

The role of vibrationally excited oxygen and nitrogen in the ionosphere during the undisturbed and geomagnetic storm period of 6-12 April 1990

A. V. Pavlov

▶ To cite this version:

A. V. Pavlov. The role of vibrationally excited oxygen and nitrogen in the ionosphere during the undisturbed and geomagnetic storm period of 6-12 April 1990. Annales Geophysicae, 1998, 16 (5), pp.589-601. hal-00316394

HAL Id: hal-00316394 https://hal.science/hal-00316394

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



The role of vibrationally excited oxygen and nitrogen in the ionosphere during the undisturbed and geomagnetic storm period of 6–12 April 1990

A. V. Pavlov

Institute of Terrestrial Magnetism, Ionosphere and Radio-Wave Propagation, Russia Academy of Science (IZMIRAN), Troitsk, Moscow Region, 142092, Russia Fax: +7 095 3340124; e-mail: pavlov@charley.izmiran.rssi.ru

Received: 24 July 1997 / Revised: 28 November 1997 / Accepted: 2 December 1997

Abstract. We present a comparison of the observed behavior of the F-region ionosphere over Millstone Hill during the geomagnetically quiet and storm periods of 6-12 April 1990 with numerical model calculations from the IZMIRAN time-dependent mathematical model of the Earth's ionosphere and plasmasphere. The major enhancement to the IZMIRAN model developed in this study is the use of a new loss rate of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions as a result of new high-temperature flowing afterglow measurements of the rate coefficients K_1 and K_2 for the reactions of $O^+(^4S)$ with N_2 and O_2 . The deviations from the Boltzmann distribution for the first five vibrational levels of $O_2(v)$ were calculated, and the present study suggests that these deviations are not significant. It was found that the difference between the non-Boltzmann and Boltzmann distribution assumptions of $O_2(v)$ and the difference between ion and neutral temperature can lead to an increase of up to about 3% or a decrease of up to about 4% of the calculated NmF2 as a result of a respective increase or a decrease in K_2 . The IZMIRAN model reproduces major features of the data. We found that the inclusion of vibrationally excited $N_2(v > 0)$ and $O_2(v > 0)$ in the calculations improves the agreement between the calculated NmF2 and the data on 6, 9, and 10 April. However, both the daytime and nighttime densities are reproduced by the IZMIRAN model without the vibrationally excited nitrogen and oxygen on 8 and 11 April better than the IZMIRAN model with $N_2(v > 0)$ and $O_2(v > 0)$. This could be due to possible uncertainties in model neutral temperature and densities, EUV fluxes, rate coefficients, and the flow of ionization between the ionosphere and plasmasphere, and possible horizontal divergence of the flux of ionization above the station. Our calculations show that the increase in the $O^+ + N_2$ rate factor due to $N_2(v > 0)$ produces a 5-36% decrease in the calculated daytime peak density. The increase in the $O^+ + O_2$ loss rate due to vibrationally excited O₂ produces 8–46% reductions in NmF2. The effects of vibrationally excited O_2 and N_2 on N_e and T_e are most pronounced during the daytime.

Key words. Ion chemistry and composition · Ionosphere – atmosphere interactions · Ionospheric disturbances

1 Introduction

In modeling the ionosphere it is necessary to know the loss rate of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions. The most important chemical processes in determining the abundance of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions are the reactions

$$O^{+}(^{4}S) + N_{2}(v) \rightarrow NO^{+} + N$$
, (1)

$$O^{+}(^{4}S) + O_{2}(v) \rightarrow O_{2}^{+} + O$$
, (2)

where v = 0, 1, ... is the number of the vibrational level of N₂ or O₂.

The rate coefficients of $O^+(^4S)$ ions with $N_2(v > 0)$ were measured by Schmeltekopf et al. (1968) for $T_n = T_i = 300$ K (where T_n is the neutral temperature and T_i is the ion temperature) and were confirmed by Ferguson et al. (1984). The measurements of the effective rate coefficient for the reaction of $O^+({}^4S)$ with N₂ was given by Hierl et al. (1997) over the temperature range 300–1600 K for $T_n = T_i = T_v$, where T_v is the vibrational temperature of N2. These results confirm the observations of Schmeltekopf et al. (1968) and show that the assumption of similar translation temperature dependencies for different states is reasonable. Hierl et al. (1997) also found a big difference between the high-temperature flowing afterglow and drift tube measurements (McFarland et al., 1973; Albritton et al., 1977) of the effective rate coefficient, K_2 , for Reaction (2) as a result of the input of the reactions between the vibrationally excited O_2 and $O^+({}^4S)$. These new results will be used in this paper.

The difference between the measurements of K_2 given by Hierl *et al.* (1997) and the scaled drift tube data is decreased with the decrease in T_n . As a result, like N₂ (v), the effects of the vibrational excitation of O₂ are expected to be more important during solar maximum than at solar minimum. In the first study of the O₂(v > 0) effects on NmF2 given by Pavlov *et al.* (1998) for the 5–11 June 1991 storm, it was found that enhanced vibrational excitation of O₂ leads up to a 40% decrease in the calculated NmF2 at solar maximum. In this paper we study the effects of O₂(v > 0) on NmF2 for the 6–12 April 1990 geomagnetic storm which was at moderate solar-activity conditions (Buonsanto *et al.*, 1992). We compare our results with previous modeling results given by Pavlov and Buonsanto (1997) for the April 1990 geomagnetic storm.

The new analytical formula for the dependence of K_2 on T_n given by Hierl *et al.* (1997) is valid if the vibrational temperature of O₂, $T_{vib} = T_n$, and $T_i = T_n$. In an earlier study, Pavlov (1989) found that T_{vib} is close to T_n and deviation from a Boltzmann distribution of O₂(v = 1-4) was about a factor of 1.3–4 at solar minimum and 1.2–2.4 at solar maximum during quiet conditions at the F2-region altitudes.

In this paper we will examine the effects of differences between T_{vib} and T_n and Boltzmann and non-Boltzmann distributions of $O_2(v)$ on the electron density during the April 1990 geomagnetic storm.

2 Theoretical model

The model used is an enhanced and updated version of the IZMIRAN model that we have steadily developed over the years (Pavlov, 1994a, 1997; Pavlov and Buonsanto, 1997). The chemistry, physics, and solution procedure have been described in detail by Pavlov (1994a, 1997) and hence only a brief description will be given here.

The IZMIRAN model is a one-dimensional model that uses a titled dipole approximation to the Earth's magnetic field and takes into account the offset between the geographic and geomagnetic axes. In the model, coupled time-dependent equations of continuity and energy balance, and diffusion equations for electrons, and $O^+({}^4S)$, H^+ , and He^+ ions are solved along a centered-dipole magnetic field line for the concentrations, temperatures, and field-aligned diffusion velocities of ions and electrons from a base altitude (160 km) in the northern hemisphere through the plasmasphere to the same base altitude in the southern hemisphere. Electron heating due to photoelectrons is provided by a solution of the Boltzmann equation for photoelectron flux. We use the revised approach of Pavlov (1994a) described in Appendix A of this paper to calculate the rate of ionization by secondary photoelectrons. In the altitude range 120-700 km in the northern and southern hemispheres the IZMIRAN model solves time-dependent continuity equations for $O^+(^2D)$, $O^+(^2P)$, NO^+ , O_2^+ , N_2^+ , and vibrationally excited molecular nitrogen, $N_2(v)$, at the first five vibrational levels v =1–5. Time-dependent continuity equations for $O(^{1}D)$ are solved in the region between 120 and 1500 km in

altitude. The diffusion of ions and excited species are taken into account in continuity equations for $NO^+, O_2^+, O(^1D)$, and $N_2(v)$, while densities of $O^+(^2D), O^+(^2P)$, and N_2^+ are obtained from local chemical equilibrium.

In the altitude range 120–700 km in the northern and southern hemispheres the updated IZMIRAN model solves time-dependent continuity equations for vibrationally excited molecular oxygen, $O_2(v)$, at the first five vibrational levels v = 1-5 and the time-dependent energy equation for the vibrational quanta of $O_2(v)$ as described in Appendix B. An additional production of $O^+({}^4S), O^+({}^2D)$, and $O^+({}^2P)$ ions is obtained in the updated IZMIRAN model by inclusion of $O^+(^4P)$ and $\hat{O}^+(^2P^*)$ ions. We believe that the $O^+(^4P)$ state decays promptly to $O^+({}^4S)$, while $O^+({}^2P^*)$ ions decay to either $O^+(^2D)$ or $O^+(^2P)$ with a branching ratio of 2.6:1 for $O^+(^2D):O^+(^2P)$ (Kirby et al., 1979). The revised photoionization and photoabsorption cross sections are taken from Richards et al. (1994a); these authors did not publish the photoionization cross section for He, and for these we have used values from Samson et al. (1994). The revised electron cooling rates by vibrational and rotational excitation of O₂ and N₂ given by Pavlov (1998a, b) are included in the updated IZMIRAN model.

