



HAL
open science

Bonding Mode of a Bifunctional P Si-H ligand in the Ruthenium Complex "Ru(PPh₂CH₂OSiMe₂H)₃".

Virginia Montiel-Palma, Olivier Piechaczyk, Alexandre Picot, Audrey Auffrant, Laure Vendier, Pascal Le Floch, Sylviane Sabo-Etienne

► To cite this version:

Virginia Montiel-Palma, Olivier Piechaczyk, Alexandre Picot, Audrey Auffrant, Laure Vendier, et al.. Bonding Mode of a Bifunctional P Si-H ligand in the Ruthenium Complex "Ru(PPh₂CH₂OSiMe₂H)₃". Inorganic Chemistry, 2008, 47 (19), pp.8601-8603. 10.1021/ic801327a . hal-00320384

HAL Id: hal-00320384

<https://hal.science/hal-00320384>

Submitted on 7 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Bonding Mode of a Bifunctional P~Si-H ligand in the Ruthenium Complex "Ru(PPh₂CH₂OSiMe₂H)₃"

Virginia Montiel-Palma,^a Olivier Piechaczyk,^b Alexandre Picot,^c Audrey Auffrant,^b Laure Vendier,^c Pascal Le Floch,^{b*} and Sylviane Sabo-Etienne^{c*}

^aCentro de Investigaciones Químicas, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Cuernavaca, Morelos, 62210, Mexico, ^bLaboratoire "Hétéroéléments et Coordination", UMR CNRS 7653 (DCPH), Département de Chimie, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France, ^cLaboratoire de Chimie de Coordination du CNRS, 205 route de Narbonne, 31077 Toulouse Cedex 04, France E-mail: sylviane.sabo@lcc-toulouse.fr


RECEIVED DATE (will be automatically inserted after manuscript is accepted)

The phosphinosilane compound PPh₂CH₂OSiMe₂H is potentially a bifunctional P~Si-H ligand. By treatment with the Ru(II) precursor RuH₂(H₂)₂(PCy₃)₂, the complex Ru(PPh₂CH₂OSiMe₂H)₃ (**2**), resulting from the coordination of three ligands and the displacement of two PCy₃ and two dihydrogen ligands, was formed. The different bonding modes for each of the three bifunctional P~Si-H ligands are discussed on the basis of multinuclear NMR, X-ray and DFT studies. One ligand acts as a monodentate phosphine ligand with a pendant Si-H group, whereas the two others act as bidentate ligands with different Si-H bond activations. Indeed, an intermediate structure between two arrested forms **2a** and **2b** can be proposed: a dihydrido(disilyl) Ru(IV) species (form **2a**) resulting from two Si-H oxidative additions or a hydrido(silyl) Ru(II) species (form **2b**) presenting an agostic Si-H bond and only one oxidative addition.

Bond activation of a substrate incorporating an H-E bond (E = H, Si, B, C) often results in oxidative addition of H-E. Formation of a σ-H-E complex is often invoked as an intermediate in the formation of the corresponding hydrido(E) complex and its microscopic reverse, reductive elimination.^{1,2} Some of us have been working for several years in determining the boundaries between the two extreme situations which resulted in the establishment of the σ-CAM mechanism (σ-complexes assisted metathesis mechanism).³ This mechanism, based on the ability of σ-complexes to undergo dynamic rearrangements, operates at a constant oxidation state and involves at least two discrete σ-complexes as intermediates. We have now launched a new project aimed at designing polyfunctional phosphorus ligands with H-E ancillary functionalities.⁴ Besides their potential hemilabile properties,⁵ these new ligands are expected to be involved in secondary interactions between the pendant functionality and the metal or any H present in

the coordination sphere.⁶ More generally, any interaction that could play a role during a catalytic reaction will be examined (hydrogen bonding with the solvent, secondary interactions as in the Noyori system...).⁷⁻⁹ Recently we published our first results on the coordination of the phosphinobenzylsilane ligand Ph₂P(o-C₆H₄)CH₂SiMe₂H to ruthenium complexes.⁴ We showed that the bis(agostic) ruthenium complex RuH₂[η²-(H-SiMe₂)-o-CH₂(C₆H₄)PPh₂]₂ displaying two rare high order ε-agostic Si-H bonds led finally to the formation of a new complex Ru[η²-H-SiMe₂CH(o-C₆H₄)PPh₂]₂ featuring two β-agostic interactions and two carbon-metallated bonds. The stepwise H₂ loss process resulted in the formation of this stable bis(carbometallated) complex as a result of C-H activation. Herein we present the coordination of the bifunctional P~Si-H ligand PPh₂CH₂OSiMe₂H to a ruthenium center studied by multinuclear NMR, X-ray diffraction and DFT analysis.

