

Mathematical programming formulations for the orthogonal 2d knapsack problem

Cedric Joncour, Arnaud Pecher, Pierre Pesneau, Francois Vanderbeck

▶ To cite this version:

Cedric Joncour, Arnaud Pecher, Pierre Pesneau, Francois Vanderbeck. Mathematical programming formulations for the orthogonal 2d knapsack problem. ROADEF, 2008, France. hal-00307152

HAL Id: hal-00307152 https://hal.science/hal-00307152v1

Submitted on 3 Nov 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Mathematical programming formulations for the orthogonal 2d knapsack problem

C. Joncour^{1,3}, A. Pêcher^{2,3}, P. Pesneau^{1,3} and F.Vanderbeck^{1,3}

Université Bordeaux 1, Institut de Math de Bordeaux (IMB).
Université Bordeaux 1, Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en Informatique (LaBRI).
Inria Sud-Ouest, EPI RealOpt (emails : firstname.name@inria.fr)

Mots-clefs: 2-d packing problem, integer programming, column generation, graph theory

The 2d orthogonal packing problem consist in selecting a maximal value subset of items that can be packed in a unit square. Each item, i = 1, ..., n, is defined by its value, $v_i \ge 0$, its width, $0 < w_i \leq 1$, and its height, $0 < h_i \leq 1$. A subset of items, $S \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ admits a feasible orthogonal packing iff [10] there exists lower-left corner coordinates (x_i, y_i) for each $i \in S$ such that : (Closeness) each item remains within the unit square, i.e., $x_i + w_i \leq 1$ and $y_i + h_i \leq 1$ for each $i \in S$; (No-overlap) No two items overlap, i.e., $x_i + w_i \leq x_j$ or $x_j + w_j \leq x_i$ or $y_i + h_i \leq y_j$ or $y_j + h_j \leq y_i$. The term "orthogonal" comes from the cutting stock terminology : it means that the items could be cut from a unit square stock piece using orthogonal cuts, i.e., cuts that are made in direction orthogonal the the stock piece edges. It is assumed that the items cannot be rotated to exchange their width and height. The generalization to a non unit square is trivial. The problem is actually strongly NP-Hard, and in practice much harder than its 1-d counter part : the combinatoric not only concern item selection decisions but also their relative position to form a feasible packing. Moreover, there is an inherent symmetry between solutions that can be obtained by permuting the positions of items. The aim of this paper is to review the existing integer programming formulations for this problem, comparing the size and the resulting linear programming relaxation bound. This analysis of existing approaches should highlight the scope for further progress in math programming approaches to solving the 2-d orthogonal packing problem. Such approaches can also be combined/hybridized with exact algorithms based on combinatorial dual bounds [10, 11, 5] and intelligent enumeration schemes [7, 8, 12, 14], or constraint programming [9].

A straightforward formulation that implements directly the above definition of feasible packing is to use variables δ_{ixy} indicating whether item *i* has its lower left corner in position (x, y)[3]. The model assumes a discretisation of the X and Y dimensions to yield a finite number of possible coordinates (x, y) but this entails a pseudopolynolial size formulation :

$$\max\{\sum_{i,x,y} v_i \delta_{ixy} : \sum_i \sum_{\nu=x-w_i+1}^x \sum_{\tau=y-h_i+1}^y \delta_{i\nu\tau} \le 1 \,\forall (x,y) \in X \times Y, \sum_{(x,y)} \delta_{ixy} \le 1 \,\forall i, \, \delta_{ixy} \in \{0,1\} \,\forall i,x,y\}.$$

Besides its exponential size, this formulation also suffers a symmetry drawback : symmetric solution are represented by different δ value. Beyond this initial model, many alternative models have been developed, some of them do not enforce true placement and hence model a combinatorial relaxation for the problem. Some retains the discretization idea : [6] proposed a formulation in variable δ_{ix} , δ_{iy} and $\delta_{xy} = 1$ iff position (x, y) is unoccupied (it was latter shown to model only a relaxation). A polynomial formulation is used in [14] where coordinates are seen as continuous variables and binary variables model the relative position of items : $l_{ij} = 1$ iff item *i* is to the left of *j* and $b_{ij} = 1$ iff item *i* is below *j*. All of these approaches suffer the same symmetry drawback.

Column generation formulations have also been used : [16] generate solutions of the knapsack problem defined by the item height (they define vertical filling patterns) and model the covering of the selected item width by vertical strips (the decision variables are λ_v is the width of vertical pattern v); [15] extended this idea to generating both horizontal and vertical filling patterns; [4] combined the latter with the use of relative position variables $x_{ij} = 1$ (resp. $y_{ij} = 1$) iff item i and j are on each others side along the X axis (resp. Y axis). Column generation approaches have also been combined with coordinates allocation approaches : [13] make use of variables are $\lambda_{vx} = 1$ iff vertical pattern v appears in coordinate x. Finally, another approach consists in reducing the 2-d knapsack to a 1-d problem by defining item weighs as their surface area. The relaxation can then be improved by adding cuts (dual feasible cuts [2, 5, 10], cover cuts [2, 14], or cuts on the objective [1]), using dominance rules, or reduced cost fixing [2]. In our presentation we shall provide the detailed formulations, analyze their relative advantages, and extend the ideas to new ways of modeling the 2-dimensional knapsack problem.

Références

- A. Amaral and A. N. Lerchford (2003). An improved Upper Bound for the two-dimensional Non-Guillotine Cutting Problem. Working paper.
- [2] R. Baldacci and M. A. Boschetti (2005). A Cutting Plane Approach for the Two-Dimensional Orthogonal Non Guillotine Cutting Stock Problem.
- [3] J.E. Beasley (1985). An exact two-dimensional non-guillotine cutting tree search procedure. Operations Research, 33: 49-64.
- [4] M.A. Boschetti, E. Hadjiconstantinou et N. Christophides (2002). New upper bounds for the two-dimensional non-guillotine cutting problem. IMA J Management Math, 13: 95-119.
- [5] A. Caprara and M. Monaci (2004). On the two-dimensional knpsack problem. Oper. Res. Letters, 32 :2-14.
- [6] Christophides, N. and E. Hadjiconstantinou (1995), An exact algorithm for orthogonal 2-D cutting problems using guillotine cuts, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 83, 21-38.
- [7] J. Carlier, F. Clautiaux, and A. Moukrim (2005). New reduction procedures and lower bounds for the two-dimensional bin-packing problem with fixed orientation. *Comput. Oper. Res.*
- [8] F. Clautiaux, J. Carlier, and A. Moukrim (2007). A new constraint programming approach for the orthogonal packing problem. To appear in the *Computers and Oper. Res.*
- [9] F. Clautiaux, A. Jouglet, J. Carlier, and A. Moukrim (2007). A new constraint programming approach for the orthogonal packing problem. To appear in the *Computers and Oper. Res.*
- [10] S.P. Fekete and J. Schepers (2004). A combinatorial characterization of higher-dimensional orthogonal packing. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 29, pp353-368.
- [11] S.P. Fekete and J. Schepers (2004). A general framework for bounds for higher-dimensional orthogonal packing problems. *Mathematical Mathods of Operations Research*, 60, pp81-94.
- [12] S.P. Fekete, J. Schepers, and J.C. van der Veen (2007). An Exact Algorithm for Higher-Dimensional Orthogonal Packing. *Operations Research*, to appear.
- [13] S. Martello, M. Monaci, and D. Vigo (2003). An exact Approach to the Strip-Packing Problem.. Informs J. of Computing, 15(3):310-319.
- [14] D. Pisinger and M. Sigurd (2007). Using Decomposition Techniques and Constraint Programming for Solving the Two-Dimensional Bin-Packing Problem. *Informs Journal on Computing* Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 36-51.
- [15] G. Scheithauer (1999). LP-based bounds for the container and multi-container loading problem. International Transactions in Operational Research 6: 199-213.
- [16] R.D. Tsai, E.M. Malstorm et H.D. Meeks (1988). A two-dimensional palletizing procedure for loading operations. *IIE Transactions* 20 :418-425.