



HAL
open science

Solutions of some nonlinear parabolic equations with initial blow-up

Waad Al Sayed, Laurent Veron

► **To cite this version:**

Waad Al Sayed, Laurent Veron. Solutions of some nonlinear parabolic equations with initial blow-up. *Quaderni di Matematica*, 2009. hal-00320318

HAL Id: hal-00320318

<https://hal.science/hal-00320318>

Submitted on 10 Sep 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Solutions of some nonlinear parabolic equations with initial blow-up

Waad Al Sayed Laurent Véron

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique,
Université François Rabelais, Tours, FRANCE

Abstract We study the existence and uniqueness of solutions of $\partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0$ ($q > 1$) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain with a compact boundary, subject to the conditions $u = f \geq 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ and the initial condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = \infty$. By means of Brezis' theory of maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces, we construct a minimal solution when $f = 0$, whatever is the regularity of the boundary of the domain. When $\partial\Omega$ satisfies the parabolic Wiener criterion and f is continuous, we construct a maximal solution and prove that it is the unique solution which blows-up at $t = 0$.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K60.

Key words. Parabolic equations, singular solutions, semi-groups of contractions, maximal monotone operators, Wiener criterion.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$) with a compact boundary, $Q_\infty^\Omega = \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ and $q > 1$. This article deals with the question of the solvability of the following Cauchy-Dirichlet problem $\mathcal{P}^{\Omega, f}$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + |u|^{q-1}u = 0 & \text{in } Q_\infty^\Omega \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = \infty & \forall x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

If no assumption of regularity is made on $\partial\Omega$, the boundary data $u = f$ cannot be prescribed in sense of continuous functions. However, the case $f = 0$ can be treated if the vanishing condition on $\partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ is understood in the H_0^1 local sense. We construct a positive solution \underline{u}_Ω of (1.1) with $f = 0$ belonging to $C(0, \infty; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega))$ thanks to Brezis results of contractions semigroups generated by subdifferential of proper convex functions in Hilbert spaces. We can also consider an internal increasing approximation of Ω by smooth bounded domains Ω^n such that $\Omega = \cup_n \Omega^n$. For each of these domains, there exists a maximal solution \bar{u}_{Ω^n} of problem $\mathcal{P}^{\Omega^n, 0}$. Furthermore the sequence $\{\bar{u}_{\Omega^n}\}$ is increasing. The limit function $u_\Omega := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_{\Omega^n}$ is the natural candidate to be the minimal positive solution of a solution of $\mathcal{P}^{\Omega, 0}$. We prove that $\underline{u}_\Omega = u_\Omega$. If $\partial\Omega$ satisfies the parabolic Wiener

criterion [9], there truly exist solutions of $\mathcal{P}^{\Omega,0}$. We construct a maximal solution \bar{u}_Ω of this problem. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *If $\partial\Omega$ is compact and satisfies the parabolic Wiener criterion, there holds*

$$\bar{u}_\Omega = \underline{u}_\Omega.$$

In the last section, we consider the full problem $\mathcal{P}^{\Omega,f}$. Under the same regularity and boundedness assumption on $\partial\Omega$ we construct a maximal solution $\bar{u}_{\Omega,f}$ and we prove

Theorem 2. *If $\partial\Omega$ is compact and satisfies the parabolic Wiener criterion, and if $f \in C(0, \infty; \partial\Omega)$ is nonnegative, $\bar{u}_{\Omega,f}$ is the only positive solution to problem $\mathcal{P}^{\Omega,f}$.*

These type of results are to be compared with the ones obtained by the same authors [1] in which paper the following problem is considered

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + |u|^{q-1}u = 0 & \text{in } Q_\infty^\Omega \\ \lim_{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = \infty & \text{locally uniformly on } (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = f & \forall x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

In the above mentioned paper, it is proved two types of existence and uniqueness result with $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, $f \geq 0$: either if $\partial\Omega = \partial\bar{\Omega}^c$ and $1 < q < N/(N-2)$, or if $\partial\Omega$ is locally the graph of a continuous function and $q > 1$.

Our paper is organized as follows: 1- Introduction. 2- Minimal and maximal solutions. 3- Uniqueness of large solutions. 4- Bibliography.

2 Minimal and maximal solutions

Let $q > 1$ and Ω be a proper domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N > 1$ with a non-empty compact boundary. We set $Q_\infty^\Omega = \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ and consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

If there is no regularity assumption on $\partial\Omega$, a natural way to consider the boundary condition is to impose $u(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. The Hilbertian framework for this equation has been studied by Brezis in a key article [2] (see also the monography [3] for a full treatment of related questions) in considering the maximal monotone operator $v \mapsto A(v) := -\Delta v + |v|^{q-1}v$ seen as the subdifferential of the proper lower semi-continuous function

$$J_\Omega(v) = \begin{cases} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{q+1} |v|^{q+1} \right) dx & \text{if } v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega) \\ \infty & \text{if } v \notin H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

In that case, the domain of $A = \partial J_\Omega$ is $D(A) := \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega) : \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)\}$, and we endow $D_\Omega(-\Delta, \cdot)$ with the graph norm of the Laplacian in $H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\|v\|_{D_\Omega(-\Delta)} = \left(\int_\Omega ((\Delta v)^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + v^2) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Brezis' result is the following.

Theorem 2.1 *Given $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ there exists a unique function $v \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; D_\Omega(-\Delta)) \cap C(0, \infty; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega))$ such that $\partial_t v \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + |v|^{q-1}v = 0 & \text{a.e. in } Q_\infty^\Omega \\ v(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Furthermore the mapping $(t, u_0) \mapsto v(t, \cdot)$ defines an order preserving contraction semigroup in $L^2(\Omega)$, denoted by $S^{\partial J_\Omega}(t)[u_0]$, and the following estimate holds

$$\|\partial_t v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{t\sqrt{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (2.4)$$

From this result, we have only to consider solutions of (2.1) with the above regularity.

