



HAL
open science

Error calculus and regularity of Poisson functionals: the lent particle method

Nicolas Bouleau

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Bouleau. Error calculus and regularity of Poisson functionals: the lent particle method. Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série I, Mathématique, 2008, 346, pp.779-782. hal-00315986

HAL Id: hal-00315986

<https://hal.science/hal-00315986>

Submitted on 2 Sep 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Error calculus and regularity of Poisson functionals: the lent particle method.

Nicolas BOULEAU*

—

Abstract

We propose a new method to apply the Lipschitz functional calculus of local Dirichlet forms to Poisson random measures.

Résumé

Calcul d'erreur et régularité des fonctionnelles de Poisson : la méthode de la particule prêtée. Nous proposons une nouvelle méthode pour appliquer le calcul fonctionnel lipschitzien des formes de Dirichlet locales aux mesures aléatoires de Poisson.

1 Notation and basic formulae.

Let us consider a local Dirichlet structure with carré du champ $(X, \mathcal{X}, \nu, \mathbf{d}, \gamma)$ where (X, \mathcal{X}, ν) is a σ -finite measured space called *bottom-space*. Singletons are in \mathcal{X} and ν is diffuse, \mathbf{d} is the domain of the Dirichlet form $\epsilon[u] = 1/2 \int \gamma[u] d\nu$. We denote $(a, \mathcal{D}(a))$ the generator in $L^2(\nu)$ (cf. [3]).

A random Poisson measure associated to (X, \mathcal{X}, ν) is denoted N . Ω is the configuration space of countable sums of Dirac masses on X and \mathcal{A} is the σ -field generated by N , of law \mathbb{P} on Ω . The space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called *the up-space*. We write $N(f)$ for $\int f dN$. If $p \in [1, \infty[$ the set $\{e^{iN(f)} : f \text{ real}, f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\nu)\}$ is total in $L^p_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We put $\tilde{N} = N - \nu$. The relation $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{N}f)^2 = \int f^2 d\nu$ extends and gives sense to $\tilde{N}(f)$, $f \in L^2(\nu)$. The Laplace functional and the differential calculus with γ yield

$$(1) \quad \forall f \in \mathbf{d}, \forall h \in \mathcal{D}(a) \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{i\tilde{N}(f)}(\tilde{N}(a[h]) + \frac{i}{2}N(\gamma[f, h]))] = 0.$$

2 Product, particle by particle, of a Poisson random measure by a probability measure.

Given a probability space (R, \mathcal{R}, ρ) , let us consider a Poisson random measure $N \odot \rho$ on $(X \times R, \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{R})$ with intensity $\nu \times \rho$ such that for $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^1(\rho)$ if

*Ecole des Ponts, Paris-Est, ParisTech. email: bouleau@enpc.fr

$N(f) = \sum f(x_n)$ then $(N \odot \rho)(fg) = \sum f(x_n)g(r_n)$ where the r_n 's are i.i.d. independent of N with law ρ . Calling $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$ the product of all the factors (R, \mathcal{R}, ρ) involved in the construction of $N \odot \rho$, we obtain the following properties : For an $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{R}$ -measurable and positive function F , $\hat{\mathbb{E}} \int F(\omega, x, r) N \odot \rho(dxdr) = \int F d\rho dN$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.

Let us denote by \mathbb{P}_N the measure $\mathbb{P}(d\omega)N_\omega(dx)$ on $(\Omega \times X, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{X})$. We have

Lemma 2.1 *Let F be $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{R}$ -measurable, $F \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P}_N \times \rho)$ and such that $\int F(\omega, x, r) \rho(dr) = 0$ \mathbb{P}_N -a.s., then $\int F d(N \odot \rho)$ is well defined, belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \hat{\mathbb{P}})$ and*

$$(2) \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left(\int F d(N \odot \rho) \right)^2 = \int F^2 dN d\rho \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

The argument consists in considering F_n satisfying

$$\mathbb{E} \int F_n^2 d\nu d\rho < +\infty \text{ and } \mathbb{E} \int (\int |F_n| d\nu)^2 d\rho < +\infty \text{ and then using the relation}$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left(\int F_n d(N \odot \rho) \right)^2 = \left(\int F_n d\rho dN \right)^2 - \int (\int F_n d\rho)^2 dN + \int F_n^2 d\rho dN \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

