



**HAL**  
open science

## Basin-scale wind transport during the MILAGRO field campaign and comparison to climatology using cluster analysis

B. de Foy, J. D. Fast, S. J. Paech, D. Phillips, J. T. Walters, R. L. Coulter, T. J. Martin, M. S. Pekour, W. J. Shaw, P. P. Kastendeuch, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

B. de Foy, J. D. Fast, S. J. Paech, D. Phillips, J. T. Walters, et al.. Basin-scale wind transport during the MILAGRO field campaign and comparison to climatology using cluster analysis. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 2007, 7 (5), pp.13035-13076. hal-00328230

**HAL Id: hal-00328230**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00328230>**

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Basin-scale wind transport during the MILAGRO field campaign and comparison to climatology using cluster analysis

**B. de Foy**<sup>1,2</sup>, **J. D. Fast**<sup>3</sup>, **S. J. Paech**<sup>4</sup>, **D. Phillips**<sup>4</sup>, **J. T. Walters**<sup>4</sup>, **R. L. Coulter**<sup>5</sup>,  
**T. J. Martin**<sup>5</sup>, **M. S. Pekour**<sup>3</sup>, **W. J. Shaw**<sup>3</sup>, **P. P. Kastendeuch**<sup>6</sup>, **N. A. Marley**<sup>7</sup>,  
**A. Retama**<sup>8</sup>, and **L. T. Molina**<sup>1,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Molina Center for Energy and the Environment, CA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Saint Louis University, USA

<sup>3</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

<sup>4</sup>National Space Science and Technology Center, University of Alabama in Huntsville, AL, USA

<sup>5</sup>Argonne National Laboratory, IL, USA

<sup>6</sup>Centre de Géochimie de la Surface, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France

<sup>7</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Arkansas at Little Rock, AK, USA

<sup>8</sup>Secretaría del Medio Ambiente, Gobierno del Distrito Federal, México

<sup>9</sup>Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA

Received: 27 August 2007 – Accepted: 2 September 2007 – Published: 7 September 2007

Correspondence to: B. de Foy (bdefoy@slu.edu)

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Abstract

The MILAGRO field campaign was a multi-agency international collaborative project to evaluate the regional impacts of the Mexico City air pollution plume as a means of understanding urban impacts on the global climate. Mexico City lies on an elevated plateau with mountains on three sides and has complex mountain and surface-driven wind flows. This paper asks what the wind transport was in the basin during the field campaign and how representative it was of the climatology. Surface meteorology and air quality data, radiosoundings and radar wind profiler data were collected at sites in the basin and its vicinity. Cluster analysis is used to identify the dominant wind patterns both during the campaign and within the past 10 years of operational data from the warm dry season. Our analysis shows that March 2006 was representative of typical flow patterns experienced in the basin. Six episode types were identified for the basin scale circulation providing a way of interpreting atmospheric chemistry and particulate data collected during the campaign. Decoupling between surface winds and those aloft had a strong influence in leading to convection and poor air quality episodes. Hourly characterisation of wind circulation during the MILAGRO, MCMA-2003 and IMADA field campaigns will enable the comparisons of similar air pollution episodes and the evaluation of the impact of wind transport on measurements of the atmospheric chemistry taking place in the basin.

## 1 Introduction

By studying the regional impact of the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) pollution plume, the MILAGRO field campaign seeks to improve the understanding of the global atmospheric impacts of megacities around the world (<http://www.eol.ucar.edu/projects/milagro>). The field campaign consisted of four components: MCMA-2006, MAX-MEX, MIRAGE and INTEX-B ranging from the basin scale to the inter-continental scale. The MCMA-2006 field campaign, organised by the Molina Center for Energy and the En-

ACPD

7, 13035–13076, 2007

### Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

5 vironment, focused on the Mexico City basin (<http://mce2.org/fc06/fc06.html>). Its main aim is to improve the understanding of urban emissions and boundary layer concentrations in the basin in order to assist policy makers. To this end, numerous research teams were deployed to characterise emission sources, measure pollutant transport, detect large point sources, describe vertical mixing processes and assess health impacts.

10 This paper seeks to assist the analyses of atmospheric chemistry and particulate data by characterising the wind transport patterns in the basin during the campaign both at the surface and aloft. It also seeks to compare the meteorological patterns with those of past campaign and with data from the last decade to establish how climatologically representative the month of March 2006 was. This will serve to assess the validity of the field campaign results to the longer time periods of interest to policy makers.

15 [Fast et al. \(2007\)](#) provide a meteorological overview of the MILAGRO field campaign focusing on the regional scale. The campaign was split into three parts: an initial dry part, a middle part with three cold surges and mixed circulation in between, and a third part with convective rainfall. They also describe the meteorological measurements made during the campaign and provide a review of existing meteorological research on Mexico City. In this paper, we provide a detailed description of the daily and hourly wind circulations within the basin and determine whether March 2006 was climatologically representative of other March periods over Mexico City. The analysis utilised data from radiosoundings, wind profilers and surface meteorological networks that was obtained at frequent intervals throughout the field campaign.

## 1.1 Basin-scale meteorology

25 Convergent drainage flows in the basin have been associated with high pollutant concentrations in the city ([Jauregui, 1988](#)). Modelling studies of the basin circulation during the MARI field campaign highlighted the importance of the interaction of the synoptic and local, terrain-induced, winds ([Bossert, 1997](#)). Thermal plumes, convective eddies,

---

### Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

low-level jets and entrainment into the boundary layer were observed by LIDAR during these episodes (Cooper and Eichinger, 1994). The Azteca experiment measured the impact of up and down-slope flows on pollutant transport in and out of the urban area (Raga et al., 1999).

5 Extensive meteorological measurements were made during the IMADA field campaign (Doran et al., 1998). These identified thermal gradients as the driving force of the gap flow in the southeast passage (Doran and Zhong, 2000). Terrain amplification of solar heating led to rapid boundary layer growth followed by sudden collapse due to cooling from wind flows from surrounding areas (Whiteman et al., 2000). These circulation patterns caused convergence zones in the basin with a significant impact on  
10 pollution dispersion but effective daily venting of the basin (Fast and Zhong, 1998).

Wind transport during the MCMA-2003 field campaign was classified into three episode types: “O3-South”, “O3-North” and “Cold Surge” (de Foy et al., 2005). The strength of the gap flows during the campaign was found to be influenced by momentum down-mixing of winds aloft (de Foy et al., 2006a), leading to east-west convergence zones during O3-South events and north-south convergence zones during O3-North events. Basin venting was found to be rapid with little influence of day-to-day carry-over (de Foy et al., 2006b). Similar convergence zones were analysed during different time periods by Jazcilevich et al. (2005).

20 Three Cold Surge events took place during MILAGRO on 14, 21 and 23 March (Fast et al., 2007). Before these, regional conditions were very dry leading to elevated levels of dust and biomass burning. After the cold surges, the conditions became moister and favorable for daily afternoon showers. Trajectories based on radar wind profiler data (Fig. 1) were used to identify days with potential transport of the urban plume past the  
25 T1 and T2 sites (Doran et al., 2007).

## 1.2 Cluster analysis

Meteorological cluster analysis has usually been either to establish local climatology or to determine wind patterns associated with high air pollution episodes and responsible

---

### Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

for the spatial distribution of pollutants. For Mexico City, [Klaus et al. \(2001\)](#) carried out a principal component analysis of air quality data and examined at the corresponding wind fields. This identified four eigenvectors corresponding to north/south transport, east/west slope flows, center/periphery drainage flows and northeast/southwest precipitation flows.