Definition 2.2 *We denote by $\mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ the set of positive functions $u \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; D_\Omega(-\Delta)) \cap C(0, \infty; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega))$ such that $\partial_t u \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ satisfying*

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + |u|^{q-1}u = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

in the semigroup sense, i. e.

$$\frac{du}{dt} + \partial J_\Omega(u) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty). \quad (2.6)$$

If Ω is not bounded it is useful to introduce another class which takes into account the Dirichlet condition on $\partial\Omega$: we assume that $\Omega^c \subset B_{R_0}$, denote by $\Omega_R = \Omega \cap B_R$ ($R \geq R_0$) and by $\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega_R)$ the closure in $H_0^1(\Omega_R)$ of the restrictions to Ω_R of functions in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, thus we endow $D_{\Omega_R}(-\Delta, \cdot)$ with the graph norm of the Laplacian in $\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega_R)$

$$\|v\|_{D_{\Omega_R}(-\Delta)} = \left(\int_{\Omega_R} ((\Delta v)^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + v^2) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Definition 2.3 *If Ω is not bounded but $\Omega^c \subset B_{R_0}$, we denote by $\mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^{\Omega_{loc}})$ the set of positive functions $u \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ such that, for any $R > R_0$, $u \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; D_{\Omega_R}(-\Delta)) \cap C(0, \infty; \tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega_R) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega_R))$, $\partial_t u \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega_R))$ and u satisfies (2.5) in a. e. in Q_∞^Ω .*

Lemma 2.4 *If $u \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ or $\mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^{\Omega_{loc}})$, its extension \tilde{u} by zero outside Ω is a subsolution of (2.1) in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\tilde{u} \in C(0, \infty; H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $\partial_t \tilde{u} \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$.*

Proof. The proof being similar in the two cases, we assume Ω bounded. We first notice that $\tilde{u} \in C(0, \infty; H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$ since $\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$. For $\delta > 0$ we set

$$P_\delta(r) = \begin{cases} r - 3\delta/2 & \text{if } r \geq 2\delta \\ r^2/2\delta - r + \delta/2 & \text{if } \delta < r < 2\delta \\ 0 & \text{if } r \leq \delta \end{cases}$$

and denote by u_δ the extension of $P_\delta(u)$ by zero outside Q_∞^Ω . Since $u_{\delta t} = P'_\delta(u)\partial_t u$, then $u_{\delta t} \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $\|u_{\delta t}\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}$. In the same way $\nabla u_\delta = P'_\delta(u)\nabla u$, thus $u_\delta \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $\|u_\delta\|_{H^1_0} \leq \|u\|_{H^1_0}$. Finally $-\Delta u_\delta = -P'_\delta(u)\Delta u - P''_\delta(u)|\nabla u|^2$. Using the fact that $P'_\delta u^q \geq u^q_\delta$, we derive from (2.6)

$$\partial_t u_\delta - \Delta u_\delta + u^q_\delta \leq 0$$

in the sense that

$$\iint_{Q_\infty^{\mathbb{R}^N}} (\partial_t u_\delta \zeta + \nabla u_\delta \cdot \nabla \zeta + u^q_\delta \zeta) dxdt \leq 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for all $\zeta \in C^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$, $\zeta \geq 0$. Actually, $C^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ can be replaced by $L^2(\epsilon, \infty; H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^{q'}((\epsilon, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$. Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and using Fatou's theorem implies that (2.7) holds with u_δ replaced by \tilde{u} . \square

Lemma 2.5 *For any $u \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$, there holds*

$$u(x, t) \leq \left(\frac{1}{(q-1)t} \right)^{1/(q-1)} := \phi_q(t) \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_\infty^\Omega. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Let $\tau > 0$. Since the function $\phi_{q,\tau}$ defined by $\phi_{q,\tau}(t) = \phi_q(t - \tau)$ is a solution of

$$\phi'_{q,\tau} + \phi^q_{q,\tau} = 0$$

and $(u - \phi_{q,\tau})_+ \in C(0, \infty; H^1_0(\Omega))$, there holds

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega (u - \phi_{q,\tau})_+^2 dx + \iint_{Q_\infty^\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla (u - \phi_{q,\tau})_+ + (u^q - \phi^q_{q,\tau})(u - \phi_{q,\tau})_+) dxdt = 0.$$

Thus $s \mapsto \|(u - \phi_{q,\tau})_+(s)\|_{L^2}$ is nonincreasing. By Lebesgue's theorem,

$$\lim_{s \downarrow \tau} \|(u - \phi_{q,\tau})_+(s)\|_{L^2} = 0,$$

thus $u(x, t) \leq \phi_{q,\tau}(t)$ a.e. in Ω . Letting $\tau \downarrow 0$ and using the continuity yields to (2.8). \square

Theorem 2.6 *For any $q > 1$, the set $\mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ admits a least upper bound \underline{u}_Ω for the order relation. If Ω is bounded, $\underline{u}_\Omega \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$; if it is not the case, then $\underline{u}_\Omega \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^{\Omega_{loc}})$.*

Proof. *Step 1- Construction of \underline{u}_Ω when Ω is bounded.* For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we consider the solution $v = v_k$ (in the sense of Theorem 2.1 with the corresponding maximal operator in $L^2(\Omega)$) of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + v^q = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ v(x, t) = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ v(x, 0) = k & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

When $k \rightarrow \infty$, v_k increases and converges to some \underline{u}_Ω . Because of (2.8) and the fact that Ω is bounded, $\underline{u}_\Omega(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$ for $t > 0$. It follows from the closedness of maximal

monotone operators that $\underline{u}_\Omega \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; D_\Omega(-\Delta)) \cap C(0, \infty; H^1_0(\Omega) \cap L^{q+1}(\Omega))$, $\partial_t \underline{u}_\Omega \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\frac{d\underline{u}_\Omega}{dt} + \partial J_\Omega(\underline{u}_\Omega) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty). \quad (2.10)$$

Thus $\underline{u}_\Omega \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$. For $\tau, \epsilon > 0$, the function $t \mapsto \underline{u}_\Omega(x, t - \tau) + \epsilon$ is a supersolution of (2.1). Let $u \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$; for $k > \phi_q(\tau)$, the function $(x, t) \mapsto (u(x, t) - \underline{u}_\Omega(x, t - \tau) - \epsilon)_+$ is a subsolution of (2.1) and belongs to $C(\tau, \infty; H^1_0(\Omega))$. Since it vanishes at $t = \tau$, it follows from Brezis' result that it is identically zero, thus $u(x, t) \leq \underline{u}_\Omega(x, t - \tau) + \epsilon$. Letting $\epsilon, \tau \downarrow 0$ implies the claim.