The rate coefficient K_D , for quenching of $O^+(^2D)$ by atomic oxygen has not been measured in the laboratory. Widely diverging values of 10^{-10} cm³ s⁻¹ (Oppenheimer *et al.*, 1997; Fox and Dalgarno, 1985) and 5.10^{-12} cm³ s⁻¹ (Abdou *et al.*, 1984) have been proposed from comparison between measured and modeled $[N_2^+]$. Previous work concerning the role played by $N_2(v)$ in the formation of the F-region electron density and temperature during the period 6–12 April 1990 have assumed $K_D = 10^{-10}$ cm³ s⁻¹ (Pavlov and Buonsanto, 1997) and this rate coefficient is used in our paper.

The energy balance equations for ions of the IZMIRAN model take into account the perpendicular component, E_{\perp} , of the electric field with respect to the geomagnetic field, and the rate coefficients of such important ionospheric processes as the reactions of $O^+(^4S)$ with N₂ and O₂ and N₂⁺ with O₂ depend on effective temperatures which are functions of the ion temperature, the neutral temperature and E_{\perp} (Pavlov, 1997). The values of E_{\perp} given by Buonsanto *et al.*, (1992) are used as input parameters for our theoretical model.

The three key inputs to the IZMIRAN models are the concentrations and temperatures of the neutral constituents, the solar EUV fluxes, and the plasma drift velocity. To simulate magnetic storm effects on the neutral atmosphere the MSIS-86 model (Hedin, 1987) was run using 3-h Ap indices. The IZMIRAN model uses the solar EUV fluxes from EUV94X model (Tobiska, 1993, 1994) or the EUVAC model (Richards *et al.*, 1994a). For the period 6–9 April 1990 the EUV94X model produces the largest electron densities increasing NmF2 by about 10–40% compared to the EUVAC model, and the EUV94X model results agree better with the observations in comparison to using the EUVAC model (Pavlov and Buonsanto, 1997). Therefore the EUV94X model is used in this paper. At night the IZMIRAN model includes ionization by scattered solar fluxes.

In the northern hemisphere, instead of calculating thermospheric wind components by solving the momentum equations, the IZMIRAN model calculates an equivalent neutral wind from the hmF2 measurements using the modified method of Richards (1991) described by Pavlov and Buonsanto (1997). The momentum equations for the horizontal components of the thermospheric wind are calculated in the altitude range 120–700 km of the southern hemisphere where we do not have the observed hmF2, and the method developed by Pavlov (1996) to calculate an equivalent plasma drift velocity is used.

Burnside *et al.* (1987) recommended the use of the Banks (1966) value of the O⁺-O collision frequency increased by a factor F = 1.7. The study of Buonsanto *et al.* (1997) involving more sophisticated analysis of observational data has led to $F \sim 1.3$. As a result, the O⁺-O collision frequency formula given by Pesnell *et al.* (1993) which is fully consistent with the conclusions of Buonsanto *et al.* (1997) is used in the updated IZ-MIRAN model.

The distribution of ionization in the topside ionosphere and plasmasphere is strongly controlled by the geomagnetic field, and therefore the IZMIRAN model calculations may be considered valid up to L = 6, where the dipole approximation of the geomagnetic field is reasonably accurate. The IZMIRAN model describes only the transfer of plasma along a centered-dipole magnetic field line and does not take into account the perpendicular divergence contribution in the ion equations of continuity. As a result, the model cannot give a correct description of the ionosphere between -20 and 20 degrees magnetic latitude, as that would require taking into account the exchange of ions and electrons between magnetic flux tubes because of the action of electromagnetic drift due to electric fields.

3 Updated loss rate of O⁺ (⁴S) ions

The loss rate of $O^+(^4S)$ ions

$$L = K_1[N_2] + K_2[O_2] , \qquad (3)$$

The effective rate coefficients of Reactions (1) and (2) are determined as

$$K_1 = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} [\mathbf{N}_2(v)] K_{1v} / [\mathbf{N}_2] \quad , \tag{4}$$

$$K_2 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} [O_2(\nu)] K_{2\nu} / [O_2] , \qquad (5)$$

where K_{1v} is the recombination rate coefficient of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with $N_2(v), K_{2v}$ is the recombination rate coefficient of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with $O_2(v)$, $[N_2] = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} [N_2(v)], [O_2] = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} [O_2(v)]; [N_2(v)]$ and $[O_2(v)]$ are the number densities of N_2 and O_2 at the *v*-th vibrational level, the sum of the vibrationally unexcited and excited molecular nitrogen and oxygen concentrations $[N_2]$ and $[O_2]$ are calculated from the MSIS model (Hedin, 1987).

McFarland *et al.* (1973) and Albritton *et al.* (1977) measured the effective rate coefficients, Eqs. (4) and (5) of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with N₂ and O₂ in flow-drift tubes for $T_n = T_v = T_{vib} = 300$ K and $300 \le T_i \le 6000$ K. The number densities of N₂(v > 0) and O₂(v > 0) are neglected for $T_v = 300$ K in comparison with the number density of unexcited N₂, and as a result, we conclude that K_1 is very close to the rate coefficient, K_{10} , of O⁺(4S) ions with N₂(v = 0) (Pavlov, 1986; Richards and Torr, 1986) and K_2 is very close to the rate coefficient, K_{20} , of O⁺(4S) ions with O₂(v = 0). Helium-buffered K_1 and K_2 from Albritton *et al.*

(1977) differ from argon-buffered K_1 and K_2 given by McFarland et al. (1973) as a result of a non-Maxwellian speed distribution of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions due to very strong electric fields in these flow-drift tube studies and dependence of this speed distribution of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions on the kind of buffered gas in the flow-drift tube (Albritton et al. 1977; Viehland and Mason, 1977; St.-Maurice and Torr, 1978). The non-thermal rate coefficient measurements of McFarland et al. (1973) and Albritton et al. (1977) were used by Viehland and Mason (1977) and St.-Maurice and Torr (1978) to calculate the thermal rate coefficient of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with N₂ and O₂ for a Maxwellian speed distribution of $O^+(^4S)$ ions which is needed for ionospheric calculations. It was found by Viehland and Mason (1977) and St.-Maurice and Torr (1978) that these thermal rate coefficients depend on T_n and T_i only by means of an effective temperature

$$T = (m_i T_n + m_n T_i)(m_i + m_n)^{-1} + m_i m_n V_d^2 (m_i + m_n)^{-1} (3k)^{-1} , \qquad (6)$$

where k is Boltzmann's constant, V_d is a relative drift velocity between ions and molecules, m_i and m_n denote masses of ions and neutral molecules.

St.-Maurice and Torr (1978) presented the analytical formula for the dependence of the drift tube data thermal rate coefficient of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with $N_2(v = 0)$ on the effective temperature, and this approximation is used in the IZMIRAN model:

$$\begin{split} K_{10} &= 1.533 \cdot 10^{-12} - 5.92 \cdot 10^{-13} (T/300) \\ &+ 8.60 \cdot 10^{-14} (T/300)^2 \text{ for } 300 \le T \le 1700 \text{ K} , \\ K_{10} &= 2.73 \cdot 10^{-12} - 1.155 \cdot 10^{-12} (T/300) \\ &+ 1.483 \cdot 10^{-13} (T/300)^2 \text{ for } 1700 < T < 6000 \text{ K} . \end{split}$$

The high-temperature flowing afterglow measurements of the recombination rate coefficient of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with O_2 given by Hierl *et al.* (1997) are lower than the flow-drift tube data from McFarland *et al.* (1973) and Albritton *et al.* (1977). Hierl *et al.* (1997) scaled the drift tube data by a factor of 0.8 within the uncertainty of either data set so that the flowing afterglow measurements of K_2 and the drift tube data coincide at the lowest neutral temperature studies, namely, 400 K. As a result, the IZMIRAN model was updated by the use of the new temperature dependence of the drift tube data thermal rate coefficient of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with $O_2(v = 0)$ on an effective temperature given by Hierl *et al.* (1997) as

$$K_{20} = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-11} (300/T)^{0.52} + 5.5 \cdot 10^{-11} \exp(-6835/T)$$
(8)

where the units of K_{20} are cm³ s⁻¹.

