J., Ohlen, D., Zhu, Z., Yang, L., and Merchant, J.: Development of a global land cover characteristics database and IGBP DISCover from 1 km AVHRR data, Int. J. Remote Sensing, 21, 1303–1330, 2000. 1254

Luo, Y.: Transient ecosystem responses to free-air CO2 enrichment (FACE): experimental ev-

- ¹⁵ idence and methods of analysis, New Phytologist, 152, 3–3, http://www.blackwell-synergy. com/links/doi/10.1046/j.0028-646X.2001.00247.x/abs, 2001. 1255
 - Luo, Y. and Reynolds, J.: Validity of extrapolating field CO₂ experiments to predict carbon sequestration in natural ecosystems, Ecology, 80, 1568–1583, 1999. 1255, 1262

Luo, Y., Currie, W., Dukes, J., Finzi, A., Hartwig, U., Hungate, B., McMurtrie, R., Oren, R.,

- Parton, W., Pataki, D., Shaw, M., Zak, D., and Field, C.: Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide, BioScience, 54, 731–739, 2004. 1245, 1247, 1262
 - Mack, M., Schuur, E., Bret-Harte, S., Shaver, G., and Chapin III, F.: Ecosystem carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization, Nature, 431, 440–443, 2004. 1246, 1261

25

- Melillo, J., Steudler, P., Aber, J., Newkirk, K., Lux, H., Bowles, F., Catricala, C., Magill, A., Ahrens, T., and Morrisseau, S.: Soil warming and carbon-cycle feedbacks to the climate system, Science, 298, 2173–2176, 2002. 1246, 1249, 1251, 1257
- Nadelhoffer, K., Emmett, B., Gundersen, P., Kjonaas, J., Koopmans, C., Schlepp, P., Tietemann,
- A., and Wright, R.: Nitrogen deposition makes a minor contribution to carbon sequestration in temperate forests, Nature, 398, 145–148, 1999. 1245, 1262
 - Neff, J., Townsend, A., Gleixner, G., Lehmann, S., Turnbull, J., and Bowman, W.: Variable effects of nitrogen additions on the stability and turnover of soil carbon, Nature, 419, 915–

2, 1243–1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
ا∢	۲		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Print Version			
Interactive Discussion			

917, 2002. 1247

- New, M., Hulme, M., and Jones, P.: Representing Twentieth-Century Space–Time Climate Variability. Part I: Development of a 1961–90 Mean Monthly Terrestrial Climatology, J. Climate, 12, 829–856, 1999. 1252, 1253, 1254
- ⁵ Norby, R., Hanson, P., O'Neill, E., Tschaplinski, T., Weltzin, J., Hansen, R., Cheng, W., Wullschleger, S., Gunderson, C., Edwards, N., and Johnson, D.: Net primary productivity of a CO₂-enriched deciduous forest and the implications for carbon storage, Ecological Applications, 12, 1261–1266, 2002. 1246, 1251, 1256

Oechel, W., Cowles, S., Grulke, N., Hastings, S., Lawrence, B., Prudhomme, T., Riechers, G.,

- ¹⁰ Strain, B., Tissue, D., and Vourlitis, G.: Transient nature of CO₂ fertilization in Arctic tundra, Nature, 371, 500–503, 1994. 1246, 1249
 - Oren, R., Ellsworth, D., Johnsen, K., Phillips, N., Ewers, B., Maler, C., Schläfer, K., McCarthy, H., Hendrey, G., McNulty, S., and Katul, G.: Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere, Nature, 411, 469–472, 2001. 1245, 1249, 1251,

15 1254, 1255

- Parton, W., Stewart, J., and Cole, C.: Dynamics of C, N, P, and S in grassland soil: A model, Biogeochem., 5, 109–131, 1988. 1248
- Petterson, R. and McDonald, A.: Effects of elevated carbon dioxideconcentration on photosynthesis and growth of small birch plants at optimal nutrition, Plant, Cell and Environ., 15,