Step 2- Construction of \underline{u}_Ω when Ω is unbounded. We assume that $\partial\Omega \subset B_{R_0}$ and for $n > R_0$, we recall that $\Omega_n = \Omega \cap B_n$. For $k > 0$, we denote by \underline{u}_{Ω_n} the solution obtained in Step 1. Then $\underline{u}_{\Omega_n} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{n,k}$ where $v_{n,k}$ is the solution, in the sense of maximal operators in Ω_n of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dv_{n,k}}{dt} + \partial J_{\Omega_n}(v_{n,k}) = 0 & \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty) \\ v_{n,k}(0) = k. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that the extension $\tilde{v}_{n,k}$ by 0 of $v_{n,k}$ in Ω_{n+1} is a subsolution for the equation satisfied by $v_{n+1,k}$, with a smaller initial data, therefore $\tilde{v}_{n,k} \leq v_{n+1,k}$. This implies $\tilde{u}_{\Omega_n} \leq u_{\Omega_{n+1}}$. Thus we define $\underline{u}_\Omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 and standard regularity results for parabolic equations that $u = \underline{u}_\Omega$ satisfies

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

in Q_∞^Ω . Multiplying

$$\frac{d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}}{dt} + \partial J_{\Omega_n}(\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}) = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

by $\eta^2 \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}$ where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and integrating over Ω_n , yields to

$$2^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_n} \eta^2 \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_n} \left(|\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2 + \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1} \right) \eta^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega_n} \nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n} \cdot \nabla \eta \eta \underline{u}_{\Omega_n} dx = 0.$$

Thus, by Young's inequality,

$$2^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_n} \eta^2 \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_n} \left(2^{-1} |\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2 + \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1} \right) \eta^2 dx \leq 2 \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla \eta|^2 \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^2 dx.$$

If we assume that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ on B_R ($R > R_0$) and $\eta = 0$ on B_{2R}^c , we derive, for any $0 < \tau < t$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-1} \int_{\Omega_n} \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^2(\cdot, t) \eta^2 dx + \int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega_n} \left(2^{-1} |\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2 + \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1} \right) \eta^2 dx ds \\ \leq 2 \int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega_n} \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 dx ds + 2^{-1} \int_{\Omega_n} \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^2(\cdot, \tau) \eta^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

From this follows, if $n > 2R$,

$$2^{-1} \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^2(\cdot, t) dx + \int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \left(2^{-1} |\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2 + \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1} \right) dx ds \leq CR^N (t + 1) \tau^{-2/(q-1)}. \quad (2.15)$$

If we let $n \rightarrow \infty$ we derive by Fatou's lemma

$$2^{-1} \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \underline{u}_\Omega^2(\cdot, t) dx + \int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \left(2^{-1} |\nabla \underline{u}_\Omega|^2 + \underline{u}_\Omega^{q+1} \right) dx ds \leq CR^N (t+1) \tau^{-2/(q-1)}. \quad (2.16)$$

For $\tau > 0$ fixed, we multiply (2.13) by $(t-\tau)\eta^2 d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}/dt$, integrate on $(\tau, t) \times \Omega_n$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} (t-\tau) \int_{\Omega_n} \left| \frac{d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}}{dt} \right|^2 \eta^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} (t-\tau) \int_{\Omega_n} \left(\frac{|\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2}{2} + \frac{\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) \eta^2 dx \\ = \int_{\Omega_n} \left(\frac{|\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2}{2} + \frac{\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) \eta^2 dx - 2(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega_n} \nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n} \cdot \nabla \eta \frac{d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}}{dt} \eta dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$2(t-\tau) \left| \int_{\Omega_n} \nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n} \cdot \nabla \eta \frac{d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}}{dt} \eta dx \right| \leq \frac{(t-\tau)}{2} \int_{\Omega_n} \left| \frac{d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}}{dt} \right|^2 \eta^2 dx + 4(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 dx,$$

we get, in assuming again $n > 2R$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-1} \int_\tau^t \int_\Omega (s-\tau) \left| \frac{d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}}{dt} \right|^2 \eta^2 dx ds + (t-\tau) \int_\Omega \left(\frac{|\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2}{2} + \frac{\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) \eta^2 dx \\ \leq 4 \int_\tau^t (s-\tau) \int_\Omega |\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 dx ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

from which follows,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-1} \int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} (s-\tau) \left| \frac{d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}}{dt} \right|^2 dx ds + (t-\tau) \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \left(\frac{|\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2}{2} + \frac{\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) dx \\ \leq 4 \int_\tau^t (s-\tau) \int_{\Omega \cap B_{2R}} |\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2 dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

The right-hand side of (2.18) remains uniformly bounded by $8C(2R)^N (t-\tau)t\tau^{-2/(q-1)}$ from (2.15). Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-1} \int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} (s-\tau) \left| \frac{d\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}}{dt} \right|^2 dx ds + (t-\tau) \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \left(\frac{|\nabla \underline{u}_{\Omega_n}|^2}{2} + \frac{\underline{u}_{\Omega_n}^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) dx \\ \leq 8C(2R)^N (t-\tau)t\tau^{-2/(q-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

By Fatou's lemma the same estimate holds if \underline{u}_{Ω_n} is replaced by \underline{u}_Ω . Notice also that this estimate implies that \underline{u}_Ω vanishes in the H_0^1 -sense on $\partial\Omega$ since $\eta \underline{u}_\Omega \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ where the function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has value 1 in B_R and $\Omega^c \subset B_R$. Moreover estimates (2.16) and (2.19) imply that \underline{u}_Ω satisfies (2.12) a.e., and thus it belongs to $\mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^{\Omega_{loc}})$.

Step 3- Comparison. At end, assume $u \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$. For $R > n_0$ let W_R be the maximal solution of

$$-\Delta W_R + W_R^q = 0 \quad \text{in } B_R. \quad (2.20)$$

Existence follows from Keller-Osserman's construction [5],[8], and the following scaling and blow-up estimates holds

$$W_R(x) = R^{-2/(q-1)}W_1(x/R), \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$W_R(x) = C_q(R - |x|)^{-2/(q-1)}(1 + o(1)) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow R. \quad (2.22)$$

For $\tau > 0$ set $v(x, t) = u(x, t) - \underline{u}_\Omega(x, t - \tau) - W_R(x)$. Then v_+ is a subsolution. Since $v(\cdot, \tau) \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\lim_{s \downarrow \tau} \|v_+(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} = 0$. Because $\eta \underline{u}_\Omega \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ for η as above, $\eta v_+ \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Next, $\text{supp } v_+ \subset \Omega \cap B_R$. Since u, \underline{u}_Ω are locally in H^1 , we can always assume that their restrictions to $\partial B_R \times [0, T]$ are integrable for the corresponding Hausdorff measure. Therefore Green's formula is valid, which implies

$$-\int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \Delta v_+ dx dt = \int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} |\nabla v_+|^2 dx dt \quad \forall t > \tau.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_R} v_+^2(x, t) dx + \int_\tau^t \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} (|\nabla v_+|^2 + (u - (\underline{u}_\Omega(\cdot, t - \tau) + W_R)^q) v_+) dx dt \leq \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} v_+^2(x, s) dx.$$

We let $s \downarrow \tau$ and get $v_+ = 0$, equivalently $u(x, t) \leq \underline{u}_\Omega(x, t - \tau) + W_R(x)$. Then we let $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and obtain $u(x, t) \leq \underline{u}_\Omega(x, t)$, which is the claim. \square

Corollary 2.7 *Assume $\Omega^1 \subset \Omega^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ are open domains, then $\underline{u}_{\Omega^1} \leq \underline{u}_{\Omega^2}$. Furthermore, if $\Omega = \cup \Omega^n$ where $\Omega^n \subset \Omega^{n+1}$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{u}_{\Omega^n} = \underline{u}_\Omega, \quad (2.23)$$

locally uniformly in Q_∞^Ω .