The latest version of the IZMIRAN model, given in Pavlov (1997), included the option to use three sets of models of the rate coefficients of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with $N_2(v > 0)$. The first uses the temperature dependence of $K_{1v}(T)$ from the Van Zandt and O'Malley (1973) theory. The second model uses the recombination rate coefficients of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with $N_2(v > 0)$ measured by Schmeltekopf *et al.* (1968) for $T_n = T_i = 300$ K, and it is assumed that the temperature dependence of $K_{1v}(T)$ is the same as the temperature dependence of $K_{10}(T)$:

$$K_{11}(T) = K_{10}(T), K_{12}(T) = 38K_{10}(T), K_{13}(T)$$

= $85K_{10}(T), K_{14}(T) = 220K_{10}(T), K_{15}(T)$
= $270K_{10}(T)$. (9)

The third one assumes no temperature dependence of these reaction rate coefficients, i.e., they are the same as measured by Schmeltekopf *et al.* (1968) for $T_v = T_n = T_i \equiv T_0 = 300$ K:

$$K_{11}(T) = K_{10}(T_0), K_{12}(T) = 38K_{10}(T_0),$$

$$K_{13}(T) = 85K_{10}(T_0), K_{14}(T) = 220K_{10}(T_0),$$

$$K_{15}(T) = 270K_{10}(T_0) .$$
(10)

The effective rate coefficients for the reaction $O^+(^4S)$ with N₂ have been measured for the first time over the temperature range 300–1600 K for $T = T_n = T_i = T_v$ (Hierl et al., 1997) and these results confirm the observations of Schmeltekopf et al. (1968). Figure 1 shows the temperature dependence of the effective rate coefficient in Eq. (4) given by Hierl et al. (1997) (crosses), the Van Zandt and O'Malley (1973) theory (dashed line), the assumptions of Eqs. (9) (solid line) and (10) (dotted line). It is seen that the Van Zandt and O'Malley (1973) theory or the assumption of Eq. (10) do not agree with the recent measurements of K_1 gigen by Hierl *et al.* (1997) and Eq. (9) of similar translation dependencies for different states is reasonable. As a result of the new measurements of K_1 given by Hierl et al. (1997), the IZMIRAN model was updated by the use of the single temperature dependence of Eq. (9).

The heated drift tube measurements of the recombination rate coefficient of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with O_2 given by Chen *et al.* (1978) are systematically lower than the flow-drift tube measurements, γ_E , of this rate coefficient (Albritton *et al.*, 1977). In an attempt to reconcile these two sets of measurements, the IZMIRAN model used the analytical formula given by Pavlov (1997) as $K_2(T) = \gamma_E(T) \exp(-8.53 \cdot 10^{-4}T_n + 0.315)$. Figure 2 shows the new measurements of $K_2(T)$ in a hightemperature flowing afterglow over the temperature range $T = 300-1800 \text{ K}(T = T_n = T_i = T_{vib})$ given by



Fig. 1. Effective rate coefficients for the reaction of $O^+({}^4S)$ with N₂ as a function of a temperature from the measurements given by Hierl *et al.* (1997) (*crosses*), the Van Zandt and O'Malley (1973) theory (*dashed line*) of $K_{1v}(v > 0)$, the assumptions in Eqs. (9) (*solid line*) and (10) (*dotted line*) for $T = T_v = T_n = T_i$

Fig. 2. Effective rate coefficients for the reaction of $O^+({}^4S)$ with O_2 as a function of a temperature from a high-temperature flowing afterglow (Hierl *et al.*, 1997) (*solid line*), drift tube data of Albritton *et al.* (1977) scaled by a factor of 0.8 (Hierl *et al.*, 1997) (*dashed-dotted line*), the old IZMIRAN model approximation given by Pavlov (1997) (*dotted line*), and Eqs. (5), (8), and (14) (*dashed line*)

Hierl et al. (1997) (solid line), drift tube data of Albritton et al. (1977) for $T = T_i$ and $T_n = T_{vib} = 300 \text{ K}$ revised by Hierl *et al.* (1997) (dashed-dotted line), and the old IZMIRAN model approximation (dotted line). The rate coefficient of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions with O_2 measured by Hierl *et al.* (1997) decreases with increasing temperature up to 800-1100 K, while the drift tube of McFarland et al. (1973) and Albritton et al. (1977) decreases to about 1500–1600 K. At low temperatures, the rate constant taken in the drift tube study is larger than those found from the Hierl et al. (1997) measurements. The value of K_2 given by Hierl *et al.* (1997) increases with increasing temperature for T > 800-1100 K as a result of the reactions between the vibrationally excited O2 and $O^{+}(^{4}S)$ (Hierl *et al.*, 1997).

The new dependence of K_2 on T_n is given by Hierl *et al.* (1997) for $T_n = T_i = T_{vib}$ in the temperature range 300–1600 K as

$$K_2 = 1.7 \cdot 10^{-11} (300/T_n)^{0.77} + 8.54 \cdot 10^{-11} \exp(-3467/T_n) , \qquad (11)$$

where the units of K_2 are cm³ s⁻¹. These flowing afterglow measurements of K_2 can be used to invert the data to give the rate coefficients for the various vibrational levels of $O_2(v > 0)$ for the model of the Boltzmann distribution of vibrationally excited molecular oxygen

$$[O_2(v)]_B = [O_2(0)] \exp(-vE_1 T_{vib}^{-1}) , \qquad (12)$$

where $E_1 = 2239$ K is the energy of the first level of O₂ given by Radzig and Smirnov (1980),

$$[O_2(0)] = [O_2]\{1 - \exp(-E_1 T_{vib}^{-1})\} .$$
(13)

Solving the system of Eqs. (5), (8), and (11) we can calculate $K_{2v}(v > 0)$ as

$$K_{21}(T) = K_{20}(T), K_{22}(T) = 5K_{20}(T), K_{23}(T) = K_{24}(T)$$

= $K_{25}(T) = 50K_{20}(T)$. (14)

Figure 2 gives the comparison of K_2 from flowing afterglow measurements given by Hierl *et al.* (1997) (solid line) with K_2 calculated from Eqs. (5), (8), and (14) (dashed line). We can see that the use of our new approach given by Eqs. (5), (8), and (14) describes the measured K_2 to sufficient accuracy with maximum error in 10%. The updated IZMIRAN model uses this new approach taking into account differences between T_{vib} , T_n , and T_i .

4 Undisturbed period and storms of 6-12 April 1990

The undisturbed conditions of 6–8 April 1990 and the 9– 12 April 1990 magnetic storms were periods of moderate solar activity when the 10.7 solar flux varied between 146 and 169 and geomagnetic index Ap was between 6 and 124. During the April 1990 period two geomagnetic storms took place with sudden commencement times near 08:42 UT on 9 April and 03:25 UT on 12 April. The measured electron densities and the perpendicular electric fields (with respect to the magnetic field), E_{\perp} , used in this paper were taken by the incoherent-scatter radar at Millstone Hill, Massachusetts (Buonsanto *et al.*, 1992).

Figure 3 is a plot of the measured hmF2 and NmF2 for the period 6–12 April 1990 and the model results with effects of E_{\perp} included in calculations of ion temperatures (Pavlov and Buonsanto, 1997; Pavlov, 1997) and rate coefficients Eqs. (4) and (5) of vibrationally excited O₂ and N₂ included in the calculated O⁺(⁴S) recombination rate of Eq. (3) which will be discussed later. We can see that there are only negative phases in the measured NmF2 (crosses at bottom panel) compared to the undisturbed period of 6–7 April. The most notable feature of this period is the disappearance of the F2 peak on 10 April, when the peak height of the electron density maximum dropped to 180 km.

4.1 Anomalous electron density events on 10 April

Figure 3 shows that extremely low NmF2 and hmF2 (rather, it was the F1 peak) were observed between 9:30 and 14:30 LT on 10 April. It is one of the most striking features of the mid-latitude ionospheric F region (the "G condition") seen on ionograms, when the critical frequency of the F2 layer is lower than the critical frequency of the F1 layer. The G condition could be explained either by decreases in the densities of $O^+({}^4S)$, or by increases in the densities of the molecular ions. These species concentrations can be changed in several ways. Pavlov and Buonsanto (1997) calculations indicate that the electron density decreases if the electric field strength increases due to the increased rates of the reactions $O^+(^4S)$ with N_2 and O_2 relative to the zero electric field result while the NO⁺ and O₂⁺ densities are increased. For $E_{\perp} \sim 90\text{--}100 \text{ mV m}^{-1}$, the decrease in $O^+(^4S)$ ion density is sufficient to cause the density of molecular ions to be greater than the $O^+(^4S)$ ion density. As a result, the usual F2-region peak created by $O^+(^4S)$ ions vanishes, the new F2 region peak (rather, it is the F1 peak) due to molecular ions results and the extremely low observed NmF2 and hmF2 can be explained. However, $E_{\perp} \sim 90\text{--}100 \text{ mV m}^{-1}$ were not observed between 9:30 and 14:30 LT on 10 April (Buonsanto et al., 1992) and therefore Pavlov and Buonsanto (1997) were unable to account for the observed NmF2 and hmF2.

