

Dust altitude and infrared optical depth from AIRS

C. Pierangelo, A. Chédin, S. Heilliette, N. Jacquinet-Husson, Raymond

Armante

► To cite this version:

C. Pierangelo, A. Chédin, S. Heilliette, N. Jacquinet-Husson, Raymond Armante. Dust altitude and infrared optical depth from AIRS. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 2004, 4 (3), pp.3333-3358. hal-00327890

HAL Id: hal-00327890 https://hal.science/hal-00327890

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 4, 3333–3358, 2004 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/4/3333/ SRef-ID: 1680-7375/acpd/2004-4-3333 © European Geosciences Union 2004



ACPD

4, 3333-3358, 2004

Dust from AIRS

C. Pierangelo et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
[∢ ►]				
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
© EGU 2004				

Dust altitude and infrared optical depth from AIRS

C. Pierangelo, A. Chédin, S. Heilliette, N. Jacquinet-Husson, and R. Armante

Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique/Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

Received: 14 May 2004 - Accepted: 10 June 2004 - Published: 21 June 2004

Correspondence to: C. Pierangelo (clemence.pierangelo@lmd.polytechnique.fr)

Abstract

We show that mineral dust optical depth and altitude can be retrieved from the Aqua - Advanced Infrared Radiation Sounder (AIRS) measurements. Sensitivity studies performed with a high spectral resolution radiative transfer code show that dust effect on
⁵ brightness temperatures may reach about 10 Kelvins for some channels. Using a Look-Up-Table approach, we retrieve not only the 10 μm optical depth but also the altitude of Saharan dust layer, above the Atlantic Ocean, from April to September 2003. A key point of our method relies in its ability to retrieve dust altitude from satellite observations. The time and space distribution of the optical depth is in good agreement with the Moderate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) products. Comparing MODIS and AIRS aerosol optical depths, we find that the ratio between infrared and

MODIS and AIRS aerosol optical depths, we find that the ratio between infrared and visible optical depths decreases during transport from 0.35 to 0.22, revealing a loss in coarse particles caused by gravitational settling. The evolution of dust altitude from spring to summer is in agreement with the transport seasonality.

15 **1. Introduction**

The last report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2001) emphasized the very poor level of understanding of aerosol radiative forcing. Amongst other aerosol species, mineral dust is a major contributor to total aerosol loading and has been the subject of an increasing number of studies. However, most remote sens-

- ing studies focus on the solar spectrum, whereas the closure of the terrestrial radiative balance also needs knowledge of the dust effect on terrestrial and atmospheric infrared radiation (Vogelmann et al., 2003). Yet, the dust radiative forcing in the thermal infrared cannot be well quantified from data measured in the visible spectrum, because refractive index spectra, highly variable, are not reliable enough both in the infrared and in the visible, and because the infrared and visible spectra are not sensitive to the same
- ²⁵ the visible, and because the infrared and visible spectra are not sensitive to the same ranges of particles sizes. The infrared radiation is above all sensitive to particles in the

ACPD 4, 3333-3358, 2004 Dust from AIRS C. Pierangelo et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Print Version** Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

size range from about half a micrometer to about ten micrometers, depending on the wavelength and on the refractive index of the aerosol.

In addition to measuring aerosol radiative forcing on terrestrial and atmospheric radiation, remote sensing in the thermal infrared has several advantages: observations

- ⁵ are available both for daytime and nighttime, vertical sounders give the possibility to retrieve dust layer altitude, and dust detection is possible over desert, thus providing a way to monitor dust sources (Chomette et al., 1999). The ability to retrieve dust altitude may be of great interest for the study of dust deposit on the ocean and its fertilization by iron. Also, through the spectral variations of dust optical properties, information
- on its mineralogical composition may be retrieved (Sokolik, 2002). Besides, if not accounted for, the presence of an aerosol layer can contaminate atmospheric variables retrieved from vertical sounder observations, as temperature or water vapor profiles (e.g. Weaver et al., 2003; Highwood et al., 2003)
- The new generation of high spectral resolution infrared sounders (like AIRS launched in May 2002 onboard Aqua) shows promising capabilities to retrieve aerosol properties from space, compared to wide-band radiometers like MODIS (Sokolik, 2002). Recent studies also proved the ability of AIRS observations in monitoring clouds and volcanic aerosol (Ackerman et al., 2004).

A subset of 324 channels that cover the thermal infrared spectrum, from 3.7 to 15.4 μ m, is currently distributed by the NOAA/NESDIS (Goldberg et al., 2003) and archived at Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique since 1 April 2003. In the following, channels will be identified by their number in the range 1–324.

