



**HAL**  
open science

## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme: variability and relationships with environmental factors

A. Marinoni, Paolo Laj, Karine Sellegri, Gilles Mailhot

► **To cite this version:**

A. Marinoni, Paolo Laj, Karine Sellegri, Gilles Mailhot. Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme: variability and relationships with environmental factors. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 2004, 4 (1), pp.849-886. hal-00327840

**HAL Id: hal-00327840**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00327840v1>**

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

# Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme: variability and relationships with environmental factors

A. Marinoni<sup>1, 2</sup>, P. Laj<sup>1</sup>, K. Sellegri<sup>1</sup>, and G. Mailhot<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Météorologie Physique, Université Blaise Pascal, OPGC/CNRS,  
Clermont-Ferrand, France

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Ambiente e del Territorio, Università degli Studi di Milano  
Bicocca, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Photochimie Moléculaire et Macromoléculaire, Université Blaise Pascal, UMR  
CNRS 6505, Clermont-Ferrand, France)

Received: 18 November 2003 – Accepted: 27 January 2004 – Published: 5 February 2004

Correspondence to: A. Marinoni (angela.marinoni@unimib.it)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

## Abstract

The chemical composition of cloud water was investigated during the winter-spring months of 2001 and 2002 at the Puy de Dôme station (1465 m above sea level, 45°46′22″ N, 2°57′43″ E) in an effort to characterize clouds in the continental free troposphere. Cloud droplets were sampled with single-stage cloud collectors (cut-off diameter approximately 7  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and analyzed for inorganic and organic ions, as well as total dissolved organic carbon. Results show a very large variability in chemical composition and total solute concentration of cloud droplets, ranging from a few  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$  to more than 150  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ . Samplings can be classified in three different categories with respect to their total ionic content and relative chemical composition: background continental (BG, total solute content lower than 18  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ ), anthropogenic continental (ANT, total solute content from 18 to 50  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ ), and special events (SpE, total solute content higher than 50  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ ). The relative chemical composition shows an increase in anthropogenic-derived species ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) from BG to SpE, and a decrease in dissolved organic compounds (ionic and non-ionic) that are associated with the anthropogenic character of air masses.

We observed a high contribution of solute in cloud water derived from the dissolution of gas phase species in all cloud events. This was evident from large solute fractions of nitrate, ammonium and mono-carboxylic acids in cloud water, relative to their abundance in the aerosol phase. The comparison between droplet and aerosol composition clearly shows the limited ability of organic aerosols to act as cloud condensation nuclei. The strong contribution of gas-phase species limits the establishment of direct relationships between cloud water solute concentration and LWC that are expected from nucleation scavenging.

### Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## 1. Introduction

The fundamental role of cloud droplets as media for chemical reactions has long been recognized (Jacob et al., 1986; Chameides and Davis, 1983; Calvert et al., 1986; Liang and Jacobson, 1999; Laj et al., 1997a; Möller et al., 1996; Collett et al., 1999). Chemical and physical processes in multiphase systems significantly influence the transport, vertical redistribution, chemical transformation, and removal of chemical species from the atmosphere, and hence impact on the lifetime of both aerosols and gases. As many of the existing chemical species formed in the gas phase can dissolve and react efficiently in the liquid phase, aqueous-phase reactions potentially act either as a sink or source for atmospheric trace compounds, depending on the physical and chemical characteristics of cloud droplets (Warneck, 1986). In addition, due to their high concentration of solutes, fogs and clouds play a crucial role in the deposition of pollutants. Clouds and fogs can significantly affect terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, acting on a regional scale as a primary source of nutrients to ecosystems and as a potential source of toxic chemicals (Glotfelty et al., 1987).

The chemical composition of cloud droplets is determined by chemical and physical processes that include (i) the dynamics of cloud formation, (ii) the composition and concentration of aerosols that are dissolved in the droplets from both nucleation and impaction scavenging, (iii) the transfer of volatile species across the air/water interface during the lifetime of the cloud, and (iv) the chemical reactions that can take place in the liquid phase. Since each of these processes can modify the original solute concentration of cloud droplets, the chemical composition of cloud droplets results from a combination of all three major processes.

Assessing the importance of multiphase chemical processes in the global atmospheric chemical cycle is rather complicated, given the variety of condensed matter and gaseous species that can participate in such reactions. For example, liquid phase mechanisms linked to the process of acidification of precipitation are well understood, especially for sulphur and nitrogen compounds (Warneck, 1986; Jaeschke, 1986;

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1991). On the contrary, it is only recently that attention has focused on the central role of clouds in the alteration of the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere, through reactions involving both free radicals (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1991; Lawrence and Crutzen, 1998) and/or organic species (Aneja, 1993; Khwaja et al., 1995; Fuzzi and Zappoli, 1996; Krivacsy et al., 2000; Hitzenberger et al., 2000).

The inorganic fraction of cloud composition has been studied extensively (Chandler et al., 1988a; Chandler et al., 1988b; Collett et al., 1993; Collett et al., 1995; Erel et al., 1993; Fuzzi et al., 1992, 1998; Hegg and Hobbs, 1986). While data on the organic content are sparse, it is clear that organic content can constitute a significant fraction of the solute mass (Aneja, 1993; Fuzzi and Zappoli, 1996; Novakov and Penner, 1993; Hadi et al., 1995). The nature of the organic compounds present in cloud droplets is highly variable, and the number of chemical species that have been identified at present is extremely high (Herckes et al., 2002; Decesari et al., 2001; Cappiello et al., 2003).

Given the dispersed nature of aerosol particles, gases, and the environmental conditions leading to the formation of clouds, it is not surprising that the chemical composition of clouds and fogs is highly variable in the atmosphere. The Liquid Water Content (LWC) of clouds and fogs is one of the major factors that control the concentration of constituents in the liquid phase (Junge, 1963; Elbert et al., 2000; Möller et al., 1996). On the contrary, the initial chemical composition of cloud water appears to be driven largely by the composition of the aerosol particles that serve as Cloud Condensation Nuclei (CCN). Finally, the contribution of ambient soluble gases can significantly change the chemical composition of droplets (Jacob et al., 1986; Jacob et al., 1987).

The chemical composition of fogs and clouds often shows variation within a single cloud event due to advection of chemical substances, and variation in LWC during the lifetime of the cloud (Elbert et al., 2000). As expected, concentrations of dissolved species often display an inverse relationship with LWC (Pilié et al., 1975; Munger et al., 1983; Jacob, 1984; Führer, 1986; Fuzzi, 1986; Gervat et al., 1984; Aneja et al., 1990), but other parameters can locally complicate the relationship between droplet

concentrations and cloud microphysics. These factors are not adequately treated in present-day atmospheric models, where solute concentration is simply computed on the basis of scavenging efficiency and Henry's law of equilibrium.

A better knowledge of relationships that relate solute concentration in cloud water to environmental parameters, as well as more data on the temporal variation of chemical concentration of cloud water, would clearly contribute to the construction of cloud chemistry models that possess better constraints. The goal of this paper is to provide information on atmospheric chemistry in the liquid phase in relation to the chemical and physical properties of aerosol particles and to the microphysics of clouds. We investigate a regional cloud chemistry climatology and present statistics that are based on the monitoring of sixteen cloud events during a period of two years. The chemical investigation includes measurements of inorganic compounds, as well as dissolved total organic carbon that includes speciation of organic carbon for many carboxylic acids. These measurements can provide the requisite data-set for the construction of models concerning cloud effects in the arena of tropospheric chemistry.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Study area and experimental set-up

Experimental studies were carried out at the Puy de Dôme (PDD) station (48° N, 2° E; 1465 m a.s.l.), in the Massif Central Region (France). It is a strategic point from which to observe warm and mixed clouds that are present 50% of the time between November and March. Clouds are frequently formed at the top of the site, either during advection of frontal systems or by the orographic rising of moist air. During the winter/spring months, the station lies in the free troposphere and air masses are usually exempt from the influence of local pollution. Road access to the site was restricted to authorized personnel during all sampling periods; cars were stopped 5 km before the summit at 850 m a.s.l. to prevent local contamination. A small military base is located to the north

## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

of the station, but fuel combustibles are limited exclusively to winter storm events.