The disagreement in NmF2 and hmF2 between the model and the data during the G condition may be due to discrepances in the neutral densities. To study the reaction of the neutral densities on the storm we have calculated the neutral densities for some hypothetical undisturbed conditions with the same solar and time conditions as during the studied conditions. At 300 km between 0:00 and 14:30 LT on 10 April the O density was from 1% to 13% higher than during these hypothetical undisturbed conditions, while the N₂ density

Fig. 3. Observed (*crosses*) and calculated F2 peak parameters above Millstone Hill for the magnetically quiet and disturbed period 6–12 April 1990. *Top*: hmF2, and *bottom*: NmF2. The model results were obtained using the approach of Eq. (11). The *dashed-dotted lines* show results from the IZMIRAN model using the unadjusted MSIS-86

increased by a factor of 1.6-2.4 and the O2 density increased by a factor of 2.0-4.1 over the hypothetical undisturbed $[N_2]$ and $[O_2]$. This type of behavior of [O], $[N_2]$, and $[O_2]$ is not unusual during storms. However, during some storms the measured O density at 300 km remains steady or decreases during the negative phases of ionospheric storms (Prolss, 1980). As far as the author knows, there is no other published evidence that during storms the values of [O], $[N_2]$, and $[O_2]$ are higher or lower compared to the MSIS-86 values. Nevertheless, several limitations of the semi-empirical model of the neutral atmosphere MSIS-86 are apparent. As a result of a limited amount of measurements of number densities, not all geophysical conditions are well represented in this model. MSIS-86 neutral densities were primarily obtained using measurements taken by rockets and satellites. The AE-C, AE-D, and AE-E satellites had orbits of approximately 3 h and with this time resolution between observations short-time changes in the neutral composition cannot be observable in the neutral density data. The 3-h Ap indices which are used in the MSIS-86 model are poorly correlated with the temporal variations of very large density perturbations in the thermosphere (Nisbet et al., 1983).

Let us evaluate the changes that would be needed in the MSIS-86 model to produce the observed G condition in the ionosphere. To study this anomaly in hmF2 we used the approach of Eq. (11) for K_2 and three neutral atmosphere models in our calculations. Dasheddotted lines in Fig. 3 show the results obtained using the standard MSIS-86 model. Figure 3 also gives the calculated NmF2 and hmF2 using two adjusted MSIS-86 models for all altitudes between 07:00 and 15:00 LT neutral atmosphere model. Solid and dashed lines show effects of adjustments to the MSIS-86 model between 07:00 and 15:00 LT on 10 April at all altitudes. Solid lines shows results when the MSIS-86 model [O] was decreased by a factor 1.8. Dashed lines show results when the MSIS-86 model [N₂] and [O₂] were increased by a factor of 2

on 10 April. Solid lines show results when the atomic oxygen density was decreased by a factor of 1.8, and dashed lines show results when the N₂ and O₂ densities were increased by a factor of 2 at all altitudes. No adjustments were made to the [O], $[N_2]$, and $[O_2]$ values at any other times. This decrease in neutral atomic oxygen density results in a decrease in the production of O⁺ by photoionization and photoelectron impact while this increase in $[N_2]$ and $[O_2]$ results in an increase in the O^+ recombination rate, simultaneously decreasing $[O^+]$ and increasing $[NO^+]$ and $[O_2^+]$. The best agreement between the hmF2 measurements and the model results is obtained when the MSIS-86 atomic oxygen adjustment is used. There is a large disagreement between the measured and modeled hmF2 when the original MSIS-86 model or the MSIS-86 model with the adjusted N_2 and O_2 densities is used in the calculations of N_e . The [O] adjustment is sufficient to reproduce with good accuracy the observed hmF2 and NmF2 on 10 April.

4.2 Non-Boltzmann distribution of $O_2(v)$ and vibrational temperatures of O_2 and N_2

The difference between the approach of Eq. (11) and the method given by Eqs. (5), (8), and (14) for K_2 can give effects on the electron density. To study these effects of differences between T_{vib} and T_n and Boltzmann [see Eq. (12)] and non-Boltzmann distributions of $O_2(v)$ on the electron density during the April 1990 geomagnetic storm the IZMIRAN model solves Eqs. (B1)–(B2) in the altitude range 120–700 km in the northern and southern hemispheres as described in Appendix B.

Fig. 4. The time variations of the vibrational temperatures of $[O_2]$ and $[N_2]$, the neutral temperature (*bottom panel*), and populations of the first five vibrational levels of $O_2(v = 1, 2, \text{ and } 3 \text{ at the middle panel}$ and v = 4 and 5 at the top panel) in comparison with the Boltzmann distribution of Eq. (12) during the 6–12 April period at the F2 peak altitude. The solid lines show the modeled T_{vib} , $[O_2(1)]/[O_2(1)]_B$, and $[O_2(4)]/[O_2(4)]_B$, the dashed lines show the modeled T_n , $[O_2(2)]/[O_2(2)]_B$, and $[O_2(5)]/[O_2(3)]_B$. The approach given by Eqs. (5), (8), and (14) for K_2 was used in the model of the ionosphere and plasmasphere

The results of calculating $[O_2(v)]/[O_2(v)]_B$, T_{vib} , T_v , and T_n at hmF2 are presented in Fig. 4. Table 1 shows the limits of changing for $[O_2(v)]/[O_2(v)]_B$ at hmF2. The present study suggests that the deviations $[O_2(v)]$ from the Boltzmann distribution of Eq. (12) are not significant. For second, third, fourth and fifth vibrational levels of O_2 the ratios $[O_2(v)]/[O_2(v)]_B$ have their minimum values during the period 6.30–7.30 LT. From the diurnal variations of the calculated vibrational (solid line) and neutral (dashed line) temperatures shown in

Table 1. The deviations of $O_2(v)$ from the Boltzmann distribution at the F2 peak altitude

$[O_2(1)]/[O_2(1)]_B$ $[O_2(2)]/[O_2(2)]$	0.98–1.11
$[O_2(2)]/[O_2(2)]_B$ $[O_2(3)]/[O_2(3)]_B$ $[O_2(4)]/[O_2(4)]$	0.63-0.99
$[O_2(4)]/[O_2(4)]_B$ $[O_2(5)]/[O_2(5)]_B$	0.27-0.97

Fig. 4, it follows that $T_{vib} < T_n$ and $T_v < T_n$ are realized in the atmosphere for the nighttime periods where the production frequencies of $O_2(v)$ and $N_2(v)$ are low. This means that for these periods the populations of $O_2(v)$ or $N_2(v)$ are less than the populations for a Boltzmann distribution with temperature T_n . The calculations showed that during the quiet period 6–8 April the vibrational temperatures of $O_2(v)$ and $N_2(v)$ are less than during the magnetic storm period 9–12 April. During the daytime T_{vib} and T_v are larger than T_n due to the enhanced thermal excitation of O_2 and N_2 as a result of high thermal electron temperatures at F2-region altitudes. The differences $-78 \le T_{vib} - T_n \le 230$ K and $-148 \le T_v - T_n \le 246$ K. On 6–12 April the value of the vibrational temperature was not more than 1600 K for O_2 and 1710 K for N_2 .

The comparison between the modeled densities using the simple approach of Eq. (11) with $T_{vib} = T_n = T_i$ and the rigorous method given by Eqs. (5), (8), and (14) with the non-Boltzmann vibrational distribution of O₂ and the difference between T_n and T_i was carried out. We found that the use of the rigorous method based on Eqs. (5), (8), and (14) leads to the increase up to about 3% or the decrease up to about 4% of the calculated NmF2 in comparison with NmF2 calculated by using the simple approach of Eq. (11). So, the use of Eq. (11) for K_2 gives NmF2 to sufficient accuracy during daytime and nighttime quiet and disturbed periods.

4.3 Effects of vibrational excited oxygen and nitrogen on electron density

As a result of the new measurements of the rate coefficients for the reaction $O^+({}^4S)$ with N_2 and O_2 given by Hierl *et al.* (1997), there are no uncertainties in the vibrational and temperature dependencies of the $O^+({}^4S) + N_2(v > 0)$ and $O^+({}^4S) + O_2(v >)0$ reaction rates and a comparison between the measured and modeled NmF2 with and without effects of $N_2(v > 0)$ and $O_2(v > 0)$ cannot be any argument in favor of or against the use of $N_2(v > 0)$ and $O_2(v > 0)$ in ionospheric models. The inclusion or exclusion of $N_2(v > 0)$ and $O_2(v > 0)$ can only illustrate the role of these species in the ionosphere.

Figure 5 shows the role of vibrationally excited O_2 and N_2 in the ionosphere during the undisturbed and geomagnetic storm period of 6–12 April 1990. The top panel shows the observed and the modeled hmF2, while the bottom panel gives the observed and modeled NmF2. The solid line shows model results when vibrationally excited O_2 and N_2 are included in calculations of the $O^+({}^4S)$ loss rate as given by Eqs. (3)–(5). The dashed lines show model results when $O_2(v > 0)$ is not included and $N_2(v > 0)$ is included in calculations of the $O^+({}^4S)$ loss rate

$$L = K_1[N_2] + K_{20}[O_2(v=0)] , \qquad (15)$$

where K_1 and K_{20} are given by Eqs. (4) and (8).

