



HAL
open science

Some remarks on Betti numbers of random polygon spaces

Clément Dombry, Christian Mazza

► **To cite this version:**

Clément Dombry, Christian Mazza. Some remarks on Betti numbers of random polygon spaces. 2008.
hal-00320887v2

HAL Id: hal-00320887

<https://hal.science/hal-00320887v2>

Preprint submitted on 12 Sep 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Some remarks on Betti numbers of random polygon spaces

Clément Dombry* and Christian Mazza†

September 12, 2008

Abstract

Polygon spaces like $M_\ell = \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 ; \sum_{i=1}^n l_i u_i = 0\} / SO(2)$ or their three dimensional analogues N_ℓ play an important role in geometry and topology, and are also of interest in robotics where the l_i model the lengths of robot arms. When n is large, one can assume that each l_i is a positive real valued random variable, leading to a random manifold. The complexity of such manifolds can be approached by computing Betti numbers, the Euler characteristics, or the related Poincaré polynomial. We study the average values of Betti numbers of dimension p_n when $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We also focus on the limiting mean Poincaré polynomial, in two and three dimensions. We show that in two dimensions, the mean total Betti number behaves as the total Betti number associated with the equilateral manifold where $l_i \equiv \bar{l}$. In three dimensions, these two quantities are not any more asymptotically equivalent. We also provide asymptotics for the Poincaré polynomials

Key words: Configuration space, Betti number, Poincaré polynomial, random polygonal linkage, random manifold

AMS Subject classification. Primary: 60B05, 55R80.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

In this note, we consider a question raised by M. Farber in [2]. We study closed planar n -gons whose sides have fixed lengths l_1, \dots, l_n where $l_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The set of polygonal linkage in \mathbb{R}^2

$$M_\ell = \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 ; \sum_{i=1}^n l_i u_i = 0\} / SO(2)$$

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, Téléport 2- BP30179, Boulevard Pierre et Marie Curie, 86962 Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex, France. clement.dombry@math.univ-poitiers.fr

†Département de Mathématique, Université de Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 23, CH-1700 Fribourg, Suisse. Christian.Mazza@unifr.ch

parametrizes the variety of all possible shapes of such planar n -gons with sides given by $\ell = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$. The unit vector $u_i \in \mathbb{C}$ indicates the direction of the i -th side of the polygon. The condition $\sum l_i u_i = 0$ expresses the property of the polygon being closed. The rotation group $SO(2)$ acts on the set of side directions (u_1, \dots, u_n) diagonally.

Polygon spaces play a fundamental role in topology and geometry, as illustrated for example by Kempe Theorem which states that " *Toute courbe algébrique peut être tracée à l'aide d'un système articulé*", see e.g. [9]. [5] provides other examples of such universality results in topology. Polygon spaces generated an active research area in geometry (see e.g. [4], [6], or [10]), but are also of strong interest in applications like robotics where each l_i models the length or a robot arm (see e.g. [2], [3], [4] and [5]). We can also point out potential applications in polymer science where such polygons model proteins. In systems composed of a large number $n \gg 1$ of components, the l_i are usually only partially known, so that we can assume that each $l_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is random. We denote by μ_n the distribution of ℓ . We will obtain our results under the following assumption:

(H) μ_n is a product measure $\mu_n = \mu^{\otimes n}$ with μ a diffuse measure on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$\int e^{\eta x} \mu(dx) < \infty \text{ for some } \eta > 0.$$

Notice that $M_{t\ell}$ and M_ℓ are equal when $t > 0$, so that the measure μ_n might be seen as a probability measure on the unit simplex Δ^{n-1} .

To get some idea on the nature of the random manifold M_ℓ , one can study the stochastic behavior of invariants like Betti numbers, the Euler characteristics or the total Betti number (see below). Here, we focus on the Betti numbers $b_p(M_\ell)$, for dimensions $p = p_n$ growing with n . We recall the result of [4] describing Betti numbers of planar polygon spaces as functions of the length vector ℓ . In what follows, $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. A subset $J \subset [n]$ is called *short* if

$$\sum_{j \in J} l_j < \sum_{j \notin J} l_j.$$

It is called *median* if $\sum_{j \in J} l_j = \sum_{j \notin J} l_j$. Let $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ be such that l_{i_0} is maximal among l_1, \dots, l_n . Denote by $a_p(\ell)$ the number of short subsets $J \subset [n]$ of cardinality $|J| = p + 1$ and containing i_0 . Denote by $\tilde{a}_p(\ell)$ the number of median subsets $J \subset [n]$ of cardinality $|J| = p + 1$ and containing i_0 . Then one has for $p = 0, 1, \dots, n - 3$

$$b_p(M_\ell) = a_p(\ell) + \tilde{a}_p(\ell) + a_{n-3-p}(\ell), \quad (1)$$

so that the Poincaré polynomial of the random manifold is given by

$$p_{M_\ell}(t) = \sum_{p=0}^{n-3} b_p(M_\ell) t^p = q(t) + t^{n-3} q\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) + r(t), \quad (2)$$

where

$$q(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} a_k t^k \text{ and } r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \tilde{a}_k t^k,$$

see [2]. The total Betti number $B(M_\ell)$ defined by

$$B(M_\ell) = \sum_{p=0}^{n-3} b_p(M_\ell) = p_{M_\ell}(1),$$

provides ideas on the size or on the complexity of the manifold M_ℓ . We will study the asymptotic behavior of $B(M_\ell)$ when n is large and ℓ is random. We first give some examples following [4].

In the equilateral case where each l_i is equal to some $\bar{l} > 0$, it turns out that one can give exact formulas for the various Betti numbers, and therefore for $B(M_{\bar{\ell}})$: assume $n = 2r + 1$ odd. Then $b_k(M_{\bar{\ell}}) = \binom{n-1}{k}$ when $k < r - 1$, $b_k(M_{\bar{\ell}}) = 2\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ when $k = r - 1$ and $b_k(M_{\bar{\ell}}) = \binom{n-1}{k+2}$ when $k > r - 1$. The related total Betti number is then given by $p_{M_{\bar{\ell}}}(1) = 2^{n-1} - \binom{n-1}{r}$. For arbitrary large n , one has (see [4])

$$p_{M_{\bar{\ell}}}(1) = B_n = 2^{n-1} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} + o(n^{-1/2})\right) \text{ , } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

For pentagons, that is when $n = 5$, the moduli space M_ℓ is a compact orientable surface of genus not exceeding 4.