In this paper, we retrieve the infrared optical depth and altitude of mineral dust aerosol layer over ocean from AIRS radiances. This is achieved using simulations

from a high spectral resolution radiative transfer code showing that the longwave channels $(8-12 \,\mu\text{m})$ are mostly sensitive to the altitude of the dust whereas the shortwave channels $(4 \,\mu\text{m})$ are mostly sensitive to the dust optical depth. This behavior allows both properties to be retrieved simultaneously. The method developed in this paper for the processing of AIRS observations consists in comparing the radiances observed



from 8 carefully selected channels to pre-computed simulated radiances. Results for the northern tropical Atlantic ocean for a 6 month period (April to September 2003) are presented. Retrieved dust optical depths are compared with MODIS aerosol optical depths. The time and space patterns of the retrieved altitude are then discussed.

5 2. Sensitivity of infrared radiances to dust aerosol

Because dust single scattering albedo in the infrared is not negligible (Dufresne et al., 2002), modeling AIRS infrared radiances in presence of dust requires a radiative transfer model including scattering and adapted to the high spectral resolution of AIRS. However, most line-by-line radiative transfer (RT) codes do not account for scatter-

- ing, whereas RT codes including scattering are low spectral resolution band models. We solved this problem by coupling the fast line-by-line Automatized Atmospheric Absorption Atlas "4A" model (Scott and Chédin, 1981) and the discrete ordinate algorithm (DISORT) (Stamnes et al., 1988) adapted to the "4A" vertical layering (40 pressure levels from 1013 to 0.05 hPa, see http://ara.lmd.polytechnique.fr/ for more information).
- However, such a code, being monochromatic, and consequently very accurate, is too much time-consuming for remote sensing applications. The correlated k-distribution method (e.g. Lacis and Oinas, 1991) cannot be easily implemented, because of different gas lines overlap. Solutions to this problem are discussed in Yang et al. (2000) but they increase the computation time. The approach used here simply consists in
- decreasing the spectral resolution of "4A": for each level, the molecular transmittance is "contracted" (i.e. carefully averaged over small spectral intervals). The comparison between the "contracted" code and the reference code provides a way to choose the "best" contraction , i.e. the poorer resolution that keeps the error below an acceptable level (0.05 K in this study).
- ²⁵ The effect of mineral dust on AIRS brightness temperatures (BT) was then simulated for different visible aerosol optical depths (AOD), layer altitudes, surface emissivities, and size distributions. The vertical distribution of the dust is very simple: for each sim-



ulation, only one "4A" layer contains the whole dust load, homogeneously distributed. So, by altitude, we mean the middle of the "4A" layer loaded with dust; changing the altitude is changing the "4A" layer containing the aerosol. The results of simulations are shown in (Figs. 1a–d), together with the range of variation of each variable. For each figure, only one variable is changed, the other keeping their "reference" value: AOD=1.5, altitude=2400 m, surface emissivity=0.98, dust model=MITR (mineral transported model from the Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds (OPAC) data base (Hess et al., 1998), archived in the Geisa spectroscopic catalog (Jacquinet-Husson et al., 1999)). For Fig. 1a-d simulations and for the whole study, only night-time situations are considered, to prevent solar contamination of the shortwave infrared channels. The dust signature may reach 10 K and increases with AOD and altitude. The dust altitude is a crucial point: the dust signature increases by a factor of 3 to 4 when the altitude increases from 800 m to 4000 m. Figs. 1a–b show that shortwave channels are mostly sensitive to the AOD, whereas longwave channels are mostly sensitive to

- the altitude, explaining why it is possible to retrieve both the AOD and altitude of a dust layer using AIRS measurements. The impact of the size distribution is not as strong as that of the AOD or altitude. This agrees with Sokolik et al. (1998) simulations showing that, for many dust samples, the shape of extinction coefficient at infrared wavelengths remains almost unchanged when the dust size distribution radius increases.
- This relative insensitivity to size distribution justifies to a good approximation the fact that only one model of mineral dust (MITR), valid far from the sources, is considered. For this reason and because the aerosol signature depends on surface emissivity, our approach is presently limited to the ocean.



© EGU 2004

3. Method

3.1. Channel selection

Channels the most appropriate to the retrieval of the two variables of interest, dust altitude and AOD, are first selected. Selection criteria are: (i) sensitivity to dust (ii) no sensitivity to ozone (iii) reduced sensitivity to water vapor. Regarding the sensitivity to dust, a channel is selected either because its sensitivity is high, or because it is well correlated with a far more sensitive channel over a wide range of clear-sky atmospheric situations. This last type of selected channels provide information on other atmospheric variables, as the temperature profile, thus constraining the retrieval.

¹⁰ Redundant channels (i.e. with close transmittance profiles) are removed. This way, 8 channels were selected, both in the 8–12 μ m window and near 4 μ m (Table 1). A proper coverage of the lower tropospheric column is ensured by selecting channels with surface transmittance varying in the range 0.25–0.9, because the lower the surface transmittance, the higher the altitude of the channel weighting function peak. Note that ¹⁵ channel 313 and channel 315, even very close (3.84 and 3.82 μ m), have a different surface transmittance. This means that they are not sensitive to the same altitude levels and illustrates the potential of high spectral resolution observations for vertical sounding.

