

Including the sub-grid scale plume rise of vegetation fires in low resolution atmospheric transport models

S. R. Freitas, K. M. Longo, R. Chatfield, D. Latham, M. A. F. Silva Dias, M. O. Andreae, E. Prins, J. C. Santos, R. Gielow, J. A. Carvalho Jr.

▶ To cite this version:

S. R. Freitas, K. M. Longo, R. Chatfield, D. Latham, M. A. F. Silva Dias, et al.. Including the subgrid scale plume rise of vegetation fires in low resolution atmospheric transport models. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 2006, 6 (6), pp.11521-11559. hal-00303953

HAL Id: hal-00303953 https://hal.science/hal-00303953

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 11521–11559, 2006 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/6/11521/2006/ © Author(s) 2006. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.



Including the sub-grid scale plume rise of vegetation fires in low resolution atmospheric transport models

S. R. Freitas¹, K. M. Longo¹, R. Chatfield², D. Latham³, M. A. F. Silva Dias^{1,7}, M. O. Andreae⁴, E. Prins⁵, J. C. Santos⁶, R. Gielow¹, and J. A. Carvalho Jr.⁸

¹Center for Weather Forecasting and Climate Studies, INPE, Cachoeira Paulista, Brazil
 ²NASA Ames Research Center, Moffet Field, USA
 ³USDA Forest Service, Montana, USA
 ⁴Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany
 ⁵UW-Madison Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies, Madison, WI, USA
 ⁶Laboratório de Combustão e Propulsão, INPE, Cachoeira Paulista, Brazil
 ⁷Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of São Paulo, Brazil
 ⁸FEG/UNESP, Guaratinguetá, SP, Brazil

Received: 30 October 2006 - Accepted: 12 November 2006 - Published: 17 November 2006

Correspondence to: S. R. Freitas (sfreitas@cptec.inpe.br)

Abstract

We describe and begin to evaluate a parameterization to include the vertical transport of hot gases and particles emitted from biomass burning in low resolution atmosphericchemistry transport models. This sub-grid transport mechanism is simulated by em-

- ⁵ bedding a 1-D cloud-resolving model with appropriate lower boundary conditions in each column of the 3-D host model. Through assimilation of remote sensing fire products, we recognize which columns have fires. Using a land use dataset appropriate fire properties are selected. The host model provides the environmental conditions, allowing the plume rise to be simulated explicitly. The derived height of the plume is then used in the source emission field of the host model to determine the effective injection
- ¹⁰ used in the source emission held of the host model to determine the elective injection height, releasing the material emitted during the flaming phase at this height. Model results are compared with CO aircraft profiles from an Amazon basin field campaign and with satellite data, showing the huge impact that this mechanism has on model performance. We also show the relative role of each main vertical transport mechonisme aballate and doop maint compaction and the pure compaction (dry or maint).
- anisms, shallow and deep moist convection and the pyro-convection (dry or moist) induced by vegetation fires, on the distribution of biomass burning CO emissions in the troposphere.

1 Introduction

The high concentrations of aerosol particles and trace gases observed in the Amazon and Central Brazilian atmosphere during the dry season are associated with intense anthropogenic biomass burning activity (vegetation fires, Andreae, 1991). Most of the particles are in the fine particle fraction of the size distribution, which can remain in the atmosphere for approximately a week (Kaufman, 1995; Reid et al., 2005). In addition to aerosol particles, biomass burning produces water vapor and carbon dioxide, and is a major source of other compounds such as carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides (NO_x =NO+NO₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂) and organic halo-



gen compounds. In the presence of abundant solar radiation and high concentrations of NO_x , the oxidation of CO and hydrocarbons typically causes ozone (O_3) formation. In spite of the continuous increase in computing power, we are still far from the capability of running atmospheric models, whether including chemistry or not, that take into account explicitly all relevant motion scales. Therefore, current atmospheric chem-

- Into account explicitly all relevant motion scales. Therefore, current atmospheric chemistry models use several types of parameterizations in order to include the sub-grid processes to resolve the mass continuity equation of the transported species. The most common sub-grid transport parameterizations include diffusion in the boundary layer and convective transport associated with moist convection. However, for biomass
- ¹⁰ burning emissions the strong updrafts associated with the initial buoyancy can have a huge impact on tracer distribution through a direct and rapid transport into the free troposphere as well as the stratosphere (Fromm et al., 2000; Fromm and Servranckx, 2003; Jost et al., 2004; Rosenfeld et al., 2006). This mechanism cannot be resolved explicitly by the current large-scale models and it is frequently ignored. However, Li-
- ¹⁵ ousse et al. (1996) in their global model studies of carbonaceous aerosols, showed that the predicted concentrations in remote areas are extremely dependent on the height of injection of the aerosols, among others factors. Chatfield and Delany (1990) and Poppe et al. (1998) demonstrated that due to the nonlinearity of ozone production, the rate of ozone formation is influenced by atmospheric dilution and transport. Consequently, the
- ²⁰ plume rise mechanism plays an important role. In the absence of this mechanism, the pyrogenic emissions often are released at the surface in the model, or vertically distributed in a arbitrary way (Turquety et al., 2006¹) or using some empirical relationship between the injection height and fire intensity (Lavoué et al., 2000; Wang et al, 2005).