Meteorological parameters are monitored at the station and include wind speed and direction, temperature, pressure, and relative humidity (RH). In addition, monitoring of a variety of atmospheric gas concentrations ( $O_3$ ,  $NO_x$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $CO_2$ ), black carbon (BC, measured by a Magee Scientific  $\alpha$ ethalometer, AE1-series), and total particle number concentrations ( $N_{CPC}$ , measured in the range from 10 nm to 3  $\mu$ m by a Condensation Particle Counter Model 3010, product by TSI) occurs throughout the year. The station is equipped for cloud microphysical measurements. However, in this study we only have measurements of LWC and effective radius ( $Re$ ) of droplets as obtained with a Gerber PVM-100 probe. An overall description of measurements performed at the station can be found at [http://www.obs.univ-bpclermont.fr/observ/chimie/pdd\\_listedata.html](http://www.obs.univ-bpclermont.fr/observ/chimie/pdd_listedata.html).

## 2.2. Cloud droplets and aerosol particle sampling

Cloud sampling was performed between 8 February and 6 April in 2001 (68 samples during 8 different events), and between 14 February and 12 May in 2002 (10 samples during 8 different events) (see Table 1). The sampling periods included both warm and super-cooled conditions for cloud droplets. Sampling was restricted to non-precipitating clouds.

Cloud droplets were sampled with single-stage cloud collector similar to that described by Krusz et al. (1993). Droplets are sampled at a flow rate of approximately 80  $m^3 h^{-1}$  and collected by impaction onto a 10  $cm^2$  rectangular plate. The cloud droplets either freeze upon impact (super-cooled conditions) or are collected directly in the liquid phase. The droplet sampling efficiency is enhanced with the utilization of a large stagnation plate close to the collector inlet. Laboratory tests have shown that the cut-off diameter of the collector is approximately 7  $\mu$ m. Averaged droplet size distributions measured by a Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe show that this cut-off is sufficient to collect more than 80% of the cloud liquid water mass (Dupuy et al., 2002). The sampling intervals (40 min up to 2 h) varied and were dependent on the LWC. Previous experiments have shown that the LWC is measured with a precision of approximately

## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

20% (Mertes et al., 2001).

We concurrently used two different collectors for sample analysis by ion chromatography and carbon. The difference between the samplers is in the material used for the impaction plates and the liquid water collectors, as well as the cleaning procedures.

5 The sampler used for ion chromatography analysis and pH measurements was made of aluminium. The second sampler, used for total carbon (TC) and organic carbon (OC) analyses, was made of stainless-steel. Cleaning procedures were similar to those described by Sellegri et al. (2003b).

10 The samples for ion chromatography and carbon analyses were frozen immediately after collection, stored in glass bottles (Schott), and thawed in a clean room just before the analysis. IC and TC/OC measurements were performed within three days after collection.

Aerosol particle sampling was performed in 2001 and 2002 (Table 2) with the method described by Sellegri et al. (2003a).

### 15 2.3. Chemical analyses

Aerosol and cloud samples were analysed for the determination of major ionic compounds, as well as total organic carbon concentrations. The preparation and analyses of the cloud and aerosol samples were performed under a class 100 laminar flow bench to minimize possibility of contamination from external sources. Data quality was controlled utilizing two field blanks for each cloud event and one blank filter for every impactor.

#### 2.3.1. Ion chromatography

25 Ion chromatographic analyses were performed in a clean room (class 10000). Cloud water was injected directly without filtration to avoid further contamination. Working conditions are similar to those detailed by Jaffrezo et al. (1998), who employed a DIONEX 100 chromatograph equipped with a CS12 column for the cations, and a

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion



DIONEX 500 with an AS11 column for the anions.  $\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-$ ,  $\text{HCOO}^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  are the ions analysed in 2001. In 2002, additional mono and di-carboxylic acids were measured: lactic, glycolic, propionic, glyoxylic, glutaric, succinic, maleic, malonic and tartaric. Detection limits were calculated from a mean blank plus one standard deviation. The accuracy of ion chromatographic analyses is typically 10% for samples 10 times the detection limit, and approximately 50% for samples twice the detection limit.

### 2.3.2. Total and organic carbon

Total Organic Carbon (TOC) measurements in the liquid phase were obtained with a TOC analyzer Shimadzu model TOC-5050A. The Shimadzu TOC-5050A is capable of performing Total Carbon (TC) analyses by combustion and Inorganic Carbon (IC) analyses by oxidation. TOC measurements were obtained by calculating the difference between TC and IC measurements.

The instrument works with a TC combustion tube, which is filled with a TC catalyst and heated to  $680^\circ\text{C}$ . When the cloud water sample is introduced into the TC combustion tube, the carbon is combusted / oxidized to form  $\text{CO}_2$ . The carrier gas (purified air) and the combustion product ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) pass through a sample cell of the Non-Dispersive Infrared Detector (NDIR), where  $\text{CO}_2$  is detected. For IC measurements, the sample is introduced into the IC reaction vessel (containing  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  25%), through which the carrier gas flows in the form of tiny bubbles. Only the IC component in the sample is decomposed to form  $\text{CO}_2$ , which is detected upon reaching the NDIR. Carbon in the form of carbonates and hydrogen carbonates are measured as IC. Calibration curves within the range  $0\text{--}15\text{ mg l}^{-1}$  were obtained by using potassium hydrogen phthalate and sodium hydrogen carbonate as representatives of organic and inorganic carbon respectively.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Chemical composition of the liquid phase

##### 3.1.1. Inorganic substances

The chemical analyses and microphysics data are reported in Table 3 and their cross-correlation is given in Table 4. We found large differences in the chemical composition of cloud water between events, both in relative composition and in total ionic content. Concentration differences exceeded a factor of 100 for some compounds when comparing minimum and maximum values. This is not seen, however, within a single cloud event: half of the events are composed of more than one sample (Table 3) and no significant variation in solute concentration is observed amongst samples of a same event.

The variations in solute concentrations are not due to variations in LWC ( $R^2$  TIC/LWC = 0.09). Because of limited variation of LWC, the cloud water loading (CWL) shows a similar behaviour to that of total ionic content (TIC).

The total ionic content (TIC) ranged from 0.7 to 199  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , with an average of 18  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$  and a standard deviation of 27  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ . The frequency distribution of total ionic content is shown in Fig. 1. The TIC average is driven by a few highly concentrated samples and reaches 200  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , while the majority of events have a TIC content lower than 10  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ . These low concentrations are characteristic of European high-altitude sites, far from local pollution sources, such as Sonnblick (3106 m a.s.l., Austria, Hitzenberger et al., 2000; Kasper-Giebl et al., 2000) and Jungfrau-joch (3450 m a.s.l., Switzerland, Baltensperger et al., 1998). Most cloud events with low concentration were sampled during winter (January–February) and showed a strong decoupling between free-tropospheric and boundary layer air masses, even at the relatively low altitude of PDD.

A fraction of cloud samples have consistent solute concentrations between 15 and 40  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ . These concentrations are slightly lower than ionic concentrations measured at mountain sites under the influence of medium range pollution, such as Great Dun

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

Fell ( $23 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  just for sulphate, Laj et al., 1997b), Aubure in the Vosges Mountains (eastern France;  $66 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  measured by Herckes et al., 2002), Whiteface Mountain ( $60 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  Khwaja et al., 1995) and the eastern part of United States (Weathers et al., 1988). This also corresponds to the range of concentrations found during the CIME campaign, and to levels measured by Voisin et al. (2000) at PDD. The long-range transport of atmospheric pollutants, mostly from northern Europe, can have a substantial impact on the chemistry of clouds during several events.

