



**HAL**  
open science

## Odin stratospheric proxy NO<sub>y</sub> measurements and climatology

S. Brohede, C. A. Mclinden, Jakub Urban, C. S. Haley, A. I. Jonsson, D. Murtagh

► **To cite this version:**

S. Brohede, C. A. Mclinden, Jakub Urban, C. S. Haley, A. I. Jonsson, et al.. Odin stratospheric proxy NO<sub>y</sub> measurements and climatology. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 2008, 8 (2), pp.5847-5899. hal-00304048

**HAL Id: hal-00304048**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00304048>**

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology**

S. Brohede et al.

# Odin stratospheric proxy NO<sub>y</sub> measurements and climatology

**S. Brohede<sup>1</sup>, C. A. McLinden<sup>2</sup>, J. Urban<sup>1</sup>, C. S. Haley<sup>3</sup>, A. I. Jonsson<sup>4</sup>, and D. Murtagh<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radio and Space Science, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>Environment Canada, 4905 Dufferin Street, Toronto, Ontario, M3H 5T4, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Centre for Research in Earth and Space Science, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, Ontario, M3J 1P3, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, M5S 1A7 Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Received: 3 January 2008 – Accepted: 18 February 2008 – Published: 19 March 2008

Correspondence to: S. Brohede (samuel.brohede@chalmers.se)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

Five years of OSIRIS (Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System)  $\text{NO}_2$  and SMR (Sub-Millimetre Radiometer)  $\text{HNO}_3$  observations from the Odin satellite, combined with data from a photochemical box model, have been used to construct a stratospheric proxy  $\text{NO}_y$  data set including the gases:  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,  $2\times\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{ClONO}_2$ . This Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  climatology is based on all daytime measurements and contains monthly mean and standard deviation, expressed as mixing ratio or number density, as function of latitude or equivalent latitude ( $5^\circ$  bins) on 17 vertical layers (altitude, pressure or potential temperature) between 14 and 46 km. Comparisons with coincident  $\text{NO}_y$  profiles from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment–Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) instrument were used to evaluate several methods to combine Odin observations with model data. This comparison indicates that the most appropriate merging technique uses OSIRIS measurements of  $\text{NO}_2$ , scaled with model  $\text{NO}/\text{NO}_2$  ratios, to estimate  $\text{NO}$ . The sum of  $2\times\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{ClONO}_2$  is estimated from uncertainty-based weighted averages of scaled observations of SMR  $\text{HNO}_3$  and OSIRIS  $\text{NO}_2$ . Comparisons with ACE-FTS suggest the precision (random error) and accuracy (systematic error) of Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  profiles are about 15% and 20%, respectively. Further comparisons between Odin and the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model (CMAM) show agreement to within 20% and 2 ppb throughout most of the stratosphere except in the polar vortices. A particularly large disagreement within the Antarctic vortex in the upper stratosphere during spring indicates too strong descent of air in CMAM. The combination of good temporal and spatial coverage, a relatively long data record, and good accuracy and precision make this a valuable  $\text{NO}_y$  product for various atmospheric studies and model assessments.

ACPD

8, 5847–5899, 2008

## Odin $\text{NO}_y$ climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 1 Introduction

Reactive nitrogen species, known collectively as  $\text{NO}_y$  (where  $\text{NO}_y$  is the sum of  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}_3$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,  $2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ ,  $\text{ClONO}_2$ ,  $\text{BrONO}_2$  and  $\text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2$ ), play an important role in stratospheric ozone chemistry and are intimately linked to hydrogen, chlorine, and bromine compounds. Reactive nitrogen species are the largest contributors to ozone destruction in the middle stratosphere, primarily via catalytic cycles involving  $\text{NO}$  and  $\text{NO}_2$ . In the lower stratosphere  $\text{NO}_y$  also indirectly influences ozone through its influence on the partitioning of the hydrogen, chlorine, and bromine families. The primary source of stratospheric  $\text{NO}_y$  is the oxidation of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ; that is,



where  $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$  is an excited state of atomic oxygen, mainly produced from  $\text{O}_3$  photolysis. The source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is a combination of natural and anthropogenic surface sources. Due mainly to its use in agriculture,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is increasing at a rate of 2.6%/decade (Forster et al., 2007). A much smaller, and more sporadic source, is downward transport from the mesosphere of  $\text{NO}$  created by the precipitation of energetic particles in the polar regions, see for example Randall et al. (2005, 2007) or Seppälä et al. (2007). This source represents about 2% of total stratospheric  $\text{NO}_y$  (Vitt et al., 2000). The loss of stratospheric  $\text{NO}_y$  occurs via transport into the troposphere, and through the reaction sequence



and so is quadratic in  $\text{NO}_y$ . Photolysis of  $\text{NO}$  only occurs above  $\sim 40$  km. The photochemical life time of  $\text{NO}_y$  with respect to Reaction (R3) ranges from decades in the lower stratosphere to months near the stratopause (Nevison et al., 1997) i.e. much

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



longer than or comparable to typical transport time scales. Thus in the lower stratosphere  $\text{NO}_y$  is controlled primarily by transport processes. Photo-chemistry gains increasing influence on the  $\text{NO}_y$  abundance with increasing altitude.

During polar night and spring,  $\text{NO}_y$  can be removed from the gas phase by sequestering  $\text{HNO}_3$  in Polar Stratospheric Cloud (PSC) particles, through heterogeneous chemistry. Sedimentation of PSC particles can lead to a permanent removal of  $\text{NO}_y$  from the polar lower stratosphere, often referred to as denitrification. As particles evaporate at lower altitudes, enhancements of  $\text{NO}_y$  have been observed (Kleinböhl et al., 2005; Dibb et al., 2006), denoted re-nitrification.

The trend in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is expected to lead to an upward trend in  $\text{NO}_y$ . However, due to insufficient observations, such a trend has not been verified directly. McLinden et al. (2001) suggested that the rate of increase in  $\text{NO}_y$  should be about three-quarters that of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  due to simultaneous trends in stratospheric ozone and temperature. An increase in column- $\text{NO}_2$  at  $>5\%$ /decade has been reported (Liley et al., 2000), which is consistent with an increase in  $\text{NO}_y$  combined with a shift in the  $\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}_y$  partitioning due to changes in ozone, temperature, halogens, and possibly aerosol loading over the length of the time series (Fish et al., 2000; McLinden et al., 2001).

Many models have been used to simulate  $\text{NO}_y$  abundances in the stratosphere. The Models and Measurements Intercomparison II (Brasseur and Remsberg, 1999, Figs. 3.3.6 and 3.5.1), which compared  $\text{NO}_y$  from 13 two-dimensional and three-dimensional models, revealed a spread in excess of 30% when the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  source was constrained. Much of this inter-model difference was attributed to model dynamics. Differences between the models and the UARS (Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite) observations of (pseudo-)  $\text{NO}_y$  were considerably larger. The latest generation of models appear to be more capable of simulating global stratospheric  $\text{NO}_y$ . For example, the ECHAM5/MESSy1 (European Centre Hamburg Model 5/Modular Earth Submodel System 1) chemistry-climate model with nudged meteorology revealed good agreement with MIPAS (Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding)  $\text{NO}_y$  (Brühl et al., 2007) over a three-day period in 2002. In general, however, there is a

Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



lack of global, long term  $\text{NO}_y$  observations to evaluate these models. Other types of photochemical models must be initialized with an  $\text{NO}_y$  profile or field that is generally based on output from a 3-D model, a correlation with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , or both. Here too, the lack of global  $\text{NO}_y$  observations are noticeable.

5 With the exception of the lower stratosphere, measurements of stratospheric  $\text{NO}_y$  must be done remotely. In general, estimation of  $\text{NO}_y$  requires a measurement of all major  $\text{NO}_y$  species. This includes the "big five" (i.e.  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,  $2\times\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{ClONO}_2$ ) which collectively comprise 96–99% of  $\text{NO}_y$  during daytime, according to box model calculations (Fig. 1). At specific altitudes or local times, one or more of  
10 these species may be neglected without compromising the result; for example,  $\text{HNO}_3$  in the upper stratosphere, or stratospheric  $\text{NO}$  at night. However, instruments generally measure only a subset of the required species and therefore only an estimate of  $\text{NO}_y$  is obtained. LIMS (Limb Infrared Monitor of the Stratosphere), 1978–1979 (Gille and Russel, 1984), was the first satellite instrument to estimate  $\text{NO}_y$  by measuring  $\text{HNO}_3$  and  $\text{NO}_2$  at night. Measurements of HALOE (Halogen Occultation Experiment)  $\text{NO}$  and  $\text{NO}_2$  with CLAES (Cryogenic Limb Array Etalon Spectrometer)  $\text{HNO}_3$  and  $\text{ClONO}_2$ , both on UARS, have been merged to create a pseudo- $\text{NO}_y$  climatology (Danilin et al., 1999). The first instrument to measure all five major species was ATMOS (Atmospheric Trace gas Molecule Spectroscopy) (Russell et al., 1988) over four deployments from  
15 the space shuttle. MIPAS was the first satellite instrument to measure all major  $\text{NO}_y$  species (Brühl et al., 2007), but with limited data between July 2002 and March 2004. More recently, the ACE-FTS (Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment–Fourier Transform Spectrometer) (Bernath et al., 2005) launched in 2004, a satellite successor to ATMOS,  
20 is able to measure all primary species. While ACE-FTS has collected more than four years of data, its sparse coverage (2 profiles per orbit) means that global coverage is achieved only over several months.

Complementary to the MIPAS and ACE-FTS instruments is the Odin satellite. Odin observes  $\text{NO}_2$  profiles with the Optical Spectrograph and Infra-Red Imager System (OSIRIS) and  $\text{HNO}_3$  with the Sub-Millimetre Spectrometer (SMR) in the stratosphere,

Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology**

S. Brohede et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

see Sect. 2.1. In total, Odin measures about 75% of total NO<sub>y</sub> at 20 km but only 25% at 40 km (see Fig. 1). Hence, a simple addition of OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> and SMR HNO<sub>3</sub> will be of limited use. In this study we investigate the idea of constructing a "proxy" NO<sub>y</sub> data set by combining Odin measurements with a photochemical box model, constrained with additional observations, to account for the missing species. This is, to our knowledge, the first description of such a proxy NO<sub>y</sub> data set. The length of the Odin data set of more than six years, combined with good spatial coverage provides a valuable NO<sub>y</sub> product, complementary to that of MIPAS, ACE-FTS, and preceding missions.

Section 2 gives a brief overview of the Odin instruments and other data sets used in this study. This is followed by a detailed description on the construction of the Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> data set (Sect. 3). Results are discussed in Sect. 4 which includes a comparison with the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model (CMAM). This is followed by a summary of the major conclusions in Sect. 5.

## 2 Data sources

Information on the various data sets used in this study is provided below.

### 2.1 Odin observations

The Odin satellite was launched in February 2001 into a 600 km circular sun-synchronous near-terminator orbit with a 97.8° inclination and an equator crossing time of the ascending node at 18:00 h local solar time (LST) (Murtagh et al., 2002). Odin carries two instruments: OSIRIS (Llewellyn et al., 2004) and SMR (Frisk et al., 2003). The instruments are co-aligned and scan the limb of the atmosphere over a tangent height range from 7 km to 70 km in approximately 85 s during normal stratospheric operations through controlled nodding of the satellite. With 14–15 orbits per day and 40–60 limb scans on the day-side per orbit, about 600 day-side profiles are obtained per day. Due to Odin's orbit, the data from both instruments are generally limited to between 82° N

and 82° S. The LST is close to 18:00 and 06:00 for low and mid latitudes during the ascending and descending nodes respectively, but sweeps quickly over local midnight and noon at the poles. The equator crossing time is slowly drifting later in LST during the Odin mission. Since OSIRIS is dependent on sunlight, the full latitude range is only covered around the equinoxes and hemispheric coverage is provided elsewhere.