3.2. A look-up-table approach

- For each selected channel, look-up-tables (LUT) were pre-computed, a usual approach for aerosol remote sensing (Tanré et al., 1997). Contrary to the visible, infrared satellite radiances are above all sensitive to the thermodynamic state of the atmosphere (water vapor and temperature profiles, in particular). As a consequence, the LUT must be computed for a large selection of atmospheric situations (here, about two third of the arcs the atmosphere is a situation of the atmospheric latter base TICD. (Thermaduranic latter base TICD)
- ²⁵ 872 tropical situations from the climatological data base TIGR (Thermodynamic Initial Guess retrieval) (Chédin et al., 1985; Chevallier et al., 1998)). For each atmospheric

4, 3333–3358, 2004				
Dust from AIRS				
C. Pierar	ngelo et al.			
Title	Page			
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
∢	i4 >1			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
© EGU 2004				

ACPD

situation, and each of the 8 selected channels, the LUT contain BT computed for 5 dust loading (corresponding to visible AOD 0.0, 0.4, 0.8, 1.5, 2.5) and 4 altitudes of the layer (from 500–1000 m to 5300–6000 m). Finally, brightness temperatures are linearly interpolated for other AOD and altitudes.

- ⁵ Usually, what is intended by AOD is the 0.55 μ m AOD. However, such a choice is not adapted to remote sensing in the infrared from AIRS measurements in the 4–12 μ m domain. So, we have chosen to retrieve the optical depth at 10 μ m. Thus, the aerosol spectroscopic model used in building the LUT is required to be valid only over the 4–12 μ m band and not up to visible wavelengths.
- ¹⁰ The retrieval from a measured set of brightness temperatures is based on the search for the closest set in the LUT, according to the normalized distance D:

$$D = \sum_{i=1,8} \frac{(BT_{calc}^{i} - BT_{obs}^{i})^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} + \sum_{j=1,5} \frac{(\Delta BT_{calc}^{j} - \Delta BT_{obs}^{j})^{2}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}}$$

where BT'_{calc} and BT'_{obs} are, respectively, the calculated and observed brightness tem-¹⁵ peratures of channel i, and ΔBT^{j} stands for a difference between two channels. To be selected as the closest to the observations, a set of brightness temperatures within the LUT must have values similar to the observations for each channel (first term in Eq. 1), but also differences between BT close enough to differences between observed BT (second term in Eq. 1). The differential aspect of this second term adds an important ²⁰ constrain to the proximity recognition. After several trials, best results were obtained for the 5 following couples of channels (j=1,5): 140–134, 166–135, 177–134, 313–177, 315–177.

This algorithm was tested with independent computed sets of brightness temperatures, and the percentage of good retrieval of AOD and altitude increased from 60% to

ACPD 4, 3333-3358, 2004 Dust from AIRS C. Pierangelo et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Figures Tables ◄ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Print Version** Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

(1)

90% when the constrain on differences was introduced (second term in Eq. 1). This good score demonstrates the quality of the method and proves that the set of atmospheric situations considered here is sufficient to reproduce the atmospheric natural variability in terms of temperature and water vapor profiles. Also, if the distance is too

Iarge, the corresponding retrieval is rejected, which prevents a situation too far from the LUT (or contaminated by remaining clouds – see Sect. 4.2) to be considered.

The algorithm has to account for the noise inherent in real observation data. To do so, the error caused by the noise in brightness temperatures on the distance between the observations and the closest situation in the LUT is first calculated. Then, a circle

centered on the observed set of brightness temperature, with radius the minimum distance plus its error, is drawn. The retrieved AOD and altitude are the average of the AOD and altitude of all the situations in the LUT falling into this circle. Their standard deviation provides an estimate of the dispersion of the retrieval.

15

3.3. Robustness of the retrieval algorithm to aerosol model and dust layer physical thickness

We first checked the robustness of the retrieval to a potential error on the aerosol model: because the retrieval depends on the relative sensitivity of longwave $(8-12 \mu m)$ and shortwave $(4 \mu m)$ channels to dust, we artificially increased the extinction coefficient of channels in the $4 \mu m$ band (see Table 1) by 20%. This resulted in a bias of plus 400 m in the retrieved altitude, and a change of about minus 25% in the AOD, which is

²⁰ 400 m in the retrieved altitude, and a change of about minus 25% in the AOD, which is quite satisfactory, when compared to the dispersion of the retrieval (see Sect. 4.3).

Another potential source of error that has been investigated is the (unknown) physical depth of the layer. We performed simulations for a 3 km deep aerosol layer extending from 500 m to 3500 m, which consists in "filling" 5 "4A" layers with dust, instead of only

one. The effect of such a thick layer on the selected channel BT is similar to the effect of a 750 m deep layer with same AOD located at an altitude of 1850±150 m. The bias caused by an underestimation of the physical depth of the layer is below the uncertainty of the retrieval.