Finally, the presence of highly concentrated samples is surprising for such a non-industrialized area. These concentrations are in fact similar to those found in the much polluted regions of the Po Valley ( $211 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ , Fuzzi et al., 1988) and the Czech Krusne Hory plateau ( $120 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ , Bridges et al., 2002). Similar elevated levels were already encountered at PDD during a cloud event of the CIME campaign ( $59 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ , Flossmann, 2000).

Variation of cloud TIC is paralleled with variation in the concentration of the relative chemical components of cloud water. Statistical information for all species is shown in Table 3. Note that we did not take into account event #14 due to the strong influence of these samples on the statistical outcome. As expected, nitrate, sulphate and ammonium are the most abundant ions in cloud water at the PDD site.  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is the most important anion with an average contribution to the TIC of 37%; concentrations range from  $0.2 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $27 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ , with an average of  $5 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  ( $42 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  in event #14). The second most abundant anion is  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  (22%, ranging from  $0.2 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $8.3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  with an average of  $3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ), followed by chloride (9%, ranging from  $0.02 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $10 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  with an average of  $1.2 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) and organic acids (9%, ranging from  $0.1 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $5.3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  with an average of  $1.1 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ).  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is the most abundant cation (13%, ranging from  $0.1 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $6.2 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  with an average of  $1.8 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ), followed by sodium (4%, ranging from  $0.01 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $6.4 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  with an average of  $0.6 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) and minor contributions from the others cations.

A striking difference between the cloud chemistry measured at PDD and that of previous studies at other locations is the predominant contribution of nitrate to the TIC.

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

For example, sulphate is on average 32 times more concentrated in the Po Valley than at PDD, while nitrate is just 12 times more concentrated, despite the presence of important nitrogen emissions. The remoteness from local sources and the efficient oxidation of reduced nitrogen make nitrate a key substance that drives the chemistry of clouds at the PDD site.

Consequently, the acidity of cloud water is controlled by both nitrate and sulphate. The pH of cloud water was not measured in every sample, but it can be estimated by the ionic balance. The liquid phase often shows cation-to-anion ratios that are below unity, indicating that these samples are quite acidic. The average ratio is 0.87 (in equivalents), with lowest values occurring in the most concentrated events: #3 (0.65), #14 (0.69) and #5 (0.74), with two samples of the latter also having the lowest measured pH values (4.1 in event #3 and 4.8 in event #5). In contrast, in samples at low concentrations  $\text{NH}_4^+$  present in solution is sufficient to neutralize the acidity from both  $\text{HNO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . The presence of relatively high ammonium concentrations appears consistently at PDD, even during wintertime. A large fraction of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  arises from the dissolution of gaseous  $\text{NH}_3$  (Sellegri et al., 2003c; Laj et al., 2001). The acidity of cloud water in the background continental free troposphere is influenced by emissions from agricultural activities on the regional scale. Background  $\text{NH}_3$  levels are not sufficient to neutralize cloud water during advection of anthropogenic air masses.

The progressive decrease of the contribution of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  to TIC as a function of the anthropogenic character of air-masses ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{NO}_3^-$ ) is illustrated in Fig. 2. Nitrate and sulphate are significantly correlated with  $\text{NH}_4^+$  ( $R^2=0.80$ ), but the acidic behaviour of air masses is controlled by  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . The average nitrate to sulphate equivalent ratio is 1.28, and is higher than ratios obtained for cloud water samples collected at Whiteface Mountain (Khwaja et al., 1995) or at various sites in the United States (Weathers et al., 1988), where the ratio ranged from 0.13 to 0.53. However, a similar ratio of 1.1 was found by Löflund et al. (2002) at Mount Rax (Austria, 1400 m a.s.l.). The nitrate to sulphate ratio has been used as an indicator of the remoteness of a site by Weathers et al. (1988); indeed a value of 0.53 was found in the Czech Krusne Hory plateau

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

(Bridges et al., 2002), 0.6 in the Vosges Mountains (Herckes et al., 2002) and 0.72 at Jungfraujoch (Baltensperger et al., 1998): the nitrate to sulphate ratio clearly increases with remoteness of the sampling site. This is not the case at PDD, where high nitrate fractions are associated with anthropogenic air masses. Sellegri et al. (2003a) reached similar conclusions from the aerosol composition at PDD. A ratio of 0.94 was also measured by the CIME experiment during a highly polluted episode (Flossmann., 2000). The predominance of nitrate over sulphate in the acidification of aerosols has already been observed over Europe (Schaap et al., 2002), and might indicate an evolution of the sources of pollution. The data considered from Europe are more recent than that from the USA, and may indicate both a change in emission characteristics and differences in rate of emissions between Europe and the USA.

### 3.1.2. Organic species

Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) was measured on 7 samples in 2001 and 10 samples in 2002. The concentration of DOC ranges from  $1.2 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $8.9 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  ( $15.4 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  for event #14), with an average of  $3.7 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ . These values are similar to levels measured in clouds at Mount Rax (Löflund et al., 2002). The correlation between DOC and TIC is significant ( $R^2 = 0.78$ ), although the relationship is characterized by significant scattering. Among the three major ionic species, the strongest correlation ( $R^2 = 0.86$ ) is found with  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , that derives from both anthropogenic and natural emissions, the weakest correlation occurs with  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ( $R^2 = 0.73$ ). However, no significant correlation is found with BC, a tracer for anthropogenic air masses; this is contrary to the findings of Löflund et al. (2002) who found that an increase in BC concentrations coincided with elevated organic acid concentrations of various acids, especially during several events. On the other hand, very high levels of DOC can be found in clouds in the presence of polluted air masses, accompanied by a characteristic high TIC (up to  $15 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) and high levels of  $\text{N}_{\text{CPC}}$ . These results may indicate that a fraction of the DOC is not associated with the long-range transport of anthropogenic air masses, but rather with non-urban sources that are possibly biogenic or agricultural in origin. We estimate this

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

background level at the PDD to be between 2 and 3 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, and it is quite constant in all low concentrated clouds. In the case of polluted events, a further anthropogenic source of organic carbon can be superimposed on the background level. This is confirmed by a higher correlation between BC and N<sub>CPC</sub> (significantly correlated with DOC) in polluted air masses (R<sup>2</sup>=0.8 during event #14). Unfortunately, data correlating BC and DOC have not been available for polluted air masses for some time.

A significant fraction of the DOC is measured with ion chromatography. Figure 3 shows that the contribution of carboxylic acids (CA) to DOC ranged from 18% to 71%, with an average of 36%. Khwaja et al. (1995) attributed 7-15% of the DOC to acetic, formic, oxalic, malonic and pyruvic acids in cloud water on Whiteface Mountain. The study of Facchini et al. (2000) revealed that 37% of Water Soluble Organic Carbon (WSOC) in an urban fog sample was attributed to mono- and di- carboxylic acids. Their finding was based on the fractionation analysis of a single sample.

A large fraction of the CA are monocarboxylic acids: the mono-carboxylic acids (MCA: formic, acetic, lactic, glycolic, glyoxylic, propionic) are present in larger concentrations (71% of the CA concentration) than the dicarboxylic acids (DCA: oxalic, glutaric, succinic, maleic, malonic and tartaric) (29% of the CA concentration). The oxalic acid (9%) is the most abundant DCA. As shown in Fig. 3, the most abundant carboxylic acids are acetic (27%) and formic (24%). This is in agreement with Chebbi and Carlier (1996) who showed that formic and acetic acids are the predominant CA in the tropospheric aqueous phase.

However, the contribution of organic acids remains low compared to the contribution of major ions, varying from 2% to 25% and showing an average of 10%. This corresponds to concentrations ranging from 0.1 mg l<sup>-1</sup> to 5.3 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, with an average of 1.1 mg l<sup>-1</sup> and a noteworthy maximum concentration in event #14 of 11 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. The acetic, formic and oxalic acid concentrations are twice those measured at PDD by Voisin et al. (2000), but in the same range as those recorded at Mount Rax (Löflund et al., 2002) and Mount Sonnblick (Brantner et al., 1994; Limbeck and Puxbaum, 2000).