The SMR instrument measures thermal emissions and comprises five receivers, one millimeter receiver at 118 GHz and four sub-millimeter receivers between 486–580 GHz (Murtagh et al., 2002; Frisk et al., 2003). The millimeter receiver is used for the observation of oxygen, which is mainly used for astronomical studies while the sub-millimeter receivers are used for the retrieval of several atmospheric species, including stratospheric ozone, HNO<sub>3</sub>, ClO and N<sub>2</sub>O. HNO<sub>3</sub> profiles are retrieved in a band centered at 544.6 GHz using a maximum a posteriori (MAP) inversion technique (Urban et al., 2006, 2007). Comparisons with measurements of other space-borne sensors such as MIPAS on the Envisat satellite and the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) on Aura indicate a positive bias of the order of 2 ppb around the vmr profile peak (~23 km) and a small negative bias of roughly 0.5 ppb at 35–45 km (Urban et al., 2006; Santee et al., July 2007; Wang et al., 2007a,b) as well as a possible altitude shift towards lower altitudes of approximately 1–2 km (Wang et al., 2007b). This work is based on the Chalmers version 2.0 of the level 2 data, using only profiles with good quality (assigned quality flag: QUALITY=0 or 4).

OSIRIS contains two optically independent components, the Optical Spectrograph (OS) and the InfraRed Imager (IRI). The OS is a grating spectrometer that measures limb-scattered sunlight spectra in the spectral range from 280 nm to 800 nm at a resolution of about 1 nm. The IRI is a three channel camera, imaging the atmospheric airglow emissions near 1.27 μm and 1.53 μm in a limb-viewing tomographic mode (Degenstein et al., 2002). The OS scattered sunlight measurements are used to provide vertical profiles of minor stratospheric constituents including O<sub>3</sub> (von Savigny et al., 2003; Haley and Brohede, 2007), NO<sub>2</sub> (Haley et al., 2004), OClO (Krecl et al., 2006) and aerosol (Bourassa et al., 2007). The official OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> product, used in this

Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



study, is retrieved using a combination of differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) (Platt, 1994) and MAP using wavelengths between 435 and 451 nm. The most recently updated version (3.0) shows good agreement with various solar occultation instruments (Brohede et al., 2007a; Haley and Brohede, 2007). Additionally, OSIRIS climatological NO<sub>2</sub> is found to be consistent with Chemical Transport Model (CTM) simulations except in the polar vortex region and in the tropical upper troposphere/lower stratosphere (Brohede et al., 2007c). This work uses the version 3.0 of the level 2 data where profiles, flagged for possible inaccurate pointing, are excluded.

The vertical resolution of OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> and SMR HNO<sub>3</sub> is about 2 km in the middle stratosphere and a high measurement responses (>0.5) is usually found between 15 and 42 km for NO<sub>2</sub> and between 20 and 66 km for HNO<sub>3</sub>, but is profile dependent. The retrieval errors (measurement noise + smoothing error) at the profile peaks are around 15% (~1 ppb) for NO<sub>2</sub> and 12% (~1 ppb) for HNO<sub>3</sub>, but increase rapidly at higher and lower altitudes for HNO<sub>3</sub>, see Fig. 2. Note that both data sets are based on similar inversion methods, although NO<sub>2</sub> profiles are retrieved in log-space and HNO<sub>3</sub> in linear space. SMR and OSIRIS stratospheric ozone products are found to be very consistent, providing confidence in the robustness and long-term stability of the fundamentally different measurement techniques (Brohede et al., 2007b).

## 2.2 ACE-FTS observations

The Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS) is the primary instrument onboard the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) satellite (also known as SCISAT-1). The satellite was launched in August 2003 into a 650 km altitude and 74° inclination orbit and is operating in solar occultation mode. The ACE-FTS instrument is a high resolution (0.02 cm<sup>-1</sup>) spectrometer operating from 2.2 to 13.3 μm (Bernath et al., 2005). ACE-FTS provides mixing ratio profiles with around 4 km altitude resolution for various atmospheric compounds including the following NO<sub>y</sub> species (with typical altitude range in brackets): NO (15–110 km), NO<sub>2</sub> (13–57 km), HNO<sub>3</sub> (5–37 km), ClONO<sub>2</sub> (13–35 km), and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (15–39 km). This makes a common range for all the big five NO<sub>y</sub>

species ( $\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{HNO}_3 + 2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{ClONO}_2$ ) of 15–35 km. An initial validation of the ACE-FTS  $\text{NO}_y$  species is recently completed (Kerzenmacher et al., 2008; Wolff et al., 2008). Additionally, a study of the  $\text{NO}_y$  budget has been conducted using the ACE-FTS data (Qin, January 2007). For this study we have used data version 2.2 (version 2.2-update for  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ ), excluding any ACE occultations flagged for potential retrieval problems. ACE-FTS also measures the next most abundant  $\text{NO}_y$  species,  $\text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2$ , but only over a limited altitude range.

### 2.3 The photochemical box model

Information on the missing key  $\text{NO}_y$  species ( $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ , and  $\text{ClONO}_2$ ) is supplied by a photochemical model. In this work the University of California, Irvine, photochemical box model (Prather, 1992; McLinden et al., 2000) is employed to calculate the local  $\text{NO}_y$  partitioning. For a particular simulation, profiles for the background atmosphere (pressure and temperature), ozone, long-lived tracers ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$ ), and the families ( $\text{NO}_y$ ,  $\text{Cl}_y$ , and  $\text{Br}_y$ ) need to be specified. All remaining species are calculated to be in a 24-h steady-state by integrating the model for 30 days (but fixed to a given Julian day). The model is run with a 2 km pressure-altitude grid,  $z = -16 \log_{10}(P[\text{Pa}]/10^5)$  [km], between  $z=10$  and 58 km.

Pressure and temperature are obtained from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalysis and the ozone profile is measured simultaneously by OSIRIS (Roth et al., 2007). Of the remaining fields,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{NO}_y$  are monthly-means from a three-dimensional chemical transport model (Olsen et al., 2001) and  $\text{Cl}_y$  and  $\text{Br}_y$  are from tracer correlations with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  (R. Salawitch, personal communication, 2003). Surface albedo is taken from the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) monthly-mean clear-sky surface reflectivity climatology at 416 nm (Koelemeijer et al., 2003). When a cloud is detected at the bottom of the limb scan, the albedo is taken as the mean of the clear sky albedo and 0.6 since no information on cloud thickness and brightness is available. Using this methodology, a simulation has been performed for each Odin limb scan. Results from an evaluation of the ability of the

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



box model to calculate the  $\text{NO}_y$  partitioning, as determined through comparisons with the JPL MkIV interferometer, are presented in Appendix A. Further information on this model accompanied with a sensitivity study is found in Appendix A of Brohede et al. (2007c).

## 5 2.4 CMAM simulations

The Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model (CMAM) is a three-dimensional coupled chemistry-climate model (CCM) with comprehensive physical parameterization (Beagley et al., 1997; de Grandpré et al., 2000). The model version used here has a vertical domain from the Earth's surface to approximately 97 km. It has 71 vertical levels (approximately 3 km vertical resolution in the middle atmosphere) and T31 spectral horizontal resolution (corresponding to roughly  $6^\circ$  latitude/longitude grid spacing).

The model includes interactive stratospheric chemistry with all the relevant catalytic ozone loss cycles and heterogeneous reactions on sulphate aerosols and PSCs. The model includes parameterizations for Type 1b PSCs (super-cooled ternary solutions) and Type 2 PSCs (water ice). There is no parameterization for Type 1a PSCs (nitric acid trihydrate particles) and sedimentation of particles is not implemented. Thus the model does not simulate permanent removal of  $\text{NO}_y$  through denitrification via the sedimentation of PSC particles in the stratospheric winter polar vortices. In addition, a numerical problem related to the PSCs in the model was identified during the course of this work, leading to highly overestimated  $\text{NO}_y$  values in the southern polar lower stratosphere during spring. Work is being undertaken to address this problem, but for this study the region in question, i.e. southward of  $50^\circ$  S and below 10 hPa during September to January is masked out. Other regions in the model are not affected.

CMAM data from an ensemble of transient simulations in which the model was forced by observed abundances of well-mixed greenhouse gases and halogens in the past, and by a scenario in the future (following the SPARC CCMVal REF2 specifications described by Eyring et al., 2005) are used here. Each ensemble member used a different set of inter-annually varying sea-surface temperature realizations from a coupled

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



atmosphere-ocean model. A basic evaluation of CMAM and comparison with observations and other models is given in [Eyring et al. \(2007\)](#). The NO<sub>y</sub> dataset used here represents the ensemble mean field averaged over the period 1996–2005. Note that CMAM employs the standard definition of NO<sub>y</sub>(NO+ NO<sub>2</sub>+ NO<sub>3</sub>+ HNO<sub>3</sub>+ 2×N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>+ HO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>+ ClONO<sub>2</sub>+ BrONO<sub>2</sub>).

### 3 Method

This section describes the merging of the Odin data sets and the construction of the Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> climatology. The data is referred to as "proxy" due to the intrinsic dependence on the photochemical box model to account for the missing species. An overview of the method is found in [Fig. 3](#).

#### 3.1 Data merging

It is not immediately clear how best to combine the Odin data with the model simulations. Simply adding the remaining NO<sub>y</sub> species from the model would make the result critically dependent on the assumed NO<sub>y</sub> profile in the box model and moreover would ignore any information contained in the Odin data due to the close coupling among the NO<sub>y</sub> species. Thus it seems far better to rely on the model only for ratios or partitioning, as opposed to absolute abundances. Additionally, the NO<sub>y</sub> partitioning is almost completely independent of the model NO<sub>y</sub> over a wide range. This is illustrated in [Fig. 4](#).

The most important of the missing NO<sub>y</sub> species is NO which is very closely coupled to NO<sub>2</sub> through the reaction pair;



Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and in fact the sum of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> is commonly referred to as NO<sub>x</sub>. The lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> against photolysis is of the order of 10 s and so can be considered to be in photochemical equilibrium in the sunlit stratosphere. Secondary reactions that control the partitioning of NO<sub>x</sub> include



While Reactions R7–R9 may be important in the lower or middle stratosphere, Reactions R4–R6 tend to dominate over nearly the entire stratosphere and so to a good approximation the abundance of NO may be expressed as (de Grandpré et al., 1997);

$$[\text{NO}] = [\text{NO}_2] \frac{(J[\text{NO}_2] + k_1[\text{O}])}{k_2[\text{O}_3]}, \quad (\text{R10})$$

where  $J$  is the photolysis rate of NO<sub>2</sub>, Reaction (R5),  $k_1$  is the reaction rate coefficient of (R6) and  $k_2$  is the coefficient for (R4). This illustrates that with a good knowledge of NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and temperature (required for the reaction rate coefficients), a reasonable representation of NO may be obtained. Thus NO is taken simply as the OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> scaled by the model calculated NO/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio (which would of course include the hydrogen, chlorine, and bromine reactions that impact the NO<sub>x</sub> partitioning).

