HAL
open science

On a surprising relation between the Marchenko-Pastur law, rectangular and square free convolutions

Florent Benaych-Georges

To cite this version:

Florent Benaych-Georges. On a surprising relation between the Marchenko-Pastur law, rectangular and square free convolutions. 2008. hal-00315507v1

HAL Id: hal-00315507

https://hal.science/hal-00315507v1

Preprint submitted on 28 Aug 2008 (v1), last revised 9 Jul 2009 (v5)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON A SURPRISING RELATION BETWEEN THE MARCHENKO-PASTUR LAW, RECTANGULAR AND SQUARE FREE CONVOLUTIONS

FLORENT BENAYCH-GEORGES

Abstract. In this paper, we prove a result linking the square and the rectangular R-transforms, which consequence is a surprising relation between the square and rectangular free convolutions, involving the Marchenko-Pastur law. Consequences on infinite divisibility and on the arithmetics of Voiculescu's free additive and multiplicative convolutions are given.

Contents

Introduction 1

1. A relation between the Marchenko-Pastur law, the square and the rectangular free convolutions 3

1.1. Prerequisites on square and rectangular analytic transforms of probability measures 3

1.2. A relation between the square and the rectangular R-transforms 4

1.3. Main result of the paper 6

2. Consequences about square and rectangular infinite divisibility 7

2.1. Prerequisites on infinite divisibility and Lévy-Kinchine formulas 7

2.2. Main result of the section 8

References 9

Introduction

Free convolutions are operations on probability measures on the real line which allow to compute the empirical spectral[1] or singular[2] measures of large random matrices which are expressed as sums or products of independent random matrices, the spectral measures of which are known.

Date: August 28, 2008.

MSC 2000 subject classifications. 46L54, 15A52

Key words. free probability, random matrices, free convolution, infinitely divisible laws

[1]The *empirical spectral measure* of a matrix is the uniform law on its eigenvalues with multiplicity.

[2]The *empirical singular measure* of a matrix M with size n by p ($n \leq p$) is the empirical spectral measure of $|M| := \sqrt{MM^*}$.

More specifically, the operations $\boxplus, \boxtimes$, called respectively *free additive and multiplicative convolutions* are defined in the following way [VDN91]. Let, for each n, M_n, N_n be n by n independent random hermitian matrices, one of them having a distribution which is invariant under the action of the unitary group by conjugation, which empirical spectral measures converge, as n tends to infinity, to non random probability measures denoted respectively by τ_1, τ_2. Then $\tau_1 \boxplus \tau_2$ is the limit of the empirical spectral law of $M_n + N_n$ and, in the case where the matrices are positive, $\tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$ is the limit of the empirical spectral law of $M_n N_n$. In the same way, for any $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the *rectangular free convolution* $\boxplus_\lambda$ is defined, in [BG07b], in the following way. Let $M_{n,p}, N_{n,p}$ be n by p independent random matrices, one of them having a distribution which is invariant by multiplication by any unitary matrix on any side, which symmetrized[3] empirical singular measures tend, as n, p tend to infinity in such a way that n/p tends to λ, to non random probability measures ν_1, ν_2. Then the symmetrized empirical singular law of $M_{n,p} + N_{n,p}$ tends to $\nu_1 \boxplus_\lambda \nu_2$. These operations can also, equivalently, be defined in reference to free elements of a non commutative probability space, but in this paper, we have chosen to use the random matrix point of view.

In the cases $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$, i.e. where the rectangular random matrices considered in the previous definition are either "almost flat" or "almost square", the rectangular free convolution with ratio λ can be expressed with the additive free convolution: $\boxplus_1 = \boxplus$ and for all symmetric laws ν_1, ν_2, $\nu_1 \boxplus_0 \nu_2$ is the symmetric law which push-forward by the map $t \mapsto t^2$ is the free convolution of the push forwards of ν_1 and ν_2 by the same map. These surprising relations have no simple explanations, but they allow to hope a general relation between the operations $\boxplus_\lambda$ and $\boxplus$, which would be true for any λ. Up to now, despite many efforts, no such relation had been found, until a paper of Debbah and Ryan [DR07], where a relation between $\boxplus_\lambda, \boxplus$ and $\boxtimes$ is proved in a particular case. In the present paper, we give a shorter proof of a wide generalization[4] of their result: for any $\lambda \in (0,1]$, we define μ_λ to be the law of λ times a random variable with law the Marchenko-Pastur law with ratio $1/\lambda$, and we prove that for any pair μ, μ' of probability measures on $[0, +\infty)$ we have