The contribution of organic acids to ionic composition decreases with an increase in

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

the anthropogenic character of the air mass. It varies from more than 20% in samples with TIC < 15 mg l<sup>-1</sup> to less than 5% for heavily concentrated samples. The CA have pK<sub>a</sub> values that fall within typical pH values for cloud water (acetic acid 4.75), and thus their dissolution, depending upon pH, can be reduced by low pH during polluted events.

5 This is in contrast to the dissolution of NH<sub>3</sub> which is not pH dependent when pH values are below 9 units. Although we cannot exclude, at this point, that low concentrations of CA are regulated by weaker solubilisation of CA at low pH, we propose that similarities between CA and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> indicate that a large fraction of both species originates from non-urban sources. In addition, contrary to the findings of Löflund et al. (2002) at  
10 Mount Rax, a good correlation (R<sup>2</sup>=0.87) between DOC and the sum of organic acids is found at PDD. We can conclude that background CA levels in the continental free troposphere most likely originate from biogenic sources and they may be used as tracer components for the DOC in cloud water, and vice versa.

Several samples were taken during night-time. An interesting feature of the cloud  
15 chemistry is that the HCOO<sup>-</sup>/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratios appear consistently higher during the daytime than the night-time (Table 5). The daytime/night-time difference is significant at the 95% confidence level. This leads to the conclusion that a fraction of the carboxylic acids, including oxalate, are produced via photochemical reactions, either in the gas or liquid phase of clouds, as proposed by Chebbi and  
20 Carlier (1996).

### 3.2. Composition of clouds in the continental free troposphere

Following the approach of Sellegri et al. (2003a), we have attempted a classification of clouds on the basis of their TIC to differentiate solute chemical composition for background continental (BG), anthropogenic-influenced (ANT) and polluted conditions (SpE). We have arbitrarily set the TIC threshold for background continental conditions  
25 to 15 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, based on comparisons with previous studies performed in remote conditions and on the shape of the frequency distribution of Fig. 1. Based on the same arguments, the TIC threshold for anthropogenic-influenced cloud composition is set to

40 mg l<sup>-1</sup>.

Taking into account the organic fraction of dissolved material, the concentration thresholds for the different categories are:

- Background continental free troposphere: solute concentration < 18 mg l<sup>-1</sup>
- Anthropogenic-influenced : 18 mg l<sup>-1</sup> < solute concentration < 50 mg l<sup>-1</sup>
- Polluted : solute concentration > 50 mg l<sup>-1</sup>

The overall composition comparison between the three cloud types leads to an average composition of cloud water for the three different air mass categories, as shown in Fig. 4.

The major difference between the three categories is due to the decrease in the contribution of DOC to the solute concentration: 28% for background conditions, 21% for anthropogenic-influenced air masses, and 13% for polluted cases. The CA contribution to DOC also decreases as the anthropogenic influence increases. Marine species (Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>) show the same behaviour as the organic compounds: they account for 11% in background conditions and decrease to only 4% in polluted cases. This is paralleled with an increase in the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contribution, from 24% in background conditions up to 35% for both polluted and anthropogenic influenced conditions. The high levels of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> during polluted cases show that these events are associated with strong transport from the boundary layer where NH<sub>3</sub> emissions take place. Regardless of the conditions, the contribution of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> remains lower than that of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and never exceeds 20%, except for the polluted event #14 where it reached 27%. The changes in chemical composition are followed by changes in cloud acidity, with a decrease in pH from a value of 5.5 during background periods to a value of 5.1 for anthropogenic-influenced cases. The pH difference between polluted episodes and anthropogenic-influenced air masses is of the order of 0.4 pH units, and is primarily due to the addition of HNO<sub>3</sub>. In the special event #14, nitrate and sulphate are the predominant ionic species but

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion



the pH remains fairly high (pH=5.9), suggesting the efficient neutralization of acidity by large amounts of ammonium from the gas phase.

Such chemical changes in the liquid phase chemistry of clouds are of obvious importance for the dissolution and reaction rates of many chemical species.

### 5 3.3. Relationship with the chemical composition of aerosol particles

Sellegri et al. (2003a) have proposed a classification of the different aerosol types at PDD, giving an average aerosol composition for the three air mass types through the analysis of aerosol chemistry. Here, we have compared the relative composition of clouds and aerosols for the different air mass conditions. As aerosols and clouds are not always sampled simultaneously (the time integration of aerosol samples is much longer than that for clouds, and can take place during clear sky conditions), a comparison of average composition is not straightforward.

However, both classifications are performed on the basis of the ionic content of either cloud water or aerosols. We can check the consistency of the 2 classifications by converting the liquid phase concentration into an atmospheric concentration (Cloud Water Load, CWL). This is simply computed using the average concentration of cloud water (LWC) as measured by the PVM probe:

$$[\text{CWL}]_{\mu\text{g m}^{-3}} = [\text{TIC}]_{\text{mg l}^{-1}} \cdot \text{LWC}_{\text{g m}^{-3}}$$

The results of the comparison between the 2 classifications are shown in Table 6. Under the assumption that aerosol and cloud classes are referring to the same air masses (this is verified when cloud and aerosols are sampled simultaneously), it is clear that atmospheric concentration derived from cloud water is higher than the corresponding aerosol concentration. On average, the concentration in cloud is three times higher than that observed in the aerosol phase. This can result from (i) the shorter time integration for cloud sampling with respect to aerosol sampling, (ii) the limited sampling of the largest and less concentrated droplets that account for a non negligible fraction of the LWC, and (iii) the significant contribution from soluble gaseous compounds.

## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

This last hypothesis is supported by a comparison of the average composition of cloud water and aerosol particles as shown in Fig. 5. The aerosol composition in this figure is derived from the results of Sellegri et al. (2003a). The most striking difference between aerosol and cloud compositions concerns the much larger contribution of organic carbon to the aerosol phase. On average, OC (including non-soluble OC) represents 45% of the total mass in the aerosol phase, while it accounts for just 23% in the aqueous phase. This difference is confirmed for the three air mass categories. As shown by Sellegri et al. (2003b), the OC fraction of the aerosol is not scavenged efficiently by clouds. Moreover, both aerosol and cloud phases show a decrease in OC contribution with an increase in the degree of air mass pollution.

Another indication of the reduced CCN properties of organic aerosols is the fact that the OC contribution in the liquid phase is dominated by carboxylic acids, accounting for 36% of DOC. However, carboxylic acids account for less than 3% of OC in the aerosol phase, which corresponds to 8% of the total solute concentration in droplets and 1.2% of the total mass in the aerosol phase.

Among organic acids, oxalic acid is the most abundant in the aerosol phase (22 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, 52% of total CA), followed by formic (11 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) and acetic (2 ng m<sup>-3</sup>), while formic and acetic acids are dominant in the cloud phase. Clearly, gas-phase CA contribute to a large fraction of the OC present in the droplets.

Similarly, marine species are more concentrated in the liquid phase (11% of the TIC) than in the aerosol phase (less than 5% of ionic mass). The high correlation coefficient between Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> (R<sup>2</sup>=0.98) confirms the marine origin of these species, while the major difference between the 2 reservoirs may be due to the presence of dissolved HCl in droplets.

Finally, as expected for species present in both the gas and aerosol phases, the contribution of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is higher in clouds (32%) than in aerosols (13%). An interesting feature is the fact that the contribution of gas-phase NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to cloud water appears to be relatively higher for background samples than for anthropogenic samples. NO<sub>3,cloud</sub><sup>-</sup>/NO<sub>3,aerosol</sub><sup>-</sup> decreases gradually from 2.9 in background conditions to 1.7 in anthropogenic sam-

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

ples, and to 1.0 in special events. The same trend from BG to ANT is exhibited for species that have a large gas phase fraction ( $\text{NH}_4^+$  from 3 to 1.4;  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  from 1.4 to 0.7; CA vary less widely from 3 in BG, 2.8 in ANT, and 2.7 in SpE), while the sum of the other ions display an inverse trend (from 1.2 in BG to 1.6 in ANT and SpE).