Proof. The first assertion follows from the proof of Theorem 2.6. It implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{u}_{\Omega^n} = u_\Omega^* \leq \underline{u}_\Omega,$$

and u_Ω^* is a positive solution of (2.5) in Q_∞^Ω . There exists a sequence $\{u_{0,m}\} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ such that $S^{\partial J_\Omega}(t)[u_{0,m}] \uparrow \underline{u}_\Omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, locally uniformly in Q_∞^Ω . Set $u_{0,m,n} = u_{0,m} \chi_{\Omega^n}$; since $u_{0,m,n} \rightarrow u_{0,m}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ then $S^{\partial J_\Omega}(\cdot)[u_{0,m,n}] \uparrow S^{\partial J_\Omega}(\cdot)[u_{0,m}]$ in $L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$. If $\tilde{v}_{m,n}$ is the extension of $v_{m,n} := S^{\partial J_{\Omega^n}}(\cdot)[u_{0,m,n}]$ by zero outside $Q_\infty^{\Omega^n}$ it is a subsolution smaller than $S^{\partial J_\Omega}(\cdot)[u_{0,m,n}]$ and $n \mapsto \tilde{v}_{m,n}$ is increasing; we denote by \tilde{v}_m its limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since for any $\zeta \in C_0^{2,1}([0, \infty) \times \Omega)$ we have, for n large enough and $s > 0$,

$$-\int_0^s \int_\Omega (\tilde{v}_{m,n} (\partial_t \zeta + \Delta \zeta)) dx dt = \int_\Omega u_{0,m,n} \zeta(x, 0) dx - \int_\Omega \tilde{v}_{m,n}(x, s) \zeta(x, t) dx,$$

it follows

$$-\int_0^s \int_\Omega (\tilde{v}_m (\partial_t \zeta + \Delta \zeta)) dx dt = \int_\Omega u_{0,m} \zeta(x, 0) dx - \int_\Omega \tilde{v}_m(x, s) \zeta(x, t) dx.$$

Clearly \tilde{v}_m is a solution of (2.5) in $Q_\infty^{\Omega^n}$. Furthermore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{v}_m(t, \cdot) = u_{0,m} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Because

$$\|\tilde{v}_m(t, \cdot) - u_{0,m}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 2 \|u_{0,m}\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

it follows from Lebesgue's theorem that $t \mapsto \tilde{v}_m(t, \cdot)$ is continuous in $L^2(\Omega)$ at $t = 0$. Furthermore, for any $t > 0$ and $h \in (-t, t)$, we have from 2.4 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{v}_{m,n}(t+h, \cdot) - \tilde{v}_{m,n}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega^n)} &\leq \frac{|h|}{t\sqrt{2}} \|u_{0,m,n}\|_{L^2(\Omega^n)} \\ \implies \|\tilde{v}_m(t+h, \cdot) - \tilde{v}_m(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \frac{|h|}{t\sqrt{2}} \|u_{0,m}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Thus $\tilde{v}_m \in C([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$. By the contraction principle, $\tilde{v}_m = S^{\partial J_\Omega}(t)[u_{0,m}]$ is the unique generalized solution to (2.3). Finally, there exists an increasing sequence $\{u_{0,m}\} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $\tau > 0$,

$$0 < \underline{u}_\Omega - S^{\partial J_\Omega}(t)[u_{0,m}] \leq \epsilon/2$$

on $[\tau, \infty) \times \Omega$. For any m , there exists n_m such that

$$0 < S^{\partial J_\Omega}(t)[u_{0,m}] - \tilde{v}_{m,n} \leq \epsilon/2$$

Therefore

$$0 < \underline{u}_\Omega - \underline{u}_{\Omega^n} \leq \epsilon,$$

on $[\tau, \infty) \times \Omega_n$. This implies (2.23). \square

We can also construct a minimal solution with conditional initial blow-up in the following way. Assuming that $\Omega = \cup \Omega^m$ where Ω^m are smooth bounded domains and $\overline{\Omega^m} \subset \Omega^{m+1}$. We denote by u_m the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_m - \Delta u_m + |u_m|^{q-1} u_m = 0 & \text{in } Q_\infty^{\Omega^m} \\ u_m = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega^m \times (0, \infty) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u_m(x, t) = \infty & \text{locally uniformly on } \Omega^m. \end{cases} \quad (2.25)$$

Such a u_m is the increasing limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ of the solutions $u_{m,k}$ of the same equation, with same boundary data and initial value equal to k . Since $\overline{\Omega^m} \subset \Omega^{m+1}$, $u_m < u_{m+1}$. We extend u_m by zero outside Ω^m and the limit of the sequence $\{u_m\}$, when $m \rightarrow \infty$ is a positive solution of (2.5) in Q_∞^Ω . We denote it by u_Ω . The next result is similar to Corollary 2.7, although the proof is much simpler.

Corollary 2.8 *Assume $\Omega^1 \subset \Omega^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ are open domains, then $u_{\Omega^1} \leq u_{\Omega^2}$. Furthermore, if $\Omega = \cup \Omega^n$ where $\Omega^n \subset \Omega^{n+1}$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{\Omega^n} = u_\Omega, \quad (2.26)$$

locally uniformly in Q_∞^Ω .