$$\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda} \boxplus_\lambda \sqrt{\mu' \boxtimes \mu_\lambda} = \sqrt{(\mu \boxplus \mu') \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}, \tag{1}$$

where for any probability measure ρ on $[0, +\infty)$, $\sqrt{\rho}$ denotes the symmetrization of the push-forward of ρ by the map $t \mapsto \sqrt{t}$. Our proof is based on the following relation between the R-transform[5] R_μ of a probability measure μ on $[0, +\infty)$ and the rectangular R-transform $C_{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ with ratio λ of $\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda$: we prove that for all z,

$$R_\mu(z) = C_{\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}}(z).$$

This relation also allows us to prove precise relations between $\boxplus$-infinitely divisible laws and $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible laws.

We would like to observe that the relation (1) has some consequences which are far from obvious. It means that for n, p large integers such that $n/p \simeq \lambda$, for A, B, M independent

[3]The *symmetrization* of a law μ on $[0, +\infty)$ is the law ν defined by $\nu(A) = \frac{\mu(A) + \mu(-A)}{2}$ for all Borel set A. Dealing with laws on $[0, +\infty)$ or with their symmetrizations is equivalent, but for historical reasons, the rectangular free convolutions have been defined with symmetric laws. In all this paper, we shall often pass from symmetric laws to laws on $[0, +\infty)$ and vice-versa. Thus in order to avoid confusion, we shall mainly use the letter μ for laws on $[0, \infty)$ and ν for symmetric ones.

[4]See Remark 4.

[5]Note that there are two conventions regarding the R-transform. The one we use is the one used in the combinatorial approach to freeness [NS06], which is not exactly the one used in the analytic approach [HP00]: $R_\mu^{\text{combinatorics}}(z) = z R_\mu^{\text{analysis}}(z)$.

random matrices with respective sizes $n \times n$, $n \times n$ and $p \times p$ such that A, B are invariant in law under left and right multiplication by unitary matrices and M has independent Gaussian entries, if ones defines P to be the $n \times p$ matrix $P = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then as far as the spectral measure is concerned,

$$APM(APM)^* + BPM(BPM)^* \simeq (APM + BPM)(APM + BPM)^*.$$

It also means, if $1 << n << p$, that for M, N independent $n \times p$ random matrices, as far as the spectrums are concerned,

$$(M + N)(M + N)^* \simeq MM^* + NN^*.$$

The relation (1) has also a consequences on the arithmetics of Voiculescu's free additive and multiplicative convolutions $\boxplus$ and $\boxtimes$ (Corollaries 6 and 10) which wasn't known yet, despite the many papers written the last years about questions related to the arithmetics of square free convolutions [CG08a, CG08b].

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Raj Rao for bringing the paper [DR07] to his attention and Mérouane Debbah and Øyvind Ryan for some useful discussions.

1. A relation between the Marchenko-Pastur law, the square and the rectangular free convolutions

1.1. Prerequisites on square and rectangular analytic transforms of probability measures.

1.1.1. *The square case: the R- and S-transforms.* These are analytic transforms of probability measures which allow to compute the operations $\boxplus$ and $\boxtimes$, like the Fourier transform for the classical convolution. The R-transform can be defined for any probability measure on the real line, but we shall only define it for probability measures on $[0, +\infty)$. Consider such a probability measure μ. It $\mu = \delta_0$, then $R_\mu = S_\mu = 0$. Now, let us suppose that $\mu \neq \delta_0$. Let us define the function

$$M_\mu(z) = \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{tz}{1 - tz} \mathrm{d}\mu(t).$$

