



HAL
open science

Impacts of using reformulated and oxygenated fuel blends on the regional air quality of the upper Rhine valley

J.-F. Vinuesa, Ph. Mirabel, J.-L. Ponche

► **To cite this version:**

J.-F. Vinuesa, Ph. Mirabel, J.-L. Ponche. Impacts of using reformulated and oxygenated fuel blends on the regional air quality of the upper Rhine valley. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 2005, 5 (6), pp.12067-12102. hal-00327984

HAL Id: hal-00327984

<https://hal.science/hal-00327984>

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Impacts of using reformulated and oxygenated fuel blends on the regional air quality of the upper Rhine valley

J.-F. Vinuesa^{1,*}, Ph. Mirabel¹, and J.-L. Ponche¹

¹Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie de l'Atmosphère, Centre de Géochimie de la Surface, 1 rue Blessig, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France

*now at: Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Joint Research Center, 21020 Ispra, Italy

Received: 23 September 2005 – Accepted: 14 October 2005 – Published: 23 November 2005

Correspondence to: J.-L. Ponche (ponche@illite.u-strasbg.fr)

© 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

The effects of using three alternative gasoline fuel blends on regional air quality of the upper Rhine valley have been investigated. The first of the tested fuels is oxygenated by addition of ethyl-tertio-butyl ether (ETBE), the second is based on a reformulation of its composition and the third on is both oxygenated and reformulated. The upper Rhine valley is a very sensitive region for pollution episodes and several meteorological and air quality studies have already been performed. High temporal and spatial emission inventories are available allowing relevant and realistic modifications of the emission inventories. The calculation period, i.e., 11 May 1998, corresponds to a regional photochemical ozone pollution episode during which ozone concentrations exceeded several times the information threshold of the ozone directive of the European Union ($180 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ as 1 hourly average). New emission inventories are set up using specific emission factors related to the alternative fuels by varying the fraction of gasoline passenger cars (from 50% to 100%) using the three fuel blends. Then air quality modeling simulations are performed using these emission inventories over the upper Rhine valley. The impact of alternative fuels on regional air quality is evaluated by comparing these simulations with the one using a reference emission inventory, e.g., where no modifications of the fuel composition are included. The results are analyzed by focusing on peak levels and daily averaged concentrations. The use of the alternative fuels leads to general reductions of ozone and volatile organic compounds (VOC) and increases of NO_x levels. We found different behaviors related to the type of the area of concern i.e. rural or urban. The impacts on ozone are enhanced in urban areas where 15% reduction of the ozone peak and daily averaged concentrations can be reached. This behavior is similar for the NO_x for which, in addition, an increase of the levels can be noted in urban plumes over rural areas. The most important decreases of the total VOC levels are mainly located over rural areas (more than 5% reduction of the levels except in urban plumes). By comparing these results with those from a local study related to the air quality of Strasbourg, we estimate that the regional contribution

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

to the urban air quality of Strasbourg allows an enhancement of the results by using alternative fuel blends at the regional scale.

1. Introduction

More and more major cities and regions, especially in industrialized countries, are sub-
5 jected to the increase of the occurrence of photochemical pollution episodes. In such
regions, one of the main anthropogenic contributions of photochemical precursor com-
pounds is that from road traffic (Derwent et al., 1998, 2003). The use of alternative fuels
has been suggested at the end of the eighties in order to improve urban air quality by
reducing combustion-related pollution. Indeed, reformulating the fuel (modification of
10 the chemical composition of the fuel e.g. by lowering of the aromatic fraction, and/or
addition of oxygenated compounds, as here the ethyl-tertio-butyl-ether or ETBE) allows
the modification of the composition of the emissions (Gaffney and Marley, 2000). Pre-
vious studies have shown discrepant results on pollutant emission levels. The addition
of ETBE in gasoline fuels leads to a reduction of carbon monoxide emissions (Kivi et
15 al., 1992; Reuter et al., 1992; Noorman, 1993) and VOC emissions (Noorman, 1993).
Some authors report an increase of the NO_x emissions (Reuter et al., 1992; Noorman,
1993) while others show no influence (Kivi et al., 1992; McDonald et al., 1994). Lopez
de Rodas and Marduel (1997) measured the emissions for some French cars using two
ETBE gasoline fuel blends and a reformulated fuel. They showed that the CO and VOC
20 emissions are lowered by the addition of ETBE in the gasoline. On the contrary, the use
of such fuels leads to an increase of NO_x emissions. Only the use of the reformulated
gasoline fuel blend may simultaneously decrease the NO_x, VOC and CO emission lev-
els. By using the emission factors from Lopez de Rodas and Marduel (1997), one can
study the impact of using reformulated and oxygenated fuel blends on spatial emission
25 inventories. Since the modification of the composition of exhaust pipe emissions is
expected to affect the chemical transformations in the atmosphere, we have chosen to
quantify and to analyze the effects of these alternative fuels on pollutant concentration

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

fields. We have performed this analysis by building emission scenarios and simulating the air quality for a given representative photochemical pollution episode.

Few studies have addressed the effect of using alternative fuels on air quality and they are mainly focused on the use of methanol-contained fuels (Chock et al., 1994; Kumini et al., 1997; Hsieh et al., 2002; He et al., 2003). Recently, Vinuesa et al. (2003) (referred to as V2003 in the rest of the discussion) studied the effect of using reformulated and oxygenated gasoline fuel blends on the air quality of an urban area. In particular, their work addressed the impacts of these fuels on the emissions of primary and secondary pollutants with respect to the specific European car fleet and emission speciation. They showed that the use of such fuels leads to an increase of NO concentrations and to a significant decrease of the VOC levels while ozone concentration reductions appear to be modest. The purpose of our paper is to extend their work to the regional scale. We intend to relate the effects of using alternative fuels with the type of area, i.e., urban and rural. Moreover, the comparison of the results obtained with the work of V2003 allows us to estimate the contribution of the regional scale transport of air pollutants on urban air quality e.g., at local scale.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, we present the emission inventories and scenarios. Details of the numerical simulation characteristics and the results of the benchmark simulation are discussed in Sect. 3. In the following section, the impacts of using alternative fuels on regional air quality are evaluated and analyzed. A discussion on the comparison between results obtained at the local or at the regional scales is presented in Sect. 5 followed by conclusions in Sect. 6.

2. Emission inventories

The regional domain of investigation is the upper Rhine valley. This domain that groups regions of three countries (Germany, Switzerland and France) can be considered as a very sensitive area for atmospheric pollution episodes especially photochemical ones during summertime. This is mainly due to the topography and the

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

level of urbanization of this region. The valley is surrounded by mountains on three sides: Vosges, the Black Forest, and Jura on the western, eastern and southern parts respectively. The highest peak is the Feldberg (1493 m) in the Black Forest. This configuration leads to large periods of weak wind with temperature inversion and even if the topography favors the emergence of local valley and mountains breezes, polluted air masses remains over the valley and are hardly dispersed at regional scale. Even if strong regulations on industrial and car emissions are applied in these three countries, the occurrence of photochemical episodes is still increasing due to this air quality sensitivity. Thus this area has been extensively studied in the past (e.g., Adrian and Fiedler, 1991; REKLIP, 1995, 1999; Schneider et al., 1997; Ponche et al., 2000).