SMR HNO<sub>3</sub> is corrected for the systematic bias (see Sect. 2.1) prior to the merging by shifting the profiles upward by 1 km and applying a second order compensation function as found by ACE-FTS comparisons (see Fig. 5):

$$\text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}^*} = 1.11 \cdot \text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}} - 0.026(\text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}})^2, \quad (1)$$

where the concentration is expressed in ppb and \* denotes a corrected concentration.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



### 3.1.1 Merging theory a

The remaining key  $\text{NO}_y$  species,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{ClONO}_2$ , possess a lifetime between  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{HNO}_3$  and are linked chemically to them and so both OSIRIS  $\text{NO}_2$  and SMR  $\text{HNO}_3$  are used with a weighting determined by their respective uncertainties. The resultant

5  $\text{NO}_y$  formulation is;

$$\text{NO}_y^{\text{Odin}} = \text{NO}_2^{\text{OS}} + \text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}^*} + \text{NO}_2^{\text{OS}} \frac{\text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}}}{\text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}}} + \text{NO}_\alpha^{\text{Odin}} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{NO}_\alpha^{\text{Odin}} = \frac{1}{1/\sigma_{\text{SMR}}^2 + 1/\sigma_{\text{OS}}^2} \left( \frac{\text{NO}_\alpha^{\text{SMR}}}{\sigma_{\text{SMR}}^2} + \frac{\text{NO}_\alpha^{\text{OS}}}{\sigma_{\text{OS}}^2} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{NO}_\alpha^{\text{SMR}} = \text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}^*} \cdot \frac{2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{mod}} + \text{ClONO}_2^{\text{mod}}}{\text{HNO}_3^{\text{mod}}} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{NO}_\alpha^{\text{OS}} = \text{NO}_2^{\text{OS}} \cdot \frac{2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{mod}} + \text{ClONO}_2^{\text{mod}}}{\text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}}}, \quad (5)$$

10 where  $\sigma$  is the measurement uncertainty (smoothing error + measurement error), "mod" denotes modeled data as output from the photochemical box model, "OS" refers to OSIRIS and  $\text{NO}_\alpha$  is the sum of  $2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{ClONO}_2$ . It makes sense that measurements of  $\text{NO}_2$  are not used to estimate  $\text{HNO}_3$  and vice versa.

15 As previously mentioned, the University of California, Irvine photochemical (stacked) box model (Prather, 1992; McLinden et al., 2000) is used here to calculate the partitioning. The box model is constrained by the local OSIRIS ozone (Roth et al., 2007) and the ECMWF temperature and pressure of the measurement. The scaling is done in mixing ratios, where OSIRIS number density profiles are converted using local temperature and pressure information from ECMWF.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



While this theory (denoted *a*) is the most logical, additional candidates may be envisioned and it is worthwhile comparing them to the one described above. In total six additional formulations (*b* to *g*), outlined below, are assessed.

### 3.1.2 Merging theory b

5 This merging theory is based on the lifetime of the missing NO<sub>y</sub> species with OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> used to scale up the the short-lived NO and SMR HNO<sub>3</sub> for the longer lived ClONO<sub>2</sub>. As N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> possesses an intermediate lifetime it is split equally between the two:

$$\text{NO}_y^{\text{Odin}} = \text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}^*} \cdot \gamma_1 + \text{NO}_2^{\text{OS}} \cdot \gamma_2 \quad (6)$$

$$10 \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{\text{HNO}_3^{\text{mod}} + \text{ClONO}_2^{\text{mod}} + \text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{mod}}}{\text{HNO}_3^{\text{mod}}} \quad (7)$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\text{NO}^{\text{mod}} + \text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}} + \text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{mod}}}{\text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}}} \quad (8)$$

### 3.1.3 Merging theory c

Merging theory *c* is effectively a weighted average of total NO<sub>y</sub> from both instruments using information on the specific measurement uncertainty of the individual data sets:

$$15 \quad \text{NO}_y^{\text{Odin}} = \frac{1}{1/\sigma_{\text{SMR}}^2 + 1/\sigma_{\text{OS}}^2} \left( \frac{\text{NO}_y^{\text{SMR}}}{\sigma_{\text{SMR}}^2} + \frac{\text{NO}_y^{\text{OS}}}{\sigma_{\text{OS}}^2} \right) \quad (9)$$

$$\text{NO}_y^{\text{SMR}} = \text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}^*} \cdot \frac{\text{NO}_y^{\text{mod}}}{\text{HNO}_3^{\text{mod}}} \quad (10)$$

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



$$\text{NO}_y^{\text{OS}} = \text{NO}_2^{\text{OS}} \cdot \frac{\text{NO}_y^{\text{mod}}}{\text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}}} \quad (11)$$

This method gives greatest weight to the data with the smallest uncertainty which usually is OSIRIS at high altitudes and SMR at the HNO<sub>3</sub> peak, see Fig. 2.

### 3.1.4 Merging theory d

- 5 This is the most straight forward way to merge the two data sets where the sum of OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> and SMR HNO<sub>3</sub> concentrations is scaled up to total NO<sub>y</sub>:

$$\text{NO}_y^{\text{Odin}} = (\text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}^*} + \text{NO}_2^{\text{OS}}) \cdot \gamma \quad (12)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{\text{NO}_y^{\text{mod}}}{\text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}} + \text{HNO}_3^{\text{mod}}} \quad (13)$$

### 3.1.5 Merging theory e

- 10 Here, OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> is used to estimate all the NO<sub>y</sub> species except HNO<sub>3</sub> which is taken from the SMR measurements:

$$\text{NO}_y^{\text{Odin}} = \text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}^*} + \text{NO}_2^{\text{OS}} \cdot \gamma \quad (14)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{mod}} + \text{ClONO}_2^{\text{mod}} + \text{NO}^{\text{mod}} + \text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}}}{\text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}}} \quad (15)$$

- 15 This theory might be justified as the uncertainty of OSIRIS is usually lower than SMR and additionally does not possess a systematic bias.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





### 3.1.6 Merging theory f

Here only SMR HNO<sub>3</sub> data are used to scale to total NO<sub>y</sub>,

$$\text{NO}_y^{\text{Odin}} = \text{HNO}_3^{\text{SMR}} \frac{\text{NO}_y^{\text{mod}}}{\text{HNO}_3^{\text{mod}}} \quad (16)$$

### 3.1.7 Merging theory g

5 Finally, for completeness, only OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> data are used to scale to total NO<sub>y</sub>,

$$\text{NO}_y^{\text{Odin}} = \text{NO}_2^{\text{OS}} \frac{\text{NO}_y^{\text{mod}}}{\text{NO}_2^{\text{mod}}} \quad (17)$$

## 3.2 Evaluation of the merging theories

ACE-FTS solar occultation observations (see Sect. 2.2) of the big five NO<sub>y</sub> species are used to evaluate the different merging theories. This is done by studying the statistical differences between ACE-FTS and Odin coincident profiles, found within 4 h UT and 500 km of Odin measurements from 2003–2006. Occasions where one profile is inside the polar vortex and the other outside are discarded based on information on potential vorticity from ECMWF. The coincidences are divided into three latitude bands: southern latitudes (90° S ≤ lat < 30° S), equatorial latitudes (30° S ≤ lat ≤ 30° N) and northern latitudes (30° N < lat ≤ 90° N). This division limits the impact of different atmospheric conditions on the comparisons, including cloudiness and tropopause height. In addition, the data are divided into four seasons: November-December-January (N-D-J), February-March (F-M), April-May-June-July-August (A-M-J-J-A) and September-October (S-O). This division is logical when considering the OSIRIS latitude coverage over the year, where the Northern Hemisphere is covered between April and August, the Southern

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Hemisphere is covered from November to January and full global coverage is achieved only close to the equinoxes (S-O and F-M)

A statistical analysis is carried out when more than 10 coincidences are found within a latitude/season category (profiles and individual altitudes). Systematic differences are studied through the mean of the relative differences with respect to ACE:

$$\bar{\Delta}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{\text{Odin}_i(z) - \text{ACE}_i(z)}{\text{ACE}_i(z)} \right], \quad (18)$$

where  $\text{Odin}_i$  and  $\text{ACE}_i$  represent the Odin and ACE measurements of  $\text{NO}_y$ , respectively,  $n$  is the number of coincidences and  $z$  is the altitude. Random differences are studied using the standard deviation of the relative differences:

$$\sigma_{\Delta}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \frac{\text{Odin}_i(z) - \text{ACE}_i(z)}{\text{ACE}_i(z)} \right) - \bar{\Delta}(z) \right]^2} \quad (19)$$

The results from the different latitude/season bins indicate anomalous features in F-M northern latitudes, which happen to coincide with the bin where most of the coincidences occur and is probably related to additional uncertainties in the proximity of Arctic polar vortex. Approximately 400 coincidences were found outside F-M northern latitudes and 340 within. Outside the F-M northern latitudes, all of the  $\text{NO}_y$  theories, except  $f$  and  $g$  agree to ACE-FTS within 1.25 ppb (or 20%) systematically and 50% ( $1\sigma$ ) randomly above 20 km, see upper panel of Fig. 6. Evidently, using both Odin data sets to estimate  $\text{NO}_y$  is more accurate than using only one of them, however it is difficult to disentangle the skill of the different merging theories  $a$  to  $e$ . In the F-M northern latitude bin, the differences between the theories are more clear, see lower panel of Fig. 6. From these coincidences, it is concluded that theory  $a$  or  $b$  show the smallest systematic and random difference over the entire altitude range as compared to ACE-FTS observations. Note that theory  $g$  produces largest differences in this bin,

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



probably due to a combination of enhanced measurement uncertainties and difficulties in  $\text{NO}_y$  partitioning of the box model.

Less extreme outliers in theory *a* than *b* in some of the latitude/season bins (not shown) further justify choosing this theory for constructing the Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  product. Relative differences between theory *a* and ACE-FTS in all the latitude/season bins are shown in Fig. 7.

### 3.3 Creating the climatology

The climatology comprises monthly zonal mean and the  $1\sigma$  standard deviation (STD) of the Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  proxy data (theory *a*) from January 2002 to December 2006. It is expressed in number densities or volume mixing ratio as a function of latitude or equivalent latitude (EqL) on three different vertical grids as specified below:

– **Concentration:**

- Volume mixing ratio [ppb]
- Number density [molecules  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ]

– **Horizontal grid:**

- Latitude [deg]:  $90^\circ\text{S}$ – $90^\circ\text{N}$  in steps of 5
- EqL [deg]:  $90^\circ\text{S}$ – $90^\circ\text{N}$  in steps of 5

– **Vertical grid:**

- Altitude [km] : 14–46 in steps of 2
- Pressure [hPa]:  $10^3 \cdot 10^{-[\text{Altitude grid}]/16}$
- Potential temperature [K]: 450–1650 in steps of 75

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

This makes a 3 dimensional matrix with  $36 \times 17 \times 12$  grid cells, giving a total of 7344 elements. Note that the vertical grids chosen to correspond to equidistant altitudes which gives an exponential pressure grid. Conversions from number density to mixing ratio and from altitude to pressure or potential temperature are done using temperature and pressure data from ECMWF which are also used as a background atmosphere for the OSIRIS and SMR retrievals. Furthermore, EqLs are calculated for each vertical grid cell by using ECMWF potential vorticity data. Note that all conversions should be done on single profile basis, not on the climatological mean and STD, due to non-linearities.