The solid and dashed-dotted lines in Fig. 5 show that the increase in the O^+ + N_2 loss rate due to vibration-

ally excited O_2 produces 8–46% reductions in NmF2. The dashed lines of Fig. 5 are model results when the vibrational excited nitrogen and oxygen are not included in the calculations of the loss rate the $O^+(^4S)$ ions:

$$L = K_{10}[\mathbf{N}_2(v=0)] + K_{20}[\mathbf{O}_2(v=0)] \quad . \tag{16}$$

From Fig. 5 it follows that there is a large increase in the modeled NmF2 without vibrationally excited O_2 and N_2 . The comparison of dashed-dotted and dashed lines shows that the increase in the $O^+ + N_2$ rate factor due to the vibrationally excited nitrogen produces the 5–36% decrease in the calculated daytime peak density. The effects of vibrationally excited O_2 and N_2 on N_e are most pronounced during the daytime.

As seen from Fig. 5, inclusion of vibrationally excited N_2 and O_2 brings the model and data into better agreement on 6, 9, and 10 April in comparison with the approaches of Eqs. (15) and (16). Both the daytime and nighttime densities are reproduced by the IZMIRAN model without the vibrationally excited nitrogen and oxygen in L on 8 and 11 April. When $N_2(v)$ is not included in the loss rate of the $O^+({}^4S)$ ions the agreement between the model and the data is the best on 7 April.

The IZMIRAN model with the vibrational states of $N_2(v)$ and $O_2(v)$ included does not always fit the data. This could be due to a number of factors, such as a possible inability of the MSIS-86 model accurately to predict the detailed thermospheric response to this storm above Millstone Hill, uncertainties in EUV fluxes, rate coefficients, and the flow of ionization between the

Observations

500

450

24 22 6

20 - 6

 $N_2(v)$ and $O_2(v)$ are included

 $N_2(v)$ and $O_2(v)$ are not included

 $N_2(v)$ is included, $O_2(v)$ is not included

ionosphere and plasmasphere, and possible horizontal divergence of the flux of ionization above the station.

4.4 Electron and ion temperatures

Figure 6 shows the diurnal variations of the measured and modeled electron and ion temperatures at the F2peak altitude. The model results were obtained with $N_2(v)$ and $O_2(v)$ (solid lines) and when the approaches of Eqs. (15) (dashed-dotted lines) and (16) (dashed lines) are used in the IZMIRAN model for the loss rate of the $O^+({}^4S)$ ions. As can be seen, the effects on T_e of adding $N_2(v)$ and $O_2(v)$ are largest during the day, with increases in T_e accompanying the decreases in NmF2.

During the period 6-9 April the agreement between the measured and modeled temperatures is excellent. On 11 and 12 April the agreement is satisfactory for the ion temperature. The measured electron and ion temperatures are generally lower than the modeled electron and ion temperatures between 9:30 and 14:30 LT on 10 April when extremely low main F peak altitudes were observed. The electron-ion cooling rate is proportional to the square of the electron density and therefore if the electron density is too large, the electron temperature is too small, as is apparent during the day on 10 April. The effects of $N_2(v)$ and $O_2(v)$ are negligible on the calculation of T_i at the F-region altitudes except for the short time-periods around 9:30-14:30 LT on 10 April, when these changes in T_i are caused by the variations of the main F peak altitude (see Fig. 5).

NmF2 and hmF2 were obtained with effects of $N_2(v)$ and $O_2(v)$ using

8

6-12 April 1990

9

9

10

10

11

11

12

12

144

the non-Boltzmann populations of the first five vibrational levels by solving the O_2 (v = 1-5) time-dependent continuity equations (*solid lines*), and when $O_2(v)$ was not included in the calculations (*dashed-dotted lines*). The *dashed lines* give results without effects of $N_2(v)$ and $O_2(v)$ on the $O^+(^4S)$ loss rate

Fig. 6. The measured (*crosses*) and modeled (*solid, dashed* and *dotted* lines) electron (bottom) and ion (top) temperatures at the F2 peak altitude on 6–12 April 1990. The curves are the same as in Fig. 5

4.5 Effects on electron density of possible errors in calculated neutral temperature and densities

As Fig. 5 shows, there is large disagreement up to a factor of 2–3 between the measured and modeled NmF2 during the quiet nighttime periods 6-8 April. This nighttime disagreement between the measured and modeled NmF2 was also found by Richards et al. (1994b) and Pavlov and Buonsanto (1997) for the 16-17 March 1990 undisturbed period and Pavlov and Buonsanto (1997) for the 6-8 April 1990 undisturbed period. Satisfactory agreement between the model and the data is obtained during the quiet intervals provided that the recombination rate of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions was decreased by a factor of 1.5 by decreasing the MSIS-86 N₂ and O₂ densities by 1.5 at all altitudes during the nighttime periods of the 17-18 March and 19-20 March 1990 and 6-8 April and 8-9 April 1990 in order to increase the NmF2 at night to match observations better (Pavlov and Buonsanto, 1997).

The MSIS-86 model was developed by Hedin (1987) by the improvement of the MSIS-77 model (Hedin *et al.*, 1997). Before the MSIS-86 model was created many defects of the MSIS-77 model were removed by Kohnlein (1980) in his model of the neutral atmosphere (the K model). No measured densities were available during the 6–12 April 1990 period. Hence the comparison between modeled and measured NmF2 remains the only acceptable source to evaluate the ability of the MSIS-86 and K models to reproduce neutral density and temperature variations. The present investigation enables us to compare the measured NmF2 with the modeled NmF2 obtained by use the MSIS-86 and K models to evaluate the effects of the uncertainty in the neutral temperature and densities on calculated electron densities.

Solid and dashed lines of Fig. 7 give the comparison of the modeled densities using the MSIS-86 and K models. The model results were obtained when the

atomic oxygen densities given by both models were decreased by a factor of 1.8 at all altitudes between 07:00 and 15:00 LT on 10 April. We can see that the MSIS-86 model gives the best agreement between measured and modeled NmF2 during the magnetic storm period 9-11 April. This results shows that during geomagnetic storms the more complicated MSIS-86 model, run using seven 3-h Ap indices, has an advantage in comparison with the K model, which uses one 3-h Kp index. However, the model results obtained by use the K model agree much better with the observations in comparison with using of the MSIS-86 model during the quiet daytime and nighttime periods 6-8 April, and there is good agreement between the modeled NmF2 and the data on 6-8 April if the K model is used. Our calculations indicate that a factor of 2 increase in theoretical undisturbed nighttime F2 peak electron density is due to a factor of 1.7-2 decrease in $[N_2]$ and $[O_2]$ given by the K model relative to the MSIS-86 $[N_2]$ and $[O_2]$ at hmF2.

The quiet period 6-8 April was a period of moderate solar activity. It is not clear whether the MSIS-86 model or the K model gives the best agreement between measured and modeled NmF2 during quiet conditions for other solar activity levels and seasons. We intend to solve these tasks in future studies.

5 Conclusions

The model results were compared to the Millstone Hill incoherent-scatter radar measurements of electron density and temperature for the geomagnetically quiet and disturbed period on 6–12 April 1990. The model used is an enhanced and updated version of the IZMIRAN model which we have steadily developed over the years. The updated model uses the revised rates of ionization of O, N₂, and O₂ by secondary photoelectrons. The

Fig. 7. Observed (crosses) and calculated F2 peak parameters above Millstone Hill for the magnetically quiet and disturbed period 6-12 April, 1990. Top: hmF2, and bottom: NmF2. The solid lines are hmF2 and NmF2 when the MSIS-86 model is used, and dashed lines are hmF2 and NmF2 when the K model is used. The model results were obtained when the atomic oxygen densities of the MSIS-86 and K models were decreased by a factor of 1.8 at all altitudes between 07.00 and 15.00 LT on 10 April

major enhancement to the IZMIRAN model developed in this study is the use of the new loss rate of $O^+({}^4S)$ ions as a result of the new high-temperature flowing afterglow measurements of the rate coefficients for the reactions of $O^+({}^4S)$ with N₂ and O₂ given by Hierl *et al.* (1997). These new measurements do not support the Van Zandt and O'Malley (1973) theory and the assumption of Eq. (9) of similar translation dependencies for different states is reasonable for the use in models of the ionosphere.

Using the flowing afterglow measurements of the rate coefficient for the reactions of $O^+({}^4S)$ with O_2 given by Hierl *et al.* (1977), we evaluated the approximate contribution of each vibrational state of $O_2(v)$ and found the partial rate coefficients for vibrational quantum number v = 1-5 which can be used in calculations of electron density.