Then the *R- and S-transforms*[6] of μ, denoted respectively by R_μ and S_μ are the analytic functions defined as follows

$$R_\mu(z) = [(1 + z)M_\mu^{-1}(z)]^{-1}, \quad S_\mu(z) = \frac{1 + z}{z} M_\mu^{-1}(z), \tag{2}$$

where the exponent $^{-1}$ refers to the inversion of functions with respect to the operation of composition $\circ$. Note that M_μ is an analytic function defined in $\{z \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, 1/z \notin \text{support}(\mu)\}$. Hence in the case where μ is compactly supported, the functions M_μ and $(1+z)M_\mu^{-1}(z)$ can be inverted in a neighborhood of zero as analytic functions in a neighborhood of zero vanishing at zero, with non null derivative at zero. In the case where μ is not compactly supported, these functions are inverted as functions on intervals $(-\epsilon, 0)$ which are equivalent to (positive constant) $\times\, z$ at zero [BV93].

Note that puting together both equations of (2), one gets

$$S_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{z} R_\mu^{-1}(z) = \frac{1 + z}{z} M_\mu^{-1}(z). \tag{3}$$

[6] See the footnote 5.

The main properties of the R- and S-transforms are the fact that they characterize measures and their weak convergence and that they allow to compute free convolutions : for all μ, ν,

$$R_{\mu \boxplus \nu} = R_\mu + R_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\mu \boxtimes \nu} = S_\mu S_\nu. \tag{4}$$

1.1.2. *The rectangular case: the rectangular R-transform with ratio λ.* In the same way, for $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the rectangular free convolution with ratio λ can be computed with an analytic transform of probability measures. Let ν be a symmetric probability measure on the real line. Let us define $H_\nu(z) = z(\lambda M_{\nu^2}(z) + 1)(M_{\nu^2}(z) + 1)$, where ν^2 denotes the push forward of ν by the map $t \mapsto t^2$. Then with the same conventions about inverses of functions than in the previous section, the *rectangular R-transform with ratio λ* of ν is defined to be

$$C_\nu(z) = U\left(\frac{z}{H_\nu^{-1}(z)} - 1\right),$$

where $U(z) = \frac{-\lambda-1+\left[(\lambda+1)^2+4\lambda z\right]^{1/2}}{2\lambda}$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $U(z) = z$ for $\lambda = 0$. By theorems 3.9, 3.11 and 3.12 of [BG07b], the rectangular R-transform characterizes measures and their weak convergence, and for all pair ν_1, ν_2 of symmetric probability measures, $\nu_1 \boxplus_\lambda \nu_2$ is characterized by the fact that

$$C_{\nu_1 \boxplus_\lambda \nu_2} = C_{\nu_1} + C_{\nu_2}. \tag{5}$$

1.2. **A relation between the square and the rectangular R-transforms.** Let us fix $\lambda \in [0,1]$. We recall that for any probability measure ρ on $[0,+\infty)$, $\sqrt{\rho}$ denotes the symmetrization of the push-forward of ρ by the map $t \mapsto \sqrt{t}$ and that for $\lambda > 0$, we have defined μ_λ to be the law of λ times a random variable with law the Marchenko-Pastur law with ratio $1/\lambda$, i.e. μ_λ is the law with support $[(1-\sqrt{\lambda})^2, (1+\sqrt{\lambda})^2]$ and density

$$x \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{4\lambda - (x-1-\lambda)^2}}{2\pi\lambda x}.$$

For $\lambda = 0$, we let μ_λ denote the Dirac mass at 1.