In order to restrict the climatology to regions where most of the information comes from the measurement and not from the a priori, only Odin data with measurement response larger than 0.5 are used. Using a higher response threshold will reduce the vertical range. In addition, to obtain useful means and STDs, the minimum number of profiles in each grid cell is set to 15. Altogether around 200 000 profiles are used in the climatology. The use of Gaussian statistics is supported by the concentration distributions within the climatology bins (not shown).

### 3.4 Uncertainty estimates

It is crucial that the box model correctly describes the NO<sub>y</sub> partitioning. This issue is examined in Appendix A through comparisons with the JPL-MkIV interferometer. Much less important is the use of a correct NO<sub>y</sub> profile in the box model as to a first-order the partitioning is independent of the assumed NO<sub>y</sub>, as long as it is correct within 40% or so. This is illustrated in Fig. 4 which shows that the effect on NO<sub>y</sub> partitioning when the box model NO<sub>y</sub> is perturbed by scaling the entire profile by  $-0.4$  ( $-40\%$ ) to  $+0.4$  ( $+40\%$ ). Considering first an example at  $45^\circ$  N and 28 km (upper panel), the largest change in partitioning is for ClONO<sub>2</sub> which shifts by 0.04; NO and NO<sub>2</sub> are virtually unchanged, and changes of about 0.02 are found for HNO<sub>3</sub> and  $2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ . In lower panel, the maximum shift in partitioning between  $-0.4$  and  $+0.4$  over all five species (almost always ClONO<sub>2</sub>) is plotted as a function of latitude and altitude. Again maximum shifts are found to be about 0.04 or smaller. Hence, although the NO<sub>y</sub> input

is not believed to be entirely accurate this is not a major source of uncertainty in the Odin NO<sub>y</sub> data. Other sources of error in the photochemical box model such as an incomplete chemistry, errors in the photochemical rate data, or other model inputs will add uncertainties to the Odin NO<sub>y</sub> data. However, based on the comparisons presented in Appendix A, any systematic errors over the altitude range of interest (15–40 km) appear to be minor and so it is concluded that the modeled partitioning is not a large source of systematic error.

Measurement uncertainties (random and systematic) in the OSIRIS and SMR instruments will propagate through the merging process (Eqs. 2 to 5) in a non-trivial way. Also model uncertainties will add to this in a way that is not fully understood. No attempt has been made to theoretically estimate the total uncertainties of the Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> profiles. Instead, results from the ACE-FTS validation study, described in Sect. 3.2, are used to estimate the uncertainty. The mean of the relative difference between Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> and ACE observations is within 20% in all the latitude/season bins (see Fig. 7) which corresponds to around 1 ppb except for F-M northern latitudes below 25 km where it corresponds to around 2 ppb (not shown). The standard deviation of the mean difference is within 15% (1σ) if ignoring bins with very few coincidences and altitude below 22 km in A-M-J-J-A, see Fig. 7. These results indicate that the precision (random) and accuracy (systematic) of the Odin NO<sub>y</sub> proxy data is 15% and 20% respectively, if ACE is assumed to be unbiased with zero noise and no real atmospheric differences exist between the co-located Odin and ACE measurements. Since noise in the ACE measurement and atmospheric variability will add to the standard deviation of the differences, the actual Odin NO<sub>y</sub> precision is probably higher than the value given above although this is difficult to quantify.

Regarding the NO<sub>y</sub> climatological monthly means, the random uncertainty is almost entirely averaged out, leaving only the systematic uncertainty of 20%. The climatological STD is driven by natural NO<sub>y</sub> variability in conjunction with random uncertainties in the Odin measurements and in the photochemical box model. Thus, the climatological monthly STDs must be considered upper limit estimates of the true (atmospheric)

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## 4 Results and discussion

### 4.1 Odin climatology

The Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology generally covers the summer hemisphere but gives near-global coverage around the equinoxes (see Fig. 8). Furthermore, no information is available in the extreme 85° N to 90° N latitude bin due to Odin's orbit. This is not the case for the Southern Hemisphere where scheduled off-plane pointing provides enough data for the climatology. In altitude, the coverage is usually limited (due to low measurement response) to between 22 and 42 km at low latitudes and 20 to 40 km at high latitudes.

The monthly mean NO<sub>y</sub> fields, shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, show a peak (in mixing ratio) at around 38 km in the tropics and at around 30 km at mid-latitudes. Exceptions are the winter/spring polar regions where low concentrations are found throughout the stratosphere associated with descent of air in the vortex and heterogeneous denitrification processes on PSC particles at the lowest altitudes in the Antarctic vortex. The extremely low concentrations in September and October south of 60° S below 22 km corresponds to the region where PSCs are most frequently found (since June, July and August are not covered by OSIRIS). Possible signs of re-nitrification are also found when comparing the lowest altitudes of October and November during the southern polar spring. To conclude, the Odin proxy climatology seems consistent with the general understanding of the NO<sub>y</sub> chemistry as introduced in Sect. 1.

The monthly 1 $\sigma$  STD seen in Fig. 10 is generally between 10 and 30%, except for winter and spring high latitudes above 30 km where values are well above 40%. This is probably due to occasional downward transport of mesospheric NO<sub>x</sub>-rich air (see Sect. 4.3). Large STDs are also found in the tropical lower stratosphere, which are probably related to enhanced measurement uncertainties from water vapor, clouds

## Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and aerosol combined with very low  $\text{NO}_y$  abundances. Furthermore, the high STD inside the southern polar vortex can be understood from the inter-annual variability of denitrification.

The annual cycle (Fig. 8) is generally weak due to the long lifetime of  $\text{NO}_y$ . The only exception is found in the polar regions at low altitudes where denitrification occurs. The observed SMR  $\text{HNO}_3$  enhancement in middle/upper stratosphere of the polar winter hemisphere (Urban et al., 2007) can not be seen in the Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  data because OSIRIS does not make night-time measurements.

## 4.2 Comparisons with other $\text{NO}_y$ data sets

The Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  climatology is compared with output from the CMAM model and data sets from other instruments. As correlative ACE-FTS measurements have been utilized previously in the evaluation of the merging methodologies they are not presented here. It is noted, however, that the comparisons with ACE-FTS can be considered a form of validation as multiple merging methods (specifically *a*, *b*, and *e*) were found to be in acceptable agreement with ACE-FTS.

In general, the CMAM and Odin climatologies agree to within 20% or 2 ppb throughout the stratosphere, with CMAM generally larger than Odin in the upper stratosphere and smaller in the lower stratosphere. This is shown in Fig. 11. The major exceptions to the general good agreement occur in the polar regions. As discussed in Sect. 2.4 a numerical problem in the model simulation leads to unphysical  $\text{NO}_y$  values in a limited region southward of  $50^\circ$  S below 10 hPa from September to January. Hence the CMAM data is not shown in this region.

Above 10 hPa during the Antarctic spring (September), CMAM displays lower  $\text{NO}_y$  values than Odin, suggestive of too strong downward transport of air in the model. This is consistent with slightly warmer temperatures in CMAM in this as compared to other models and data assimilation products (Eyring et al., 2006). Note however that polar descent in CMAM during the winter season (June–August), which the Odin climatology does not cover, appear quite realistic (Eyring et al., 2006; Hegglin and Shepherd,

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2007). It is also well known that current CCMs, including CMAM, display a too late spring vortex breakup in the Southern Hemisphere, which could explain part of the smaller  $\text{NO}_y$  concentrations at high southern latitudes during November (Fig. 11). The CMAM data show very low  $\text{NO}_y$  levels in the lower southern polar vortex during winter (July), where  $\text{NO}_y$  is almost entirely removed from the gas phase by sequestering  $\text{HNO}_3$  in PSC particles. Unfortunately the Odin climatology does not cover this period, but low  $\text{NO}_y$  values in this region are still seen later in the season (September).

The differences in excess of 20% and 2 ppb in January at high northern latitudes are caused by the high degree of variation in this region. Considering the extensive inter-annual and decadal scale dynamical variability at the Northern Hemisphere high latitudes (see e.g. Butchart et al., 2000) and the relative shortness of the Odin data set, some disagreement can be expected here. The large relative differences in the tropical lower stratosphere (left lower panel of Fig. 11) are of little relevance since the  $\text{NO}_y$  concentrations are very small in this region and absolute differences (right lower panel) are reasonable. Note that CMAM data, in addition to the five species considered for the Odin climatology, also includes  $\text{BrONO}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}_3$  and  $\text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2$ . These gases, however, do not contribute significantly (0–2% in total) to the  $\text{NO}_y$  concentrations at the Odin daytime measurements (see Fig. 1).

Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  was also compared to measurements from the ATMOS experiment (Gunnson et al., 1996), a predecessor of the ACE-FTS. Like ACE, ATMOS is a Fourier-transform interferometer that measures solar absorption at a spectral resolution of  $0.01 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  between  $600$  and  $4800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . ATMOS flew on the space shuttle on four occasions (1985, 1992, 1993, and 1994) and collected about 350 occultations. While there is no temporal overlap between these two data sets, a comparison is still appropriate especially as ATMOS  $\text{NO}_y$  has become a de facto benchmark. ATMOS version 3 data is employed here (Irion et al., 2002). The  $\sim 15$  year difference between ATMOS and Odin should only amount to around 5% difference based on recent trends in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and model studies (McLinden et al., 2001). Due to the intermittent nature of the ATMOS observations there are only three months/latitudes in which sufficient data exist

Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





to provide meaningful averages. These are November, 40–50° N, March, 10° S–10° N, and March 40–50° S. ATMOS NO<sub>y</sub> was computed by simply adding together the big five NO<sub>y</sub> species, analogous to the procedure applied to ACE-FTS.

Figure 12 shows a comparison between the ATMOS mean NO<sub>y</sub> and the Odin NO<sub>y</sub> for each month/latitude mentioned above. Also included in the comparison are the CMAM mean NO<sub>y</sub> and the NO<sub>y</sub> used to initialize the box model (Olsen et al., 2001). In each case the four NO<sub>y</sub> sources show similar behavior but with ATMOS and Odin being the most consistent with the exception of some differences at 40 km for March, 10° S–10° N. Also, both CMAM and the box model NO<sub>y</sub> tend to be smaller in the lower stratosphere, particularly for March, 40–50° S, where CMAM is lower by ~3 ppb and the box model by up to 6 ppb than ATMOS and Odin.

Another method of evaluating the Odin NO<sub>y</sub> is to examine its correlation with N<sub>2</sub>O. It is well known that a compact relationship exists between N<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>y</sub> (Keim et al., 1997). A monthly-mean SMR N<sub>2</sub>O climatology was generated in a fashion analogous to the Odin NO<sub>y</sub>, using SMR version 2.1 data (considering only profiles with QUALITY FLAG=0 and individual points with a measurement response of 0.75 or larger) (Urban et al., 2005a,b, 2006). SMR N<sub>2</sub>O is thoroughly validated and is found to be in very good agreement with various correlative data sets (Urban et al., 2005a, 2006; Lambert et al., 2007; Strong et al., 2008). Figure 13 shows the N<sub>2</sub>O:NO<sub>y</sub> correlation derived from Odin and ATMOS data for two month/latitude bands. These expanded latitude bands, as compared to the profile comparison in Fig. 12, were chosen to incorporate additional ATMOS data into in the comparisons. Also plotted are N<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>y</sub> climatologies derived from numerous NASA ER-2 high altitude research aircraft flights (Strahan, 1999) although these data correspond only to the lower stratosphere (≤20 km). The overall relationships appear quite similar between the three data sets except at the lowest N<sub>2</sub>O values in March where some Odin NO<sub>y</sub> is seen to fall off faster than ATMOS. Some of this may be due to a sampling bias in the ATMOS data as a larger fraction of this data is in the mid-latitudes. The lower stratosphere (320 ppb < [N<sub>2</sub>O] < 150 ppb) correlation slopes of these N<sub>2</sub>O:NO<sub>y</sub> datasets, restricted to mid-latitudes to remove any sampling

Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



biases, have been calculated. For March, 50° S–30° S the Odin, ATMOS, and ER-2 values are  $-0.071$ ,  $-0.074$ , and  $-0.065$ , respectively. For November, 30° N–50° N, they are  $-0.072$ ,  $-0.073$ , and  $-0.067$ , respectively. These values, consistent with each other, are also in good agreement with other measurements (Olsen et al., 2001).