ACPD			
4, 3333–3358, 2004			
Dust from AIRS			
C. Pierang	gelo et al.		
Title	Page		
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
•	►I		
•	F		
Back	Back Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Drink Marrier			
Interactive Discussion			
© EGU 2004			

4. Application to AIRS observations

4.1. Radiative transfer model bias removal

Because the LUT are built with simulated data, their application to real data implies that eventual brightness temperature systematic biases between simulations and observations have been eliminated. This may be done by comparing simulations and observations for a set of collocated satellite observations and analyzes from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, for clear sky situations. These comparisons were done for 15 days chosen at random in the period February to September 2003, on the whole tropical zone, and for each channel. By adding the average bias
(calculated – observed), the observed BT are scaled to the LUT BT reference frame. This procedure also prevents the background aerosol, whose loading shows a weak temporal variability (for example sea-salt), to contaminate the retrieval.

4.2. Cloud mask

Separating the signature of the aerosol from that of the clouds is a tricky problem for ¹⁵ aerosol remote sensing (King et al., 1998). Cloud masks usually aim at providing highconfidence clear-sky pixels, and may consequently consider aerosol-loaded situations as cloudy. Therefore, a special cloud mask has been developed. Radiative transfer simulations help us to find couples of channels which are well correlated over a wide range of atmospheric situations but cloudy ones. If the difference between these chan-

- nel BT reaches a certain threshold, the pixel is flagged as cloudy (see Fig. 2). In order to prevent a pixel to be flagged as cloudy when dusty, the effect of dust must be different to the effect of clouds. For instance, if the presence of clouds decreases the difference between two channels, the presence of dust should increase this difference or keep it constant. Following this technique, two tests have been settled, using channels within the 8 selected channels (see Table 2). A third test uses 3 channels of the
- ²⁵ nels within the 8 selected channels (see Table 2). A third test uses 3 channels of the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU 5, 6 and 8) to predict an AIRS channel BT

ACPD 4, 3333-3358, 2004 Dust from AIRS C. Pierangelo et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Figures Tables ► Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Print Version** Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

(channel 286 at 2391.1 cm^{-1}). As most clouds and aerosols have negligible impact on AMSU BT, when the predicted AIRS BT is too far from its measured value, the pixel is considered as cloudy.

- A comparison with the MODIS/Aqua cloud mask (product MYD06_L2) has been done for one day (April 27, 2003), at a regional scale, over the Atlantic Ocean (30° S–30° N, 0°–60° W) (see Table 3). The total MODIS cloud coverage is 90.7%, whereas it is 63.2% for our cloud mask. More than 85% of the discrepancies are caused by pixels considered cloudy by MODIS and not cloudy (clear or potentially dusty) by AIRS, and not the opposite. There are two main causes to this important difference. First, MODIS cloud fractions for night-time data are too high over the oceans, (artifact of the cloud
- 10 Cloud fractions for hight-time data are too high over the oceans, (artifact of the cloud mask, see Mahesh et al. (2004)), and second, there was a strong dust event over this area that night (see MODIS imagery: http://modis-atmos.gsfc.nasa.gov/MYD08_D3/ browse.html), and aerosol loaded pixels are prevented to be flagged cloudy by AIRS but not by MODIS. For the whole tropical zone, and for one week in April, AIRS cloud
- ¹⁵ coverage is 68%. This is of the same order as the TIROS Operational Vertical Sounder (TOVS) cloud coverage over sea in the tropics in April, 65% (climatological data from Scott et al., 1999).
 - 4.3. Results and validation

The retrieval algorithm has been applied to 6 months of observations (April to Septem-²⁰ ber 2003) for the north tropical Atlantic ocean (0–45° N, 70–10° W), downwind the Sahara.

The optimal way to validate the retrieved AOD would be to compare it with direct measurements, like the aerosol optical depths routinely measured by the ground-based AErosol RObotic NETwork sun-photometers (AERONET), but this raises several diffi-

²⁵ culties because: (i) routinely measured dust AOD is the visible or near-infrared AOD, not the 10 μ m AOD, (ii) our retrieval algorithm works by night whereas all other dust AOD measurements are made by day and the dust optical depth above a given lo-



cation (i.e. an AERONET sun-photometer) may change quite rapidly, (iii) the retrieval above a given point is possible only one day on 3 or 4 (because of Aqua/AIRS field of view and cloudy situations).

Comparing the AIRS retrievals with another satellite product is also a satisfactory approach since it has the advantage of the global scale. For satellite retrieval of aerosol properties, MODIS, onboard Terra since December 1999, is a key sensor (Tanré et al., 1997). MODIS AOD retrievals have been widely validated with AERONET (Remer et al., 2002) and compared with other satellite retrievals, model simulations and sunphotometers measurements (Kinne et al., 2003).

