

## Dual activation of the Drosophila toll pathway by two pattern recognition receptors

Vanessa Gobert, Marie Gottar, Alexey Matskevich, Sophie Rutschmann,

Julien Royet, Marcia Belvin, Jules Hoffmann, Dominique Ferrandon

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Vanessa Gobert, Marie Gottar, Alexey Matskevich, Sophie Rutschmann, Julien Royet, et al.. Dual activation of the Drosophila toll pathway by two pattern recognition receptors. Science, 2003, 302 (5653), pp.2126-2130. 10.1126/science.1085432. hal-00311257

### HAL Id: hal-00311257 https://hal.science/hal-00311257v1

Submitted on 28 Nov 2024  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Dual Activation of the Drosophila Toll Pathway by Two Pattern Recognition Receptors

#### Vanessa Gobert,<sup>1</sup> Marie Gottar,<sup>1</sup> Alexey A. Matskevich,<sup>1</sup> Sophie Rutschmann,<sup>1</sup>\* Julien Royet,<sup>1</sup> Marcia Belvin,<sup>2</sup> Jules A. Hoffmann,<sup>1</sup> Dominique Ferrandon<sup>1</sup><sup>+</sup>

The *Toll*-dependent defense against Gram-positive bacterial infections in *Drosophila* is mediated through the peptidoglycan recognition protein SA (PGRP-SA). A mutation termed *osiris* disrupts the *Gram-negative binding protein* 1 (*GNBP1*) gene and leads to compromised survival of mutant flies after Gram-positive infections, but not after fungal or Gram-negative bacterial challenge. Our results demonstrate that GNBP1 and PGRP-SA can jointly activate the Toll pathway. The potential for a combination of distinct proteins to mediate detection of infectious nonself in the fly will refine the concept of pattern recognition in insects.

A hallmark of the host response of *Drosophila* to infection is the expression of antimicrobial peptide genes. Two intracellular signaling pathways regulate this expression. The Toll pathway is activated primarily by Grampositive bacterial or fungal infections and, in particular, directs the expression of the antimicrobial peptide gene *Drosomycin*. In contrast, the immune deficiency (IMD) pathway responds predominantly to infections by Gram-negative bacteria and induces expression of several antibacterial peptide genes, including *Diptericin* (1). Fungal and Grampositive infections lead to the proteolytic processing of a cytokine, the growth factor–like polypeptide Spätzle, which in its cleaved form binds directly to the transmembrane receptor Toll (2–8). This binding triggers an intracellular signaling cascade that culminates in the up-regulated transcription of multiple target genes, through the nuclear factor  $\kappa$ B–related protein Dorsal-related immune factor (DIF) (2, 9–14).

How bacterial infection leads to processing of Spätzle and subsequent activation of Toll has remained unclear. In the course of a large-scale screen designed to isolate mutants of the innate immune response of *Drosophila*, we identified a new mutation that affected the inducibility of *Drosomycin* by Gram-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unité Propre de Recherche 9022 du CNRS, Institut de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, 15 rue René Descartes, F67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France. <sup>2</sup>Exelixis Inc., South San Francisco, CA 94083, USA.

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: Department of Immunology, IMM-30, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA. †To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: D.Ferrandon@ibmc.u-strasbg.fr

positive bacterial infection. We named the mutation osiris (osi). Osi flies exhibited a significant decrease in the expression of the Drosomycin gene in response to several Gram-positive bacterial strains (Fig. 1A and fig. S1) (15). This was not observed in the majority of osi flies infected with fungi (Fig. 1C); Diptericin expression was normal after a challenge with Gram-negative bacteria (Fig. 1E). Although variable, data from these flies did not differ significantly from results obtained with wild-type flies (figs. S2 and S3). Survival rates of osi flies after injection of the Gram-positive bacteria Enterococcus faecalis (Fig. 1B) and Streptococcus pyogenes (16) were severely compromised and were similar to those of Dif loss-of-function mutants (6, 7). However, in contrast to these phenotypes, osi flies displayed levels of survival similar to those of wild-type flies in response to natural infection by the fungus Beauveria bassiana (Fig. 1D) and to infection by the Gram-negative bacteria Enterobacter cloacae (Fig. 1F) and Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora (16). Thus, the osi mutation primarily affected the defense pathway initiated against infection by Gram-positive bacteria rather than that against Gram-negative strains or fungi.

The osi mutation corresponds to the insertion of a modified piggyBac transposon in the coding region of the Gram-negative binding protein 1 gene (GNBP1), a potential pattern recognition receptor (17). Members of the GNBP/β-(1,3)-glucan recognition proteins (BGRPs) were originally isolated from largesized lepidopterans (18-21) (supporting online text). The Drosophila genome encodes three canonical members of this family. GNBP1 has been reported to bind a commercial Gramnegative bacterial lipopolysaccharide, fungal  $\beta$ -(1,3)-glucan, but not to bind Gram-positive bacterial peptidoglycan (17). The transposon is inserted in the second exon of GNBP1 at nucleotide 511, which corresponds to amino acid 48 of the preprotein (or residue 29 of the predicted mature protein) (Fig. 2A). Transcripts of GNBP1 were barely detectable (Fig. 2B), suggesting that either the transcription of the locus or the stability of the mRNA are strongly affected by the insertion. No phenotypic difference was observed when the osi mutation was in homozygous or hemizygous conditions, indicating that this mutation is genetically null (16). The osi phenotype is due to the transposon insertion in GNBP1, because revertant lines, in which this transposon had been excised with a piggyBac transposase, were as resistant as wildtype flies to E. faecalis infection (Fig. 2C). Expression in osi flies of a pUAS-GNBP1 cDNA transgene driven by the ubiquitous heatshock protein (hsp) 70-GAL4 construct rescued the inducibility of Drosomycin in response to Gram-positive bacterial infection, further demonstrating that the osi phenotype resulted from the insertion of the transposon (Fig. 2D). Because the *osi* mutant phenotype is due to the disruption of the *GNBP1* gene, we designated the mutation as *GNBP1<sup>osi</sup>*.

Fungal-dependent Toll activation requires the *persephone* (*psh*) gene, which encodes a serine protease and whose overexpression leads to challenge-independent Toll activation and *Drosomycin* gene expression (5). This effect was not abolished when *psh* was overexpressed in a *GNBP1*<sup>osi</sup> genetic background (Fig. 3A), indicating that *psh*-dependent activation of Toll does not require *GNBP1*.

GNBP1 encodes a 55-kD protein that has been shown in cell-culture studies to exist as

В Α M. luteus E. faecalis 100 Drosomycin mRNA (%) Survival Rate (%) 50 50 0 GNBP1 [osi] PGRP-SA[seml] 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 wt hours С D B. bassiana B. bassiana 100 mRNA (%) 100 Survival Rate (%) Drosomycin 50 50 GNBP1[osi] . PGRP-SA[seml] 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 wt 0 hours Ε F E. coli E. cloacae 100 100 Diptericin mRNA (%) Survival Rate (%) 50 50 50 10 20 60 30 40 70 GNBP1 [osi] PGRP-SA[sem]] wt hours --- cn bw •• PGRP-SA[seml] -🕁 - GNBP1 [osi] -**c-** Dif –∽– key - PGRP-I C

**Fig. 1.** *GNBP1* is required for the host response against Gram-positive bacteria and not for that against Gram-negative bacteria or fungi. (**A** and **C**) Representative values for *Drosomycin* mRNA steady-state levels as measured by quantitative–reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (Q-RT-PCR) (supporting online material). The panel shows the induction of *Drosomycin* mRNA after an immune-challenge with (A) *Micrococcus luteus* (for 24 hours, at 20°C) or (C) *B. bassiana* (for 36 hours, at 25°C) in *GNBP1<sup>osi</sup>* (*GNBP1[osi]*), *PGRP-SA<sup>seml</sup>* (*PGRP-SA[seml]*), and wild-type (wt) control flies. The level of inducibility measured in the wild type was arbitrarily set to 100, and the measures obtained in the mutants were expressed as a percentage of this value. (**B**, **D**, and **F**) Survival experiments. We monitored the survival rate (expressed in percentage) after immune challenge. As expected, *Dif* mutant flies died more rapidly than wild-type (w) controls after (B) Gram-positive (*E. faecalis*, at 25°C) and *PGRP-LC<sup>E12</sup>* (26) succumbed rapidly to *E. cloacae* (at 25°C) infection. The *Dif* and *key* mutations were originally generated in the cn bw genetic background, which serves as a wild-type control. (**E**) *Diptericin* mRNA levels 6 hours after an immune challenge at 20°C with *Escherichia coli* in *GNBP1<sup>osi</sup>*, *PGRP-SA<sup>sernl</sup>*, and wild-type flies.

flies to *GNBP1*<sup>osi</sup> mutants restored the inducibility of *Drosomycin* by Gram-positive bacterial challenge (Fig. 3B), indicating that *GNBP1*-dependent activity within the hemolymph was sufficient to rescue the *GNBP1*<sup>osi</sup> mutant phenotype. The membrane-tethered form of GNBP1 appears, therefore, to be dispensable in the induction of *Drosomycin*. The phenotypes described here for the *GNBP1*<sup>osi</sup> mutation are non-nimilar to them

both secreted and glycosylphosphatidylinositol

(GPI)-linked form in the cytoplasmic membrane

(17). Transfer of hemolymph from wild-type

The phenotypes described here for the *GNBP1<sup>osi</sup>* mutation are very similar to those observed with the *semmelweis* (*sem1*) loss-of-



Fig. 2. The osiris insertion of a modified piggyBac transposon disrupts the GNBP1 gene. (A) Scheme of the GNBP1 region. The upper line shows the GNBP1 genomic region with exons drawn as boxes. The osiris (e03371) transposon insertion is shown on top. The lower part of the panel shows the schematic structure of the GNBP1 protein. The grey box indicates the signal sequence for amino acids 1 to 17; bold stripes show the  $\beta$ -(1,3)-glucan binding domain [amino acids 18 to 111; PFAM-B domain 4799 (27)]; thin stripes show the domain of homology to bacterial  $\beta$ -glucanases (amino acids 239 to 447, PFAM-A domain glyco-hydro16); the black box indicates the 10 C-terminal amino acids required for GPI anchoring (17). The asterisk shows the position where the open reading frame is disrupted by the insertion. (B) Steady-state GNBP1 mRNA levels measured by Q-RT-PCR in wild-type and GNBP1°si mutant flies, either unchallenged, 24 hours after a challenge with M. luteus, or 48 hours after a challenge with B. bassiana. (C) GNBP1ºsi transposon excision (exc) strains were challenged with E. faecalis; w, wild-type controls. (D) Rescue of the GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> phenotype by a pUAS-GNBP1 transgene after an immune challenge with M. luteus. Drosomycin and GNBP1 mRNA levels were quantified by Q-RT-PCR. Flies of the indicated genotype were submitted to a heat-shock procedure (HS). Nonheat-shocked flies (NHS) were used as a control. However, the lower yet significant expression of GNBP1 transcripts, due to a leaky expression of the hsp promoter, was sufficient for the rescue of Drosomycin inducibility in the (b) control flies. The GNBP1 steady-state transcript levels measured after heat-shock [in the (c) flies] were arbitrarily set to 100%; in this case, wild-type levels reached about 1% of this value.

function mutants of a gene that encodes a member of an unrelated protein family, peptidoglycan recognition protein PGRP-SA (Figs. 1, 2C, and 3B) (6). We generated PGRP-SAseml; GNBP1osi double-mutant flies and found that they were not more sensitive than the single mutants in survival assays with Gram-positive bacteria. Similarly, the reduction of the levels of induced Drosomycin by various Gram-positive bacterial strains was equivalent in the double and single mutants (Fig. 4, A to C). These data indicate that GNBP1 and PGRP-SA are involved in a common process. Indeed, the concomitant overexpression of both genes (but not of the single genes) did lead to a significant, challenge-independent, expression of Drosomycin (Fig. 4D). As expected, this expression required the spätzle (spz) gene product (Fig. 4E), demonstrating that both GNBP1 and PGRP-SA act upstream of spz. These data provide genetic evidence to suggest that GNBP1 and PGRP-SA may interact to trigger the activation of the Toll pathway. To provide biochemical support for this interaction,

we separated protein complexes from fly extracts by electrophoresis under native conditions and performed Western blot analysis for PGRP-SA and GNBP1. In extracts derived from wild-type flies, GNBP1 (17) and PGRP-SA antibodies recognized a band at exactly the same position (Fig. 4F). Because both proteins have markedly different sizes and amino acid compositions, this suggested comigration of both proteins as part of a complex. In flies mutant for GNBP1 or PGRP-SA, this band was either absent (GNBP1<sup>osi</sup>) or strongly reduced (PGRP-SA<sup>sem1</sup>). Importantly, seml represents a point mutation that is assumed to affect the folding of the protein (22). Thus, both GNBP1 and PGRP-SA are required for the formation of the detected band. Finally, concomitant overexpression of the GNBP1 and PGRP-SA genes resulted in the appearance of an additional intense band detected by both antibodies, which may have corresponded to an activated complex.