### 5 4.3 Odin NO<sub>y</sub> time series

While not a major focus of this paper, it is worthwhile examining the Odin NO<sub>y</sub> time series and its inter-annual variability. Figure 14 shows the five-year monthly-mean time series at altitudes of 22, 32, and 42 km. Note that the latitudinal coverage and the number of measurement days per month vary from year to year. Of particular interest is that all Northern-Hemisphere measurements in January emanate from 2003 when Odin was pointed off the orbital plane into sunlight.

There are also several NO<sub>y</sub> inter-annual differences. The most striking feature is the high altitude maximum at the northern polar latitudes in March and April 2004 (see the 42 km level in Fig. 14). This is evidence of enhanced NO<sub>x</sub> from the solar storms of October 2003 transported down from the mesosphere (e.g. Seppälä et al., 2004; Randall et al., 2005; Orsolini et al., 2005). The magnitude of this enhancement in the Odin data should be treated with caution since the NO<sub>x</sub> is mainly in NO and not in the measured NO<sub>2</sub> or HNO<sub>3</sub> at these altitudes (see Fig. 1). Also of note are frequent minimums at the southern polar latitudes around September–October due to the heterogenous removal of gas-phase NO<sub>y</sub>. Lowest minimum is found in 2006 and highest in 2002, consistent with the major Antarctic stratospheric warming that year (Ricaud et al., 2005). Furthermore, there is possibly a signal related to the QBO (quasi biennial oscillation) in the tropics at 32 km which seems correlated (in-phase) with SMR N<sub>2</sub>O observations (not shown), although this needs further investigation.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 4.4 Outlook

As mentioned before, the Odin proxy  $\text{NO}_y$  product may be useful in studies of the stratospheric nitrogen chemistry, as initialization to atmospheric models or to validate model outputs. The CMAM comparison in this study indicates a low bias in  $\text{NO}_y$  levels in the southern polar upper stratosphere during spring. In addition, the comparison has helped to identify a significant numerical problem in the treatment of  $\text{NO}_y$  in the presence of PSCs during the spring which could compromise the skill in predicting future atmospheric states, particularly the Antarctic ozone recovery (WMO, 2007; Shepherd and Randel, 2007).

Future work will include comparisons with several CCMs and CTMs (Chemical Transport Models) to study whether the CMAM inconsistencies is a common feature of atmospheric models. Improvements in the Odin/SMR  $\text{HNO}_3$  retrieval code related to identified spectroscopic and calibration issues should eliminate the need for systematic corrections and would eliminate the possibility of Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  being biased to ACE-FTS. Furthermore, SMR is making NO observations one day a month (since October 2003) and analysis and validation of this data product is ongoing. NO will be a welcomed addition to the proxy Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  climatology at high altitudes where the  $\text{NO}_2$  concentration is low, see Fig. 1.

## 5 Conclusions

Five years of OSIRIS  $\text{NO}_2$  and SMR  $\text{HNO}_3$  data from the Odin satellite have been merged to construct a stratospheric proxy  $\text{NO}_y$  product using a photochemical box model to compensate for the missing  $\text{NO}_y$  species. ACE-FTS observations of the big five  $\text{NO}_y$  species ( $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,  $2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{ClONO}_2$ ) are used to evaluate the merging method. The advantage of the Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  data set is higher temporal and spatial coverage compared to ACE occultation measurements.

Several approaches to merge  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{HNO}_3$  were studied. Best agreement bet

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

ween the Odin NO<sub>y</sub> proxy and ACE-FTS measurements was achieved when OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> is used to estimate NO and a weighted average of SMR HNO<sub>3</sub> and OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> is used to estimate N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and ClONO<sub>2</sub>. It is clearly shown that any way to merge the two Odin data sets gives a more accurate NO<sub>y</sub> product than using data from only one of the Odin instruments. SMR data were corrected for a known systematic high bias. The random and systematic uncertainties for an individual Odin NO<sub>y</sub> profile are estimated to be around 15 and 20% respectively.

The Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology is based on daytime measurements and contains monthly mean and standard deviation expressed as mixing ratio or number density as a function of latitude or equivalent latitude (5° bins) on 17 vertical layers (altitude, pressure or potential temperature) between 20 and 40 km. The coverage is generally limited to the summer hemisphere but gives near-global coverage around the equinoxes.

Monthly means show a maximum at around 38 km in the tropics and at around 30 km at latitudes higher than 30°. Exceptions are the winter/spring polar regions where low concentrations are found throughout the stratosphere associated with descent of air in the vortex and heterogeneous processes involving PSCs. The monthly 1σ STD is generally between 10 and 30%, except for winter and spring high latitudes above 30 km where values are well above 40%. The year to year variation reveals enhanced NO<sub>x</sub> in early 2004 at 42 km, emanating from the solar storms from October 2003. The slope of the Odin N<sub>2</sub>O:NO<sub>y</sub> correlation in the lower stratosphere (320 ppb < [N<sub>2</sub>O] < 150 ppb) was found to be -0.07, in good agreement with ATMOS and ER-2 measurements.

The agreement with CMAM simulated fields are within 20% or 2 ppb throughout most of the stratosphere except in the proximity of the polar vortex. Particularly, large disagreements within the Antarctic vortex indicate too strong descent of air in the upper stratosphere during the spring season in the model. A numerical problem in CMAM, leading to unphysically high NO<sub>y</sub> values southward of 50° S below 10 hPa from September to January, was also identified during the course of this study.

The combination of temporal and spatial coverage, length of data record, and accuracy and precision make Odin NO<sub>y</sub> a valuable product for process studies, model

assessments, and perhaps even trend analyses.

## 6 Data access

The NO<sub>y</sub> climatology can be freely downloaded through the OSIRIS web-site at University of Saskatchewan: <http://osirus.usask.ca> or directly provided by the main author  
5 S. Brohede ([samuel.brohede@chalmers.se](mailto:samuel.brohede@chalmers.se)).

## Appendix A

### A Uncertainty in the NO<sub>y</sub> partitioning of the photochemical box model

To evaluate the ability of the photochemical box model (see Sect. 5.2; [Brohede et al. \(2007a\)](#) and Appendix A; [Brohede et al., 2007c](#)) to correctly simulate the NO<sub>y</sub> partitioning, comparisons are made against profiles measured by the JPL MkIV interferometer on 10 balloon flights between 1997–2005. The MkIV interferometer is a high resolution (0.01 cm<sup>-1</sup>) Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR) spectrometer and is based largely on that of ATMOS ([Toon, 1991](#)). It measures sunlight transmitted through the atmosphere between 650 to 5650 cm<sup>-1</sup> and thus captures the entire mid-infrared. From these spectra, stratospheric profiles of over 30 trace species are retrieved at a vertical resolution of 2–3 km, including all important members of the NO<sub>y</sub> family ([Sen et al., 1998](#); [Osterman et al., 1999](#)). The MkIV is chosen for this purpose as it represents an independent, high-quality data set that has been analyzed extensively and used in numerous validation studies ([Toon et al., 2002](#)).  
10  
15  
20

To simulate a MkIV profile, the box model is initialized with the MkIV-measured neutral density, temperature, ozone, and NO<sub>y</sub> (calculated by summing the individual NO<sub>y</sub> species) profiles. The model latitude is taken from the latitude at the location of the MkIV 25 km tangent altitude. All other model inputs are as described in Sect. 2.3. The

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



MkIV and model abundances of the NO<sub>y</sub> family members are compared in Fig. A1. They are plotted on a linear scale to highlight the level of agreement at larger mixing ratios. For most flights, the agreement is very good with the model capturing the transition from HNO<sub>3</sub> being dominant in the lower stratosphere to NO<sub>x</sub> in the upper stratosphere. The two exceptions to this are in the polar winter on Julian days 337 and 350 above 25 km. In both cases the model predicts too much HNO<sub>3</sub>. This is likely due to the steady-state nature of the model which is unable to capture any air mass history. During this period, on the edge of the polar night, any air mass which is exposed to an appreciable amount of sunlight, especially in the upper stratosphere, will rapidly lose HNO<sub>3</sub> to the more reactive species. There is some evidence to support this hypothesis. Back trajectories using the HYSPLIT4 dispersion model (Rolph, 2003) for Julian day 350-the more extreme example-reveals that during the previous week the air mass was advected from lower latitudes and hence exposed to direct sunlight. In any event, the impact of this effect on the climatology should be mitigated as it is based on an average over many profiles. Moreover, OSIRIS does not sample the winter hemisphere which should avoid the worst of these periods.

Also plotted in Fig. A1 are the NO<sub>x</sub>/NO<sub>y</sub> ratios. This is an important quantity since of all the missing NO<sub>y</sub> species, NO is by far the most important and it is determined solely by NO<sub>2</sub>. Here too there is generally good agreement with the MkIV profiles with the obvious exception of Julian days 337 and 350 above 25 km.

*Acknowledgements.* This work is supported by the Swedish National Space Board and Chalmers University of Technology. Odin is a Swedish-led satellite project, funded jointly by Sweden (SNSB), Canada (CSA), Finland (Tekes) and France (CNES). The CMAM data in this paper were provided by the Canadian Stratospheric Processes and their Role in Climate Network (C-SPARC), funded by the Canadian Foundation for Climate and Atmospheric Sciences and the Canadian Space Agency. Funding for the ACE mission was provided primarily by the Canadian Space Agency (CSA) and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada. The authors gratefully acknowledge the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory (ARL) for the provision of the HYSPLIT transport and dispersion model and the READY website (<http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready.html>) used in this publication. NCEP reanalysis data

## References

- 5 Beagley, S. R., de Grandpré, J., Koshyk, J. N., McFarlane, N. A., and Shepherd, T. G.: Radiative-dynamical climatology of the first-generation Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model, *Atmos. Ocean*, 35, 293–331, 1997. [5856](#)
- 10 Bernath, P. F., McElroy, C. T., Abrams, M. C., Boone, C. D., Butler, M., Camy-Peyret, C., Carleer, M., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Colin, R., DeCola, P., DeMazie re, M., Drummond, J. R., Dufour, D., Evans, W. F. J., Fast, H., Fussen, D., Gilbert, K., Jennings, D. E., Llewellyn, E. J., Lowe, R. P., Mahieu, E., McConnell, J. C., McHugh, M., McLeod, S. D., Michaud, R., Midwinter, C., Nassar, R., Nichitiu, F., Nowlan, C., Rinsland, C. P., Rochon, Y. J., Rowlands, N., Semeniuk, K., Simon, P., Skelton, R., Sloan, J. J., Soucy, M.-A., Strong, K., Tremblay, P., Turnbull, D., Walker, K. A., Walkty, I., Wardle, D. A., Wehrle, V., Zander, R., and Zou, J.: Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE): Mission overview, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L15S01, doi:10.1029/2005GL022386, 2005. [5851](#), [5854](#)
- 15 Bourassa, A. E., Degenstein, D., Gattinger, R. L., and Llewellyn, E.: Stratospheric aerosol retrieval with optical spectrograph and infrared imaging system limb scatter measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D10217, doi:10.1029/2006JD008079, 2007. [5853](#)
- 20 Brasseur, G. and Remsberg, E.: Summary of the MMII Intercomparisons for Chemistry, in: Models and Measurements Intercomparison II, edited by Park, J. H., Ko, M. K. W., Jackman, C. H., Plumb, R. A., Kaye, J. A., and Sage, K. H., 190–306, NASA Langley Research Center, 1999. [5850](#)
- 25 Brohede, S., Haley, C. S., McLinden, C. A., Sioris, C. E., Murtagh, D. P., Petelina, S. V., Llewellyn, E. J., Bazureau, A., Goutail, F., Randall, C. E., Lumpe, J. D., Taha, G., Thomasson, L. W., and Gordley, L. L.: Validation of Odin/OSIRIS Stratospheric NO<sub>2</sub> Profiles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D07310, doi:10.1029/2006JD007586, 2007a. [5854](#), [5874](#)
- Brohede, S., Jones, A., and J gou, F.: Internal consistency in the Odin stratospheric ozone products, *Can. J. Phys.*, 85, 11, 1275–1285, doi:10.1139/P07-142, 2007b. [5854](#)
- Brohede, S., McLinden, C. A., Berthet, G., Haley, C. S., Murtagh, D., and Sioris, C. E.: A

## Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Stratospheric NO<sub>2</sub> Climatology from Odin/OSIRIS Limb-Scatter Measurement, *Can. J. Phys.*, 85, 11, 1253–1274, doi:10.1139/P07-141, 2007c. [5854](#), [5856](#), [5874](#)

Brühl, C., Steil, B., Stiller, G., Funke, B., and Jöckel, P.: Nitrogen compounds and ozone in the stratosphere: comparison of MIPAS satellite data with the chemistry climate model ECHAM5/MESy1, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5585–5598, 2007, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/5585/2007/>. [5850](#), [5851](#)

Butchart, N., Austin, J., Knight, J. R., Scaife, A. A., and Gallani, M. L.: The Response of the stratospheric climate to projected changes in the concentrations of well-mixed Greenhouse Gases from 1992 to 2051, *J. Climate*, 13, 2142–2159, 2000. [5869](#)

Danilin, M. Y. I., Koike, M., Yue, G. K., Jones, N. B., and Johnston, P. V.: Nitrogen species in the post-Pinatubo stratosphere: Model analysis utilizing UARS measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, D7, 8247–8262, doi:10.1029/1999JD900024, 1999. [5851](#)

de Grandpré, J., Sandilands, J. W., McConnell, J. C., Beagley, S. R., Croteau, P. C., and Danilin, M. Y.: Canadian middle atmosphere model: Preliminary results from the chemical transport module, *Atmos. Ocean*, 35, 4, 385–431, 1997. [5858](#)

de Grandpré, J., Beagley, S. R., Fomichev, V. I., Griffioen, E., McConnell, J. C., Medvedev, A. S., and Shepherd, T. G.: Results from the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 26 475–26 491, 2000. [5856](#)

Degenstein, D. A., Llewellyn, E. J., and Lloyd, N. D.: Volume emission rate tomography from a satellite platform, *Appl. Opt.*, 42, 8, 1441–1450, 2002. [5853](#)

Dibb, J. E., Scheuer, E., Avery, M., Plant, J., and Sachse, G.: In situ evidence for reinitiation in the Arctic lower stratosphere during the polar aura validation experiment (PAVE), *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 12, L12815, doi:10.1029/2006GL026243, 2006. [5850](#)

Eyring, V., Kinnison, D. E., and Shepherd, T. G.: Overview of planned coupled chemistry climate simulations to support upcoming ozone and climate assessments, *SPARC Newsletter*, 25, 11–17, 2005. [5856](#)

Eyring, V., Butchart, N., Waugh, D. W., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Bekki, S., Bodeker, G. E., Boville, B. A., Brühl, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Cordero, E., Dameris, M., Deushi, M., Fioletov, V. E., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Grewe, V., Jourdain, L., Kinnison, D. E., Mancini, E., Manzini, E., Marchand, M., Marsh, D. R., Nagashima, T., Newman, P. A., Nielsen, J. E., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., Schraner, M., Shepherd, T. G., Shibata, K., Stolarski, R. S., Struthers, H., Tian, W., and Yoshiki, M.: Assessment of temperature, trace species, and ozone in chemistry-climate model simulations of the recent



Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- past, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D22308, doi:10.1029/2006JD008281, 2006. [5868](#)
- Eyring, V., Waugh, D. W., and Bodeker, G. E.: Multimodel projections of stratospheric ozone in the 21st century, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D16303, doi:10.1029/2006JD008332, 2007. [5857](#)
- Fish, D. J., Roscoe, H. K., and Johnston, P. V.: Possible causes of stratospheric NO<sub>2</sub> trends observed at Lauder, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 3313–3316, doi:10.1029/2000GL011700, 2000. [5850](#)
- Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Bernsten, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Dorland, R. V.: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing, in: *Climate change 2007: The physical scientific basis*, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007. [5849](#)
- Frisk, U., Hagström, M., Ala-Laurinaho, J., Andersson, S., Berges, J.-C., Chabaud, J.-P., Dahlgren, M., Emrich, A., Florén, H.-G., Gredixon, M., Gaier, T., Haas, R., Hirvonen, T., Hjalmarsson, A., Jakobsson, B., Jukkala, P., Kildal, P. S., Kollberg, E., Lassing, J., Lecacheux, A., Lehikoinen, P., Lehto, A., Mallat, J., Marty, C., Michet, D., Narbonne, J., Nexon, M., Olberg, M., Olofsson, A. O. H., Olofsson, G., Origné, A., Petersson, M., Piironen, P., Pons, R., Pouliquen, D., Ristorcelli, I., Rosolen, C., Rouaix, G., Räisänen, A. V., Serra, G., Sjöberg, F., Stenmark, L., Torchinsky, S., Tuovinen, J., Ullberg, C., Vinterhav, E., Wadefalk, N., Zirath, H., Zimmermann, P., and Zimmermann, R.: The Odin satellite I. Radiometer design and test, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 402, L27–L34, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20030335, 2003. [5852](#), [5853](#)
- Gille, J. C. and Russel, J. M. I.: The Limb Infrared Monitor of the Stratosphere: experiment description, performance, and results, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89, D4, 5125–40, 1984. [5851](#)
- Gunson, M. R., Abbas, M. M., Abrams, M. C., Allen, M., Brown, L. R., Brown, T. L., Chang, A. Y., Goldman, A., Irion, F. W., Lowes, L. L., Mahieu, E., Manney, G. L., Michelsen, H. A., Newchurch, M. J., Rinsland, C. P., Salawitch, R. J., Stiller, G. P., Toon, G. C., Yung, Y. L., and Zander, R.: The Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) experiment: Deployment on the ATLAS Space Shuttle missions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 2333–2336, doi:10.1029/96GL01569, 1996. [5869](#)
- Haley, C. S. and Brohede, S.: Status of the Odin/OSIRIS Stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> Data Products, *Can. J. Phys.*, 85, 11, 1177–1194, doi:doi:10.1139/P07-114, 2007. [5853](#), [5854](#)
- Haley, C. S., Brohede, S. M., Sioris, C. E., Griffioen, E., Murtagh, D. P., McDade, I. C., Eriksson,

P., Llewellyn, E. J., Bazureau, A., and Goutail, F.: Retrievals of stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> profiles from Odin Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System (OSIRIS) limb-scattered sunlight measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D16303, doi:10.1029/2004JD004588, 2004. [5853](#)

5 Hegglin, M. I. and Shepherd, T. G.: O<sub>3</sub>-N<sub>2</sub>O correlations from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment: Revisiting a diagnostic of transport and chemistry in the stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D19301, doi:10.1029/2006JD008281, 2007. [5868](#)

Irion, F. W., Gunson, M. R., Toon, G. C., Chang, A. Y., Eldering, A., Mahieu, E., Manney, G. L., and Michelsen, H. A.: Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) Experiment Version 3 data retrievals, *Appl. Opt.*, 41, 33, 6968–6979, 2002. [5869](#)

10 Keim, E. R., Loewenstein, M., Podolske, J. R., Fahey, D. W., Gao, R. S., Woodbridge, E. L., Wamsley, R. C., Donnelly, S. G., Negro, L. A. D., Nevison, C. D., Solomon, S., Rosenlof, K. H., Scott, C. J., Ko, M. K. W., Weisenstein, D., and Chan, K. R.: Measurements of the NO<sub>y</sub>-N<sub>2</sub>O correlation in the lower stratosphere: Latitudinal and seasonal changes and model comparisons, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, D11, 13 193–13 212, doi:10.1029/96JD03921, 1997. [5870](#)

15 Kerzenmacher, T., Wolff, M. A., Strong, K., Dupuy, E., Walker, K. A., Amekudzi, L. K., Batchelor, R. L., Bernath, P. F., Berthet, G., Blumenstock, T., Boone, C. D., Bramstedt, K., Brognie, C., Brohede, S., Burrows, J. P., Catoire, V., Dodion, J., Drummond, J. R., Dufour, D. G., Funke, B., Fussen, D., Goutail, F., Griffith, D., Haley, C. S., Hendrick, F., Höpfner, M., Huret, N., Jones, N., Kar, J., Kramer, I., Llewellyn, E. J., López-Puertas, M., Manney, G., McElroy, C. T., McLinden, C., Melo, S., Mikuteit, S., Murtagh, D., Nichitiu, F., Notholt, J., Nowlan, C., Piccolo, C., Pommereau, J.-P., Randall, C., Richter, A., Schneider, M., Schrems, O., Silicani, M., Stiller, G. P., Taylor, J., Tétard, C., Tooney, M., Vanhellefont, F., Warneke, T., Zawodny, J. M., and Zou, J.: Validation of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> from Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE), *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 3027–3142, 2008, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/3027/2008/>. [5855](#)

20 Kleinböhl, A., Bremer, H., Küllmann, H., Kuttippurath, J., Browell, E. V., Canty, T., Salawitch, R. J., Toon, G. C., and Notholt, J.: Denitrification in the Arctic mid-winter 2004/2005 observed by airborne submillimeter radiometry, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L19811, doi:10.1029/2005GL023408, 2005. [5850](#)

25 Koelemeijer, R. B. A., de Haan, J. F., and Stammes, P.: A database of spectral surface reflectivity in the range 335–772 nm derived from 5.5 years of GOME observations, *J. Geophys.*

Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Res., 108, D2, 4070, doi:10.1029/2002JD002429, 2003. [5855](#), [5887](#)

Krecl, P., Haley, C. S., Stegman, J., Brohede, S. M., and Berthet, G.: Retrieving the vertical distribution of stratospheric OCIO from Odin/OSIRIS limb-scattered sunlight measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1879–1894, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/1879/2006/>.  
[5853](#)

Lambert, A., Read, W., Livesey, N., Santee, M., Manney, G., Froidevaux, L., Wu, D., Schwartz, M., Pumphrey, H., Jimenez, C., Nedoluha, G., Coeld, R., Cuddy, D., Daffer, W., Drouin, B., Fuller, R., Jarnot, R., Knosp, B., Pickett, H., Perun, V., Snyder, W., Stek, P., Thurstans, R., Wagner, P., Waters, J., Jucks, K., Toon, G., Stachnik, R., Bernath, P., Boone, C., Walker, K., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Elkins, J., and Atlas, E.: Validation of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder middle atmosphere water vapor and nitrous oxide measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D24S36, doi:10.1029/2007JD008724, 2007. [5870](#)

Liley, J. B., Johnston, P. V., McKenzie, R. L., Thomas, A. J., and Boyd, I. S.: Stratospheric NO<sub>2</sub> variations from long time series at Lauder, New Zealand, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, D9, 11 633–11 640, doi:10.1029/1999JD901157, 2000. [5850](#)

Llewellyn, E. J., Lloyd, N. D., Degenstein, D. A., Gattinger, R. L., Petelina, S. V., Bourassa, A. E., Wiensz, J. T., Ivanov, E. V., McDade, I. C., Solheim, B. H., McConnell, J. C., Haley, C. S., von Savigny, C., Sioris, C. E., McLinden, C. A., Evans, W. F. J., Puckrin, E., Strong, K., Wehrle, V., Hum, R. H., Kendall, D. J. W., Matsushita, J., Murtagh, D. P., Brohede, S., Stegman, J., Witt, G., Barnes, G., Payne, W. F., Piché, L., Smith, K., Warshaw, G., Deslauniers, D. L., Marchand, P., Richardson, E. H., King, R. A., Wever, I., McCreath, W., Kyrölä, E., Oikarinen, L., Leppelmeier, G. W., Auvinen, H., Mégie, G., Hauchecorne, A., Lefèvre, F., de La Noë, J., Ricaud, P., Frisk, U., Sjöberg, F., von Schéele, F., and Nordh, L.: The OSIRIS Instrument on the Odin Spacecraft, *Can. J. Phys.*, 82, 411–422, 2004. [5852](#)

McLinden, C. A., Olsen, S., Hannegan, B., Wild, O., Prather, M. J., and Sundet, J.: Stratospheric ozone in 3-D models: A simple chemistry and the cross-tropopause flux, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, D11, 14 653–14 665, 2000. [5855](#), [5859](#)

McLinden, C. A., Olsen, S., Prather, M. J., and Liley, J. B.: Understanding trends in stratospheric NO<sub>y</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, D21, 27 787–27 793, 2001. [5850](#), [5869](#)

Murtagh, D., Frisk, U., Merino, F., Ridal, M., Jonsson, A., Stegman, J., Witt, G., Eriksson, P., Jiménez, C., Megie, G., de La Noë, J., Ricaud, P., Baron, P., Pardo, J. R., Hauchcorne, A., Llewellyn, E. J., Degenstein, D. A., Gattinger, R. L., Lloyd, N. D., Evans, W. F. J., McDade, I. C., Haley, C., Sioris, C., von Savigny, C., Solheim, B. H., McConnell, J. C., Strong,

Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- K., Richardson, E. H., Leppelmeier, G. W., Kyrölä, E., Auvinen, H., and Oikarinen, L.: An overview of the Odin atmospheric mission, *Can. J. Phys.*, 80, 309–319, 2002. [5852](#), [5853](#)
- Nevison, C. D., Solomon, S., and Garcia, R. R.: Model overestimates of NO<sub>y</sub> in the upper stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 7, 803–806, doi:10.1029/97GL00549, 1997. [5849](#)
- 5 Olsen, S., McLinden, C. A., and Prather, M. J.: Stratospheric N<sub>2</sub>O-NO<sub>y</sub> system: Testing uncertainties in a 3-D framework, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 28 771–28 784, 2001. [5855](#), [5870](#), [5871](#), [5887](#)
- Orsolini, Y., Manney, G., Santee, M., and Randall, C.: An upper stratospheric layer of enhanced HNO<sub>3</sub> following exceptional solar storms, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L12S01, doi:10.1029/2004GL021588, 2005. [5871](#)
- 10 Osterman, G. B., Sen, B., Toon, G. C., Salawitch, R. J., Margitan, J. J., Blavier, J.-F., Fahey, D. W., and Gao, R. S.: Partitioning of NO<sub>y</sub> species in the summer Arctic stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 8, 1157–1160, 1999. [5874](#)
- Platt, U.: Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS), in: *Air monitoring by Spectroscopic Techniques*, edited by Sigrist, M., 27–84, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1994. [5854](#)
- 15 Prather, M. J.: Catastrophic loss of stratospheric ozone in dense volcanic clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, D9, 10 187–10 191, 1992. [5855](#), [5859](#)
- Qin, G.: Odd nitrogen observations by ACE-FTS, Master's thesis, York University, Toronto, January 2007. [5855](#)
- 20 Randall, C. E., Harvey, V. L., Manney, G. L., Orsolini, Y., Codrescu, M., Sioris, C., Brohede, S., Haley, C. S., Gordley, L. L., Zawodny, J. M., and III, J. M. R.: Stratospheric effects of energetic particle precipitation in 2003–2004, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L05802, doi:10.1029/2004GL022003, 2005. [5849](#), [5871](#)
- Randall, C. E., Harvey, V. L., Singleton, C. S., Bailey, S. M., Bernath, P. F., Codrescu, M., Nakajima, H., and II, J. M. R.: Energetic particle precipitation effects on the Southern Hemisphere stratosphere in 1992–2005, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D08308, doi:10.1029/2006JD007696, 2007. [5849](#)
- 25 Ricaud, P., Lefèvre, F., Berthet, G., Murtagh, D., Llewellyn, E. J., Mégie, G., Kyrölä, E., Leppelmeier, W., Auvinen, H., Boone, C., Brohede, S., Degenstein, D. A., de La Noë, J., Dupuy, E., El Amraoui, L., Eriksson, P., Evans, W. F. J., Frisk, U., Gattinger, R. L., Girod, F., Haley, C. S., Hassinen, S., Hauchecorne, A., Jiménez, C., Kyrö, E., Lautié, N., Le Flochmoën, E., Lloyd, N. D., McConnell, J. C., McDade, I. C., Nordh, L., Olberg, M., Petelina, S. V., Sandqvist, A., Seppälä, A., Sioris, C. E., Solheim, B. H., Stegman, J., Strong, K., Taalas,
- 30

Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- P., Urban, J., von Savigny, C., von Scheele, F., and Witt, G.: Polar vortex evolution during the 2002 Antarctic major warming as observed by the Odin satellite, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D05302, doi:10.1029/2004JD005018, 2005. [5871](#)
- Roth, C. Z., Degenstein, D. A., Bourassa, A. E., and Llewellyn, E. J.: The retrieval of vertical profiles of the ozone number density using Chappuis band absorption information and a multiplicative algebraic reconstruction technique, *Can. J. Phys.*, 85, 11, 1225–1243, doi:10.1139/P07-130, 2007. [5855](#), [5859](#)
- Russell, J. M. III., Farmer, C. B., Rinsland, C. P., Zander, R., Froidevaux, L., Toon, G. C., Gao, B., Shaw, J., and Gunsen, M.: Measurements of odd nitrogen compounds in the stratosphere by the ATMOS experiment on Spacelab 3, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, D2, 1718–1736, 1988. [5851](#)
- Santee, M., Lambert, A., Read, W., Livesey, N., Coeld, R., Cuddy, D., Daffer, W., Drouin, B., Froidevaux, L., Fuller, R., Jarnot, R., Knosp, B., Manney, G., Perun, V., Snyder, W., Stek, P., Thurstans, R., Wagner, P., Waters, J., Muscari, G., de Zafra, R., Dibb, J., Fahey, D., Popp, P., Marcy, T., Jucks, K., Toon, G., Stachnik, R., Bernath, P., Boone, C., Walker, K., Urban, J., and Murtagh, D.: Validation of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder HNO<sub>3</sub> Measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D24S40, doi:10.1029/2007JD008721, 2007. [5853](#)
- Sen, B., Toon, G. C., Osterman, G. B., Blavier, J.-F., Margitan, J. J., Salawitch, R. J., and Yue, G. K.: Measurements of reactive nitrogen in the stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, D3, 2781–2784, doi:10.1029/97JD02468, 1998. [5874](#)
- Seppälä, A., Verronen, P. T., Kyrölä, E., Hassinen, S., Backman, L., Hauchecorne, A., Bertaux, J. L., and Fussen, D.: Solar proton events of October–November 2003: Ozone depletion in the Northern Hemisphere polar winter as seen by GOMOS/Envisat, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L19107, doi:10.1029/2004GL021042, 2004. [5871](#)
- Seppälä, A., Verronen, P. T., Clilverd, M. A., Randall, C. E., Tamminen, J., Sofieva, V., Backman, L., and Kyrölä, E.: Arctic and Antarctic polar winter NO<sub>x</sub> and energetic particle precipitation in 2002–2006, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L12810, doi:10.1029/2007GL029733, 2007. [5849](#)
- Shepherd, T. G. and Randel, W. J.: Key issues arising from the 2006 WMO/UNEP Ozone Assessment, *SPARC Newsletter*, 29, 20–22, 2007. [5872](#)
- Strahan, S. E.: Climatologies of lower stratospheric NO<sub>y</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> and correlations with N<sub>2</sub>O based on in situ observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, D23, 2195–2208, doi:10.1029/1999JD900775, 1999. [5870](#)
- Strong, K., Wolff, M., Kerzenmacher, T., Walker, K., Bernath, P., Blumenstock, T., Boone, C., Catoire, V., Coffey, M., Mazière, M. D., Demoulin, P., Duchatelet, P., Dupuy, E., Hannigan,

Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- J., Höpfner, M., Glatthor, N., Griffith, D., Jin, J., Jones, N., Jucks, K., Kuellmann, H., Kuttipurath, J., Lambert, A., Mahieu, E., McConnell, J., Mellqvist, J., Mikuteit, S., Murtagh, D., Notholt, J., Piccolo, C., Robert, C., Schneider, M., Schrems, O., Semeniuk, K., Senten, C., Stiller, G., Strandberg, A., Taylor, J., Têtard, C., Toohey, M., Urban, J., Warneke, T., and Wood, S.: Validation of ACE-FTS N<sub>2</sub>O measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 3597–3663, 2008, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/3597/2008/>. 5870
- Toon, G., Sen, B., Blavier, J., Sasano, Y., Yokota, T., Kanzawa, H., Ogawa, T., Suzuki, M., and Shibasaki, K.: Comparison of Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer and MkIV profiles of atmospheric trace gases measured above Alaska in May 1997, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, D24, 8211, doi:10.1029/2001JD000640, 2002. 5874
- Toon, G. C.: The JPL MkIV Interferometer, *Optics and Photonics News*, 2, 19–21, 1991. 5874
- Urban, J., Lautié, N., Flochmoën, E. L., Jiménez, C., Eriksson, P., de La Noë, J., Dupuy, E., Amraoui, L. E., Frisk, U., Jégou, F., Murtagh, D., Olberg, M., Ricaud, P., Camy-Peyret, C., Dufour, G., Payan, S., Huret, N., Pirre, M., Robinson, A. D., Harris, N. R. P., Bremer, H., Kleinböhl, A., Küllmann, K., Künzi, K., Kuttippurath, J., Ejiri, M. K., Nakajima, H., Sasano, Y., Sugita, T., Yokota, T., Piccolo, C., Raspollini, P., and Ridolfi, M.: Odin/SMR limb observations of stratospheric trace gases: Validation of N<sub>2</sub>O, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D09301, doi:10.1029/2004JD005394, 2005a. 5870
- Urban, J., Lautié, N., Le Flochmoën, E., Jiménez, C., Eriksson, P., Dupuy, E., El Amraoui, L., Ekström, M., Frisk, U., Murtagh, D., de La Noë, J., Olberg, M., and Ricaud, P.: Odin/SMR Limb Observations of Stratospheric Trace Gases: Level 2 Processing of ClO, N<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>3</sub>, and HNO<sub>3</sub>, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D14307, doi:10.1029/2004JD005741, 2005b. 5870
- Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Lautié, N., Barret, B., Dupuy, E., de La Noë, J., Eriksson, P., Frisk, U., Jones, A., Le Flochmoën, E., Olberg, M., Piccolo, C., Ricaud, P., and Rösevall, J.: Odin/SMR Limb Observations of Trace Gases in the Polar Lower Stratosphere during 2004–2005, in: *Proc. ESA First Atmospheric Science Conference*, 8–12 May 2006, Frascati, Italy, edited by: Lacoste, H., ESA-SP-628, European Space Agency publications, European Space Agency, ISBN-92-9092-939-1, ISSN-1609-042X, 2006. 5853, 5870
- Urban, J., Pommier, M., Murtagh, D., Eriksson, P., and Ricaud, P.: Odin/SMR Limb Observations of Nitric Acid in the Stratosphere, in: *Proc. ESA Envisat Symposium*, 23–27 April 2007, Montreux, Switzerland, edited by: Lacoste, H., ESA-SP-636, European Space Agency publications, European Space Agency, 2007. 5853, 5868
- Vitt, F. M., Cravens, T. E., and Jackman, C. H.: A two-dimensional model of thermospheric nitric



Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



oxide sources and their contributions to the middle atmospheric chemical balance, *J. Atm. Solar-Terr. Phys.*, 62, 8, 653–667, doi:10.1016/S1364-6826(00)00049-3, 2000. [5849](#)


von Savigny, C., Haley, C. S., Sioris, C. E., McDade, I. C., Llewellyn, E. J., Degenstein, D., Evans, W. F. J., Gattinger, R. L., Griffioen, E., Kyrölä, E., Lloyd, N. D., McConnell, J. C., McLinden, C. A., Mégie, G., Murtagh, D. P., Solheim, B., and Strong, K.: Stratospheric ozone profiles retrieved from limb scattered sunlight radiance spectra measured by the OSIRIS instrument on the Odin satellite, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 14, 1755, doi:10.1029/2002GL016401, 2003. [5853](#)

Wang, D., Höpfner, M., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Stiller, G., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Blumenstock, T., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Hase, F., Kellmann, S., Linden, A., Milz, M., Oelhaf, H., Schneider, M., Steck, T., Wetzels, G., Lopez-Puertas, M., Funke, B., Koukouli, M., Nakajima, H., Sugita, T., Irie, H., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Santee, M., Toon, G., Gunson, M., Irion, F., Boone, C., Walker, K., and Bernath, P.: Validation of nitric acid retrieved by the IMK-IAA processor from MIPAS/ENVISAT measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 721–738, 2007a, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/721/2007/>. [5853](#)

Wang, D., Höpfner, M., Blom, C., Ward, W., Fischer, H., Blumenstock, T., Hase, F., Keim, C., Liu, G., Mikuteit, S., Oelhaf, H., Wetzels, G., Cortesi, U., Mencaraglia, F., Bianchini, G., Redaelli, G., Pirre, M., Catoire, V., Huret, N., Vigouroux, C., Mazière, M. D., and P. Demoulin, E. M., Wood, S., Smale, D., Jones, N., Nakajima, H., Sugita, T., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Boone, C., Bernath, P., Walker, K., Kuttippurath, J., Kleinböhl, A., Toon, G., and Piccolo, C.: Validation of MIPAS HNO<sub>3</sub> operational data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4905–4934, 2007b, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/4905/2007/>. [5853](#)

WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion:2006, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report, 50, 2007. [5872](#)

Wolff, M. A., Kerzenmacher, T., Strong, K., Walker, K. A., Toohey, M., Dupuy, E., Bernath, P., Boone, C., Brohede, S., Catoire, V., von Clarmann, T., Coffey, M., Mazière, M. D., Duchatelet, P., Glatthor, N., Griffith, D., Hannigan, J., Hase, F., Höpfner, M., Huret, N., Jones, N., Jucks, K., Kagawa, A., Kasai, Y., Kramer, I., Küllmann, H., Kuttippurath, J., Mahieu, E., Manney, G., McLinden, C., Mébarki, Y., Mikuteit, S., Murtagh, D., Piccolo, C., Ruhnke, R., Santee, M., Senten, C., Smale, D., Tétard, C., Urban, J., and Wood, S.: Validation of HNO<sub>3</sub>, ClONO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS), *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 2429–2512, 2008, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/2429/2008/>. [5855](#)



**Fig. 1.** NO<sub>y</sub> partitioning and total NO<sub>y</sub> (thick solid line) given by the University of California, Irvine, photochemical box model. The profiles correspond to average concentrations for simulations given at all OSIRIS measurement locations 2002–2006 (a). Panel (b) gives the ratio of the big five (NO+NO<sub>2</sub>+HNO<sub>3</sub>+2×N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>+ClONO<sub>2</sub>) to the total NO<sub>y</sub> expressed as mean (line) and 1σ standard deviation (error bars). Panel (c) shows the ratio of the Odin NO<sub>y</sub> species (NO<sub>2</sub>+HNO<sub>3</sub>) to the concentration of the big five expressed as mean (line).

## Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion








**Fig. 2.** Average characteristics of the Odin data sets. Upper panel is showing OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> characteristics and the lower SMR HNO<sub>3</sub>. **(a)** median mixing ratio profile (black line) and an ensemble of profiles (gray lines), **(b)** median absolute retrieval error (black line) and an ensemble of error profiles (gray lines), **(c)** median relative retrieval error (black line) and an ensemble of error profiles (gray lines), **(d)** median measurement response (black line) and an ensemble of measurement response profiles (gray lines), **(e)** median altitude resolution (spread of the averaging kernels) (black line) and an ensemble of resolution profiles (gray lines). The medians are based on Odin data from every tenth orbit (January 2002 to December 2006) and the ensemble profiles correspond to every thousandth measurement. No information on the individual profile altitude resolution is given in the SMR data set, but corresponds to around 1.7–2.0 km below 30 km and degrading above. Note that the SMR and OSIRIS altitude scales are not identical.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.



**Fig. 3.** Sketch of the method to construct the Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub>. OSIRIS NO<sub>2</sub> and SMR HNO<sub>3</sub> are merged by using a photochemical box model to compensate for the missing species (NO, ClONO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>). ACE-FTS observations are used to evaluate the merging theory and to estimate uncertainties. The photochemical box model is fed by local OSIRIS O<sub>3</sub>, temperature and pressure from ECMWF, albedo from the GOME monthly-mean climatology (Koelemeijer et al., 2003), NO<sub>y</sub> from a three-dimensional chemical transport model (Olsen et al., 2001) and Cl<sub>y</sub> and Br<sub>y</sub> from tracer correlations with N<sub>2</sub>O.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back


Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version


Interactive Discussion






**Fig. 4.** Upper panel: Fraction of the five main NO<sub>y</sub> species as computed in the photochemical box model as a function of the fraction of the perturbation of the total NO<sub>y</sub> box model input, relative to the standard NO<sub>y</sub> profile at 28 km, 45° N for 1 March. Lower panel: Maximum difference in NO<sub>y</sub> fraction between a +0.4 and a -0.4 perturbation, where the maximum is largest absolute difference of all NO<sub>y</sub> species, for 1 March. Local solar times of the calculations simulate those of Odin's orbit on the descending (AM) node.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




**Fig. 5.** Scatter plot of SMR versus ACE-FTS HNO<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios for all daylight coincident measurements 2002–2006 (grey dots). The systematic bias can be compensated for by a second order fit to the data (black line) with the corresponding equation (after applying a 1 km shift).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




**Fig. 6.** Comparisons of the Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> theories (see Sect. 3.1) and ACE-FTS observations of the total (big five) NO<sub>y</sub> species for all latitudes and seasons (upper panel) and Northern Hemisphere in February and March (lower panel). Column (a) shows the mean mixing ratio profiles, (b) the mean of the absolute individual differences (Odin-ACE), (c) the mean of the relative individual differences (Odin-ACE)/ACE and (d) the 1 $\sigma$  relative standard deviation of the individual differences.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


**Fig. 7.** NO<sub>y</sub> results from Odin and ACE coincidences in 2004–2006, divided into 12 latitude/season bins and expressed as the mean (solid line) and 1 $\sigma$  standard deviation (error bars) of the relative differences (Odin-ACE/ACE). The average solar zenith angle (SZA) and solar scattering angle (SSA) are given together with the number of coincidences ( $n$ ) in each bin.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Fig. 8.** Annual variation of NO<sub>y</sub> mean volume mixing ratio [ppb] (upper plot) and  $1\sigma$  standard deviation [%] (lower plot) of the Odin proxy climatology at three different altitude levels as a function of latitude [deg]. White regions represent periods and regions without data coverage.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



**Fig. 9.** Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> monthly mean mixing ratios [ppb] as a function of latitude [deg] and altitude [km]. White regions represent no data.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)





**Fig. 10.** Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> monthly  $1\sigma$  standard deviations in percent as a function of latitude [deg] and altitude [km]. White regions represent no data.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




**Fig. 11.** CMAM simulated NO<sub>y</sub> mixing ratios (ensemble average) [upper left panel] and Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> climatology [upper right panel] as a function of latitude [deg] and pressure [hPa] for six selected months. Difference between the Odin and CMAM climatologies in percent [lower left panel] and absolute numbers [lower right panel]. CMAM data in the region southward of 50°S below 10 hPa during September to January are greyed out due to a numerical problem in the model (see Sect. 2.4)

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.




**Fig. 12.** Mean NO<sub>y</sub> profiles for three month/latitudes of Odin proxy (black thick line), ATMOS observation (grey thick line), CMAM simulations (dashed line) and the NO<sub>y</sub> used to initialize the photochemical box model (boxes). The error bars indicate the 1σ standard deviation for the ATMOS and Odin data sets.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Odin  $\text{NO}_y$  climatology

S. Brohede et al.




**Fig. 13.**  $\text{N}_2\text{O}:\text{NO}_y$  correlation of the Odin proxy  $\text{NO}_y$  and SMR  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  data (black dots), ATMOS observations (grey dots) and ER-2 flight measurements (crosses) for two different months and latitude bands. Note that ER-2 only gives low altitude data.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)


Odin NO<sub>y</sub> climatology

S. Brohede et al.



**Fig. 14.** Odin proxy NO<sub>y</sub> monthly mean mixing ratios [ppb] from January 2002 to December 2006 at 22, 32 and 42 km. White regions represent periods and regions without data coverage.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



**Fig. A1.** Comparison of photochemical box model output (boxes) with MkIV balloon measurements (solid lines) of the big five NO<sub>y</sub> species (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, 2N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, ClONO<sub>2</sub>) and NO<sub>x</sub>/NO<sub>y</sub> ratios for 10 flights between 1997–2005. The box model is initialized with the MkIV-measured neutral density, temperature, ozone, and NO<sub>y</sub> profiles.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)