Theorem 1. *Let μ be a probability measure on $[0,+\infty)$. Then we have*

$$R_\mu(z) = C_{\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}}(z).$$

Remark 2 (The cumulants point of view)**.** *Suppose μ to be compactly supported. Let us denote the free cumulants* [NS06] *of μ by $(k_n(\mu))_{n\geq 1}$ and the rectangular free cumulants with ratio λ* [BG07b] *of $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ by $(c_{2n}(\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}))_{n\geq 1}$. Then the previous theorem means that for all $n \geq 1$, one has*

$$k_n(\mu) = c_{2n}(\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}).$$

Proof. - First of all, note that by continuity of the applications $\mu \mapsto \mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda$, $\rho \mapsto R_\rho$ and $\nu \mapsto C_\nu$ with respect to weak convergence [BV93, BG07b], it suffices to prove the result in the case where μ is compactly supported. In this case, the functions $M_\mu, R_\mu, S_\mu, M_{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}, H_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}}, C_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}}$ are analytic in a neighborhood of zero and the operations of inversion on these functions or related ones can be used without precaution.

- If $\lambda > 0$, the free cumulants of the Marchenko-Pastur law with parameter $1/\lambda$ are all equal to $1/\lambda$, thus the ones of μ_λ are given by the formula $k_n(\mu_\lambda) = \lambda^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and

$R_{\mu_\lambda}(z) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda^{n-1} z^n$. From (3), it follows that $S_{\mu_\lambda}(z) = \frac{1}{1+\lambda z}$. Hence by (4), we have $S_{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}(z) = \frac{S_\mu(z)}{1+\lambda z}$, and by (3),

$$M_{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}(z) = \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

Note that since $\mu_0 = \delta_1$, (6) stays true if $\lambda = 0$. Now, let us define the function $T(x) = (\lambda x + 1)(x+1)$. Note that $T(U(x-1)) = x$ for x in a neighborhood of zero. We have

$$H_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}}(z) = z \times T \circ M_{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}(z) = z \times T \circ \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1},$$

and

$$C_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}}(z) = U\left(\frac{z}{\left(z \times T \circ \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}} - 1\right). \tag{7}$$

- Hence by (2) and (7), we have the following equivalence

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\mu = C_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}} \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \left((z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z)\right)^{-1} = U\left(\frac{z}{\left(z \times T \circ \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}} - 1\right) \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \quad T \circ \left((z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z)\right)^{-1} = \frac{z}{\left(z \times T \circ \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \quad \left(z \times T \circ \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \times T \circ \left((z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z)\right)^{-1} = z.
\end{aligned}$$

Composing both terms on the right by $(z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z)$, it gives

$$R_\mu = C_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \left(z \times T \circ \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \circ \left((z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z)\right) \times T(z) = (z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z).$$

Dividing by $T(z)$, it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\mu = C_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}} \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \left(z \times T \circ \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \circ \left((z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z)\right) = \frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \quad (z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z) = \left(z \times T \circ \left(\frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z}\right)^{-1}\right) \circ \frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \quad (z+1)M_\mu^{-1}(z) = \frac{M_\mu^{-1}(z)}{1+\lambda z} T(z),
\end{aligned}$$

which is obviously true by definition of $T(z)$. $\square$

1.3. **Main result of the paper.** The main theorem of this paper is the following one. $\lambda \in [0,1]$ is still fixed.

Theorem 3. *For any pair μ, μ' of probability measures on $[0,+\infty)$, we have*

$$\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda} \boxplus_\lambda \sqrt{\mu' \boxtimes \mu_\lambda} = \sqrt{(\mu \boxplus \mu') \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}. \tag{8}$$

Remark 4. *Note that part of this theorem could have been deduced from Theorem 6 of* [DR07]. *However,* (8) *could be deduced from the theorem of Debbah and Ryan only for laws μ, μ' which can be expressed as limit singular laws of n by p (for $n/p \simeq \lambda$) corners of large $p \times p$ bi-unitarily invariant random matrices, and it follows from Theorem 14.10 of* [NS06] *that not every law has this form. Moreover, even though the idea which led us to our result was picked in this theorem, our proof is much shorter and shows the connection with the rectangular machinery in a more clear way (via Theorem 1 and Remark 2).*

Proof. Define $\nu := \sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda} \boxplus_\lambda \sqrt{\mu' \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$. By (5), we have

$$C_\nu = C_{\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}} + C_{\sqrt{\mu' \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}}.$$