Our results demonstrate that *GNBP1* is required specifically for the Toll-dependent



Fig. 3. GNBP1 function is required upstream of Toll in the blood compartment. (A) GNBP1 is not epistatic to psh. We overexpressed the PSH protease using the GAL4/UAS system with a yolk protein 1 promoter that drives the expression of GAL4 specifically in the female fat body. Challenge-independent Drosomycin expression was detected in females, whether (a) heterozygous or (c) homozygous for the GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> mutation. No expression was detected in (b) or (d) males. Challenged wildtype flies are shown. Similar results were obtained with an hsp-GAL4 driver. M. l., M. luteus; Unc., unchallenged. (B) Hemolymph transfer experiments. The transfer of wildtype hemolymph into a GNBP1osi recipient (f) restores the M. luteus inducibility of Drosomycin as determined by Q-RT-PCR. In contrast, transfer of GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> hemolymph into a GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> recipient does not significantly rescue the *GNBP1<sup>osi</sup>* phenotype (g). Results obtained with *PGRP-SA<sup>sem1</sup>* (i) were similar to those previously reported (6). Drosomycin transcript levels in challenged GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> (e) and PGRP-SA<sup>seml</sup> (h) mutants are shown for reference. Hemolymph collected from PGRP-SA<sup>seml</sup> flies could rescue the GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> mutant phenotype; the converse experiment, transfer of GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> hemolymph into PGRP-SA<sup>seml</sup> recipients, yielded the same result (16).

response to Gram-positive bacterial infections, but not for responses to fungi or Gram-negative bacteria (supporting online text) (Fig. 4G). Taken in conjunction with data on the *PGRP-SA*<sup>sem1</sup> mutation (6), our results are compatible with a model in which the GNBP1 and PGRP-SA proteins form a complex that activates a proteolytic cascade culminating in the cleavage of

Fig. 4. The interaction of GNBP1 and PGRP-SA leads to Toll pathway activation. (A) (A) SA<sup>seml</sup>;GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> tant flies after E. faecalis infection. The survival assay was performed as in Fig. 1. w, wild-type; DD1, wildtype reference stock (15). (**B** and **C**) Expression of Drosomycin in PGRP-SAseml;GNBP1osi double mutants 24 hours after (B) M. luteus or (C) E. faecalis challenge. (D) The concomitant overexpression of PGRP-SA and GNBP1 leads to the challenge-independent expression of Drosomycin. Drosomycin steady-state transcript levels were measured as in (B) and (C). The value measured in wild-type samples (wt M. l.) 24 hours after an immune challenge was arbitrarily set to 100%. The GAL4/UAS system was used to overexpress GNBP1 and/or PGRP-SA with an hsp-GAL4 driver. The thirdchromosome balancer MKRS allows discrimination between UAS-PGRP-SA and the wildtype third chromo-



some. Because we used a UAS-GNBP1 transgene inserted on the X chromosome, flies that did not carry this transgene were males (sets a and b), and flies that overexpressed GNBP1 were females (sets c and d). Significant Drosomycin expression was also observed when the ubiquitous daughterless-GAL4 driver was

used. (E) Epistatic analysis between the GNBP1-PGRP-SA and spätzle genes. GNBP1 and PGRP-SA were overexpressed in a spätzle (spz/spz) homozygous mutant background (set e). TM6b (set f) is another balancer of the third chromosome and carries a wild-type copy of the spz gene. (F) Western blot of whole fly extracts that were separated by gel electrophoresis under native conditions. The blot was first incubated with an antiserum raised against a PGRP-SA peptide (right),

Spätzle and the activation of Toll. The x-ray structure of Drosophila PGRP-LB reveals the existence of a conserved surface at the back of PGRP proteins that could serve for protein-protein interactions (22). We assume that the complex would be activated on detection of microbial elicitors during infection. In this context, it may be relevant that a GNBP/BGRP from the coleopteran Tenebrio molitor has been reported to be associated with a serine protease (23). Together, our data imply that Tolldependent activation by Gram-positive bacteria requires the cooperation of at least two distinct pattern recognition proteins, although the precise mechanisms of recogni-

tion and activation of these proteins during infection remain to be established. Recognition of infection in insects appears more complex than the initial model of microbial pattern recognition has postulated (24).

#### **References and Notes**

- 1. J. A. Hoffmann, J. M. Reichhart, Nature Immunol. 3, 121 (2002)
- 2. B. Lemaitre, E. Nicolas, L. Michaut, J. M. Reichhart, J. A. Hoffmann, Cell 86, 973 (1996).
- 3. B. Lemaitre, J. M. Reichhart, J. A. Hoffmann, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94, 14614 (1997).
- 4. E. A. Levashina et al., Science 285, 1917 (1999).
- 5. P. Ligoxygakis, N. Pelte, J. A. Hoffmann, J. M. Reichhart, Science 297, 114 (2002).
- T. Michel, J. Reichhart, J. A. Hoffmann, J. Royet, 6. Nature 414, 756 (2001).

The specific band recognized by both antibodies (open triangles) is absent in GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> and PGRP-SA<sup>seml</sup> mutants (6). The lower band observed with the antibody to GNBP1 (arrow) is not specific, because it is observed in the GNBP1<sup>osi</sup> null mutant. (G) Scheme of Toll pathway activation by Gram-positive bacteria and fungi. NEC, necrotic serine protease inhibitor; TL, Toll receptor.

stripped, and then reprobed with an antibody against GNBP1 (left).

- 7. S. Rutschmann, A. Kilinc, D. Ferrandon, J. Immunol. 168. 1542 (2002).
- 8. A. N. Weber et al., Nature Immunol. 4, 794 (2003).
- 9. X. Meng, B. S. Khanuja, Y. T. Ip, Genes Dev. 13, 792 (1999).
- 10. S. Rutschmann et al., Immunity 12, 569 (2000).
- 11. S. Tauszig-Delamasure, H. Bilak, M. Capovilla, J. A. Hoffmann, I. L. Imler, Nature Immunol. 3, 91 (2002). 12
- P. Irving et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 15119 (2001). E. De Gregorio, P. T. Spellman, G. M. Rubin, B. Lemai-
- tre, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 12590 (2001).
- 14. E. De Gregorio, P. T. Spellman, P. Tzou, G. M. Rubin, B. Lemaitre, EMBO J. 21, 2568 (2002).
- 15 Materials and methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
- V. Gobert, M. Gottar, D. Ferrandon, unpublished data.
- 17. Y. S. Kim et al., J. Biol. Chem. 275, 32721 (2000).
- 18. H. Yoshida, M. Ochiai, M. Ashida, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 141, 1177 (1986).

- W. J. Lee, J. D. Lee, V. V. Kravchenko, R. J. Ulevitch, P. T. Brey, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 7888 (1996).
- C. Ma, M. R. Kanost, J. Biol. Chem. 275, 7505 (2000).
  M. Ochiai, M. Ashida, J. Biol. Chem. 275, 4995 (2000).
- M. S. Kim, M. Byun, B. H. Oh, *Nature Immunol.* 4, 787 (2003).
- 23. R. Zhang et al., J. Biol. Chem. 278, 42072 (2003).
- C. A. Janeway, Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 54, 1 (1989).
- 25. S. Rutschmann et al., Nature Immunol. 1, 342 (2000).
- 26. M. Gottar et al., Nature **416**, 640 (2002).
- 27. A. Bateman et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 30, 276 (2002).
- A. Bateman et al., *Nucleic Acts Res.* **50**, 278 (2002).
  We thank our colleagues in the laboratory for critical comments on the manuscript, W.-J. Lee for the kind gift of GNBP1 reagents, J.-M. Ubeda for suggesting the double-overexpression experiment, and M.-C. Lacombe for expert technical help. Supported by CNRS and the Ministère de l'Education Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie and by NIH. Requests

for the  $GNBP1^{osi}$  (e03371) stock should be addressed to Exelixis.