On the meteorological side, [Davis and Walker \(1992\)](#) performed a climatology of the western United States using principal component analysis and a two-step clustering technique. In this study, we will follow the two-step method consisting of a first pass with the complete linkage method followed by clustering with the k-means algorithm ([Kaufmann and Weber, 1996](#), [Weber and Kaufmann \(1995\)](#)). This has been further used and described for surface winds in Switzerland ([Weber and Furger, 2001](#)) and for wind pattern classification over the Grand Canyon ([Kaufmann and Whiteman, 1999](#)). [Kastendeuch and Kaufmann \(1997\)](#) applied the method to identify terrain induced winds in valley environments. [Kastendeuch and Najjar \(2003\)](#) further extended it to upper-air wind profiles.

With regard to air pollution, [Davis et al. \(1998\)](#) performed meteorological cluster analysis on the synoptic scale to identify high ozone events in Houston. Cluster analysis was performed with the average linkage method alone, or with average linkage followed by k-means analysis. The two-step method was found to give improved results. [Hart et al. \(2006\)](#) used a similar method and identified one synoptic cluster out of 11 as responsible for most ozone exceedances in Sydney, Australia. [Lu et al. \(2006\)](#) compared hierarchical and non-hierarchical methods to classify PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring stations into five air quality basins.

[Darby \(2005\)](#) also considered ozone pollution in Houston, but this time performing cluster analysis on the local surface winds with a partitioning method. Of the 16 clusters, several were clearly identified with ozone exceedances. [Oanh et al. \(2005\)](#) applied the method of [Davis and Walker \(1992\)](#) to synoptic conditions over Thailand in order to identify episodes of high ambient SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. [Beaver and Palazoglu \(2006\)](#) based their cluster analysis on the results of a principal component analysis for

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

the surface winds in the San Francisco Bay Area, again identifying synoptic patterns associated with high ozone levels. [Turias et al. \(2006\)](#) used a neural network approach to classify surface winds near Gibraltar with a view to improving air pollution forecasts.

As described in Sect. 1.1, meteorological analysis of the Mexico City basin has been extensively carried out on an episode-by-episode basis. Studies reviewed in this section highlight the success of cluster analysis in identifying climatological patterns leading to poor air quality. In this paper we therefore seek to extend these methods to the meteorological evaluation of the MILAGRO field campaign on two different time scales. First, we will apply clustering to decade-long surface and upper air data records to establish the dominant wind patterns of the warm dry season. Second, we will use clustering to analyse data from radar wind profilers during the campaign in order to reduce the large amount of data into identifiable flow patterns that can then be related to the climatological clusters.

### 1.3 Outline

The measurements used are described in Sect. 2. Air pollution levels during MILAGRO are compared to decade long trends in Sect. 3 to examine whether March 2006 was representative of Mexico City pollution levels. The cluster analysis is then performed on the last 8 years of radiosonde data in Sect. 4 and the last 10 years of surface wind data in Sect. 5. Vertical wind profiles obtained at three sites during the campaign are analysed in Sect. 6. Prefixes are used to distinguish the three different types of clusters by name: “Raob\_” for radiosonde clusters, “Sfc\_” for surface clusters and “Rwp\_” for radar wind profiler clusters. The wind circulation patterns during MILAGRO are then classified into six different types of days and related to air quality levels in Sect. 7.

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## 2 Measurements

The MCMA-2006 field campaign was based at the T0 supersite in the Mexican Petroleum Institute (IMP). This is in the northern part of the city south of the Sierra de Guadalupe hills. Cerro de Chiquihuite, site of a radio antenna station, is 4.8 km to the north, and Pico Tres Padres, the summit rising ~800 m above the basin floor, is 12 km to the north. Figure 1 shows the location of the stations where data used in this study was measured.

Radar wind profilers were installed at T0, T1 and T2. These were 915 MHz models manufactured by Vaisala. They were operated in a 5-beam mode with nominal 192-m range gates. As described in [Doran et al. \(2007\)](#), the NCAR Improved Moment Algorithm was used to obtain 30-min average consensus winds.

Radiosonde observations have been carried out at the headquarters of the Mexican National Weather Service (GSMN) at 00:00 Z and 12:00 Z since 1999. A network of automated surface meteorological stations (EMA) is under operation since 2001 reporting standard parameters, including accumulated rainfall, at 10-min intervals. During MILA-GRO, 5 stations were in operation in the basin: GSMN, ENCB, TEZO, CEMC and MADI. Meteorological stations were installed for the duration of the campaign at T0, T1 and T2 taking measurements at 1-minute intervals. Rain intensity and accumulated rainfall measurements at stations T0 and T1 were obtained using Vaisala WXT150 Weather Transmitters equipped with Vaisala Raincap sensors. Hourly cloud cover observations at the airport were obtained from the US National Climatic Data Center.

Both surface criteria pollutant concentrations and meteorological parameters are measured throughout the city by the Ambient Air Monitoring Network (Red Automática de Monitoreo Atmosférico, RAMA). 1-h average data is available online (<http://www.sma.df.gob.mx/simat/>) since 1986. Detailed information on all the stations including location, description of surroundings and site photographs is available at the same address under “Mapoteca”. These stations are arranged into sectors based on location in the basin, as shown in [de Foy et al. \(2005\)](#). For this analysis, a distinct “Periphery”

---

### Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



(PR) sector was used consisting of the following stations: VIF, CHA, CUA and TAH (not shown in Fig. 1). Because of the continuity of the RAMA dataset, these surface winds will be used for the cluster analysis.

### 3 Air pollution trends

5 Before analysing the basin meteorology, we ask whether the urban air quality during March 2006 was representative of longer time periods. Daily maximum measurements of  $O_3$ , CO,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  were obtained over a 10 year period for all the stations in the MCMA with a continuous record. This included 18 stations for  $O_3$ , 19 for CO, 6 for  $PM_{10}$  and 8 for  $PM_{2.5}$ . Figure 2 shows the range (5% and 95%), inter-quartile range and median of the daily maximum by month.

The downward trend of  $O_3$  and CO is clearly visible with March 2006 well within the normal distribution. For  $O_3$ , there is a slight annual pattern with higher values during March and April. This is because solar radiation has increased but the wet season has not yet started. Note however that high concentrations occur during the entire year. For CO the highest concentrations occur during January and February which are part of the cold dry season when temperature inversions are the strongest. The maximum takes place between 08:00 and 09:00 in the morning, at the peak of rush hour but before the mixing layer has started to rise.

For  $PM_{10}$  there is much less of a long-term trend. The end of the dry season typically has the highest aerosol loadings with a minimum during the wet season. While the median of the domain-wide maximum is normal for March, the 75% and 95% values are considerably higher and attain levels not seen since 2001. The maximum loadings take place either towards the end of the morning (11:00) or towards the end of the afternoon (18:00). The  $PM_{2.5}$  loadings show less annual variation than  $PM_{10}$ . Nevertheless there are peaks in the 95% values corresponding to the warm dry seasons. In particular, the warm dry season of 2006 had the highest concentrations since measurements began in the summer of 2003. Unlike  $PM_{10}$ , however, the timing of the maximum is around

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

noon.

By comparing domain-wide maximum air pollution measurements over a 10-year period, we have shown that March 2006 was a representative month. Levels of O<sub>3</sub> and CO continued their downward trends. Levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> are mostly within their ordinary range although the top quartile is on the high end of what is to be expected.