2.1. INTERREG II emission inventory

The base case emission inventory has been derived from the yearly inventory performed in the framework of the whole upper Rhine valley INTERREG II program. This air quality management program concerns three different countries within administrative boundaries (Fig. 1): Switzerland (Swiss Cantons of Basel City and Basel Country-side), Germany (State of Baden-Wrttemberg and part of Rheinland-Pfalz) and France (Alsace Region). This program included the elaboration of a high space resolution ($1 \times 1 \text{ km}^2$) emission inventory for the reference year 1998. Several public and private offices participated to identify and quantify the different contributions of this emission database. The area under study is $20\,623 \text{ km}^2$ and the density of population is important (6.327 Millions of inhabitants, which is equivalent to about 300 inhab./km^2). The population distributions (and density in inhab./km^2) are 0.454 M (820), 4.160 M (352) and 1.713 M (210) for Switzerland, Germany and France, respectively. There are several main cities (Karlsruhe, Strasbourg, Freiburg-im-Brigau, Mulhouse, Basel) but an important part of the inhabitants lives in the countryside in numerous small communities. Moreover, two main highways (total of 811 km) bearing a heavy volume of local, regional and international road traffic go across this part of the Rhine valley. The vehicle fleet (registered in the whole area) is 3.7 M including 331 230 heavy-duty vehicles.

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

The approach chosen for most of the sources was the bottom-up methodology presented in Ponche et al. (2000), Vinuesa (2000) and Ponche and Vinuesa (2005). Biogenic and anthropogenic contributions, as well as all the emitted chemical compounds, which can have an impact on the air quality, were considered. Further details about this air quality emission inventory are reported in INTERREG II (2000).

Supplementary data have been collected for the adjacent regions and the same methodology of emission calculation has been applied to obtain a investigating domain of 144 km (East-West) × 216 km (North-South) more suitable for air quality modeling purpose. Then the hourly emission inventories were derived from INTERREG II, by collecting hourly data when available and, if not, by using various time distribution functions related to the different emission source categories. In addition, specific speciation of NO_x (NO and NO₂) and VOCs were used for each category of sources to allow an extensive chemical description of the emissions. This paper focuses on 11 May 1998, to be consistent with the previous study which was done at a local scale (V2003). This day falls in the typical regional photochemical ozone episode of 9–15 May 1998. Low synoptic wind and high temperatures were observed which lead to 28 exceedances of the information threshold of 180 μg m⁻³ in the urban area of Strasbourg (the maximum ozone concentration has been measured at 193 μg m⁻³ on 11 May). During this day, the road traffic has contributed to the total regional daily emissions of 16.5%, 78.1% and 58.1% for the VOC, CO and NO_x respectively (Table 1). The comparison of these contributions with those on the local scale (see V2003) shows that CO emission contribution is less important at the local scale (73.4%) than the regional one, mainly due to the lower regional industrial contributions (fossil fuels have been significantly decreased for 10 years and mainly replaced by natural gas). On the contrary, the regional contributions of NO_x and VOC are lesser than those at the local scale (68.6% and 37.6% respectively) reflecting the denser urban road traffic contribution on the local scale.

2.2. Road traffic emission scenarios

This study is focusing on the impact of modified fuels used by gasoline passengers' cars (GPC) and only this contribution of the hourly emission inventories has been modified. The available modified fuels emission factors issued from the UTAC (Union Technique de l'Automobile du motorcycle et du Cycle) experiments (Lopez de Rodas and Marduel, 1997) have been used in the whole domain, i.e., Swiss, German and French GPC fleets. The fraction of the vehicles equipped with a Three Way Catalytic converter is very different from one country to another: 85% for Switzerland, 65% for Germany and 26% for France. The other road traffic characteristics associated with the emission calculations (traffic volume, diesel passenger's cars, light and heavy duty vehicles) were kept constant. The methodology has already been detailed in V2003.

The emission factors are related to a reformulated fuel (so-called R2) and two oxygenated fuel blends (the so-called ETBE1 and ETBE2), both containing 15% of ETBE. The composition of these fuels is given in Table 2. Briefly, for R2, the iso-paraffins have been increased (from 40.6 wt% to 54.9 wt%) to reduce the aromatic fraction (49.3 wt% to 33.6 wt%). For ETBE1 fuel, 15 wt% of ETBE has been added, leading to a lowering of all the others compounds such as iso-paraffins (40.6 wt% to 35.1 wt%) and aromatics (49.3 wt% to 40.6 wt%). Finally, for ETBE2, both addition of 15% of ETBE and reformulation are considered resulting in a slight increase the iso-paraffins (from 40.6 wt% to 42.5 wt%) and lower the aromatic compounds more than for ETBE1 (49.3 wt% to 31.9 wt%). The sulfur content has been adjusted for all the modified fuels to 80 ppb. These formulations has been chosen by the participants of the french program AGRiculture pour le Chimie et l'Energie (ECODEV/AGRICE-CNRS) and the European ALTENER2 program. The tested vehicle fleet is composed of a Renault Laguna, a Renault Twingo, a Renault R19 and a Peugeot 406 V6 that have already covered a distance of 21 000, 18 000, 117 500 and 27 000 km, respectively. Only the Renault Laguna and Twingo have an emission-reducing device i.e., a three-way converter. The tests were performed according to the NEDC new European driving modes, in which

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

the 40 s idle period, following the cold start, has been removed. During this cycle, the vehicles covered a distance of 11 km with an average speed of 33.6 km.h⁻¹. Two main parts compose the cycle: an urban phase (ECE 15 cycle) repeated four times, including street network, main road and urban highways (4 km with an average speed of 18.7 km.h⁻¹) and an extra-urban phase (7 km with an average speed of 62.6 km.h⁻¹) which regroups the extra-urban roads and highways (EUDC cycle). All the characteristics are summarized in Table 3.

For the sake of consistency, the emission factors for road traffic used previously in the INTERREG II emission inventory have been replaced by those of the reference fuel REF. Therefore, a direct evaluation of the emission changes between the road traffic contribution in the reference and the modified inventories is suitable. Then, the scenarios are based on the replacement of the reference fuel (REF) by the R2, ETBE1 and ETBE2 fuel blends for different GPC fleet fractions. We have defined nine emission scenarios according to the three types of fuel blends and to three different percentages of the GPC fleet using these fuels (50, 80 and 100%). The GPC fleet has been divided into 4 vehicle types with respect to the vehicles tested, depending on the engine capacity and on the presence or absence of catalytic converters. Table 4 shows an example of aggregated emission factors calculated when the whole GPC fleet is using the alternative fuels. One can notice that all the fuels lead to a decrease of CO and VOC emissions. The main difference is that the use of ETBE1 and ETBE2 increases the NO_x aggregated emission factors. In addition, the VOC emission inventory has been extended to take into account the 128 emitted species measured during the UTAC experiments.

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

3. Numerical setup and benchmark simulation

3.1. Numerical setup

The simulations are performed using EZM (EUMAC Zooming Model). This model is divided into two parts: first, the dynamics are calculated by the meteorological mesoscale model MEMO and second, the transport of the reactive chemical species is addressed by the model MARS (see Moussiopoulos, 1995, for a complete description).