- ¹⁰ On Figs. 3a–b, we present the results of the comparison of AIRS retrievals with MODIS monthly visible AOD at $0.55 \,\mu$ m, on $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid boxes for April to September 2003. Visually, there is a satisfactory agreement: both aerosol loadings have the same space and time distribution. For instance, the dust loading reaches higher values in June and July. Note that, because of the bias removal procedure, AIRS product is the ¹⁵ dust AOD whereas MODIS product is the total aerosol AOD, thus including dust but also
- sulfate aerosol, carbonaceous aerosol, and sea-salt. MODIS aerosol retrievals north of 30°N are mostly anthropogenic aerosols from North America, and consequently, further comparisons between MODIS and AIRS AOD focus on the 0°–30°N latitude band.

For a single AIRS observation, a mean of about 40 situations are found in the "distance circle" associated with each retrieval (see Sect. 3.2). An estimate of the dispersion of the retrievals can be obtained from the standard deviation of theses about 40 LUT situations averaged in the retrieval algorithm. The standard deviation of the AOD and the altitude are respectively about 0.11 and 1150 m. These values are for one single daily retrieval. One monthly 1°×1° box contains about 100 retrievals which increases the accuracy of the results; however the monthly standard deviation cannot be used as an estimate of the uncertainty because of the natural temporal variability of

the signal.

On Fig. 4 are plotted AIRS monthly infrared AOD versus MODIS monthly visible AOD

ACPD 4, 3333-3358, 2004 Dust from AIRS C. Pierangelo et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Figures Tables ◄ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Print Version** Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

for July 2003. There is a satisfactory linear relationship between the two products, with a high correlation of 0.88. The slope of the linear regression, i.e. the average ratio between visible and infrared AOD, is 0.31. This is also the ratio between visible and infrared AOD, is 0.31. This is also the ratio between visible and infrared AOD is the product of the extinction coefficient since the AOD is the product of the extinction coefficient times the total number of particles. This value compares well with ratios reported by Highwood et al. (2003) for 3 dust models: 0.23, 0.25, and 0.40. For the 5 other months,

the ratio is of the same order (0.22 to 0.32). We also find that it steadily decreases from 0.35 to 0.22 when the distance to the sources increases (Fig. 5), which may reveal loss in coarse size particles due to gravitational settling. This is in agreement with conclusions of Maring et al. (2003b) that mineral dust aerosols larger than 7.3 μ m are preferentially removed during atmospheric transport.

Figure 3c shows the retrieved mean altitude of the dust layer, for the same months of April to September 2003. Validation of the retrieved altitude is not straightforward, because dust aerosol altitude observations are quite scarce and local (e.g. Immler and

- Schrems, 2003; Maring et al., 2003b). However, the dust layer altitude retrieved from AIRS is in agreement with in-situ observations (e.g. Prospero and Carlson, 1972; Reid et al., 2002), even if the altitude is in general somewhat lower as being a monthly mean compared to daily altitudes sometimes reaching locally higher values. Three more explanations may also be considered. First, the infrared AOD is above all sensitive
- to the coarse mode particles, which could stay lower than fine particles (Immler and Schrems, 2003). Second, in summer, some authors consider that dust transport occurs only in the Saharan Air Layer (SAL) (e.g. Chiapello et al., 1995), whereas other authors report observations of dust sometimes in the SAL only, and sometimes in both the SAL and the marine boundary layer (MBL) (Reid et al., 2002; Maring et al., 2003a).
- ²⁵ This second transport pattern would lead to a lower monthly mean altitude. Third, our method assumes that the dust layer is vertically homogeneous, whereas observations show that transport sometimes occurs in two or three distinct different layers. In this case, the retrieved "altitude" loses its sense.

Also, Fig. 3c shows that the layer altitude in July decreases with latitude, from 10° N

ACPD 4, 3333-3358, 2004 Dust from AIRS C. Pierangelo et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Figures Tables ◄ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Print Version** Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

to 30° N. To our knowledge, this has never been reported, but it could be explained by uplifting at the Intertropical Convergence Zone, located near 10° N in July. This hypothesis is in agreement with Colarco et al. (2003), whose model simulations show a positive vertical mass flux around the ITCZ. When meeting the monsoon air, air 5 masses from north of the ITCZ, in the southwestward trade winds, are uplifted over the monsoon air (see Fig. 1 of Jonquieres et al., 1998). This mechanism could explain the south-north gradient of the dust altitude retrieved.

Besides, we verified on Fig. 6 that summer transport occurs at higher altitudes than the "winter" transport (April and May), in agreement with Chiapello et al. (1995). The daily values reported in this figure would support the idea that, in summer, dust transport occurs sometimes in the SAL only, sometimes in both the SAL and the MBL.

5. Conclusions

Both the AOD and altitude of Saharan dust layers, from April to September 2003, have been retrieved, using AIRS high spectral resolution observations and pre-computed Look-up-Tables.

The comparison between AIRS and MODIS AOD gives the $10 \,\mu$ m to $0.55 \,\mu$ m extinction coefficient ratio. This ratio decreases from 0.35 to 0.22 when the distance to Sahara increases, revealing the decrease in particles size. It also shows that new products, for example the coarse mode to accumulation mode ratio, could be retrieved by AIRS and MODIS synergy. Such a product is not yet available but will be developed

soon.

