

Sensitivity study of dimethylsulphide (DMS) atmospheric concentrations and sulphate aerosol indirect radiative forcing to the DMS source representation and oxidation

Olivier Boucher, Cyril Moulin, Sauveur Belviso, Olivier Aumont, Laurent Bopp, Emmanuel Cosme, R. von Kuhlmann, M. G. Lawrence, Maï Pham, M. S. Reddy, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Boucher, Cyril Moulin, Sauveur Belviso, Olivier Aumont, Laurent Bopp, et al.. Sensitivity study of dimethylsulphide (DMS) atmospheric concentrations and sulphate aerosol indirect radiative forcing to the DMS source representation and oxidation. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 2002, 2 (4), pp.1181-1216. 10.5194/acpd-2-1181-2002 . hal-00327795v1

HAL Id: hal-00327795 https://hal.science/hal-00327795v1

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008 (v1), last revised 18 Jun 2008 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2, 1181–1216, 2002 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/2/1181/ © European Geophysical Society 2002



Sensitivity study of dimethylsulphide (DMS) atmospheric concentrations and sulphate aerosol indirect radiative forcing to the DMS source representation and oxidation

O. Boucher¹, C. Moulin², S. Belviso², O. Aumont³, L. Bopp², E. Cosme⁴, R. von Kuhlmann⁵, M. G. Lawrence⁵, M. Pham⁶, M. S. Reddy¹, J. Sciare², and C. Venkataraman⁷

¹Laboratoire d'Optique Atmosphérique, CNRS UMR 8518, USTL, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France ²Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA/CNRS, Gif sur Yvette, France

³Laboratoire d'Océanographie Dynamique et de Climatologie, CNRS/UPMC/IRD, Paris, France

⁴Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement, CNRS, Saint-Martin-d'Hères, France

⁵Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Air Chemistry Department, Mainz, Germany

⁶Service d'Aéronomie, CNRS/UPMC/UVSQ, Paris, France

⁷Centre for Environmental Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, India

Received: 28 June 2002 - Accepted: 22 July 2002 - Published: 12 September 2002

Correspondence to: O. Boucher (boucher@loa.univ-lille1.fr)

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title Page | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | |
| Conclusions | References | |
| Tables | Figures | |
| I4 ►I | | |
| • | ► | |
| Back | Close | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | |
| Print Version | | |
| Print V | /ersion | |
| Drint \ | loroion | |



Abstract

The global sulphur cycle has been simulated using a general circulation model with a focus on the source and oxidation of atmospheric dimethylsulphide (DMS). The sensitivity of atmospheric DMS to the oceanic DMS climatology, the parameterisation of the sea-air transfer and to the oxidant fields have been studied. The importance of additional oxidation pathways (by O₃ in the gas- and aqueous-phases and by BrO in the gas phase) not incorporated in global models has also been evaluated. While the global DMS flux is well constrained at 24–27 Tg S yr⁻¹, there are large differences in the spatial and seasonal distribution of the atmospheric DMS flux generated from the three climatologies of oceanic DMS considered here. The relative contributions of OH and NO₃ radicals to DMS oxidation by O₃ appears to be significant at high latitudes in both hemispheres. Oxidation by BrO could be significant even for BrO concentrations at sub-pptv levels in the marine boundary layer.

15 **1. Introduction**

Although a lot is now understood about the global sulphur cycle, some uncertainties remain, in particular about the emission strength of dimethylsulphide (DMS). The DMS-cloud albedo-climate feedback loop proposed by Shaw (1983) and Charlson et al. (1987) generated many studies, but it is still not clear whether this feedback mechanism
²⁰ involving the biosphere, the ocean, and the atmosphere has played an important role in past climates, and whether it can play a role in future climate change (Bopp et al., 2002). Recently Jones et al. (2001) showed in a global model study that using the parameterisation of DMS sea-air exchange of Wanninkhof (1992) instead of Liss and Merlivat (1986) results into a doubling of the DMS emission flux and a 25% reduction in the indirect radiative forcing due to anthropogenic sulphate aerosols. This is because the increase in the DMS flux causes an increase in the concentration of sulphate aerosol,

2, 1181–1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation



thus reducing the cloud susceptibility to anthropogenic aerosols. Nightingale et al. (2000) found that observations of the sea-air exchange rate scatter between the two above-mentioned parameterisations and suggested a revised parameterisation which will be used in the present study.

- ⁵ The estimation of the yield of SO₂ from DMS oxidation is one of the critical point required to evaluate the relative contribution of DMS in the marine sulphate aerosols. Whereas the OH radical is clearly identified as a major DMS oxidant in the atmosphere, strong uncertainties still remain in the estimation of SO₂ yields from the DMS+OH reaction. Another uncertainty in the SO₂ yield from the DMS oxidation concerns the role
- ¹⁰ played by other radicals than OH, and especially nitrate (NO₃) and bromide oxide (BrO) radicals for the most important. Contrary to the reaction DMS+OH which proceeds by two different pathways (the H-abstraction channel leading to SO₂ and the OH-addition channel leading to dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO)), DMS oxidising mechanisms with NO₃ and BrO only proceed through the addition channel leading to DMSO for BrO radi-
- cals and the H-abstraction channel leading to SO₂ for NO₃ radicals. Unlike the DMS oxidation by OH, there is no uncertainty in the SO₂ yield from the DMS oxidation by NO₃ and BrO radicals. However, the role of these radicals on the fate of DMS remains elusive since no field experiments were carried out with simultaneous measurements of atmospheric DMS, and BrO and NO₃ radicals. The atmospheric fate of DMSO is also uncertain. If DMSO is preferentially oxidised to methanesulfonic acid (MSA), the production of SO₂ and sulphate aerosols in the clean MBL will be less than presently thought.

The aqueous-phase reaction of DMS and O_3 expected to occur in cloud droplets, has been indicated to be of atmospheric importance (Lee and Zhou, 1994; Gershen-

²⁵ zon et al., 2001). This reaction, as well as that in the gas phase, is not considered in global models of the sulphur cycle. As mentioned above, there are also several indications that reaction with BrO can be a significant loss of DMS in the marine boundary layer (MBL) during daytime. This was first suggested by Toumi (1994) based on box model calculations. Sciare et al. (2000a) found from simultaneous measurements

2, 1181–1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.



of sea-water and atmospheric DMS, OH radicals, and the boundary layer height that the diurnal variation of gas-phase DMS in the Tropical Atlantic Ocean could not be explained by the oxidation with OH alone. They state that 3 pptv of BrO prescribed during daytime could resolve the discrepancy. Similar problems with too strong measured di-

- 5 urnal variation of DMS compared to model calculations with standard chemistry were also found by Yvon et al. (1996). Box model calculations based on recent kinetic data emphasize the possible strong effects of BrO on DMS and DMSO concentrations (Ingham et al., 1999). Recently, von Glasow et al. (2002) calculated with a 1D model that consideration of BrO increases the DMS oxidation rate by 63% for remote MBL conditions. However, a large uncertainty is associated with the amount and speciation

of inorganic bromine, Br_v, in the MBL.