The vector length ℓ is said to be *generic* when $\sum_{i=1}^n l_i \varepsilon_i \neq 0$, for any $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, where $\forall i \varepsilon_i \in \{-1, +1\}$. When n is even, equilateral weights with $l_i \equiv \bar{l}$ are not generic. [4] proved that for generic ℓ , the total Betti number $B(M_\ell)$ is bounded by $2B_{n-1}$, so that the explicit formulas obtained for equilateral n -gons provide bounds for the maximum over ℓ of $B(M_\ell)$.

1.2 Results

[3] considered the special case where μ is the uniform probability measure on the unit interval with $\mu_n = \mu^{\otimes n}$, and the case where μ_n is the uniform measure on the simplex Δ^{n-1} . It was proven that for fixed $p \geq 0$, the average p -dimensional betti number

$$\mu_n[b_p(M_\ell)] = \int b_p(M_\ell) \mu_n(d\ell)$$

is asymptotically equivalent to $\binom{n-1}{p}$, the difference going to zero at an exponential speed. The techniques use exact formulas for the volume of the intersection of a half space with a simplex. We will avoid such formulas to treat general diffuse probability measures using probabilistic techniques, since in fact such volume formulas do not exist for arbitrary measures. Next, [2] consider both planar and spatial polygon spaces, and proved, under an admissibility condition on μ_n similar results for mean

Betti numbers and also for higher moments, again for fixed dimensions p . As an open question, the author raises the issue of computing the average total Betti number

$$\mu_n[B(M_\ell)] = \int B(M_\ell)\mu_n(d\ell).$$

We will consider more generally the mean Poincaré polynomial

$$\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(t) = \mu_n[p_{M_\ell}(t)] = \int p_{M_\ell}(t)\mu_n(d\ell),$$

with $\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(1) = \mu_n[B(M_\ell)]$. As the author notices, the knowledge of the individual average Betti numbers $\mu_n[b_p(M_\ell)]$ for large n and fixed p can't help since the terms cannot simply be added up. We will therefore consider the asymptotic behavior of high dimensional Betti numbers $\mu_n[b_{p_n}(M_\ell)]$, where p_n goes to infinity when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Proposition 3.1).

We will obtain our results for product measure μ_n satisfying assumption **(H)** and assume throughout the paper that this hypothesis is satisfied. We prove in Proposition 4.1 that the mean total Betti number is such that

$$\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(1) = \mu_n[B(M_\ell)] \sim 2^{n-1}, \quad (4)$$

This shows that equilateral polygons (see (3)) are representative of the emerging average manifold as $n \gg 1$, as suggested in [2]. We will also consider the mean Poincaré polynomial as n is large, and show that

$$\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(t) \sim (1+t)^{n-1} \text{ when } 0 < t < 1,$$

and that

$$\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(t) \sim (1+t)^{n-1}t^{-2} \text{ when } t > 1.$$

Further moments are also considered and their asymptotic is given in Proposition 4.3.

We next consider spatial polygon spaces

$$N_\ell = \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in S^2 \times \dots \times S^2 ; \sum_{i=1}^n l_i u_i = 0\} / SO(3).$$

In this case, for generic length vector ℓ , [7] proved that the even Betti numbers are given by

$$b_{2p}(N_\ell) = \sum_{j=0}^p (\hat{a}_j(\ell) - \hat{a}_{n-j-2}(\ell)), \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{a}_j(\ell)$ denotes the number of short subsets $J \subset [n]$ of cardinality $|J| = j + 1$ containing n . The Betti number of odd dimensions vanish. Furthermore, [7] proved that the related Poincaré polynomial is given by

$$p_{N_\ell}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^2} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{S}_n} t^{2(|J|-1)} - t^{2(n-|J|-1)} \right),$$

where $J \in \mathcal{S}_n$ if and only if $\{n\} \subset J \subset [n]$ and J is median or short. If ℓ is generic, there is no median set and this is equivalent to

$$p_{N_\ell}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_j (t^{2j} - t^{2(n-j-2)}) = \frac{1}{1-t^2} [\hat{q}(t^2) - t^{2(n-2)} \hat{q}(t^{-2})], \quad (6)$$

where

$$\hat{q}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_j t^j.$$

In the equilateral case where $l_i \equiv \bar{l}$, [8] proved that the $2p$ -dimensional Betti number $b_{2p}(N_{\bar{\ell}})$ is given by

$$b_{2p}(N_{\bar{\ell}}) = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{n-1}{i},$$

when $n = 2k + 1 \geq 3$, so that the Euler characteristics or total Betti number is explicitly given as

$$p_{N_{\bar{\ell}}}(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{2k}{i} (k-i),$$

with

$$p_{N_{\bar{\ell}}}(1) \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} 2^{n-2}.$$

We will study the asymptotic behavior of the mean Poincaré polynomial

$$\bar{p}_{N_\ell}(t) = \mu_n[p_{N_\ell}(t)] = \int p_{N_\ell}(t) \mu_n(d\ell),$$

in the large n limit by providing large deviations estimates. We will see that

$$\bar{p}_{N_\ell}(1) = \mu_n[B(N_\ell)] \sim n2^{n-2} \gg p_{N_{\bar{\ell}}}(1).$$

Furthermore, we will see in Proposition 4.2 that the mean Poincaré polynomial exhibits asymptotically a singular behavior in the neighborhood of $t = 1$, that is

$$\bar{p}_{N_\ell}(t) \sim \frac{(1+t^2)^{n-1}}{(1-t^2)} \text{ when } 0 < t < 1,$$

and

$$\bar{p}_{N_\ell}(t) \sim \frac{(1+t^2)^{n-1}}{(t^2-1)t^2} \text{ when } t > 1.$$

This shows that equilateral configuration spaces are not representative of the random manifold in dimension 3 when n is large.