Since the calculation of the meteorological fields with the MEMO model has already been described in a previous study (Vinuesa et al., 2001), the numerical setup and the results of the simulation is only briefly summarized here. The prescribed grid has $36 \times 54 \times 35$ points in the horizontal and vertical directions, representing a domain of $144 \text{ km} \times 216 \text{ km} \times 6000 \text{ m}$. The calculations have been performed from 9 May to 14 May 1998. The geostrophic wind and the initial vertical potential temperature profiles have been defined using measurements issued by the regional air quality survey network of Alsace (Association de Surveillance et d'étude de la Pollution atmosphérique en Alsace or ASPA) for the first 1200 m (in the suburban northern part of Strasbourg) and by the Deutsche Wetterdienst (DWD-Stuttgart) in Stuttgart (100 km north-east from Strasbourg) for the altitudes above this height. In Fig. 2, the time evolutions of the temperature (first panel from left to right), horizontal wind velocity (second panel) and direction (third panel) for a selection of the Alsatian meteorological sites are presented (see their locations in Fig. 1). The differences obtained in the temperature are mainly due to the effect of averaging procedures on the topography and the land-use over $4 \times 4 \text{ km}^2$. Nevertheless, the comparison between the measurements and the model results show a good agreement. One can notice that even the change of wind regime, i.e. from low to high geostrophic wind at the end of the week for the north part of the modeling domain, is reproduced with good accuracy.

The boundary conditions and the background concentrations of reactants are taken from the network measurements done by the ASPA-Strasbourg. Measurements from rural stations located in the Vosges Mountains provide the background ozone levels

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close



Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

(around 70 ppb). Since these stations are located in rural areas far away from the sources of pollutants, they satisfy the necessary requirements to be considered as background measurement sites. In addition, the measurements performed in the centre of the valley between Strasbourg and Karlsruhe, the so-called North-East Alsace, allow us to take into account the urban plume coming from Karlsruhe region. Using these data, the NO_x boundary conditions were set at 9 ppb. The simulation with the chemical transport model MARS covers a 48-h period starting on 10 May 1998 at 00:00 Local Standard Time (LST). Only the calculation results obtained for the second day has been considered assuming that 24 h of pre-run are enough to provide realistic background concentrations. The chemical mechanism used is a modified version of RACM (Stockwell et al., 1997) where reactions accounting for the degradation scheme of ETBE were previously implemented. The degradation scheme of ETBE by OH has been added to the RACM mechanism with a rate constant of $9.73 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Smith et al., 1992; Kirchner, 1999). In addition, the degradation of the tertio-butyl formate (TBF), a product of the previous reaction, has been added, with a rate constant of $7.4 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Kirchner, 1999). Reactions (1) and (2) are budget reactions and reads, according to the RACM chemical species:



where the model species HC3 and HC5 regroup alkanes, alcohols, esters and alkynes. XO2 accounts for additional NO to NO₂ conversions, MO2 represents methyl peroxy radical and ACO3 regroups all the acetyl peroxy and higher saturated acyl peroxy radicals. Further details can be found in Atkinson (1994), Kirchner et al. (1997) and V2003.

3.2. Base case simulation

The reference emission scenarios have been recalculated using emission factors determined for the reference fuel (REF) and driving modes previously presented (see Sect. 2). In spite of the resulting changes compared to the real case, the reference case gives similar concentration fields then the ones analyzed by Ponche and Vinuesa (2005) and the time evolution of the ozone concentration for a selection of the Alsatian meteorological sites as reproduced in Fig. 3 show a good agreement with the measurements.

The chemical transformation of ozone is driven by photochemical oxidation of VOC and NO_x involving a serie of chain reactions with $\text{HO}\cdot$ radicals. Two main oxidation processes can be identified: the NO-oxidation and the VOC-oxidation. During the VOC-oxidation, VOCs react with $\text{HO}\cdot$ radicals in a serie of chain reactions. Actually, this process relies on the initialization by the generation of radicals. VOC are oxidized in peroxy radicals that convert NO to NO_2 while $\text{HO}\cdot$ is recreated. At the termination step, radicals are combined to give stable products. The NO-oxidation process is initiated by the emission of NO. NO is converted to NO_2 while reacting with the peroxy radicals. Then NO_2 can be photolized to give NO. If the levels of NO are high enough, it is oxidized in NO_2 by reaction with O_3 . Note that with sufficient NO is the atmosphere, there is no ozone produced.

Figure 4 shows the ozone concentration fields at 08:00, 12:00, 16:00 and 20:00 LST. One can notice that the depletion process of ozone is favored in the morning. The most important ozone depletion zones are located in the urban areas and along the main road network where high NO emissions occur (morning traffic peak). Even at 08:00 LST, the ozone levels in rural areas are still under the control of the background conditions with low NO levels. Thus NO is preferentially oxidized via the VOC-oxidation process and the residual ozone in the mountainous areas resulting for the photochemical activity of the previous day remains with high levels. From 12:00 LST, the ozone production from precursors contained in urban plumes and its low depletion over poor

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

NO concentration areas results in the increase of its background concentrations. Consequently, first, the maximum ozone levels are reached in the afternoon and in the evening, and then the highest levels are located outside the urban plume areas, i.e. in the rural and mountainous zones. In addition, in these latter areas, the NO emissions are very low and favor the ozone accumulation process.

Similar conclusions can be drawn from Fig. 5 where the hourly maximum concentrations (HMC) and the daily averaged concentrations (DAC) for ozone, NO_x and VOC are presented. Notice that the HMC and DAC maps allow locating the concentration peaks and the background levels respectively. The spatial distribution of the HMC and DAC over the domain is quite similar for each pollutant. For NO_x, their values are strongly correlated with the level of urbanization of the area and also with the road network. For O₃ and the VOC, the correlation between concentration levels and road traffic is hardly established since O₃ is a secondary pollutant and road traffic contributes only to 16.5% of the VOC emissions. One can notice different HMC/DAC ratio behavior. Especially for NO, the ratio HMC/DAC is very high compared to that of the other pollutants and very high ratio can be noticed in the urban areas and the rural areas close to the main roads. This points out that its levels are mainly related to road traffic emissions since these latter are intermittent during the day. Also high HMC/DAC ratios are found in the neighborhood of the urban plumes and especially the one of Strasbourg suggesting a connection between road traffic emission and ozone production. Indeed, in those regions, the levels of NO are too low to deplete the ozone produced by its precursors induced by road traffic emissions. In the center of the valley and in urban area where NO levels are sufficient to reduce ozone, we found the lowest HMC and DAC levels.

4. Effects of the modified fuel blends on regional air quality

We focus our discussion on the hourly averaged maximum concentrations (HMC) and the daily average concentrations (DAC) since they provide useful information on peak levels and background concentrations respectively. However, it appears more con-

venient to quantify directly the modifications of these quantities induced by the use of alternative fuels. Therefore we introduce the following relative impact factors on the HMC and the DAC, the so-called relative impact factor on the hourly maximum concentrations (RIM) and relative impact factor on the daily average concentrations (RIA) respectively,

$$RIM = \frac{(HMC_{ref} - HMC_{sc})}{HMC_{ref}}, \quad (3)$$

$$RIA = \frac{(DAC_{ref} - DAC_{sc})}{DAC_{ref}}. \quad (4)$$

The RIM and RIA are calculated for each grid-cell. The indices ref and sc refer to the reference simulation and the scenarios, respectively. Positive (negative) values correspond to lower (or higher) concentrations simulated using the emission scenario compared to the base case simulation.