10

15

20

The retrieved dust layer altitude shows seasonal variations, with summer transport occurring at higher altitudes than spring transport. We also found a potential impact of the ITCZ on dust altitude in July. Altitude is an essential variable for the validation

of dust transport models and our retrieval can bring a valuable constrain for assimilation of aerosols with 4D-Var methods. Besides, planned satellite missions with active lidars will provide vertical profiles of aerosols and clouds below the satellite track. In



particular, the Calipso lidar will follow AIRS in the Aqua Train. This opens the way to promising studies combining dust vertical profile from lidar and AIRS retrieved altitude.

Also, a study could be conducted using our data set to quantify the effect of dust on AIRS retrieved temperature and water vapor profiles and their impact on meteorological forecast.

The main limitation of the present study is the discrepancies between our unique aerosol model and the "real" aerosol. We are currently at work considering a higher number of aerosol models.

The next step is to extend the retrieval over land, which requires consideration of the still poorly known infrared surface emissivities at high resolution. This will provide a unique global monitoring of dust sources over desert.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to P. Dubuisson for his help in coupling 4A and DISORT, and to C. Crevoisier for his help in using AIRS data. Thanks are due to D. Tanré, P. Chazette and C. Stubenrauch for their helpful comments, and to Y. Balkanski and N. Scott for reading an earlier version of the manuscript.

References

5

- Ackerman, S., Huang, H.-L., Antonelli, P., Holz, R., Revercomb, H., Tobin, D., Baggett, K., and Davies, J. E.: Detection of clouds and aerosols using infrared hyperspectral observations, 20th International Conference on Interactive Information and Processing Systems for Meteo-
- rology, Oceanography, and Hydrology, American Meteorological Society, Seattle, WA, 10–15 January, 2004. 3335
 - Chédin, A., Scott, N., Wahiche, C., and Moulinier, P.: The improved initialization inversion method a high-resolution physical method for temperature retrievals from satellites of the TIROS-N series, J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol., 24, 128–143, 1985. 3338
- ²⁵ Chevallier, F., Cheruy, F., Scott, N., and Chédin, A.: A neural network approach for a fast and accurate computation of a longwave radiative budget, J. Appl. Meteorol., 37, 1385–1397, 1998. 3338

4, 3333–3358, 2004

Dust from AIRS

C. Pierangelo et al.



- 3347
- Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 62, 205–254, 1999. 3337 Jonquieres, I., Marenco, A., Maalej, A., and Rohrer, F.: Study of ozone formation and transatlantic transport from biomass burning emissions over West Africa during the ariborne Tropospheric Ozone Campaigns TROPOZ I and TROPOZ II., J. Geophys. Res., 103, 19059-

doi:10.1029/2002JD002552, 2003. 3335, 3344

Cambridge CB2 2RU, United Kingdom, 2001. 3334

dust layers determined by a ship-borne lidar, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 2707–2737, 2003. 3344

IPPC, Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Climate change 2001: the scientific basis, Cambridge University Press,

Jacquinet-Husson, N., Arie, E., Scott, N.-A., et al.: The 1997 spectroscopic Geisa databank, J.

- Immler, F. and Schrems, O.: Vertical profiles, optical and microphysical properties of Saharan
- Saharan Dust Experiment (SHADE), 2. Terrestrial spectrum, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8578,
- Hess, M., Koepke, P., and Schult, I.: Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds: The software package OPAC, Bull. Am. Met. Soc., 79, 831-844, 1998. 3337 Highwood, E., Haywood, J. M., Silverstone, M. D., Newman, S. M., and Taylor, J. P.: Radiative properties and direct effect of saharan dust measured by the C-130 aircraft during
- Goldberg, M., Qu, Y., McMillin, L., Wolf, W., Zhou, L., and Divakarla, M.: AIRS near-real-time products and algorithms in support of operational numerical weather prediction, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 41, 379–389, 2003. 3335
- doi:10.1029/2002JD002659, 2003. 3345 Dufresne, J.-L., Gautier, C., Ricchiazzi, P., and Fouguart, Y.: Longwave scattering effects of mineral aerosols., J. Atmo. Sci., 59, 1959–1966, 2002. 3336
- transport to the Caribbean during PRIDE: 2. Transport, vertical profiles, and deposition in simulations of in-situ and remote sensing observations., J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8590, 10
- Colarco, P., Toon, O., Redi, J., Livingston, J., Russell, P., Redemann, J., Schmid, B., Mar-
- Res., 104, 31 207–31 215, 1999. 3335

Chiapello, I., Bergametti, G., Gomes, L., Chatenet, B., Dulac, F., Pimenta, J., and Soares,

- ing, H., Savoie, D., Welton, E., Campbell, J., Holben, B., and Levy, R.: Saharan dust

- the emission of desert dust using satellite remote sensing in the thermal infrared, J. Geophys.