Addition of 3 equiv. of PPh₂CH₂OSiMe₂H to the bis(dihydrogen) complex RuH₂(H₂)₂(PCy₃)₂ (**1**) suspended in pentane led to rapid dissolution and precipitation of a gray solid, isolated and characterized as Ru(PPh₂CH₂OSiMe₂H)₃ (**2**) (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of **2** represented by two arrested structures (**2a,b**).

When the reaction was performed in an NMR tube in C₇D₈, ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy attested the quantitative formation of compound **2** and free PCy₃ (δ = 9.3 ppm),

during the ligand exchange process. Elucidation of the bonding mode of the three starting phosphinosilane ligands was aided by a combination of different NMR techniques. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ spectrum shows a doublet at δ 68.0 and a triplet at δ 42.0 with a J_{PP} of 25 Hz clearly indicating the coupling of one phosphine *cis* to two others. On the other hand, the ^1H NMR spectrum exhibits a doublet of triplet at δ -6.28 at room temperature in the hydride region which broadens significantly at 193K. Furthermore, two different CH_2 resonances are observed as two AB spin system patterns between δ 4.58 and δ 3.46 in a 2:1 ratio. The latter one assigned to the dangling ligand displays an additional coupling with the phosphorus at δ 42 as shown by selective decoupling experiments. Finally, the dangling Si-H group resonates as a broad septet at δ 4.07. Three HMBC $^{29}\text{Si}\text{-}^1\text{H}$ experiments were performed with $J = 6, 30$ and 200 Hz respectively, for a better assignment and the determination of the different Si-H coupling constants in **2**. Two Si resonances can be observed at δ 8.2 and at δ 66.8. The resonance at higher field was assigned to the dangling Si-H ligand with a $J_{\text{Si-H}}$ value of 200 Hz, whereas the latter correlates with the hydride resonance with a $J_{\text{Si-Happ}}$ value of 26 Hz. Finally, HSQC [$^{13}\text{C}, ^1\text{H}$] [^{31}P] data confirmed the coordination of two different types of phosphinosilane ligands. The lower field resonances of the methyl substituents at δ 16.8 and δ 13.8 are indicative of SiMe_2 groups in interaction with a metal center as opposed to the resonances near δ -2 for the dangling ligand. All these data were found in good agreement with the coordination of one phosphinosilane ligand with a dangling Si-H whereas an advanced oxidative addition process of the other two phosphinosilanes can be assumed since a reduced apparent $J_{\text{Si-Happ}}$ coupling value of 26 Hz is observed.¹⁰ Note that such a value is in the lower limit of what is generally observed for σ -silane complexes but high for an oxidative addition product.^{11,12}




Figure 1. Molecular structure of $\text{Ru}(\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OSiMe}_2\text{H})_3$ (**2**). Thermal ellipsoid plot (50% probability surface). Hydrogen atoms except hydrides have been omitted for clarity.

The molecular structure of **2** is shown in Figure 1, and confirms that the two PCy_3 ligands have been displaced. Selected geometrical parameters are listed in Table 1. Whereas one phosphinosilane is η^1 - coordinated to the

ruthenium center through the phosphorus atom, leaving one pendant Si-H group, the coordination modes of the two other ligands are not clear cut. Two formulations can be proposed (Scheme 1). First, we can consider that the two Si-H bonds have been broken leading formally to a dihydrido(disilyl) ruthenium(IV) species (**2a**). A second possibility is to assume that one Si-H bond has oxidatively added leading to a hydrido(silyl) ruthenium (II) complex with one agostic Si-H bond (**2b**). In the first case, the geometry can be considered as a pentagonal bipyramid whereas in the second hypothesis a highly distorted octahedral complex would have been formed, the agostic Si-H bond occupying one coordination site in the equatorial plane. The two Ru-Si bonds ($2.4240(11)$ Å and $2.4647(11)$ Å) and the two P-Ru-Si angles ($143.89(4^\circ)$ and $130.23(4^\circ)$) are notably different. The two hydrogen atoms were located and the Si-H bond distances are in favour of a different degree of activation. The Si3-Hy2 bond length of $1.75(3)$ Å is in agreement with an agostic interaction and in the range found for other σ -silane ruthenium complexes, whereas the Si1-Hy1 bond length of $2.28(3)$ Å is characteristic of an advanced activation process, leading to a hydrido(silyl) formulation with one SISHA interaction (Secondary Interaction between a Silicon and a Hydrogen Atom).^{6,13}