2 Preliminaries

We introduce here the main technical tool used in our analysis of the Betti numbers of random polygon spaces: a probabilistic interpretation of formulas (1) and (5) in terms of random permutations and stopping times. We first introduce some notations.

For any length vector $\ell \in (0, \infty)^n$, we define $\tilde{\ell}$ obtained from ℓ by the following permutation of the coordinates : let i_0 be the minimal index such that l_{i_0} is maximal among the $l_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, and define $\tilde{\ell} = (\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_1)$ by $\tilde{l}_n = l_{i_0}, \tilde{l}_{i_0} = l_n$, and $\tilde{l}_i = l_i$ if $i \notin \{i_0, n\}$.

We denote by σ a random permutation of Σ_{n-1} with uniform distribution $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}$. The stopping time $\tau_\sigma(\ell)$ is defined by

$$\tau_\sigma(\ell) = \min \left\{ 0 \leq t \leq n-1 ; \sum_{i=1}^t l_{\sigma(i)} + l_n - \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-1} l_{\sigma(i)} \geq 0 \right\}.$$

We use also the notation $\tau(\ell) = \tau_{Id}(\ell)$ and $\tilde{\tau}(\ell) = \tau_{Id}(\tilde{\ell})$. Please note that these stopping times are well-defined and that $\tau \leq n-1$ and $\tilde{\tau} \leq n-2$.

We denote by k a random variable with binomial distribution $\mathcal{B}_{n-1, q}$ with parameters $n-1$ and $q \in [0, 1]$.

First consider the planar case.

Lemma 2.1 *The number $a_p(\ell)$ of short sets is given by*

$$a_p(\ell) = \binom{n-1}{p} \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}[\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > p]$$

The number of median sets $\tilde{a}_p(\ell)$ vanishes μ_n -almost surely.

Hence, the planar Betti numbers are given μ_n -almost surely by

$$b_p(M_\ell) = \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \left[\binom{n-1}{p} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > p\}} + \binom{n-1}{p+2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > n-p-3\}} \right]$$

and have expected value

$$\mu_n[b_p(M_\ell)] = \mu_n \left[\binom{n-1}{p} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}(\ell) > p\}} + \binom{n-1}{p+2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}(\ell) > n-p-3\}} \right]$$

In the spatial case, the following representation holds.

Lemma 2.2 *The coefficients \hat{a}_p are given by*

$$\hat{a}_p(\ell) = \binom{n-1}{p} \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}[\tau_\sigma(\ell) > p]$$

Hence, the even spatial Betti numbers are given μ_n -almost surely by

$$b_{2p}(N_\ell) = 2^{n-1} (\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes B_{n-1, 1/2}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > k; 0 \leq k \leq p\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > k; n-p-2 \leq k \leq n-2\}} \right]$$

and have expected value

$$\mu_n[b_{2p}(N_\ell)] = 2^{n-1} (\mu_n \otimes B_{n-1, 1/2}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau(\ell) > k; 0 \leq k \leq p\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau(\ell) > k; n-p-2 \leq k \leq n-2\}} \right]$$

Proof of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2

We consider the planar case and prove the first lemma. The second lemma corresponding to the spatial case is proved with a very similar analysis.

From the definition of the coefficient $a_p(\ell)$, we have

$$a_p(\ell) = \sum_{J \subset [n-1]; |J|=p} \mathbf{1}_{A_J}(\tilde{\ell})$$

where $A_J = \{\ell ; \sum_{j \in J} l_j + l_n - \sum_{j \notin J} l_j < 0\}$. From the definition of τ_σ , it is easily seen that $\ell \in A_{\{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(p)\}}$ if and only if $\tau_\sigma(\ell) > p$. Furthermore, for each subset $J \subset [n-1]$ such that $|J| = p$, there are $p!(n-1-p)!$ permutations $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ such that $J = \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(p)\}$. As a consequence, the coefficient $a_p(\ell)$ rewrites

$$\begin{aligned} a_p(\ell) &= \frac{1}{p!(n-1-p)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > p\}} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{p} \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}[\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > p]. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the coefficient $\tilde{a}_p(\ell)$, we have

$$\tilde{a}_p(\ell) = \sum_{J \subset [n-1]; |J|=p} \mathbf{1}_{B_J}(\tilde{\ell})$$

where $B_J = \{\ell ; \sum_{j \in J} l_j + l_n - \sum_{j \notin J} l_j = 0\}$. But it is easily seen that since μ is diffuse, the sum $\sum_{j \in J} l_j + l_n - \sum_{j \notin J} l_j$ is also diffuse and $\mu_n(B_J) = 0$ for any $J \subset [n-1]$. Hence $\tilde{a}_p(\ell)$ is almost surely equal to zero.

The formula for the Betti number $b_p(\ell)$ is then a reformulation of equation (1). Thanks to the invariance of μ_n under the action of the permutation group, the distribution of $\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell})$ under μ_n does not depend on $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ and hence is equal to the distribution of $\tilde{\tau}$. The result for the expected value $\mu_n[b_p(M_\ell)]$ follows. \square

As will be clear in the sequel, the asymptotic behavior of the Betti numbers is strongly linked with the asymptotic behavior of the random variables $\tau(\ell)$ and $\tilde{\tau}(\ell)$. This is the point of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 *The following weak convergences hold under μ_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$:*

1. *weak law of large numbers:*

$$n^{-1}\tau \Rightarrow \delta_{1/2},$$

2. *central limit theorem:*

$$n^{-1/2} \left(\tau - \frac{n}{2} \right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\tau^2),$$

where $\sigma_\tau = \frac{\sigma}{2m}$, $m = \mathbf{E}(l)$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(l)$.

3. large deviations: for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup n^{-1} \log \mu_n(|n^{-1}\tau - 1/2| \geq \varepsilon) < 0$$

The same results also hold for $\tilde{\tau}$ instead of τ with the same variance $\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}} = \sigma_{\tau}$.

The proof is postponed to the appendix.