In this paper we use three different distributions of the oceanic DMS concentrations, two parameterisations of the sea-air transfer function, and two distributions of atmospheric oxidants to predict the atmospheric fate of DMS and its impact on the sulphur

¹⁵ cycle. We also test the importance of the three additional above-mentioned DMS oxidation pathways.

2. Description of the models and experiments

2.1. Sulphur cycle model

We use here a model of the global sulphur cycle developed in the framework of the general circulation model of the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, LMD-ZT. This 20 model is fully described and evaluated in Boucher et al. (2002). It has been used in Boucher and Pham (2002) to predict the evolution of the sulphur cycle from 1850 to 1998 and by Cosme et al. (2002) to study the sulphur cycle in the high southern latitudes. Only aspects relevant to DMS sources and sinks are repeated here.

DMS is emitted using the sea to air parameterisation of Liss and Merlivat (1986) or 25 Nightingale et al. (2000). It is oxidised in the gas phase by OH and NO_3 radicals. While

2, 1181–1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title | Title Page | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | |
| Conclusions | References | | |
| Tables | Figures | | |
| | | | |
| | ►I | | |
| • | ► | | |
| Back | Close | | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | | |
| | | | |
| Print | Print Version | | |
| Interactive Discussion | | | |
| © EGS 2002 | | | |

the oxidation of DMS by NO₃ only produces SO₂, the oxidation by OH produces both SO₂ and DMSO through the addition channel and only SO₂ through the abstraction channel. DMSO is oxidised in the gas-phase by OH to produce SO₂ (60%) and MSA (40%) and is also subject to in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging (Boucher et al., 2002). No heterogeneous sink for DMS and DMSO is included. All reaction rates are given in Boucher et al. (2002).

The monthly concentrations of all oxidants except H_2O_2 are prescribed from the IM-AGES (Pham et al., 1995) or the MATCH-MPIC (von Kuhlmann, 2001) chemical transport models. The diurnal variations of the oxidant fields are taken from the IMAGES model only and are applied in LMD-ZT with a timestep of 30 minutes. Hydrogen peroxide, H_2O_2 , is predicted interactively in the model from prescribed HO_2 concentrations and H_2O_2 photodissociation rates.

2.2. Experiment design

10

Our model runs are for 18 months and we present results for the last 12 months. All ¹⁵ runs are for the same meteorology so the differences in the runs are only due to differences in the DMS emission or oxidation. The indirect radiative forcing due to sulphate aerosols is estimated as the difference in top-of-atmosphere radiative fluxes obtained in two simulations with and without the anthropogenic emissions of sulphur species, but with the same oxidant fields (except H₂O₂). We follow Boucher and Lohmann (1995) ²⁰ and Boucher and Pham (2002) to estimate the cloud properties from the sulphate

- mass concentration. This calculation is only diagnostic and therefore only includes the first indirect effect (change in cloud optical properties for a fixed liquid water content). Our estimate is for sulphate aerosols only, but to some extent sulphate aerosols can be used as a proxy for the total anthropogenic aerosol. While improvements on the
- ²⁵ parameterisation of the aerosol indirect effects are desirable (Lohmann et al., 2000), our parameterisation is still useful to perform the sensitivity experiments of the present study.

Table 1 gives the list and characteristics of the seven experiments performed in this

ACPD

2, 1181–1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation



study. EXP1 is our baseline experiment. The sensitivity to the oceanic DMS distribution is evaluated from simulations EXP1, EXP2, and EXP3. The role of the sea-air transfer function is examined by comparing EXP1 and EXP4. EXP1 and EXP5 can be used to estimate the sensitivity of the results to the prescribed oxidant distributions. Finally, the importance of three more pathways for DMS oxidation, not yet incorporated in global models, is investigated in the simulations EXP6 and EXP7.

2.3. Oceanic DMS datasets

5

We test here three different distributions of the oceanic DMS. The first one is the updated climatology of Kettle and Andreae (2000) which is derived from a compilation of measurements of DMS in the sea water and an interpolation procedure in regions where no data are available (Kettle et al., 1999). In this sense the seasonal variability of DMS in the mid and high latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere is better constrained in the spring and summer seasons than in autumn and winter (Curran and Jones, 2000). This climatology is widely used in global models of the sulphur cycle (e.g. Jones et al.,

- ¹⁵ 2001; Boucher and Pham, 2002). It is used here in the baseline experiment (EXP1) as well as in EXP4, EXP5, EXP6, and EXP7. The work of Belviso and Moulin (2002) forms the basis for our second dataset and is used in EXP2. The global distribution of oceanic DMS concentration is estimated from the 1998–2001 SeaWiFS (satellite-based) measurements of the sea-surface chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) content and from a phy-
- toplanktonic community structure index which are then empirically linked to the DMS concentration. In EXP2, the phytoplanktonic community structure index is a non-linear function of Chl *a*, so that sea surface DMS concentration was computed solely from the SeaWiFS ocean color measurements. The oceanic DMS concentration is prescribed globally as monthly means based on a four-year climatology with the reservations that
- (1) a minimum value of 0.2 nmol l⁻¹ is assumed in regions where no SeaWiFS data are available in particular at high latitudes in wintertime due to the low insolation and in areas covered of ice, and (2) a maximum value of 50 nmol l⁻¹ is also introduced to overcome the few unrealistic values obtained at very large Chl *a* content in coastal

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title Page | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | |
| Conclusions | References | | |
| Tables | Figures | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | • | | |
| Back | Close | | |
| Full Scre | Full Screen / Esc | | |
| | | | |
| Print V | Print Version | | |
| Interactive | Interactive Discussion | | |
| | | | |



waters. Our third DMS climatology, used in EXP3, originates in the modelling work of Aumont et al. (2002). In this study the DMS concentration in sea water is derived from the concentration of Chl *a* and the phytoplanktonic community structure index which are both independently calculated by a global 3-D ocean carbon cycle model. Moreover, the diagnostics of DMS concentrations used by Belviso and Moulin (2002) and by Aumont et al. (2002) are slightly different.

