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# CRITICAL POINTS BETWEEN VARIETIES GENERATED BY SUBSPACE LATTICES OF VECTOR SPACES 

PIERRE GILLIBERT


#### Abstract

We denote by $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} A$ the semilattice of all compact congruences of an algebra $A$. Given a variety $\mathcal{V}$ of algebras, we denote by $\operatorname{Con}_{c} \mathcal{V}$ the class of all semilattices isomorphic to $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{V}$. Given $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ varieties of algebras, the critical point of $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ under $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ is defined as $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)=\min \left\{\operatorname{card} D \mid D \in \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathcal{V}_{1}-\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathcal{V}_{2}\right\}$. Given a finitely generated variety $\mathcal{V}$ of modular lattices, we obtain an integer $\ell$, depending of $\mathcal{V}$, such that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2}$ for any $n \geq \ell$ and any field $F$.

In a second part, using tools introduced in 4, we prove that: $$
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)\right)=\aleph_{2}
$$ for any finite field $F$ and any integer $n$ such that $2+\operatorname{card} F \leq n \leq \omega$. Similarly $\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)\right)=\aleph_{2}$, for all finite fields $F$ and $K$ such that card $F>\operatorname{card} K$.


## 1. Introduction

We denote by $\operatorname{Con} A$ (resp., $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} A$ ) the lattice (resp., ( $\left.\vee, 0\right)$-semilattice) of all congruences (resp., compact congruences) of an algebra $A$. For a homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of algebras, we denote by $\operatorname{Con} f$ the map from Con $A$ to $\operatorname{Con} B$ defined by the rule

$$
(\operatorname{Con} f)(\alpha)=\text { congruence of } B \text { generated by }\{(f(x), f(y)) \mid(x, y) \in \alpha\},
$$

for every $\alpha \in \operatorname{Con} A$, and we also denote by $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f$ the restriction of $\operatorname{Con} f$ from $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} A$ to $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} B$.

A congruence-lifting of a $(\vee, 0)$-semilattice $S$ is an algebra $A$ such that $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} A \cong$ $S$. Given a variety $\mathcal{V}$ of algebras, the compact congruence class of $\mathcal{V}$, denoted by $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathcal{V}$, is the class of all $(\vee, 0)$-semilattices isomorphic to $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{V}$. As illustrated by 10], even the compact congruence classes of small varieties of lattices are complicated objects. For example, in case $\mathcal{V}$ is the variety of all lattices, $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathcal{V}$ contains all distributive $(\vee, 0)$-semilattices of cardinality at most $\aleph_{1}$, but not all distributive ( $\vee, 0$ )-semilattices (cf. [13]).

Given varieties $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ of algebras, the critical point of $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$, denoted by $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)$, is the smallest cardinality of a $(\vee, 0)$-semilattice in $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right)-\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right)$ if it exists, or $\infty$, otherwise (i.e., if $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathcal{V}_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathcal{V}_{2}$ ).

[^0]Let $I$ be a poset. A direct system indexed by $I$ is a family $\left(A_{i}, f_{i, j}\right)_{i \leq j \text { in } I}$ such that $A_{i}$ is an algebra, $f_{i, j}: A_{i} \rightarrow A_{j}$ is a morphism of algebras, $f_{i, i}=\operatorname{id}_{A_{i}}$, and $f_{i, k}=f_{j, k} \circ f_{i, j}$, for all $i \leq j \leq k$ in $I$.

Denote by Sub $V$ the subspace lattice of a vector space $V$, and by $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ the variety of lattices generated by the lattice $M_{n}$ of length two with $n$ atoms, for $3 \leq n \leq \omega$. Using the theory of the dimension monoid of a lattice, introduced by F. Wehrung in [11], together with some Von Neumann regular ring theory, we prove in Section 3 that if $\mathcal{W}$ is a finitely generated variety of modular lattices with all subdirectly irreducible members of length less or equal to $n$, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{W} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub}\left(F^{n}\right)\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2}$ for any field $F$. As an immediate application, $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{N}_{n} ; \mathcal{M}_{3}\right) \geq \aleph_{2}$ for every $n$ with $3 \leq n \leq \omega$ (cf. Corollary 3.12). Thus, by using the result of M. Ploščica in [8], we obtain the inequality $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m} ; \mathcal{M}_{n}\right)=\aleph_{2}$ for all $m, n$ with $3 \leq n<m \leq \omega$. Our proof does not rely on the approach used by Ploščica in (9] to prove the inequality $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1}\right) \geq \aleph_{2}$, and it extends that result to the unbounded case. We also obtain a new proof of that result in Section 4, that does not even rely on the approach used by Ploščica in [8] to prove the inequality $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m} ; \mathcal{M}_{n}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety of lattices, let $\vec{D}$ be a diagram of $(\vee, 0)$-semilattices and $(\vee, 0)$ homomorphisms. A congruence-lifting of $\vec{D}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ is a diagram $\vec{L}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ such that the composite $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{L}$ is naturally equivalent to $\vec{D}$.

In Section 1 , we give a diagram of finite ( $\vee, 0)$-semilattices that is congruenceliftable in $\mathcal{M}_{n}$, but not congruence-liftable in $\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)$, for any finite field $F$ and any $n$ such that $2+\operatorname{card} F \leq n \leq \omega$. As the diagram of $(\vee, 0)$-semilattices is indexed by some "good" lattice, we obtain, using results of \|ौ, that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)\right)=$ $\aleph_{2}$. This implies immediately that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{4} ; \mathcal{M}_{3,3}\right)=\aleph_{2}$. Let $F$ and $K$ be finite fields such that $\operatorname{card} F>\operatorname{card} K$, we also obtain $\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)\right)=\aleph_{2}$.

In a similar way, we prove that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\omega} ; \mathcal{V}\right)=\aleph_{2}$, for every finitely generated variety of lattices $\mathcal{V}$ such that $M_{3} \in \mathcal{V}$.

## 2. BASIC CONCEPTS

We denote by $\operatorname{dom} f$ the domain of any function $f$. A poset is a partially ordered set. We put

$$
Q \downarrow X=\{p \in Q \mid(\exists x \in X)(p \leq x)\}, \quad Q \uparrow X=\{p \in Q \mid(\exists x \in X)(p \geq x)\}
$$

for any $X, Q \subseteq P$, and we will write $\downarrow X$ (resp., $\uparrow X$ ) instead of $P \downarrow X$ (resp., $P \uparrow X$ ) in case $P$ is understood. We shall also write $\downarrow p$ instead of $\downarrow\{p\}$, and so on, for $p \in P$. A poset $P$ is lower finite if $P \downarrow p$ is finite for all $p \in P$. For $p, q \in P$ let $p \prec q$ hold, if $p<q$ and there is no $r \in P$ with $p<r<q$, in this case $p$ is called a lower cover of $q$. We denote by $P^{=}$the set of all non-maximal elements in a poset $P$. We denote by $\mathrm{M}(L)$ the set of all completely meet-irreducible elements of a lattice $L$.

A 2-ladder is a lower finite lattice in which every element has at most two lower covers. S. Z. Ditor constructs in [1] a 2-ladder of cardinality $\aleph_{1}$.

For a set $X$ and a cardinal $\kappa$, we denote by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[X]^{\kappa} } & =\{Y \subseteq X \mid \operatorname{card} Y=\kappa\}, \\
{[X]^{\leq \kappa} } & =\{Y \subseteq X \mid \operatorname{card} Y \leq \kappa\}, \\
{[X]^{<\kappa} } & =\{Y \subseteq X \mid \operatorname{card} Y<\kappa\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $\mathscr{P}$ the category with objects the ordered pairs $(G, u)$ where $G$ is a pre-ordered abelian group and $u$ is an order-unit of $G$ (i.e., for each $x \in G$, there
exists an integer $n$ with $-n x \leq x \leq n x)$, and morphisms $f:(G, u) \rightarrow(H, v)$ where $f: G \rightarrow H$ is an order-preserving group homomorphism and $f(u)=v$. Let $\Lambda^{0,1}$ be the category of all bounded lattices with morphisms of lattices preserving bounds.

We denote by Dim the functor that maps a lattice to its dimension monoid, introduced by F. Wehrung in [11], we also denote by $\Delta(a, b)$ for $a \leq b$ in $L$ the canonical generators of $\operatorname{Dim} L$. We denote by $K_{0}^{\ell}$ the functor that maps a lattice to the pre-ordered abelian universal group (also called Grothendieck group) of its dimension monoid. If $L$ is a bounded lattice then (the canonical image in $K_{0}^{\ell}(L)$ of) $\Delta\left(0_{L}, 1_{L}\right)$ is an order-unit of $K_{0}^{\ell}(L)$. If $f: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is a 0,1 -preserving homomorphism of bounded lattices, then $K_{0}^{\ell}(f):\left(K_{0}^{\ell}(L), \Delta\left(0_{L}, 1_{L}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(K_{0}^{\ell}\left(L^{\prime}\right), \Delta\left(0_{L^{\prime}}, 1_{L^{\prime}}\right)\right)$ preserves the order-unit.

All our rings are associative but not necessarily unital.