Figure 6 shows the RIM and RIA for ozone, NO, NO₂ and VOC when 80% of the GPC fleet is using ETBE1 fuel. The levels of nitrogen oxides show a dramatic increase. At the regional scale, the NO maximum and background concentrations are slightly increased (between 0% and -3% for both RIA and RIM). In city plumes, the increases can reach between -10% and -30% for both relative impact factors. The same observation can be made for NO₂ even if the impacts are less important. As a result, the effect of using ETBE1 fuel on the NO_x RIA and RIM levels is significantly unfavorable. The concentration levels of NO_x are correlated with the emission from road traffic. Indeed the main areas affected by the changes in NO_x emission factors are the urban and suburban zones. Significant decreases in the impact factors in urban plumes are directly related to the increase in the emission factors. In that sense, the impacts of using ETBE1 fuel on NO_x are directly correlated with the location of the emissions.

Favorable impacts (i.e., positive RIM and RIA) on the VOC at the regional scale can be noticed. Indeed, the reduction in HMC and DAC can reach 10% locally. Compared to the NO_x, there is no direct spatial correlation between VOC emission from

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

road traffic and impact factors. Even if the changes in emission levels are related to road traffic, there is no relevant impact close to the emission sources. On the contrary, the most important impacts are located in rural areas, and away from urban and road plumes. These results suggest that the background VOC concentration levels are mainly affected by the reduction of the emitted VOC more subject to the oxidation by HO· radicals. However, some increases can be located in the east of Strasbourg and Colmar; between -1% and -7% for the HMC and between -2% and -4% for the DAC and the use of ETBE1 fuel only show a small impact on VOC levels in city plumes. In these regions, where VOC emissions are reduced, we found very high increases of NO_x levels and high decrease in ozone levels suggesting that the NO_x-oxidation pathway is favored with respect to the oxidation of VOC. This effect combined with the transport of VOC originated from urban sources result in the smaller decrease and even locally some increases of VOC levels.

Ozone levels are decreased both in terms of peak and background concentrations. The main positive impacts are located in urban areas, such as Strasbourg and Mulhouse, with reductions reaching more than 10% locally. Some important impacts can also be located in the vicinity of the core of the valley for the RIM and in its centre for the RIA. In urban areas, the use of ETBE1 fuel results in a 63% reduction of VOC emissions (see Table 4). Since there are less VOC available for the oxidation of NO in NO₂ and NO levels are increased, the depletion of ozone by NO within the NO-oxidation pathway is the main process controlling ozone RIA and RIM. As a result, the most important reduction in the impact factors takes place in such areas. The same analysis can be done in the vicinity of roads and highways. However, the small impact and sometime increase of VOC levels in urban plumes reduces the benefits of using ETBE1 to lower ozone levels in these particular areas. In other rural areas, only weak reductions of ozone levels are reported since the reduction of COV mainly benefits to the less reactive ones and NO level show only a increase not enough important to favor the NO_x-oxidation and the titration of NO by ozone. This clearly shows that the emissions from road traffic control the ozone levels at the local scale, and in the vicinity

of the sources. On the contrary, at the regional scale and except in urban plumes, it also seems that road traffic does not have a significant impact on rural ozone levels.

Table 5 quantifies the impacts of the use of oxygenated additives (ETBE1 and ETBE2) and reformulated fuel (R2) on ozone, NO, NO₂ and VOC. It shows the maximum, the minimum, the average over the whole area and the standard deviation of the RIM and the RIA, respectively. For both impact factors and for all the compounds, the maximum effects can be seen when 100% of the GPC fleet uses the alternative fuel-blends. For all the scenarios, the ozone levels are lowered and the most favorable fuel blend is the ETBE1, which shows a decrease of 2.02% and 1.88% for the RIM and the RIA, respectively. This fuel is also showing the greatest decrease in VOC levels and the biggest increase on NO_x levels for both impact factors. By examining the only-reformulated fuel R2 and the reformulated and oxygenated fuel ETBE2, it appears that R2 is giving the most favorable impact factors in terms of NO_x and VOC levels. As an example, the corresponding RIA are -2.13%, -1.21% and 2.67% for NO, NO₂ and VOC, respectively. By reducing NO levels, the oxidation of NO by ozone becomes less likely and less ozone is depleted leading to the lowest impact on ozone levels when comparing to the other fuels. For ETBE2 that is both oxygenated and reformulated, the RIA for NO, NO₂ and VOC read -3.88%, -2.39% and 1.99%, respectively. This increase of NO and the reduction of the most reactive VOC (see Table 6), favors the NO_x-oxidation pathway. As a result, a bigger reduction in ozone levels is found compared to R2 but smaller compared to ETBE1 for which the NO and NO₂ RIM read -5.13% and -3.09%, respectively.

We have noticed previously that the averaged impact factors of NO_x are directly correlated with the emission factors. Indeed, the lowest increases of RIM and RIA are reported for the lowest emission factors (R2) and the highest increases are observed for ETBE1. Since the splitting of the VOC plays an important role in ozone depletion and production processes, one will find the quantitative impacts of using the alternative fuels on several VOCs in Table 6. This table shows the averaged values over the whole area and the standard deviations of the RIM and the RIA. Apart from the methane,

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

the alkenes and the generic aldehydes, all the scenarios lead to a general decrease of the concentration peaks and the background concentrations. The levels of alkenes are increasing for almost all the scenarios. The same impact can be observed for the generic aldehydes for only ETBE1 and ETBE2. Notice that a negative impact on methane levels is induced only by the fuel ETBE2. The higher reactive alkane and aromatic levels reveal the most important positive impacts. These reductions are related to the reformulation of the fuels, which is linked to lower emissions due to reduction of the corresponding aggregated emission factors. In particular, in urban areas where the impacts for such compounds reach their maximum, the emission factors are reduced by more than 50%.

In order to estimate the sensitivity of the results presented previously, we have defined 4 extra emission scenarios. These scenarios are based on systematic reductions or increases in the contribution of road traffic: increase/decrease of 10% of NO_x emissions from the GPC fleet (SCE1+/SCE1–) and increase/decrease of 10% of VOC emissions from the GPC fleet (SCE2+/SCE2–). The results of these scenarios are given in Table 7. The mean values of the peak and background concentration of the NO_x are directly correlated with the emission levels. For instance, the SCE1+ leads to an increase of 2.34% and 2.07% of the NO and NO₂ RIA, respectively and the SCE1– gives NO and NO₂ RIA decreases of 2.22% and 2.10% while the SCE2+/SCE2– scenarios give impacts 1 order of magnitude less important. One will notice the same behavior for the VOC. The main interest of these scenarios is to show the impact of these controlled emission reductions on the ozone levels, which are low (less than 0.8%) compared to emission scenarios using alternative fuels. The impact of alternative fuels on lowering the ozone levels is not only related to the reduction of the emissions, but also to the modification of the composition of the emissions as well as the VOC speciation.

5. Comparison of the results at the regional and local scales

Since the simulations at local and regional scales are using constant boundary conditions (i.e., in space and time) for the pollutant concentrations, it seems reasonable to compare the results obtained at local scale (V2003) with those obtained from the present study by focusing on the Strasbourg area. By this way, it might be possible to draw some general inferences on the effects of the use of alternative fuels on the long-range transport of pollutants and on its contribution to the local and urban air quality.