3 High dimensional Betti numbers

3.1 Planar polygons

The following proposition gives the asymptotic of average high dimensional Betti numbers.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of integers.*

1. *If $\limsup n^{-1}p_n < 1/2$, then $\mu_n[b_{p_n}(M_\ell)] \sim \binom{n-1}{p_n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*
2. *If $\liminf n^{-1}p_n > 1/2$, then $\mu_n[b_{p_n}(M_\ell)] \sim \binom{n-1}{p_n+2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*
3. *If $\lim n^{-1/2}(p_n - n/2) = \alpha$, then $\mu_n[b_{p_n}(M_\ell)] \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} e^{-2\alpha^2} 2^{n-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Applying Proposition 3.1 with a specific choice of the sequence p_n , we deduce the following corollary. The asymptotic of the binomial coefficient is obtained with Stirling's formula.

Corollary 3.1 *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and $p_n = [np]$. Then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \log \mu_n[b_{p_n}(M_\ell)] = -p \log p - (1-p) \log(1-p)$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1:

From Lemma 2.1, the average Betti numbers is given by

$$\mu_n[b_{p_n}(M_\ell)] = \binom{n-1}{p_n} \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > p_n) + \binom{n-1}{p_n+2} \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > n-3-p_n).$$

When $\limsup n^{-1}p_n < 1/2$, the weak law of large numbers provided in Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$\mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > p_n) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > n-3-p_n) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and from large deviations estimates, the convergence speed to zero is exponential. The first point in Proposition 3.1 follows since

$$\begin{aligned} & b_{p_n}(n, \mu_n) \\ &= \binom{n-1}{p_n} \left(\mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > p_n) + \frac{(n-p_n-1)(n-p_n-2)}{(p_n+1)(p_n+2)} \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > n-3-p_n) \right) \\ &\sim \binom{n-1}{p_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when $\liminf n^{-1}p_n > 1/2$,

$$\mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > p_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > n - 3 - p_n) \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where the convergence to zero is exponentially fast. The second point in Proposition 3.1 follows.

Finally, in the case $\lim n^{1/2}(p_n - n/2) = \alpha$, the central limit Theorem from Lemma 2.3 yields that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > p_n) \rightarrow 1 - F_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha/\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > n - 3 - p_n) \rightarrow 1 - F_{\mathcal{N}}(-\alpha/\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}}),$$

where $F_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the repartition function of the standard normal distribution. Furthermore, from the local limit theorem for the binomial distribution,

$$\binom{n-1}{p_n} \sim \binom{n-1}{n-3-p_n} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} e^{-2\alpha^2} 2^{n-1},$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. These estimates yield the last point in Proposition 3.1 since

$$1 - F_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha/\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}}) + 1 - F_{\mathcal{N}}(-\alpha/\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}}) = 1.$$

□

3.2 Spatial polygons

We perform a similar study in the spatial case. The asymptotic behavior of average high dimensional Betti numbers is given by the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of integers.*

1. *If $\limsup n^{-1}p_n < 1/2$, then $\mu_n[b_{2p_n}(N_\ell)] \sim \sum_{k=0}^{p_n} \binom{n-1}{k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*
2. *If $\liminf n^{-1}p_n > 1/2$, then $\mu_n[b_{2p_n}(N_\ell)] \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-p_n-3} \binom{n-1}{k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*
3. *If $\lim n^{-1/2}(p_n - n/2) = \alpha$, then $\mu_n[b_{2p_n}(N_\ell)] \sim C(\alpha)2^{n-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with*

$$C(\alpha) = \int_{2|\alpha|}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{P}(|Z| < \frac{um}{\sigma}) du,$$

where $m = \mu(l)$, $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(l)$, and Z is standard normal.

Proof of Proposition 3.2:

Recall from Lemma 2.2 that the expected Betti number $\mu_n[b_{2p}(N_\ell)]$ is given by

$$\mu_n[b_{2p}(N_\ell)] = 2^{n-1} (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; 0 \leq k \leq p_n\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > n-1-k; 1 \leq k \leq p_n+1\}}]$$

(we use here the fact that k and $n-1-k$ have the same distribution under $\mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}$).

Consider first the case $\limsup n^{-1}p_n < 1/2$ and write

$$\mu_n(\tau > p_n) \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}(0 \leq k \leq p_n) \leq (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; 0 \leq k \leq p_n\}}] \leq \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}(0 \leq k \leq p_n).$$

Using the weak law of large numbers $n^{-1}\tau \rightarrow 1/2$ under μ_n and the asymptotic for p_n , we see that $\mu_n(\tau > p_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the equivalent

$$(\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; 0 \leq k \leq p_n\}}] \sim \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}(0 \leq k \leq p_n).$$

In the same way,

$$0 \leq (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > n-1-k; 1 \leq k \leq p_n+1\}}] \leq \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} > n-1-p_n) \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}(1 \leq k \leq p_n+1))$$

and a large deviations argument shows that $\mu_n(\tau > n - p_n)$ converges exponentially fast to zero, so that this last term is of smaller order than $\mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}(0 \leq k \leq p_n)$. This proves the first point.

Consider now the case $\liminf n^{-1}p_n > 1/2$. It appears that many terms cancel out and we have for large n

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n [b_{2p_n}(N_\ell)] &= 2^{n-1} (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; 0 \leq k \leq p_n\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; n-p_n-2 \leq k \leq n-2\}}] \\ &= 2^{n-1} (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; 0 \leq k \leq n-3-p_n\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; p_n+1 \leq k \leq n-2\}}] \\ &\sim \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}(0 \leq k \leq n-3-p_n), \end{aligned}$$

where the equivalent is proved just as above.