### 3.4. Relationship with cloud microphysics

Möller et al. (1996), Elbert et al. (2000) and Kasper-Giebl et al. (2000) provided experimental support for early theoretical considerations concerning an inverse relationship between ionic content in cloud or fog water and the respective LWC (Junge, 1963). This does not appear to be the case in our study of cloud climatology. The cloud LWC at PDD does not show significant fluctuation, either within a single cloud event or between events. Overall LWC values range from 0.06 to 0.58  $\text{g m}^{-3}$ , with 50% of the values being in the range 0.19 to 0.32  $\text{g m}^{-3}$ . This variability is much less important than that measured by previous authors, who reported inverse correlation (still weak,  $R^2=0.52$  in Möller et al., 1996) that were driven mostly by events with very low and very high LWC.

The very high contribution of gas-phase species in PDD clouds limits the correlation between cloud water solute concentration and LWC that is expected from nucleation scavenging. To some degree, a weak relationship exists between total aerosol number measured by CPC ( $N_{\text{CPC}}$ ) and TIC (in  $\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$ ) ( $R^2=0.30$ ) or CWL ( $R^2=0.52$ ). The chemical species that drives this correlation is sulphate, displaying the highest coefficient of correlation ( $R^2=0.69$ ). Higher  $N_{\text{CPC}}$  leads to more, but smaller droplets, with the droplet radius being inversely proportional to the  $N_{\text{CPC}}$  ( $R^2=0.68$ ).

The  $N_{\text{CPC}}$  correlations are somewhat concordant with the findings of Elbert et al. (2000), who proposed the use of CWL, rather than TIC, as a diagnostic parameter for (i) the characterization of the local and regional degree of anthropogenic pollution, and (ii) the large-scale comparison of chemical components in cloudy environments. However, the weakness of the correlations within the measured range of LWC values results in a very high uncertainty associated with estimations of CWL (or TIC) that are

only derived from aerosol parameters.

Instead, the liquid concentration is a power function of droplet radius ( $R_e$ ), with a correlation of  $R^2=0.29$ ; among the solutes,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  has the highest correlation with  $R_e$  ( $R^2=0.43$ ), followed by  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ( $R^2=0.33$ ) (see Fig. 6). It is interesting to note that atmospheric concentrations are driven particularly by aerosol particle concentration, while liquid concentrations are affected by the droplet dimension due to the stronger efficiency of gas transfer with the higher surface-to-volume ratio. In fact,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in cloud water originates predominantly from the gas phase, while the contribution of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  is highest from aerosol particles (Sellegri et al., 2003c).

#### 4. Conclusions

We have derived a climatology for the chemical composition of clouds at PDD. Three different kinds of clouds were recognized and classified in the free continental troposphere: background continental (BG), anthropogenic influenced (ANT), and special events (SpE). This classification is consistent with the aerosol classification proposed by Sellegri et al. (2003a), whilst acknowledging that the Saharan events detailed in the aforementioned study were not sampled during our sampling period.

- BG samples are characterized by low TIC ( $<15 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ); these concentrations are similar to those of European altitude sites that are far from local sources of pollution. The contribution of nitrate and sulphate to the TIC (44%) is relatively limited, and does not confer a strong acidic character to cloud water. The contribution of Dissolved Organic Carbon to the solute concentration is surprisingly high (28%), due mostly to the presence of mono-carboxylic acids (9%). This kind of cloud is the most common during the wintertime.
- ANT samples have TIC values ranging from  $15 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $40 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ; these concentrations are slightly lower than ionic concentrations measured at mountain sites

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

under the influence of medium range pollution, such as Great Dun Fell, Aubure in the Vosges Mountains, Whiteface Mountain, and in the eastern part of the United States. These samples are more acidic than BG samples, due mainly to the higher levels of nitrate (36%). The presence of high levels of nitrate is also observed in the aerosol phase (Sellegrì et al., 2003a). The contribution of DOC (21%) to solute concentration decreases from BG to ANT due to a decrease in the contribution of both DOC and carboxylic acids. The long-range transport of atmospheric pollutants, mostly from northern Europe, has a substantial impact on the chemistry of continental clouds.

- SpE samples are infrequent, but they are found consistently at PDD. They are characterized by very high concentrations, and exhibit TIC values up to  $160 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ . These very high concentrations are similar to those found in the much polluted regions of the Po Valley and the Czech Krusne Hory plateau, and are unexpected for samplings from a non-industrialized area. They are characterized not only by very high contributions of nitrate and sulphate (63% overall), but also of ammonium (19%), which keeps the pH close to ANT levels (4.5–5.5). The contribution of DOC in SpE samples is limited to 13% of the solute concentration. These findings may be attributed to the long-range transport of polluted air masses coupled with strong vertical mixing from the boundary layer, where  $\text{NH}_3$  emissions take place in the area of PDD.

Our results confirm the limited ability of organic compounds other than carboxylic acids to act as CCN. In addition, all cloud events are characterized by very high contributions from the gas phase to the liquid phase in cloud. This is evidenced by the larger contributions of nitrate, ammonium and mono-carboxylic acids to the solute content of cloud water relative to their contribution to the aerosol phase. The strong contribution of gases limits the establishment of the correlation between cloud water solute concentration and cloud microphysics (in particular LWC) that is expected from pure nucleation scavenging. This is contrary to the conclusions of Möller et al. (1996), El-

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

bert et al. (2000) and Kasper-Giebl et al. (2000), though these authors did consider a larger range of LWC values. Regardless, the correlations of TIC and CWL with  $N_{CPC}$  in the present study confirm that CWL can be used (i) as a diagnostic parameter for the characterization of the local and regional degree of anthropogenic pollution, and (ii) for large-scale comparison of chemical components in cloudy environments. Such a proposal is supported by the research of Elbert et al. (2000).

*Acknowledgements.* This work was supported by CNRS-INSU under the National Program for Atmospheric Research (PNCA) and by the Ministère de la Recherche under ACI-Jeune Chercheur to PL. Authors acknowledge additional financial support from the scientific council of region Auvergne and from Observatoire de Physique du globe de Clermont-Ferrand (OPGC). A. Marinoni acknowledges the financial support of Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche.

**References**

- Aneja, V. P., Claiborn, C. S., Bradow, R. L., Paur, R. J., and Baumgardner, R. E.: Dynamic chemical characterisation of mountain clouds, *Atmos. Environ.*, 24A, 563–572, 1990.
- Aneja, V. P.: Organic Compounds in Cloud Water and their Deposition at a Remote Continental Site, *Air & Waste*, 43, 1239–1244, 1993.
- Baltensperger, U., Schwikowski M., Jost D. T., Nyeki S., Gäggeler H. W., and Poulida O.: Scavenging of atmospheric constituents in mixed phase clouds at the high-alpine site Jungfraujoch. Part I: Basic concept and aerosol scavenging by clouds, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 3975–3983, 1998.
- Brantner, B., Fierlinger, H., and Puxbaum, H.: Cloud water chemistry in the subcooled droplet regime at Mount Sonnblich (3106 m a.s.l., Salzburg, Austria), *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 74, 363–384, 1994.
- Bridges, K. S., Jickells T. D., Davies, T. D., Zeman, Z., and Hunova I.: Aerosol, precipitation and cloud water chemistry observations on the Czech Krusne Hory plateau adjacent to a heavily industrialised valley, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 353–360, 2002.
- Calvert, J. G., Lazrus, A. L., Kok, G. L., Heikes, B. G., Walega, J. G., Lind, J., and Cantrell, C. A.: Chemical mechanisms of acid generation in troposphere, *Nature*, 317, 6032, 27–35, 1986.

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

Cappiello, A., De Simoni, E., Fiorucci, C., Mangani, F., Palma, P., Trufelli, H., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., and Fuzzi, S.: Molecular Characterization of the Water-Soluble Organic Compounds in Fogwater by ESIMS/M., *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 37, 7, 1229–1240, 2003.