- We denote by $\mathbb{L}$ the functor from the category of regular rings to the category of lattices, that maps a ring to the lattice of its principal right ideals and any homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow S$ of regular rings to the 0-lattice homomorphism $\mathbb{L}(f): \mathbb{L}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(S), I \mapsto f(I) S$.
- We denote by $V$ the functor from the category of unital ring with morphisms preserving units to the category of commutative monoids, that maps a unital ring $R$ to the commutative monoid of all isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective right $R$-modules and any homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow S$ of unital rings to the monoid homomorphism $V(f): V(R) \rightarrow V(S), \sum_{i} e_{i} R \mapsto$ $\sum_{i} f\left(e_{i}\right) S$.

We denote by $\operatorname{Id} R$ (resp., $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{c}} R$ ) the lattice of all two-sided ideals (resp., finitely generated two-sided ideals) of any ring $R$. We denote by $\operatorname{Sub} E$ the subspace lattice of a vector space $E$. We denote by $\mathrm{M}_{n}(F)$ the $F$-algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from $F$, for every field $F$ and every positive integer $n$. A matricial $F$-algebra is an $F$-algebra of the form $\mathrm{M}_{k_{1}}(F) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{M}_{k_{n}}(F)$, for positive integers $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}$.

For a finitely generated projective right module $P$ over a unital ring $R$, we denote by $[P]$ the corresponding element in $K_{0}(R)$, that is, the stable isomorphism class of $P$. We refer to [6, Section 15] for the required notions about the $K_{0}$ functor.

A $K_{0}$-lifting of a pre-ordered abelian group with order-unit $(G, u)$ is a regular ring $R$ such that $\left(K_{0}(R),[R]\right) \cong(G, u)$. A $K_{0}$-lifting of a diagram $\vec{G}: I \rightarrow \mathscr{P}$ is a diagram $\vec{R}: I \rightarrow \mathscr{P}$ such that $\left(K_{0}(-),[-]\right) \circ \vec{R} \cong \vec{G}$.

We denote by $\nabla$ the functor that sends a monoid to it maximal semilattice quotient, that is, $\nabla(M)=M / \asymp$ where $\asymp$ is the smallest congruence of $M$ such that $M / \asymp$ is a semilattice. We denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ the functor that maps a partially preordered abelian group $G$ to $\nabla\left(G^{+}\right)$where $G^{+}$is the monoid of all positive elements of $G$.

We denote by $\operatorname{Var}(L)$ (resp., $\operatorname{Var}_{0}(L)$, resp., $\operatorname{Var}_{0,1}(L)$ ) the variety of lattices (resp., lattices with 0 , resp., bounded lattices) generated by a lattice $L$.

A lattice $K$ is a congruence-preserving extension of a lattice $L$, if $L$ is a sublattice of $K$ and $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} i:$ Con $L \rightarrow \mathrm{Con} K$ is an isomorphism, where $i: L \rightarrow K$ is the inclusion map.

We denote by $M_{n}$ and $M_{n, m}$ the lattices represented in Figure 11 for $3 \leq m, n \leq$ $\omega$, and by $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n, m}$, respectively, the lattice varieties that they generate. We also denote by $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0}$ the variety of lattices with 0 generated by $M_{n}$, and so on.


Figure 1. The lattices $M_{n}$ and $M_{n, m}$.

A lattice $L$ satisfies Whitman's condition if for all $a, b, c$, and $d$ in $L$ :
$a \wedge b \leq c \vee d \quad$ implies either $a \leq c \vee d$ or $b \leq c \vee d \quad$ or $\quad a \wedge b \leq c \quad$ or $a \wedge b \leq d$. The lattice $M_{n}$ satisfies Whitman's condition for all $n \geq 3$.

## 3. LOWER BOUNDS FOR SOME CRITICAL POINTS

The following proposition is proved in 11, Proposition 5.5].
Proposition 3.1. Let L be a modular lattice without infinite bounded chains. Let $P$ be the set of all projectivity classes of prime intervals of L. Denote by $|a, b|_{\xi}$ the number of occurrences of an interval in $\xi$ in any maximal chain of the interval $[a, b]$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\pi: \operatorname{Dim} L \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)^{(P)}$ such that $\pi(\Delta(a, b))=$ $\left(|a, b|_{\xi} \mid \xi \in P\right)$ for all $a \leq b$ in $L$.

This makes it possible to prove the following lemma, which gives an explicit description of $K_{0}^{\ell}(L)$ for every modular lattice $L$ of finite length (in such a case the set $P$ is finite).

Lemma 3.2. Let $L$ be a modular lattice of finite length, set $X=\mathrm{M}(\operatorname{Con} L)$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\pi^{\prime}: K_{0}^{\ell}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{X}$ such that

$$
\pi^{\prime}(\Delta(a, b))=(\operatorname{lh}([a / \theta, b / \theta]) \mid \theta \in X), \quad \text { for all } a \leq b \text { in } L
$$

In particular $\left(K_{0}^{\ell}(L), \Delta(0,1)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{X},(\operatorname{lh}(L / \theta))_{\theta \in X}\right)$.
Proof. For any $\xi \in P$ denote by $\theta_{\xi}$ the largest congruence of $L$ that does not collapse any prime intervals in $\xi$. It follows from the modularity of $L$ that the assignment $\xi \mapsto \theta_{\xi}$ defines a bijection from $P$ onto $X$. Moreover any prime interval not in $\xi$ is collapsed by $\theta_{\xi}$, for any $\xi \in P$. Let $a \leq b$ in $L$, let $\xi \in P$. Let $a_{0} \prec a_{1} \prec \cdots \prec a_{n}$ in $L$ such that $a_{0}=a$ and $a_{n}=b$. Let $0 \leq r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<r_{s}<n$ be all the integers such that $\left[a_{r_{k}}, a_{r_{k}+1}\right] \in \xi$ for all $1 \leq k \leq s$. Thus $|a, b|_{\xi}=s$. Set $r_{s+1}=n$. As $\left[a_{r_{k}}, a_{r_{k}+1}\right] \in \xi$ and $\left[a_{r_{k}+t}, a_{r_{k}+t+1}\right] \notin \xi$ for all $1 \leq t \leq r_{k+1}-r_{k}-1$, we obtain that

$$
a_{r_{k}} / \theta_{\xi} \prec a_{r_{k}+1} / \theta_{\xi}=a_{r_{k}+2} / \theta_{\xi}=\cdots=a_{r_{k+1}} / \theta_{\xi}, \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq k \leq s
$$

Thus the following covering relations hold:

$$
a / \theta_{\xi}=a_{r_{1}} / \theta_{\xi} \prec a_{r_{2}} / \theta_{\xi} \prec \cdots \prec a_{r_{s}} / \theta_{\xi} \prec a_{r_{s+1}} / \theta_{\xi}=b / \theta_{\xi} .
$$

So $\operatorname{lh}\left(\left[a / \theta_{\xi}, b / \theta_{\xi}\right]\right)=s=|a, b|_{\xi}$. We conclude the proof by using Proposition 3.1.
Proposition 3.3. The following natural equivalences hold

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\nabla \circ \mathrm{Dim} & \cong \mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} & & \text { on lattices }  \tag{i}\\
\nabla \circ V \cong \mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \mathbb{L} & & \text { on regular rings }
\end{array}
$$

Proof. (i) follows from 11, Corollary 2.3], while (ii) is contained in [6, Corollary 2.23]; see also the proof of [12, Proposition 4.6].

We shall always apply this result to unital regular rings $R$ such that $V(R)$ is cancellative (i.e., $R$ is unit-regular), so $K_{0}(R)^{+}=V(R)$, and to lattices $L$ such that $\operatorname{Dim} L$ is cancellative, so $K_{0}^{\ell}(L)^{+} \cong \operatorname{Dim} L$. Here $G^{+}$denotes the positive cone of $G$, for any partially pre-ordered abelian group $G$.

The following theorem is proved in [6, Theorem 15.23].
Theorem 3.4. Let $F$ be a field, let $R$ be a matricial $F$-algebra, and let $S$ be a unit-regular $F$-algebra.
(1) Given any morphism $f:\left(K_{0}(R),[R]\right) \rightarrow\left(K_{0}(S),[S]\right)$ in the category $\mathscr{P}$, there exists a unital $F$-algebra map $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ such that $K_{0}(\phi)=f$.
(2) if $\phi, \psi: R \rightarrow S$ are $F$-algebra maps, then $K_{0}(\phi)=K_{0}(\psi)$ if and only if there exists an inner automorphism $\theta$ of $S$ such that $\phi=\theta \circ \psi$.

The following lemma is folklore.
Lemma 3.5. Let $F$ be a field, let $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{k}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ be a family of positive integers, let $R=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{M}_{u_{k}}(F)$. Then $\left(K_{0}(R),[R]\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}, \boldsymbol{u}\right)$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $F$ be a field. Let $I$ be a 2-ladder, let $G_{i}=\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n_{i}}, \boldsymbol{u}^{i}=\left(u_{k}^{i}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq n_{i}}\right)$ such that $\boldsymbol{u}^{i}$ is an order-unit, let $R_{i}=\prod_{k=1}^{n_{i}} \mathrm{M}_{u_{k}^{i}}(F)$ for all $i \in I$. Let $f_{i, j}: G_{i} \rightarrow G_{j}$ for all $i \leq j$ in $I$ such that $\vec{G}=\left(G_{i}, f_{i, j}\right)_{i \leq j \text { in } I}$ is a direct system in $\mathscr{P}$. Then there exists a direct system $\left(R_{i}, \phi_{i, j}\right)_{i \leq j}$ in I of $F$-matricial rings which is a $K_{0}$-lifting of $\left(G_{i}, f_{i, j}\right)_{i \leq j \text { in } I}$ such that $\phi_{i, j}$ is a unital morphism for all $i \leq j$ in $I$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.5 there exists an isomorphism $\tau_{i}:\left(K_{0}\left(R_{i}\right),\left[R_{i}\right]\right) \rightarrow G_{i}=$ $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n_{i}}, \boldsymbol{u}^{i}\right)$ in $\mathscr{P}$, for all $i \in I$. Let $g_{i, j}=\tau_{j}^{-1} \circ f_{i, j} \circ \tau_{i}$, for all $i \leq j$ in $I$.