Proposition 2.9 *There holds $u_\Omega = \underline{u}_\Omega$.*

Proof. For any $m, k > 0$, $\tilde{u}_{m,k}$, the extension of $u_{m,k}$ by zero in $Q_\infty^{\Omega^{m,c}}$ is a subsolution, thus it is dominated by \underline{u}_Ω . Letting successively $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ implies $u_\Omega \leq \underline{u}_\Omega$. In order to prove the reverse inequality, we consider an increasing sequence $\{u_\ell\} \subset \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ converging to \underline{u}_Ω locally uniformly in Q_∞^Ω . If Ω is bounded there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_{\ell,0,k}\}$ which converges to $u_\ell(\cdot, 0) = u_{\ell,0}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $S^{\partial J_\Omega}(\cdot)[u_{\ell,0,k}] \rightarrow S^{\partial J_\Omega}(\cdot)[u_{\ell,0}]$ in $L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$. Therefore

$$S^{\partial J_\Omega}(\cdot)[u_{\ell,0,k}] \leq u_\Omega \implies S^{\partial J_\Omega}(\cdot)[u_{\ell,0}] \leq u_\Omega \implies \underline{u}_\Omega \leq u_\Omega. \quad (2.27)$$

Next, if Ω is unbounded, $\Omega = \cup \Omega^n$, with $\Omega^n \subset \Omega^{n+1}$ are bounded, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{u}_{\Omega^n} = \underline{u}_\Omega$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{\Omega^n} = u_\Omega$$

by Corollary 2.7 and Corollary 2.8. Since $\underline{u}_{\Omega^n} = u_{\Omega^n}$ from the first part of the proof, the result follows. \square

Remark. By construction u_Ω is dominated by any positive solution of (2.12) which satisfies the initial blow-up condition locally uniformly in Ω . Therefore, $u_\Omega = \underline{u}_\Omega$ is the *minimal solution* with initial blow-up.

If Ω has the minimal regularity which allows the Dirichlet problem to be solved by any continuous function g given on $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$, we can consider another construction of the maximal solution of (2.1) in Q_∞^Ω . The needed assumption on $\partial\Omega$ is known as the *parabolic Wiener criterion* [9] (abr. PWC).

Definition 2.10 *If $\partial\Omega$ is compact and satisfies PWC, we denote by $\mathcal{J}_{Q_\infty^\Omega}$ the set of $v \in C((0, \infty) \times \overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,1}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ satisfying (2.1).*

Theorem 2.11 *Assume $q > 1$ and Ω satisfies PWC. Then $\mathcal{J}_{Q_\infty^\Omega}$ admits a maximal element \overline{u}_Ω .*

Proof. Step 1- Construction. We shall directly assume that Ω is unbounded, the bounded case being a simple adaptation of our construction. We suppose $\Omega^c \subset B_{R_0}$, and for $n > R_0$ set $\Omega_n = \Omega \cap B_n$. The construction of u_n is standard: for $k \in \mathbb{N}_*$ we denote by $v_k^* = v_{n,k}^*$ the solution of (2.9). Lemma 2.5 is valid for v_k^* . Notice that uniqueness follows from the maximum principle. When $k \rightarrow \infty$ the sequence $\{v_k\}$ increases and converges to a solution u_n of (2.12) in Q_{Ω_n} . Because the exterior boundary of Ω_n is smooth, the standard equi-continuity of the sequence of solutions applies, thus $u_n(x, t) = 0$ for all (x, t) s.t. $|x| = n$ and $t > 0$. In order to see that $u_n(x, t) = 0$ for all (x, t) s.t. $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $t > 0$, we see that $u_n(x, t) \leq \phi_\tau(x, t)$ on $(\tau, \infty) \times \Omega_n$, where

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \phi_\tau - \Delta \phi_\tau + \phi_\tau^q = 0 & \text{in } Q_\infty^\Omega \\ \phi_\tau(x, \tau) = \phi_q(\tau) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \phi_\tau(x, t) = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times [\tau, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (2.28)$$

Such a solution exists because of PWC assumption. Since $v_{n,k}^*$ is an increasing function of n (provided the solution is extended by 0 outside Ω_n) and k , there holds $\tilde{u}_n \leq u_{n+1}$ in Ω^{n+1} . If we set

$$\bar{u}_\Omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u}_n,$$

then $\bar{u}_\Omega \leq \phi_\tau$ for any $\tau > 0$. Clearly \bar{u}_Ω is a solution of (2.12) in Q_∞^Ω . This implies that \bar{u}_Ω is continuous up to $\partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, with zero boundary value. Thus it belongs to $\mathcal{J}_{Q_\infty^\Omega}$.

Step 2- Comparison. In order to compare \bar{u}_Ω to any other $u \in \mathcal{J}_{Q_\infty^\Omega}$, for $R > R_0$ we set $v_{R,\tau}(x, t) = \bar{u}_\Omega(x, t - \tau) + W_R(x)$, where W_R is the maximal solution of (2.20) in B_R . The function $(u - v_{R,\tau})_+$ is a subsolution of (2.12) in $\Omega \cap B_R \times (\tau, \infty)$. It vanishes in a neighborhood on $\partial(\Omega \cap B_R) \times (\tau, \infty)$ and of $\Omega \cap B_R \times \{\tau\}$. Thus it is identically zero. If we let $R \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality $u \leq v_{R,\tau}$ and $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we derive $u \leq \bar{u}_\Omega$, which is the claim. \square

Proposition 2.12 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.11, $\bar{u}_\Omega \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ if Ω is bounded and $\bar{u}_\Omega \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^{\Omega_{loc}})$ if Ω is not bounded.*

Proof. Case 1: Ω bounded. Let Ω^n be a sequence of smooth domains such that

$$\Omega^n \subset \bar{\Omega}^n \subset \Omega^{n+1} \subset \Omega$$

and $\cup_n \Omega^n = \Omega$. For $\tau > 0$, let $u_{n,\tau}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{n,\tau} - \Delta u_{n,\tau} + u_{n,\tau}^q = 0 & \text{in } \Omega^n \times (\tau, \infty) \\ u_{n,\tau}(\cdot, \tau) = \bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot, \tau) & \text{in } \Omega^n \\ u_{n,\tau}(x, t) = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega^n \times [\tau, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (2.29)$$

Because $\bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot, \tau) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}^n)$, $u_{n,\tau} \in C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega}^n \times [\tau, \infty))$. By the maximum principle,

$$0 \leq \bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot, t) - u_{n,\tau}(\cdot, t) \leq \max\{\bar{u}_\Omega(x, s) : (x, s) \in \partial\Omega^n \times [\tau, t]\} \quad (2.30)$$

for any $t > \tau$. Because \bar{u}_Ω vanishes on $\partial\Omega \times [\tau, t]$, we derive