The deviations from the Boltzmann distribution for the first five vibrational levels of O_2 were calculated. The present study suggests that the deviations from the Boltzmann distribution are not significant. It was found that the non-Boltzmann distribution assumption and the difference between T_n and T_i can lead to the increase up to about 3% or the decrease up to about 4% of the calculated NmF2 in comparison with NmF2 calculated by using the approach of Eq. (11). So, we found that the use of Eq. (11) for K_2 gives NmF2 to sufficient accuracy during quiet and disturbed periods. The calculations also showed that the O₂ and N₂ vibrational temperatures during the quiet periods are less then during the magnetic storm periods. During the daytime the high vibrational temperatures stem from the enhanced thermal excitation of O₂ and N₂ as a result of high thermal electron temperatures at F2-region altitudes, while the decrease in the vibrational temperatures compared to the regular temperature is due to the decreases in the electron density and the neutral temperature.

We found that the inclusion of $N_2(v > 0)$ and $O_2(v > 0)$ in the calculations improves the agreement between the calculated NmF2 and the data on 6, 9, and 10 April. However, both the daytime and nighttime densities are reproduced by the IZMIRAN model without the vibrationally excited nitrogen and oxygen on 8 and 11 April better than the IZMIRAN model with $N_2(v > 0)$ and $O_2(v > 0)$. This could be due to a number of factors, such as possible uncertainties in neutral temperature and densities, EUV fluxes, rate coefficients, and the flow of ionization between the ionosphere and plasmasphere, and possible horizontal divergence of the flux of ionization above the station. Our calculations show that the increase in the O^+ + N_2 rate factor due to the vibrationally excited nitrogen produces the 5-36% decrease in the calculated daytime peak density. The increase in the $O^+ + N_2$ loss rate due to vibrationally excited O₂ produces 8-46% reductions in NmF2. The effects of vibrationally excited O2 and N2 on N_e and T_e are most pronounced during the daytime.

The IZMIRAN model was unable to reproduce extremely low NmF2 and hmF2 (rather, it was the F1 peak) observed between 9:30 and 14:30 LT on 10 April when unadjusted MSIS-86 model densities were used, or when the MSIS-86 N₂ and O₂ densities were increased by a factor of 2. However, the IZMIRAN model was able to reproduce this anomalous electron density event when the MSIS-86 atomic oxygen density was decreased by a factor of 1.8 between 07:00 and 15:00 LT on 10 April at all altitudes.

Model calculations were carried out using the MSIS-86 and K models of neutral densities and temperature and the best agreement between the electron density measurements and the model results was obtained when the MSIS-86 model was used during the geomagnetic storm period 9–11 April. However, the model results obtained using the K model agree much better with the observations in comparison with using of the MSIS-86 model during the quiet daytime and nighttime periods 6–8 April.

Appendix A

The effect of photoelectrons on ion densities

The reactions of photoionization and the ionization due to photoelectrons of the neutral species, N_2 , O_2 , O, and He are included in the IZMIRAN model. The production rates of ions by photoionization and due to photoelectrons are given by

$$Q_j = n_n \sum_{\lambda} \sigma_{nj}(\lambda) \Phi_{\alpha}(\lambda) \exp[-\tau(\lambda)] \quad , \tag{A1}$$

$$\Delta Q_j = n_n \int_{E_{n_j}^+}^{\infty} \sigma_{n_j}^+(E) F(E) \mathrm{d}E \quad , \tag{A2}$$

where n_n is the concentration of the *n*-th neutral gas, $\Phi_{\infty}(\lambda)$ is the intensity of solar EUV radiation, λ is a wavelength, $\sigma_{nj}(\lambda)$ is the photoionization cross section of the *n*-th neutral gas with the creation of the *j*-th ion, σ_{nj}^+ is the cross section for collisions between energetic electrons and the *n*-th neutral gas, *F*, is the flux of photoelectrons, E_{nj}^+ is the ionization threshold energy, $\tau(\lambda) = \sum_n n_n \sigma_n^a(\lambda) H_n Ch_n(\chi), \sigma_n^*(\lambda)$ is the photoabsorption cross section of the *n*-th neutral gas, H_n is the scale height of the *n*-th neutral gas, $Ch_n(\chi)$ is the Chapman function, χ is a solar zenith angle.

The reaction of the ionization due to photoelectrons of the *n*-th neutral gas produces the *j*-th ions and photoelectrons with the energy $E = hc/\lambda - E_{nj}^*$ where *h* is Plank's constant, *c* is the light velocity, E_{nj}^* is average energy losses of photoelectrons for ionization and excitation of neutral gases. The number of ions created by photoelectrons from each reaction of photoionization is $(hc/\lambda - E_{nj}^*)/E_{nj}^*$. As a result, the IZMIRAN model uses (Pavlov, 1994a)

$$\Delta Q_j = d_j n_n \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\lambda_{nj}} (\lambda_{nj}^*/\lambda - 1) \sigma_{nj}(\lambda) \Phi_{\infty}(\lambda) \exp[-\tau(\lambda)] \quad , (A.3)$$

where $E_{nj}^* = 32, 30, 22$ eV for N₂, O₂, and O, and $\lambda_{nj}^* = hc/E_{nj}^* = 38.7, 41.3$, and 56.3 nm, the correction factors $d_j = 1.5, 1.0, 0.8, 0.8$ and 0.8 for $j = O_2^+, N_2^+$, O⁺(⁴S), O⁺(²D), and O⁺(²P) were calculated from comparison of the numerical results given by Oppenheimer *et al.* (1977) with the ratios of $\Delta Q_j/Q_j$ from Eqs. (A1), A3) in the limit $\tau = 0$ for reference spectra SC21REF and F79050N and the photoionization cross sections given by Torr and Torr (1982, 1985).

In the updated IZMIRAN model described by Pavlov (1997), the solar EUV fluxes, $\Phi_{\alpha}(\lambda)$, are obtained from the EUVAC model (Richards *et al.*, 1994a) or EUV94X model (Tobiska 1993, 1994). The revised photoionization and photoabsorption cross sections are taken from Richards *et al.* (1994a).

If we use the EUVAC model with the 10.7 solar fluxes F10.7 = 74 and $\langle F10.7 \rangle = 87$, then in the limit

 $\tau = 0$ the values of $\Delta Q_j/Q_j = 0.34, 0.49, 0.56, 0.28$, and 0.20 for $j = O^+({}^4S), O^+({}^2D), O^+({}^2P), N_2^+(X), O_2^+(X)$. The full photoelectron calculation by Richards and Torr (1988), who employed the modified F74113 reference spectra, gave these secondary ions in the proportions of 0.56, 0.24, 0.20, 0.36, and 0.20. Rees (1989) gives these proportions as 0.4, 0.4, 0.2, 0.5, and 0.17.

To adjust $\Delta Q_j/Q_j$ from Eqs. (A1), (A3) to the full photoelectron calculations of Richards and Torr (1988) and Rees (1989), we use the new correction factors $d_j = 1, 1, 1, 2$, and 1 for $j = O^+({}^4S), O^+({}^2D), O^+({}^2P),$ $N_2^+(X), O_2^+(X)$ and the old values of $\lambda_{nj}^* = 38.7, 41.3$, and 56.3 nm ($E_{nj}^* = 32, 30$, and 22 eV) for $j = N_2^+, O_2^+$ and $O^+({}^4S)$. In the model, the new average energy losses of photoelectrons are included as $E_{nj}^* = 27.6$ and 35.6 eV and $\lambda_{nj}^* = 45$ and 35 nm for $j = O^+({}^2D)$ and $O^+({}^2P)$. If we use the EUVAC model with the 10.7 solar fluxes F10.7 = 74 and $\langle F10.7 \rangle = 87$, then in the limit $\tau = 0$ the values $\Delta Q_j/Q_j = 0.42, 0.37, 0.20, 0.37, and 0.20$ for $j = O^+({}^4S), O^+({}^2D), O^+({}^2P), N_2^+(X), and O_2^+(X)$.

We believe that photoelectrons produce negligible amounts of $O^+({}^4P)$ and $O^+({}^2P^*)$ ions (Richards and Torr, 1988) which are included in the updated IZ-MIRAN model.