For $i=j=0$ (the smallest element of $I$ ), we put $\phi_{0,0}=\operatorname{id}_{R_{0}}$. Let $i \in I$ with a lower cover $i^{\prime}$. It follows from Theorem 3.4(1) that there exists $\psi_{i^{\prime}, i}: R_{i^{\prime}} \rightarrow R_{i}$ such that $K_{0}\left(\psi_{i^{\prime}, i}\right)=g_{i^{\prime}, i}$.

If $i$ has only $i^{\prime}$ as lower cover, assume that we have a direct system $\left(R_{j}, \phi_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i^{\prime}}$ lifting $\left(G_{j}, f_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i^{\prime}}$. Set $\phi_{j, i}=\psi_{i^{\prime}, i} \circ \phi_{j, i^{\prime}}$ for all $j<i$, and $\phi_{i, i}=\operatorname{id}_{R_{i}}$. It is easy to see that $\left(R_{i}, \phi_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i}$ is a direct system lifting $\left(G_{j}, f_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i}$.

Let $i$ have two distinct lower covers $i^{\prime}$ and $i^{\prime \prime}$, and set $\ell=\bar{i}^{\prime} \wedge i^{\prime \prime}$. Assume that we have direct system $\left(R_{j}, \phi_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i^{\prime}}$ and $\left(R_{j}, \phi_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i^{\prime \prime}} \operatorname{lifting}\left(G_{j}, f_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i^{\prime}}$ and $\left(G_{j}, f_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i^{\prime \prime}}$ respectively. The following equalities hold

$$
K_{0}\left(\psi_{i^{\prime}, i} \circ \phi_{\ell, i^{\prime}}\right)=K_{0}\left(\psi_{i^{\prime}, i}\right) \circ K_{0}\left(\phi_{\ell, i^{\prime}}\right)=g_{i^{\prime}, i} \circ g_{\ell, i^{\prime}}=g_{\ell, i}
$$

Similarly $K_{0}\left(\psi_{i^{\prime \prime}, i} \circ \phi_{\ell, i^{\prime \prime}}\right)=g_{\ell, i}=K_{0}\left(\psi_{i^{\prime}, i} \circ \phi_{\ell, i^{\prime}}\right)$, thus, by Theorem 3.4(2), there exists an inner automorphism $\theta$ of $R_{i}$ such that $\theta \circ \psi_{i^{\prime \prime}, i} \circ \phi_{\ell, i^{\prime \prime}}=\psi_{i^{\prime}, i} \circ \phi_{\ell, i^{\prime}}$.

Put $\phi_{i^{\prime}, i}=\psi_{i^{\prime}, i}$ and $\phi_{i^{\prime \prime}, i}=\theta \circ \psi_{i^{\prime \prime}, i}$. Thus $\phi_{i^{\prime}, i} \circ \phi_{i^{\prime} \wedge i^{\prime \prime}, i^{\prime}}=\phi_{i^{\prime \prime}, i} \circ \phi_{i^{\prime} \wedge i^{\prime \prime}, i^{\prime \prime}}$, so we can construct a direct system $\left(R_{j}, \phi_{j, k}\right)_{j \leq k \leq i}$.

Hence, by induction, we obtain a direct system $\left(R_{i}, \phi_{i, j}\right)_{i \leq j \text { in } I}$ such that $K_{0}\left(\phi_{i, j}\right)=$ $g_{i, j}$ for all $i \leq j$ in $I$ as required.

Lemma 3.7. Let $F$ be a field. Let $L$ be a bounded modular lattice such that all finitely generated sublattices of $L$ are of finite length. Assume that $\operatorname{card} L \leq \aleph_{1}$. Then there exists a locally matricial ring $R$ such that $\operatorname{Con} L \cong \operatorname{Con} \mathbb{L}(R)$ and $\mathbb{L}(R) \in$ $\operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n} \mid n<\omega\right)$.

Moreover if there exists $n<\omega$ such that $n \geq \operatorname{lh}(K)$ for each simple lattice $K \in \operatorname{Var}(L)$ of finite length, then there exists a locally matricial ring $R$ such that $\operatorname{Con} L \cong \operatorname{Con} \mathbb{L}(R)$ and $\mathbb{L}(R) \in \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)$.

Proof. Let $I$ be a 2-ladder of cardinality $\aleph_{1}$. Pick a surjection $\rho: I \rightarrow L$ and denote by $L_{i}$ the sublattice of $L$ generated by $\rho(I \downarrow i) \cup\{0,1\}$, for each $i \in I$. Furthermore, denote by $f_{i, j}: L_{i} \rightarrow L_{j}$ the inclusion map, for all $i \leq j$ in $I$. Then $\vec{L}=\left(L_{i}, f_{i, j}\right)_{i \leq j \text { in } I}$ is a direct system of modular lattices of finite length and 0 , 1-lattice embeddings.

Assume that there exists $n<\omega$ such that $n \geq \operatorname{lh}(K)$ for each simple lattice $K \in \operatorname{Var}(L)$ of finite length. Let $\vec{G}=K_{0}^{\ell} \circ \vec{L}$, set $X_{i}=\mathrm{M}\left(\operatorname{Con} L_{i}\right)$ for all $i \in I$, and set $r_{x}^{i}=\operatorname{lh}\left(L_{i} / x\right)$ for each $x \in X_{i}$. As $L_{i} / x$ is a subdirectly irreducible modular lattice of finite length, it is simple, thus $r_{x}^{i} \leq n$, for all $i \in I$ and all $x \in X_{i}$. By Lemma 3.2, $G_{i} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}^{X_{i}},\left(r_{x}^{i}\right)_{x \in X_{i}}\right)$ for all $i \in I$.

Set $R_{i}=\prod_{x \in X_{i}} \mathrm{M}_{r_{x}^{i}}(F)$. By Lemma 3.5, $\left(K_{0}\left(R_{i}\right),\left[R_{i}\right]\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}^{X_{i}},\left(r_{x}^{i}\right)_{x \in X}\right) \cong G_{i}$. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a direct system $\vec{R}=\left(R_{i}, \phi_{i, j}\right)_{i \leq j}$ in $I$ with morphisms preserving units, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0} \circ \vec{R} \cong \vec{G}=K_{0}^{\ell} \circ \vec{L} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover:
$\mathbb{L}\left(R_{i}\right) \cong \mathbb{L}\left(\prod_{x \in X_{i}} \mathrm{M}_{r_{x}^{i}}(F)\right) \cong \prod_{x \in X_{i}} \mathbb{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{r_{x}^{i}}(F)\right) \cong \prod_{x \in X_{i}} \operatorname{Sub}\left(F^{r_{x}^{i}}\right) \in \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)$.
Let $R=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } \vec{R}$. As $\mathbb{L}$ preserves direct limits, $\mathbb{L}(R) \cong \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim }(\mathbb{L} \circ \vec{R})$, but $\mathbb{L} \circ \vec{R}$ is a diagram of $\operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)$, so $\mathbb{L}(R) \in \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)$. Moreover the following isomorphisms hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{L}(R)) & \cong \bar{\nabla}\left(K_{0}(R)\right) & & \text { by Proposition 3.3 } \\
& \cong \bar{\nabla}\left(K_{0}(\underline{\lim \vec{R})})\right. & & \\
& \cong \bar{\nabla}\left(\lim _{\longrightarrow}\left(K_{0} \circ \vec{R}\right)\right) & & \text { as } K_{0} \text { preserves direct limits } \\
& \cong \bar{\nabla}\left({\underset{l i m}{\longrightarrow}}^{\left.\lim _{0}^{\ell} \circ \vec{L}\right)}\right) & & \text { by }\left(\begin{array}{l}
3.1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \cong \bar{\nabla}\left(K_{0}^{\ell}(\underline{\lim \vec{L})})\right. & & \text { as } K_{0}^{\ell} \text { preserves direct limits } \\
& \cong \bar{\nabla}\left(K_{0}^{\ell}(L)\right) & & \\
& \cong \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L & & \text { by Proposition } 3.3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other case, without restriction on finite lengths of simple lattices, is similar.

Lemma 3.7 works for bounded lattices, however any lattice can be embedded into a bounded lattice. In the rest of this section, using this result, we extend Lemma 3.7 to unbounded lattices.