Table 1. Comparison between selected geometrical parameters (distances in Å, angles in degrees) for the experimental and calculated structures of **2**. Calc. geometrical parameters for the transition state TS are also given.

	Exptl	Calcd	TS
Ru-P1	2.3137(11)	2.29	2.29
Ru-P2	2.3753(11)	2.33	2.33
Ru-P3	2.3257(10)	2.29	2.29
Ru-Hy1	1.60(3)	1.64	1.64
Ru-Hy2	1.61(3)	1.65	1.64
Ru-Si1	2.4240(11)	2.45	2.47
Ru-Si3	2.4647(11)	2.50	2.46
Si1-Hy1	2.28(3)	2.27	2.06
Si3-Hy2	1.75(3)	1.85	2.04
P1-Ru-P2	98.03(4)	96.6	97.0
P1-Ru-P3	166.04(4)	166.1	166.6
P2-Ru-P3	95.91(4)	97.0	96.4
P3-Ru-Hy2	87.7(10)	91.3	96.4
P3-Ru-Hy1	91.9(10)	91.7	93.1
P2-Ru-Hy2	85.2(10)	80.8	77.6
P2-Ru-Hy1	78.5(10)	77.7	80.9
P2-Ru-Si1	143.89(4)	141.4	136.9
P2-Ru-Si3	130.23(4)	128.4	132.7
P3-Ru-Si1	88.42(4)	86.4	88.4
P3-Ru-Si3	81.90(4)	82.4	82.1
P2-Ru-Hy1-Si1	-177.0	-178.1	-178.1
P2-Ru-Hy2-Si3	-177.0	-175.4	-177.9

Location of hydrogen atoms by X-ray diffraction is always subject to debate and DFT studies proved to be very useful to discuss the bonding modes of hydrogen involved in σ -bonds. DFT calculations were carried out on the model complex $\text{Ru}(\text{PH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OSiMe}_2\text{H})_2(\text{PH}_3)$ using the Gaussian 03W set of programs¹⁴ with the B3PW91 functional,^{15,16} and the 6-31G(d,p) basis set for all non metallic atoms. For ruthenium, the core electrons were represented by a relativistic small-core pseudopotential using the Durand–Barthelat method.¹⁷ The PH_3 ligand was used to model the η^1 -coordinated phosphinosilane, assuming that the dangling silane has no effect on the

coordination around the ruthenium. Hydrogen atoms were used in place of the phenyl groups for the two other phosphine ligands. As shown in Table 1, a good agreement was found between experimental and theoretical data. The dissymmetry concerning the activation of the Si1-Hy1 and the Si3-Hy2 bonds is particularly well reproduced, the Si3-Hy2 distance being found significantly shorter (1.85 Å) than the Si1-Hy1 (2.27 Å). The nature of the ruthenium–silane interaction was studied by a NBO analysis (using the NBO 3.1 program as implemented in Gaussian 03W).¹⁸ Importantly, the reduction of the Wiberg bond index for Si1-Hy1 ($WBI_{Si1Hy1} = 0.15$) by comparison to the value calculated for Si3-Hy2 ($WBI_{Si3Hy2} = 0.33$) suggests a stronger bonding of Hy2 to Si3 in agreement with the bond distances recorded. Conversely, stronger $WBI_{Ru-Si1} = 0.42$ (vs $WBI_{Ru-Si3} = 0.34$) and $WBI_{Ru-Hy1} = 0.44$ (vs $WBI_{Ru-Hy2} = 0.35$) were found. These data show that complex **2** cannot be simply viewed as a Ru(IV) dihydride species since a Si3-Hy2 bonding is still clearly present. On the other hand, the observed structure is also far from that expected for a d^6 complex adopting an ideal octahedral geometry (structure **2b**, Scheme 1). Indeed, as shown by the analysis of its MO scheme, the d_{xy} orbital is not purely non-bonding and involved in both the σ -Ru-Si (HOMO-5) and Ru-H (HOMO-6) bonds (Figure 2). Thus, this complex might be better described as an intermediate between the two arrested structures presented in Scheme 1.