5

15

20

25

30

E. S.: An additional low layer transport of Sahelian and Saharan dust over the North-Eastern Tropical Atlantic, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22, 3191–3194, 1995. 3344, 3345 Chomette, O., Legrand, M., and Marticorena, B.: Determination of the wind speed threshold for

ACPD

4, 3333-3358, 2004

Dust from AIRS

C. Pierangelo et al.

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
I ◀	►I		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Print Version			
Interactive Discussion			
© FGU 2004			

19073, 1998. 3345

10

25

30

- King, M., Tsay, S.-C., Ackerman, S., and Larsen, N.: Discriminating heavy aerosol, clouds, and fires during SCAR-B: Application of airborne multispectral data, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 31 989–31 999, 1998. 3341
- Kinne, S., Lohmann, U., Feichter, J., et al.: Monthly averages of aerosol properties: A global comparison among models, satellite data, and AERONET ground data, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4634, doi:10.1029/2001JD001253, 2003. 3343
 - Lacis, A. and Oinas, V.: A description of the correlated k distribution method for modelling nongray gaseous absorption, thermal emission, and multiple scattering in vertical inhomogeneous atmospheres, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 9027–9063, 1991. 3336
- Mahesh, A., Gray, M., Palm, S., Hart, W., and Spinhirne, J.: Passive and active detection of clouds: Comparison between MODIS and GLAS observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L04 108, doi:10.1029/2003GL018 859, 2004. 3342

Maring, H., Savoie, D., Izaguirre, M., and Custals, L.: Vertical distributions of dust and sea-salt

- aerosols over Puerto Rico during PRIDE measured from a light aircraft, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8587, doi:10.1029/2002JD002544, 2003a. 3344
 - Maring, H., Savoie, D., Izaguirre, M., Custals, L., and Reid, J. S.: Mineral dust aerosol size distribution change during atmospheric transport, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8592, doi:10.1029/2002JD002536, 2003b. 3344
- Prospero, J. and Carlson, T.: Vertical and areal distribution of saharan dust over the western equatorial north Atlantic ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 77, 5255–5265, 1972. 3344
 - Reid, J., Westphal, D., Livingston, J. M., Savoie, D. L., Maring, H. B., Jonsson, H. H., Eleuterio, D. P., Kinney, J. E., and Reid, E. A.: Dust vertical distribution in the Caribbean during the Puerto Rico Dust Experiment, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, doi:10.1029/2001GL014092., 2002. 3344
 - Remer, L., Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y., Ichoku, C., Mattoo, S., Levy, R., Chu, D., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Martins, J., Li, R., and Ahmad, Z.: Validation of MODIS aerosol retrieval over ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, doi:10.1029/2001GL013204, 2002. 3343
 Scott, N. and Chédin, A.: A fast line-by-line method for atmospheric absorption computations:
 - the automatized atmospheric absorption atlas, J. Appl. Met., 20, 802-812, 1981. 3336
 - Scott, N., Chédin, A., Armante, R., Francis, J., Stubenrauch, C., Chaboureau, J.-P., Chevallier,
 F., Claud, C., and Cheruy, F.: Characteristics of the TOVS Pathfinder Path-B Dataset, Bull.
 Am. Met. Soc., 80, 2679–2701, 1999. 3342

4, 3333–3358, 2004

Dust from AIRS

C. Pierangelo et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
	►I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
© FGU 2004				

Sokolik, I.: The spectral radiative signature of wind-blown mineral dust: Implications for remote sensing in the thermal IR region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 2154, doi:10.1029/2002GL015910, 2002. 3335

Sokolik, I., Toon, O., and Bergstrom, R.: Modeling the radiative characteristics of airborne mineral aerosols at infrared wavelengths, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 8813–8826, 1998. 3337

⁵ mineral aerosols at infrared wavelengths, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 8813–8826, 1998. 3337 Stamnes, K., Tsay, S.-C., Wiscombe, W., and Jayaweera, K.: Numerically stable algorithm for discrete ordinate-method radiative transfer in multiple scattering and emitting layered media, Appl. Optics, 27, 2502–2509, 1988. 3336

Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Herman, M., and Mattoo, S.: Remote sensing of aerosol proper-

- ties over oceans using the MODIS/EOS spectral radiances, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 16971– 16988, 1997. 3338, 3343
 - Vogelmann, A., Flatau, P., Szczodrak, M., Markowicz, K., and Minnett, P.: Observations of large aerosol infrared forcing at the surface, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1655, doi:10.1029/2002GL016 829, 2003. 3334
- ¹⁵ Weaver, C., Joiner, J., and Ginoux, P.: Mineral aerosol contamination of TIROS Operational Vertical Sounder (TOVS) temperature and moisture retrievals, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4246, doi:10.1029/2002JD002571, 2003. 3335
 - Yang, S., Ricchiazzi, P., and Gautier, C.: Modified correlated k-distribution methods for remote sensing applications, J. Quant. Spectro. Radiat. Trans., 64, 585–608, 2000. 3336