Finally, consider the case $p_n = n/2 + \alpha_n \sqrt{n}$ with $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$. We use the central limit Theorem and write

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_n [b_{2p_n}(N_\ell)] \\ &= 2^{n-1} (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; k \leq p_n\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k; n-p_n-2 \leq k \leq n-2\}}] \\ &= 2^{n-1} \left(\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1/2}(\tau-n/2) > n^{-1/2}(k-n/2); n^{-1/2}(k-n/2) \leq \alpha_n\}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1/2}(\tau-n/2) > n^{-1/2}(k-n/2); -\alpha_n - 2n^{-1/2} \leq n^{-1/2}(k-n/2) \leq n^{-1/2}(n/2-2)\}} \right] \right) \\ &\sim 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_\tau G_1 > G_2/2; G_2/2 < \alpha\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_\tau G_1 > G_2/2; G_2/2 > -\alpha\}}] \end{aligned}$$

with G_1 and G_2 independent standard Gaussian random variables. The constant $C(\alpha)$ corresponds to the expectation in the last line. Using symmetry properties for the distribution of (G_1, G_2) , we easily verify the announced formula for $C(\alpha)$. This ends the proof of Proposition 3.2 \square

4 Asymptotic behavior of the Poincaré polynomial

4.1 Planar polygons

We will here consider the random Poincaré polynomial $p_{M_\ell}(t)$ as given in (2) in the large n limit. We first give a representation of this invariant in terms of random permutations and stopping times.

Lemma 4.1 For any $t > 0$, the Poincaré polynomial is given μ_n -almost surely by

$$p_{M_\ell}(t) = (1+t)^{n-1} (\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > k\}} + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > n-1-k\}} \right].$$

As a consequence,

$$\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(t) = (1+t)^{n-1} (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > k\}} + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > n-1-k\}} \right].$$

Thanks to this lemma, we prove the following Proposition giving the asymptotic of the average Poincaré polynomial.

Proposition 4.1 Let $\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(t)$ be the mean Poincaré polynomial. When $t > 0$,

1. If $0 < t < 1$, then $\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(t) \sim (1+t)^{n-1}$.
2. If $t > 1$, then $\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(t) \sim (1+t)^{n-1} t^{-2}$.
3. If $t = 1$, then the mean total Betti number satisfies $\bar{p}_{M_\ell}(1) \sim 2^{n-1}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1

Equation (2) together with Lemma 2.1 yield

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} t^k \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}(\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > k) \\ &= (1+t)^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \left(\frac{t}{1+t} \right)^k \left(\frac{1}{1+t} \right)^{n-1-k} \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}(\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > k) \\ &= (1+t)^{n-1} \left[(\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}) (\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell}) > k) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Please note that in the sum the terms corresponding to $k = n-2$ and $k = n-1$ vanish. Finally, Lemma 4.1 follows from the relation

$$p_{M_\ell}(t) = q(t) + t^{n-3} q(t^{-1}) + r(t),$$

with $r(t)$ μ_n -almost surely vanishing and from the fact that the distribution of k under $\mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{1}{1+t}}$ is equal to the distribution of $n-1-k$ under $\mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}$.

We use once again the invariance property of μ_n under the action of the symmetric group to simplify the expression of the average Poincaré polynomial $\mu_n[p_{M_\ell}(t)]$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1

We use the representation of the average Poincaré polynomial given in Lemma 4.1 together with weak convergence for $(\tilde{\tau}, k)$ under $\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}$ to study the asymptotic behavior .

The weak law of large number for $\tilde{\tau}$ (see Lemma 2.3) and a standard weak law of large numbers for binomial distribution imply that $(n^{-1}\tilde{\tau}, n^{-1}k)$ converges weakly

under $\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}$ to $(0, \frac{t}{1+t})$. The continuous mapping theorem implies that for $0 < t < 1$ or $t > 1$, the following weak convergence holds under $\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}$:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > k\}} + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > n-1-k\}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{2} > \frac{t}{1+t}\}} + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{2} > 1 - \frac{t}{1+t}\}} = \min(1, t^{-2}).$$

Integrating this (bounded) convergence yield the result for $t \neq 1$.

For $t = 1$, the continuous mapping theorem does not hold no longer since the map $(\tilde{\tau}, k) \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > k\}}$ is not continuous at point $(1/2, 1/2)$. We need here the central limit Theorem. From Lemma 2.3 and standard results for binomial distribution, $(n^{-1/2}(\tilde{\tau} - n/2), n^{-1/2}(k - n/2))$ converges weakly under $\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, 1/2}$ to $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\tilde{\tau}}^2) \otimes \mathcal{N}(0, 1/4)$. The continuous mapping Theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > k\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > n-1-k\}} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1/2}(\tilde{\tau} - n/2) > n^{-1/2}(k - n/2)\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1/2}(\tilde{\tau} - n/2), n^{-1/2}(n/2 - 1 - k)\}} \\ &\Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}} G_1 > G_2/2\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}} G_1 > -G_2/2\}} \end{aligned}$$

with G_1 and G_2 independent standard Gaussian random variables. We integrate this (bounded) convergence and remark that $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}} G_1 > G_2/2\}}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_{\tilde{\tau}} G_1 > -G_2/2\}}) = 1/2$. \square

Remark: we can use large deviations results to estimate the speed of convergence in Proposition 4.1 when $t \neq 1$. For example for $0 < t < 1$, write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_n \left[(1+t)^{-(n-1)} p_{M_\ell}(t) - 1 \right] \\ &= (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > k\}} - 1 + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} > n-1-k\}} \right] \\ &= (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1}(\tilde{\tau} - k) \leq 0\}} + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1}(\tilde{\tau} + k) \geq 1\}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now large deviations for $n^{-1}(\tilde{\tau}, k)$ under $(\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}})$ will give the speed of convergence to 0 in a logarithmic scale.

For $t > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_n \left[(1+t)^{-(n-1)} (p_{M_\ell}(t)) - t^{-2} \right] \\ &= (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1}(\tilde{\tau} - k) > 0\}} + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1}(\tilde{\tau} + k) < 1\}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and we can use the same method.