Appendix **B**

Continuity and energy equations for the vibrationally excited oxygen molecules

Pavlov (1989, 1994b) treated the vibrationally excited oxygen molecules as a set of harmonic and anharmonic oscillator energy levels. The Pavlov (1994b) study suggests that the effect of anharmonicity of $O_2(j)$ on $O_2(j)$ number densities, $n_j = [O_2(j)]$, is small at the energy levels j = 1-5. Therefore our model uses the system of equations given by Pavlov (1989) to determine $n_j(j = 1-5)$ using the harmonic oscillator energy level approximation, the vibrational-vibrational and vibrational-translational energy exchange of $O_2(j)$, the diffusion of $O_2(j)$ in the mixture of $O_2(0)$, N_2 , and O, and production rates, Q_j , of $O_2(j)$ as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}F_{j} = \{(j+1)n_{j+1} - [(j+1)\Theta + j]n_{j} + j\Theta n_{j-1}\}\tau_{vt}^{-1} + \{(j+1)(1+\alpha)n_{j+1} - [(j+1)\alpha + j(1+\alpha)]n_{j} + j \alpha n_{j-1}\}\tau_{vv}^{-1} + Q_{j}, \quad (B1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha - \frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}Dn\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\alpha = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j}jQ_{j} - \left(\alpha - \frac{\Theta}{1 - \Theta}\right)\tau_{vt}^{-1} , \quad (B2)$$

where t is the local time, z is the altitude, $F_j = -D[\partial/\partial zn_j + n_j(1/H + 1/T_n\partial/\partial zT_n)], n = \sum_j n_j$, the vibrational quanta $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}\sum_j jn_j, \Theta = \exp(-E_iT_n^{-1})$, $H = kT_n(mg)^{-1}$, the vibrational temperature $T_{vib} = -E_1/\ln\{\alpha(1 + \alpha)^{-1}\}, D$ is the diffusion coefficient of the vibrationally excited oxygen molecules, m denotes the mass of O₂, g is the acceleration due to gravity, $E_1 = 2239$ K is the energy of the first level of O₂ given by Radzig and Smirnov (1980), $\tau_{vt}^{-1} = \{P_1[O] + P_2[N_2] + P_3[O_2]\}(1 - \Theta), \tau_{vv}^{-1} = K_{vv}n$. In calculating the times of the vibrational-translational (vt), τ_{vt} , and vibrational-vibrational (vv), τ_{vv} , energy exchange we have adopted the measurement of the rate coefficient, P_1 , of the vibrational-translational energy exchange $O_2(j = 1)$ -O at temperatures $500 \le T_n \le 2050$ K as $P_1 = T_n/[2.24 \cdot 10^{14} + 3.36 \cdot 10^7] \exp(110T_n^{-1/3})$ cm³ s⁻¹ (Dushin *et al.*, 1988). We use the rate coefficients P_2 of collisional vt-relaxation of $O_2(1)$ by $N_2(0)$ given by Parker and Ritke (1973) as $P_2 = 6.8 \cdot 10^{-19} (T_n/300)^{0.5}$ cm³ s⁻¹. The rate coefficient P_3 of the vt-exchange $O_2(1)$ - $N_2(0)$ and the rate coefficient K_{vv} of the vv-exchange $O_2(1)$ - $O_2(0)$ were calculated by Billing and Kolesnick (1992). We have approximated these numerical calculations as $P_3 = 2.7 \cdot 10^{-18}$ $(T_n/300)^{6.5}$ cm³ s⁻¹ and $K_{vv} = 6.7 \cdot 10^{-16}T_n$ cm³ s⁻² (Pavlov, 1994b).

It is required to know the value of n_6 to calculate the value of n_5 from Eqs. (B1) and (B2), and by analogy with the Boltzmann distribution the condition $n_6 = n_5 \alpha (1 + \alpha)^{-1}$ is assumed. The diffusion coefficients of vibrationally excited molecules are not sensitive to the number of energy level (Fujimoto *et al.*, 1976) and we can use

$$D = Y\{[O](D(O_2, O))^{-1} + [N_2](D(O_2, N_2))^{-1} + [O_2](D(O_2, O_2))^{-1}\}^{-1},$$
(B3)

where $Y = [O] + [N_2] + [O_2]$, the binary diffusion coefficients of the unexcited O₂ molecules (Pavlov, 1981) $D(O_2, O) = 9.69 \cdot 10^{16} \cdot T_n^{0.774} Y^{-1}$ and $D(O_2, N_2) = 8.29 \cdot 10^{16} \cdot T_n^{0.724} Y^{-1}$ the self-diffusion coefficient of O₂ is the function of the molecule oxygen viscosity coefficient (Ferziger and Kaper, 1972) and can be calculated as $D(O_2, O_2) = 10^{17} \cdot T_n^{0.69} Y^{-1}$, the units of [O], [O₂] and [N₂] are cm⁻³ and the units of D are cm² s⁻¹.

The vibrationally excited oxygen molecules may be produced in the following processes at E- and F-region altitudes:

$$O_2(j=0) + e_t \leftrightarrow O_2^{-2}\Pi_g(i>4) \leftrightarrow O_2(j>0) + e_t \quad ,$$
(B4)

$$O(^{1}D) + O_{2}(0) \rightarrow O(^{3}P) + O_{2}(j > 0)$$
 . (B5)

During the vibrational excitation of the unexcited $O_2(j = 0)$ by electron impact the temporary capture of the electron occurs to form the excited ion $O_2^{-2}\Pi_g$ at vibrational level *i*, with the following vibrational excitation of O_2 . The production rates of $O_2(j)$ due to the thermal electron excitation of $O_2(0)$ and the deexcitation of $O_2(j)$ in the reactions of (B4) can be calculated as (Pavlov, 1997, 1998b)

$$Q_{j1} = \sqrt{8} (kT_e \pi m_e)^{-0.5} \mathbf{N}_e \{ [\mathbf{O}_2(0)] - [\mathbf{O}_2(j)] \exp(jE_1 T_e^{-1}) \} \sum_{i=5}^{24} S_{ij} X_i \exp(-X_i) , \quad (\mathbf{B6})$$

where k is Boltzmann's coefficient, m_e denotes the mass of electrons, *i* is the number of the O₂⁻² Π_g vibrational level, S_{ij} is the integral vibrational – excitation cross section for the sharp peak in the vibrational cross section at the energy E_i^* measured by Allan (1995), $X_i = E_i^* (kT_e)^{-1}, E_i^*$ is the energy of the incident electron at the center of the $O_2^- 2\Pi_g$ resonance given by Allan (1995), the analytical expressions describing the dependence in Eq. (B6) of Q_{j1} from T_e available to the researcher for quick reference and accurate computer modeling with a minimum of calculations are given by Pavlov (1998b).

There are no measurements of the rate coefficient dependence of the reaction (B5) on the vibrational level number, and we assume that the probability of $O_2(j)$ creation in the reaction (B5) is the same for levels j = 1-3 (Pavlov, 1994b):

$$Q_{j2} = \varepsilon_j K[O(^1D)][O_2(0)] ,$$
 (B7)

where $\varepsilon_j = 1/3$ for j = 1 - 3, $\varepsilon_j = 0$ for j > 3, $K = 6.4 \cdot 10^{-12} \exp(67/T_n) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The production rates of $O_2(j)$ are given as $Q_j = Q_{j1} + Q_{j2}$.

Solving the system of Eqs. (B1), (B2), the model can calculate the number densities of vibrational excited molecular oxygen determining the real non-Boltzmann distribution of $O_2(j)$. The model includes the option to use the model of the Boltzmann distributions, n_{jB} , of vibrationally excited molecular oxygen given by Eqs. (12) and (B2). At the lower boundary (120 km) the diffusion processes of vibrationally excited molecules and vibrational quanta are neglected in the northern and southern hemispheres. The upper boundary conditions are assumed as $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \alpha = 0$, and $F_j = 0$ at 700-km altitude.

Acknowledgements. The research described in this publication was supported by grant 96-05-64031 from the Russian Foundation of the Fundamental Researches. The author appreciates the contribution of data by M. Buonsanto, J. Foster, and other personnel at Millstone Hill Observatory of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. I would like to thank anonymous referees for critical reading of the manuscript as reviewers and for helpful comments. Topical Editor D. Alcaydé thanks W.-R. Hoegy and J.

Grebowsky for their help in evaluating this paper.

References

- Abdou, W. A., D. G. Torr, P. G. Richards, M. R. Torr, and E. L. Breig, Results of a comprehensive study of the photochemistry of N_2^+ in the ionosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **89**, 9069–9079, 1984.
- Albritton, D. L., I. Dotan, W. Lindinger, M. McFarland, J. Tellinghuisen, and F. C. Fehsenfeld, Effects of ion speed distributions in flow-drift tube studies on ion-neutral reactions, J. Chem. Phys., 66, 410–421, 1977.
- Allan, M., Measurement of absolute differential cross sections for vibrational excitation of O₂ by electron impact, J. Phys. B., 28, 5163–5175, 1995.
- Banks, P. M., Collision frequencies and energy transfer electrons, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 14, 1085–1090, 1966.
- Billing, G. D., and R. E. Kolesnick, Vibrational relaxation of oxygen. State to state rate constants, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 200, 382–386, 1992.
- Buonsanto, M. J., J. C. Foster, and D. P. Sipler, Observations from Millstone Hill during the geomagnetic disturbances of March and April 1990, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 1225–1243, 1992.