2.4. Oxidant fields

Oxidant fields from the IMAGES model are used in all experiments except EXP5 in which we use instead the oxidant fields from MATCH-MPIC. The major features of IMAGES are described in Müller and Brasseur (1995) and references herein. The IM-

- ¹⁰ IMAGES are described in Müller and Brasseur (1995) and references herein. The IM-AGES model calculates the distribution of about 60 species, including O_3 , HO_x , NO_x , sulfur oxides, methane, non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC: ethane, ethylene, propylene, isoprene, α -pinene, propane, acetone, and *n*-butane as a surrogate for the other higher hydrocarbons) and their degradation products. Heterogeneous reactions of NO₃
- and N_2O_5 on prognostic sulfate distributions are taken into account. The model uses emissions described in Müller and Brasseur (1995) except for fossil fuel emissions of SO_x and NO_x , as well as biogenic continental emissions of NMHC and NO_x , where GEIA inventories have been used, and for biomass burning and lightning. In this version, no emissions from ocean-going ships have been included.
- The model MATCH-MPIC includes up to C5-hydrocarbon chemistry (ethane, propane, ethene, propene, isoprene, higher alkane surrogate) and uses up-to-date emissions mostly from EDGAR or GEIA inventories. Emissions from ocean-going ships represent an additional source of 2.6 Tg N yr⁻¹ and follow the distribution of Corbett et al. (1999). Heterogeneous reaction of N₂O₅ on sulphate aerosols is included based on monthly mean fields from Dentener and Crutzen (1993). Further details are described
- ²⁵ monthly mean fields from Dentener and Crutzen (1993). Further details are described in von Kuhlmann (2001) and Lawrence et al. (1999).

ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.

| Title Page | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | |
| Conclusions | References | | |
| Tables | Figures | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | • | | |
| Back | Close | | |
| Full Scre | Full Screen / Esc | | |
| | | | |
| Print \ | Print Version | | |
| Interactive Discussion | | | |
| | | | |

2.5. Additional oxidation pathways

In EXP6, we further consider oxidation of atmospheric DMS by ozone in gas and aqueous phase, as well as oxidation of DMS by BrO in the gas phase. While the photochemical sources and sinks of OH and NO₃ radicals are such that the OH reaction occurs during daytime and the NO₃ reaction at night, the aqueous phase ozone reaction would occur during both periods. The reaction was recently investigated as a function of temperature (274–300 K), over a significant range of gas densities from about 10¹⁵ to 10¹⁶ cm⁻³ (Gershenzon et al., 2001). The temperature-dependent, second-order aqueous reaction constant was estimated as 5.3 10¹² exp(-2600/*T*) M⁻¹ s⁻¹, and was about six orders of magnitude larger than the corresponding gas-phase rate constant of 5 10² M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Martinez and Herron, 1978). The concentrations of DMS and O₃ in the cloud phase are computed assuming Henry's law equilibrium. Note finally that the gas-phase reaction with O₃ produces SO₂ only, while the aqueous-phase reaction with O₃ produces DMSO only.

In order to get an indication of the importance of the oxidation of DMS by BrO a 15 simple sensitivity simulation is performed. In the EXP7 experiment we specify a constant mixing ratio for BrO of 1 pptv during daytime in the first four model layers (i.e. up to an altitude of approximately 1.3 km) and zero elsewhere and at night. This value is essentially below the detection limit of current measurement techniques, and has been found to be exceeded in some instances (e.g. Hausmann and Platt, 1994; 20 Hebestreit et al., 1999). Typical mixing ratios of a few pptv have been calculated in other modeling studies (Toumi, 1994; Ingham et al., 1999; von Glasow et al., 2002) and were also supported in the study of Sciare et al. (2000a). The rate constant of $1.3 \ 10^{-14} \ \exp(1033/T) \ cm^3 \ molec^{-1} \ s^{-1}$ used here has been determined by Nakano et al. (2001) and is in agreement with the value obtained by Ingham et al. (1999) at 298 K. The temperature dependence is somewhat stronger than the recommendation in DeMore et al. (1997). The oxidation of DMS by BrO produces only DMSO (Ingham et al., 1999).

ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.

| Title | Title Page | | |
|-------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | |
| Conclusions | References | | |
| Tables | Figures | | |
| 14 | I ⊲ ►I | | |
| | • | | |
| Back | Close | | |
| Eull Sci | | | |
| | | | |
| Print | Print Version | | |
| Interactive | Interactive Discussion | | |

3. Results and discussion

3.1. DMS flux to the atmosphere

Using the sea-air parameterisation of Nightingale et al. (2000) and the wind fields of the LMD-ZT model there is a convergence for the three DMS datasets to produce a global 5 DMS flux of 24–27 Tg S yr⁻¹. Our total emission rates for DMS are significantly larger than those of Koch et al. (1999), Barth et al. (2000), and Chin et al. (2000), which are 10.7, 15.5, and 13.3 Tg S yr⁻¹, respectively, and were obtained using different methodologies or DMS concentration fields. Our emission rates are within the range of 10 to 40 Tg S yr⁻¹ usually accepted for DMS emissions.

- ¹⁰ There are however significant disagreements in the spatial (Figs. 1 and 2) and seasonal (Fig. 3) distributions of the DMS flux. There are patches of large DMS flux in the North Atlantic ocean in the EXP1 simulation (Kettle and Andreae, 2000) which do not exist in EXP2 and EXP3. One can also note that the Aumont et al. climatology (EXP3) produces a more inhomogeneous DMS flux. However, the zonal averages of the DMS
- ¹⁵ flux exhibit similar behaviours in the first three experiments, with minima and maxima positioned at about the same latitudes (Fig. 2). Fig. 3 shows the seasonal variations of the hemispherically-averaged DMS fluxes. The differences are particularly large in the Southern Hemisphere. The DMS flux from the Kettle and Andreae database (EXP1) exhibits a large seasonal cycle with low emissions during May to July, whereas the DMS
- flux predicted using the SeaWiFS data (EXP2) has a rather flat seasonal variation. The DMS flux from Aumont et al. (EXP3) exhibits a maximum in September–October when Kettle and Andreae (2000) predict a secondary minimum. In the Northern Hemisphere, the three climatologies produce more consistent DMS fluxes.

Using the less sophisticated parameterisation of Liss and Merlivat (1986) instead of Nightingale et al. (2000) decreases the global DMS flux from 26.75 to 18.21 TgS yr⁻¹ for the Kettle and Andreae (2000) climatology. The reduction in flux is uniformly distributed throughout the year (Fig. 3). These results are guantitatively consistent with

ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title | Title Page | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | |
| Conclusions | References | | |
| Tables | Figures | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | ► | | |
| Back | Close | | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | | |
| | | | |
| Print Version | | | |
| Print | | | |
| Interactive | Discussion | | |

those of Jones et al. (2001).

3.2. Atmospheric DMS concentrations

Fig. 4 shows the spatial distribution of DMS mixing ratio at the surface in the EXP1, EXP2, EXP3, and EXP4 experiments. Once again significant differences can be observed. In contrast to EXP2, EXP1 shows among the largest annually-averaged DMS mixing ratios south of 60°S and more specifically in the Pacific sector of the seasonal ice zone (Bellingshausen Sea) where the phytoplanktonic biomass during summer is among the lowest of the Southern Ocean according to SeaWiFS observations. In fact DMS rich waters occupy a small portion of the Bellingshausen Sea (Turner et al., 1995). In EXP1, these large concentrations result from the assimilation methodology of Kettle

- ¹⁰ In EXP1, these large concentrations result from the assimilation methodology of Kettle and Andreae which applies in this region large oceanic DMS levels from other biogeochemical provinces. The relatively low levels of atmospheric oxidants also contribute to enhance the concentrations of atmospheric DMS.
- There are few long-term measurements of atmospheric DMS concentrations. We
 ¹⁵ compare here the modelled atmospheric DMS and SO₂ mixing ratios to measurements made at Amsterdam Island in the Southern Ocean (Fig. 5a and b). The EXP1 predicted concentrations and seasonal variations appear to be in reasonable agreement with measured values. However the very large concentrations during the January month is not reproduced by any of the model experiments. EXP2 and EXP3 do not perform
 ²⁰ well at Amsterdam Island. We already know that the Kettle and Andreae (2000) and the SeaWiFS DMS datasets are much better constrained in the Northern than in the Southern Hemisphere (Belviso and Moulin, 2002). In the Indian sector of the Southern Hemisphere, the SeaWiFS DMS dataset is much better constrained by observations in August than in December (Belviso and Moulin, 2002). This is also reflected in Fig. 5a
 ²⁵ where the agreement between EXP1 and EXP2 (and also EXP3) is better in August
- than in December. In May, June, July, and September, the marine fields of DMS are poorly constrained by observations. So it is in August when marine data offer the best opportunity to investigate the winter photochemistry of DMS. At Cape Grim EXP1

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation



performs better than EXP2 and EXP3 (Fig. 5c). This does not imply that EXP1 would also perform better at lower or higher latitudes of the Southern Ocean, where the DMS concentrations are less constrained by actual measurements.

We also compare in Fig. 6 the monthly-mean DMS mixing ratios simulated by the model with the mixing ratios measured during the Albatross cruise (Sciare et al., 2000a). At most latitudes the observed values lie within the variability simulated by the model, with a noticeable exception in the 30–40°N transect where the observed peak in concentration is not reproduced. This peak is due to the transport of air masses from further south at 20–30°N (Sciare et al., 2000a) and these particular meteorological conditions are not simulated here.

From these comparisons we see that it is difficult to establish which DMS climatology performs best. This clearly points to the need for more numerous continuous long-term measurements of atmospheric DMS in the North Atlantic Ocean and elsewhere.

3.3. Atmospheric DMS budget: OH versus NO₃ oxidation

- ¹⁵ Table 2 summarises the global annual atmospheric budget of DMS. The different spatial and temporal distributions of DMS emissions result in a different partitioning of DMS oxidation, with a slightly larger role played by NO₃ oxidation in the case of the SeaWiFS and Aumont et al. DMS source (8.24 and 8.42 Tg S yr⁻¹ in EXP2 and EXP3, respectively) compared to the Kettle and Andreae (2000) case (7.75 Tg S yr⁻¹ in EXP1).
- ²⁰ There are significant differences in the DMS oxidation if the oxidant fields are prescribed from the MATCH-MPIC model (EXP5) or from the IMAGES model (EXP1). The relative contributions of DMS+OH and DMS+NO₃ pathways are opposite. This results from similar distributions of OH radical but very different distributions of the NO₃ radical over the oceans between the two chemical models (Fig. 7). These differences remain
- ²⁵ unresolved at the moment but may be due to different treatments of NMHCs and/or NO₃ heterogeneous sink in the two models. The larger contribution of NO₃ to DMS oxidation in EXP5 is accompanied by a significant decrease in the DMS burden and lifetime (0.060 Tg S and 0.8 day in EXP5 compared to 0.084 Tg S and 1.1 day in EXP1).

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title Page | | |
|------------------------|--------------|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | |
| Conclusions | References | |
| Tables | Figures | |
| | | |
| I • | ►I | |
| • | ► | |
| Back | Close | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | |
| | | |
| Print Version | | |
| Interactive Discussion | | |
| @ ECS 2002 | | |

The decrease in DMS concentration in EXP5 compared to EXP1 occurs throughout the troposphere (Fig. 8a) but it is more pronounced in the $0-30^{\circ}N$ latitude band and above 100 hPa.

3.4. Atmospheric DMS budget: importance of new additional oxidation pathways

In EXP6, the gas- and aqueous-phase oxidations of DMS by O₃ account for 3.5 and 6.2% of the total DMS oxidation rate, respectively. The aqueous-phase reaction contributes 15–30% over the regions north to 60°N and in the 50–75°S latitude band over the oceans (Fig. 9a). This reaction plays an important role in regions with significant cloud liquid water content and low concentrations of OH and NO₃ radicals. The gas-phase reaction contributes mostly over Antarctica where no other efficient oxidation pathway is present (Fig. 9b). These additional pathways result in DMS concentrations which are up to 50% smaller at high latitudes and 10–30% smaller at low and middle latitudes (Fig. 8b).

In order to assess the possible limitation on the DMS+O₃ aqueous reaction rate from
 the aqueous diffusion rate of both species, the characteristic diffusion and reaction times were calculated for an average cloud drop diameter of 50 μm, using the DMS and O₃ concentrations obtained between 50–70°N and 50–70°S, where this reaction predominates. Using diffusivities and Henry's constants at 298 K (Gershenzon et al., 2001) for DMS and O₃, the estimated diffusion rates are about 100 times faster than
 the reaction rate, indicating no diffusion limitation, as also previously reported for the aqueous reactions of SO₂ with O₃ and H₂O₂ (Venkataraman et al., 2001).

Oxidation by BrO is found to be a significant sink for DMS in EXP7. Globally it contributes 28.8% to the total DMS oxidation rate, and up to 60% in high latitudes (Fig. 9c) where the concentrations of other oxidants are low. However it should be remembered that this experiment is highly idealised. BrO concentrations are highly variable in space and time, and a uniform mixing ratio of 1 pptv in the MBL is probably an overestimate for some regions or seasons. For instance, the diurnal variations of DMS at Amsterdam Island can be explained with the DMS+OH reaction alone (Sciare

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title Page | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | |
| Conclusions | References | |
| Tables | Figures | |
| I4 >1 | | |
| • | ► | |
| Back | Close | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | |
| Print Version | | |
| Interactive I | Interactive Discussion | |
| © EGS 2002 | | |

et al., 2000c). The EXP7 simulation points to the need for atmospheric measurements of BrO at mixing ratios well below 1 pptv. As pointed out by Ingham et al. (1999) simultaneous measurements of DMS and DMSO can also provide indirect evidence on the existence of BrO. In fact, Nowak et al. (2001) report such measurements and find 5 a DMSO/DMS ratio that is larger than expected if DMSO was only produced from the DMS+OH pathway. However, more data especially at night would be clearly valuable.

3.5. Production of DMSO

Fig. 10 shows how the DMSO production (as a fraction of the total DMS oxidation) is distributed spatially in EXP1, EXP6, and EXP7 experiments. As expected from the temperature dependences of the DMS+OH reaction rates, a larger fraction of DMS is oxidised in DMSO in the high latitudes (EXP1, Fig.10a). The asymmetry between the two hemispheres is due to the asymmetry in NO₃ concentrations (Fig. 7c) which oxidise more DMS in SO₂ in the Northern Hemisphere relative to the Southern Hemisphere. Considering the oxidation of DMS by O₃ in the gas- and aqueous-phases (EXP6) leads to enhanced production of DMSO. In this experiment, the fraction of DMS oxidised into DMSO can reach 30 to 40% and 40 to 50% in the high latitudes of the Northern and Southern Hemispheres, respectively (Fig. 10b). The simplified introduction of BrO in our model (EXP7) leads to a very large production of DMSO which can reach more than 60% in the high latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere in agreement with the prescribed temperature dependence of the reaction rate (Fig. 10c). While EXP7 may overestimate the production of DMSO it is pevertheless important to better understand the

- estimate the production of DMSO, it is nevertheless important to better understand the atmospheric fate of DMSO and establish whether or not it can contribute significantly to the formation of background sulphate aerosols.
 - 3.6. Indirect radiative forcing of sulphate aerosols
- ²⁵ The spatial distribution of the indirect radiative forcing by anthropogenic sulphate aerosols is very similar in all seven experiments. The indirect radiative forcing is much more sen-

2, 1181–1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation



sitive to the assumed relationship between sulphate mass and cloud droplet number concentrations (Boucher and Lohmann, 1995) than the exact distribution of the DMS flux and resulting background sulphate concentration. However, we can estimate the uncertainty in radiative forcing due to uncertainties in the temporal and spatial distributions of the background aerosols (here taken to be sulphate aerosols). The largest difference is between the baseline experiment EXP1 and EXP4 (see Fig. 11). The increase in DMS flux between the EXP4 and EXP1 experiments results in a 14% decrease in radiative forcing. This result is again consistent with that of Jones et al. (2001). It stresses the importance to simulate accurately the concentrations of background accumulation-mode aerosols in the pre-industrial and present-day atmospheres, but also in the future atmosphere when climate change may induce changes in DMS emissions (Bopp et al., 2002).

4. Conclusions

20

We tested the sensitivity of the DMS atmospheric budget to the oceanic DMS concentration, the assumed sea-air transfer function, the atmospheric oxidant fields, and additional oxidation paths. The conclusions of the study are the following:

- 1. Using three different oceanic DMS climatologies results into very similar global DMS fluxes but also into large differences in the spatial and seasonal distribution of the DMS emissions, particularly in the Southern Hemisphere. These differences translate into quite different distributions of atmospheric DMS.
- 2. Using the more sophisticated parameterisation of DMS sea-air transfer of Nightingale et al. (2000) instead of that of Liss and Merlivat (1986) results in a global DMS flux that is 47% larger and an indirect radiative forcing by sulphate aerosols that is 14% smaller.
- 3. We found significant differences in the relative contributions of OH and NO₃ to DMS oxidation when using oxidant fields from the IMAGES or MATCH-MPIC

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title Page | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | |
| Conclusions | References | |
| Tables | Figures | |
| i∢ ►I | | |
| • | • | |
| Back | Close | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | |
| Print Version | | |
| Interactive | Interactive Discussion | |
| © EGS 2002 | | |

chemical transport models. This introduces a significant source of uncertainty in the atmospheric DMS cycle. Measurements of the concentration of NO_3 radical to better constrain the models would be very valuable.

4. Gaseous- and aqueous-phase oxidations of DMS by O_3 are found to contribute 3.5 and 6.2% to the total DMS oxidation, respectively, and up to 30–40% at high latitudes. These reactions should be incorporated in future modelling studies of the sulphur cycle at high latitudes.

5

10

15

20

- 5. Assuming a BrO mixing ratio of 1 pptv in the marine boundary layer at day, oxidation of DMS by BrO proved to be a significant sink for DMS at high latitudes. It is therefore important to measure BrO in the marine boundary layer down to a detection limit of ~0.1 pptv.
- 6. The oxidation of DMS by O_3 in the aqueous phase and by BrO in the gas phase produces only DMSO. Considering these two reactions results in a very different partitioning of the DMS oxidation between SO_2 and DMSO. It is therefore important to better understand the atmospheric fate of DMSO, the importance of heterogeneous sinks, and whether it can contribute to the production of background sulphate aerosols or not (Sciare et al., 2000c).
- 7. There are very few long-term measurements of atmospheric DMS to evaluate our model. It is not straightforward to select the most realistic simulations from the limited set of atmospheric DMS measurements. This study points to the need for more numerous continuous multi-year measurements of the DMS concentrations not only in seawater and but also in the atmosphere.

Acknowledgements. We thank E. Chapman for providing her sea-air transfer routine. Computer time for this study was provided by the "Institut du Développement et des Ressources
 en Informatique Scientifique" (IDRIS) of the CNRS. This research proposal is supported by the "Programme National des PROcessus biogéochimiques dans l'Océan et Flux" (PROOF) and the "Programme National de Chimie atmosphérique" (PNCA) of the CNRS. M. S. Reddy acknowledges support from the Indo-French IFCPAR programme and the EU-funded PHOENICS

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title | Title Page | | |
|-------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | |
| Conclusions | References | | |
| Tables | Figures | | |
| 14 | I4 F I | | |
| • | • | | |
| Back | Close | | |
| Full Scr | Full Screen / Esc | | |
| Print | Print Version | | |
| Interactive | Interactive Discussion | | |
| © EG | © EGS 2002 | | |

project EVK2-CT-2001-00098. Olivier Boucher would like to thank the hospitality of P. Crutzen, J. Lelieveld, and the Max Planck Institute for Chemistry in Mainz, where part of this study was performed. The "Institut Polaire Français – Paul-Emile Victor" (IPEV) is acknowledged for its support to long-term measurements at the Amsterdam Island. The Laboratoire d'Optique Atmosphérique is an institute of the "Eédération de Becherche" ER1818 of the CNBS

5 Atmosphérique is an institute of the "Fédération de Recherche" FR1818 of the CNRS.

References

15

20

- Aumont, O., Belviso, S., and Monfray, P.: Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) and dimethylsulfide (DMS) sea surface distributions simulated from a global three-dimensional ocean carbon cycle model, J. Geophys. Res., 10.1029/1999JC000111, 107, 2002.
- ¹⁰ Ayers, G. P., Ivey, J. P., and Gillett, R. W.: Coherence between the seasonal cycles of dimethyl sulphide, methanesulphonate, and sulphate in marine air, Nature, 349, 404–406, 1991.
 - Barth, M. C., Rasch, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., Benkovitz, C. M., and Schwartz, S. E.: Sulfur chemistry in the National Center for Atmospheric Research Community Climate Model: Description, evaluation, features, and sensitivity to aqueous chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 1387–1415, 2000.
 - Belviso, S. and Moulin, C.: Assessment of a global climatology of oceanic dimethylsulfide (DMS) concentrations based on SeaWiFS imagery (1998–2001), Deep-Sea Res., submitted, 2002.

Bopp, L., Aumont, O., Belviso, S., and Monfray, P.: Potential impact of climate change on marine dimethylsulfide emissions, Tellus, in press, 2002.

- Boucher, O. and Lohmann, U.: The sulfate-CCN-cloud albedo effect: A sensitivity study with two general circulation models, Tellus, 47B, 281–300, 1995.
 - Boucher, O. and Pham, M.: History of sulfate aerosol radiative forcings, Geophys. Res. Lett., 10.1029/2001GL014048, 29, 2002.
- ²⁵ Boucher, O., Pham, M., and Venkataraman, C.: Simulation of the atmospheric sulfur cycle in the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique General Circulation Model. Model description, model evaluation, and global and European budgets, Note scientifique de l'Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Paris, France, 2002.

(http://www.ipsl.jussieu.fr/poles/Modelisation/NotesSciences.htm)

ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title Page | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | |
| Conclusions | References | | |
| Tables | Figures | | |
| | | | |
| | ►I | | |
| • | • | | |
| Back | Close | | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | | |
| | | | |
| Print | Version | | |
| Interactive Discussion | | | |



- Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O., and Warren, S. G.: Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur, cloud albedo and climate, Nature, 326, 655–661, 1987.
- Chin, M., Rood, R. B., Lin, S.-J., Müller, J.-F., and Thompson, A. M.: Atmospheric sulfur cycle simulated in the global model GOCART: model description and global properties, J. Geo-
- ⁵ phys. Res., 105, 24671–24688, 2000.

10

20

- Corbett, J. J., Fischbeck, P. S., and Pandis, S. N.: Global nitrogen and sulfur inventories for ocean-going ships, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 3457–3470, 1999.
- Cosme, E., Genthon, C., Martinerie, P., Pham, M., and Boucher, O.: Sulfur cycle in the high southern latitudes in the LMD-ZT general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res., in press, 2002.
- Curran, M. A. and Jones, G. B.: Dimethyl sulfide in the Southern Ocean: seasonality and flux, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 20451–20459, 2000.
- DeMore, W. B., Sander, S. P., Golden, D. M., Hampson, R. F., Kurylo, M. J., Howard, C. J., Ravishankara, A. R., Kolb, C. E., and Molina, M. J.: Chemical kinetics and photochemical
- data for use in stratospheric modeling, Evaluation Number 12, JPL Publication 97-4, Jet Propulsion Lab., Pasadena, CA, 1997.
 - Dentener, F. J. and Crutzen, P. J.: Reaction of N₂O₅ on tropospheric aerosols: Impact on the global distributions of NO_x, O₃, and OH, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 7149–7163, 1993.
 - Gershenzon, M., Davidovits, P., Jayne, J. T., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: Simultaneous uptake of DMS and ozone on water, J. Physical Chemistry A, 105, 7031–7036, 2001.
 - von Glasow, R., Sander, R., Bott A., and Crutzen, P. J.: Modeling halogen chemistry in the marine boundary layer. 2. Interactions with sulfur and the cloud-covered MBL, J. Geophys. Res., in press, 2002.
 - Gros, V., Poisson, N., Martin, D., Kanakidou, M., and Bonsang, B.: Observations and modeling
- of the seasonal variation of surface ozone at Amsterdam Island: 1994–1996, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 28 103–28 109, 1998.
 - Hausmann, M. and Platt, U.: Spectroscopic measurements of bromine oxide and ozone in the high Arctic during the Polar Sunrise Experiment 1992, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 25399–25413, 1994.
- Hebestreit, K., Stutz, J., Rosen, D., Matveiv, V., Peleg, M., Luria, M., and Platt, U.: DOAS measurements of tropospheric bromine oxide in mid-latitudes, Science, 283, 55–57, 1999.
 Ingham, T., Bauer, D., Sander, R., Crutzen, P. J., and Crowley, J. N.: Kinetics and products of the reactions BrO + DMS and Br + DMS at 298 K, J. Physical Chemistry A, 103, 7199–7209,

ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.

| Title Page | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | |
| Conclusions | References | |
| Tables | Figures | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | • | |
| Back | Close | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | |
| | | |
| Print Version | | |
| Print V | /ersion | |
| Print V | /ersion Discussion | |

1999.

25

- Jones, A., Roberts, D. L., Woodage, M. J., and Johnson, C. E.: Indirect sulphate aerosol forcing in a climate model with an interactive sulphur cycle, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 20293–20310, 2001.
- Kettle, A. J., Andreae, M. O., and Amouroux, D. et al.: A global database of sea surface dimethylsulfide (DMS) measurements and a procedure to predict sea surface DMS as a function of latitude, longitude, and month, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 13, 399–444, 1999. Kettle, A. J. and Andreae, M. O.: Flux of dimethylsulfide from the oceans: A comparison of updated data sets and flux models, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 26793–26808, 2000.
- Koch, D., Jacob, D., Tegen, I., Rind, D., and Chin, M.: Tropospheric sulfur simulation and sulfate direct radiative forcing in the Goddard Institute for Space Studies general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 23799–23822, 1999.
 - von Kuhlmann, R.: Tropospheric photochemistry of O₃, its precursors and the hydroxyl radical: A 3D modeling study considering non-methane hydrocarbons, University of Mainz, Mainz,
- ¹⁵ Germany, PhD Thesis, 2001. (available via http://www.mpch-mainz.mpg.de/~kuhlmann) Lawrence, M. G., Crutzen, P. J., Rasch, P. J., Eaton, B. E., and Mahowald, N. M.: A model for studies of tropospheric photochemistry: Description, global distributions, and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 26 245–26 277, 1999.

Lee, Y.-N. and Zhou, X.: Aqueous reaction kinetics of ozone and dimethylsulfide and its atmo-

- ²⁰ spheric importance, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 3597–3605, 1994.
 - Liss, P. S. and Merlivat, L.: Air-sea gas exchange rates: Introduction and synthesis, in: Menard, P. B. and Reidel, D. (Eds.): The Role of Air-Sea Exchange in Geochemical Cycling, Norwell, Mass, 113–127, 1986.
 - Lohmann, U., Feichter, J., Penner, J., and Leaitch, R.: Indirect effect of sulfate and carbonaceous aerosols: A mechanistic treatment, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 12193–12206, 2000.
 - Martinez, R. I. and Herron, J. T.: Stopped-flow study of the gas-phase reaction of ozone with organic sulfides: Dimethyl sulfide, Int. J. Chem. Kinetics, 10, 433–452, 1978.
 - Müller, J.-F. and Brasseur, G. P.: IMAGES: A three-dimensional chemical transport model of the global troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 16445–16490, 1995
- Nakano, Y., Goto, M., Hashimoto, S., Kawasaki, M., and Wallington, T. J.: Cavity ring-down spectroscopic study of the reactions of Br atoms and BrO radicals with dimethyl sulfide, J. Physical Chemistry A, 105, 11 045–11 050, 2001.

Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J.,

2, 1181–1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title Page | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | | | |
| Conclusions | References | | | | |
| Tables | Figures | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | ►I | | | | |
| • | ► | | | | |
| Back | Close | | | | |
| Full Scr | Full Screen / Esc | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Print Version | | | | | |
| Interactive Discussion | | | | | |



and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea exchange parameterizations using novel conservative and volatile tracers, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 14, 373–387, 2000.

- Nowak, J. B., Davis, D. D., Chen, G., Eisele, F. L., Mauldin, R. L., Tanner, D. J., Cantrell, C., Kosciuch, E., Bandy, A., Thornton, D., and Clarke, A.: Airborne observations of DMSO, DMS, and OH at marine tropical latitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 2201–2204, 2001.
- and OH at marine tropical latitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 2201–2204, 2001.
 Pham, M., Müller, J.-F., Brasseur, G., Granier, C., and Mégie, G.: A three-dimensional study of the tropospheric sulfur cycle, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 26061–26092, 1995.
 - Putaud, J.-P., Mihalopoulos, N., Nguyen, B. C., Campin, J. M., and Belviso, S.: Seasonal variations of atmospheric sulfur dioxide and dimethylsulfide concentrations at Amsterdam Island in the Southern Indian Ocean, J. Atmos. Chem., 15, 117–131, 1992.
- Sciare, J., Baboukas, E., Kanakidou, M., Krischke, U., Belviso, S., Bardouki, H., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Spatial and temporal variability of atmospheric sulfur-containing gases and particles during the Albatross campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 14433–14448, 2000a.

10

15

25

Sciare, J., Mihalopoulos, N., and Dentener, F. J.: Interannual variability of atmospheric dimethylsulfide in the southern Indian Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 26369–26378, 2000b.

Sciare, J., Kanakidou, M., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Diurnal and seasonal variation of atmospheric dimethylsulfoxide at Amsterdam Island in the southern Indian Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 17 257–17 265, 2000c.

Sciare, J., Baboukas, E., Mihalopoulos, N.: Short-term variability of atmospheric DMS and its

20 oxidation products at Amsterdam Island during summer time, J. Atmos. Chem., 39, 281–302, 2001.

Shaw, G. E.: Bio-controlled thermostasis involving the sulfur cycle, Clim. Change, 5, 297–303, 1983.

Toumi, R.: BrO as a sink for dimethylsulfide in the marine atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 117–120, 1994.

Turner, S. M., Nightingale, P. D., Broadgate, W., and Liss, P. S.: The distribution of dimethyl sulfide and dimethylsulphoniopropionate in Antarctic waters and sea ice, Deep-Sea Res., 42, 1059–1080, 1995.

Venkataraman, C., Mehra, A., and Mhaskar, P.: Mechanisms of sulphate aerosol production in

- clouds: effect of cloud characteristics and season in the Indian region, Tellus, 53B, 260–272, 2001.
 - Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 7373–7382, 1992.

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.

| Title Page | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | | | |
| Conclusions | References | | | | |
| Tables | Figures | | | | |
| 14 | ÞI | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | • | | | | |
| Back | Close | | | | |
| Full Scr | Full Screen / Esc | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Print Version | | | | | |
| Interactive Discussion | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Yvon, S. A., Saltzman, E. S., Cooper, D. J., Bates, T. S., and Thompson, A. M.: Atmospheric sulfur cycling in the tropical Pacific marine boundary layer (12°S, 135°W): A comparison of field data and model results, 1. Dimethylsulfide, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 6899–6909, 1996.

ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

| Title Page | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | | |
| Conclusions | References | | | |
| Tables | Figures | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| • | ► | | | |
| Back | Close | | | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | | | |
| Print Version | | | | |
| Interactive Discussion | | | | |



2, 1181–1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.

| Title Page | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Abstract | Introduction | | | | |
| Conclusions | References | | | | |
| Tables | Figures | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | ► | | | | |
| Back | Close | | | | |
| Full Screen / Esc | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Print Version | | | | |
| Print | Version | | | | |

© EGS 2002

Table 1. Characteristics of the experiments performed in this study

| Experi- ment | Sea-air transfer function | Oxidant fields | Oceanic DMS climatology | Additional DMS oxidation |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| EXP1 | Nightingale et al. (2000) | IMAGES model | Kettle and Andreae (2000) | No |
| EXP2 | Nightingale et al. (2000) | IMAGES model | Belviso and Moulin (2002) | No |
| EXP3 | Nightingale et al. (2000) | IMAGES model | Aumont et al. (2002) | No |
| EXP4 | Liss and Merlivat (1986) | IMAGES model | Kettle and Andreae (2000) | No |
| EXP5 | Nightingale et al. (2000) | MATCH model | Kettle and Andreae (2000) | No |
| EXP6 | Nightingale et al. (2000) | IMAGES model | Kettle and Andreae (2000) | DMS+O ₃ gas/aqueous |
| EXP7 | Nightindale et al. (2000) | IMAGES model | Kettle and Andreae (2000) | DMS + BrO |

Table 2. Characteristics of the global DMS budget and sulphate aerosol indirect radiative forcing (RF) in the seven experiments. Emission fluxes and oxidation rates are given in Tg S yr^{-1} , burdens in Tg S, residence times in days, and RF in Wm^{-2} . In parenthesis are the percentage contributions to the sources and sinks of DMS.

| | EXP1 | EXP2 | EXP3 | EXP4 | EXP5 | EXP6 | EXP7 |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Oceanic DMS emission flux | 26.75* (99%) | 24.10 (99%) | 25.38 (99%) | 18.21 (98%) | 26.75 (99%) | 26.75 (99%) | 26.75 (99%) |
| Oceanic DMS emission flux (NH) | 11.36* (42%) | 10.30 (42%) | 9.06 (35%) | 7.65 (41%) | 11.36 (42%) | 11.36 (42%) | 11.36 (42%) |
| Oceanic DMS emission flux (SH) | 15.39* (57%) | 13.80 (57%) | 16.32 (64%) | 10.56 (57%) | 15.39 (57%) | 15.39 (57%) | 15.39 (57%) |
| Continental DMS emission flux | 0.29 (1%) | 0.29 (1%) | 0.29 (1%) | 0.29 (2%) | 0.29 (1%) | 0.29 (1%) | 0.29 (1%) |
| Oxidation by OH to SO ₂ | 15.46 (57%) | 13.07 (53%) | 13.76 (53%) | 10.52 (57%) | 9.03 (33%) | 14.07 (52%) | 10.55 (39%) |
| Oxidation by NO ₃ to SO ₂ | 7.75 (29%) | 8.24 (34%) | 8.42 (33%) | 5.36 (29%) | 15.71 (58%) | 6.96 (26%) | 6.17 (23%) |
| Oxidation by OH to DMSO | 3.87 (14%) | 3.11 (13%) | 3.52 (14%) | 2.65 (14%) | 2.36 (9%) | 3.42 (13%) | 2.55 (9%) |
| Oxidation by O_3 (gas phase) | - | - | - | - | - | 0.94 (3%) | - |
| Oxidation by O_3 (aqueous phase) | - | - | - | - | - | 1.67 (6%) | - |
| Oxidation by BrO | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.79 (29%) |
| DMS burden | 0.084 | 0.075 | 0.089 | 0.058 | 0.060 | 0.071 | 0.054 |
| DMS residence time | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Sulphate burden | 0.748 | 0.733 | 0.731 | 0.682 | 0.717 | 0.742 | 0.715 |
| Sulphate aerosol indirect RF | -0.99 | -1.07 | -1.12 | -1.15 | -0.97 | -1.00 | -1.04 |

^{*} The oceanic fluxes of DMS obtained using the earlier version of the climatology (Kettle et al., 1999) are 27.93, 12.86, and 15.07 Tg S yr⁻¹ for the globe, the NH, and the SH, respectively.

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.







60W

120W

90N

60N

30N

EQ

30S

60S

905 | 180

Latitude

Fig. 1. Spatial distribution of the annually-averaged oceanic DMS flux (mg S m⁻² yr⁻¹) from (a) EXP1, (b) EXP2, (c) EXP3, and (d) EXP4 (continues next page).





2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.





Fig. 1. ... continued.

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.





Fig. 2. Zonally- and annually-averaged oceanic DMS flux (mg S m^{-2} yr⁻¹).

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.





G-O EXP 1 \square EXP 2 \bigcirc EXP 3 \triangle EXP 4

20

16

14

12

Fig. 3. Seasonal variation of the oceanic DMS flux (Tg S yr⁻¹) averaged over the Northern Hemisphere (top) and the Southern Hemisphere (bottom).



Fig. 4. Annually-averaged atmospheric DMS mixing ratio (pptv) at the surface from (a) EXP1, (b) EXP2, (c) EXP3, and (d) EXP4 (continues next page).

© EGS 2002

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

►

ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.



© EGS 2002



Fig. 4. ... continued.



ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.



© EGS 2002

Fig. 5. Comparison of simulated and measured atmospheric mixing ratios (pptv): **(a)** DMS at Amsterdam Island (37.83°S 77.50°E; data from Sciare et al., 2000b), **(b)** SO₂ at Amsterdam Island (data from Putaud et al., 1992), and **(c)** DMS at Cape Grim (40.68°S 144.68°E; data from Ayers et al., 1991).

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.







Fig. 6. Comparison of simulated and measured atmospheric mixing ratios of DMS (pptv) during the Albatross cruise. The model values are averages for the one-month period corresponding to the cruise, with standard deviations of daily means around monthly means. Observations are from Sciare et al. (2000a).





2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.



© EGS 2002

Fig. 7. Annually-averaged OH and NO_3 mixing ratios (pptv) at the surface in IMAGES **(a, c)** (EXP1) and MATCH-MPIC **(b, d)** (EXP5) – continues next page.



Fig. 7. ... continued.

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.







Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

ACPD

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Boucher et al.



Interactive Discussion



2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.



© EGS 2002



Latitude

Fig. 9. Relative contribution (%) of the additional oxidation pathways to the vertically-integrated DMS oxidation rate: (a) DMS+ O_3 in aqueous phase (EXP6), (b) DMS+ O_3 in gas phase (EXP6), and (c) DMS+BrO (EXP7).

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of atmospheric sulphur to DMS flux and oxidation

Boucher et al.



Interactive Discussion



Fig. 10. Fraction (%) of DMS which is oxidised in DMSO on annual average in (a) EXP1, (b) EXP6, and (c) EXP7.

2, 1181-1216, 2002

Sensitivity of