However, one should notice that the emission inventories and the spatial resolution of the two studies differ. Since we are mainly interested in relative impacts than intrinsic concentrations, the impact of using different emission inventories to build the emission scenarios should be limited. A more problematic issue, and one that deserves discussion, is the following. In air quality modeling, the emissions are averaged over each grid cell where emission sources exist and are located. The source can be linear (e.g. roads), surface (fields or urban areas) or punctual (factory) but after the averaging procedure, it is considered as a surface source for which the emissions are homogeneously distributed over the grid cell. Since the atmospheric chemistry is a highly nonlinear process, the artificial dilution effect due to this averaging procedure can induce different concentration predictions than the ones obtained for a real uniform emission situation (Mathur and Peters, 1992; Sillman et al., 1990). Here also, since we are interested in relative impacts, we assume that this dilution effect has no relevant impact on the results.

V2003 showed that the use of alternative fuels at a local scale leads to a significant reduction of VOC urban levels, but only a modest reduction of ozone levels. For the NO_x , it has not been possible to define clear trends. However, the results reveal a limited reduction of NO_2 levels and a slight increase of NO. Table 8 gives the ratios of the relative impacts obtained in this study to the ones obtained by V2003. All the statistics are referring to the Strasbourg area. Negative and positive ratios refer to opposite and

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

similar trends, respectively. The impacts are more important when taking into account the use of alternative fuels at the regional scale if the ratio is greater than 1. The results show that when alternative fuels are used in the whole region and consequently affect the composition of urban in-going plumes, the decreases of ozone levels, i.e., both peak (HMC) and background (DAC) concentrations are enhanced. The regional contribution to the Strasbourg area mainly constitutes in low-ozone concentration air-parcels. Its effect at the local scale is to decrease the peak of ozone by 2.6 to 6.1 times and the background concentration by 5.1 to 17.8 times. Anyway, this significant decrease is also related to the dramatic increase of NO. Since the ozone chemistry is controlled in this area by the depletion by NO oxidation, the credit of the important reduction of ozone levels should be given to the reaction with NO. The most interesting point of this comparison is the behavior of the VOC impact factors. The RIA and RIM are both reduced when the urban boundary conditions reflect the use of alternative fuels. However, the ratio is very small indicating that the urban levels of VOC are only slightly affected by their regional contribution. In comparison with V2003 where the reductions of VOC were directly related to the reduction of their emission factors, we notice that the road traffic emissions control the background regional VOC concentration levels, but have no significant impact on urban plumes.

6. Concluding remarks

The effect of using oxygenated and reformulated fuel blends on the regional air quality has been studied by developing emission scenarios and through air quality modeling. Three fuel blends i.e., a reformulated fuel (R2), an oxygenated fuel blend (ETBE1) and a reformulated and oxygenated fuel blend (ETBE2), are used to formulate nine emission scenarios.

The results show a great improvement in the VOC levels and in particular on moderately and highly reactive alkanes, aromatics, ketones and PAN for all the fuels. These effects result directly from the modification of the fuel composition and the reduction

of highly reactive compounds. Some VOC trends such as the ones of alkenes and aldehydes show a dependence on the type of fuels used. For those, it seems that the oxygenated fuel blend (ETBE1) is the most appropriate fuel to be used to reduce their levels. Using both reformulation and oxygenation (ETBE2) gives poorer results than using only reformulation (R2).

This study allowed us to show a significant increase of NO_x levels at the regional scale whereas, at the local scale, the trend for NO is a moderate increase of concentrations and the positive impacts on NO₂ in the urban centre were balanced by the negative impacts in the surrounding areas. Even if all the fuels give similar results averaged over the region with low increases, i.e., between 1 and 5% of both the concentration peak and daily averaged concentration, these increases are far more important in urban areas and urban plumes (even more than 20%).

The simulated ozone levels in all the scenarios are slightly lowered with a decrease averaged over the whole domain of 1 to 2% for both the ozone HMC and DAC. More important reductions can be noticed in urban areas (greater than 15%) where V2003 report only a slight decrease of ozone.

In addition, by analysis the ozone chemistry using VOC-oxidation and NO_x-oxidation pathways, we found different driving pathways according to the spatial distribution of the emissions. In urban areas, the ozone formation is primarily controlled by the NO_x-oxidation pathway. In these highly NO_x concentration areas, the increase of NO_x emission from road traffic have a direct impact on the ozone levels and result in reduced concentration levels. In urban plume over rural areas, the reduction of less reactive COV result in less NO oxidized via the HO· radical chain reactions. Thus, even if the NO levels are lower than in urban areas, the ozone can react with NO to give NO₂. Finally, the VOC-oxidation pathway is controlling the air quality over rural areas and road traffic emission changes have only a small impact on ozone concentration levels.

By comparing with V2003 over the Strasbourg area, it is possible to estimate the effect of the contribution of the regional range transport to the urban air quality of Strasbourg and, in particular, how the use of alternative fuels at regional scale affects

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

the local scale of Strasbourg. The results show that the urban ozone levels are greatly decreased by using alternative fuels at regional scales whereas important increases in NO_x levels are reported. We found that the regional contribution to VOC urban levels was counteracting the direct effect of the reduction of VOC emissions at local scale.

5 The use of alternative fuels leads to no improvements on the urban VOC levels or even some concentration increases in the surrounding of the city center. Using such fuels at regional scale in addition to measures taken at the urban scale allow a great improvement of the urban air quality in terms of ozone pollution. However, the urban levels of VOC are not affected and the NO_x levels are increased.

10 *Acknowledgements.* J.-F. Vinuesa was supported by the ADEME and the CNRS through the program AGRiculture pour le Chimie et l'Energie (ECODEV/AGRICE-CNRS). The authors are grateful to the ASPA, which kindly provided the data from its measurement network.

References

15 Adrian G. and Fiedler, F.: Simulation of unstationary wind and temperature fields over complex terrain and comparison with observations, Beitr. Phys. Atmosph., 64, 27–48, 1991.

Atkinson, R.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of organic compounds. Journal of physical and chemical reference data, Monograph N° 2, 1994.

20 Chock, D. P., Winkler, S. L., Chang, T. Y., Rudy, S. J., and Shen, Z. K.: Urban ozone air quality impact of emissions from vehicles using reformulated gasolines and M85, Atmos. Environ., 28, 2777–2787, 1994.

Derwent, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., and Pilling, M. J.: Photochemical ozone creation potentials for organic compounds in northwest Europe calculated with a master chemical mechanism, Atmos. Environ., 32, 2429–2441, 1998.

25 Derwent, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., Pilling, M. J., Simmonds, P. G., Passant, N. R., Dollard, G. J., Dumitrean, P., and Kent, A.: Photochemical ozone formation in north west Europe and its control, Atmos. Environ., 37, 1983–1991, 2003.

Gaffney, J. S. and Marley, N. A.: Alternative Fuels, in: Air Pollution Reviews: Volume 1. The Urban Air Atmosphere and Its Effects, edited by: Brimblecombe, P. and Maynard, R., Imperial College Press, London, UK, chapt. 6, pp. 195–246, 2000.

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

He, B.-Q., Wang, J.-X., Hao, J.-M., Yan, X.-G., and Xiao, J.-H.: A study on emission characteristics of an EFI engine with ethanol blended gasoline fuels, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 949–957, 2003.

Hsieh, W.-D., Chen, R.-H., Wu, T.-L., and Lin, T.-H.: Engine performance and pollutant emission of an SI engine using ethanol-gasoline blended fuels, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 403–410, 2002.

INTERREG II: Analyse transfrontalière de la qualité de l'air dans le Rhin supérieur – Grenzübergreifende Luftqualitätsanalyse am Oberrhein, Communauté de travail – Arbeitsgemeinschaft ASPA (Association pour la Surveillance et l'étude de la pollution atmosphérique en Alsace) UMEG (Gesellschaft für Umweltmessungen und Umwelterhebungen mbH), Official document, edited by: Holler, H. W., Verlag GmbH, Killisfeldstrasse 45, D-76227 Karlsruhe, Germany, 2000.