Figure 2. Selected occupied orbitals of model complex

Interestingly, a low energy lying transition state ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 3.8 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) corresponding to the exchange of coordination modes between the two Si-H bonds was located, its structure being close to a C_2 geometry (Figure 3). This very low activation barrier is in very good agreement with the recorded $^1\text{H-NMR}$ data since the two

ligands involved in the Si-H bonding to ruthenium were found to be equivalent on the NMR time scale.




Figure 3. View of the transition state corresponding to the inversion of the Si-H bonding modes

In summary, we show here that the phosphinosilane can coordinate to a ruthenium center via different modes. The dangling Si-H ligand should allow further reactivity whereas the coordination of the two other ligands results in an advanced Si-H bond activation step. The two bonding modes observed here illustrate the difficulty in assigning an arrested structure. From NMR data one might conclude that a dihydrido(disilyl)complex (Ru(IV) species) with SISHA interactions was formed, whereas X-ray and DFT calculations indicate a different Si-H bond activation in agreement with a structure representing a continuum between a $Ru^{II}H(SiMe_2OCH_2PPh_2)(\eta^2-H-SiMe_2OCH_2PPh_2)(PPh_2CH_2OSiMe_2H)$ (**2b**) and a $Ru^{IV}H_2(SiMe_2OCH_2PPh_2)_2.(PPh_2CH_2OSiMe_2H)$ (**2a**) species. Studies dealing with the reactivity of complex **2** are currently ongoing in our laboratories and will be reported in due course.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. We thank the ANR (Programme blanc "In-Out Tuning" ANR-06-BLAN-0060) and CNRS/CONACYT (grant 16873) for support.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format for **2**, free energies and three lower frequencies of DFT calculated extrema and complete Gaussian reference, as well as experimental procedures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

REFERENCES

- (1) Kubas, G. J. *Metal Dihydrogen and σ -Bond Complexes*; Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers: New York, 2001.
- (2) Kubas, G. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 4152-4205.
- (3) Perutz, R. N.; Sabo-Etienne, S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 2578-2592.
- (4) Montiel-Palma, V.; Munoz-Hernandez, M. A.; Ayed, T.; Barthelat, J.-C.; Grellier, M.; Vendier, L.; Sabo-Etienne, S. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 3963-3965.
- (5) Slone, C. S.; Weinberger, D. A.; Mirkin, C. A. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, 233-350.
- (6) Lachaize, S.; Sabo-Etienne, S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, 4697-4699.
- (7) Noyori, R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2008-2022.
- (8) Abbel, R.; Abdur-Rashid, K.; Fantz, M.; Hadzovic, A.; Lough, A. J.; Morris, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 1870-1882.
- (9) Custelcean, R.; Jackson, J. E. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 1963-1980.
- (10) Schubert, U.; Gilges, H. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 2373-2375.
- (11) Corey, J. Y.; Braddock-Wilking, J. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 175-292.
- (12) Alcazar, G.; Sabo-Etienne, S. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2008**, in press doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2008.1002.1006.
- (13) Lin, Z. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2002**, *31*, 239-245.
- (14) Frisch, M. J. In *Gaussian 03, rev. B.04*; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh PA., 2004.
- (15) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648-5652.
- (16) Perdew, J. P.; Wang, Y. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *45*, 13244-13249.
- (17) Durand, P.; Barthelat, J. C. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1975**, *38*, 283-302.
- (18) Reed, A. E.; Curtiss, L. A.; Weinhold, F. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 899-926.

Synopsis. The complex $\text{Ru}(\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OSiMe}_2\text{H})_3$ results from the coordination of three $\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OSiMe}_2\text{H}$ phosphinosilane ligands and the displacement of two PCy_3 and two dihydrogen ligands. The different bonding modes for each of the three bifunctional P-Si-H ligands are discussed on the basis of multinuclear NMR, X-ray and DFT studies. One ligand acts as a monodentate phosphine ligand with a pendant Si-H group, whereas the two others act as bidentate ligands with different Si-H bond activations.