Thus, by theorem 1, and (4), we have

$$C_\nu = R_\mu + R_{\mu'} = R_{\mu \boxplus \mu'} = C_{\sqrt{(\mu \boxplus \mu') \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}}.$$

Hence by injectivity of the rectangular R-transform (Theorem 3.8 of [BG07b]), (8) is valid. □

The formula (8) gives us a new insight on rectangular free convolutions: it allows to express it, in certain cases, in terms of the squares free convolutions $\boxplus$ and $\boxtimes$. However, only laws which can be expressed under the form

$$\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}, \quad (\mu \text{ probability measure on } [0,+\infty)) \tag{9}$$

can have their rectangular convolution computed via formula (8). Thus it seems natural to ask whether all symmetric laws can be expressed like in (9). Note that it is equivalent to the fact that any law on $[0,+\infty)$ can be expressed under the form $\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda$, which is equivalent to the fact that the Dirac mass at one δ_1 can be expressed under the form $\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda$. Indeed, if $\delta_1 = \mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda$, then any law τ on $[0,+\infty)$ satisfies $\tau = \tau \boxtimes \delta_1 = (\tau \boxtimes \mu) \boxtimes \mu_\lambda$. The following proposition shows that it is not the case. However, Theorem 9 will show that many symmetric laws can be expressed like in (9).

Proposition 5. *Unless $\lambda = 0$, the law $\frac{\delta_1 + \delta_{-1}}{2}$ cannot be expressed under the form $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ for μ probability measure on $[0,+\infty)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda > 0$ and that there is a probability measure μ on $[0,+\infty)$ such that $\frac{\delta_1 + \delta_{-1}}{2} = \sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$. Then $\delta_1 = \mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda$. By (4), such a law μ has to satisfy $S_\mu(z) = 1 + \lambda z$. It implies that for z small enough, $M_\mu(z) = \frac{z - 1 + [(1-z)^2 + 4\lambda z]^{1/2}}{2\lambda}$. Such a function doesn't admit any analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C} \backslash [0,+\infty)$, thus no such probability measure μ exists. □

Theorem 3 has a consequence on the square free convolution which wasn't known yet, despite the many papers written the last years about questions related to the arithmetics of square free convolutions [CG08a, CG08b].

Corollary 6. *For any pair μ, μ', of probability measures on $[0,+\infty)$ we have*

$$\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_1} \boxplus \sqrt{\mu' \boxtimes \mu_1} = \sqrt{(\mu \boxplus \mu') \boxtimes \mu_1}.$$

Proof. It is an obvious consequence of Theorem 3 and of the fact that $\boxplus_1 = \boxplus$. □

2. Consequences about square and rectangular infinite divisibility

2.1. **Prerequisites on infinite divisibility and Lévy-Kinchine formulas.** Infinite divisibility is a fundamental probabilistic notion, on the base of Lévy processes, and which allows to enlighten deep relations between limit theorems for sums of either independent random variables, square or rectangular random matrices. Let us briefly recall basics of this theory [GK54, Sa99, BV93, BPB99, BG07a].

Let $*$ denote the classical convolution of probability measures on the real line. Firstly, recall that a probability measure μ is said to be $*$-infinitely divisible (resp. $\boxplus$-, $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible) if for all integer n, there exists a probability measure ν_n such that $\nu_n^{*n} = \mu$ (resp. $\nu_n^{\boxplus n} = \mu$, $\nu_n^{\boxplus_\lambda n} = \mu$). In this case, there exists a $*$- (resp. $\boxplus$-, $\boxplus_\lambda$-) semigroup $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ such that $\mu_0 = \delta_0$ and $\mu_1 = \mu$. For all t, μ_t is denoted by μ^{*t} (resp. $\mu^{\boxplus t}, \mu^{\boxplus_\lambda t}$). Infinitely divisible distributions have been classified: μ is $*$- (resp. $\boxplus$-) infinitely divisible if and only if there exists a real number γ and a positive finite measure on the real line σ such that the Fourier transform is $\hat{\mu}(t) = \exp\left[i\gamma t + \int_{\mathbb{R}}(e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{x^2+1})\frac{x^2+1}{x^2}\mathrm{d}\sigma(x)\right]$ (resp. $R_\mu(z) = \gamma z + z\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z+t}{1-tz}\mathrm{d}\sigma(t)$). Moreover, in this case, such a pair (γ, σ) is unique, it is called the *Lévy pair* of μ and we denote μ by $\nu_*^{\gamma,\sigma}$ (resp. $\nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma,\sigma}$). For all $t \geq 0$, the μ_t has Lévy pair $(t\gamma, t\sigma)$. In the same way, a symmetric probability measure ν is $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible if and only if there exists a positive finite symmetric measure on the real line G such that $C_\nu(z) = z\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{1-zt^2}\mathrm{d}G(t)$. In this case, the measure G is unique, and ν will be denoted by $\nu_{\boxplus_\lambda}^G$. The correspondences $\nu_*^{\gamma,\sigma} \longleftrightarrow \nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma,\sigma}$ (for any pair (γ, σ) as above) and $\nu_*^{0,G} \longleftrightarrow \nu_{\boxplus_\lambda}^G$ (for any G as above) are called *Bercovici-pata bijections*. These bijections have may deep properties [BPB99, BG07a], some of them will be mentioned in the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let γ be a real number and σ be a positive finite measure on the real line. Then we have equivalence between:*

(i) For all $t \geq 0$, $\nu_*^{t\gamma,t\sigma}$ is supported on $[0, +\infty)$.

(ii) For all $t \geq 0$, $\nu_{\boxplus}^{t\gamma,t\sigma}$ is supported on $[0, +\infty)$.

(iii) We have $\sigma((-\infty, 0]) = 0$ and the integral $\int \frac{1}{x}\mathrm{d}\sigma(x)$ is finite and $\leq \gamma$.

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (iii) follows from Theorem 24.7 and Corollary 24.8 of [Sa99]. Let us prove the equivalence between (i) and (ii). In order to do that, let us recall a fact proved in [BPB99]: for any Lévy pair (γ, σ) and any sequence (ν_n) of probability measures, one has

$$\nu_n^{*n} \text{ converges weakly to } \nu_*^{\gamma,\sigma} \iff \nu_n^{\boxplus n} \text{ converges weakly to } \nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma,\sigma}. \tag{10}$$

Let us suppose (i) (resp. (ii)) to be true. Let us fix $t \geq 0$. For all n, we have

$$(\nu_*^{\frac{t\gamma}{n},\frac{t\sigma}{n}})^{*n} = \nu_*^{t\gamma,t\sigma} \quad (\text{resp. } (\nu_{\boxplus}^{\frac{t\gamma}{n},\frac{t\sigma}{n}})^{\boxplus n} = \nu_{\boxplus}^{t\gamma,t\sigma}).$$

Thus by (10),

$$(\nu_*^{\frac{t\gamma}{n},\frac{t\sigma}{n}})^{\boxplus n} \text{ converges weakly to } \nu_{\boxplus}^{t\gamma,t\sigma} \quad (\text{resp. } (\nu_{\boxplus}^{\frac{t\gamma}{n},\frac{t\sigma}{n}})^{*n} \text{ converges weakly to } \nu_*^{t\gamma,t\sigma}).$$

Thus since any free (resp. classical) additive convolution and any weak limit of measures with supports on $[0, +\infty)$ has support on $[0, +\infty)$, (ii) (resp. (i)) holds. $\square$

Remark 8. *Note that (i) is equivalent to the fact that there exists $t > 0$ such that $\nu_*^{t\gamma,t\sigma}$ is supported on $[0, +\infty)$ (Corollary 24.8 of* [Sa99]*). However, the same is not true for the free*

infinitely divisible laws. Indeed, let, for each $t \geq 0$, MP_t denote the free Poisson law (i.e. Marchenko-Pastur law) with rate t and jump 1 (see Definition 12.12 of [NS06]*) and let us define, for each t, $\mu_t = \mathrm{MP}_t * \delta_{-t/4}$. Then since free and classical convolutions with Dirac masses are the same, $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a convolution semi-group with respect to $\boxplus$. But μ_4 is supported on $[0,+\infty)$, whereas for each $t \in (0,1]$, the support of μ_t contains negative numbers (namely $-t/4$).*

2.2. **Main result of the section.** The following theorem allows us to claim that even though not every symmetric law can be expressed under the form $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ for μ law on $[0,+\infty)$ (see Proposition 5), many of them have this form. $\lambda \in [0,1]$ is still fixed. For G measure on the real line, we let G^2 denote the push-forward of G by the function $t \mapsto t^2$.

Theorem 9. *(i) Let μ be a $\boxplus$-infinitely divisible law such that for all $t \geq 0$, $\mu^{\boxplus t}$ is supported on $[0,+\infty)$. Then the law $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ is $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible, with Lévy measure the only symmetric measure G such that*

$$G^2 = \left(\gamma - \int \frac{1}{x} \mathrm{d}\sigma(x)\right) \delta_0 + \frac{1+x^2}{x(1+x)} \mathrm{d}\sigma(x), \tag{11}$$

where (γ, σ) denotes the Lévy pair of μ.

(ii) Reciprocally, any $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible law ν has the form $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ for some $\boxplus$-infinitely divisible law μ such that for all $t \geq 0$, $\mu^{\boxplus t}$ is supported on $[0,+\infty)$. Moreover, the Lévy pair (γ, σ) of μ is defined by

$$\gamma = \int_{[0,+\infty)} \frac{1+x}{1+x^2} \mathrm{d}G^2(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \frac{x(1+x)}{1+x^2} \mathrm{d}G^2(x), \tag{12}$$

where G denotes the Lévy measure of ν.

Proof. (i) Note that by Theorem 3, the map $\mu \mapsto \sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ is a morphism from the set of laws on $[0,+\infty)$ to the set on symmetric laws on the real line endowed respectively with the operations $\boxplus$ and $\boxplus_\lambda$. Thus if μ is $\boxplus$-infinitely divisible, then $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ is $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible. Moreover, if the Lévy pair of μ is (γ, σ), then its R-transform is $R_\mu(z) = \gamma z + z \int_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{z+t}{1-zt} \mathrm{d}\sigma(t)$. By Theorem 1, it implies that $C_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}}(z) = \gamma z + z \int_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{z+t}{1-zt} \mathrm{d}\sigma(t)$. Moreover, if one denotes the Lévy measure of $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ by G, one has $C_{\sqrt{\mu\boxtimes\mu_\lambda}}(z) = z \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{1-zt^2} \mathrm{d}G(t)$. Thus to prove (11), it suffices to prove that for G given by (11), for all z, one has

$$\gamma z + z \int_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{z+t}{1-zt} \mathrm{d}\sigma(t) = z \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{1-zt^2} \mathrm{d}G(t),$$

which can easily be verified.

(ii) Let ν be a $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible law with Lévy measure denoted by G. Let (γ, σ) be the Lévy pair defined by (12). Note that (γ, σ) satisfies (iii) of lemma 7, thus for $\mu := \nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma,\sigma}$, for all $t \geq 0$, the law $\mu^{\boxplus t}$ is actually supported by $[0,+\infty)$. Thus by (i), $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda}$ is $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible with Lévy measure the only symmetric measure H satisfying

$$H^2 = \left(\gamma - \int \frac{1}{x} \mathrm{d}\sigma(x)\right) \delta_0 + \frac{1+x^2}{x(1+x)} \mathrm{d}\sigma(x).$$

To prove that $\sqrt{\mu \boxtimes \mu_\lambda} = \nu$, it suffices to prove that $H = G$, which can easily be verified. □

One of the consequences of this theorem is that it gives us a description of the free multiplicative convolution of two free Poisson laws (i.e. Marchenko-Pastur laws), one of them having parameter ≥ 1. The laws MP_t $(t \geq 0)$ have been introduced at remark 8.