Kirchner, F., Thuener, L. P., Barnes, L., Becker, K. H., Donner, B., and Zabel, F.: Thermal lifetimes of peroxy nitrates occurring in the atmospheric degradation of oxygenated fuels additives, *Env. Sci. Tech.*, 31, 1801–1804, 1997.

Kivi, J., Niemi, A., Nylund, N., Kuto, M., and Orre, K.: Use of MTBE and ETBE as gasoline reformulation components, SAE Technical Paper 922379, 1992.

Kumini, H., Ishizawa, S., and Yoshikawa, Y.: Three-dimensional air quality simulation study on low-emission vehicles in the southern California, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 145–158, 1997.

Lopez de Rodas, B. and Marduel, J.-L.: Influence sur la nature et le niveau des émissions à l'échappement, d'une incorporation de composés oxygénés dans les essences, PV n° 97/00581, U.T.A.C., BP212, 91311 Monthery, France, 1997.

Marthur, R. and Peters, L. K.: Sub-grid representation of emission source clusters in regional air quality modeling, *Atmos. Environ.*, 26, 3219–3238, 1992.

McDonald, C., Shore, P., Lee, G., Otter, G. D., and Humphries, D.: The effect of gasoline composition on stoichiometry and exhaust emissions, SAE Technical Paper 941868, 1994.

Moussiopoulos, N.: The EUMAC zooming model, a tool for local-to-regional air quality studies, *Meteorolog, Atmos. Phys.*, 57, 115–133, 1995.

Noorman, M.: The effect of MTBE, DIPE and TAME on vehicle emissions, SAE Technical Paper 932668, 1993.

Ponche, J.-L., Schneider, C., and Mirabel, Ph.: Methodology and results of the REKLIP atmospheric emission inventory of the upper Rhine valley transborder region, *Wat. Air Soil Pollut.*, 124, 61–93, 2000.

Ponche, J.-L. and Vinuesa, J.-F.: Emission scenarios for air quality management and appli-

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

cations at local and regional scales including the effects of the future European emission regulation (2015) for the upper Rhine valley, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 999–1014, 2005, [SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2005-5-999](#).

REKLIP: Atlas climatique du fossé Rhénan méridional. 1 volume texte et 2 volumes cartes, Ed. COPRUR, F-67000 Strasbourg, 1995, ISBN 2-903297-097-5, 1995.

REKLIP: Qualité de l'Air et Climat Régional/Luftqualität und Regionalklima, Rapport final, volume 3/Schlussbericht Band 3, Ed. COPRUR F-67000 Strasbourg, 1999, ISBN 2-84208-035-1, 1999.

Reuter, R., Benson, J., Burns, V., Gorse, R., Hochhausser, A., Koelh, W., Painter, L., Rippon, B., and Rutherford, J.: Effects of oxygenated fuels and RVP on automotive emission, auto-oil improvement program, SAE Technical Paper 920326, 1992.

Schneider, Ch., Kessler, Ch., and Moussiopoulos, N.: Influence of emission input data on ozone level predictions for the Upper Rhine valley, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 3187–3205, 1997.

Sillman, S., Logan, J. A., and Wofsy, S. C.: The sensitivity of ozone to nitrogen oxides and hydrocarbons in regional ozone episodes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 1837–1851, 1990.

Smith, D. F., Kleindienst, T. E., Hudgens, E. E., and McIver, C. D.: Kinetics and mechanism of the atmospheric oxidation of ethyl tertiary butyl ether, *Int. J. Chem. Kin.*, 24, 199–215, 1992.

Stockwell, W., Kirchner, F., and Kuhn, M.: A new mechanism for regional atmospheric chemistry modelling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 3789–3812, 1997.

Vinuesa, J.-F.: Modélisation de la qualité de l'air: Impact à l'échelle locale et régionale de l'utilisation de carburants automobiles modifiés, PhD thesis, L. Pasteur University of Strasbourg I, 2000.

Vinuesa, J.-F., Hyacinthe, C., Fayet, S., Perron, G., Kleinpeter, J., Target, A., Mirabel, Ph., and Ponche, J.-L.: Upper Rhine valley air quality modeling for the 9–15th May 1998 episode and future abatement strategies, in: EUROTRAC-2 Symposium 2000, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2001.

Vinuesa, J.-F., Mirabel, Ph., and Ponche J.-L.: Air quality effects of using reformulated and oxygenated gasoline fuel blends: Application to the Strasbourg area (F), *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 1757–1774, 2003.

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Table 1. Daily emissions of CO, VOC and NO_x for the INTERREG II area and for 11 May 1998 by source identification classification CORINAIR SNAP94 version 1.1.

Sources	CO		VOC		NO _x	
	tons	%	tons	%	tons	%
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	2.5	0.2	1.0	0.1	4.1	1.0
Non-industrial combustion plants	189.0	16.4	28.9	2.7	15.9	4.0
Combustion in manufacturing industry	11.9	1.0	10.9	1.0	20.5	5.1
Production processes	0.1	0.0	5.7	0.6	12.9	3.2
Extraction, distribution of fossil fuels, geothermal energy			45.9	4.3		
Solvent and other product use	0.8	0.1	212.5	20.1	2.5	0.6
Road transport	903.5	78.1	174.3	16.5	231.2	58.1
Other mobile sources and machinery	17.5	1.5	5.0	0.5	18.6	4.7
Waste treatment and disposal	5.6	0.5	164.8	15.6	1.5	0.4
Agriculture and forestry, land use, wood stock change			321.2	30.4		
Nature			9.2	0.9		
Point sources	25.8	2.2	77.2	7.3	91.0	22.9
Total	1157.1	100.0	1056.7	100.0	386.5	100.0

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Table 2. Characteristics of the fuels. TI is the initial temperature for the beginning of the distillation. T60% and T95% represents corresponding temperatures for distilled percentages. E100 report the percentage evaporated at 100°C. RVP is the Reid Vapor Pressure. RON and MON represent the Research and the Motor Octane Numbers.

	REF	R2	ETBE1	ETBE2
Density 15°C (kg/m ³) NFM07-079	759.0	727.0	746.0	732.5
RVP (Kpa) NFM07-079	59.8	64.8	57.2	65.2
Distillation (°C) NFM07-002				
TI	32.5	31.0	31.0	29.5
T60%	112.5	103.0	99.5	89.0
T95%	160.5	158.0	157.5	155.0
E100 (%)	42.7	48.1	50.5	58.3
Chemical Composition (m%)				
n-Paraffin	3.8	4.8	3.3	3.8
Iso-Paraffin	40.6	54.9	35.1	42.5
Naphtens	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.3
Aromatics	49.3	33.6	40.6	31.9
Olefins	4.7	4.9	4.3	5.4
Oxygenated	0.1	0.2	15.7	15.1
RO	98.8	97.6	101.0	100.1
MON	87.2	87.3	88.9	88.5

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

Table 3. Characteristics of the driving cycle used for the tests.

Road types	4×ECE15	EUDC	NEDC
Distance (km)	4.052	6.995	11.007
Average speed (km.h ⁻¹)	18.7	62.6	32.7
Maximum speed (km.h ⁻¹)	50	120	120

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Table 4. Aggregated emission factors in g.km^{-1} for NO_x , CO and VOC and for different types of road when all GPC are using the fuel blends REF, ETBE1, ETBE2 and R2.