3 Construction by Friedrichs' method and expression of the gradient.

a) We suppose the space by \mathbf{d} of the bottom structure is separable, then a gradient exists (cf. [3] Chap. V, p.225 *et seq.*). We denote it \flat and choose it with values in the space $L^2(R, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$. Thus, for $u \in \mathbf{d}$ we have $u^\flat \in L^2(\nu \times \rho)$, $\gamma[u] = \int (u^\flat)^2 d\rho$ and \flat satisfies the chain rule. We suppose in addition, what is always possible, that \flat takes its values in the subspace orthogonal to the constant 1, i.e.

$$(3) \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{d} \quad \int u^\flat d\rho = 0 \quad \nu\text{-a.s.}$$

This hypothesis is important here as in many applications (cf. [2] Chap V §4.6). We suppose also, but this is not essential (cf. [3] p44) $1 \in \mathbf{d}_{loc}$ $\gamma[1] = 0$ so that $1^\flat = 0$.

b) We define a pre-domain \mathcal{D}_0 dense in $L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{P})$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^m \lambda_p e^{i\tilde{N}(f_p)}; m \in \mathbb{N}^*, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{C}, f_p \in \mathcal{D}(a) \cap L^1(\nu) \right\}.$$

c) We introduce the creation operator inspired from quantum mechanics (see [7], [8], [9], [1], [5],[6] and [10] among others) defined as follows

$$(4) \quad \varepsilon_x^+(\omega) \text{ equals } \omega \text{ if } x \in \text{supp}(\omega), \text{ and equals } \omega + \varepsilon_x \text{ if } x \notin \text{supp}(\omega)$$

so that

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon_x^+(\omega) = \omega \quad N_\omega\text{-a.e. } x \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_x^+(\omega) = \omega + \varepsilon_x \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } x$$

This map is measurable and the Laplace functional shows that for an $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{X}$ -measurable $H \geq 0$,

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{E} \int \varepsilon^+ H d\nu = \mathbb{E} \int H dN.$$

Let us remark also that by (5), for $F \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P}_N \times \rho)$

$$(7) \quad \int \varepsilon^+ F d(N \odot \rho) = \int F d(N \odot \rho) \quad \mathbb{P} \times \hat{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.}$$

d) We defined a gradient \sharp for the up-structure on \mathcal{D}_0 by putting for $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$

$$(8) \quad F^\sharp = \int (\varepsilon^+ F)^\flat d(N \odot \rho)$$

this definition being justified by the fact that for \mathbb{P} -a.e. ω the map $y \mapsto F(\varepsilon_y^+(\omega)) - F(\omega)$ is in \mathbf{d} , $\varepsilon^+ F$ belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{P}) \otimes \mathbf{d}$ algebraic tensor product, and $(\varepsilon^+ F - F)^\flat = (\varepsilon^+ F)^\flat \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_N \times \rho)$.

For $F, G \in \mathcal{D}_0$ of the form

$$F = \sum_p \lambda_p e^{i\tilde{N}(f_p)} = \Phi(\tilde{N}(f_1), \dots, \tilde{N}(f_m)) \quad G = \sum_q \mu_q e^{i\tilde{N}(g_q)} = \Psi(\tilde{N}(g_1), \dots, \tilde{N}(g_n))$$

we compute using (2), (3) and (7) (in the spirit of prop. 1 of [9] or lemma 1.2 of [6])

$$(9) \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}}[F^\sharp \overline{G^\sharp}] = \sum_{p,q} \lambda_p \overline{\mu_q} e^{i\tilde{N}(f_p) - i\tilde{N}(g_q)} \gamma[f_p, g_q]$$

and we have

Proposition 3.1 *If we put $A_0[F] = \sum_p \lambda_p e^{i\tilde{N}(f_p)} (i\tilde{N}(a[f_p]) - \frac{1}{2}N(\gamma[f_p]))$ it comes*

$$(10) \quad \mathbb{E}[A_0[F] \overline{G}] = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sum_{p,q} \Phi'_p \overline{\Psi'_q} N(\gamma[f_p, g_q]).$$

In order to show that $A_0[F]$ does not depend on the form of F , by (10) it is enough to show that the expression $\sum_{p,q} \Phi'_p \overline{\Psi'_q} N(\gamma[f_p, g_q])$ depends only on F and G . But this comes from (9) since F^\sharp and G^\sharp depend only on F and G .