A. V. Pavlov: The role of vibrationally excited oxygen and nitrogen in the ionosphere

- Buonsanto, M. J., D. P. Sipler, G. V. Davenport, and J. M. Holt, Estimation of the O⁺, O collision frequency from coincident radar and Fabry Perot observations at Millstone Hill, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 17267–17274, 1997.
- Burnside, R. G., C. A. Tepley, and V. B. Wickwar, The $O^+ O$ collision cross-section : can it be inferred from aeronomical measurements? *Ann. Geophysicae*, **5**, 343–350, 1987.
- Chen, A., R. Johnsen, and M. A. Biondi, Measurements of the $O^+ + N_2$ and $O^+ + O_2$ reactions rates from 300 to 900 K, *Chem. Phys.*, **69**, 2688–2691, 1978.
- Dushin, V. K., I. E. Zabelenskij, and O. V. Shatalov, Desactivation of the molecular oxygen vibration (in Russian), *Chem. Phys.*, 7, 1320–1327, 1988.
- Ferguson, E. E., N. G. Adams, D. Smith, and E. Alge, Rate coefficients at 300 K for the vibrational energy transfer reactions from $N_2(v = 1)$ to $O_2^+(v = 0)$ and $NO^+(v = 0)$, J. Chem. *Phys.*, **80**, 6095–6098, 1984.
- Ferziger, J. H., and G. H. Kaper, Mathematical theory of transport processes in gases, North-Holland Physics, Amsterdam, London, 1972.
- Fox, J. L., and A. Dalgarno, The vibrational distribution of N⁺₂ in the terrestrial ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 7557–7567, 1985.
- Fujimoto, G., A. Nitzan, and E. Weitz, Diffusion of vibrationally excited molecules, *Chem. Phys.*, 15, 217–225, 1976.
- Hedin, A. E., MSIS-86 thermospheric model, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 4649–4662, 1987.
- Hedin, A. E., S. A. Reber, J. P. Newton, et al., A global thermospheric model based on mass spectrometer and incoherent data MSIS:2. composition, J. Geophys. Res., 82, 2148–2156, 1977.
- Hierl, M. P., I. Dotan, J. V. Seeley, J. M. Van Doren, R. A. Morris, and A. A. Viggiano, Rate constants for the reactions of O⁺ with N₂ and O₂ as a function of temperature (300–1800 K), *J. Chem. Phys.*, **106**, 3540–3544, 1997.
- Kirby, K. E., E. R. Constantinides, S. Babeu, M. Oppenheimer, and G. A. Victor, Photoionization and photoabsorption cross sections of thermospheric species: He, O, N₂, and O₂, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables*, 23, 63–81, 1979.
- Kohnlein, W., A model of thermospheric temperature and composition, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 28, 225–243, 1980.
- McFarland, M., D. L. Albritton, F. C. Fehsenfeld, E. E. Ferguson, and A. L. Schmeltekopf, Flow-drift technique for ion mobility and ion-molecule reaction rate constant measurements, II. positive ion reaction of N⁺, O⁺, and N₂⁺ with O₂ and O⁺ with N₂ from thermal to 2 eV, J. Chem. Phys., **59**, 6620–6628, 1973.
- Nisbet, J. S., Stehle, K., and E. Bleuler, Initial tests of an index based on AL values for modeling magnetic storm related perturbations of the thermosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 88, 2175– 2180, 1983.
- Oppenheimer, M., E. R. Constantinides, K. Kirby-Docken, G. A. Victor, and A. Dalgarno, Ion photochemistry of the thermosphere from Atmosphere Explorer C measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 82, 5485–5492, 1977.
- Parker, J. G., and P. N. Ritke, Vibrational relaxation times of oxygen in the pressure range 10–100 atm, J. Chem. Phys., 58, 314–323, 1973.
- Pavlov, A. V., The binary molecular diffusion coefficients of the neutral components of the upper atmospheres of Earth, Mars, and Venus (in Russian), *Space Res.*, **19**, 82–86, 1981.
- Pavlov, A. V., Rate coefficient of O⁺ with vibrationally excited N₂ in the ionosphere (in Russian), *Geomagn. Aeron.*, 26, 166–168, 1986.
- **Pavlov, A. V.,** On the O_2^* content in the upper atmosphere and the effect of N_2^* and O_2^* on the electron density (in Russian), *Geomagn. Aeron.*, **29**, 818–823, 1989.
- Pavlov, A. V., The role of vibrationally excited nitrogen in the formation of the mid-latitude ionospheric storms, Ann. Geophysicae, 12, 554–564, 1994a.
- Pavlov, A. V., The role of vibrationally excited oxygen and nitrogen in the D and E regions of the ionosphere, *Ann. Geophysicae*, 12, 1086–1090, 1994b.

- Pavlov, A. V., Mechanisms of the electron density depletion in the SAR arc region, Ann. Geophysicae, 14, 211–221, 1996.
- Pavlov, A. V., Subauroral red arcs as a conjugate phenomenon: comparison of OVI-10 satellite data with numerical calculations, Ann. Geophysicae, 15, 984–998, 1997.
- Pavlov, A. V., New electron energy transfer rates for vibrational excitation of N₂, Ann. Geophysicae, 16, in press, 1998a.
- Pavlov, A. V., New electron energy transfer and cooling rates by excitation of O₂, Ann. Geophysicae, 16, 176–182, 1998b.
- Pavlov, A. V., and M. J. Buonsanto, Comparison of model electron densities and temperatures with Millstone Hill observations during undisturbed periods and the geomagnetic storms of 16– 23 March and 6–12 April 1990, Ann. Geophysicae, 15, 327–344, 1997.
- Pavlov, A. V., M. J. Buonsanto, A. C. Schlesier, and P. G. Richards, Comparison of models and data at Millstone Hill during the June 5–11, 1991 storm, J. Geophys. Res., 102, in press, 1998.
- Pesnell, W. D., K. Omidvar, and W. R. Hogey, Momentum transfer collision frequency of O⁺-O, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 1343– 1356, 1993.
- Prolss, G. W., Magnetic storm associated perturbations of the upper atmosphere: recent results obtained by satellite-borne gas analyzers, *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.*, 18, 183–202, 1980.
- Radzig, A. A., and B. V. Smirnov, The reference book in atomic and molecular physics (in Russian), Atomizdat, Moscow, 1980.
- Rees, M. H., *Physics and chemistry of the upper atmosphere*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1989.
- Richards, P. G., An improved algorithm for determining neutral winds from the height of the F2 peak electron density, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 17839–17846, 1991.
- Richards, P. G., and D. G. Torr, A factor of 2 reduction in theoretical F2 peak electron density due to enhanced vibrational excitation of N₂ in summer at solar maximum, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 91, 11331–11336, 1986.
- Richards, P. G., and D. G. Torr, Ratios of photoelectron to EUV ionization rates for aeronomic studies, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 4060–4066, 1988.
- Richards, P. G., J. A. Fennelly, and D. G. Torr, EUVAC : a solar EUV flux model for aeronomical calculations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 8981–8992, 1994a. (Correction in *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 13283, 1994.)
- Richards, P. G., D. G. Torr, M. J. Buonsanto, and D. P. Sipler, Ionospheric effects of the March 1990 magnetic storm: comparison of theory and measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 23359–23365, 1994b.
- Samson, J. A. R., Z. X. He, L. Yin, and G. N. Haddad, Precision measurements of the absolute photoionization cross sections of He, J. Phys. B : At. Mol: Opt. Phys., 27, 887–898, 1994.
- Schmeltekopf, A. L., E. E. Ferguson, and F. C. Fehsenfeld, Afterglow studies of the reactions He^+ , $He(2^3S)$, and O^+ with vibrationally excited N₂, *J. Chem. Phys*, **48**, 2966–2973, 1968.
- St. -Maurice, J. -P., and D. G. Torr, Nonthermal rate coefficients in the ionosphere: the reactions of O⁺ with N₂, O₂ and NO, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 83, 969–977, 1978.
- Tobiska, W. K., The empirical solar EUV irradiance model EUV 94 (abstract), EOS Trans. AGU, 74(43), 490, 1993.
- Tobiska, W. K., Modeled soft X-ray solar irradiance, Solar Phys., 152, 207–215, 1994.
- Torr, M. R., and D. G. Torr, The role of metastable species in the thermosphere, *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.*, **20**, 91–144, 1982.
- Torr, M. R., and D. G. Torr, Ionization frequencies for solar cycle 21: revised J. Geophys. Res., 90, 6675–6678, 1985.
- Van Zandt, T. E., and T. F. O'Malley, Rate coefficient for the reaction of O⁺ with vibrationally excited N₂, J. Geophys. Res., 78, 6818–6820, 1973.
- Viehland, L. A., and E. A. Mason, Statistical mechanical theory of gaseous ion – molecule reaction in an electrostatic field, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 66, 422–434, 1977.