4.2 Spatial polygons

We use the same strategy in the spatial case and use formula (6) giving the Poincar polynomial for generic vector length. Since μ is diffuse, μ_n -almost every vector

length is generic and equation (6) holds. The related total Betti number is obtained by taking the $t \rightarrow 1$ limit in (6)

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{N_\ell}(1) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-t^2} \left(\hat{q}(t^2) - t^{2(n-2)} \hat{q}(t^{-2}) \right) \\
&= (n-2) \hat{q}(1) - 2 \hat{q}'(1) \\
&= (n-2) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_j - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j \hat{a}_j
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We use the following representations for the Poincar polynomial:

Lemma 4.2 *The Poincar polynomial is given μ_n -almost surely by*

$$p_{N_\ell}(t) = \frac{(1+t^2)^{n-1}}{1-t^2} (\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > k\}} - t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > n-k\}}],$$

for $0 < t < 1$ or $t > 1$, and by

$$p_{N_\ell}(1) = n2^{n-1} (\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, 1/2}) \left[\left(\frac{n-2}{n} - \frac{2k}{n} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > k\}} \right]$$

for $t = 1$.

Proposition 4.2 *Let \bar{p}_{N_ℓ} be the mean Poincaré polynomial associated with random spatial polygons. When $t > 0$,*

1. *If $0 < t < 1$, then $\bar{p}_{N_\ell}(t) \sim \frac{(1+t^2)^{n-1}}{1-t^2}$.*
2. *If $t > 1$, then $\bar{p}_{N_\ell}(t) \sim \frac{(1+t^2)^{n-1}}{t^2(t^2-1)}$.*
3. *If $t = 1$, then the total Betti number satisfies $\bar{p}_{N_\ell}(1) \sim n2^{n-2}$.*

Remark: In the case of spatial polygons, the Poincar polynomial is an even function. Hence its asymptotic mean behavior for $t < 0$ follows directly from Proposition 4.2.

Proof of Lemma 4.2

The proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1. Equation (6) together with Lemma 2.2 yield

$$\hat{q}(t) = (1+t)^{n-1} (\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}) [\tau_\sigma(\ell) > k].$$

The case $t \neq 1$ follows from the relation

$$p_{N_\ell}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^2} \left(\hat{q}(t^2) - t^{2(n-2)} \hat{q}(t^{-2}) \right)$$

and from the fact that the distribution of k under $\mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{1}{1+t^2}}$ is equal to the distribution of $n - K$ under $\mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}}$.

In the case $t = 1$, equation (7) and Lemma 2.2 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{N_\ell}(1) &= (n-2) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_j - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j \hat{a}_j \\
&= n2^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{n-2}{n} - \frac{2j}{n} \right) \binom{n-1}{j} \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}[\tau_\sigma(\ell) > j] \\
&= n2^{n-1} (\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) \left[\left(\frac{n-2}{n} - \frac{2k}{n} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > k\}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 4.2 The case $0 < t < 1$ and $t > 1$ are easily deduced from Lemma 4.2 using the following law of large numbers: under $\mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}$, $n^{-1}(k, \tau)$ converges weakly to $(1/2, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Details are omitted since they are as in the proof of Proposition 4.1.

In the case $t = 1$, the central limit Theorem from Lemma 2.3 states that $(n^{-1/2}(\tilde{\tau} - n/2), n^{-1/2}(k - n/2))$ converges weakly under $\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}$ to $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\tau^2) \otimes \mathcal{N}(0, 1/4)$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{-1}2^{-(n-1)}\mu_n[p_{N_\ell}(1)] &= (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1,1/2}) \left[\left(\frac{n-2}{n} - \frac{2k}{n} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > k\}} \right] \\
&\rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left[1 - 2\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_\tau G_1 > G_2/2\}} \right] = \frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

whith G_1 and G_2 independent standard Gaussian random variables. □

Remark: In order to estimate the speed of convergence in Proposition 4.2 when $t \neq 1$, we can use for $0 < t < 1$ the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_n \left[(1-t^2)(1+t^2)^{-(n-1)} p_{M_\ell}(t) - 1 \right] \\
&= (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1}(\tau-k) \leq 0\}} - t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1}(\tau+k) > 1\}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and for $t > 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_n \left[(t^2-1)(1+t^2)^{-(n-1)} p_{M_\ell}(t) - t^{-2} \right] \\
&= (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}}) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1}(\tau-k) > 0\}} - t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{n^{-1}(\tau+k) \leq 1\}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Large deviations results for $n^{-1}(\tau, k)$ under $(\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}})$ would give the speed of convergence in a logarithmic scale.

4.3 Higher moments

We consider here the higher moments of the Poincar polynomial and prove that their asymptotic behavior is given by the first moment. To this aim, we prove a weak law of large numbers for the renormalized Poincar polynomial.

We begin with the case of planar polygon.

Proposition 4.3 *For any $t > 0$, the following weak convergence holds under μ_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$(1+t)^{-(n-1)} p_{M_\ell(t)} \Rightarrow \min(1, t^{-2}).$$

As a consequence, for any $t > 0$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu_n [p_{M_\ell(t)}^\nu] \sim (\mu_n [p_{M_\ell(t)}])^\nu$$

Proof of Proposition 4.3

Proposition 4.1 states that the expectation under μ_n of $(1+t)^{-(n-1)} p_{M_\ell(t)}$ converges to $\min(1, t^{-2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, weak convergence will be proved as soon as we show that the variance under μ_n of $(1+t)^{-(n-1)} p_{M_\ell(t)}$ goes to zero. We use the representation of the Poincar polynomial from Lemma 4.1 and the replica trick to compute the second moment

$$\mu_n \left[(1+t)^{-2(n-1)} p_{M_\ell(t)}^2 \right] = (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t}{1+t}}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}^{\otimes 2}) [\text{Prod}].$$

with

$$\text{Prod} = (\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{\sigma_1}(\tilde{\ell}) > k_1\}} + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{\sigma_1}(\tilde{\ell}) > n-1-k_1\}}) (\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{\sigma_2}(\tilde{\ell}) > k_2\}} + t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{\sigma_2}(\tilde{\ell}) > n-1-k_2\}}).$$

We need to show that the two factors of Prod are asymptotically independent in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. This would yield

$$\mu_n \left[(1+t)^{-2(n-1)} p_{M_\ell(t)}^2 \right] \sim \left(\mu_n \left[(1+t)^{-(n-1)} p_{M_\ell(t)} \right] \right)^2,$$

and hence the variance of $\frac{p_{M_\ell(t)}}{(1+t)^{n-1}}$ would converge to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We now prove asymptotic independance of the two factors. When $0 < t < 1$ or $t > 1$ the asymptotic independence follows from the weak law of large numbers obtained in Lemma 2.3, both factors converging weakly to $\min(1, t^{-2})$ (note that the distribution of $\tau_\sigma(\tilde{\ell})$ under $\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}$ is equal to the distribution of $\tilde{\tau}(\ell)$ under μ_n). When $t = 1$, we use the bivariate central limit Theorem stated in Lemma 4.3 in the Appendix. Weak convergence is proved.