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u}_{n,\tau} = \bar{u}_\Omega \quad (2.31)$$

uniformly on $\bar{\Omega} \times [\tau, t]$ for any $t \geq \tau$, where $\tilde{u}_{n,\tau}$ is the extension of $u_{n,\tau}$ by zero outside Ω_n . Applying (2.15) and (2.19) with $\eta = 1$ to $\tilde{u}_{n,\tau}$ in Ω yields to

$$2^{-1} \int_\Omega \tilde{u}_{n,\tau}^2(\cdot, t) dx + \int_\tau^t \int_\Omega (|\nabla \tilde{u}_{n,\tau}|^2 + \tilde{u}_{n,\tau}^{q+1}) dx ds \leq C(t+1)\tau^{-2/(q-1)}. \quad (2.32)$$

and

$$2^{-1} \int_\tau^t \int_\Omega (s - \tau)(\partial_s \tilde{u}_{n,\tau})^2 dx ds + (t - \tau) \int_\Omega \left(\frac{|\nabla \tilde{u}_{n,\tau}|^2}{2} + \frac{\tilde{u}_{n,\tau}^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) (t, \cdot) dx \leq C(t - \tau)t\tau^{-2/(q-1)}. \quad (2.33)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.31) yields to

$$2^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{\Omega}^2(., t) dx + \int_{\tau}^t \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \bar{u}_{\Omega}|^2 + \bar{u}_{\Omega}^{q+1} \right) dx ds \leq C(t+1)\tau^{-2/(q-1)}. \quad (2.34)$$

and

$$2^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t \int_{\Omega} (s-\tau) (\partial_s \bar{u}_{\Omega})^2 dx ds + (t-\tau) \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla \bar{u}_{\Omega}|^2}{2} + \frac{\bar{u}_{\Omega}^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) (t, .) dx \leq C(t-\tau)t\tau^{-2/(q-1)}. \quad (2.35)$$

Since $L^2(\tau, t; H_0^1(\Omega))$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\tau, t; H^1(\Omega))$, for any $0 < \tau < t$, $\bar{u}_{\Omega} \in L_{loc}^2(0, \infty; H_0^1(\Omega))$. Furthermore $\partial_s \bar{u}_{\Omega} \in L_{loc}^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$. Because \bar{u}_{Ω} satisfies (2.12), it implies $\bar{u}_{\Omega} \in \mathcal{I}(Q_{\infty}^{\Omega})$.

Case 2: Ω unbounded. We assume that $\Omega^c \subset B_{R_0}$. We consider a sequence of smooth unbounded domains $\{\Omega^n\} \subset \Omega$ ($n > 1$) such that $\sup\{\text{dist}(x, \Omega^c) : x \in \partial\Omega^n\} < 1/n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, thus $\cup_n \Omega^n = \Omega$. For $m > R_0$ we set $\Omega_m^n = \Omega^n \cap B_m$. Therefore $\Omega_m^n \subset \bar{\Omega}_m^n \subset \Omega_{m+1}^{n+1}$ and $\cup_{n,m} \Omega_m^n = \Omega$. For $\tau > 0$, let $u = u_{m,n,\tau}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_m^n \times (\tau, \infty) \\ u(., \tau) = \bar{u}_{\Omega}(., \tau) & \text{in } \Omega_m^n \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega^n \times [\tau, \infty) \\ u(., \tau) = \bar{u}_{\Omega}(., \tau) & \text{in } \partial B_m \times (\tau, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (2.36)$$

By the maximum principle,

$$0 \leq \bar{u}_{\Omega}(., t) - u_{m,n,\tau}(., t) \leq \max\{\bar{u}_{\Omega}(x, s) : (x, s) \in \partial\Omega^n \times [\tau, t]\} \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.37)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Next we extend $u_{m,n,\tau}$ by zero in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_n$ and apply (2.15)-(2.19) with η as in Theorem 2.6 and $m > 2R$. We get, with $\Omega_R = \Omega \cap B_R$,

$$2^{-1} \int_{\Omega_R} u_{m,n,\tau}^2(., t) dx + \int_{\tau}^t \int_{\Omega_R} \left(2^{-1} |\nabla u_{m,n,\tau}|^2 + u_{m,n,\tau}^{q+1} \right) dx ds \leq CR^N(t+1)\tau^{-2/(q-1)}, \quad (2.38)$$

and

$$2^{-1} \int_{\tau}^t \int_{\Omega_R} (s-\tau) \left| \frac{du_{m,n,\tau}}{dt} \right|^2 dx ds + (t-\tau) \int_{\Omega_R} \left(\frac{|\nabla u_{m,n,\tau}|^2}{2} + \frac{u_{m,n,\tau}^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) dx \leq 8C(2R)^N(t-\tau)t\tau^{-2/(q-1)} \quad (2.39)$$

We let successively $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ and derive by Fatou's lemma and (2.37) that inequalities (2.38) and (2.39) still hold with \bar{u}_{Ω} instead of $u_{m,n,\tau}$. If we denote by $\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega_R)$ the closure of the space of $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}_R)$ functions which vanish in a neighborhood on $\partial\Omega$, then (2.38) is an estimate in $L^2(\tau, t; \tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega_R))$ which is a closed subspace of $L^2(\tau, t; H^1(\Omega_R))$. Therefore $\bar{u}_{\Omega} \in L_{loc}^2(0, \infty; \tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega_R))$. Using (2.39) and equation (2.12) we conclude that $\bar{u}_{\Omega} \in \mathcal{I}(Q_{\infty}^{\Omega_{loc}})$. \square

We end this section with a comparison result between \underline{u}_{Ω} and \bar{u}_{Ω} .

Theorem 2.13 *Assume $q > 1$ and Ω satisfies PWC. Then $\underline{u}_\Omega = \bar{u}_\Omega$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11-Step 2, $\underline{u}_\Omega \leq \bar{u}_\Omega$. If Ω is bounded, we can compare $\underline{u}_\Omega(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot + \tau, \cdot)$ on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Since \underline{u}_Ω , the least upper bound of $\mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$, and $\bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \in \mathcal{I}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ we derive $\bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \leq \underline{u}_\Omega(\cdot, \cdot)$, from which follows $\bar{u}_\Omega \leq \underline{u}_\Omega$. Next, if Ω is not bounded, we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 by comparing $\underline{u}_\Omega(\cdot, \cdot) + W_R$ and $\bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot + \tau, \cdot)$ on $\Omega_R \times (0, \infty)$, where W_R is defined in (2.20). Because $(\bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) - \underline{u}_\Omega(\cdot, \cdot) - W_R(\cdot))_+$ is a subsolution of (2.12) in $Q_\infty^{\Omega_R}$ which vanishes at $t = 0$ and near $\partial\Omega_R \times (0, \infty)$; it follows $\bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot + \tau, \cdot) \leq \underline{u}_\Omega(\cdot, \cdot) + W_R(\cdot)$. Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tau \rightarrow 0$ completes the proof. \square

3 Uniqueness of large solutions

Definition 3.1 *Let $q > 1$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be any domain. A positive function $u \in C^{2,1}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ of (2.12) is a large initial solution if it satisfies*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = \infty \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (3.40)$$

uniformly on any compact subset of Ω .