Corollary 10. *Consider $a, c > 0$ such that $a > 1$. Then $\mathrm{MP}_c \boxtimes \mathrm{MP}_a$ is the push forward, by the map $x \mapsto ax^2$, of the $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible law with Lévy measure $\frac{c}{4}(\delta_1 + \delta_{-1})$ for $\lambda = 1/a$.*

Proof. It suffices to notice that for $\lambda = 1/a$, MP_a is the push-forward, by the map $x \mapsto ax$, of the law μ_a, that MP_c is the $\boxplus$-infinitely divisible law with Lévy pair $(c/2, c/2\delta_1)$, and then to apply (i) of Theorem 9. $\square$

This corollary can be interpreted as the coincidence of the limit laws of two different matrix models. Indeed, the $\boxplus_\lambda$-infinitely divisible law with Lévy measure $\frac{c}{4}(\delta_1 + \delta_{-1})$ was already known (Proposition 6.1 of [BG07a]) to be the limit symmetrized singular law of the random matrix $M := \sum_{k=1}^{p} u(k)v(k)^*$, for n, p, q tending to infinity in such a way that $p/n \to c$ and $n/q \to \lambda$ and $(u(k))_{k\geq 1}$, $(v(k))_{k\geq 1}$ two independent families of independent random vectors such that for all k, $u(k), v(k)$ are uniformly distributed on the unit spheres of respectively $\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^q$. Thus, if, for large n, p, q's such that $p/n \simeq c$ and $n/q \simeq \lambda$, one considers such a random matrix M and also two independent random matrices T, Q with respective dimensions $n \times p, n \times q$ which entries are independent real standard Gaussian random variables, then the spectral laws of the random matrices

$$\frac{1}{nq} TT^* QQ^*, \qquad MM^*$$

are closed to each other.

References

[BG07a] Benaych-Georges, F. *Infinitely divisible distributions for rectangular free convolution: classification and matricial interpretation* Probability Theory and Related Fields. Volume 139, Numbers 1-2 / septembre 2007, 143-189.

[BG07b] Benaych-Georges, F. *Rectangular random matrices, related convolution.* To appear in Probability and Theory Related Fields.

[BPB99] Bercovici, H., Pata, V., with an appendix by Biane, P. *Stable laws and domains of attraction in free probability theory* Annals of Mathematics, 149. (1999) 1023-1060

[BV93] Bercovici, H., Voiculescu, D. *Free convolution of measures with unbounded supports* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993) 733-773

[CG08a] Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. *Limit theorems in free probability theory. I.* Ann. Probab. 36 (2008), no. 1, 54–90.

[CG08b] Chistyakov, G. P.; Götze, F. *Limit theorems in free probability theory. II.* Cent. Eur. J. Math. 6 (2008), no. 1, 87–117.

[DR07] Debbah, M., Ryan, Ø. *Multiplicative free Convolution and Information-Plus-Noise Type Matrices.* arXiv. The submitted version of this paper, more focused on applications than on the result we are interested in here, is [DR08].

[DR08] Debbah, M., Ryan, Ø. *Free Deconvolution for Signal Processing Applications* Second round review, submitted to IEEE transactions on Information Theory, 2007.

[GK54] Gnedenko, V., Kolmogorov, A.N. *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables* Adisson-Wesley Publ. Co., Cambridge, Mass., 1954

[HP00] Hiai, F., Petz, D. *The semicircle law, free random variables, and entropy* Amer. Math. Soc., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Volume 77, 2000

[NS06] Nica, Alexandru; Speicher, Roland *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability.* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 335. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[Sa99] Sato, K.I. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions* Volume 68 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999

[VDN91] Voiculescu, D.V., Dykema, K., Nica, A. *Free random variables* CRM Monograghs Series No.1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992

Florent Benaych-Georges, LPMA, UPMC Univ Paris 6, Case courier 188, 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

E-mail address: florent.benaych@gmail.com