The convergence of the moments is a direct consequence of the weak convergence once we remark that the renormalized Poincar polynomial $(1+t)^{-(n-1)} p_{M_\ell(t)}$ is μ_n almost surely bounded by $1+t^{-2}$ (this is clear from the representation given in Lemma 4.1). \square

We consider now the higher moments of the Poincar polynomial for spatial polygons spaces. The results and methods are very similar to one of the planar case and are based on Lemma 4.2. Hence we give only the main lines of the proof.

Proposition 4.4 *The following weak convergence holds under μ_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } 0 < t < 1, & \quad (1+t^2)^{-(n-1)} p_{N_\ell}(t) \Rightarrow (1-t^2)^{-1}, \\ \text{if } t > 1, & \quad (1+t^2)^{-(n-1)} p_{N_\ell}(t) \Rightarrow t^{-2}(t^2-1)^{-1}, \\ \text{if } t = 1, & \quad n^{-1}2^{-n} p_{N_\ell}(1) \Rightarrow 1/4. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, for any $t > 0$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu_n [p_{N_\ell}(t)^\nu] \sim (\mu_n [p_{N_\ell}(t)])^\nu.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.4

The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.3 with the following expression of the renormalized Poincar polynomial deduced from Lemma 2.2: for $0 < t < 1$ or $t > 1$

$$(1-t^2)(1+t^2)^{-(n-1)} p_{N_\ell}(t) = (\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}}) [\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > k\}} - t^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > n-k\}}],$$

and for $t = 1$

$$n^{-1}2^{-(n-1)} p_{N_\ell}(1) = (\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, 1/2}) \left[\left(\frac{n-2}{n} - \frac{2k}{n} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_\sigma(\ell) > k\}} \right]$$

Convergence of the expectation was proved in Proposition 4.2. The variance is computed using thanks to the replica trick and is shown to converge to zero because of the asymptotic independence of $\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{\sigma_i}(\ell) > k_i\}}$, $i = 1, 2$ under $\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-1, \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}^{\otimes 2}$ (see Lemma 4.3). \square

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2.3

The weak law of large number is a consequence of the central limit theorem that we prove now. Let $p_n = \frac{n}{2} + \alpha_n \sqrt{n}$ with $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using the definition of $\tilde{\tau}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} \leq p_n) &= \mu_n \left(\tilde{l}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \tilde{l}_i - \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_i \geq 0 \right) \\ &= \mu_n \left(n^{-1/2} \tilde{l}_n + n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \tilde{l}_i - \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_i \right) \geq 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that $n^{-1/2} \tilde{l}_n$ converges weakly to zero and that $n^{-1/2} (\sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \tilde{l}_i - \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_i)$ satisfies a central limit theorem. To see this, we denote by F_μ the repartition function of μ , and remark that the distribution of \tilde{l}_n is given by

$$\mu_n(\tilde{l}_n \leq x) = F_\mu(x)^n.$$

Hence

$$\mu_n(n^{-1/2}\tilde{l}_n > \varepsilon) = (1 - F_\mu(\varepsilon n^{1/2}))^n,$$

and the exponential Markov inequality implies

$$1 - F_\mu(\varepsilon n^{1/2}) \leq \exp(-\eta \varepsilon n^{1/2}) \int e^{\eta x} \mu(dx), \quad \eta > 0.$$

This implies the weak convergence $n^{-1/2}\tilde{l}_n$ to zero. Conditionnaly to $\tilde{l}_n = u$, the other components $(\tilde{l}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ are i.i.d. with conditional distribution given by

$$\mu_n(\tilde{l}_i \leq x \mid \tilde{l}_n = u) = \frac{F_\mu(x \wedge u)}{F_\mu(u)}.$$

Denote by $m(u)$ and $\sigma^2(u)$ the related conditionnal expectation and variance. From the central limit theorem for independent variables, conditionnaly to $\tilde{l}_n = u$, the quantity $n^{-1/2}(\sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \tilde{l}_i - \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_i)$ converges weakly to a gaussian distribution of mean $2\alpha m(u)$ and variance $\sigma^2(u)$. Hence the conditionnal probability

$$\mu_n \left[n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \tilde{l}_i - \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_i \right) \geq 0 \mid \tilde{l}_n = u \right]$$

converges to $F_{\mathcal{N}}(2\alpha m(u)/\sigma(u))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We now have to integrate this with respect to \tilde{l}_n . Taking into account that \tilde{l}_n converges weakly to $l_{\max} = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}; F_\mu(x) = 1\} \in (0, +\infty]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $(m(u), \sigma(u)) \rightarrow (m, \sigma)$ as $u \rightarrow l_{\max}$, we see that

$$\mu_n \left[n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \tilde{l}_i - \sum_{i=p_n+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_i \right) \geq 0 \right] \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{N}}(2\alpha m/\sigma).$$

This proves the central limit theorem for $\tilde{\tau}$.