ACPD				
4, 3333–3358, 2004				
Dust from AIRS				
C. Pierar	ngelo et al.			
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
[∢ ▶]				
•	►			
Back	Back Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				
© EGU 2004				

4, 3333-3358, 2004

Dust from AIRS

C. Pierangelo et al.



Table 1. AIRS selected channel number, wavenumber, wavelength and mean surface transmittance over the tropical situations of the climatological database "Thermodynamic Initial Guess Retrieval" (TIGR). 2 of the 8 channels selected for the retrieval of aerosol properties belong to the shortwave infrared (near 4 μ m), 6 belong to the 8 to 12 μ m band.

AIRS channel	wavenumber	wavelength	surface
	(cm ⁻¹)	(µm)	transmittance
134	843	11.86	0.55
135	871	11.48	0.25
140	965	10.36	0.68
166	1074	9.31	0.35
177	1227	8.15	0.55
179	1236	8.10	0.25
313	2607	3.84	0.6
315	2616	3.82	>0.9

4, 3333-3358, 2004

Dust from AIRS

C. Pierangelo et al.

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
I	►I		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Print Version			
Interactive Discussion			
© EGU 2004			

Table 2. Cloud tests for the retrieval of dust properties. All these tests are threshold tests. Tests 1 and 2 are based on differences between two AIRS channels. Note that the effect of dust on the difference is opposite to the effect of clouds. Test 3 consists in the prediction of AIRS channel 286 brightness temperature with a linear regression of 3 AMSU channels.

Test number	AIRS channel	clear sky	cloud	dust
1	BT 315–BT 140	> 0.5 K	< 0.5 K	> 1 K
2	BT 313–BT 177	< 1.5 K	> 1.5 K	< 0 K
3	BT 286–reg(AMSU 5, 6, 8)	> 0 K	< 0 K	> 0 K

4, 3333-3358, 2004

Dust from AIRS

C. Pierangelo et al.

Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Figures Tables ◄ ► Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

Table 3. Comparison between AIRS aerosol-dedicated cloud mask and MODIS cloud mask, for 27 April 2003, over the Atlantic Ocean $(30^{\circ} \text{ S}-30^{\circ} \text{ N}, 0^{\circ}-60^{\circ} \text{ W})$, for 0.25° grid boxes. A box is flagged cloudy for MODIS if the average cloud confidence level is above 90%, clear if it is below 10% and partially cloudy for other situations. A box is flagged cloudy for AIRS if more than 90% of the pixels inside it are flagged cloudy, clear if less than 10% of the pixels are flagged cloudy, and partially cloudy for other situations. Results are given in %.

	MODIS	MODIS	MODIS	total
	cloudy	partially	clear	
		cloudy		
AIRS cloudy	51.8	4.7	0.2	55.7
AIRS partially cloudy	3.9	2.5	0.1	6.5
AIRS clear	11.7	16.1	9.0	36.8
total	67.4	23.3	9.3	100



4, 3333-3358, 2004 Dust from AIRS C. Pierangelo et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Figures Tables 4 ► Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Print Version** Interactive Discussion

Fig. 1. Effect of mineral dust on AIRS brightness temperatures for 324 channels, as a function of aerosol optical depth (a), aerosol layer altitude (b), surface emissivity (c), and aerosol model (d) (MITR = mineral transported, MICM = mineral coarse mode). "Reference" values: AOD = 1.5, altitude=2400m, surface emissivity=0.98, dust model = MITR (red line).

© EGU 2004









© EGU 2004

Fig. 3. From left to right: monthly mean of **(a)** AIRS retrieved 10 μ m dust AOD, **(b)** MODIS retrieved 0.55 μ m aerosol AOD, and **(c)** AIRS retrieved altitude (m) for the dust layer, over the northern tropical Atlantic ocean (10°–70° W, 0°–45° N). From top to bottom: April to September 2003.



ACPD

4, 3333–3358, 2004

Dust from AIRS

C. Pierangelo et al.

Title Page

Introduction

References

Figures

ÞI

►

Close

Abstract

Conclusions

Tables

Back



Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Fig. 4. AIRS retrieved $10 \,\mu$ m AOD as a function of MODIS retrieved $0.55 \,\mu$ m AOD, for July 2003, for 0–30° N, 10–60° W. Each point is the monthly mean over a 1°×1° box. The correlation coefficient is 0.88 and the slope of the regression line is 0.31.



Fig. 5. Ratio of AIRS retrieved 10 μ m AOD on MODIS retrieved 0.55 μ m AOD, for July 2003, for 0-30° N, as a function of longitude (from the African coast to the Caribbean sea). For each longitude band, the error bar is the error on the linear regression slope.

Dust from AIRS C. Pierangelo et al. Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Figures Tables ÞI ► Close Back Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion

ACPD

© EGU 2004