Road types Fuels	Highway			Road			Urban road		
	NO_x	CO	VOC	NO_x	CO	VOC	NO_x	CO	VOC
REF	0.478	2.707	0.357	0.572	10.677	2.127	0.543	26.195	8.701
ETBE1	0.488	2.076	0.310	0.595	7.955	1.294	0.587	19.484	3.234
ETBE2	0.484	1.768	0.295	0.580	8.882	1.363	0.552	23.108	3.484
R2	0.445	2.477	0.315	0.537	8.255	1.260	0.508	19.274	3.112

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Table 5. Quantitative impacts of the use of oxygenated additives (ETBE1 and ETBE2) and reformulated fuel (R2) on ozone, carbon monoxide, NO, NO₂ and volatile organic compounds concentrations. For all species, the impacts on hourly maximum concentrations (RIM) and the daily average concentrations (RIA) are given in percentage. Positive (negative) values correspond to a decrease (increase) of the RIM and RIA.

Fuel blends		ETBE1			ETBE2			R2		
GPC fleet (%)		50	80	100	50	80	100	50	80	100
RIM										
O ₃	Maximum	8.67	13.29	16.28	6.84	10.42	12.76	5.38	8.26	10.17
	Minimum	-2.64	-3.68	-4.57	-1.56	-1.94	-2.20	-1.52	-1.98	-2.34
	Mean	1.09	1.64	2.02	0.71	1.04	1.26	0.58	0.86	1.05
	σ	1.00	1.50	1.83	0.66	0.96	1.16	0.56	0.82	1.00
NO	Maximum	29.49	42.59	50.94	1.80	3.01	3.84	1.47	2.19	2.69
	Minimum	-27.00	-46.92	-60.51	-19.56	-31.51	-41.49	-14.94	-23.90	-30.81
	Mean	-2.25	-3.99	-5.03	-1.59	-2.96	-3.83	-0.82	-1.52	-2.05
	σ	2.19	3.68	4.70	1.45	2.53	3.25	1.03	1.76	2.27
NO ₂	Maximum	28.87	48.48	57.60	0.54	0.84	1.15	1.03	1.18	1.39
	Minimum	-10.21	-15.99	-19.63	-9.00	-14.28	-17.57	-7.48	-11.97	-14.83
	Mean	-1.87	-3.38	-4.40	-1.42	-2.63	-3.45	-0.59	-1.31	-1.80
	σ	1.52	2.54	3.20	1.08	1.78	2.27	0.77	1.25	1.59
VOC	Maximum	11.24	16.05	19.95	8.42	13.27	16.59	9.61	14.65	18.54
	Minimum	-7.15	-12.71	-16.49	-9.35	-16.36	-21.33	-7.84	-14.08	-18.50
	Mean	3.53	4.39	4.98	2.48	2.71	2.84	2.91	3.54	3.96
	σ	2.01	2.52	2.88	1.76	2.19	2.52	1.81	2.27	2.61
RIA										
O ₃	Maximum	9.97	15.31	18.66	8.09	12.53	15.32	6.15	9.55	11.76
	Minimum	-7.25	-11.81	-14.78	-0.19	-0.35	-0.45	-0.20	-0.22	-0.27
	Mean	0.93	1.50	1.88	0.64	1.03	1.29	0.43	0.71	0.91
	σ	0.86	1.36	1.69	0.61	0.99	1.23	0.46	0.73	0.92
NO	Maximum	31.04	46.96	56.36	0.68	1.15	1.51	0.34	0.64	0.88
	Minimum	-27.14	-44.83	-56.36	-13.84	-22.69	-28.73	-11.06	-17.93	-22.70
	Mean	-2.37	-4.11	-5.13	-1.58	-3.02	-3.88	-0.90	-1.60	-2.13
	σ	1.85	3.08	3.92	1.21	2.08	2.68	0.87	1.45	1.87
NO ₂	Maximum	20.67	33.25	41.44	0.42	0.87	1.15	0.36	0.40	0.55
	Minimum	-12.35	-18.78	-22.63	-5.76	-9.21	-11.52	-4.38	-7.14	-8.96
	Mean	-1.32	-2.38	-3.09	-0.98	-1.82	-2.39	-0.39	-0.88	-1.21
	σ	0.96	1.60	2.01	0.61	1.04	1.33	0.41	0.70	0.89
VOC	Maximum	8.18	10.07	12.44	6.16	8.18	10.15	6.96	9.09	11.43
	Minimum	-3.36	-6.22	-8.12	-4.68	-8.47	-11.07	-3.72	-6.91	-9.13
	Mean	2.31	2.90	3.31	1.67	1.87	1.99	1.92	2.37	2.67
	σ	1.14	1.45	1.67	0.94	1.16	1.34	1.00	1.26	1.46

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Table 6. Quantitative impacts on hourly maximum concentrations (RIM) and daily average concentrations (RIA) for several VOCs. The average over the whole domain and the standard deviation σ are given.