We now prove the large deviation estimate. Since

$$\mu_n(\tilde{\tau} \leq (1/2 - \varepsilon)n) = \mu_n \left(\tilde{l}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{[(1/2-\varepsilon)n]} \tilde{l}_i - \sum_{i=[(1/2-\varepsilon)n]}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_i \geq 0 \right),$$

we will provide large deviations estimates for the random sum

$$S_n = \tilde{l}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{[(1/2-\varepsilon)n]} \tilde{l}_i - \sum_{i=[(1/2+\varepsilon)n]}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_i.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the logarithmic moment generating function is defined by

$$\Lambda_n(t) = \log(\mu_n(\exp(tS_n))).$$

Using Laplace method, we see that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n^{-1}\Lambda_n(t)$ converges to

$$\Lambda(t) = (1/2 - \varepsilon) \int e^{ty} \mu(dy) + (1/2 + \varepsilon) \int e^{ty} \mu(dy).$$

Using Grtner-Ellis theorem, see e.g. [1], we deduce a large deviations principle for the sum $n^{-1}S_n$ of speed n and of good rate function I being the Fenchel-Legendre transform of Λ . The exact form of I is irrelevant here but it is important to see that I is strictly positive on $[0, \infty)$. Standard arguments from large deviations theory (see [1]) give that I vanishes only at $(1/2 - \varepsilon)m - (1/2 + \varepsilon)m = -2\varepsilon m < 0$, and hence the action I is negative on $[0, \infty)$. As a consequence, the large deviations principle states that

$$\limsup n^{-1} \log \mu_n(\tilde{\tau} \leq (1/2 - \varepsilon)n) \leq - \inf_{[0, \infty)} I < 0.$$

The same technique is used to deal with $\mu_n(\tilde{\tau} \geq (1/2 + \varepsilon)n)$ and this proves the Lemma. \square

Lemma 4.3 *The following bivariate Central Limit Theorem holds under $\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}^{\otimes 2}$:*

$$n^{-1/2}(\tau_{\sigma_1}(\ell) - n/2, \tau_{\sigma_2}(\ell) - n/2) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\tau^2)^{\otimes 2}.$$

It also holds for $\tilde{\tau}$

Proof of Lemma need a bivariate central limit Theorem for $(\tau_{\sigma_1}(\tilde{\ell}), \tau_{\sigma_2}(\tilde{\ell}))$ under Let $p_{n,i} = \frac{n}{2} + \alpha_{n,i}\sqrt{n}$ with $\alpha_{n,i} \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$. By the definition of $\tilde{\tau}_{\sigma_i}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}^{\otimes 2})(\tilde{\tau}_{\sigma_i} \leq p_{n,i}; i = 1, 2) \\ &= (\mu_n \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}^{\otimes 2}) \left(n^{-1/2}\tilde{l}_n + n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p_{n,i}} \tilde{l}_{\sigma_i(j)} - \sum_{j=p_{n,i}+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_{\sigma_i(j)} \right) \geq 0; i = 1, 2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We know from the proof of Lemma 2.3 that $n^{-1/2}\tilde{l}_n$ converges weakly to zero. It remains to check that $n^{-1/2}(\sum_{j=1}^{p_{n,i}} \tilde{l}_{\sigma_i(j)} - \sum_{j=p_{n,i}+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_{\sigma_i(j)})_{i=1,2}$ satisfies a bivariate central limit theorem. Let $\theta_i, i = 1, 2$ be real numbers, and consider the linear combination

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \theta_i n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p_{n,i}} \tilde{l}_{\sigma_i(j)} - \sum_{j=p_{n,i}+1}^{n-1} \tilde{l}_{\sigma_i(j)} \right) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\theta_1 \varepsilon_{n,1}(j) + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{n,2}(j)) \tilde{l}_j,$$

where we set $\varepsilon_{n,i}(j) = 2\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i(j) \leq p_{n,i}\}} - 1$. Conditionnaly to $\tilde{l}_n = u$, the components \tilde{l}_j are i.i.d. with mean $m(u)$ and variance $\sigma(u)$, and hence the above sum is a linear triangular array of independent variables with random coefficients $(\theta_1 \varepsilon_{n,1}(j) + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{n,2}(j))_{1 \leq j \leq n-1}$. The coefficients are almost surely bounded and satisfy a weak law of large numbers under $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma_{n-1}}^{\otimes 2}$

$$n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\theta_1 \varepsilon_{n,1}(j) + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{n,2}(j))^2 \rightarrow \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2.$$

(note that the empirical distribution $\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{(\varepsilon_{n,1}(j), \varepsilon_{n,2}(j))}$ converges weakly to the uniform distribution on $\{(\pm 1, \pm 1)\}$). As a consequence, conditionally to $\tilde{l}_n = u$,

the above sum converges to a gaussian random variables of mean $2(\alpha_1\theta_1 + \alpha_2\theta_2)m(u)$ and variance $(\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)\sigma^2(u)$. Integrating with respect to \tilde{l}_n we obtain that the sum converges weakly to a gaussian random variables with mean $2(\alpha_1\theta_1 + \alpha_2\theta_2)m$ and variance $(\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)\sigma^2$. This proves the bivariate central limit theorem with asymptotic independent components. \square

References

- [1] DEMBO A. and ZEITOUNI O. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. Springer. Applications of Mathematics , Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. **38**, Second Edition, 1998.
- [2] FARBER, M. Topology of random linkages. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, **8**, 155-172 (2008)
- [3] FARBER, M. and KAPPELER, T. Betti numbers of random manifolds *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, **10**, 205-222 (2007).
- [4] FARBER, M. and SCHATZ, D. Homology of planar polygon spaces. *Geometry Dedicata*, **25**, 75-92 (2007).
- [5] FARBER, M. Topology of robot motion planning. In *Morse theoretic methods on nonlinear analysis and in symplectic topology*, P. Biran, O. Cornea, F. Lalonde, editors. Nato Science series, **217**, 185-230 (2006).
- [6] HAUSMANN J.C. Sur la topologie des bras articulés In *Algebraic Topology Poznan 1989, Proceedings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1474**, 146-160 (1989).
- [7] HAUSMANN J.C. and KNUTSON A. Cohomology rings of polygon spaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **48**, 281-321 (1998).
- [8] KLYACHKO, A. Spatial polygons and configurations of points in the projective line *Algebraic geometry and its applications*, Aspects Math., E25, 67-84 (1994).
- [9] LEBESGUE, H. Leons sur les constructions gomtriques, professes au Collège de France en 1940-1941. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1950.
- [10] THURSTON W. and WEEKS J. The mathematics of the three-dimensional manifolds. *Scientific American*, 94-96 (1986).