#### 4 Radiosonde analysis

Having situated March 2006 in terms of its “chemical weather”, we now analyse the radiosonde record to see if the synoptic conditions were climatologically representative. Cluster analysis was performed on radiosonde profiles from the warm dry season, defined in this study as 15 February to 15 May. In 1998, the release site was moved from the airport, on the basin floor, to the SMN headquarters on the western edge of the basin. The analysis will therefore be restricted to the 8 years (1999–2006) in the new location. Data profiles were obtained from NOAA’s Earth System Research Laboratory (<http://raob.fsl.noaa.gov/>) at a reduced vertical resolution compared to the original data files. The analysis is carried out with the 00Z sounding (18:00 local time). In some cases it was possible to extrapolate valid data to the reduced height ranges used in the clustering. Allowing this, data availability varied from 79% in 2004 to 96% in 2002.

For the radiosonde analysis, the clustering was performed using the k-means algorithm alone on an array containing potential temperature (K), humidity (g/kg), and meridional and zonal wind speed (m/s). The data was interpolated to heights every 500 m above ground level from 500 to 4500 m. Because all the variables vary in magnitude by about 20 units, and because sensitivity testing showed that this did not impact the analysis, it was decided not to renormalise the data. The distance between two profiles was calculated by taking the root mean square difference of all the data points. The maximum distance between profiles within a cluster decreases rapidly with an initial increase in the number of clusters, but then assumes a rather linear decrease. For

### Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

this reason, it was decided to perform the analysis for 6 clusters.

Figure 3 shows the median of the profiles in each cluster. For ease of analysis, these have been given a name based on the most distinctive feature. The “Raob\_Wet” cluster contains profiles that are the most humid and also that are among the warmest.

5 Winds are weak and from the south within the surface layer and westerly aloft. The “Raob\_Hot” cluster in contrast has the hottest profiles with average humidity aloft but a drier surface layer. Again, the winds are weak, but they are northerly with some veering to northeasterly aloft. “Raob\_NCool” and “Raob\_WCool” clusters both have cool temperatures, average humidities and westerlies aloft. “Raob\_NCool” has the weakest winds aloft however and a shift to northwesterly in the mixing layer. “Raob\_WCool”  
10 in contrast has strong winds aloft and a shift to southerly in the surface layer. The “Raob\_SWarm cluster” contains slightly warmer profiles of average humidity and wind speed. The wind direction however is from the south throughout most of the profile with a slight shift to southeasterly in mid-levels. The “Raob\_BasinFlush” cluster is the most distinctive with strong, cold, dry winds blowing from the southwest. It has half the number of members as the other clusters and usually leads to clean air in the basin.

The distribution of clusters for each year, as well as for the last two field campaigns, is shown in Fig. 4. From this we can immediately see that the strong cold cleansing winds of the Raob\_BasinFlush cluster were mainly in 2004 and 2005 and were absent  
20 in 2006. Histograms by month showed that March 2003 was also dominated by these and had very clean air as a result. From the chemical and particulate measurement perspective, it is therefore fortunate that MCMA-2003 was in April, and that MILAGRO was in 2006. Aside from Raob\_BasinFlush, there is a good distribution of clusters in 2006, albeit with notably fewer occurrences of the Raob\_Wet and Raob\_WCool clusters.  
25 The relative paucity of humid clusters suggests that the warm dry season of 2006 was drier than usual, thereby suggesting a meteorological cause for the high dust loadings and extensive biomass burning measured during the campaign prior to the third cold surge on 23 March.

The month of March 2006 itself has a good representation of all the clusters, with a

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

slight under-representation of the Raob\_Wet and Raob\_Hot clusters. In the last case, this is also fortunate as the best clusters for Lagrangian transport to the northeast are the Raob\_WCool and Raob\_SWarm clusters. In comparison, the analysis confirms the prior experience of April 2003 as an unusually moist period with most of the Raob\_Wet cluster for that season taking place in April alone.

Overall, we find that March 2006 is a representative period of the warm dry season. There are radiosonde profiles in all categories except the one that is associated with the lowest pollution levels. The 2006 warm dry season was however shown to be drier than most suggesting a synoptic explanation for the high particulate matter loadings identified in Sect. 3.

## 5 Surface wind analysis

Because the radiosonde release site is on the foothills of the basin rim, it is more representative of local slope flows in the lower levels and synoptic conditions aloft. Surface observations from the RAMA network are available however from multiple sites around the urban area and can therefore be used to identify patterns in the basin wind circulation. Cluster analysis was performed on 10 years of hourly surface wind data from the RAMA network for the warm dry season as defined in Sect. 4. The stations selected were XAL, TLA, EAC, TAC, PLA, PED, CES and MER based on data availability. The percentage of valid data varied from 57% in 1998 to 99% in 2006. Overall, there was valid data for 79% of the hours in the periods selected, corresponding to 16 791 data fields out of a total of 21 168.

As described in Sect. 1.2, clusters were first created with the complete linkage hierarchical method. The resulting medians were used to seed the k-means clustering algorithm. As for the radiosonde data, the distance between two wind fields for the k-means algorithm was calculated by taking the root mean square difference of all the data points. The number of clusters was chosen to be 8 as this coincided with a local minimum in maximum distance within the clusters.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**B. de Foy et al.

---

The clusters were separated into three drainage types: “Sfc\_Drain1”, “Sfc\_Drain2” and “Sfc\_Drain3”, three northerly to easterly types: “Sfc\_Northeast”, “Sfc\_East” and “Sfc\_North”, and two southerly types: “Sfc\_South” and “Sfc\_Southwest”. Figures 5, 6 and 7 show maps of wind roses for the 8 clusters during March 2006 at 5 of the 8 locations. The wind roses are classified by time of day rather than wind speed so as to show the diurnal distribution of the clusters. The drainage clusters (Fig. 5) are characterised by down-slope flow into the basin center with similar flows for the stations on the basin rim (TLA, PED and CES). The difference in the clusters arises from the flow at MER, in the urban center and closer to the basin center, and XAL in the north of the old urban area and close to the Sierra de Guadalupe. These start off with northerly flow in Sfc\_Drain1 which then turns easterly first for MER in Sfc\_Drain2 and then for XAL as well in Sfc\_Drain3, following a progression by time of day (see below).

During the day, flows are more spatially uniform. The Sfc\_Northeast cluster (Fig. 6) has northerly flows in the north of the basin and northeasterly further south. For the Sfc\_East cluster, the winds have turned and exhibit some divergence with northward movement in the north and southward in the south respectively. The Sfc\_North cluster has the least variance in wind direction and also happens to have the strongest winds blowing due south in the basin.

The Sfc\_South cluster (Fig. 7) has more variation both in time and in wind direction, with northward winds at all the stations. PED in the southwest however sometimes has a stronger westerly component associated with downslope flows and MER a stronger easterly component associated with gap flows from the southeast. As for Sfc\_South, the Sfc\_Southwest cluster also has more variability. It contains sweeping flows from the southwest that flush the urban plume to the northeast. The westerly component is stronger at TLA and PED due to reinforcement from the down-slope winds.

The histogram of cluster distribution is shown in Fig. 8 for both the entire data set and for the March 2006 subset. This shows that the clustering method automatically recognised the diurnal structure of the basin wind circulation, with a clear progression from Sfc\_Drain1 to Sfc\_Drain2, and then to Sfc\_Drain3. After this, the circulation goes to

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

the Sfc\_East and Sfc\_Northeast clusters before being replaced by either the Sfc\_North or Sfc\_South cluster in the mid to late afternoon and some Sfc\_Southwest clusters in the late afternoons.