We start with the following lemma

Lemma 3.2 *Assume $u \in C^{2,1}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ is a large solution of (2.12), then for any open subset G such that $\bar{G} \subset \Omega$, there holds*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1/(q-1)} u(x, t) = c_q := \left(\frac{1}{q-1} \right)^{1/(q-1)} \quad \text{uniformly in } G. \quad (3.41)$$

Proof. By compactness, it is sufficient to prove the result when $G = B_\rho$ and $\bar{B}_\rho \subset B_{\rho'} \subset \Omega$. Let $\tau > 0$; by comparison, $u(x, t) \geq u_{B_{\rho'}}(x, t + \tau)$ for any $(x, t) \in Q_\infty^\Omega$. Letting $\tau \rightarrow 0$ yields to $u \geq u_{B_{\rho'}}$. Next for $\tau > 0$,

$$\phi_q(t + \tau) \leq u_{B_{\rho'}}(x, t) + u_{B_{\rho'}^c}(x, t) + W_R(x) \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_\infty^{\mathbb{R}^N}.$$

Similarly

$$\max\{u_{B_{\rho'}}(x, t + \tau), u_{B_{\rho'}^c}(x, t + \tau)\} \leq \phi_q(t) + W_R(x) \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_\infty^{\mathbb{R}^N}.$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$\max\{u_{B_{\rho'}}, u_{B_{\rho'}^c}\} \leq \phi_q \leq u_{B_{\rho'}} + u_{B_{\rho'}^c} \quad \text{in } Q_\infty^{\mathbb{R}^N}.$$

For symmetry reasons, $x \mapsto u_{B_{\rho'}^c}(x, t)$ is radially increasing for any $t > 0$, thus, for any $\rho < \rho'$ and $T > 0$, there exists $C_{\rho, T} > 0$ such that

$$u_{B_{\rho'}^c}(x, t) \leq C_{\rho, T} \quad \forall (x, t) \in B_\rho \times [0, T].$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1/(q-1)} u_{B_{\rho'}}(x, t) = c_q \quad \text{uniformly on } B_{\rho}.$$

Because

$$u_{B_{\rho'}}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq \phi_q(t) \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_{\infty}^{\Omega},$$

(3.41) follows. \square

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.2 and (2.23), we obtain

Proposition 3.3 *Assume $q > 1$ and $\partial\Omega$ is compact. Then u_{Ω} is a large solution.*

We start with the following uniqueness result

Proposition 3.4 *Assume $q > 1$, Ω satisfies PWC, $\partial\Omega$ is bounded, and either Ω or Ω^c is strictly starshaped with respect to some point. Then \bar{u}_{Ω} is the unique large solution belonging to $\mathcal{J}(Q_{\infty}^{\Omega})$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that either Ω or Ω^c is strictly starshaped with respect to 0. By Theorem 2.11, \bar{u}_{Ω} exists and, by (2.23) and Lemma 3.2, it is a large solution. Let $u \in \mathcal{J}(Q_{\infty}^{\Omega})$ be another large solution. Clearly $u \leq \bar{u}_{\Omega}$. If Ω is starshaped, then for $k > 1$, the function $u_k(x, t) := k^{2/(q-1)} u(kx, k^2t)$ is a solution in Q_{Ω_k} , with $\Omega_k := k^{-1}\Omega$. Clearly it is a large solution and it belongs to $\mathcal{J}(Q_{\Omega_k})$. For $\tau \in (0, 1)$, set $u_{k,\tau}(x, t) = u_k(x, t - \tau)$. Because $\partial\Omega$ is compact,

$$\lim_{k \downarrow 1} d_H(\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega_k) = 0,$$

where d_H denotes the Hausdorff distance between compact sets. By assumption $\bar{u}_{\Omega} \in C([\tau, \infty) \times \bar{\Omega})$ vanishes on $[\tau, \infty) \times \partial\Omega$, thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 > 1$ such that for any

$$k \in (1, k_0] \implies \sup\{\bar{u}_{\Omega}(x, t) : (x, t) \in [\tau, 1] \times \partial\Omega_k\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Since $u_{k,\tau} + \epsilon$ is a super solution in Q_{Ω_k} which dominates \bar{u}_{Ω} on $[\tau, 1] \times \partial\Omega_k$ and at $t = \tau$, it follows that $u_{k,\tau} + \epsilon \geq \bar{u}_{\Omega}$ in $(\tau, 1] \times \Omega_k$. Letting successively $k \rightarrow 1$, $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and using the fact that ϵ is arbitrary, yields to $u \geq \bar{u}_{\Omega}$ in $(0, 1] \times \Omega$ and thus in Q_{∞}^{Ω} . If Ω^c is starshaped, then the same construction holds provided we take $k < 1$ and use the fact that, for $R > 0$ large enough, $u_{k,\tau} + \epsilon + W_R$ is a super solution in $Q_{\Omega_k \cap B_R}$ which dominates \bar{u}_{Ω} on $[\tau, 1] \times \partial\Omega_k \cap B_R$ and at $t = \tau$. Letting successively $R \rightarrow \infty$, $k \rightarrow 1$, $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields to $u \geq \bar{u}_{\Omega}$ \square

As a consequence of Section 2, we have the more complete uniqueness theorem

Theorem 3.5 *Assume $q > 1$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain with a bounded boundary $\partial\Omega$ satisfying PWC. Then for any $f \in C(\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty))$, $f \geq 0$, there exists a unique positive function $u = \bar{u}_{\Omega, f} \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}(Q_{\infty}^{\Omega})$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + |u|^{q-1} u = 0 & \text{in } Q_{\infty}^{\Omega} \\ u = f & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = \infty & \text{locally uniformly on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.42)$$

Proof. Step 1: Existence. It is a simple adaptation of the proof of Theorem 2.11. For $k, \tau > 0$, we denote by $u = u_{k, \tau, f}$ the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + |u|^{q-1}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (\tau, \infty) \\ u = f & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (\tau, \infty) \\ u(x, \tau) = k & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.43)$$

Notice that $u_{k, \tau, f}$ is bounded from above by $\bar{u}_\Omega(\cdot, \cdot - \tau) + v_{f, \tau}$, where $v_{f, \tau} = v$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + |v|^{q-1}v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (\tau, \infty) \\ v = f & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (\tau, \infty) \\ v(x, \tau) = 0 & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.44)$$

If we let $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain a solution $u_{\infty, \tau, f}$ of the same problem except that the condition at $t = \tau$ becomes $\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau} u(x, t) = \infty$, locally uniformly for $x \in \Omega$. Clearly $u_{\infty, \tau, f}$ dominates in $\Omega \times (\tau, \infty)$ the restriction to this set of any $u \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \infty) \cap C^{2,1}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ solution of (3.42), in particular \bar{u}_Ω . Therefore $u_{\infty, \tau, f} \geq u_{\infty, \tau', f}$ in $\Omega \times (\tau, \infty)$ for any $0 < \tau' < \tau$. When $\tau \rightarrow 0$, $u_{\infty, \tau, f}$ converges to a function \bar{u}_f which satisfies the lateral boundary condition $\bar{u}_{\Omega, f} = f$. Therefore $\bar{u}_{\Omega, f}$ satisfies (3.42).