Chameides, W. L. and Davis D. D.: Aqueous phase source of formic acid in clouds, *Nature*, 304, 427–429, 1983.

Chandler A. S., Choulaton, T. W., Dollard, G. J., Gay, M. J., Hill, T. A., Jones, A., Jones B. M. R., Morse, A. P., Penkett, S. A., and Tyler, B. J.: A field study of the cloud chemistry and cloud microphysics at Great Dun Fell, *Atmos. Environ.*, 22, 683–694, 1988a.

Chandler A. S., Choulaton, T. W., Dollard G. J., Eggleton, A. E. J., Gay, M. J., Hill, T.A., Jones B. M. R., Tyler, B. A., Bandy, B. J., and Penkett, S. A.: Measurements of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> in cloud and estimates of their reaction rate, *Nature* 336, 562–565, 1988b.

Chebbi, A. and Carlier P.: Carboxylic acids in troposphere, occurrence, sources and sinks: a review, *Atmos. Environ.*, 23, 999–1007. 1996.

Collett, J., Oberholzer, B., and Staehlin, J.: Cloud chemistry at Mt. Rigi, Switzerland: dependence on drop size and relationship to precipitation chemistry, *Atmos. Environ.*, 27A, 33–42, 1993.

Collett, J., Iovinelli, R., and Demoz, B.: A three-stage cloud impactor for size resolved measurement of cloud drop chemistry, *Atmos. Environ.*, 29, 10, 1145–1154, 1995.

Collett, J. L., Hoag, K. J., Rao, X., and Pandis, S. N.: Internal acid buffering in San Joaquin Valley fog drops and its influence on aerosol processing, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 29, 4833–4847, 1999.

Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Matta, E., Lettini, F., Mircea, M., Fuzzi, S., Tagliavini, E., and Putaud, J.-P.: Chemical features and seasonal variation of fine aerosol water-soluble organic compounds in the Po Valley, Italy, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 3691–3699, 2001.

Dupuy, R., Sellegri, K., and Laj, P.: Empirical relationship between aerosol number and cloud microphysical properties, in *Atmospheric Chemistry within the Earth System: from regional pollution to global change*, 7th Conference of the IGAC Heraklion, Crete, 18–25 September 2002.

Elbert, W., Hoffmann M. R., Krämer M., Schmitt, G., and Andreae M. O.: Control of solute concentrations in cloud and fog water by liquid water content, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 1109–1122, 2000.

Erel, Y., Pehkonen, S. O., and Hoffmann, M. R.: Redox chemistry of iron in fog and stratus clouds. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, D10, 18 423–18 434, 1993.

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Mircea, M., Fuzzi, S., and Loglio, G.: Surface tension of atmospheric wet aerosol and cloud/fog droplets in relation to their organic carbon content and chemical composition, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 4853–4857, 2000.

Flossmann, A. I.: Cloud Ice Mountain Experiment (CIME), Final report of CEE, contract ENV4-CT95-0012, Aubière, France Laboratoire de Météorologie Physique, 112, 2000.

Fhrer, J.: Chemistry of fogwater and estimated rates of occult deposition in an agricultural area of Central Switzerland, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 1986.

Fuzzi, S.: Radiation fogchemistry and microphysics, in *Chemistry of Multiphase Atmospheric Systems*, edited by Jaeschke, W., 213–226, Springer, Berlin, 1986.

Fuzzi, S., Orsi, G., Nardini, G., Facchini, M.C., McLaren, S., McLaren, E., and Mariotti, M.: Heterogeneous processes in the Po Valley radiation fog, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, D9, 11 141–11 151, 1988.

Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M. C., Orsi, G., Lind, J. A., Wobrok, W. Kessel, M., Maser, R., Jaeschke, W., Enderle, K. H., Arends, B. G., Berner, A., Solly, I., Krusiz, C., Reischl, G., Pahl, S., Kaminski, U., Winkler, P., Ogren, J. A., Noone, K., Hallberg, A., Grieser, J., Fierlinger-Oberlinninger, H., Puxbaum, H., Marzorati, A., Hansson, H. C., Wiedensohler, A., Svenningsson, I. B., Martinsson, B. G., Schell, D., and Georgii, H. W.: The Po Valley Fog Experiment 1989, an overview, *Tellus*, 44B, 545–555, 1992.

Fuzzi, S. and Zappoli, S.: The organic component of fog droplets, in 12th International Conference on Clouds and Precipitation, 1996.

Fuzzi, S., Laj, P., Facchini, M. C., Ricci, L., and Berner, A.: Size-dependant chemistry of fog and cloud droplets, in *First International conference on fog and fog collection*, Vancouver, Canada, edited by Schemenauer R. S. and Bridgman H., 1998.

Gervat, G. P., Kallend, A. S., and Marsh, A. R. W.: Composition and origin of cloudwater solutes, in: *Physico-Chemical behaviour of Atmospheric Pollutants*, edited by Versino, B. and Angeletti, G., Reidel, Dordrecht, 506–516, 1984.

Gloftelty, D. E., Seiber, J. N., and Liljedahl, L. A.: Pesticides in fog, *Nature*, 325, 602–605, 1987.

Hadi, D. A., Crossley, A., and Cape, J. N.: Particulate and Dissolved Organic Carbon in cloud water in Southern Scotland, *Environ. Pollut.*, 88, 299–306, 1995.

Hegg, D. A. and Hobbs P. V.: Sulphate and nitrate chemistry in cumuliform clouds, *Atmos. Environ.*, 20, 901–909, 1986.

Herckes, P., Mirabel, P., and Wortham, H.: Cloud water deposition at high-elevation site in the Vosges Mountains (France), *Sci. Total Environ.*, 296 59–75, 2002.



**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2004

- Herckes, P., Hannigan, M. P., Trenary, L., Lee, T., and Collett, Jr., J. L.: The organic composition of radiation fogs in Davis (California), *Atmos. Res.* 64, 99–108, 2002.
- Hitzenberger, R., Berner, A., Kromp, R., Kasper-Giebl, A., Limbeck A., Tschewenka W., and Puxbaum H.: Black carbon and other species at a high-elevation European site (Mount Sonnblick, 3106 m, Austria): Concentrations and scavenging efficiencies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, D20, 24 637–24 645, 2000.
- Jacob, D. J.: Fogwater collector design and characterization, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 18, 827–833, 1984.
- Jacob, D. J., Munger, J. W., Waldman, J. M., and Hoffmann, M. R.: The  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$  system at high humidities and in fogs: I. Spatial and temporal patterns in San Joaquin Valley of California, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 91, 1073–1088, 1986.
- Jacob, D. J., Shair, F. H., Waldman, J. M., Munger, J. W., and Hoffmann M. R.: Transport and oxidation of  $\text{SO}_2$  in a stagnant foggy valley, *Atmos. Environ.*, 21, 1305–1315, 1987.
- Jaeschke, W.: *Chemistry of Multiphase Atmospheric Systems*, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- Jaffrezo, J.-L., Calas, N., and Bouchet, M.: Carboxylic acid measurements with ionic chromatography, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 2705–2708, 1998.
- Junge, C. E.: *Air Chemistry and Radioactivity*, Academic Press, New York/London, 1963.
- Kasper-Giebl, A., Koch, A., Heitzemberg, R., and Puxbaum H.: Scavenging efficiency of 'aerosol carbon' and sulphate in super cooled clouds at Mount Sonnblick (3106 m a.s.l., Austria), *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 35, 33–46, 2000.
- Khwaja, H. A., Brudnoy, S., and Husain, L.: Chemical characterisation of three summer cloud episodes at Witheface Mountain, *Chemosphere*, 31, 5, 3357–3381, 1995.
- Krivacsy, Z., Kiss, G., Varga, B., Galambos, I., Sarvari, Z., Gelencser, A., Molnar, A., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M.C., Zappoli, S., Andracchio, A., Alsberg, T., Hansson, H.-C., and Persson, L.: Study of humic-like substances in fog and interstitial aerosol by size-exclusion chromatography and capillary electrophoresis, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 4273–4281, 2000.
- Kruisz, C., Berner, A., and Brantner, B.: A cloud water sampler for high wind speeds. *Proceedings of the EUROTRAC Symposium 1992*, edited by Borrell, P. M., 523–525, SPB Acad., The Hague, The Netherlands, 1993.
- Laj, P., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M.C., Orsi, G., Berner, A., Kruisz, C., Wobrock, W., Beswick, K.M., Gallagher, M.W., Colvile, R.N., Choulaton, T.W., Nason, P., and Jones, B.: Experimental evidences for in-cloud production of aerosol sulphate, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 16, 2503–2514, 1997a.