Lemma 3.8. Let $L$ be a lattice, let $L^{\prime}=L \sqcup\{0,1\}$ such that 0 is the smallest element of $L^{\prime}$ and 1 is the largest. Let $f: L \hookrightarrow L^{\prime}$ be the inclusion map. Then $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f$ is a $(\mathrm{V}, 0)$-homomorphism-embeddings and $\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f\right)\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L\right)$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L$, let $L_{\theta}^{\prime}=(L / \theta) \sqcup\{0,1\}$ such that 0 is the smallest element of $L_{\theta}^{\prime}$ and 1 is its largest element. The following map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{\theta}^{\prime} \\
& x \mapsto \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x=0 \\
1 & \text { if } x=1 \\
x / \theta & \text { if } x \in L\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a lattice homomorphism, and $\operatorname{ker} g=\theta \cup\{(0,0),(1,1)\}$, so the latter is a congruence of $L^{\prime}$. It follows that $\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f\right)(\theta)=\theta \cup\{(0,0),(1,1)\}$. Thus $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f$ is an embedding. Let $\beta=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \Theta_{L^{\prime}}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L^{\prime}$, such that $\beta \subseteq\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f\right)(\theta)$. We can assume that $x_{i} \neq y_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, as $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \theta \cup\{(0,0),(1,1)\}$, $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \theta$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\alpha=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \Theta_{L}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, then $\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f\right)(\alpha)=\beta$. Thus $\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f\right)\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L\right)$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L^{\prime}$.
F. Wehrung proves the following proposition in 12, Corollary 4.4]; the result also applies to the non-unital case, with a similar proof.
Proposition 3.9. For any regular ring $R, \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbb{L}(R)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{c}} R$.
Lemma 3.10. Let $R$ be a regular ring, and let $I$ be a two-sided ideal of $R$. Then the following assertions hold
(1) The set $I$ is a regular subring of $R$.
(2) Any right (resp., left) ideal of $I$ is a right (resp., left) ideal of $R$.
(3) In particular $\operatorname{Id}(I)=\operatorname{Id}(R) \downarrow I$, and $\mathbb{L}(I)=\mathbb{L}(R) \downarrow I$.

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from [6, Lemma 1.3].
Let $J$ be a right ideal of $I$, let $a \in J$, let $x \in R$. As $I$ is regular there exists $y \in I$ such that $a=a y a$, so $a x=a y a x$, but $a \in I$, so $y a x \in I$, moreover $J$ is a right ideal of $I$, so $a x=$ ayax $\in J$. Thus $J$ is a right ideal of $R$. Similarly any left ideal of $I$ is a left ideal of $R$. Thus $\operatorname{Id}(I)=\operatorname{Id}(R) \downarrow I$.

Let $a \in R$ idempotent. If $a R \subseteq I$, then $a \in I$, so $a I \subseteq a R=a a R \subseteq a I$, and so $a I=a R$, thus $a R \in \mathbb{L}(I)$. So $\mathbb{L}(I)=\mathbb{L}(R) \downarrow I$.
Theorem 3.11. Let $F$ be a field. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety of modular lattices (resp., a variety of bounded modular lattices). Assume that all finitely generated lattices of $\mathcal{V}$ are of finite length. Then
$\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n} \mid n \in \omega\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2} \quad\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\quad \operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n} \mid n \in \omega\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2}\right)$.
Moreover for $L \in \mathcal{V}$ of cardinality at most $\aleph_{1}$, there exists a regular ring $A$ such that $\operatorname{Con} L \cong \operatorname{Con}(\mathbb{L} A)$ and $\mathbb{L}(A) \in \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\mathbb{L}(A) \in \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right)$.

If there exists $n<\omega$ such that $\operatorname{lh}(K) \leq n$ for each simple lattice $K \in \mathcal{V}_{1}$ of finite length, then:

$$
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2} \quad\left(\operatorname{resp} ., \quad \operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2}\right)
$$

Moreover for $L \in \mathcal{V}$ of cardinality at most $\aleph_{1}$, there exists a regular ring $A$ such that $\operatorname{Con} L \cong \operatorname{Con}(\mathbb{L} A)$ and $\mathbb{L}(A) \in \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\mathbb{L}(A) \in \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right)$.

Observe that $\mathbb{L}(A)$ is, in addition, relatively complemented; in particular, it is congruence-permutable.

Proof. The bounded case is an immediate application of Lemma 3.7.
Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety of modular lattices in which finitely generated lattices are of finite length. Let $L \in \mathcal{V}$ such that card $L \leq \aleph_{1}$, let $L^{\prime}=L \sqcup\{0,1\}$ as in Lemma 3.8 and let $D$ be the ideal of $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L$. By Chapter I, Section 4, Exercise 14 in 5 we have $L^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}$, thus, by Lemma 3.7, there exists a regular ring $R$ such that $\mathbb{L}(R) \in \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{L} R) \cong \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L^{\prime}$. By Proposition 3.9, $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{L} R) \cong \operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{c}} R$. Let $I$ be the ideal of $R$ corresponding to $D$. Then $\operatorname{Con} L \cong \operatorname{Id} D \cong \operatorname{Id} R \downarrow I \cong \operatorname{Id} I \cong \operatorname{Con}(\mathbb{L} I)$. Moreover $\mathbb{L}(I)=\mathbb{L}(R) \downarrow I$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}_{2}$.

We obtain the following generalization of M. Ploščica results in (9).
Corollary 3.12. Let $m$, $n$ be ordinals such that $3 \leq n<m \leq \omega$. Then the equality $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m} ; \mathcal{M}_{n}\right)=\aleph_{2}$ holds.
Proof. Every simple lattice of $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ has length at most two. Moreover, Sub $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \cong$ $M_{3} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is the two-element field. Thus, by Theorem 3.11, $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m} ; \mathcal{M}_{n}\right) \geq$ $\aleph_{2}$.

Conversely, M. Ploščica proves in 8 that there exists a $(\vee, 0)$-semilattice of cardinality $\aleph_{2}$, congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{M}_{m}$, but not congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{M}_{n}$. So $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m} ; \mathcal{M}_{n}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$.

In Section we shall give another $(\vee, 0)$-semilattice of cardinality $\aleph_{2}$, congruenceliftable in $\mathcal{M}_{n}$, but not congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{M}_{m}$.

## 4. An upper bound of some critical points

Using the results of [4] , we first prove that if a simple lattice of a variety of lattices $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ has larger length than all simple lattices of a finitely generated variety of lattice $\mathcal{V}_{2}$, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \leq \aleph_{0}$.
Remark 4.1. Let $x \prec y$ in a lattice $L$. Let $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a family of congruences of $L$, if $(x, y) \in \bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_{i}$, then $(x, y) \in \alpha_{i}$ for some $i \in I$. In particular there exists a largest congruence separating $x$ and $y$. Such a congruence is completely meet-irreducible, and in a lattice of finite height all completely meet-irreducible congruences are of this form.
Lemma 4.2. Let $L$ be a lattice and $n \geq 0$. If $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L \cong 2^{n}$ then $\operatorname{lh}(L) \geq n$. Moreover, if $C$ is a maximal chain of $L$, then $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f$ is surjective, where $f: C \rightarrow L$ is the inclusion map.
Proof. The result that $\operatorname{lh}(L) \geq n$ is obvious if $L$ has no maximal chain or if $L$ is unbounded. So we can choose a maximal chain $0=x_{0} \prec x_{1} \prec \cdots \prec x_{m}=1$ of $L$. Put $C=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$. Let $f: C \rightarrow L$ be the inclusion map. The complement of $\Theta_{L}\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right)$ is the largest congruence separating $x_{k}$ and $x_{k+1}$, thus is completely meet-irreducible, so $\Theta_{L}\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right)$ is an atom of Con $L$, for all $0 \leq k<m$. Moreover:

$$
\bigvee_{k=0}^{m-1} \Theta_{L}\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right)=\Theta_{L}(0,1)
$$

Thus $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f$ is surjective, so $m \geq n$, hence $\operatorname{lh}(L) \geq n$.
Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ be a variety of lattices (resp., a variety of bounded lattices), let $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ be a finitely generated variety of lattices, let $D$ be a finite ( $\left.\vee, 0\right)$-semilattice. If there exists a lifting $K \in \mathcal{V}_{1}$ of $D$ of length greater than every lifting of $D$ in $\mathcal{V}_{2}$, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \leq \aleph_{0}$. Moreover if $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ is a finitely generated variety of modular lattices and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ is not trivial, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)=\aleph_{0}$.

Proof. As $D$ is finite, taking a sublattice, we can assume that card $K \leq \aleph_{0}$. Let $n$ be the greatest length of a lifting of $D$ in $\mathcal{V}_{2}$. As $\operatorname{lh}(K)>n$, there exists a chain $C$ of $K$ of length $n+1$ (resp., we can assume that $C$ has 0 and 1 ). Let $f: C \rightarrow K$ be the inclusion map. Assume that there exists a lifting $g: C^{\prime} \rightarrow K^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f$ in $\mathcal{V}_{2}$. As $f$ is an embedding, $g$ is also an embedding. As $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} K^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} K \cong D, \operatorname{lh}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \leq n$. Moreover $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} C^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} C \cong 2^{n+1}$, thus, by Lemma 4.2, $\operatorname{lh}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=n+1$. So $n \geq \operatorname{lh}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{lh}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=n+1$; a contradiction.

Therefore $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f$ has no lifting in $\mathcal{V}_{2}$. So, as card $K \leq \aleph_{0}$ and by 4. Corollary 7.6], $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \leq \aleph_{0}$ (in the bounded case $f$ preserves bounds, thus the result of (4) also applies).

Moreover if $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ is a finitely generated variety of modular lattices, then the finite $(\vee, 0)$-semilattices with congruence-lifting in $\nu_{1}$ are the finite Boolean lattices. Finite Boolean lattices are also liftable in $\mathcal{V}_{2}$. Hence $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)=\aleph_{0}$.

The following corollary is an immediate application of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 3.11. It shows that the critical point between a finitely generated variety of modular lattices and a variety generated by a lattice of subspaces of a finite vector space, cannot be $\aleph_{1}$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finitely generated variety of modular lattices, let $F$ be a finite field, let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. If there exists a simple lattice in $K \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\operatorname{lh}(K)>n$, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right)=\aleph_{0}$, else $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2}$.

We shall now give a diagram of $(\vee, 0)$-semilattices $\vec{S}$, congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{N}_{n}$, such that for every finitely generated variety $\mathcal{V}$, generated by lattices of length at most three, the diagram $\vec{S}$ is congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{V}$ if and only if $M_{n} \in \mathcal{V}$.

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Set $\underline{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and set:

$$
I_{n}=\{P \in \mathfrak{P}(\underline{n}) \mid \text { either } \operatorname{card}(P) \leq 2 \text { or } P=\underline{n}\}
$$

Denote by $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ the atoms of $M_{n}$. Set $A_{P}=\left\{a_{x} \mid x \in P\right\} \cup\{0,1\}$, for all $P \in I_{n}$. Let $f_{P, Q}: A_{P} \rightarrow A_{Q}$ be the inclusion map for all $P \subseteq Q$ in $I_{n}$. Then $\vec{A}=\left(A_{P}, f_{P, Q}\right)_{P \leq Q}$ in $I_{n}$ is a direct system in $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1}$. The diagram $\vec{S}$ is defined as $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\vec{B}=\left(B_{P}, g_{P, Q}\right)_{P \leq Q}$ in $I_{n}$ be a congruence-lifting of $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A}$ by lattices, with all the maps $g_{P, Q}$ inclusion maps, for all $P \leq Q$ in $I$. Let $u<v$ in $B_{\emptyset}$. Let $P \in I_{n}$ then:

$$
\Theta_{B_{P}}(u, v)=B_{P} \times B_{P}, \quad \text { the largest congruence of } B_{P} .
$$

Let $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{P}\right)_{P \in I}: \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{B}$ be a natural equivalence. Let $x, y \in \underline{n}$ distinct. Let $b_{x} \in[u, v]_{B_{\{x\}}}$ and $b_{y} \in[u, v]_{B_{\{y\}}}$. Set $P=\{x, y\}$. Let $c \in\{0,1\}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) If $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)=\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right)$, then $\Theta_{B_{P}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)=\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right)$.
(2) If $\Theta_{B_{\{z\}}}\left(u, b_{z}\right)=\xi_{\{z\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{z\}}}\left(c, a_{z}\right)\right)$ for all $z \in\{x, y\}$, then $b_{x} \wedge b_{y}=u$.
(3) If $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(b_{x}, v\right)=\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right)$, then $\Theta_{B_{P}}\left(b_{x}, v\right)=\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right)$.
(4) If $\Theta_{B_{\{z\}}}\left(b_{z}, v\right)=\xi_{\{z\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{z\}}}\left(c, a_{z}\right)\right)$ for all $z \in\{x, y\}$, then $b_{x} \vee b_{y}=v$.
(5) If $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)=\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right)$ and $\Theta_{B_{\{y\}}}\left(b_{y}, v\right)=\xi_{\{y\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{y\}}}\left(c, a_{y}\right)\right)$, then $b_{x} \leq b_{y}$.

Proof. As $f_{P, Q}$ preserves bounds, $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f_{P, Q}$ preserves bounds, thus $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} g_{P, Q}$ preserves bounds, for all $P \leq Q$ in $I_{n}$. Let $u<v$ in $B_{\emptyset}$. As $B_{\emptyset}$ is simple, $\Theta_{B_{\emptyset}}(u, v)$ is the largest congruence of $B_{\emptyset}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} g_{\emptyset, P}$ preserves bounds, for all $P \in I_{n}$. Hence:

$$
\Theta_{B_{P}}(u, v)=B_{P} \times B_{P}, \quad \text { the largest congruence of } B_{P}
$$

(1) The following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{B_{P}}\left(u, b_{x}\right) & =\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} g_{\{x\}, P}\right)\left(\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} g_{\{x\}, P}\right)\left(\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right)\right) \quad \text { by assumption } \\
& =\xi_{P} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f_{\{x\}, P}\right)\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) The following containments hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{B_{P}}\left(u, b_{x} \wedge b_{y}\right) & \subseteq \Theta_{B_{P}}\left(u, b_{x}\right) \cap \Theta_{B_{P}}\left(u, b_{y}\right) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right) \cap \xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{y}\right)\right) \quad \text { by (1) }  \tag{1}\\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right) \cap \Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{y}\right)\right) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\operatorname{id}_{A_{P}}\right)=\operatorname{id}_{B_{P}}
\end{align*}
$$

so $u=b_{x} \wedge b_{y}$.
(3) Similar to (1).
(4) The following containments hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{B_{P}}\left(b_{x} \vee b_{y}, v\right) & \subseteq \Theta_{B_{P}}\left(b_{x}, v\right) \cap \Theta_{B_{P}}\left(b_{y}, v\right) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right) \cap \xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{y}\right)\right) \quad \text { by }(3) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right) \cap \Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{y}\right)\right) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\operatorname{id}_{A_{P}}\right)=\operatorname{id}_{B_{P}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $v=b_{x} \vee b_{y}$.
(5) The following containments hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{B_{P}}\left(b_{y}, b_{x} \vee b_{y}\right) & \subseteq \Theta_{B_{P}}\left(u, b_{x}\right) \cap \Theta_{B_{P}}\left(b_{y}, v\right) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right)\right) \cap \xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{y}\right)\right) \quad \text { by }(1) \text { and }(3) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{x}\right) \cap \Theta_{A_{P}}\left(c, a_{y}\right)\right) \\
& =\xi_{P}\left(\operatorname{id}_{A_{P}}\right)=\operatorname{id}_{B_{P}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $b_{y}=b_{x} \vee b_{y}$, thus $b_{x} \leq b_{y}$.
The following lemma shows that if we have some "small" enough congruencelifting of $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A}$ in a variety, then $M_{n}$ belongs to this variety.
Lemma 4.6. Let $\vec{B}=\left(B_{P}, g_{P, Q}\right)_{P \leq Q}$ in $I_{n}$ be a congruence-lifting of $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A}$ by lattices. Assume that $B_{\{x\}}$ is a chain of length two for all $x \in \underline{n}$. Then $M_{n}$ can be embedded into $B_{\underline{n}}$.

Proof. Let $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{P}\right)_{P \in I_{n}}: \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{B}$ a natural equivalence. As $f_{P, Q}$ is an embedding, $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f_{P, Q}$ separates 0 , so $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} g_{P, Q}$ separates 0 , and so we can assume that $g_{P, Q}$ is the inclusion map from $B_{P}$ into $B_{Q}$, for all $P \leq Q$ in $I_{n}$.

Let $u<v$ in $B_{\emptyset}$. By Lemma 4.5, $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}(u, v)$ is the largest congruence of $B_{\{x\}}$. Moreover $B_{\{x\}}$ is the 3-element chain, so $u$ is the smallest element of $B_{\{x\}}$ while $v$ is its largest element. Denote by $b_{x}$ the middle element of $B_{\{x\}}$.

The congruence $\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(0, a_{x}\right)\right)$ is join-irreducible, thus it is either equal to $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)$ or to $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(b_{x}, v\right)$. Set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{\prime}=\left\{x \in \underline{n} \mid \xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(0, a_{x}\right)\right)=\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)\right\}, \\
& X^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x \in \underline{n} \mid \xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(0, a_{x}\right)\right)=\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(b_{x}, v\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(0, a_{x}\right)$ is the complement of $\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(a_{x}, 1\right)$ and $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)$ is the complement of $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(b_{x}, v\right)$, we also get that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\prime} & =\left\{x \in \underline{n} \mid \xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(a_{x}, 1\right)\right)=\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(b_{x}, v\right)\right\} \\
X^{\prime \prime} & =\left\{x \in \underline{n} \mid \xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(a_{x}, 1\right)\right)=\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $\underline{n}=X^{\prime} \cup X^{\prime \prime}$. As $\operatorname{card} \underline{n} \geq 3$, either card $X^{\prime} \geq 2$ or $\operatorname{card} X^{\prime \prime} \geq 2$.
Assume that card $X^{\prime} \geq 2$. Let $x, y$ in $X^{\prime}$ distinct. By Lemma 4.5(2), $b_{x} \wedge b_{y}=u$. By Lemma 4.5(4), $b_{x} \vee b_{y}=v$.

Let $x, y$ in $X^{\prime}$ distinct. As $\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(0, a_{x}\right)\right)=\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)$ and $\xi_{\{z\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{z\}}}\left(0, a_{z}\right)\right)=$ $\Theta_{B_{\{z\}}}\left(b_{z}, v\right)$ for each $z \in X^{\prime \prime}$, it follows from Lemma 4.5)(5) that $b_{x} \leq b_{z}$. Similarly, as $\xi_{\{z\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{z\}}}\left(a_{z}, 1\right)\right)=\Theta_{B_{\{z\}}}\left(u, b_{z}\right)$ and $\xi_{\{y\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{y\}}}\left(a_{y}, 1\right)\right)=\Theta_{B_{\{y\}}}\left(b_{y}, v\right)$, it follows from Lemma 4.5(5) that $b_{z} \leq b_{y}$. Thus $b_{x} \leq b_{y}$. So $u=b_{x} \wedge b_{y}=b_{x}>u$, a contradiction.

Thus $X^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$, so $X^{\prime}=\underline{n}$, and so $\left\{u, b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, v\right\}$ is a sublattice of $B_{\underline{n}}$ isomorphic to $M_{n}$. The case card $X^{\prime \prime} \geq 2$ is similar.

We shall now use a tool introduced in th to prove that having a congruence-lifting of $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A}$ is equivalent to having a congruence-lifting of some ( $\vee, 0$ )-semilattice of cardinality $\aleph_{2}$. This requires the following infinite combinatorial property, proved by A. Hajnal and A. Máté in [7], see also [3, Theorem 46.2]. This property is also used by M. Ploščica in $\boxed{8]}$.

Proposition 4.7. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer, let $\alpha$ be an ordinal, let $\kappa \geq \aleph_{\alpha+2}$, let $f:[\kappa]^{3} \rightarrow[\kappa]^{<\aleph_{\alpha}}$. Then there exists $Y \in[\kappa]^{n}$ such that $a \notin f(\{b, c\})$ for all distinct $a, b, c \in Y$.

Now recall the definition of supported poset and norm-covering introduced in 4 Section 4].
Definition 4.8. A finite subset $V$ of a poset $U$ is a kernel, if for every $u \in U$, there exists a largest element $v \in V$ such that $v \leq u$. We denote this element by $V \cdot u$.

We say that $U$ is supported, if every finite subset of $U$ is contained in a kernel of $U$.

We denote by $V \cdot \boldsymbol{u}$ the largest element of $V \cap \boldsymbol{u}$, for every kernel $V$ of $U$ and every ideal $\boldsymbol{u}$ of $U$. As an immediate application of the finiteness of kernels, we obtain that any intersection of a nonempty set of kernels of a poset $U$ is a kernel of $U$.

Definition 4.9. A norm-covering of a poset $I$ is a pair $(U,|\cdot|)$, where $U$ is a supported poset and $|\cdot|: U \rightarrow I, u \mapsto|u|$ is an order-preserving map.

A sharp ideal of $(U,|\cdot|)$ is an ideal $\boldsymbol{u}$ of $U$ such that $\{|v| \mid v \in \boldsymbol{u}\}$ has a largest element. For example, for every $u \in U$, the principal ideal $U \downarrow u$ is sharp; we shall often identify $u$ and $U \downarrow u$. We denote this element by $|\boldsymbol{u}|$. We denote by $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{s}}(U,|\cdot|)$ the set of all sharp ideals of $(U,|\cdot|)$, partially ordered by inclusion.

A sharp ideal $\boldsymbol{u}$ of $(U,|\cdot|)$ is extreme, if there is no sharp ideal $\boldsymbol{v}$ with $\boldsymbol{v}>\boldsymbol{u}$ and $|\boldsymbol{v}|=|\boldsymbol{u}|$. We denote by $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|)$ the set of all extreme ideals of $(U,|\cdot|)$.

Let $\kappa$ be a cardinal number. We say that $(U,|\cdot|)$ is $\kappa$-compatible, if for every order-preserving map $F: \operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(U)$ such that $\operatorname{card} F(\boldsymbol{u})<\kappa$ for all $\boldsymbol{u} \in$ $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|)=$, there exists an order-preserving map $\sigma: I \rightarrow \operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|)$ such that:
(1) The equality $|\sigma(i)|=i$ holds for all $i \in I$.
(2) The containment $F(\sigma(i)) \cap \sigma(j) \subseteq \sigma(i)$ holds for all $i \leq j$ in $I$.

Lemma 4.10. Let $X$ be a set, let $\left(A_{x}\right)_{x \in X}$ be a family of sets, let:

$$
U=\bigsqcup_{P \in[X]<\omega} \prod_{x \in P} A_{x}
$$

We view the elements of $U$ as (partial) functions and "to be greater" means "to extend". Then $U$ is a supported poset.

Proof. Let $V$ be a finite subset of $U$. Let $Y_{x}=\left\{u_{x} \mid u \in V\right.$ and $\left.x \in \operatorname{dom} u\right\}$ for all $x \in X$. Let $D=\bigcup_{u \in V}$ dom $u$. Let:

$$
W=\left\{u \in U \mid \operatorname{dom} u \subseteq D \text { and }(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} u)\left(u_{x} \in Y_{x}\right)\right\}
$$

the set $D$, and the sets $Y_{x}$ for $x \in X$ are all finite, so $W$ is finite.
Let $u \in U$, let $P=\left\{x \in \operatorname{dom} u \mid x \in D\right.$ and $\left.u_{x} \in Y_{x}\right\}$. Then $u \upharpoonright P \in W$. Moreover let $w \in W$ such that $w \leq u$. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom} w$, then $x \in D$, and $u_{x}=$ $w_{x} \in Y_{x}$, thus dom $w \subseteq P$, so $w \leq u \upharpoonright P$. Therefore $u \upharpoonright P$ is the largest element of $W \downarrow u$.

Using Lemma 4.10 and Proposition 4.7 we can construct a $\aleph_{0}$-compatible lower finite norm-covering of $I_{n}$, the poset constructed earlier.

Lemma 4.11. Let $\alpha$ be an ordinal. Let $U=\bigsqcup_{P \in \mathfrak{P}(\underline{n})} \aleph_{\alpha+2}^{P}$, partially ordered by inclusion. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\cdot|: U \rightarrow I_{n} \\
& \quad u \mapsto|u|= \begin{cases}\operatorname{dom} u & \text { if } \operatorname{card}(\operatorname{dom} u) \leq 2 \\
\underline{n} & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(U,|\cdot|)$ is a $\aleph_{\alpha}$-compatible lower finite norm-covering of $I_{n}$. Moreover $\operatorname{card} U=$ $\aleph_{\alpha+2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, the set $U$ is supported. Moreover $|\cdot|$ preserves order, so $(U,|\cdot|)$ is a norm-covering of $I_{n}$. The poset $U$ is lower finite.

Extreme ideals are of the form $\downarrow u$, where $u \in U$ and $\operatorname{dom} u \in I_{n}$, so we identify the corresponding extreme ideal with $u$. Thus $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|)=\left\{u \in U \mid \operatorname{dom} u \in I_{n}\right\}$.

Let $F: \operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(U)$ be an order-preserving map such that $\operatorname{card} F(\boldsymbol{u})<\aleph_{\alpha}$ for all $\boldsymbol{u} \in \operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|)=$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
G:\left[\aleph_{\alpha+2}\right]^{2} & \rightarrow\left[\aleph_{\alpha+2}\right]^{<\aleph_{\alpha}} \\
s & \mapsto \bigcup\left\{\operatorname{im} v \mid u \in \bigcup_{P \in I_{n}-\{\underline{n}\}} s^{P} \text { and } v \in F(u)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 4.7, there exists $A \subset \aleph_{\alpha+2}$ such that $\operatorname{card} A=n$ and $a \notin G(\{b, c\})$ for all distinct $a, b, c \in A$. Let $u: \underline{n} \rightarrow A$ be a one-to-one map. Let $\phi: I_{n} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|), P \mapsto u \upharpoonright P$. Then $|\phi(P)|=P$. Let $P<Q$ in $I_{n}$, let $v \in F(u \upharpoonright P) \downarrow(u \upharpoonright Q)$. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom} v-P$. As $P \in I_{n}$, and $P \neq \underline{n}$, $\operatorname{card} P \leq 2$. Let $P^{\prime}=\{y, z\} \subseteq \underline{n}$, such that $y, z$ are distinct, $P \subseteq P^{\prime}$, and $x \notin P^{\prime}$. Let $s=\left\{u_{x}, u_{y}\right\}$, then $u \upharpoonright P^{\prime} \in s^{P^{\prime}}$, as $v \in F(u \upharpoonright P) \subseteq F\left(u \upharpoonright P^{\prime}\right), v_{x} \in G(s)$. Moreover $u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{z} \in A$ are distinct, thus $u_{x} \notin G\left(\left\{u_{y}, u_{z}\right\}\right)=G(s)$, so $v_{x} \neq u_{x}$ in contradiction with $v \leq u$, so $\operatorname{dom} v \subseteq P$, and so $v \leq u \upharpoonright P$.

Using the results of [4] together with Lemma 4.11, we obtain the following result.
Lemma 4.12. Let $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ be a variety of algebras with a countable similarity type, let $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ be a finitely generated congruence-distributive variety such that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)>\aleph_{2}$. Let $\vec{D}: I_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a diagram of finite $(\vee, 0)$-semilattices. If $\vec{D}$ is congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{V}_{1}$, then $\vec{D}$ is congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{V}_{2}$.

Proof. In this proof we use, but do not give, many definitions of [4]. By Lemma 4.11 there exists $(U,|\cdot|)$ a $\aleph_{0}$-compatible lower finite norm-covering of $I_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{card} U=\aleph_{2}$. Let $J$ be a one-element ordered set. By 4 , Lemma 3.9], $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ is $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|)=, J, \aleph_{0}\right)$-Löwenheim-Skolem.

Let $\vec{A}=\left(A_{P}, f_{P, Q}\right)_{P \leq Q}$ in $I_{n}$ be a congruence-lifting of $\vec{D}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{1}$. As $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} A_{P}$ is finite, using [4, Lemma 3.6], taking sublattices we can assume that $A_{P}$ is countable for all $P \in I_{n}$. By [月, Lemma 6.7], there exists an $U$-quasi-lifting $(\tau, \operatorname{Cond}(\vec{A}, U))$ of $\vec{D}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{1}$. Moreover:

$$
\operatorname{card} \operatorname{Cond}(\vec{A}, U) \leq \sum_{V \in[U]<\omega} \operatorname{card}\left(\prod_{u \in V} A_{|u|}\right) \leq \sum_{V \in[U]<\omega} \aleph_{0} \leq \aleph_{2}
$$

As $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)>\aleph_{2}$, there are $B \in \mathcal{V}_{2}$ and an isomorphism $\xi: \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \operatorname{Cond}(\vec{A}, U) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} B$. So $(\tau \circ \xi, B)$ is an $U$-quasi-lifting of $\vec{D}$. Moreover $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ is $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{e}}(U,|\cdot|)=, J, \aleph_{0}\right)-$ Löwenheim-Skolem, hence, by [4, Theorem 6.9], with $I=I_{n}$, there exists a congruencelifting of $\vec{D}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{2}$.

A similar proof, using Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7, Lemma 6.7, and Theorem 6.9 in (4] together with Lemma 4.11, yields the following generalization of Lemma 4.12 .

Lemma 4.13. Let $\alpha \geq 1$ be an ordinal. Let $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ be varieties of algebras, with similarity types of cardinality $<\aleph_{\alpha}$. Let $\vec{D}=\left(D_{P}, \varphi_{P, Q}\right)_{P \leq Q ~ i n ~} I_{n}$ be a direct system of $(\mathrm{V}, 0)$-semilattices. Assume that the following conditions hold:
(1) $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)>\aleph_{\alpha+2}$.
(2) $\operatorname{card}\left(D_{P}\right)<\aleph_{\alpha}$, for all $P \in I_{n}-\{\underline{n}\}$.
(3) $\operatorname{card}\left(D_{\underline{n}}\right) \leq \aleph_{\alpha+2}$.
(4) $\vec{D}$ is congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{V}_{1}$.

Then $\vec{D}$ is congruence-liftable in $\mathcal{V}_{2}$.
The following lemma implies, in particular, that a modular lattice of length three is a congruence-preserving extension of one of its subchains.

Lemma 4.14. Let $L$ be a lattice of length at most three, let $u, v$ in $L$ such that $\Theta_{L}(u, v)=L \times L$. If $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L \cong 2^{2}$, then there exists $x \in L$ with $u<x<v$ such that $L$ is a congruence-preserving extension of the chain $C=\{u, x, v\}$.


Figure 2. The lattice $N_{5}$.

Proof. As $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L \cong 2^{2}, \operatorname{lh}([u, v]) \geq 2$. If $\operatorname{lh}([u, v])=2$, then let $C=\{u, x, v\}$, where $x$ is any element such that $u<x<v$. Let $i: C \rightarrow L$ the inclusion map. The morphism $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} i: \mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} C \rightarrow \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L$ is onto, moreover $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} C \cong 2^{2} \cong \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L$, so $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} i$ is an isomorphism.

Now assume that $[u, v]$ has length three. As $\operatorname{lh}(L) \leq 3, \operatorname{lh}(L)=3, u$ is the smallest element of $L$, and $v$ is the largest element.

Assume that $L$ has a sublattice isomorphic to $N_{5}$, as labelled in Figure 2. Then $C=\{u, y, z, v\}$ is a maximal chain of $L$. Let $i: C \rightarrow L$ be the inclusion map. By Lemma 4.2, Con $_{\mathrm{c}} i$ is surjective. Thus, as $\operatorname{Con} L \cong 2^{2}$, and $\Theta_{L}(u, y), \Theta_{L}(y, z)$, and $\Theta_{L}(z, v)$ are all the atoms of $\operatorname{Con} L$,

$$
\Theta_{L}(y, z) \subseteq \Theta_{L}(u, y) \cap \Theta_{L}(y, z) \cap \Theta_{L}(z, v)=\operatorname{id}_{L}
$$

a contradiction. Thus $L$ does not contain any lattice isomorphic to $N_{5}$, that is, $L$ is modular.

As Con $L \cong 2^{2}$ and $\operatorname{lh}(L)=3, L$ is not distributive. Hence there exists a sublattice of $L$ isomorphic to $M_{3}$, let $a<x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}<b$ be its elements. As $L$ is modular, $\left[a, x_{1}\right]_{L} \cong\left[x_{1}, b\right]_{L}$, thus $\operatorname{lh}\left([a, b]_{L}\right)$ is even. But $2 \leq \operatorname{lh}\left([a, b]_{L}\right) \leq 3$, so $\operatorname{lh}\left([a, b]_{L}\right)=2$, thus $a \prec x_{1} \prec b$. This chain can be completed into a maximal chain $c \prec a \prec x_{1} \prec b$ or $a \prec x_{1} \prec b \prec c$. By symmetry, we may assume that $b<c$. Observe that $a=u$ and $c=v$. Set $C=\{u, b, v\}$ and $C_{1}=\left\{u, x_{1}, b, v\right\}$. Let $i: C \rightarrow L$ and $i_{1}: C_{1} \rightarrow L$ be the inclusion maps. As $C_{1}$ is a maximal chain, $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} i_{1}$ is onto. As $\Theta_{L}\left(u, x_{1}\right)=\Theta_{L}\left(x_{1}, b\right)=\Theta_{L}(u, b), \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} i_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} i$ have the same image, thus $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} i$ is onto, so $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} i$ is an isomorphism.

The result of Lemma 4.14 does not extend to length four or more. The lattice of Figure 3 is not a congruence-preserving extension of any chain with extremities $u$ and $v$.


Figure 3. Lemma 4.14 does not extend to lattices of greater length.

Lemma 4.15. Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer, let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finitely generated variety of lattices such that $M_{n} \notin \mathcal{V}$. If $\operatorname{lh}(K) \leq 3$ for each simple lattice $K$ of $\mathcal{V}$, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{V}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$.

Proof. Assume that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{V}\right)>\aleph_{2}$. As $M_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1}, \vec{A}$ is a diagram of $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1}$ indexed by $I_{n}$. By Lemma 4.12, the diagram $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A}$ has a congruence-lifting $\vec{B}=\left(B_{P}, g_{P, Q}\right)_{P \leq Q}$ in $I_{n}$ in $\mathcal{V}$. As Con $B_{\underline{n}} \cong 2$, the lattice $B_{\underline{n}}$ is simple, thus, by assumption on $\mathcal{V}, \operatorname{lh}\left(B_{\underline{n}}\right) \leq 3$, and so $\operatorname{lh}\left(\bar{B}_{\{x\}}\right) \leq 3$, for all $x \in \underline{n}$. The lattice $B_{\emptyset}$ is simple, so, taking a sublattice, we can assume that $B_{\emptyset}=\{u, v\}$, with $u<v$. By Lemma 4.14, we can assume that $B_{\{x\}}$ is a chain of length two, for each $x \in \underline{n}$. So by Lemma 4.6, $M_{n}$ is a sublattice of $B_{\underline{n}}$, and so $M_{n} \in \mathcal{V}$, a contradiction.

Theorem 4.16. Let $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ be a finitely generated variety of modular lattices and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ be finitely generated variety of lattices. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer such that $M_{n} \in \mathcal{V}_{1}-\mathcal{V}_{2}$. If $\operatorname{lh}(K) \leq 3$ for each simple $K \in \mathcal{V}_{1}$, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$. Moreover if either $\operatorname{lh}(K) \leq 2$ for each simple $K \in \mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $M_{3} \in \mathcal{V}_{2}$ or $\operatorname{lh}(K) \leq 3$ for each simple $K \in \mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{Sub} F^{3} \in \mathcal{V}_{2}$ for some field $F$, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)=\aleph_{2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.15, $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$.
Assume that $\operatorname{lh}(K) \leq 2$ for each simple $K \in \mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $M_{3} \in \mathcal{V}_{2}$. As Sub $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \cong$ $M_{3} \in \mathcal{V}_{2}$, it follows from Theorem 3.11 that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \geq \aleph_{2}$.

Assume that $\operatorname{lh}(K) \leq 3$ for each simple $K \in \mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{Sub} F^{3} \in \mathcal{V}_{2}$ for some field $F$, it follows from Theorem 3.11 that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} ; \mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \geq \aleph_{2}$.

Similarly we obtain the following critical points.

Corollary 4.17. The following equalities hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \mathcal{M}_{m, m}\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{M}_{m, m}\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{M}_{m, m}^{0,1}\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \mathcal{M}_{m, m}^{0}\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \mathcal{M}_{m}^{0}\right) & =\aleph_{2}, \quad \text { for all } n, m \text { with } 3 \leq m<n \leq \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $n^{\prime} \leq n$ be an integer such that $m<n^{\prime}<\omega$. As $M_{n^{\prime}} \notin \mathcal{M}_{m, m}$, it follows from Lemma 4.15 that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{M}_{m, m}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$, thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{M}_{m, m}\right) \leq \aleph_{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $M_{3} \in \mathcal{M}_{m, m}$, simple lattices of $\mathcal{M}_{m, m}$ are of length at most 3 , and finitely generated lattices of $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ are of finite length (and even finite). Thus, by Theorem 3.11

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \mathcal{M}_{m, m}^{0}\right) \geq \aleph_{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{M}_{m, m}^{0,1}\right) \geq \aleph_{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the desired equalities are immediate consequences of (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3).
As an immediate consequence we obtain:
Corollary 4.18. $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{4,3} ; \mathcal{M}_{3,3}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$.
This question was suggested by M. Ploščica.
Lemma 4.19. Let $F$ be field. Then $M_{n} \in \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)$ if and only if $n \leq 1+$ card $F$.

Proof. If $F$ is infinite then the result is obvious. So we can assume that $F$ is finite.
If $n \leq 1+\operatorname{card} F$, then $M_{n}$ is a sublattice of $M_{1+\operatorname{card} F} \cong \operatorname{Sub} F^{2} \in \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)$, thus $M_{n} \in \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)$.

Now assume that $M_{n} \in \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)$. By Jónsson's Lemma, $M_{n}$ is a homomorphic image of a sublattice of $\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}$. As $M_{n}$ satisfies Whitman's condition, it follows from the Davey-Sands Theorem [2, Theorem 1] that $M_{n}$ is projective in the class of all finite lattices. Therefore, as Sub $F^{3}$ is finite, $M_{n}$ is a sublattice of Sub $F^{3}$. Thus there exist distinct subspaces $A, B, V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{n}$ of $F^{3}$ such that $V_{i} \cap V_{j}=A$ and $V_{i}+V_{j}=B$, for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$. Let $i, j, k$ distinct. Then:

$$
\operatorname{dim} V_{i}+\operatorname{dim} V_{j}=\operatorname{dim} B+\operatorname{dim} A=\operatorname{dim} V_{i}+\operatorname{dim} V_{k}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{dim} V_{j}=\operatorname{dim} V_{k}$. But $\operatorname{dim} A<\operatorname{dim} V_{1}<\operatorname{dim} B \leq \operatorname{dim} F^{3}=3$. If $\operatorname{dim} A=1$, then $M_{n}$ is isomorphic to $\left\{A / A, V_{1} / A, \ldots, V_{n} / A, B / A\right\}$ which is a sublattice of $\operatorname{Sub}(B / A)$, with $\operatorname{dim} B / A=2$. If $\operatorname{dim} A=0$, then:

$$
\operatorname{dim} B=\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{1} \oplus V_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim} V_{1}+\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=2 \cdot \operatorname{dim} V_{1}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{dim} B$ is even, moreover $\operatorname{dim} B \leq 3$, hence $\operatorname{dim} B=2$.
In both cases $M_{n}$ is a sublattice of $\operatorname{Sub} E$ for some $F$-vector space $E$ of dimension two. But Sub $E \cong M_{1+\operatorname{card} F}$, thus $n \leq 1+\operatorname{card} F$.

Corollary 4.20. Let $F$ be a finite field and let $n>1+\operatorname{card} F$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)\right) & =\aleph_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.19, $M_{n} \notin \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)$, moreover simple lattices of $\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)$ are of length at most three. Thus, by Lemma 4.15:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)\right) \leq \aleph_{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As each simple lattice of $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ is of length at most two, it follows from Theorem 3.11 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2}, \quad \text { and } \operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{n}\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the other desired equalities are consequences of (4.4), 4.5).
Corollary 4.21. Let $F$ and $K$ be finite fields. If $\operatorname{card} F>\operatorname{card} K$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)\right) & =\aleph_{2} ; \\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)\right) & =\aleph_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.19, $M_{1+\mathrm{card} F} \notin \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)$, moreover simple lattices of $\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)$ are of length at most three. Thus, by Lemma 4.15:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{3}\right)\right) \leq \aleph_{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As each simple lattice of $\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right)$ is of length at most three, it follows from Theorem 3.11 that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{n}\right)\right) & \geq \aleph_{2},  \tag{4.7}\\
\operatorname{crit}\left(\operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} F^{3}\right) ; \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} K^{n}\right)\right) & \geq \aleph_{2} . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

All the other desired equalities are consequences of (4.6), (4.7), (4.8).
Lemma 4.22. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finitely generated variety of lattices (resp., a finitely generated variety of lattices with 0), let $m \geq 2$ an integer. Assume that for each simple lattice $K$ of $\mathcal{V}$, there do not exist $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m-1}>u$ in $K$ such that $b_{i} \wedge b_{j}=$ $u\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.b_{i} \wedge b_{j}=0\right)$, for all $0 \leq i<j \leq m-1$. Then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{2 m-1}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{V}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$.

Proof. Set $n=2 m-1 \geq 3$. Let $\vec{A}=\left(A_{P}, f_{P, Q}\right)_{P \leq Q}$ in $I_{n}$ be the direct system of $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1}$ defined in the beginning of this section. Assume that $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{V}\right)>\aleph_{2}$. By Lemma 4.12, there exists a congruence-lifting $\vec{B}=\left(B_{P}, g_{P, Q}\right)_{P \leq Q}$ in $I_{n}$ of $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A}$ in $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{P}\right)_{P \in I_{n}}: \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} \circ \vec{B}$ be a natural equivalence. Taking a sublattice of $B_{\emptyset}$, we can assume that $B_{\emptyset}$ is a chain $u<v$. Moreover, as the $\operatorname{map} f_{P, Q}$ is an inclusion map, we can assume that $g_{P, Q}$ is an inclusion map, for all $P \leq Q$ in $I_{n}$.

Let $x \in \underline{n}$. By Lemma 4.5. $\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}(u, v)$ is the largest congruence of $B_{\{x\}}$. Thus:

$$
\Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}(u, v)=\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(0, a_{x}\right)\right) \vee \xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(a_{x}, 1\right)\right)
$$

Therefore there exist $t_{0}^{x}=u<t_{1}^{x}<\cdots<t_{r+1}^{x}=v$ in $B_{\{x\}}$ such that, for all $0 \leq i \leq r$ :

$$
\text { either }\left(t_{i}^{x}, t_{i+1}^{x}\right) \in \xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(0, a_{x}\right)\right) \text { or }\left(t_{i}^{x}, t_{i+1}^{x}\right) \in \xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(a_{x}, 1\right)\right)
$$

Set $b_{x}=t_{1}^{x}$. Put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\prime} & =\left\{x \in \underline{n} \mid \Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)=\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(0, a_{x}\right)\right)\right\} \\
X^{\prime \prime} & =\left\{x \in \underline{n} \mid \Theta_{B_{\{x\}}}\left(u, b_{x}\right)=\xi_{\{x\}}\left(\Theta_{A_{\{x\}}}\left(a_{x}, 1\right)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By symmetry we can assume that card $X^{\prime} \geq$ card $X^{\prime \prime}$ (we can replace the diagram $\vec{A}$ by its dual if required). As $\underline{n}=X^{\prime} \cup X^{\prime \prime}$ and $\operatorname{card} \underline{n}=n=2 m-1, \operatorname{card} X^{\prime} \geq m$. Let $x, y \in X^{\prime}$ distinct, it follows from Lemma 4.5(2) that $b_{x} \wedge b_{y}=u$. So we obtain a family of elements $\left(b_{x}\right)_{x \in X^{\prime}}$ greater than $u$ such that $b_{x} \wedge b_{y}=u$ (resp., $b_{x} \wedge b_{y}=u=0$ ) for all $x \neq y$ in $X^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

With a similar proof using Lemma 4.13 instead of Lemma 4.12 we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.23. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety of lattices (resp., a variety of lattices with 0 ), let $m \geq 2$ an integer. Assume that for each simple lattice $K$ of $\mathcal{V}$, there do not exist $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m-1}>u$ in $K$ such that $b_{i} \wedge b_{j}=u$ (resp., $b_{i} \wedge b_{j}=0$ ), for all $0 \leq i<j \leq m-1$. Then $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{2 m-1}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{V}\right) \leq \aleph_{3}$.
Theorem 4.24. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be either a finitely generated variety of lattices or a finitely generated variety of lattices with 0 . If $M_{3} \in \mathcal{V}$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\omega} ; \mathcal{V}\right)=\aleph_{2} \\
& \operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\omega}^{0} ; \mathcal{V}\right)=\aleph_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finitely generated variety of bounded lattices. If $M_{3} \in \mathcal{V}$ then:

$$
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\omega}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{V}\right)=\aleph_{2}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finitely generated variety of lattices, let $m$ be the maximal cardinality of a simple lattice of $\mathcal{V}$. Thus the assumptions of Lemma 4.22 are satisfied, so a fortiori $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{2 m-1}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{V}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$, and so $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\omega}^{0,1} ; \mathcal{V}\right) \leq \aleph_{2}$.

Denote by $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ the two-element field. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety of lattices with 0 (resp., with 0 and 1 ), such that $M_{3} \in \mathcal{V}$. The variety $\mathcal{N}_{\omega}$ is locally finite, thus all finitely generated lattices of $\mathcal{M}_{\omega}$ are of finite length. Moreover all simple lattices of $\mathcal{N}_{\omega}$ have length at most two. Thus, by Theorem 3.11:

$$
\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\omega} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\operatorname{Sub} \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2}\left(\text { resp., } \operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\omega}^{0,1} ; \operatorname{Var}_{0,1}\left(\operatorname{Sub} \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \geq \aleph_{2}\right)
$$

Moreover Sub $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \cong M_{3}$, so $\operatorname{crit}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\omega} ; \mathcal{V}\right) \geq \aleph_{2}$.
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