Step 2: Uniqueness. Assume that there exists another positive function $u := u_f \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ solution of (3.42). Then $u_f < \bar{u}_{\Omega, f}$. For $\tau > 0$, consider the solution $v := v_\tau$ of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + |v|^{q-1}v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (\tau, \infty) \\ v = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (\tau, \infty) \\ v(x, \tau) = u_f(x, \tau) & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.45)$$

Then $v_\tau \leq u_f$ in $\Omega \times (\tau, \infty)$. In the same way, we construct a solution $v : \tilde{v}_\tau$ of the same problem (3.45) except that the condition at $t = \tau$ is now $v(x, \tau) = \bar{u}_{\Omega, f}(x, \tau)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Furthermore $v_\tau \leq \tilde{v}_\tau \leq \bar{u}_{\Omega, f}$. Next we adapt a method introduced in [6], [7] in a different context. We denote

$$Z_f = \bar{u}_{\Omega, f} - u_f \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{0, \tau} = \tilde{v}_\tau - v_\tau, \quad (3.46)$$

and, for $(r, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$,

$$h(r, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{r^q - s^q}{r - s} & \text{if } r \neq s \\ 0 & \text{if } r = s. \end{cases}$$

Since $r \mapsto r^q$ is convex on \mathbb{R}_+ , there holds

$$\begin{cases} r_0 \geq s_0, r_1 \geq s_1 \\ r_1 \geq r_0, s_1 \geq s_0 \end{cases} \implies h(r_1, s_1) \geq h(r_0, s_0).$$

This implies

$$h(u_{\Omega, f}, u_f) \geq h(\tilde{v}_\tau, v_\tau) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [\tau, \infty). \quad (3.47)$$

Next we write

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_t(Z_f - Z_{0,\tau}) - \Delta(Z_f - Z_{0,\tau}) + \bar{u}_{\Omega,f}^q - u_f^q - (\tilde{v}_\tau^q - v_\tau^q) \\ &= \partial_t(Z_f - Z_{0,\tau}) - \Delta(Z_f - Z_{0,\tau}) + h(\bar{u}_{\Omega,f}, u_f)Z_f - h(\tilde{v}_\tau, v_\tau)Z_{0,\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Combining (3.47), (3.48) with the positivity of Z_f and $Z_{0,\tau}$, we derive

$$\partial_t(Z_f - Z_{0,\tau}) - \Delta(Z_f - Z_{0,\tau}) + h(\bar{u}_{\Omega,f}, u_f)(Z_f - Z_{0,\tau}) \leq 0, \quad (3.49)$$

in $\Omega \times (\tau, \infty)$. On $\partial\Omega \times [\tau, \infty)$ there holds $Z_f - Z_{0,\tau} = f - f = 0$. Furthermore, at $t = \tau$, $Z_f(x, \tau) - Z_{0,\tau}(x, \tau) = \bar{u}_{\Omega,f}(x, \tau) - u_f(x, \tau) - \bar{u}_{\Omega,f}(x, \tau) + u_f(x, \tau) = 0$. By the maximum principle, it follows $Z_f \leq Z_{0,\tau}$ in $\Omega \times [\tau, \infty)$. Since $\tau > \tau' > 0$ implies $v_\tau(x, \tau) = u_f(x, \tau) \geq v_{\tau'}(x, \tau)$ and $\tilde{v}_\tau(x, \tau) = \bar{u}_{\Omega,f}(x, \tau) \geq \tilde{v}_{\tau'}(x, \tau)$, the sequences $\{v_\tau\}$ and $\{\tilde{v}_\tau\}$ converge to some functions $\{v_0\}$ and $\{\tilde{v}_0\}$ which belong to $C(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}(Q_\infty^\Omega)$ and satisfy (3.42) with $f = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Furthermore

$$\bar{u}_{\Omega,f} - u_f \leq \tilde{v}_0 - v_0. \quad (3.50)$$

Since $\bar{u}_{\Omega,f} \geq \bar{u}_\Omega$, $\tilde{v}_0 \geq \bar{u}_\Omega$, which implies that $\tilde{v}_0 = \bar{u}_\Omega$ by the maximality of \bar{u}_Ω . If Ω' is any smooth bounded open subset such that $\bar{\Omega}' \subset \Omega$ there holds by an easy approximation argument $v_0 \geq u_{\Omega'}$ in $\Omega' \times (0, \infty)$. Therefore $v_0 \geq u_\Omega = \underline{u}_\Omega = \bar{u}_\Omega$, by Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.13. Applying again Theorem 2.13 we derive that the right-hand side of (3.50) is zero, which yields to $\bar{u}_{\Omega,f} = u_f$ \square

References

- [1] W. Al Sayed and L. Véron, *On uniqueness of large solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations in nonsmooth domains*, Adv. Nonlinear Studies, to appear.
- [2] H. Brezis, *Propriétés régularisantes de certains semi-groupes non linéaires*, Isr. J. Math. **9**, 513-534 (1971).
- [3] H. Brezis, **Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans des espaces de Hilbert**, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, **No. 5**. Notas de Matemática (50). North-Holland Publishing Co. (1973).
- [4] H. Brezis and A. Friedman, *Nonlinear parabolic equations involving measures as initial conditions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **62**, 73-97 (1983).
- [5] J.B. Keller, *On solutions of $\Delta u = f(u)$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10**, 503-510 (1957).
- [6] M. Marcus and L. Véron, *The boundary trace of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations: the subcritical case*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **144**, 201-231 (1998).
- [7] M. Marcus and L. Véron, *The initial trace of positive solutions of semilinear parabolic equations*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. **24**, 1445-1499 (1999).
- [8] R. Osserman, *On the inequality $\Delta u \geq f(u)$* , Pacific J. Math. **7**, 1641-1647 (1957).
- [9] W. Ziemer, *Behavior at the boundary of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations*, J. Differential Equations **35** 291-305 (1980).