- Phillips, M., Malhi, Y., Higuchi, N., Laurance, W., Nuñez, P., Vásquez, R., Laureance, S., Ferreira, L., Stern, M., Brown, S., and Grace, J.: Changes in the carbon balance of tropical forests: Evidence from long-term polts, Science, 282, 439–442, 1998. 1263
- Poorter, H.: Interspecific variation in the growth response of plants to an elevated ambient carbon dioxide concentration, Vegetatio, 104/105, 77–97, 1993. 1247
 - Poutou, E., Krinner, G., Genthon, C., and de Noblet-Ducoudré, N.: Impact of soil freezing on future boreal climate change, Clim. Dyn., 23, 621–639, 2004. 1261
 - Richardson, C. and Wright, D.: A model for generating daily weather variables, Tech. rep., U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Agric. Res. Serv., 1984. 1251
- Roy, J., Saugier, B., and Mooney, H. (eds.): Terrestrial Global Productivity, Academic Press, 2001. 1268, 1269
 - Schimel, D., Braswell, B., and Parton, W.: Equilibration of the terrestrial water, nitrogen, and carbon cycles, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 94, 8280–8283, 1997. 1245, 1247, 1262

2, 1243–1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I	►I			
•	F			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

²⁰ 911–919, 1992. 1261

- Shvidenko, A. and Nilsson, S.: A synthesis of the impact of Russian forests on the global carbon budget for 1961–1998, Tellus, 55, 391–415, 2003. 1264
- Stokstad, E.: Defrosting the carbon freezer of the North, Science, 304, 1618–1620, 2004. 1249, 1260
- ⁵ Vitousek, P. and Howarth, R.: Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea: How can it occur?, Biogeochemistry, 13, 87–115, 1991. 1245, 1249, 1262
 - Zimov, S., Davidov, S., and Voropaev, Y.: Siberian CO₂ efflux in winter as a CO₂ source and cause of seasonality in atmospheric CO₂, Climatic Change, 33, 111–120, 1996. 1250
 Zimov, S., Davidov, S., Zimova, G., Davidova, A., Chapin III, F., Chapin, M., and Reynolds, J.:
- Contribution of distrubance to increasing seasonal amplitude of atmospheric CO_2 , Science, 284, 1973–1976, 1999. 1264

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
	►I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

2, 1243–1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

G. Krinner et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
ا ◄	۶I			
•	Þ			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

Table 1. Simulated NPP (in GtC/year) for natural vegetation. NL: without nitrogen limitation; L: with nitrogen limitation.

Simulation type	CO ₂	Decade	NPP (NL)	NPP (L)
Equilibrium	284 ppm	1800s	36.9	35.7
Transient	Varying	1990s	48.6	42.5
Equilibrium	352 ppm	1990s	49.8	48.5

2, 1243-1282, 2005

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Fig. 2. $FACE_P CO_2$ enrichment experiment at Oak Ridge: Relative increase (%) of observed and simulated annual mean NPP due to CO_2 enrichment. Red: Observations; Green: Without N limitation; Blue: With N limitation. Values for the blue and green curves are identical during the first 4 years; the blue curve has been shifted downwards by 0.2% to improve readability.

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Fig. 4. Harvard soil warming experiment: Impact of soil heating on the simulated ecosystem CO_2 flux components in the model version with N limitation (12-month moving average, gC/m²/year). Difference between treated and control plots. Black: Difference in soil heterotrophic respiration (R_H); red: Difference in NPP.

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Fig. 5. Harvard soil warming experiment: Simulated N availability in the simulation with N limitation (12-month moving average, dimensionless). Black: with soil heating; red: without soil heating.

EGU

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Fig. 6. Global simultion: Simulated global NPP from 1800 to 2100 (GtC/year). Black: without N limitation, natural vegetation only; red: with N limitation, natural vegetation only; green: without N limitation, all surfaces; blue: with N limitation, all surfaces.

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

Fig. 8. Net ecosystem production as a function of latitude and time: Global simulation with N limitation (MtC/year/degree latitude).

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Simple parameterization of nitrogen limitation

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Introduction

References

Figures

Close

Fig. 9. Net ecosystem production as a function of latitude and time: Global simulation with N limitation minus global simulation without N limitation (MtC/year/degree latitude).

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Simple parameterization of 10.0 nitrogen limitation G. Krinner et al. 8.0 Global NEP (GtC/year) **Title Page** 6.0 Introduction Abstract 4.0 Conclusions References **Tables** Figures 2.0 Þ١ ∎◄ 0.0 ► Back Close mmmm 10000000000 1950 Year 1800 1850 1900 2050 2100 2000 Full Screen / Esc

BGD

2, 1243-1282, 2005

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Fig. 10. Global mean net ecosystem production as a function of time: Global simulation with N limitation (red) and global simulation without N limitation (black) in GtC/year.