Comparison between the two histograms show that MILAGRO was representative of the warm dry season with similar diurnal distributions and relative fractions of clusters. The main difference is the under-representation of the Sfc\_Southwest cluster. This has a comparable number of members as the Sfc\_South cluster over the 10-year period, but only one fifth as many members during MILAGRO. This is a notable difference with MCMA-2003 where it had been a feature of evening venting leading to high ozone levels in the north of the MCMA. Whereas cluster analysis of the radiosonde data showed a representative distribution of synoptic conditions for the month of March 2006, analysis of the surface winds shows a representative distribution of diurnal wind patterns on the basin scale.

## 6 Radar wind profilers

Having examined the synoptic and basin-scale flow types influencing the MCMA over long periods, vertical wind profiles will now be analysed to identify specific three-dimensional wind patterns during MILAGRO. The three wind profilers provided a wealth of information regarding the vertical structure of the wind circulation and its variation along the T0-T1-T2 axis.

Cluster analysis was used to identify dominant flow types following the same method as for the surface analysis. Profiles of wind direction every 30 min were averaged to three height intervals: 500–1000 m, 1000–1500 m and 1500–2000 m. Because we are interested in the surface layer flows rather than the synoptic conditions aloft, all heights are in meters above ground level. Clustering was performed on the profiles from T0 and T1. T2 was not included because each additional data sources adds to the overall fraction of missing data, and in this case including the data did not change the clusters substantially. In this way, there were 830 times with valid profiles between

### Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

6 March 18:30 and 28 March 16:30 corresponding to valid profiles 79% of the time. The number of clusters was chosen to be 12, again basing the decision on the presence of a local minimum in the maximum distance within the clusters. There are more clusters from the profilers than from the surface winds because these clusters identify vertical features in the boundary layer that the surface winds cannot see.

Displaying the considerable amount of information contained in the profiles can be problematic, and the reader interested in specific episodes will find it most useful to look at the wind vectors for selected times. To summarise the information from the whole campaign however, Figs. 9, 10 and 11 show wind roses for the three sites for each of the 12 clusters. These are coloured by height ranges and are based on the original profiles rather than the reduced averages on which the clustering was performed. In this way, the roses for T2 were made with the data available for the times of the clusters defined by T0 and T1.

The spread in direction for each height range gives an indication of the fuzziness of the clusters. A general comparison of the wind roses for T0, T1 and T2 for all the clusters shows that T0 has the most sharply defined clusters and T2 has the fuzziest ones. For T0, this may be due to its location within the basin itself where the surrounding mountains are higher and have a stronger impact on the circulation. For T2 on the other hand, it is due in part to the fact that T2 data was not included in the clustering algorithm and in part from the fact that it is on the northern edge of the plateau on complex terrain of its own.

In interpreting the profiler clusters, it is useful to link them with the surface wind clusters. Table 1 shows the correspondence between the two sets of clusters. While clear patterns emerge, there remains considerable scatter in the mapping between the two sets. As will be discussed below, this reflects periods of decoupling and wind shear between the surface and winds aloft. It also reflects spatial variations as T0 is at the northern edge of the domain used in the surface analysis and T1 well outside of the domain.

The clusters were separated into three groups: North (1–4), South (5–7) and Shear

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**B. de Foy et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

(8–12). Starting with the North group, “Rwp\_Northeast” has northerly surface flow at T0 veering to northeasterly aloft. The pattern is similar at T1 and T2 where extra data above 2000 m show that the veering continues to southeasterly and southerly flow. As expected, this corresponds to the Sfc\_North and Sfc\_Northeast clusters. “Rwp\_North” contains straight northerly flow, coinciding with Sfc\_North and, to a lesser degree, Sfc\_Drain2. Note, however, the predominantly southwesterly flow aloft at T2 suggesting a turning of the winds into the basin.

“Rwp\_North-Veering” has northerly surface flow with stronger veering than Rwp\_Northeast but less spatial variation between the three sites. This is more strongly associated with the Sfc\_Drain2 cluster than the previous clusters indicating a decoupling between surface drainage flows entering the basin from the north but westward winds aloft. “Rwp\_Northwest” contains northwesterly surface winds backing to westerly with height. As for the other members of the North group, these are associated with surface winds from the north and northeast as well as early morning drainage flows. Whereas Rwp\_North-Veering shows evidence of decoupling of the surface from the easterly winds aloft, Rwp\_Northwest shows decoupling with similar surface winds entering the basin from the north decoupled, this time, from prevailing westerlies aloft.

Of the South group, “Rwp\_South-Veering” is the most common with southerly surface flows veering to southwesterly. This is most strongly associated with the Sfc\_South cluster but has a significant overlap with the Sfc\_East and Sfc\_Drain clusters. This suggests that westward surface flows in the basin turn towards the north in the north of city due to both terrain blocking by the western basin rim and entrainment from southwesterlies aloft. “Rwp\_South” is the southerly equivalent of Rwp\_North with southerly flow straight out of the basin. The only variation with height is the southwesterly component at the very top height ranges. As with Rwp\_South-Veering, it is also associated mainly with Sfc\_South and Sfc\_East clusters and secondarily with Sfc\_Drain clusters. “Rwp\_Southwest” has southwesterly surface flows with some veering aloft. As with Rwp\_South-Veering and Rwp\_South, it is associated most with Sfc\_South and Sfc\_East further suggesting that surface basin winds moving northwards are turned towards the



east by prevailing winds aloft as they leave the basin. The reduced association with drainage flows of this cluster however suggests that this cluster is linked to stronger entrainment of momentum aloft leading to a flushing out of the basin towards the north-east.

5 The Shear group is the most interesting with stronger variations between the stations and in the vertical. “Rwp\_H-Shear” represents horizontal shear with easterlies at T0 and westerlies at T1. These occur when the surface flows are from the south or east in the basin. In fair weather cases it suggests channelling around the Sierra de Guadalupe, but inspection of the particular events shows that it is also associated with convective activity late in the experiment. “Rwp\_East-Shear” has northeasterly surface flows turning to easterly and then southerly with height. This coincides with the Sfc\_Northeast and Sfc\_Drain clusters. Compared to the Rwp\_South-veering and Rwp\_South clusters, this suggests increased decoupling in the vertical. “Rwp\_Nsfc-Se” is similar to Rwp\_East-Shear but now the vertical shear is so strong that the flow consists of a northerly surface layer up to 1000 m with southeasterly flow aloft. These correspond to night-time drainage flows where the terrain blocking prevents the prevailing winds aloft from affecting the surface.

15 “Rwp\_West-Shear” has southwesterly surface flows with northwesterly winds aloft. The shearing is particularly strong at T0 and takes place during both Sfc\_Drain clusters as well as Sfc\_South and Sfc\_East clusters. “Rwp\_Nsfc-Sw” is comparable to Rwp\_Nsfc-Se with similar northerly surface flows but southwesterly winds aloft rather than southeasterly. The surface layer is shallower and less clearly defined than Rwp\_Nsfc-Se and the flows aloft are southwesterly instead of southeasterly. These are associated with Sfc\_Northeast flows suggesting that the surface layer is fairly uniform and extends over most of the MCMA. The surface layer is found to be below 25 1000 m a.g.l. with the prevailing southwesterly winds dominating aloft.

The surface clusters see the impact of the gap flow from Chalco as a southeasterly signature in the stations closest to the gap. The profiler clusters however do not distinguish between a southeasterly gap flow and a more general southerly flow. To

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

characterise the vertical structure of gap flows identified by surface measurements, extra profiler data would be needed closer to the gap as was the case during the IMADA campaign (Doran et al., 1998).

Propagating density currents have been discussed coming from the Gulf in the north-east and heading south into the basin (Bossert, 1997, Fast et al. (2007)). At the surface, these get classified with the Sfc\_North or Sfc\_Northeast clusters. The profilers however are able to detect the vertical shear associated with these. In particular, the northeasterly surface flow of Rwp\_East-Shear veering to southerly and the northerly surface layer of Rwp\_Nsfc-Sw backing to southwesterly could be indicative of these currents.

