



HAL
open science

Chemoprevention of aberrant crypt foci in the colon of rats by dietary onion.

Sylviane Taché, Aélis Ladam, Denis E. Corpet

► **To cite this version:**

Sylviane Taché, Aélis Ladam, Denis E. Corpet. Chemoprevention of aberrant crypt foci in the colon of rats by dietary onion.. *European Journal of Cancer*, 2007, 43 (2), pp.454-8. 10.1016/j.ejca.2006.09.022 . hal-00325672

HAL Id: hal-00325672

<https://hal.science/hal-00325672>

Submitted on 29 Sep 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Chemoprevention of aberrant crypt foci in the colon of rats by dietary onion

Sylviane Taché, Aélis Ladam, Denis E. Corpet

UMR Xénobiotiques, INRA, ENVT, 23 ch Capelles, F-31076 Toulouse, France

ABSTRACT

Onion intake might reduce the risk of colorectal cancer, according to epidemiology. However, Femia showed in 2003 that diets with 20% onion increase carcinogenesis in rats. We speculated this dose was too high. Prevention of initiation was thus tested in sixty rats given a 5% dried onion diet or AIN76 diet, and initiated 12d later with azoxymethane (AOM, 1x20mg/kg i.p.), 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ, 2x200mg/kg p.o.), or N-nitroso-N-methylurea (2x50mg/g p.o.). Prevention of promotion was tested in 38 rats given AOM, then randomised to: AIN76 diet; 5% onion diet; phytochemicals diet (supplemented with propyl-disulfide, quercetin-glycosides and oligofructose); 1% F68-pluronic diet (positive control). ACF were scored 30d (initiation) or 100d (promotion) after carcinogen injection. The onion diet given during initiation reduced the number of AOM-induced ACF (60 vs. 86, $p=0.03$), and the size of IQ-induced ACF (1.33 vs. 1.97, $p=0.02$). Given post-initiation, the onion diet reduced the number of ACF (34 vs. 59, $p=0.008$) and of large ACF (6 vs. 15, $p=0.02$). Phytochemicals diet and pluronic diet reduced ACF growth similarly. Data show that 5% onion diet reduced carcinogenesis during initiation and promotion stages, and suggest this chemoprevention is due to known phytochemicals.

Abbreviations:: ACF, aberrant crypt foci; AOM, azoxymethane; IQ, 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline; MNU, N-nitroso-N-methylurea

1. Introduction

Smart choices for better foods might prevent three colorectal cancers out of four (1). The main advice for a healthy diet is to eat more fruits and vegetables (2), but published intervention trials do not support this message yet (3, 4). One possible reason for the trials null effect is that all plants do not provide similar protection: volunteers may have increase their intake of non-protective vegetables. *Allium* vegetables including garlic and onion are amongst the most frequently cited as protective in case-control and cohort studies: Bianchini and Vainio (5) report eight case-control studies and three cohort studies dealing with garlic and/or onion and intestinal cancer: Out of

those eleven studies, five studies report odd ratios <0.4 associated with onion or garlic intake, but only one reports an odd ratio >1.

Onions and garlic contain a wide variety of phytochemicals and of microconstituents such as trace elements, vitamins, fructans, flavonoids and sulphur compounds, which may have protective effects against cancer. Several studies show the protective potential of garlic components(6-8). Onion also contains alk(en)yl polysulphides and glycosides of flavonols, which can modulate hepatic drug-metabolizing enzymes in rats, and reduce the carcinogenicity of environmental chemicals (6, 9). We thus speculated that onion intake before and during initiation with a carcinogen may decrease carcinogenesis, if the agent needs metabolic activation.

In spite of these evidences that onion intake may protect against intestinal cancer, a recent study by Femia et al. suggests that onion intake may be detrimental (10). In their study, rats fed 20% onion-based diets, with low or high quercetin-glycoside content, surprisingly showed an increase in number, multiplicity, and "large" azoxymethane-induced aberrant crypt foci (ACF) compared to the control group. The ACF assay in which AOM-induced rats are fed with different experimental diets, has been widely used to test potentially chemopreventive agents, and the potency of most compounds to prevent ACF is correlated with the potency to prevent colon cancer (11).

This study was designed to test the three following hypotheses: (i) A realistic amount of onion intake may decrease ACF initiation by indirect carcinogens; (ii) A realistic amount of onion intake during the post-initiation phase, may decrease ACF growth; (iii) Post-initiation prevention by onion can be mimicked by a mixture of its known chemopreventive phytochemicals.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals, onions, and chemicals

Ninety eight female F344 rats were obtained from Iffa Credo (Lyon, France) at 5 weeks of age. Rats were housed by pair in stainless steel wire drop-bottom cages. The light cycle consisted of 12 h each of light

Table 1: Composition of experimental diets, given in g per kg of diet ^a.

Study Diet	Initiation		Post-initiation			
	Control	Onion	Control	Onion	Phyto-chemicals	Pluronic
Onion ^b		50		50		
Rafitlose ^c					30	
Quercetin-glucosides ^d					0.63	
Propyl-disulfide ^e					0.17	
Pluronic F68 ^f						10
Caseine	200	200	200	200	200	200
Corn starch	150	150	150	150	150	150
Sucrose	500	500	540	500	510	540
Cellulose	50	50	60	50	60	60
Corn oil	50	50	50	50	50	50
Methionine	3	3	3	3	3	3
Mineral mix ^g	35	35	35	35	35	35
Vitamin mix ^g	10	10	10	10	10	10
Choline bitartrate	2	2	2	2	2	2
Total weight	1000	1050	1050	1050	1050.8	1060

a: Sum of dietary components was actually between 1000 and 1060 g, as shown in Table 1 last line (data are easier to read than when all sums are adjusted to 1000 g).

b: Onion dry powder was prepared as described in the Materials and Methods section. It contained 6% moisture, X\$/kg fructans, 8.5 g/kg flavonols, including quercetin 3,4'-diglucoside 4.2 g and quercetin 4'-glucoside 3.8 g, dipropyl disulphide, methyl 1-propenyl trisulphide and propyl 1-propenyl trisulphide (not precisely quantified). The "Onion" diets thus contained 0.425 g/kg quercetin glucosides.

c: Rafitlose was provided by Orafti (Tienen, Belgium)

d: Quercetin-glycosides were purified from the onion powder, and contained 53% quercetin-monoglycoside, 42% quercetin-diglycoside, plus some free quercetin and isorhamnetin.

e: Propyl disulfide obtained from Aldrich (Lyon, France)

f: Pluronic F68 from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO)

g: Mineral mix and vitamin mix according to the AIN-76 formula, provided like the other standard AIN-76 components by UAR (Villemoisson, France).

and dark. The animal room was maintained at 22 ± 2°C. Powdered AIN 76 diet (UAR, Villemoisson, France) (12) and drinking water were provided *ad libitum*. Body weights, food and water intake, were monitored weekly throughout the study.

The onion powder was obtained from "Coop d'Or - STL" (Auxonne, France). This powder was prepared from long-day yellow onions (*Allium cepa*) Auxon strain, Auxonne type, 15% dry matter, grown in the plain of Dijon (France). In a large-scale industrial plant, onions were washed and sliced before dehydrating through a conventional hot-air oven (temperature gradient from 85 to 45 °C) until the product retained less than 6% moisture. This onion powder contained 8.5 g/kg flavonols, including quercetin 3,4'-diglucoside 4.2 g and quercetin 4'-glucoside 3.8 g (9). It also contained at least 15 different sulphur compounds: major ones were dipropyl disulphide, methyl 1-propenyl trisulphide and propyl 1-propenyl trisulphide, a pattern which is different from that of fresh onion (9). Quercetin-glycosides were purified from the above-cited onion powder by P. Goupy and M.J. Amiot (INRA, Avignon, France). Final product contained 53% quercetin-monoglycoside, and 42% quercetin-diglycoside, plus some free quercetin and isorhamnetin.

Most chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO), notably azoxymethane

(AOM), N-nitroso-N-methylurea (MNU), and pluronic F68. 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ) came from ICN (Orsay, France), Rafitlose from Orafti (Tienen, Belgium), propyl-disulfide from Aldrich (Lyon, France).

2.2. Experimental procedure: onion effect on initiation by three carcinogens

After seven days of acclimatization, sixty rats were randomly allocated to two groups of 30 rats. Control group was given the standard AIN 76 diet (UAR, Villemoisson, France). Experimental group was given the same diet supplemented with 5% onion powder for 14 or 17 days. Twelve days after starting the diets, all rats were given a carcinogen injection. Ten control rats and ten onion-fed rats were given one AOM i.p. injection (20 mg/kg body weight in saline). Ten controls and ten onion-fed rats were given two intra-gastric gavages with the heterocyclic amine IQ (200 mg/kg on days 12 and 15, diluted in ethanol/saline 37:63). Similarly, ten controls and ten onion-fed rats were given two MNU gavages (50 mg/kg, on days 12 and 15, diluted in citric acid 1% pH 3). Experimental diets were maintained for two days after the last carcinogen injection. All rats were then given the control diet for 26-28 days, and sacrificed by carbon dioxide asphyxiation thirty days after the first carcinogen injection.

Table 2. Aberrant Crypt Foci (ACF) in the colon of rats fed an onion-based diet for two weeks before and during initiation by azoxymethane (AOM), 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ), or N-nitroso-N-methylurea (MNU).

Treatment: Diet + Carcinogen	Rats with ACF/ Total No. of Rats	ACF /Colon	Large ACF /Colon (>3 crypts)	Crypts/ACF
Control diet + AOM	10 / 10	86±28 ^a	3.4±2.3	1.71±0.12
Onion diet + AOM	10 / 10	60±20 ^b	2.5±2.0	1.85±0.17
Control diet + IQ	5 / 9	1.67±1.9	0.11±0.33	1.97±0.42
Onion diet + IQ	3 / 9	0.67±1.1	0.0	1.33±0.58 ^b
Control diet + MNU	10 / 10	127±60	2.3±1.8	1.60±0.13
Onion diet + MNU	8 / 8	120±55	1.5±1.4	1.66±0.15

^a Values of mean±SD.

^b Significantly different from control diet by Student's t-test (P<0.03).

2.3. Experimental procedure: onion effect post-initiation by azoxymethane

After seven days of acclimatization, thirty eight rats were given one AOM i.p. injection (20 mg/kg in saline). Seven days later, the rats were randomly allocated to four groups (10, 10, 10 and 8 rats). The control group was given the standard AIN 76 diet supplemented with 4% sucrose and 1% cellulose (to mimic carbohydrates from onion). The "onion" group was given the AIN 76 diet supplemented with 5% dried onion. The "phytochemicals" group was given the AIN 76 diet supplemented with three major onion phytochemicals (fructans, polyphenols and sulfides), at concentrations mimicking the onion content. This diet thus contained (g/kg diet): raftilose (30), quercetin-glycosides (0.630) and propyl-disulfide (0.166), to which 1% sucrose and 1% cellulose were added. A fourth group of 8 rats was given the same diet as the control group, supplemented with 1% pluronic F68, a very potent chemopreventive agent (13). One hundred days after the AOM injection all rats were sacrificed by carbon dioxide asphyxiation (experimental diets were thus given for 93 days).

2.4. ACF scoring

The colons were evaluated for ACF by Bird's procedure (14). They were excised and flushed with Krebs's Ringer solution, then opened longitudinally and fixed flat between coded filter papers in 10% buffered formalin. The colons were stained with methylene blue (0.05%) for 6 min, and then the mucosal side was observed at 40 x magnification. ACF were distinguished from surrounding non-involved crypts by their slit-like opening, increased staining, size and pericryptal zone. ACF size may relate more closely to the tumor end-point than ACF

number. Thus, multiplicity (no. of crypts per ACF) was recorded for each ACF in each colon. All colons were scored blindly by a single observer. Large ACF were arbitrarily defined as containing 4 crypts or more (> 3 crypts/ACF), so that all rats in the post-initiation study would bear at least one large ACF. Data tables report mean number of ACF (and of large ACF) per rat, and mean number of crypts per ACF.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of post-initiation data set was done first by a one-way ANOVA. Pairwise comparisons were done using Student's t-test or Welch's t-test. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Onion effect on initiation by three carcinogens

The onion diet did not change the final body weight of rats, compared with control diet. At the end of the experiment, rats given IQ gavages were significantly heavier than rats given AOM or MNU (176±7g vs. 164±7g or 160±7g, respectively, p<0.001). Following MNU gavages, rats lost some weight: they lost 10 g between day 12 and 19. Two IQ and two MNU injected rats had to be euthanized before scheduled date because they were anemic and prostrated (three of them given the onion diet).

The effect of onion diet given before and during AOM, IQ or MNU injections, on number and multiplicity of colonic ACF is shown in Table 2. Onion-fed rats had fewer ACF, and fewer large ACF, than control rats, but chemoprevention was significant only against AOM-induced ACF. As already known, IQ gavages induced a small number of ACF compared to AOM and MNU:

Table 3. Aberrant Crypt Foci (ACF) in the colon of rats given an azoxymethane injection, then fed for 93 d an AIN76 diet containing 5% dried onion, a phytochemicals mix, or 1% pluronic F68.

Treatment: Carcinogen and Diet	No. of Rats	ACF /Colon	Large ACF /Colon (>3 crypts)	Crypts/ACF
AOM then Control diet	9 ^a	59±20 ^b	15±9	2.7±0.4
AOM then Onion diet	10	34±15 ^c	6±4 ^d	2.4±0.3
AOM then Phytoch. Diet ^f	10	40±19 ^e	7±5 ^d	2.6±0.3
AOM then Pluronic diet	8	41±13 ^e	4±2 ^c	2.2±0.2 ^c

^a One colon was damaged and could not be scored properly

^b Values of mean±SD.

^c Significantly different from control diet by Student's or Welch's test (P<0.01).

^d Significantly different from control diet by Welch's test (P<0.05).

^e Marginally different from control diet by Student's t test (P=0.052).

^f Phytochemicals mix (g/kg diet): raffinose (30), quercetin-glycosides (0.63) and propyl-disulfide (0.17)

10 rats/18 had no detectable ACF. Consequently, although onion-fed rats had 2.5 times fewer ACF than controls, the reduction was not significant. However, the IQ-initiated ACF contained significantly fewer crypts in the colon of onion-fed rats than in control rats. Onion diet did not change the number or size of MNU-induced ACF.

3.1. Onion effect post-initiation by azoxymethane

Control rats had a slightly smaller body weight than treated rats at the end of the experiment (controls, 180±7g vs. 187±11, 191±8 and 190±12 g, onion, phytochemicals and pluronic treated rats, respectively; ANOVA p=0.06). The effect of onion, phytochemicals and pluronic diets given one week after AOM injection, on number and multiplicity of colonic ACF is shown in Table 3. Onion-fed rats had fewer ACF (p=0.008), fewer large ACF (p=0.02), and slightly less crypts per ACF (p=0.07) than control rats. A diet containing three major onion phytochemicals also reduced significantly the number of ACF (p=0.05) and of large ACF (p=0.04). The magnitude of the chemopreventive effect of onion and phytochemicals was similar to the protection afforded by 1% pluronic. However, in contrast with onion-fed rats, pluronic-fed rats had smaller ACF than controls (p=0.005).

4. Discussion

In this study dietary onion significantly inhibited chemically-induced ACF formation in the colon of female F344 rats. Chemoprevention was significant against AOM- and IQ-induced ACF, when onion was given during the initiation phase of carcinogenesis. In contrast, no chemopreventive effect was observed at this stage against MNU-induced ACF. Because MNU is a direct-acting carcinogen, but AOM and IQ need to be activated before reaching DNA, we think that onion effect was due to inhibition of activating enzymes, as suggested by Wargovich et al. (7).

Indeed, Teyssier et al. showed that the dried onion that was used in this study induces CYP 1A and CYP 2B activities in the liver, while decreasing CYP 2E1 activity. Dried onion also doubles UDP-glucuronosyltransferase activity and slightly increases glutathione S-transferase activity (9). That onion-based diet could inhibit IQ-induced carcinogenesis seems particularly relevant, because fried meat that brings heterocyclic amine to consumers is often eaten with onions.

Chemoprevention was also significant against the formation and/or the growth of AOM-induced ACF, when onion was given during the post-initiation phase of carcinogenesis. Post-initiation chemoprevention cannot be explained by the inhibition of AOM-metabolizing enzymes, since onion diet started one week after AOM injection. We speculated that post-initiation effect of onion might be mimicked by a mixture of its known chemopreventive components. Indeed, rats given dried onion and rats given a mixture of fructan, flavonoid and sulphur compounds had similar numbers of large ACF. This does not prove, but strongly suggest, that these compounds alone can explain the onion chemopreventive effect. However, this study does not tell which agent is active post-initiation, or if the mixture is mandatory to decrease ACF formation.

It is surprising that Femia et al., using a protocol similar to this one, obtained very different results (10). In their study, onion-fed rats had twice more ACF and three times more "large ACF" than control rats. However, they included 20% dry onion in the diet, vs. 5% in this study. This very high onion dose was chosen to reach quercetin level previously shown to prevent carcinogenesis. Indeed, quercetin levels were similar in Femia's study and this one (0.6-0.7g/kg). Diet containing more than 20% dried onion can be toxic, and induces haemolysis and anaemia in cattle and in dogs. To quote Femia et al.: "*We cannot rule out the possibility that a lower onion supplementation may have had a beneficial*

effect"(10). Other differences between this protocol and Femia's one are low-fat diet vs. high-fat diet, single AOM injection vs. two AOM injections, female vs. male rats, and sacrifice 30 and 100 d after AOM vs. 49 d after AOM. We do not think, however, that these differences could account for the discrepancy between studies results. In contrast, we think that an agent may be beneficial at a low level, but "too much of a good thing" may be detrimental, as suspected also for beta-carotene and folic acid (15, 16).

How does the prevention efficacy of onion compare with other chemopreventive agents? To answer this question, we used the online Chemoprevention Database that reports all studies of agents with a significant chemopreventive effect (11, 17). Its May 2006 update contained 339 ACF studies (median efficacy, 1.9). In this study, onion-based diets reduced the number of ACF by 1.4, 2.5, and 1.7 (86/60 and 1.67/0.67, Table 2; 59/34, Table 3). These values correspond to the 88th, 195th and 300th agent in the ranked list with the most potent agent on top. In addition, the onion effect here was similar to the effect of a sub-optimal dose of pluronic F68 (1% instead 5%). Onion thus appears to possess average chemoprevention potency. In addition, would it be possible for a human consumer to eat as much onion as was given these rats? Rats weighing 185 g were eating 0.5 g/d of dried onion. Extrapolation of this intake on metabolic weight basis suggests that a 60 kg human volunteer should eat 30 g of dried onion per day. This is clearly a high intake, but a possible one.

In conclusion, this study shows that a 5% onion-based diet inhibited the chemical initiation of preneoplastic lesions in the colon of rats, and reduced their post-initiation growth. Provided the daily amount is not too high, onion may thus be a useful component of a cancer-preventive diet.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Rémy Kahane (Coop d'Or - STL, Auxonne, France) for giving dried onion powder, and Pascale Goupy (INRA, Avignon, France), for analysing onion flavonoids and giving us purified quercetine-glucosides. This work was supported by a grant of the French Ministère de la Recherche (no. 98 P0470).

References

- Cummings JH, Bingham SA. Fortnightly review - diet and the prevention of cancer. *British Medical Journal* 1998, **317**, 1636-1640.
- WCRF WCRF. Food, nutrition and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. *WCRF and American Institute for Cancer Research, Washington DC* 1997, 1-.
- Schatzkin A, Lanza E, Corle D, et al. Lack of effect of a low-fat, high-fiber diet on the recurrence of colorectal adenomas. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000, **342**, 1149-1155.
- Beresford SA, Johnson KC, Ritenbaugh C, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of colorectal cancer: the Women's Health Initiative Randomized Controlled Dietary Modification Trial. *Jama* 2006, **295**, 643-54.
- Bianchini F, Vainio H. Allium vegetables and organosulfur compounds: do they help prevent cancer? *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001, **109**, 893-902.
- Fukushima S, Takada N, Hori T, Wanibuchi H. Cancer prevention by organosulfur compounds from garlic and onion. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* 1997, 100-105.
- Wargovich MJ, Uda N, Woods C, Velasco M, Mckee K. Allium vegetables: their role in the prevention of cancer. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 1996, **24**, 811-814.
- Ross SA, Finley JW, Milner JA. Allyl sulfur compounds from garlic modulate aberrant crypt formation. *J Nutr* 2006, **136**, 852S-854S.
- Teyssier C, Amiot MJ, Mondy N, Auger J, Kahane R, Siess MH. Effect of onion consumption by rats on hepatic drug-metabolizing enzymes. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 2001, **39**, 981-987.
- Femia AP, Caderni G, Ianni M, et al. Effect of diets fortified with tomatoes or onions with variable quercetin-glycoside content on azoxymethane-induced aberrant crypt foci in the colon of rats. *Eur J Nutr* 2003, **42**, 346-52.
- Corpet DE, Tache S. Most effective colon cancer chemopreventive agents in rats: a systematic review of aberrant crypt foci and tumor data, ranked by potency. *Nutrition and Cancer* 2002, **43**, 1-21.
- American IoN. Report of the American Institute of Nutrition Ad Hoc Committee on standards for nutritional studies. *J Nutr* 1977, **107**, 1340-1348.
- Parnaud G, Tache S, Peiffer G, Corpet DE. Pluronic F68 block polymer, a very potent suppressor of carcinogenesis in the colon of rats and mice. *British Journal of Cancer* 2001, **84**, 90-93.
- Bird RP. Observation and quantification of aberrant crypts in murine colon treated with a colon carcinogen: preliminary findings. *Cancer Lett* 1987, **37**, 147-151.
- Ulrich CM, Potter JD. Folate supplementation: too much of a good thing? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006, **15**, 189-93.
- Raju J, Swamy MV, Cooma I, et al. Low doses of beta-carotene and lutein inhibit AOM-induced rat colonic ACF formation but high doses augment ACF incidence. *Int J Cancer* 2005, **113**, 798-802.
- Corpet DE, Tache S, Pierre F. The Chemoprevention Database: Experimental colon cancer prevention studies in carcinogen induced rats, in Min mice, and in human volunteers. <http://www.inra.fr/reseau-nacre/sci-memb/corpet/indexan.html> 2002-2006, **May 2006**.