Fuel blends		ETBE1			ETBE2			R2		
GPC fleet (%)		50	80	100	50	80	100	50	80	100
RIM										
Methane	Mean	0.13	0.15	0.19	-0.17	-0.31	-0.39	0.12	0.15	0.17
	σ	0.18	0.24	0.29	0.27	0.47	0.61	0.18	0.25	0.31
Ethane	Mean	6.35	7.26	7.98	1.93	-0.02	-1.33	5.35	5.79	6.19
	σ	3.43	4.35	5.09	3.40	4.80	5.97	3.33	4.33	5.15
Low Reactive Alkanes	Mean	1.63	2.11	2.44	0.75	0.74	0.73	0.59	0.58	0.58
	σ	1.12	1.45	1.66	0.77	0.96	1.12	0.70	0.91	1.09
Moderately Reactive Alkanes	Mean	4.50	5.87	6.76	2.10	2.14	2.18	1.29	1.09	1.03
	σ	2.45	3.15	3.59	1.64	2.01	2.31	1.39	1.77	2.13
Highly Reactive Alkanes, Aromatics	Mean	12.51	16.22	18.57	14.98	20.19	23.51	16.29	22.08	25.70
	σ	4.08	5.24	6.03	4.30	5.51	6.27	4.35	5.58	6.36
Ethene	Mean	6.36	7.17	7.83	1.91	-0.17	-1.57	5.46	5.86	6.22
	σ	3.71	4.72	5.50	3.60	5.04	6.23	3.57	4.62	5.47
Others Alkenes	Mean	0.43	-0.24	-0.65	-1.06	-2.72	-3.84	-0.18	-0.96	-1.35
	σ	2.19	3.23	4.06	2.89	4.90	6.39	2.04	3.06	3.80
Formaldehyde	Mean	1.65	1.97	2.20	0.61	0.19	-0.12	0.96	0.92	0.95
	σ	1.01	1.33	1.58	1.13	1.75	2.23	0.89	1.27	1.58
Others Aldehydes	Mean	0.51	0.04	-0.25	0.08	-0.70	-1.24	1.40	1.52	1.64
	σ	1.41	2.17	2.72	1.37	2.17	2.76	1.19	1.53	1.78
Ketones	Mean	3.50	4.75	5.56	3.04	4.05	4.69	3.06	4.12	4.82
	σ	1.67	2.26	2.61	1.48	1.98	2.30	1.44	1.89	2.20
PAN	Mean	2.32	3.25	3.87	1.47	1.90	2.17	1.48	2.01	2.40
	σ	1.72	2.41	2.86	1.06	1.38	1.60	1.08	1.43	1.70
RIA										
Methane	Mean	0.08	0.09	0.12	-0.09	-0.17	-0.22	0.07	0.09	0.11
	σ	0.08	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.22	0.28	0.08	0.12	0.14
Ethane	Mean	6.14	7.08	7.82	2.17	0.51	-0.57	5.24	5.75	6.20
	σ	2.20	2.74	3.18	2.10	3.00	3.76	2.11	2.69	3.20
Low Reactive Alkanes	Mean	1.07	1.40	1.63	0.53	0.55	0.56	0.43	0.44	0.46
	σ	0.66	0.87	1.01	0.41	0.52	0.60	0.37	0.48	0.57
Moderately Reactive Alkanes	Mean	4.31	5.64	6.51	2.11	2.21	2.29	1.35	1.24	1.23
	σ	1.38	1.80	2.07	0.95	1.20	1.41	0.82	1.07	1.32
Highly Reactive Alkanes, Aromatics	Mean	11.77	15.32	17.58	14.06	18.96	22.11	15.21	20.66	24.09
	σ	2.61	3.34	3.91	2.77	3.59	4.12	2.84	3.66	4.21
Ethene	Mean	6.19	7.08	7.79	2.19	0.44	-0.70	5.39	5.91	6.36
	σ	2.44	3.04	3.54	2.30	3.27	4.10	2.31	2.95	3.51
Others Alkenes	Mean	0.39	-0.22	-0.60	-1.03	-2.55	-3.56	-0.29	-1.04	-1.39
	σ	1.67	2.45	3.10	2.18	3.74	4.91	1.72	2.52	3.10
Formaldehyde	Mean	1.22	1.47	1.68	0.57	0.33	0.16	0.65	0.62	0.63
	σ	0.63	0.80	0.93	0.60	0.84	1.03	0.45	0.59	0.73
Others Aldehydes	Mean	0.25	-0.12	-0.32	0.11	-0.41	-0.75	1.10	1.26	1.39
	σ	0.62	1.04	1.33	0.56	0.95	1.25	0.72	0.89	1.02
Ketones	Mean	2.43	3.26	3.81	2.12	2.76	3.18	2.17	2.91	3.39
	σ	1.03	1.40	1.63	0.89	1.18	1.37	0.89	1.17	1.36
PAN	Mean	1.75	2.52	3.06	1.06	1.38	1.60	1.09	1.54	1.86
	σ	1.11	1.57	1.88	0.66	0.87	1.00	0.68	0.93	1.11

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Table 7. Quantitative impacts of the sensitivity analysis scenarios on ozone, nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compound concentrations. The average over the whole domain and the standard deviation σ are given.

		RIM				RIA			
		SCE1+	SCE1–	SCE2+	SCE2–	SCE1+	SCE1–	SCE2+	SCE2–
O ₃	Mean	0.78	–0.78	–0.42	0.42	0.79	–0.80	–0.25	0.25
	σ	0.68	0.69	0.39	0.38	0.62	0.63	0.20	0.20
NO	Mean	–2.34	2.22	0.21	–0.25	–2.50	2.15	0.24	–0.33
	σ	1.69	1.58	0.47	–0.25	1.28	1.23	0.26	0.26
NO ₂	Mean	–2.07	2.10	0.11	–0.10	–1.54	1.54	0.08	–0.08
	σ	1.20	1.22	0.25	0.19	0.71	0.71	0.08	0.08
VOC	Mean	–0.01	0.03	–2.58	2.55	–0.03	0.01	–1.62	1.61
	σ	0.20	0.21	1.10	1.10	0.02	0.03	0.71	0.71

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.

Table 8. Comparison of the RIM and RIA averaged over the great Strasbourg area and the upper Rhine Valley (ratio of this study to V2003).

Fuel blends	ETBE1			ETBE2			R2		
GPC fleet (%)	50	80	100	50	80	100	50	80	100
RIM ratio									
O ₃	6.2	6.1	6.1	4.4	4.3	2.6	3.6	3.7	3.7
NO	17.8	17.3	17.8	11.1	14.9	3.5	8.2	11.1	12.6
NO ₂	-4.5	-8.8	-12.0	-4.0	-7.1	6.9	-2.3	-4.6	-6.2
VOC	0.3	0.1	0.1	-0.4	-0.6	-0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0
RIA ratio									
O ₃	17.8	14.7	13.7	13.5	12.2	5.1	11.5	8.7	8.5
NO	19.8	17.3	16.4	11.6	13.5	3.7	8.6	10.6	11.2
NO ₂	-5.9	-14.8	-24.4	-5.9	-11.5	4.1	-3.3	-8.1	-11.7
VOC	0.6	0.4	0.4	-0.2	-0.6	-0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

Alternative fuel blends and regional air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.




Fig. 1. Extension of the emission inventory and scenario, computational domain, topography of the investigation area and location of the main measuring stations of the Air Quality survey network (1: DRIRE; 2: Strasbourg Ouest; 3: Mulhouse Sud; 4: Vosges du Nord; 5: Nord-Est Alsace; 6: Colmar Est; 7: District des 3 frontières).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.




Fig. 2. Evolution of the temperature (first panel from left to right), horizontal wind velocity (second panel) and direction (third panel) for a selection of the Alsatian measuring sites of the ASPA Air Quality survey network. The solid lines indicate the model results. The period represented is the 10 May to 14 May 1998. DRIRE measuring station is located in the centre of the urban area of Strasbourg, Strasbourg-Ouest and Mulhouse-Sud are suburban measuring sites and Vosges du Nord is a rural one in a forested area located 60 km North East of Strasbourg (from Ponche and Vinuesa, 2005).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.




Fig. 3. Evolution of the ozone concentrations for a selection of the Alsatian pollutant measurement sites. The solid lines indicate the model results. The period represented is the 10 May to 11 May 1998. “Nord-Est Alsace” and “Vosges du Nord” stations correspond to rural and mountainous sites located 60 km north east of Strasbourg and 90 km north of Strasbourg, respectively. “Strasbourg-Ouest”, “Colmar-Est”, and “Mulhouse-Sud” correspond to semi-urban measuring stations. The measuring station “District des 3 frontières” is also an semi urban one, located close to the Swiss-German-French border, south east of the domain.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.




Fig. 4. Ozone concentrations fields calculated at 08:00, 12:00, 16:00 and 20:00 LST (Local Standard Time). Heavy traffic roads in dark lines and topography in grey lines are represented for convenience.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**J.-F. Vinuesa et al.




Fig. 5. Hourly maximum concentrations HMC (upper row) and daily average concentrations DAC (lower row) for ozone, nitrogen oxide, nitrogen dioxide, and volatile organic compounds calculated with the reference emission scenario (REF). Heavy traffic roads in dark lines and topography in grey lines are represented for convenience.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

**Alternative fuel
blends and regional
air quality**

J.-F. Vinuesa et al.




Fig. 6. Results obtained when 80% of the GPC is using ETBE1 fuel. Reduction percentages of maximum concentration levels RIM (upper row) and reduction percentages of daily average concentration levels RIA (lower row) for ozone, nitrogen oxide, nitrogen dioxide, and volatile organic compounds. Heavy traffic roads in dark lines and topography in grey lines are represented for convenience.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU