Abstract. In this paper, we study the topology of complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds with asymptotically nonnegative Ricci curvature. We show that a complete noncompact manifold with asymptotically nonnegative Ricci curvature and sectional curvature \( K_M(x) \geq -\frac{C}{d_p(x)^\alpha} \) is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean n-space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) under some conditions on the density of rays starting from the base point \( p \) or on the volume growth of geodesic balls in \( M \).

1. Introduction

One of most important problems in Riemannian geometry is to find conditions under which manifold is of finite topological type: A manifold is said to have finite topological type if there exists a compact domain \( \Omega \) with boundary such that \( M \setminus \Omega \) is homeomorphic to \( \partial \Omega \times [0, \infty] \). The fundamental notion involved in such a finite topological type result is that of the critical point of a distance function introduced by Grove and Shiohama [8]. Let \( p \) a fix point and set \( d_p(x) = d(p, x) \) A point \( x \neq p \) is called critical point of \( d_p \) if for any \( v \) in the tangent space \( T_xM \) there is minimal geodesic \( \gamma \) from \( x \) to \( p \) forming an angle less or equal to \( \pi/2 \) with \( \gamma'(0) \) (see [8]).

In several papers it has been proved results for manifolds with nonnegative curvature. By isotopy lemma (see below), the absence of critical point assumed that the manifold is diffeomorphic to the euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

X. Menguy in [11] and J. Sha and D. Yang in [13] constructed manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and infinite topological type. Hence a natural question is under what additional conditions are manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature of finite topological type? Are those manifolds diffeomorphic to the unit sphere or the euclidean space? Under volume growth, diameter or density of rays conditions, some results were obtained on the geometry and topology of open manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. See [2], [3], [4], [5], [10], [12], [13], [14], [15], [17], [18]...

Let \( K \) denotes the sectional curvature of \( M \) and fix a point \( p \in M \). For \( r > 0 \) let

\[
k_p(r) = \inf_{M \setminus B(p, r)} K
\]
where $B(p, r)$ is the open geodesic ball around with radius $r$ and the infimum is taken over all the sections at points on $M \setminus B(p, r)$. If $(M, g)$ is a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, we say $M$ has sectional curvature decay at most quadratic if $k_p(r) \geq -\frac{C}{r^\alpha}$ for some $C > 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 2]$ and all $r > 0$.

In this paper we see the case of manifolds with asymptotically nonnegative Ricci curvature and with sectional curvature decay almost quadratically.

A complete noncompact Riemannian manifold is said to have an asymptotically nonnegative sectional curvature (Ricci curvature) if there exists a point $p$, called base point, and a monotone decreasing positive function $\lambda$ such that $\int_0^{+\infty} s\lambda(s)ds = b_0 < +\infty$ and for any point $x$ in $M$ we have

$$K(x) \geq -\lambda(d_p(x)) \ (\text{resp.} \ \text{Ric}(x) \geq -(n-1)\lambda(d_p(x)))$$

where $d_p$ is the distance to $p$. Let $B(x, r)$ denote the metric ball of radius $r$ and centre $x$ in $M$ and $B(\overline{x}, r)$ denote the similar metric ball in the simply connected noncompact complete manifold with sectional curvature $-\lambda(d_p(x))$ at the point $\overline{x}$ where $d_p(x) = d(p, x)$ is the distance from $p$ to $x$.

The volume comparison theorem proved in [9] says that the function $r \mapsto \frac{\text{vol}B(x, r)}{\text{vol}B(\overline{x}, r)}$ is monotone decreasing. Set

$$\alpha_x = \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\text{vol}B(x, r)}{\text{vol}B(\overline{x}, r)} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_M = \inf_{x \in M} \alpha_x.$$

We say $M$ is large volume growth if $\alpha_M > 0$.

In [1] U. Abresch proved that asymptotically nonnegative sectional curvature have finite topological type.

Let $R_p$ denotes the set of all ray issuing from $p$ and $S(p, r)$ the geodesic ball of radius $r$ and the center $p$. Set $H(p, r) = \max_{x \in S(p, r)} d(x, R_p)$. By definition, we have $H(p, r) \leq r$. Some results have been obtained by geometers on manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature by using the density of the rays. For manifolds with quadratic sectional curvature decay, Q. Wang and C. Xia proved that there exists a constant $\delta$ such that if $H(p, r) < \delta r$ then they are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In this paper we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** Given $c > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 2]$; suppose that $M$ is an $n$-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with $\text{Ricci}_M(x) \geq -(n-1)\lambda(d_p(x))$ and $K(x) \geq -\frac{C}{\delta_p(x)^{\alpha}}$, $\text{Crit}_p \geq r_0$ then there exists a positive constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $H(p, r) < \delta_0 r^{\beta/2}$ then $M$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$ where $\beta = \frac{2}{n} + \alpha(1 - \frac{1}{n})$.

**Remark 1.2.** (i) Theorem 1.1 is an improvement of theorem 1.1 [16] where nonnegative Ricci curvature was assumed and sectional curvature $K_p(r) \geq -\frac{C}{(1+r)^\alpha}$.

(ii) For $\alpha = 0$ theorem 1.1 is a generalisation of lemma 3.1 [18].

In [16] Q. Wang and C. Xia proved the following theorem (Theorem 1.3)
Theorem 1.3. Given $\alpha \in [0, 2]$, positive numbers $r_0$ and $C$, and an integer $n2$, there is an $\epsilon = (n, r_0, C, \beta) > 0$ such that any complete Riemannian $n$-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold $M$ with Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}_M \geq 0$, $\alpha_M > 0$, $\text{crit}_p \geq r_0$ and

$$K(x) \geq -C \frac{\text{volB}(p, r)}{(1 + d_p(x))^\alpha}, \frac{\text{volB}(p, r)}{\omega_n r^n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{r^{(n-2)K(x) + (1-\frac{\alpha}{2})}}\right) \alpha_M$$

for some $p \in M$ and all $r \geq r_0$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In this paper we prove a more general result:

Theorem 1.4. Given $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 2]$: suppose that $M$ is an $n$-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with Ricci $\text{Ric}_M(x) \geq -(n-1)\lambda(d_p(x))$ and $K(x) \geq \frac{\lambda(d_p(x))}{2}$, $\text{Crit}_p \geq r_0$ then there exists a positive constant $\epsilon = \epsilon(C, \alpha, r_0)$ such that if

$$\text{volB}(p, r) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{r^{(n-2)\lambda(d_p(x)) + (1-\frac{\alpha}{2})}}\right) \alpha_p$$

then $M$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

2. Preliminaries

To prove our results we need some lemmas.

The following one is proved in [8]

Lemma 2.1. (Isotopy Lemma).

Let $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \infty$. If a connected component $C$ of $B(p, r_2) \setminus B(p, r_1)$ is free of critical points of $p$, then $C$ is homeomorphic to $C_1 \times [r_1, r_2]$, where $C_1$ is a topological submanifold without boundary.

If $r_1 = 0$ and $r_2 = \infty$ then the homeomorphism becomes diffeomorphism (see for example [8]).

Let $p$ and $q$ be two points of a complete Riemannian manifold $M$. The excess function $e_{pq}$ is defined by: $e_{pq}(x) = d_p(x) + d_q(x) - d(p, q)$. In [8] U. Abresch and D. Gromoll gave and explicit upper bound of the excess function in manifolds with curvature bounded below. They proved the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. (Proposition 3.1 [8]) Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold $(n \geq 3)$ and let $\gamma$ be a minimal geodesic joining the base point $p$ and another point $q \in M$, $x \in M$ is a third point and the excess function $e_{pq}(x) = d_p(x) + d_q(x) - d(p, q)$. Suppose $d(p, q) \geq 2d_p(x)$ and, moreover, that there exists a nonincreasing function $\lambda : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ such that $\lambda = \frac{\int_0^\infty r \lambda(r)dr}{\lambda}$ converges and $\text{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\lambda(d_p(x))$ at all points $x \in M$. Then the height of the triangles can be bounded from below in terms of $d_p(x)$ and excess $e_{pq}(x)$. More precisely,

$$s \geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{6} d_p(x), \frac{d_p(x)}{(1 + 8b_0)^{1/2}}, C_0 d_p(x)^{1/n}(2e_{pq}(x))^{1-\frac{1}{n}} \right\}$$

where $C_0 = \frac{4}{17} \frac{n-2}{n-1} \frac{5}{18e_0}^{1/n}$.
Lemma 2.3 (Lemma 3.9 [10]). Let \((M, g)\) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with asymptotically nonnegative Ricci curvature with base point \(p\). Then for all \(x \in M\) and all numbers \(R', R\) with \(0 < R' < R\) we have
\[
\frac{\text{vol} B(x, R)}{\text{vol} B(x, R')} \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{e^{(n-1)\beta_0} (\frac{R}{R'})^n}{1} & \text{if } 0 < R < r = d(p, x) \\
\frac{e^{(n-1)\beta_0} (\frac{R}{R'})^n}{\beta_0} & \text{if } R \geq r
\end{array} \right.
\]
where \(B(\overline{x}, s)\) is the ball in \(\overline{M}\) with center \(\overline{x}\) and radius \(s\).

Let \(\Sigma_p\) be a closed subset of \(U_p = \{u \in T_p M, \|u\| = 1\}\).
Set \(\Sigma_p(r) = \{v \in \Sigma_p/\gamma(t) = \exp_p tv, \gamma \text{ is minimal on } [0, r]\}\) and
\(B_{\Sigma_p}(r)(p, r) = \{x \in B(p, r)/\exists \gamma : [0, s] \to M, \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(s) = x \text{ and } \gamma'(0) \in \Sigma_p\}\).
Set \(\Sigma_p(\infty) = \cap_{r>0} \Sigma_p(r)\).

The following two lemmas generalised the above one.

Lemma 2.4 (Lemma 3.9 [10]). Let \((M, g)\) be a Riemannian complete non-compact manifold such that \(\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)\lambda(d(p, x))\) and \(\Sigma_p\) be a closed subset of \(U_p\). Then the function \(r \mapsto \frac{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p}(r)(p, r)}{\text{vol} B(p, r)}\) is non increasing.

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 3.10 [10]). Let \((M, g)\) be a Riemannian complete non-compact manifold such that \(\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)\lambda(d(p, x))\) and \(\Sigma_p\) be a closed subset of \(U_p\). Then \(\frac{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p}(r)(p, r)}{\text{vol} B(p, r)} \geq \alpha_p\).

3. Proofs

Proof of theorem

To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that \(d_p\) has no critical point other than \(p\). Let \(x\) be a point of \(M\). Set \(r = d(p, x); s = d(x, R_p)\). Since \(R_p\) is closed there exists a ray \(\gamma\) issuing from \(p\) such that \(s = d(x, \gamma)\). Set \(q = \gamma(t_0)\) for \(t_0 \geq 2T\). Let \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\) be geodesics joining \(x\) to \(p\) and \(q\) respectively.
Set \(\Phi = \sigma_1(\delta t^{\alpha/2})\); \(\tilde{q} = \sigma_2(\delta t^{\alpha/2})\) with
\[
\delta < \min \left\{ e^n, \frac{r_0^{1-\beta/2}}{\sqrt{1+8b_0}}, \frac{C_0^{1-\beta/2}}{20}, \frac{r_0^{1-\beta/2}}{\sqrt{1+8b_0}}, C_0^{1-\beta/2} \right\}.
\]
Consider the triangle \((x, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})\); if \(y\) is a point on this triangle, then
\[
d(p, y) \geq d(p, x) - d(x, y) \geq d(p, x) - d(\tilde{p}, x) - d(\tilde{p}, y) \geq d(p, x) - 2\delta t^{\alpha/2}.
\]
Since \(\beta \geq \alpha\) we have
\[
d(p, y) \geq d(p, x) - 2\delta t^{\alpha/2} \geq r(1 - 2\delta t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \geq r(1 - 2\delta t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \geq r/4.
\]
Hence \(y \in M \setminus B(p, r/4)\) and \(K_M(y) \geq -\frac{\lambda C_0}{\delta c} \).
Thus the triangle \((x, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \subset M \setminus B(p, \frac{r}{4})\). Set \(\theta = \angle \sigma_1'(0), \sigma_2'(0)\).
Applying the Toponogov’s theorem to the triangle \((x, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})\) we have:

\[
\cosh \left( \frac{2\alpha C_1 r^{1/2}}{\alpha/2} d(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \right) \leq \cosh^2 \left( \frac{2\alpha C_1 r^{1/2}}{\alpha/2} d(\tilde{p}, x) \right) - \sinh^2 \left( \frac{2\alpha C_1 r^{1/2}}{\alpha/2} d(\tilde{p}, x) \right) \cos \theta
\]

Since \(s < \delta r^{\beta/2}\), we deduce from inequalities (2.1) and (3.1)

\[
C_0 r^{1/n}(2e_{pq}(x))^{1-\frac{1}{n}} \leq \delta r^{\beta/2},
\]

hence

\[
e_{pq}(x) \leq \frac{\delta^{n/2} - 1}{2C_0^{n/2}} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} r^{\alpha/2}.
\]

By triangle inequality, we have

\[
d(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \geq d(p, q) - d(p, \tilde{p}) - d(q, \tilde{q})
\]

\[
\geq d(p, q) - d(p, \tilde{p}) + d(\tilde{p}, x) - d(x, q) + d(\tilde{q}, x).
\]

Hence

\[
d(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \geq 2\delta r^{\alpha/2} - e_{pq}(x).
\]

From inequalities (3.3) and (3.4), we deduce

\[
\cosh \left( \frac{3}{2} C_1^{1/2} 2^\alpha \delta \right) \leq \cosh^2 \left( C_1^{1/2} 2^\alpha \delta \right) - \sinh^2 \left( C_1^{1/2} 2^\alpha \delta \right) \cos \theta.
\]

Therefore

\[
\sinh^2 \left( C_1^{1/2} 2^\alpha \delta \right) \cos \theta \leq \cosh^2 \left( C_1^{1/2} 2^\alpha \delta \right) - \cosh \left( \frac{3}{2} C_1^{1/2} 2^\alpha \delta \right)
\]

Let \(X_0\) be the solution of the equation \(\cosh^2 2X - \cosh 3X = 0\). If \(\delta_0 < \frac{X_0}{2}\), then \(\theta > \frac{\pi}{2}\) which means that \(x\) is not a critical point of \(d_p\) and the conclusion follows.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4**

If \(y(t)\) denotes the function given by the Jacobi equation

\[
y''(t) = \lambda(t) y(t)
\]

in the simply connected manifold with sectional curvature \(-\lambda(d(\bar{p}, \bar{x}))\) at the point \(\bar{x}\) then (see [1])

\[
t \leq y(t) \leq e^{b_0 t}
\]

and it follows that

\[
\omega_n r^n \leq vol(B(\bar{p}, r)) \leq \omega_n e^{(n-1)b_0 r^n}.
\]

In one hand we have:
Let $x \in M$, $x \neq p$; set $s = d(x, R_p)$ and $\Sigma_p^c(\infty) = U_p \setminus \Sigma_p(\infty)$. Thus

$$B(x, \frac{s}{2}) \subset B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r + \frac{s}{2}) \setminus B(p, r - \frac{s}{2}).$$

Hence

$$\text{vol} B(x, \frac{s}{2}) \leq \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r + \frac{s}{2}) - \text{vol} B(p, r - \frac{s}{2})$$

(3.9)

$$\leq \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r + \frac{s}{2}) - \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2})$$

(3.10)

$$\leq \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{r}{2}) \left( \frac{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r + \frac{s}{2})}{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2})} - 1 \right).$$

(3.11)

We deduce from lemma 2.5

$$\text{vol} B(x, \frac{s}{2}) \leq \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2}) \left( \frac{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r + \frac{s}{2})}{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2})} - 1 \right)$$

(3.12)

$$\leq \frac{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2})}{\omega_n(r - \frac{s}{2})^n} \left( \int_{U_p} \int_{r-s/2}^{r+s/2} J^{n-1}(t) dt \right)$$

(3.13)

where $J(t)$ denotes the exponential Jacobi in polar coordinates. Since the function $J/y$ is nonincreasing (see [1]) and using the inequality (3.17) we have:

$$\text{vol} B(x, \frac{s}{2}) \leq \frac{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2})}{\omega_n(r - \frac{s}{2})^n} e^{(n-1)b_0} \left( \int_{U_p} \int_{r-s/2}^{r+s/2} t^{n-1} dt \right)$$

(3.14)

$$\leq \frac{\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2})}{(r - \frac{s}{2})^n} e^{(n-1)b_0} \left( (r + s/2)^n - (r - s/2)^n \right)$$

(3.15)

$$\leq \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2}) e^{(n-1)b_0} \left( \left( \frac{r + s/2}{r - s/2} \right)^n - 1 \right)$$

(3.16)

$$\leq \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2}) e^{(n-1)b_0} \left( 1 + \frac{2s}{r} \right)^n - 1 \right) \leq \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2}) e^{(n-1)b_0} \frac{s}{r} (3^n - 1).$$

(3.17)

In other hand we have

$$\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2}) = \text{vol} B(p, r - s/2) - \text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - s/2)$$

By (2.5) we have

$$\text{vol} B_{\Sigma_p^c(\infty)}(p, r - \frac{s}{2}) \geq \alpha_p \text{vol} B(p, r - s/2).$$

(3.18)

From (3.17) and (3.18) we deduce

$$\text{vol} B(x, \frac{s}{2}) \leq \left[ \text{vol} B(p, r - s/2) - \alpha_p \text{vol} B(p, r - s/2) \right] e^{(n-1)b_0} \frac{s}{r} (3^n - 1).$$
By (3.19) we have
\[
vol B(x, s/2) \leq \frac{e^{\alpha_p}}{(r - s/2)^{(n-2+\frac{1}{n})(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})}} e^{(n-1)b_0} s^3 n \omega_n \rho^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n}))}.
\]
From (3.8) and (3.19) we have
\[
vol B(x, s/2) \leq \epsilon^{\alpha_p} e^{2(n-1)b_0} s^3 n \omega_n \rho^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n}))}.
\]
We claim that
\[
vol B(x, s/2) \geq \frac{\omega_n \rho}{6^n e^{(n-1)b_0}} s^n.
\]
Indeed we have \(B(p, r) \subset B(x, 2r)\), and by (3.23) we deduce
\[
\frac{vol B(p, r)}{vol B(x, s/2)} \leq \frac{vol B(x, 2r)}{vol B(x, s/2)} \leq \frac{B(\mathbb{R}, 2r)}{B(\mathbb{R}, s/2)}
\]
\[
\leq e^{(n-1)b_0} \left( \frac{2r + s}{s/2} \right)^n \leq e^{(n-1)b_0} 6^n \left( \frac{r}{s} \right)^n.
\]
Thus
\[
vol B(x, s/2) \geq \frac{s^n vol B(p, r)}{6^n e^{(n-1)b_0} r^n}.
\]
Hence from (3.8), lemma 2.3, and (3.24) the conclusion follows. Thus from (3.21) and the inequality (3.24) we have
\[
s^{n-1} \leq \epsilon 18^n e^{3(n-1)b_0} r^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n}))}
\]
which means that
\[
s \leq \epsilon^{1/(n-1)} 18^{n/(n-1)} e^{3b_0 r^{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n})}}.
\]
Then it suffices to take \(\epsilon < \frac{\delta^{n-1}}{18^n e^{3(n-1)b_0}}\).
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