## 7 Campaign classification

The combination of the surface wind vectors, the radar wind profilers, the radiosoundings and the basin air quality data were used to classify the campaign days into six typical meteorological episodes. In order to do this, it is necessary to display the wind clusters associated with each hour of the campaign. This is best achieved with a calendar like plot where each day contains a matrix of coloured cells for each hour of the day. Such a plot is shown in Fig. 12 for the surface wind clusters and in Fig. 13 for the wind profiler clusters for MILAGRO (for ease of analysis, these figures may need to be printed to a full page separately or viewed on screen). From this, it is possible to establish patterns in the evolution of the wind fields: blues were used for the drainage clusters taking place during the night, reddish (including yellow/brown) were used for transport to the south and greens for transport to the north.

Figure 14 shows a conceptual diagram of salient wind patterns for four of the six episodes. Up to and including 7 March, the days are dominated by the Sfc\_Northeast cluster followed by the Sfc\_North clusters. This represents straight forward, uniform transport to the south and these days were therefore labelled “South Venting”. After this, on 8, 12 and 15–17 March, the Sfc\_Northeast cluster yields to the Sfc\_South

### Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

5 cluster in the late afternoon. This corresponds to a wind shift with transport initially to the south moving back to the north and is labelled “O3-South” as ozone peaks in the south of the city on these days. For 9–11, 18–20 and 22 March, the northeast flow yields to the Sfc\_East cluster and then the Sfc\_South and Sfc\_Southwest clusters during the afternoon. This causes some pollutant accumulation in the morning which is then vented to the north of the basin and has been labelled “O3-North”. Cold Surges took place on 14, 21 and 23 March as discussed in [Fast et al. \(2007\)](#). These are more variable than the other categories but do have a strong southward flushing of the basin late into the evening. After the last Cold Surge of the campaign, the air remained considerably more humid and there were frequent afternoon rains. The winds were not as persistent as for the other categories, as indicated by numerous cluster types especially in the afternoon. These “Convection” days were split into two sub-groups. The first three days, 24–26 March, along with 31 March were classified as “Convection-South” as there was a stronger component of southward transport in the late afternoon. The next four days, 27–30 March, were classified as “Convection-North” as they had more northward transport in the late afternoon.

10  
15  
20  
25 Of necessity, any classification scheme imposes strict distinctions where in reality there are but fuzzy regions. An advantage of displaying the wind clusters hour by hour is that it provides a visual method of assessing the variability within each episode type and the distance or proximity between days of different classification. For example, 18 and 19 March have a stronger, more persistent northward flow than the other O3-North days. 12 and 15 March, although classified as O3-South, are not so far removed from some of the O3-North days. In these cases, there is a fine distinction that is based on the actual plume transport in the basin and its importance for the interpretation of MILAGRO data. For example, the 8 March exhibited a sharp wind shift in the late afternoon which was observed chemically by the Aerodyne mobile laboratory ([Kolb et al., 2004](#)) on Pico Tres Padres.

The meteorological classification just described is shown in Table 2 along with summarised cloud and rain observations and air quality measurements. This shows that

the very clear skies of South Venting gave way to scattered cloudiness during O3-South and O3-North days. After the Cold Surges, the skies were mostly clear during the morning but mostly covered in the afternoons with strong showers. Breaking with this pattern, an isolated thunderstorm took place at T1 on the 16 March. These O3-South days were cloudier than those of MCMA-2003 as they came immediately after a Cold Surge.

## 7.1 Vertical wind variations

As described in Sect. 6 there are correspondences between the surface and the profiler clusters, but the linkages between the two are multiple in both directions. In order to compare the two and in order to obtain further information about the winds along the vertical axis, Fig. 13 shows the wind clusters for each 30 min interval during the campaign. The first thing to stand out are the blocks of red/yellow for South Venting and O3-South and the blocks of green for O3-North. This confirms the southward and northward transport for each episode type. There are however differences within the episode types. For example March 18 and 19, which had persistent southerly surface winds also has the most persistent southerly winds in the vertical. The combination contributed to very clean air, as can be seen in Table 2. In contrast, 11 March has shallow northerly surface flows with southwesterly winds aloft in the mid-afternoon (Rwp\_Nsfc-Sw). This combination led to the maximum 1-h ozone concentration of the campaign (185 ppb).

The horizontal shear of Rwp\_H-Shear is to be found in cases of southeasterly flow in the basin in the late afternoon on O3-South and Convection days. This indicates the possible influence of the Sierra de Guadalupe in maintaining southeasterly flow at T0 when the winds have turned to southwesterly at T1. The decoupled flow of Rwp\_East-Shear, which had northeasterly surface flows with southerlies aloft is to be found on the first Cold Surge and also on the O3-South days. This leads to a situation analogous to 11 March with accumulation of pollutants in the lower level and recirculation in the vertical leading to the next three highest ozone levels of the campaign. Furthermore,

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rwp\_East-Shear is associated with a shallow layer transported from the Gulf and leading to increased cloudiness (except for 12 March which was too dry to begin with). The peak occurrences are in the early afternoon and are consistent with the descriptions of a propagating density current into the basin (Bossert, 1997, Fast et al., 2007).

5 The northerly surface layer of Rwp\_Nsfc-Se occurs during the latest or earliest hours of the day, often before or after Rwp\_East-Shear. This highlights the strong vertical wind shear that can take place between the surface drainage flows and the prevailing winds aloft.

10 Rwp\_West-Shear had westerly winds with vertical shearing between the more southerly surface flows and the more northerly winds aloft. These are found mainly on 8 March, an O3-South day, when the surface winds were from the east and south-east. This suggests that before sunrise there was a turning of the winds in the north of the basin towards the north, a pattern also found before the last Cold Surge. This is an interesting test case as it differs from the other O3-South days where the southerly surface layer is due to entrainment by southerly winds aloft.

15 Rwp\_Nsfc-Sw had a northerly surface layer with southwesterly winds aloft. The main occurrences start with the first Cold Surge day. After this, each occurrence of this cluster is associated with rain at T1, including the thunderstorm of the 16th. The diurnal distribution is mainly in the mid-afternoon. Overall, this suggests that this cluster can also be associated with propagating density currents similar to Rwp\_East-Shear. Moist air from the Gulf is forced into the basin where it meets winds in the opposite direction leading to convection.

## 7.2 Comparison with MCMA-2003

25 The O3-South, O3-North and Cold Surge episode types described for MCMA-2003 have been found to be applicable to MILAGRO. Because MILAGRO experienced strong clean flows at the beginning of the campaign, these were separated from O3-South into the South Venting category. O3-North events during MILAGRO contained the day with the highest O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, as was the case during MCMA-

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

2003. Stronger and more uniform winds during the O3-North events of MILAGRO than those of MCMA-2003, however, contributed to cleaner air on average for the days of this episode. Because of the variation between the Cold Surge episodes, these were limited to the actual day of the event for MILAGRO, with convection-dominated days following placed into a separate category. In a sense, these have flows similar to O3-South except that the convective activity prevents the formation of a clean convergence zone sweeping through the basin in the late afternoon. Calendar plots of the surface wind clusters for MCMA-2003 and IMADA are provided as supplementary material (Figs. 15 and 16 <http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/7/13035/2007/acpd-7-13035-2007-supplement.zip>). From these, episodes of similar transport can be identified across the field campaigns in order to obtain meaningful comparisons of air quality measurements.

## 8 Summary

We have analysed meteorological data from daily radiosondes for the last eight years, hourly observations at eight surface stations for the last ten years and three radar wind profilers during the MILAGRO field campaign. Cluster analysis was used to classify the data into dominant wind patterns so as to interpret circulation patterns in the basin. Histograms of the cluster distributions were used to evaluate the climatological representativeness of the MILAGRO campaign and the diurnal structure of the wind flow. A linkage table relating the surface and profiler clusters served to combine the analysis of the surface winds with the vertical structure. Calendar plots of the clusters were then used to identify meteorological episodes during MILAGRO.

Six daily weather types were identified during MILAGRO, three of which took place during MCMA-2003. South Venting had strong, dry, southward winds leading to clear skies and low pollution levels. O3-South days had a gap flow from the southeast passage causing an east-west convergence zone that moved northwards into the early evening. This was associated with high O<sub>3</sub> in the south of the city. O3-North days

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

had stronger southwesterly flow aloft that led to winds coming over the western and southern rims of the basin. A north-south convergence zone formed with high pollution levels in the north of the city. Three Cold Surges took place bringing cold humid air along the Gulf coast and into the basin. Humid conditions persisted after the last Cold Surge and led to days with afternoon convection and rainfall. While generally similar, these were split into days where the convection was more to the south and days where it was more to the north. Table 2 shows a summary of classification for the campaign along with cloud, rain and pollution levels in the basin. Figure 14 shows a conceptual diagram for the O3-South, O3-North, Cold Surge and Convection cases.

Analysis of the vertical structure found evidence of strong horizontal and vertical wind shear. Wind flows were identified with fairly uniform transport to the south or north with some turning aloft due to the prevailing southwesterlies. Horizontal wind shear between T0 and T1 suggested channelling around the Sierra Guadalupe associated with both clear sky events and convection events. Northerly surface winds associated with propagating density currents were found that were decoupled from the southwesterly winds aloft. These led to high pollutant concentrations as surface emissions were transported in a shallow layer towards the urban area before being blown back to the north.

Long term trends of domain-wide maximum pollutant concentrations showed that MILAGRO experienced normal levels of O<sub>3</sub> and CO, subject to the continuously decreasing trend. PM loadings however were found to be higher than normal, with 75% and 95% of daily maximum among the highest measured.

Comparison of histograms of both radiosonde clusters and surface clusters showed that MILAGRO was representative of the warm dry season. For radiosondes, the only flow type not represented was the strong basin-flushing flows from the south (note however that surface and wind profiler analysis found this type of flow on 18 and 19 March). Other types of flows were well represented, especially the ones leading to northward transport. Over the whole season, 2006 was considerably drier which provides an explanation for the high PM loadings observed.

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**B. de Foy et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

The diurnal variation of surface wind patterns was very similar for March 2006 as for the warm dry seasons of the last ten years. This consisted of very clearly defined drainage flows into the basin every morning followed by northeasterly and easterly winds after sunrise and into the early afternoon. The distinction between different days came after that from either northerly or southerly winds. The main difference during MILAGRO was an under-representation of the southwesterly flows compared to the southerly and northerly winds.

The classification of the wind patterns will assist in analysis and interpretation of the MILAGRO dataset by enabling the evaluation of the impact of wind transport on measurements of gas and aerosol phase chemistry. Similar transport episodes during IMADA and MCMA-2003 obtained from surface cluster analysis can be used to obtain meaningful comparisons of measurements across the field campaigns, thereby increasing the value of each individual dataset. The meteorological classification will be used to identify episodes for intensive modelling studies. The evaluation of models will be able to build on the simulation of salient features in addition to standard statistical metrics.

Finally, this paper used only a portion of the meteorological data. Future studies will be able to build upon this, for example with the detailed observations of the boundary layer structure, with profiles and measurements aloft from airborne platforms, from the measurements of the mobile laboratory, from other ground based measurements and from different kinds of balloon measurements. These measurements are much more specialised and have intermittent or irregular sampling intervals which need to be analysed differently from the operational measurements used in this paper. Cluster analysis to identify transport episodes during the field campaign serves to create a link between the two types of measurements and provide a climatological basis for episodic analysis of the MILAGRO dataset.

*Acknowledgements.* We are indebted to the large number of people involved in the MILAGRO field campaign as well as those involved in long term monitoring in the Mexico City basin without which this study would not exist. We would like to thank M. Rosengaus, J. L. Razo, J. Olalde and



P. García of the Mexican National Meteorological Service for providing the EMA and radiosonde data and the operators and analyst personnel of the “Red Automática de Monitoreo Atmosférico del Gobierno del Distrito Federal” for administering and gathering the surface air quality and meteorological data. We gratefully acknowledge the Matlab k-means clustering routines of Yu  
5 Hen Hu of the University of Wisconsin.

The MILAGRO field campaign was supported by the Comisión Ambiental Metropolitana of Mexico, NSF, DOE, NASA and USDA Forest Service among others. The financial support of the U.S. National Science Foundation (awards ATM-0511803 and ATM-0528227), the U.S. Department of Energy (award DE-FG02-05ER63980) and the Molina Center for Strategic Studies in  
10 Energy and the Environment is gratefully acknowledged for this work.

## References

Beaver, S. and Palazoglu, A.: Cluster analysis of hourly wind measurements to reveal synoptic regimes affecting air quality, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, 45, 1710–1726, 2006. [13039](#)

Bossert, J. E.: An investigation of flow regimes affecting the Mexico City region, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 36, 119–140, 1997. [13037](#), [13051](#), [13054](#)

Cooper, D. I. and Eichinger, W. E.: Structure of the atmosphere in an urban planetary boundary layer from lidar and radiosonde observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 22 937–22 948, 1994. [13038](#)

Darby, L. S.: Cluster analysis of surface winds in Houston, Texas, and the impact of wind patterns on ozone, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 44, 1788–1806, 2005. [13039](#)

Davis, J. M., Eder, B. K., Nychka, D., and Yang, Q.: Modeling the effects of meteorology on ozone in Houston using cluster analysis and generalized additive models, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 2505–2520, 1998. [13039](#)

Davis, R. E. and Walker, D. R.: An upper-air synoptic climatology of the western united-states, *J. Climate*, 5, 1449–1467, 1992. [13039](#)

de Foy, B., Caetano, E., Magaña, V., Zitácuaro, A., Cárdenas, B., Retama, A., Ramos, R., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Mexico City basin wind circulation during the MCMA-2003 field campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2267–2288, 2005, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/2267/2005/>. [13038](#), [13041](#)

de Foy, B., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Satellite-derived land surface parameters for

---

## Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- mesoscale modelling of the Mexico City basin, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1315–1330, 2006a. [13038](#)
- de Foy, B., Varela, J. R., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Rapid ventilation of the Mexico City basin and regional fate of the urban plume, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2321–2335, 2006b. [13038](#)
- Doran, J. C. and Zhong, S.: Thermally driven gap winds into the Mexico City basin, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 39, 1330–1340, 2000. [13038](#)
- Doran, J. C., Abbott, S., Archuleta, J., Bian, X., Chow, J., Coulter, R. L., de Wekker, S. F. J., Edgerton, S., Elliott, S., Fernandez, A., Fast, J. D., Hubbe, J. M., King, C., Langley, D., Leach, J., Lee, J. T., Martin, T. J., Martinez, D., Martinez, J. L., Mercado, G., Mora, V., Mulhearn, M., Pena, J. L., Petty, R., Porch, W., Russell, C., Salas, R., Shannon, J. D., Shaw, W. J., Sosa, G., Tellier, L., Templeman, B., Watson, J. G., White, R., Whiteman, C. D., and Wolfe, D.: The IMADA-AVER boundary layer experiment in the Mexico City area, *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, 79, 2497–2508, 1998. [13038](#), [13051](#)
- Doran, J. C., Barnard, J. C., Arnott, W. P., Cary, R., Coulter, R., Fast, J. D., Kassianov, E. I., Kleinman, L., Laulainen, N. S., Martin, T., Paredes-Miranda, G., Pekour, M. S., Shaw, W. J., Smith, D. F., Springston, S. R., and Yu, X. Y.: The T1-T2 study: evolution of aerosol properties downwind of Mexico City, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 1585–1598, 2007, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/1585/2007/>. [13038](#), [13041](#)
- Fast, J. D. and Zhong, S. Y.: Meteorological factors associated with inhomogeneous ozone concentrations within the Mexico City basin, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 103, 18927–18946, 1998. [13038](#)
- Fast, J. D., de Foy, B., Rosas, F. A., Caetano, E., Carmichael, G., Emmons, L., McKenna, D., Mena, M., Skamarock, W., Tie, X., Coulter, R. L., Barnard, J. C., Wiedinmyer, C., and Madronich, S.: A meteorological overview of the MILAGRO field campaigns, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 2233–2257, 2007, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/2233/2007/>. [13037](#), [13038](#), [13051](#), [13052](#), [13054](#)
- Hart, M., Dear, R. D., and Hyde, R.: A synoptic climatology of tropospheric ozone episodes in Sydney, Australia, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 26, 1635–1649, 2006. [13039](#)
- Jauregui, E.: Local wind and air pollution interaction in the Mexico basin, *Atmosfera*, 1, 131–140, 1988. [13037](#)
- Jazcilevich, A. D., Garcia, A. R., and Caetano, E.: Locally induced surface air confluence by complex terrain and its effects on air pollution in the valley of Mexico, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39,

---

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**B. de Foy et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

5481–5489, 2005. [13038](#)

Kastendeuch, P. P. and Kaufmann, P.: Classification of summer wind fields over complex terrain, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 17, 521–534, 1997. [13039](#)

Kastendeuch, P. P. and Najjar, G.: Upper-air wind profiles investigation for tropospheric circulation study, *Theoretical Applied Climatology*, 75, 149–165, 2003. [13039](#)

Kaufmann, P. and Weber, R. O.: Classification of mesoscale wind fields in the MISTRAL field experiment, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 35, 1963–1979, 1996. [13039](#)

Kaufmann, P. and Whiteman, C. D.: Cluster-analysis classification of wintertime wind patterns in the Grand Canyon region, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 38, 1131–1147, 1999. [13039](#)

Klaus, D., Poth, A., Voss, M., and Jauregui, E.: Ozone distributions in Mexico City using principal component analysis and its relation to meteorological parameters, *Atmosfera*, 14, 171–188, 2001. [13039](#)

Kolb, C. E., Herndon, S. C., McManus, B., Shorter, J. H., Zahniser, M. S., Nelson, D. D., Jayne, J. T., Canagaratna, M. R., and Worsnop, D. R.: Mobile laboratory with rapid response instruments for real-time measurements of urban and regional trace gas and particulate distributions and emission source characteristics, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38, 5694–5703, 2004. [13052](#)

Lu, H. C., Chang, C. L., and Hsieh, J. C.: Classification of PM10 distributions in Taiwan, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 1452–1463, 2006. [13039](#)

Oanh, N. T. K., Chutimon, P., Ekbordin, W., and Supat, W.: Meteorological pattern classification and application for forecasting air pollution episode potential in a mountain-valley area, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 1211–1225, 2005. [13039](#)

Raga, G. B., Baumgardner, D., Kok, G., and Rosas, I.: Some aspects of boundary layer evolution in Mexico City, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 5013–5021, 1999. [13038](#)

Turias, I. J., Gonzalez, F. J., Martin, M. L., and Galindo, P. L.: A competitive neural network approach for meteorological situation clustering, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 532–541, 2006. [13040](#)

Weber, R. O. and Furger, M.: Climatology of near-surface wind patterns over Switzerland, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 21, 809–827, 2001. [13039](#)

Weber, R. O. and Kaufmann, P.: Automated classification scheme for wind fields, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 34, 1133–1141, 1995. [13039](#)

Whiteman, C. D., Zhong, S., Bian, X., Fast, J. D., and Doran, J. C.: Boundary layer evolution and regional-scale diurnal circulations over the Mexico Basin and Mexican Plateau, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 105, 10 081–10 102, 2000. [13038](#)

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

**Table 1.** Correspondence of RAMA surface clusters along the top and Profiler clusters along the side. Each entry counts the number of 30-min profiler clusters occurring during the 1-h surface clusters.

Sfc Clusters:		Drain1	Drain2	Drain3	Northeast	East	North	South	Southwest
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Rwp_Northeast	9	9	3	14	4	16	0	1
2	Rwp_North	2	8	4	3	0	13	3	0
3	Rwp_North-Veering	4	12	2	4	1	5	1	0
4	Rwp_Northwest	1	7	3	9	2	5	0	1
5	Rwp_South-Veering	13	20	6	12	16	6	35	4
6	Rwp_South	18	10	7	2	15	3	30	1
7	Rwp_Southwest	0	7	3	5	11	3	13	4
8	Rwp_H-Shear	2	1	1	2	5	0	5	1
9	Rwp_East-Shear	8	8	2	11	4	6	4	0
10	Rwp_Nsfc-Se	19	2	2	1	2	8	0	0
11	Rwp_West-Shear	1	6	3	2	4	0	5	1
12	Rwp_Nsfc-Sw	2	2	2	10	3	6	3	1

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Table 2.** Summary of MILAGRO field campaign days with classification by episode type. Domain-wide maximum air pollution levels from the RAMA network, with time of maximum and location by station sector.

Date <sup>1</sup>	Episode	Cloud Cover <sup>2</sup>		Rain (mm)			O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)			NO <sub>x</sub> (ppb)			CO (ppm)			SO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)			PM <sub>2.5</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )			
		AM	PM	T0	T1	EMA <sup>3</sup>	Max	Time	Sector	Max	Time	Sector	Max	Time	Sector	Max	Time	Sector	Max	Time	Sector	
1	W	SV	SKC	SCT			0	102	14	SW	356	8	NW	6.8	8	NW	105	9	NW	81	8	CR
2	R	SV	SKC	SKC			0	74	13	SW	398	8	NW	6.6	8	SW	49	4	NW	97	10	CR
3	F	SV	SKC	SKC			0	102	14	NW	477	8	NE	7	9	NW	31	10	NW	97	21	NE
4	Sa	SV	SKC	SKC		0	0	121	16	SW	295	8	SE	4.6	8	NW	127	4	PR	88	12	NE
5	Su	SV	SKC	SKC			0	116	14	SW	170	10	NE	3.2	10	NE	29	10	NE	79	12	NE
6	M	SV	SKC	SKC			0	104	15	SW	365	8	NW	7.4	8	NW	72	2	NE	85	12	NE
7	T	SV	SKC	SKC	0	0	0	107	14	SW	321	9	CR	6.5	8	NW	51	10	NW	99	11	NE
8	W	O3S	SCT	BKN	0	0	0	143	17	SE	493	8	NW	7.5	8	NW	31	9	NW	176	14	NE
9	R	O3N	BKN	BKN	0	0	0	143	14	SW	405	7	NE	6.6	8	NW	19	9	NW	345	11	NE
10	F	O3N	SCT	SCT	0	0	0	120	15	SW	357	9	NW	6.7	9	NW	27	10	NW	124	16	NE
11	Sa	O3N	SCT	SCT	0	0	0	185	15	NW	263	8	NW	5.3	8	NW	21	8	NW	96	10	NW
12	Su	O3S	SKC	SKC	0	0	0	161	13	SW	308	0	NE	4.4	0	NE	21	8	NW	136	12	CR
13	M	SV	SKC	SCT	0	0	0	148	15	SW	383	8	NE	7.6	8	NW	32	15	NW	105	10	CR
14	T	CS	BKN	SCT	0	0	0	179	16	SW	184	7	NE	2.7	7	NE	61	2	NW	105	12	NW
15	W	O3S	SCT	BKN	0	0	0	162	17	PR	209	8	NE	3.3	11	CR	59	2	NW	82	14	NW
16	R	O3S	SCT	BKN	0	6.2	0	124	15	SW	304	9	NW	6.1	9	CR	40	23	NW	194	20	NE
17	F	O3S	SCT	SCT	0	0.1	0	113	16	SW	340	7	CR	4.5	8	NW	62	23	NW	127	18	NE
18	H	O3N	SCT	SCT	0	0	0	91	13	SW	294	8	NW	4.2	8	NW	226	3	NW	225	3	NE
19	H	O3N	SKC	SKC	0	0	0	55	15	NE	176	7	NE	2.8	7	NE	18	8	NE	144	19	NE
20	H	O3N	BKN	SCT	0	0	0	109	15	SW	193	7	NE	3.3	10	SW	11	3	NW	81	20	NE
21	H	CS	SKC	SCT	0	0.2	0	139	15	SW	248	8	NW	3.1	8	NE	38	12	PR	78	22	NE
22	W	O3N	SKC	BKN	0	0.2	0	125	14	SE	282	8	SE	5.1	8	SW	32	10	PR	252	10	NE
23	R	CS	BKN	BKN	22	0.1	3	136	16	SW	323	8	NW	6	8	NW	53	11	NE	73	20	SE
24	F	CnvS	SKC	SCT	0.4	21.2	0.7	131	13	NW	249	7	SE	3.3	9	SE	112	10	PR	96	14	CR
25	Sa	CnvS	SCT	BKN	4.2	15.3	3.9	80	13	CR	222	7	CR	3.6	8	NW	78	3	NW	78	9	NW
26	Su	CnvS	SCT	BKN	1.6	15.8	0.9	99	15	NW	203	7	CR	3.3	8	NW	21	9	NW	55	22	NE
27	M	CnvN	SKC	BKN	0.1	5.9	0.8	111	15	NE	268	8	NW	5.5	8	NW	18	10	NW	72	11	NE
28	T	CnvN	SKC	BKN	3.7		0.9	116	14	SE	277	8	NW	4.7	8	NW	19	16	NW	70	11	NE
29	W	CnvN	SKC	BKN			0.3	127	14	SE	434	7	NE	6.5	8	SW	31	9	NE	122	11	NE
30	R	CnvN	SKC	SCT			0.1	155	16	NW	461	7	NE	6.9	7	NE	31	9	NE	63	11	NE
31	F	CnvS	SCT	BKN			0	125	15	PR	426	7	NE	6.9	7	NE	33	9	NE	86	10	NW

<sup>1</sup> Day of month for March 2006, Day of week, H = Holiday weekend.

<sup>2</sup> Average of cloud cover observations at the airport for 08:00–13:00 and 13:00–18:00, SKC = clear, SCT = scattered, BKN = broken, OVC = Overcast.

<sup>3</sup> Average of accumulated rain at GSMN, ENCB, TEZO, CEMC and MADI.

## Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 1.** Map of the MCMA showing the T0, T1 & T2 supersites, the RAMA sites used in this analysis (filled circles) and others (crosses), the location of the radiosoundings (GSMN) and the cloud cover observations (AERO). Surface stations used for average basin rainfall shown by empty circles. Political border of the MCMA as of 2003 in pink, urban area in beige, terrain contour every 500 m.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 2.** 10 year trends of RAMA air quality measurements for ozone, carbon monoxide and particulate matter. For each month, the bold line shows the median of the daily, domain-wide maximum, the thin lines show the inter-quartile range and the dashed lines the 5% and 95% values. Mexican health standard for  $O_3$  shown as thin red line. March 2006 shaded in red, other Marches shaded in beige.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 3.** Median profiles of potential temperature, humidity, wind speed and wind direction at 00Z, GSMN, for the warm dry seasons of 1999–2006 for each radiosonde cluster (Raob.). Number of profiles in each cluster in parentheses.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



## Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.



**Fig. 4.** Histogram of radiosonde (Raob.) cluster distribution for each year (15 February to 15 May) as well as for the MCMA-2003 and MILAGRO field campaigns. Number of valid profiles in parentheses.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 5.** Wind roses for the drainage type surface wind clusters. Wind rose categories by time of day, only 5 of the 8 stations shown.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 6.** Wind roses for the northerly to easterly flow type surface clusters.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 7.** Wind roses for the southerly flow type surface clusters.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 8.** Histogram of the diurnal distribution of the surface wind clusters (Sfc<sub>c</sub>) for the 10 year record (left) and the MILAGRO field campaign (right).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 9.** Wind roses of the vertical wind profiles at T0, T1 and T2 for the Northerly flow clusters. Wind rose categories by height above ground level. Number of profiles in each cluster in parentheses.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.




**Fig. 10.** Wind roses of the vertical wind profiles at T0, T1 and T2 for the Southerly flow clusters.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**


B. de Foy et al.



**Fig. 11.** Wind roses of the vertical wind profiles at T0, T1 and T2 for the Shear flow clusters.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)





**Fig. 12.** Calendar plot of surface wind clusters for the MILAGRO field campaign. For each day, the cluster number is shown hour by hour starting with hour ending at 01:00, top-left thumbnail shows location of each hour. Clusternames: 1=Drain1, 2=Drain2, 3=Drain3, 4=Northeast, 5=East, 6=North, 7=South, 8=Southwest. Each day identified by episode type: SV=South Venting, O3S=O3-South, O3N=O3-North, CS=Cold Surge, CNVS=Convection-South, CNVN=Convection-North.

## Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Basin-scale meteorology in the MCMA

B. de Foy et al.



**Fig. 13.** Calendar of profiler wind clusters for the MILAGRO field campaign. For each day, the cluster type is shown for each 30-min interval starting with 00:00 to 00:30. Clusternames: 1=Northeast, 2=North, 3=North-veering, 4=Northwest, 5=South-veering, 6=South, 7=Southwest, 8=H-shear, 9=East-shear, A=10=Nsf-c-se, B=11=West-shear, C=12=Nsf-c-sw.

13075

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 14.** Circulation model for the Mexico City basin for O3-South, O3-North, Cold Surge and Convection episode types. Blue arrows show prevailing winds aloft, red arrows surface winds, magenta shows typical afternoon convergence zones. O3-South has winds from the southwest quadrant aloft. Northerly surface winds in the morning meet the southeast gap flow in the late afternoon forming an east-west convergence zone that moves northwards into the evening. O3-North has stronger southwesterly winds driving surface winds over the basin rim and causing a north-south convergence zone that is more stationary than for O3-South. Cold Surge has decoupled flow in the vertical with strong, cold and humid surface winds from the Gulf coming over the Sierra Madre Oriental and flushing the basin to the south. Convection takes place when there are weak westerly winds aloft combined with humid conditions in the basin. Surface convergence in the afternoon leads to convection and rainfall in the basin. Surface reflectance from MODIS projected onto terrain elevation (exaggerated in the vertical).

**Basin-scale  
meteorology in the  
MCMA**

B. de Foy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion