



HAL
open science

On the q -Bessel Fourier transform

Lazhar Dhaouadi

► **To cite this version:**

| Lazhar Dhaouadi. On the q -Bessel Fourier transform. 2012. hal-00322168v3

HAL Id: hal-00322168

<https://hal.science/hal-00322168v3>

Preprint submitted on 20 Sep 2012 (v3), last revised 31 Jan 2013 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the q -Bessel Fourier transform

Lazhar Dhaouadi *

Abstract

In this work, we are interested by the q -Bessel Fourier transform with a new approach. Many important results of this q -integral transform are proved with a new constructive demonstrations and we establish in particular the associated q -Fourier-Neumen expansion which involves the q -little Jacobi polynomials.

1 Introduction

In the recent mathematical literature one finds many articles which deal with the theory of q -Fourier analysis associated with the q -Hankel transform. This theory was elaborated first by Koornwinder and R.F. Swarttouw [12] and then by Fitouhi and Al [5, 8].

It should be noticed that in [5] we provided the mains results of q -Fourier analysis in particular that the q -Hankel transform is extended to the $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ space like an isometric operator. Often we use the crucial properties namely the positivity of the q -Bessel translation operator to prove some results but these last property is not ensured for any q in the interval $]0, 1[$. Thus, we will prove some main results of q -Fourier analysis without the positivity argument especially the following statments:

- Inversion Formula in the $\mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ spaces with $p \geq 1$.
- Plancherel Formula in the $\mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu} \cap \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ spaces with $p > 2$.
- Plancherel Formula in the $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ spaces.

Note that in the paper [7] we have proved that the positivity of the q -Bessel translation operator is ensured in all points of the interval $]0, 1[$ when $\nu \geq 0$. In this article we will try to show in a clear way the part in which the positivity of the q -Bessel translation operator plays a role in q -Bessel Fourier analysis. In particular, when we try to prove a q -version of the Young's inequality for the associated convolution.

Many interesting result about the uncertainty principle for the q -Bessel transform was proved in the last years. We cite for examples [2, 3, 4, 9]. There are some differences of the results cited above and our result:

In this paper the Heisenberg uncertainty inequality is established for functions in $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ space. The Hardy's inequality discuss here is a quantitative uncertainty principles which give an information about how a function and its q -Bessel Fourier transform are linked.

In the end of this paper we use the remarkable work in [1] to establish a new result about the q -Fourier-Neumen expansion involving the q -little Jacobi polynomials.

*IPEIB, 7021 Zarzouna, Bizerte, Tunisia. E-mail: lazhardhaouadi@yahoo.fr

2 The q -Bessel transform

The reader can see the references [10, 11, 15] about q -series theory. The references [5, 8, 12] are devoted to the q -Bessel Fourier analysis. Throughout this paper, we consider $0 < q < 1$ and $\nu > -1$. We denote by

$$\mathbb{R}_q^+ = \{q^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The q -Bessel operator is defined as follows [5]

$$\Delta_{q,\nu} f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} [f(q^{-1}x) - (1 + q^{2\nu})f(x) + q^{2\nu}f(qx)].$$

The eigenfunction of $\Delta_{q,\nu}$ associated with the eigenvalue $-\lambda^2$ is the function $x \mapsto j_\nu(\lambda x, q^2)$, where $j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)$ is the normalized q -Bessel function defined by [5, 8, 10, 13, 15]

$$j_\nu(x, q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{q^{n(n+1)}}{(q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_n (q^2, q^2)_n} x^{2n}.$$

The q -Jackson integral of a function f defined on \mathbb{R}^+ is

$$\int_0^\infty f(t) d_q t = (1 - q) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^n f(q^n).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ the space of functions f defined on \mathbb{R}_q^+ such that

$$\|f\|_{q,p,\nu} = \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \right)^{1/p} \text{ exist.}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{q,0}$ the space of functions defined on \mathbb{R}_q^+ tending to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and continuous at 0 equipped with the topology of uniform convergence. The space $\mathcal{C}_{q,0}$ is complete with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{q,\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+} |f(x)|.$$

The normalized q -Bessel function $j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)$ satisfies the orthogonality relation

$$c_{q,\nu}^2 \int_0^\infty j_\nu(xt, q^2) j_\nu(yt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t = \delta_q(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}_q^+ \quad (1)$$

where

$$\delta_q(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ \frac{1}{(1-q)x^{2(\nu+1)}} & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_{q,\nu} = \frac{1}{1-q} \frac{(q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_\infty}{(q^2, q^2)_\infty}.$$

Let f be a function defined on \mathbb{R}_q^+ then

$$\int_0^\infty f(y) \delta_q(x, y) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y = f(x).$$

The normalized q -Bessel function $j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)$ satisfies

$$|j_\nu(q^n, q^2)| \leq \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty (-q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ q^{n^2 - (2\nu+1)n} & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}.$$

The q -Bessel Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}$ is defined by [5, 8, 12]

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty f(t)j_\nu(xt, q^2)t^{2\nu+1}d_qt, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

Proposition 1 Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ then $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,0}$ and we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f)\|_{q,\infty} \leq B_{q,\nu}\|f\|_{q,1,\nu}$$

where

$$B_{q,\nu} = \frac{1}{1-q} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty (-q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}.$$

Theorem 1 Let f be a function in the $\mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ space where $p \geq 1$ then

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2 f = f. \quad (2)$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ then $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f$ exist, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2 f(x) &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(t)j_\nu(xt, q^2)t^{2\nu+1}d_qt \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(y) \left[c_{q,\nu}^2 \int_0^\infty j_\nu(xt, q^2)j_\nu(yt, q^2)t^{2\nu+1}d_qt \right] y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(y)\delta_q(x, y)y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \\ &= f(x). \end{aligned}$$

The computations are justified by the Fubini's theorem: If $p > 1$ then we use the Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty |f(y)| \left[\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(xt, q^2)j_\nu(yt, q^2)|t^{2\nu+1}d_qt \right] y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \\ &\leq \left[\int_0^\infty |f(y)|^p y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \right]^{1/p} \times \left[\int_0^\infty \sigma(y)^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \right]^{1/\bar{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

The numbers p and \bar{p} above are conjugates and

$$\sigma(y) = \int_0^\infty |j_\nu(xt, q^2)j_\nu(yt, q^2)|t^{2\nu+1}d_qt,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \sigma(y)^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \\ &= \int_0^1 \sigma(y)^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1}d_qy + \int_1^\infty \sigma y^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1}d_qy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \sigma(y)^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \\ &\leq \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\infty}^{\bar{p}} \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(xt, q^2)|t^{2\nu+1}d_qt \right]^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \\ &\leq \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\infty}^{\bar{p}} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,1,\nu}^{\bar{p}} x^{-2(\nu+1)\bar{p}} \left[\int_0^1 y^{2\nu+1}d_qy \right] < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_1^\infty \sigma(y)^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\
& \leq \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\infty}^{\bar{p}} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,1,\nu}^{\bar{p}} \int_1^\infty \frac{y^{2\nu+1}}{y^{2(\nu+1)\bar{p}}} d_q y \\
& \leq \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\infty}^{\bar{p}} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,1,\nu}^{\bar{p}} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{y^{2(\nu+1)(\bar{p}-1)+1}} d_q y < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

If $p = 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty \|f(y)\| \left[\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(xt, q^2) j_\nu(yt, q^2)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right] y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\
& \leq \|f\|_{q,1,\nu} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\infty} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,1,\nu} \times \frac{1}{x^{2(\nu+1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 2 Let f be a function in the $\mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu} \cap \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ space, where $p > 2$ then

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f\|_{q,2,\nu} = \|f\|_{q,2,\nu}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu} \cap \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ then by Theorem 1 we see that

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2 f = f.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x)^2 x^{2\nu+1} d_q x &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x) \left[c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty f(t) j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right] x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \\
&= \int_0^\infty f(t) \left[c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x) j_\nu(xt, q^2) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \right] t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\
&= \int_0^\infty f(t)^2 t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.
\end{aligned}$$

The computations are justified by the Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty |f(t)| \left[c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x)| |j_\nu(xt, q^2)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \right] t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\
& \leq \left[\int_0^\infty |f(t)|^p t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right]^{1/p} \times \left[\int_0^\infty |\phi(t)|^{\bar{p}} t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right]^{1/\bar{p}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi(t) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x)| |j_\nu(xt, q^2)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x)\| &\leq c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty |f(y)| |j_\nu(xy, q^2)| y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\
&\leq c_{q,\nu} \left[\int_0^\infty |f(y)|^p y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \right]^{1/p} \times \left[\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(xy, q^2)|^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \right]^{1/\bar{p}} \\
&\leq c_{q,\nu} \left[\int_0^\infty |f(y)|^p y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \right]^{1/p} \times \left[\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(y, q^2)|^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \right]^{1/\bar{p}} x^{-2(\nu+1)/\bar{p}} \\
&\leq c_{q,\nu} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} x^{-2(\nu+1)/\bar{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(t) &\leq c_{q,\nu}^2 \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \int_0^\infty |j_\nu(xt, q^2)| x^{(2\nu+1)-2(\nu+1)/\bar{p}} d_q x \\
&\leq c_{q,\nu}^2 \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \left[\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(x, q^2)| x^{2(\nu+1)/p-1} d_q x \right] t^{-2(\nu+1)/p} \\
&\leq C_1 t^{-2(\nu+1)/p},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(t) &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x)| |j_\nu(xt, q^2)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \\
&= \left[c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x/t)| |j_\nu(x, q^2)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \right] t^{-2(\nu+1)} \\
&\leq c_{q,\nu} \|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f\|_{q,\infty} \times \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,1,\nu} \times t^{-2(\nu+1)} \\
&\leq C_2 t^{-2(\nu+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{cases} -1 < -2(\nu+1)\frac{\bar{p}}{p} + 2\nu+1 \\ -2(\nu+1)\bar{p} + 2\nu+1 < -1 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 < -2(\nu+1)(\bar{p}-2) \\ -2(\nu+1)(\bar{p}-1) < 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow 1 < \bar{p} < 2 \Leftrightarrow p > 2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty |\phi(t)|^{\bar{p}} t^{2\nu+1} d_q t &= \int_0^1 |\phi(t)|^{\bar{p}} t^{2\nu+1} d_q t + \int_1^\infty |\phi(t)|^{\bar{p}} t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\
&\leq C_1 \int_0^1 t^{-2(\nu+1)\bar{p}/p} t^{2\nu+1} d_q t + C_2 \int_1^\infty t^{-2(\nu+1)\bar{p}} t^{2\nu+1} d_q t < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which prove the result. ■

Theorem 3 *Let f be a function in the $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ space then*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f\|_{q,2,\nu} = \|f\|_{q,2,\nu}.$$

Proof. We introduce the function ψ_x as follows

$$\psi_x(t) = c_{q,\nu} j_\nu(tx, q^2).$$

The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ is defined by

$$f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu} \Rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^\infty f(t)g(t)t^{2\nu+1} d_q t. \quad (3)$$

Using (1) we write

$$\begin{aligned}
x \neq y &\Rightarrow \langle \psi_x, \psi_y \rangle = 0 \\
\|\psi_x\|_{q,2,\nu}^2 &= \frac{1}{1-q} x^{-2(\nu+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x) = \langle f, \psi_x \rangle,$$

and by Theorem 1

$$f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2 f = f,$$

then

$$\langle f, \psi_x \rangle = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+ \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+ \Rightarrow f = 0.$$

Hence, $\{\psi_x, x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+\}$ form an orthogonal basis of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ and we have

$$\overline{\{\psi_x, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+\}} = \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}.$$

Now

$$f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu} \Rightarrow f = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+} \frac{1}{\|\psi_x\|_{q,2,\nu}^2} \langle f, \psi_x \rangle \psi_x,$$

and then

$$\|f\|_{q,2,\nu}^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+} \frac{1}{\|\psi_x\|_{q,2,\nu}^2} \langle f, \psi_x \rangle^2 = (1-q) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+} x^{2(\nu+1)} \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x)^2 = \|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f\|_{q,2,\nu}^2,$$

which achieve the proof. ■

Proposition 2 *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ where $p \geq 1$ then $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,\bar{p},\nu}$. If $1 \leq p \leq 2$ then*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \leq B_{q,\nu}^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu}. \quad (4)$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1, Theorem 3, the Riesz-Thorin theorem and the inversion formula (2). ■

The q -translation operator is given as follow

$$T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(t) j_\nu(yt, q^2) j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.$$

Let us now introduce

$$Q_\nu = \{q \in]0, 1[, \quad T_{q,x}^\nu \text{ is positive for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+\}$$

the set of the positivity of $T_{q,x}^\nu$. We recall that $T_{q,x}^\nu$ is called positive if $T_{q,x}^\nu f \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$. In a recent paper [6] it was proved that if $-1 < \nu < \nu'$ then $Q_\nu \subset Q_{\nu'}$. As a consequence :

- If $0 \leq \nu$ then $Q_\nu =]0, 1[$.
- If $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu < 0$ then $]0, q_0] \subset Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} \subset Q_\nu \subsetneq]0, 1[, \quad q_0 \simeq 0.43$.
- If $-1 < \nu \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ then $Q_\nu \subset Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Theorem 4 Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ then $T_{q,x}^\nu f$ exists and we have

$$\int_0^\infty T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y = \int_0^\infty f(y) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y.$$

and

$$T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) = \int_0^\infty f(z) D_\nu(x, y, z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z,$$

where

$$D_\nu(x, y, z) = c_{q,\nu}^2 \int_0^\infty j_\nu(xs, q^2) j_\nu(ys, q^2) j_\nu(zs, q^2) s^{2\nu+1} d_q s.$$

If we suppose that $T_{q,x}^\nu$ is a positive operator then for all $p \geq 1$ we have

$$\|T_{q,x}^\nu f\|_{q,p,\nu} \leq \|f\|_{q,p,\nu}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. We write the operator $T_{q,x}^\nu$ in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(z) j_\nu(xz, q^2) j_\nu(yz, q^2) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(z) j_\nu(xz, q^2)] (y). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(z) j_\nu(xz, q^2)] (y) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{q,\nu}} c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(z) j_\nu(xz, q^2)] (y) j_\nu(0, q^2) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{q,\nu}} \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2 [\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(z) j_\nu(xz, q^2)] (0) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{q,\nu}} \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(0) \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(y) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(z) j_\nu(xz, q^2) j_\nu(yz, q^2) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \\ &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \left[c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty f(t) j_\nu(tz, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right] j_\nu(xz, q^2) j_\nu(yz, q^2) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[c_{q,\nu}^2 \int_0^\infty j_\nu(xz, q^2) j_\nu(yz, q^2) j_\nu(tz, q^2) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \right] f(t) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\ &= \int_0^\infty D_{q,\nu}(x, y, t) f(t) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t. \end{aligned}$$

The computations are justified by the Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty |f(t)| |j_\nu(tz, q^2)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right] |j_\nu(xz, q^2)| |j_\nu(yz, q^2)| z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \\ &\leq \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(tz, q^2)|^{\bar{p}} t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right]^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}}} |j_\nu(xz, q^2)| |j_\nu(yz, q^2)| z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \\ &\leq \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \int_0^\infty |j_\nu(xz, q^2)| |j_\nu(yz, q^2)| z^{2(\nu+1)\left(1-\frac{1}{\bar{p}}\right)-1} d_q z. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $T_{q,x}^\nu$ is positive. Given a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,0}$ we obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{q,x}^\nu f(y)| &= \left| \int_0^\infty D_{q,\nu}(x,y,t) f(t) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |D_{q,\nu}(x,y,t)| |f(t)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\ &\leq \left[\int_0^\infty D_{q,\nu}(x,y,t) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right] \|f\|_{q,\infty} = \|f\|_{q,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|T_{q,x}^\nu f\|_{q,\infty} \leq \|f\|_{q,\infty}.$$

If the function $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ then we obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{q,x}^\nu f\|_{q,1,\nu} &= \int_0^\infty |T_{q,x}^\nu f(y)| y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty |D_{q,\nu}(x,y,t)| |f(t)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right] y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty D_{q,\nu}(x,y,t) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \right] |f(t)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |f(t)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t = \|f\|_{q,1,\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

The result is a consequence of the Riesz-Thorin theorem.

Notice that the kernel $D_{q,\nu}(x,y,t)$ can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,\nu}(x,y,t) &= c_{q,\nu}^2 \int_0^\infty j_\nu(xz, q^2) j_\nu(yz, q^2) j_\nu(tz, q^2) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \\ &= c_{q,\nu} \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [j_\nu(xz, q^2) j_\nu(yz, q^2)](t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty D_{q,\nu}(x,y,t) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [j_\nu(xz, q^2) j_\nu(yz, q^2)](t) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2 [j_\nu(xz, q^2) j_\nu(yz, q^2)](0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

■

The q -convolution product is defined by

$$f *_q g = \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} g].$$

Theorem 5 *Let $1 \leq p, r, s$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} - 1 = \frac{1}{s}$$

*Given two functions $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,r,\nu}$ then $f *_q g$ exists and we have*

$$f *_q g(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) g(y) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f *_q g &= \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} g] \in \mathcal{L}_{q,s,\nu}. \\ \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f *_q g) &= \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f) \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(g). \end{aligned}$$

If $s \geq 2$ then

$$\|f *_q g\|_{q,s,\nu} \leq B_{q,\nu} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|g\|_{q,r,\nu}. \quad (6)$$

If we suppose that $T_{q,x}^\nu$ is a positive operator then

$$\|f *_q g\|_{q,s,\nu} \leq c_{q,\nu} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|g\|_{q,r,\nu}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f *_q g(x) &= \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} g](x) \\ &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(y) \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} g(y) j_\nu(xy, q^2) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(y) \times \left[c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty g(z) j_\nu(zy, q^2) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \right] j_\nu(xy, q^2) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \left[c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(y) j_\nu(zy, q^2) j_\nu(xy, q^2) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \right] g(z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \\ &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty T_{q,x}^\nu f(z) g(z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z. \end{aligned}$$

The computations are justified by the Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty |F_{q,\nu} f(y)| \times \left[\int_0^\infty |g(z)| \times |j_\nu(zy, q^2)| z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \right] |j_\nu(xy, q^2)| y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &\leq \|g\|_{q,r,\nu} \int_0^\infty |F_{q,\nu} f(y)| \times \left[\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(zy, q^2)|^{\bar{r}} z^{2\nu+1} d_q z \right]^{\frac{1}{\bar{r}}} |j_\nu(xy, q^2)| y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &\leq \|g\|_{q,r,\nu} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\bar{r},\nu} \int_0^\infty |F_{q,\nu} f(y)| \times \left[|j_\nu(xy, q^2)| y^{-\frac{2\nu+2}{\bar{r}}} \right] y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \\ &\leq \|g\|_{q,r,\nu} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\bar{r},\nu} \|F_{q,\nu} f\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \left(\int_0^\infty \left[|j_\nu(xy, q^2)| y^{-\frac{2\nu+2}{\bar{r}}} \right]^{\bar{p}} y^{2\nu+1} d_q y \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}}} \\ &\leq \|g\|_{q,r,\nu} \|j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)\|_{q,\bar{r},\nu} \|F_{q,\nu} f\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \left(\int_0^\infty |j_\nu(xy, q^2)|^{\bar{p}} y^{2(\nu+1)(1-\frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{r}})-1} d_q y \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2 we deduce that

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,\bar{r},\nu}.$$

Then, using the Hölder inequality and the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} = \frac{1}{\bar{s}}$$

to conclude that

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,\bar{s},\nu}.$$

Which implies that

$$f *_q g = \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} [\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} g] \in \mathcal{L}_{q,s,\nu}$$

and by the inversion formula (2) we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f *_q g) = \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}g.$$

Suppose that $s \geq 2$, so $1 \leq \bar{s} \leq 2$ and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|f *_q g\|_{q,s,\nu} &= \|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}[\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}g]\|_{q,s,\nu} \\ &\leq B_{q,\nu}^{\frac{2}{s}-1} \|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}g\|_{q,\bar{r},\nu} \\ &\leq B_{q,\nu}^{\frac{2}{s}-1} B_{q,\nu}^{\frac{2}{p}-1} B_{q,\nu}^{\frac{2}{r}-1} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|g\|_{q,r,\nu} \\ &\leq B_{q,\nu} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|g\|_{q,r,\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $T_{q,x}^\nu$ is a positive operator.

We introduce the operator K_f as follows

$$K_f g(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty T_{q,x}^\nu f(z) g(z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z.$$

By the Hölder inequality and (5) we get

$$\|K_f g\|_{q,\infty} \leq c_{q,\nu} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|g\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu}.$$

The Minkowski inequality leads to

$$\|K_f g\|_{q,p,\nu} \leq c_{q,\nu} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|g\|_{q,1,\nu}.$$

Hence we have

$$K_f : \mathcal{L}_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{q,0}, \quad K_f : \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}.$$

Then the operator K_f satisfies

$$K_f : \mathcal{L}_{q,r,\nu} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{q,s,\nu}$$

and

$$\|f *_q g\|_{q,s,\nu} = \|K_f g\|_{q,s,\nu} \leq c_{q,\nu} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|g\|_{q,r,\nu}.$$

■

Remark 1 We discuss here the sharp results for the Hausdorff-Young inequality provided above. An inequality already sharper than (6) is given in formula (7). In fact we have $c_{q,\nu} < B_{q,\nu}$. To obtain (7) without the positivity argument, we can do by using which is a q -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral generalizing the q -Mehler integral representation for the q -Bessel function $j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)$ which can be proved in a straightforward way [8]

$$j_\nu(\lambda, q^2) = [2\nu]_q \int_0^1 \frac{(q^2 t^2, q^2)_\infty}{(q^{2\nu} t^2, q^2)_\infty} j_0(\lambda t, q^2) t d_q t$$

together with the inequalities for the q -Bessel function which is given as formula (24) in the paper [4]

$$|j_0(x; q^2)| \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

Combine this formulas we arrive at

$$|j_\nu(x; q^2)| \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+, \quad \nu \geq 0.$$

Then the inequalities (4) can be written as follows

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f\|_{q,\bar{p},\nu} \leq c_{q,\nu}^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu}.$$

This should give the sharpest version of (6) in the cases $\nu \geq 0$. Unfortunately the positivity of the operator $T_{q,x}^\nu$ is satisfied in this case.

3 Uncertainty principle

We introduce two q -difference operators

$$\partial_q f(x) = \frac{f(q^{-1}x) - f(x)}{x}$$

and

$$\partial_q^* f(x) = \frac{f(x) - q^{2\nu+1}f(qx)}{x}.$$

Then we have

$$\partial_q \partial_q^* f(x) = \partial_q^* \partial_q f(x) = \Delta_{q,\nu} f(x).$$

Proposition 3 *If $\langle \partial_q f, g \rangle$ exist and $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} |a^{2\nu+1} f(q^{-1}a)g(a)| = 0$ then*

$$\langle \partial_q f, g \rangle = -\langle f, \partial_q^* g \rangle.$$

Proof. The following computation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^a \partial_q f(x) g(x) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \\ &= \int_0^a \frac{f(q^{-1}x) - f(x)}{x} g(x) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \\ &= \int_0^a \frac{f(q^{-1}x)}{x} g(x) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x - \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x} g(x) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \\ &= q^{2\nu+1} \int_0^{q^{-1}a} \frac{f(x)}{x} g(qx) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x - \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x} \partial_q g(x) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \\ &= q^{2\nu+1} \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x} \partial_q g(qx) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x - \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x} g(x) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x + a^{2\nu+1} f(q^{-1}a)g(a) \\ &= - \int_0^a f(x) \frac{g(x) - q^{2\nu+1}g(qx)}{x} x^{2\nu+1} d_q x + a^{2\nu+1} f(q^{-1}a)g(a) \\ &= - \int_0^a f(x) \partial_q^* g(x) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x + a^{2\nu+1} f(q^{-1}a)g(a) \end{aligned}$$

leads to the result. ■

Corollary 1 *If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ such that $x\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ then*

$$\|\partial_q f\|_2 = \|x\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f\|_2.$$

Proof. In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_q f\|_2^2 &= \langle \partial_q f, \partial_q f \rangle = -\langle f, \partial_q^* \partial_q f \rangle \\
&= -\langle f, \Delta_{q,\nu} f \rangle \\
&= -\langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f, \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} \Delta_{q,\nu} f \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f, x^2 \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \rangle \\
&= \|x \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which prove the result. ■

Theorem 6 *Assume that f, xf and $x\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$. Then the q -Bessel transform satisfies the following uncertainty principal*

$$\|f\|_2^2 \leq k_{q,\nu} \|xf\|_2 \|x\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f\|_2$$

where

$$k_{q,\nu} = \frac{[1 + \sqrt{q} \times q^{\nu+1}]}{1 - q^{2(\nu+1)}}.$$

Proof. In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_q^* x f &= f(x) - q^{2\nu+2} f(qx) \\
x \partial_q f &= f(q^{-1}x) - f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following operator

$$\Lambda_q f(x) = f(qx),$$

then

$$\langle \Lambda_q f, g \rangle = q^{-2(\nu+1)} \langle f, \Lambda_q^{-1} g \rangle.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{1 - q^{2(\nu+1)}} [\partial_q^* x f(x) - q^{2\nu+2} \Lambda_q x \partial_q f(x)] = f(x)$$

which implies

$$\langle f, f \rangle = -\frac{1}{1 - q^{2(\nu+1)}} \langle x f, \partial_q f \rangle - \frac{1}{1 - q^{2(\nu+1)}} \langle \partial_q f, x \Lambda_q^{-1} f \rangle.$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we get

$$\langle f, f \rangle \leq \frac{1}{1 - q^{2(\nu+1)}} \|x f\|_2 \|\partial_q f\|_2 + \frac{1}{1 - q^{2(\nu+1)}} \|\partial_q f\|_2 \|x \Lambda_q^{-1} f\|_2.$$

On the other hand

$$\|x \Lambda_q^{-1} f\|_2 = \sqrt{q} \times q^{\nu+1} \|x f\|_2,$$

Corollary 1 leads to the result. ■

4 Hardy's theorem

The following Lemma from complex analysis is crucial for the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 1 *For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\sigma_p > 0$ for which*

$$|z|^{2p} |j_\nu(z, q^2)| < \sigma_p e^{|z|}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} |z|^{2p} |j_\nu(z, q^2)| &\leq \frac{1}{(q^2, q^2)_\infty (q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(n-1)} |z|^{2n+2p} \\ &\leq \frac{q^{p(p+1)}}{(q^2, q^2)_\infty (q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_\infty} \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} q^{n(n-2p-1)} |z|^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the Stirling's formula

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \frac{n^n}{e^n},$$

we see that there exist an entire $n_0 \geq p$ such that

$$q^{n(n-2p-1)} < \frac{1}{(2n)!}, \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q^{n(n-2p-1)} |z|^{2n} < \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} |z|^{2n} < e^{|z|}.$$

Finally there exist $\sigma_p > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|z|^{2p} |j_\nu(z, q^2)|}{e^{|z|}} < \sigma_p, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$$

This complete the proof. ■

Lemma 2 *Let h be an entire function on \mathbb{C} such that*

$$|h(z)| \leq C e^{a|z|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$|h(x)| \leq C e^{-ax^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

for some positive constants a and C . Then there exist $C^ \in \mathbb{R}$ such*

$$h(x) = C^* e^{-ax^2}.$$

The reader can see the reference [16] for the proof.

Now we are in a position to state and prove the q -analogue of the Hardy's theorem

Theorem 7 Suppose $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ satisfying the following estimates

$$|f(x)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+, \quad (8)$$

$$|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(x)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where C is a positive constant. Then there exist $A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(z) = Ac_{q,\nu}\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}\right)(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. We claim that $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f$ is an analytic function and there exist $C' > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(z)| \leq C'e^{\frac{1}{2}|z|^2}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We have

$$|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(z)| \leq c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty |f(x)| |j_\nu(zx, q^2)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x.$$

From the Lemma 1, if $|z| > 1$ then there exist $\sigma_1 > 0$ such that

$$x^{2\nu+1} |j_\nu(zx, q^2)| = \frac{1}{|z|^{2\nu+1}} (|z|x)^{2\nu+1} |j_\nu(zx, q^2)| < \frac{\sigma_1}{1+|z|^2} e^{x|z|}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

Then we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(z)| \leq C\sigma_1 c_{q,\nu} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-|z|)^2}}{1+|z|^2 x^2} d_q x \right] e^{\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} < C\sigma_1 c_{q,\nu} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+x^2} d_q x \right] e^{\frac{1}{2}|z|^2}.$$

Now, if $|z| \leq 1$ then there exist $\sigma_2 > 0$ such that

$$x^{2\nu+1} |j_\nu(zx, q^2)| \leq \sigma_2 e^x, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

Therefore

$$|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(z)| \leq C\sigma_2 c_{q,\nu} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2+x} d_q x \right] \leq C\sigma_2 c_{q,\nu} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2+x} d_q x \right] e^{\frac{1}{2}|z|^2},$$

which leads to the estimate (8). Using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(z) = \text{const.} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and by Theorem 1, we conclude that

$$f(z) = \text{const.} \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}\right)(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

■

Corollary 2 Suppose $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ satisfying the following estimates

$$|f(x)| \leq Ce^{-px^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+,$$

$$|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(x)| \leq Ce^{-\sigma x^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where C, p, σ are a positive constant and $p\sigma = \frac{1}{4}$. We suppose that there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that $a^2 p = \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exist $A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(z) = Ac_{q,\nu}\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}\left(e^{-\sigma t^2}\right)(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$, and put

$$f_a(x) = f(ax),$$

then

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f_a(x) = \frac{1}{a^{2\nu+2}} \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x/a).$$

In the end, applying Theorem 7 to the function f_a . ■

Corollary 3 Suppose $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ satisfying the following estimates

$$|f(x)| \leq C e^{-px^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+,$$

$$|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x)| \leq C e^{-\sigma x^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (9)$$

where C, p, σ are a positive constant and $p\sigma > \frac{1}{4}$. We suppose that there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that $a^2 p = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $f \equiv 0$.

Proof. In fact there exists $\sigma' < \sigma$ such that $p\sigma' = \frac{1}{4}$. Then the function f satisfying the estimates of Corollary 2, if we replacing σ by σ' . Which implies

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x) = \text{const.} e^{-\sigma' x^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand, f satisfying the estimates (9), then

$$\left| \text{const.} e^{-\sigma' x^2} \right| \leq C e^{-\sigma x^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This implies $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f \equiv 0$, and by Theorem 1 we conclude that $f \equiv 0$. ■

5 The q -Fourier-Neumann Expansions

The little q -Jacobi polynomials are defined for $\nu, \beta > -1$ by [14]

$$p_n(x; q^\nu, q^\beta; q) = {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{n+\nu+\beta+1}, q^{-n} \\ q^{\nu+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; qx \right).$$

We define the functions

$$P_{\nu,n}(x; q^2) = \sigma_{q,\nu}(n) q^{-n(\nu+1)} \frac{(q^{2+2n}, q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{2+2n+2\nu}, q^2; q^2)_\infty} p_n(x^2; q^{2\nu}, 1; q^2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\nu,n}(x; q^2) = \sigma_{q,\nu}(n) \frac{J_{\nu+2n+1}(q^n x; q^2)}{x^{\nu+1}},$$

where

$$\sigma_{q,\nu}(n) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - q^{2\nu+4n+2}}{1 - q}}.$$

Consider $\mathcal{L}_{q,2}^\nu$ as an Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f|g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)x^{2\nu+1}d_qx.$$

The q -Paley-Wiener space is defined by

$$PW_q^\nu = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu} : f(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^1 u(t)j_\nu(xt, q^2)t^{2\nu+1}d_qt, \quad u \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2}^\nu \right\}.$$

Proposition 4 PW_q^ν is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ and with the inner product given in (3) is an Hilbert space.

Proof. In fact, given $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ and let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of element of PW_q^ν which converge to f in L^2 -norm. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $u_n \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2}^\nu$ such that

$$f_n(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^1 u_n(t)j_\nu(xt, q^2)t^{2\nu+1}d_qt.$$

Moreover

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{q,2,\nu} = 0.$$

This give

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f_n - \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f\|_{q,2,\nu} = 0,$$

and then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^1 |\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f_n(x) - \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(x)|^2 x^{2\nu+1} d_qx + \int_1^\infty |\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(x)|^2 x^{2\nu+1} d_qx \right] = 0,$$

which implies

$$\int_1^\infty |\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(x)|^2 x^{2\nu+1} d_qx = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+ \cap]1, +\infty[.$$

Then $f \in PW_q^\nu$. ■

Proposition 5 We have

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{\nu,n})(x) = P_{\nu,n}(x; q^2)\chi_{[0,1]}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

As a consequence

$$\int_0^1 P_{\nu,n}(x; q^2)P_{\nu,m}(x; q^2)x^{2\nu+1}d_qx = \delta_{n,m}.$$

Proof. The following proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 2 in [1]. Using an identity established in [12]

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{-\lambda} J_\theta(q^n t; q^2) J_\mu(q^m t; q^2) d_q t \\ &= (1-q)q^{n(\lambda-1)+(m-n)\mu} \frac{(q^{1+\lambda+\theta-\mu}, q^{2\mu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{1-\lambda+\theta+\mu}, q^2; q^2)_\infty} \\ & \quad \times {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{1-\lambda+\mu+\theta}, q^{1-\lambda+\mu-\theta} \\ q^{2\mu+2} \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^{2m-2n+1+\lambda+\theta-\mu} \right), \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

valid for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta, \mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$1 - \lambda + \theta + \mu > 0, \quad 2m - 2n + 1 + \lambda + \theta - \mu > 0,$$

To evaluate $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{\nu,n})(x)$ when $x = q^m \leq 1$, we take in (10)

$$q^m = x, \mu = \nu, \theta = \nu + 2n + 1, \lambda = 0$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{\nu,n})(x) &= \sigma_{q,\nu}(n) \frac{x^{-\nu}}{1-q} \int_0^\infty J_\nu(xt; q^2) J_{\nu+2n+1}(q^n t; q^2) d_q t \\ &= \sigma_{q,\nu}(n) q^{-n(\nu+1)} \frac{(q^{2+2n}, q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{2+2n+2\nu}, q^2; q^2)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{2+2\nu+2n}, q^{-2n} \\ q^{2\nu+2} \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^2 x^2 \right) \\ &= P_{\nu,n}(x; q^2). \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{\nu,m})(x)$ when $x = q^n > 1$, we consider in (10)

$$q^n = x, \mu = \nu + 2m + 1, \theta = \nu, \lambda = 0$$

In this way, $1 + \lambda + \theta - \mu = -2m$. This gives for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ a factor

$$(q^{-2m}; q^2)_\infty = 0$$

on the numerator and then

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{\nu,m})(x) = 0, \quad x > 1$$

By setting $\lambda = 1$, $\theta = \nu + 2n + 1$, and $\mu = \nu + 2m + 1$ in , it is clear that, for $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\int_0^\infty J_{\nu+2n+1}(q^n x; q^2) J_{\nu+2m+1}(q^m x; q^2) \frac{d_q x}{x} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{q,\nu}(n)^2} \delta_{n,m}$$

and then

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{J}_{\nu,n}(x; q^2) \mathcal{J}_{\nu,m}(x; q^2) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x = \delta_{n,m}.$$

Now we use the arguments of q -Bessel Fourier analysis provided in this paper to show that

$$\langle P_{\nu,n} \chi_{[0,1]}, P_{\nu,m} \chi_{[0,1]} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{\nu,n}), \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{\nu,m}) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}_{\nu,n}, \mathcal{J}_{\nu,m} \rangle = \delta_{n,m}. \quad (11)$$

Another proof of the orthogonality of the little q -Jacobi polynomials can be found in [14] ■

Proposition 6 *The systems*

$$\{\mathcal{J}_{\nu,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \{P_{\nu,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$$

forme two orthonormals basis respectively of the Hilbert spaces PW_q^ν and $\mathcal{L}_{q,2}^\nu$.

Proof. From (11) we derive the orthonormality. To prove that the system $\{\mathcal{J}_{\nu,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is complet in PW_q^ν , given a function $f \in PW_q^\nu$ such that

$$\langle f, \mathcal{J}_{\nu,n} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f), \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{\nu,n}) \rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f), P_{\nu,n}\chi_{[0,1]} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f)\chi_{[0,1]}, P_{\nu,n} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f), P_{\nu,n} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the definition of the polynomial $P_{\nu,n}$ we conclude that

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f), t^{2n} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$c_{q,\nu} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{q^{n(n+1)}}{(q^2, q^2)_n (q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_n} \langle \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f), t^{2n} \rangle x^{2n} = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+,$$

which can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2(f)(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

By the inversion formula (2) we conclude that $f = 0$. From (11) we derive the orthonormality. To prove that the system $\{P_{\nu,n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is complet in $\mathcal{L}_{q,2}^{\nu}$, given a function $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2}^{\nu}$ such that

$$\langle f | P_{\nu,n} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then

$$\langle f | t^{2n} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Which leads to the result. ■

Proposition 7 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ then*

$$c_{q,\nu} j_{\nu}(\lambda x; q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_{n,\nu}(\lambda; q^2) P_{n,\nu}(x), \quad \forall x \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

As a consequence we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [P_{n,\nu}(x; q^2)]^2 = \frac{x^{-2(\nu+1)}}{1-q}, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{R}_q^+$$

and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\mathcal{J}_{n,\nu}(\lambda; q^2)]^2 &= -\frac{q^{\nu}}{2(1-q)\lambda^{1+2\nu}} \\ &\times \left[\frac{\lambda}{q} J_{\nu+1}(\lambda; q^2) J'_{\nu}(\lambda/q; q^2) - J_{\nu+1}(\lambda; q^2) J_{\nu}(\lambda/q; q^2) - J'_{\nu+1}(\lambda; q^2) J_{\nu}(\lambda/q; q^2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the function

$$\psi_{\lambda} : [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{R}_q^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto c_{q,\nu} j_{\nu}(\lambda x; q^2).$$

Then $\psi_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2}^{\nu}$ and we can write

$$\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \psi_{\lambda} | P_{n,\nu} \rangle P_{n,\nu}(x), \quad \forall x \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{R}_q^+. \quad (12)$$

Note that

$$\langle \psi_\lambda | P_{n,\nu} \rangle = \langle \psi_\lambda, P_{n,\nu} \chi_{[0,1]} \rangle = \langle \psi_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(\mathcal{J}_{n,\nu}) \rangle = \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2(\mathcal{J}_{n,\nu})(\lambda) = \mathcal{J}_{n,\nu}(\lambda; q^2).$$

Then we deduce the result. Using the Parseval's theorem and (12) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [P_{n,\nu}(x; q^2)]^2 = \|\psi_x\|_{q,2,\nu}^2 = \frac{x^{-2(\nu+1)}}{1-q}.$$

The second identity is deduced also from the Parseval's theorem

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\mathcal{J}_{n,\nu}(\lambda; q^2)]^2 = N_{q,\nu,2}^2(\psi_\lambda),$$

and the following relation proved in [13]

$$\int_0^1 [J_\nu(aqt; q^2)]^2 t d_q t = -\frac{(1-q)q^{\nu-1}}{2a} \\ \times [aJ_{\nu+1}(aq; q^2)J'_\nu(a; q^2) - J_{\nu+1}(aq; q^2)J_\nu(a; q^2) - J'_{\nu+1}(aq; q^2)J_\nu(a; q^2)].$$

■

References

- [1] L. D. Abreu, O. Ciaurri and J. L. Varona, Bilinear biorthogonal expansions and the spectrum of an integral operator, Arxivd version, arXiv: 0909.0067v1 [math.FA].
- [2] N. Bettaibi, A. Fitouhi and W. Binous, Uncertainty principles for the q -trigonometric Fourier transforms, Math. Sci. Res. J, Volume 11 (2007).
- [3] N. Bettaibi, Uncertainty principles in q^2 -analogue Fourier analysis, Math. Sci. Res. J, Volume 11 (2007).
- [4] N. Bettaibi, F. Bouzeffour, H. Ben Elmonser and W. Binous, Elements of harmonic analysis related to the third basic zero order Bessel function, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Volume 342, Article 12031219 (2008).
- [5] L. Dhaouadi, A. Fitouhi and J. El Kamel, Inequalities in q -Fourier Analysis, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 7, Issue 5, Article 171 (2006).
- [6] L. Dhaouadi, W. Binous and A. Fitouhi, Paley-Wiener theorem for the q -Bessel transform and associated q -sampling formula, Expo. Math. Volume 27, Issue 1, Article 55-72 (2009).
- [7] A. Fitouhi and L. Dhaouadi, Positivity of the Generalized Translation Associated with the q -Hankel Transform, Constructive Approximation, Volume 34, Article 453-472 (2011).
- [8] A. Fitouhi, M. Hamza and F. Bouzeffour, The q - j_α Bessel function, J. Appr. Theory, Volume 115, Article 144-166 (2002).
- [9] A. Fitouhi, N. Bettaibi and R. Bettaieb, On Hardy's inequality for symmetric integral transforms and analogous, Appl. Math. Comput, Volume 198 (2008).

- [10] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Volume 35, Cambridge university press (1990).
- [11] F. H. Jackson, On a q -Definite Integrals, Quarterly Journal of Pure and Application Mathematics, Volume 41, Article 193-203 (1910).
- [12] T.H. Koornwinder and R. F. Swarttouw, On q -analogues of the Hankel and Fourier Transforms, Trans. A. M. S, Volume 333, Article 445-461 (1992).
- [13] H. T. Koelink and R. F. Swarttouw, On the zeros of the Hahn-Exton q -Bessel Function and associated q -Lommel polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl, Volume 186, Article 690-710 (1994).
- [14] R. Koekoek and R. F. Swarttouw, The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue, Delft University of Technology, Report no. 98-17 (1998).
- [15] R. F. Swarttouw, The Hahn-Exton q -Bessel functions, Ph. D. Thesis, Delft Technical University (1992).
- [16] A. Sitaram and M. Sundari, An analogue of Hardy's theorem for very rapidly decreasing functions on semi-simple Lie groups, Pacific J, Math. Volume 177, Article 187-200 (1997).