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

- Laj, P., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, Lind, J. A., Orsi, G., Preiss, M., Maser, R., Jaeschke, W., Seyffer, E., Arends, B. G., Mölls, J. J., Acker, K., Wieprecht, W., Möller, D., Colville, R. N., Gallagher, M. W., Beswick, K. M., Hargreaves, K. J., Storeton-West, R. L., and Sutton, M. A.: Cloud processing of soluble gas, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 2589–2592, 1997b.
- 5 Laj, P., Flossman, A. I., Wobrock, W., Fuzzi, S., Orsi, G., Ricci, L., Mertes, S., Swarzenbock, A., Heitzenberg, J., and Ten Brink, H.: Behaviour of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$ , and black carbon in mixed-phase clouds during CIME, *Atmos Res.*, 58, 315–336, 2001.
- Lanquette, A.-M., Pichon, J.-M., and Pointin, Y.: Physical-chemistry data of the Puy de Dôme top station, <http://www.obs.univ-bpclermont.fr/observ/chimie/pdd.listedata.html>, 2002.
- 10 Lawrence, M. G. and Crutzen P. J.: The impact of cloud particle gravitational settling on soluble trace gas distribution, *Tellus*, 50B, 3, 263–289, 1998.
- Lelieveld, J. and Crutzen, P. J.: The role of clouds in tropospheric photochemistry, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 12, 229–267, 1991.
- Liang, J. and Jacobson, M. Z.: A study of sulphur oxidation pathways over a range of liquid water contents, pH values and temperature, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 13 749–13 769, 1999.
- 15 Limbeck, A. and Puxbaum, H.: Dependence of in-cloud scavenging of polar organic aerosol compounds on the water solubility, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 19 857–19 867, 2000.
- Löflund, M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Schuster, B., Giebl, H., Heitzemberg, R., and Puxbaum, H.: Formic, Acetic, Oxalic, Malonic and Succinic Acid Concentrations and their Contribution to Organic Carbon in Cloud Water, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 9, 1553–1558, 2002.
- 20 Mertes, S., Schwarzenbock, A., Laj, P., Wobrock, W., Pichon, J. M., Orsi, G., and Heitzenberg, J.: Changes of cloud microphysical properties during the transition from supercooled to mixed-phase conditions during CIME, *Atmos. Res.*, 58, 4, 267–294, 2001.
- Möller D., Acker, K., and Wieprecht, W.: A relationship between liquid water content and chemical composition in clouds, *Atmos. Res.*, 41, 321–335, 1996.
- 25 Munger, J. W., Jacob, D. J., Waldman, J. M., and Hoffmann, M. R.: Fogwater chemistry in a urban atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88: 5109–5121, 1983.
- Novakov, T. and Penner, J. E.: Large Contribution of Organic Aerosols to Cloud- Condensation- Nuclei Concentrations, *Nature*, 365, 823–826, 1993.
- 30 Pilié, R. J., Mack, E. J., Koemond, W. C., Eadie, W. J., and Rogers, C. W.: The life cycles of valley fog, Part II: Fog microphysics, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 14, 364–374, 1975.
- Poulida, O., Schwikowski, M., Baltensperger, U., Staehelin, J., and Gaeggeler, H. W.: Scavenging of atmospheric constituents in mixed phase clouds at the high alpine site Jungfraujoch-

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

Part II: influence of riming on the scavenging of particulate and gaseous chemical species, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 23, 3985–4000, 1998.

Pruppacher, H. R. and Jaenicke, R.: The processing of water vapour and aerosols by atmospheric clouds, a global estimate, *Atmos. Res.*, 38, 283–295, 1995.

5 Schaap, M., Müller, K., and Ten Brink, H. M.: Constructing the European aerosol nitrate concentration field from quality analysed data, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 8, 1323–1335, 2002.

Sellegrì, K., Laj, P., Peron, F., Dupuy, R., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Putaud, J.-P., Cachier, H., and Ghermandi, G.: Mass balance of free tropospheric aerosol at the Puy de Dôme (France) in winter, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, D11, 4333, AAC2-1–AAC2-17, 2003a.

10 Sellegrì, K., Laj, P., Dupuy, R., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., and Putaud, J.-P.: Size-dependent scavenging efficiencies of multi-component atmospheric aerosols in clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, D11, 4334, AAC3-1–AAC3-15, 2003b.

Sellegrì, K., Laj, P., Marinoni, A., Dupuy, R., Legrand, M., and Preunkert, S.: Contribution of gaseous and particulate species to droplet solute composition and concentration, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 479–519, 2003c.

15 Voisin, D., Legrand, M., and Chaumerliac, N.: Scavenging of acidic gases (HCOOH, CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, and SO<sub>2</sub>) and ammonia in mixed liquid-solid water clouds at the Puy de Dôme mountain, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, D5, 6817–6836, 2000.

20 Warneck, P.: The equilibrium distribution of atmospheric gases between the two phases of liquid water clouds, in *Chemistry of the Multiphase Atmospheric Systems*, NATO ASI Series, Vol. G6, edited by Jaeschke Springer, Berlin, 1986.

Weathers, K. C., Likens, G. E., Bormann, F. H., Bicknell, S. H., Bormann, B. T., Daube, B. C., Eaton, J. S., Galloway, J. N., Keene, W. C., Kimball, K. D., McDowell, W. H., Siccamo, T. G., Smiley, D., and Tarrant, R. A.: Cloudwater Chemistry from Ten Sites in North America, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 22, 1018–1026, 1988.

25 Wobrock, W., Schell, D., Maser, R., Jaeschke, W., Georgii, H.-W., Wiedemann, W., Arends, B. G., Möls, J. J., Kos, G. P. A., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M. C., Orsi, G., Berner, A., Solly, I., Kruisz, C., Svenningsson, I. B., Wiedensohler, A., Hansson, H.-C., Ogren, J. A., Noone, K. J., Hallberg, A., Pahl, S., Schneider, T., Winkler, P., Winiwarter, W., Colvile, R. N., Choularton, T. W., Flossmann, A. I., and Borrmann, S.: The Kleiner Feldberg Cloud Experiment 1990, An overview, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 19, 3–35, 1994.

30

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

**Table 1.** Cloud events sampled at PDD during winter 2001 and winter-spring 2002.

Event	Start	End	Number of samples
1	08 February 2001	09 February 2001	6
2	12 February 2001	14 February 2001	9
3	17 February 2001	18 February 2001	11
4	22 February 2001	24 February 2001	18
5	28 February 2001	01 March 2001	13
6	02 March 2001	03 March 2001	8
7	08 March 2001	08 March 2001	2
8	05 April 2001	06 April 2001	1
9	14 February 2002	15 February 2002	1
10	22 February 2002	22 February 2002	1
11	27 February 2002	27 February 2002	1
12	28 February 2002	28 February 2002	1
13	07 March 2002	07 March 2002	1
14	13 April 2002	14 April 2002	3
15	03 May 2002	05 May 2002	1
16	11 May 2002	12 May 2002	1

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2004

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

**Table 2.** Aerosol samples at PDD during winter 2001 and winter-spring 2002.

Sample	Start	End	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )
1	12 February 2001	14 February 2001	14.9
2	17 February 2001	18 February 2001	18.3
3	22 February 2001	23 February 2001	18.2
4	23 February 2001	24 February 2001	7.1
5	28 February 2001	01 March 2001	20.3
6	14 February 2002	22 February 2002	157
7	22 February 2002	01 March 2002	124
8	01 March 2002	07 March 2002	123
9	07 March 2002	14 March 2002	139
10	14 March 2002	22 March 2002	138
11	04 April 2002	11 April 2002	287
12	11 April 2002	19 April 2002	310
13	19 April 2002	25 April 2002	307
14	25 April 2002	02 May 2002	365
15	02 May 2002	09 May 2002	318
16	09 May 2002	16 May 2002	317

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

**Table 3.** Minimum, 25 percentile, average, 75 percentile, and maximum of the chemical, physical and microphysical parameters measured at PDD station.