By this proposition, A_0 is symmetric on \mathcal{D}_0 , negative, and the argument of Friedrichs applies (cf [3] p4), A_0 extends uniquely to a selfadjoint operator $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ which defines a closed positive (hermitian) quadratic form $\mathcal{E}[F] = -\mathbb{E}[A[F] \overline{F}]$. By (10) contractions operate and (cf. [3]) \mathcal{E} is a Dirichlet form which is local with carré du champ denoted Γ and the up-structure obtained $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{D}, \Gamma)$ satisfies

$$(11) \quad \forall f \in \mathbf{d}, \quad \tilde{N}(f) \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma[\tilde{N}(f)] = N(\gamma[f])$$

The operator \sharp extends to a gradient for Γ as a closed operator from $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ into $L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \hat{\mathbb{P}})$ with domain \mathbb{D} which satisfies the chain rule and may be computed on functionals $\Phi(\tilde{N}(f_1), \dots, \tilde{N}(f_m))$, Φ Lipschitz and \mathcal{C}^1 and their limits in \mathbb{D} (as done in [4]).

Formula (8) for \sharp can be extended from \mathcal{D}_0 to \mathbb{D} . Let us introduce the space $\underline{\mathbb{D}}$ closure of $\mathcal{D}_0 \otimes \mathbf{d}$ for the norm

$$\|H\|_{\underline{\mathbb{D}}} = (\mathbb{E} \int \gamma[H(\omega, \cdot)](x) N(dx))^{1/2} + \mathbb{E} \int |H(\omega, x)| \xi(x) N(dx)$$

where $\xi > 0$ is a fixed function such that $N(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

Theorem 3.1 *The formula $F^\sharp = \int (\varepsilon^+ F)^\flat d(N \odot \rho)$ decomposes as follows*

$$F \in \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^+} \varepsilon^+ F \in \underline{\mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{\flat} (\varepsilon^+ F)^\flat \in L_0^2(\mathbb{P}_N \times \rho) \xrightarrow{d(N \odot \rho)} F^\sharp \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \hat{\mathbb{P}})$$

where each operator is continuous on the range of the preceding one, $L_0^2(\mathbb{P}_N \times \rho)$ denoting the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{P}_N \times \rho)$ of ρ -centered elements, and we have

$$(12) \quad \Gamma[F] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}|F^\sharp|^2 = \int \gamma[\varepsilon^+ F] dN.$$

4 The lent particle method.

Let us consider, for instance, a real process Y_t with independent increments and Lévy measure σ integrating x^2 , Y_t being supposed centered without Gaussian part. We assume that σ has an l.s.c. density so that a local Dirichlet structure may be constructed on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with carré du champ $\gamma[f] = x^2 f'^2(x)$. If N is the random Poisson measure with intensity $dt \times \sigma$ we have $\int_0^t h(s) dY_s = \int 1_{[0,t]}(s) h(s) x \tilde{N}(ds dx)$ and the choice done for γ gives $\Gamma[\int_0^t h(s) dY_s] = \int_0^t h^2(s) d[Y, Y]_s$ for $h \in L_{loc}^2(dt)$. In order to study the regularity of the random variable $V = \int_0^t \varphi(Y_{s-}) dY_s$ where φ is Lipschitz and \mathcal{C}^1 , we have two ways:

a) We may represent the gradient \sharp as $Y_t^\sharp = B_{[Y, Y]_t}$ where B is a standard auxiliary independent Brownian motion. Then by the chain rule $V^\sharp = \int_0^t \varphi'(Y_{s-})(Y_{s-})^\sharp dY_s + \int_0^t \varphi(Y_{s-}) dB_{[Y]_s}$ now, using $(Y_{s-})^\sharp = (Y_s^\sharp)_-$, a classical but rather tedious stochastic computation yields

$$(13) \quad \Gamma[V] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[V^{\sharp 2}] = \sum_{\alpha \leq t} \Delta Y_\alpha^2 (\int_{] \alpha}^t \varphi'(Y_{s-}) dY_s + \varphi(Y_{\alpha-}))^2.$$