	MINIMUM	25 <sup>o</sup> centile	AVERAGE	75 <sup>o</sup> centile	MAXIMUM	N <sup>o</sup> . samples
Fluorure ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	2	6	9	9	31	15
Lactate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	20	59	129	156	514	15
Acetate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	37	186	494	591	2873	78
Glycolate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	<DL	26	86	102	753	78
Propionate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	<DL	18	54	53	600	78
Formate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	37	151	447	464	3213	78
Glyoxylate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	<DL	3.6	93	24	638	15
MSA ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	<DL	9.0	26	34	133	68
Chloride ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	17	232	2108	1497	69088	78
Nitrite ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	1	11	28	44	128	78
Nitrate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	209	1375	6416	7457	47539	78
Glutarate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	7	16	81	63	337	15
Succinate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	7	24	127	122	473	15
Maleate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	<DL	6	37	36	180	15
Malonate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	<DL	17	97	113	405	15
Tartrate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	<DL	2	20	15	122	15
Sulphate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	187	1107	4083	4863	35499	78
Oxalate ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	8	65	164	164	1529	78
Sodium ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	<DL	115	659	691	6447	78
Ammonium ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	113	853	2652	2676	32413	78
Potassium ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	5	51	176	142	4847	78
Magnesium ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	5	24	140	151	1109	78
Calcium ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	12	66	292	254	2994	78
DOC ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )	1200	2100	5784	7680	15460	17
pH (pH units)	3.90	4.99	5.29	5.67	7.00	32
T ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	-5.96	-5.17	-2.02	-0.59	8.90	73
LWC ( $\text{g m}^{-3}$ )	0.06	0.19	0.27	0.32	0.58	68
N <sub>CPC</sub> ( $\# \text{ cm}^{-3}$ )	409	649	1387	1602	4193	40
Re ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	0.9	3.3	3.9	4.5	7.0	68

## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.

**Table 4.** Correlation matrix of chemical, physical and microphysical parameters of cloud at PDD station; the most significant correlations ( $R^2 > 0.7$ ) are indicating in bold character.

	Cl <sup>-</sup>	NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	Mg <sup>2+</sup>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	OA	DOC	LWC	N <sub>CPC</sub>	R <sub>eff</sub>	BC	TIC
Cl <sup>-</sup>	1.00															
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	<b>0.75</b>	1.00														
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.69	<b>0.86</b>	1.00													
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	<b>0.81</b>	0.59	<b>0.78</b>	1.00												
Na <sup>+</sup>	<b>0.98</b>	0.55	0.52	<b>0.79</b>	1.00											
NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	<b>0.70</b>	0.60	<b>0.84</b>	<b>0.85</b>	0.68	1.00										
K <sup>+</sup>	0.61	0.60	0.62	0.53	0.48	0.48	1.00									
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	0.40	0.16	0.50	<b>0.73</b>	0.47	<b>0.73</b>	0.28	1.00								
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.28	0.11	0.51	0.63	0.32	0.77	0.31	<b>0.83</b>	1.00							
OA	0.04	0.24	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.55	0.33	0.11	0.30	1.00						
DOC	0.03	0.00	<b>0.73</b>	0.81	0.07	<b>0.86</b>	0.06	0.07	0.41	<b>0.87</b>	1.00					
LWC	0.26	0.02	0.14	0.27	0.30	0.18	0.09	0.07	0.22	0.08	0.05	1.00				
N <sub>CPC</sub>	0.62	0.52	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.69</b>	0.55	<b>0.70</b>	0.48	0.44	0.61	0.48	0.37	0.10	1.00			
R <sub>eff</sub>	0.49	0.23	0.33	0.53	0.56	0.43	0.12	0.22	0.18	0.32	0.61	0.02	0.68	1.00		
BC	0.01	0.05	0.32	0.36	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.13	0.50	n.d.	1.00	
TIC	0.19	0.48	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.77</b>	0.35	<b>0.76</b>	0.37	0.02	0.10	0.35	<b>0.78</b>	0.09	0.66	0.29	0.25	1.00

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme**

A. Marinoni et al.

**Table 5.**  $\text{HCOO}^-/\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-/\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{2-}/\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  ratios during daytime and night-time.

	formate/sulphate	acetate/sulphate	oxalate/sulphate
Daytime	0.21	0.22	0.06
Night-time	0.10	0.14	0.03

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2004



## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.

**Table 6.** Classification of cloud water and aerosol particles in free troposphere at PDD summit.

Aerosol (Sellegrì et al., 2003a)			Cloud water (this work)			
Label	Thresholds (ionic mass)	Description	Label	Thresholds (ionic mass)	Atmospheric concentration	Description
<b>Background BG</b>	$<1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•presence of sea salt</li> <li>•neutral or alkaline</li> <li>•relatively higher OC content</li> <li>•low EC/OC ratio</li> </ul>	<b>Background BG</b>	$15 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$	$<4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•presence of sea salt</li> <li>•low contribution of nitrate and sulphate</li> <li>•less acidic</li> <li>•relatively higher DOC</li> </ul>
<b>Anthropogenic influenced ANT</b>	$1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ $<C<$ $5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•abundance of nitrate, sulphate and ammonium</li> <li>•acidic</li> <li>•OC less important</li> <li>•higher EC/OC ratio</li> </ul>	<b>Anthropogenic influenced ANT</b>	$15 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ $<C<$ $40 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$	$4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ $<C<$ $15 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•abundance of nitrate, more acidic</li> <li>•DOC less important</li> </ul>
<b>Special Events Continental SpE</b>	$>5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•one event at <math>20 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}</math></li> <li>•low OC</li> <li>•abundance of nitrate</li> <li>•acidic</li> </ul>	<b>Polluted SpE</b>	$>40 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$	$>15 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•very high TIC (<math>160 \text{ mg l}^{-1}</math>)</li> <li>•abundance of nitrate, sulphate and ammonium (82% of solutes)</li> <li>•low DOC contribution</li> <li>•DCA more concentrated •MCA less concentrated</li> </ul>
<b>Special events Saharan dust SpE</b>	$>5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•very high dust, <math>\text{Ca}^{++}</math> and <math>\text{nssK}^+</math> content</li> <li>•very low OC</li> <li>•abundance of nitrate</li> </ul>	No occurrence			

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2004

# Total Ionic Content




Fig. 1. Frequency distribution of Total Ionic Content in cloud water samples.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.




**Fig. 2.** Correlation between ionic concentration of the major acidifying species ( $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) and ammonium in cloud water.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2004

Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.



**Fig. 3.** Contribution of different carboxylic acids to the cloud droplets composition (average on 16 events).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2004

## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back


Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion


© EGU 2004



**Fig. 4.** Cloud water composition (in mg l<sup>-1</sup>) for ionic and organic compounds for the three different types of cloud event at PDD.

## Cloud chemistry at the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.



**Fig. 5.** Bulk aerosol composition (in  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) for ionic and organic compounds for the three different types of aerosol at PDD (modified from Sellegri et al., 2003a).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

Cloud chemistry at  
the Puy de Dôme

A. Marinoni et al.



**Fig. 6.** Correlation between effective radius of droplets ( $R_e$ ) and concentrations of all ions and ammonium.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2004