Since V has real values the *energy image density property* holds, and V has a density as soon as $\Gamma[V]$ is strictly positive a.s. what may be discussed using the relation (13).

b) Another more direct way consists in applying the theorem. For this we define \flat by choosing η such that $\int_0^1 \eta(r) dr = 0$ and $\int_0^1 \eta^2(r) dr = 1$ and putting $f^\flat = x f'(x) \eta(r)$.

1°. First step. We add a particle (α, x) i.e. a jump to Y at time α with size x what gives

$$\varepsilon^+ V - V = \varphi(Y_{\alpha-}) x + \int_{] \alpha}^t (\varphi(Y_{s-} + x) - \varphi(Y_{s-})) dY_s$$

2°. $V^\flat = 0$ since V does not depend on x , and

$$(\varepsilon^+ V)^\flat = (\varphi(Y_{\alpha-}) x + \int_{] \alpha}^t \varphi'(Y_{s-} + x) x dY_s) \eta(r) \quad \text{because } x^\flat = x \eta(r).$$

3°. We compute $\gamma[\varepsilon^+ V] = \int (\varepsilon^+ V)^\flat 2 dr = (\varphi(Y_{\alpha-}) x + \int_{] \alpha}^t \varphi'(Y_{s-} + x) x dY_s)^2$

4°. We take back the particle we gave, because in order to compute $\int \gamma[\varepsilon^+ V] dN$ the integral in N confuses $\varepsilon^+ \omega$ and ω .

That gives $\int \gamma[\varepsilon^+ V] dN = \int (\varphi(Y_{\alpha-}) + \int_{] \alpha}^t \varphi'(Y_{s-}) dY_s)^2 x^2 N(d\alpha dx)$ and (13).

We remark that both operators $F \mapsto \varepsilon^+ F$, $F \mapsto (\varepsilon^+ F)^\flat$ are non-local, but instead $F \mapsto \int (\varepsilon^+ F)^\flat d(N \odot \rho)$ and $F \mapsto \int \gamma[\varepsilon^+ F] dN$ are local : taking back the lent particle gives the locality.

References

- [1] ALBEVERIO, Yu. G. KONDRATIEV and M. RÖCKNER, “Analysis and geometry on configuration spaces” *J. Funct. Analysis* 154 (2), (1998), 444-500.
- [2] N. BOULEAU, *Error Calculus for Finance and Physics : the Language of Dirichlet Forms* De Gruyter (2003).
- [3] N. BOULEAU, F. HIRSCH, *Dirichlet Forms and Analysis on Wiener Space*, De Gruyter (1991).
- [4] L. DENIS “A criterion of density for solutions of Poisson-driven SDEs” *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 118 (2000), 406-426.
- [5] Y. ISHIKAWA, H. KUNITA, “Malliavin calculus on the Wiener-Poisson space and its application to canonical SDE with jumps” *Stoch. Proc. and Appl.* 116 (2006) 1743-1769.
- [6] Z.-M. MA, M. RÖCKNER, “Construction of diffusions on configuration spaces” *Osaka J. Math.* 37 (2000) 273-314.
- [7] D. NUALART, J. VIVES, “Anticipative calculus for the Poisson process based on the Fock space” *Sém. Probabilités XXIV*, Lect. Notes in Math. 1426, Springer (1990) 154-165.
- [8] J. PICARD, “On the existence of smooth densities for jump processes” *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 105 (1996) 481-511.
- [9] N. PRIVAULT, “A pointwise equivalence of gradients on configuration spaces” *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 327 (1998) 677-682.
- [10] J.-L. SOLÉ, F. UTZET and J. VIVES, “Canonical Lévy processes and Malliavin calculus” *Stoch. Proc. and Appl.* 117 (2007) 165-187.