Several authors presented work on numerical simulation of smoke transport associ-²⁵ ated with urban, wildland and slash fires. Penner et al. (1986) performed simulations

ACPD 6, 11521-11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

¹Turquety, S., Logan, J., Jacob, D., Hudman, R., Leung, F., Heald, C., Yantosca, R., Wu, S., Emmons, L., Edwards, D., and Sachse, G.: Inventory of boreal fire emissions for North America in 2004: the importance of peat burning and pyro-convective injection, J. Geophys. Res., submitted, 2006.

of smoke distribution above large fires using a compressible and nonhydrostatic model including water vapor condensation. The authors found that the height of smoke depends on the environmental conditions (stability, the amount the water vapor and the wind speeds), as well as the heat flux. Similar results were also found by Small and Heikes (1988). However, for small fires (radius <1 km) Heikes et al. (1990) found that the plume rise is mostly controlled by total heat release (the heat flux spatially integrated) and by the entrainment of environmental air into the plume. Trentmann et al.

- (2002, hereafter T2002) applied the ATHAM plume model successfully to simulate the dynamical evolution of the plume from the Quinault prescribed fire on the Pacific Coast
 of Washington State (USA). The fire burned 19.4 ha with maximum convective heat flux around 3 GW. The ATHAM model reproduced guite well the injection height (250, 600 m)
- around 3 GW. The ATHAM model reproduced quite well the injection height (250–600 m above the surface in a stable maritime influenced flow) and the horizontal extent of the plume (~4 km). More recently, Luderer et al. (2006) and Trentmann et al. (2006) used the ATHAM model to perform 3-D simulations and sensitivity studies on the smoke in-
- jection into the lower stratosphere by the Chisholm forest fire in Canada in May 2001. Coupled atmosphere-fire models have been proposed by several authors (Clark et al., 1996; Grishin, 1996; Clark et al., 2003) and include detailed interaction between the atmosphere and combusting material. However, these models have the enormous task of taking into account all the relevant spatial scales, which span six to seven orders of magnitude (Clark et al., 2003).

From the observational point of view, Carvalho et al. (1995) measured air temperature above a tropical rainforest clearing fire experiment in Brazil. The authors burned an area of 1 ha and the flaming phase lasted about 2 h. The maximum temperatures recorded by three radiation-shielded thermocouples installed at the levels 8, 12 and

²⁵ 20 m above ground level (AGL) were about 328–333 K during the flaming combustion, only 20–25 K warmer than the environmental air. Using the carbon flux estimated by the authors, the mean heat flux from the fires was about 28 kW m⁻². Riggan et al. (2004) describe temperature, vertical velocity, sensible heat and radiative fluxes, among other properties in plumes from typical vegetation fires on September 1992 in Brazil, using



remote sensing. Airborne measurements at 200 m a.g.l. in the main plume from fires burning tropical savanna showed vertical velocities up to $5 \,\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}$ and potential air temperature as much as 4 K greater than that of the environmental air. The estimated plume area was 59 ha, encompassing an instantaneous total sensible heat flux around

- 5 0.87 GW, and the plume extended through the 1.6 km depth of the planetary boundary layer (PBL). For the Serra do Maranhão fire (involving grassland, cerrado and gallery forests) the primary plume extended through a depth of 4.3 km, producing a capping cumulus that peaked at an altitude of 5.1 km. At 1.3 km a.g.l. the plume area was estimated as 43 ha and the total sensible heat flux around 1.4 GW. Airborne measurements
- ¹⁰ were also done within plumes from fires burning slashed tropical forest in Marabá. This case showed strong vertical velocity with a peak of 15.4 m s⁻¹ and potential air temperature as much as 2.4 K greater than in the ambient air at 526 m a.g.l. The estimated plume area was 93 ha with a total of 6.7 GW of sensible heat flux. This plume was also capped by a deep cumulus.
- In this paper we describe the implementation of the plume rise sub-grid scale transport term in the Coupled Aerosol and Tracer Transport model to the Brazilian developments on the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (CATT-BRAMS, Freitas et al., 2005) 3-D atmospheric transport model. This transport mechanism is simulated by embedding a 1-D cloud-resolving model, with appropriate lower boundary conditions,
- in each column of CATT-BRAMS, the host model. The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, the methodology is described. Numerical sensitivity studies are discussed in Sect. 3. Section 4 explores model results for the Quinault prescribed fire. The CATT-BRAMS model simulations for 2002 are introduced and comparisons of model results with aircraft CO profiles from the SMOCC 2002 (Smoke Aerosols, Clouds, Rainfall and
- ²⁵ Climate) and CO data retrieved by the "Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere" (MOPITT) instrument, onboard the Earth Observing System (EOS)/Terra satellite, are presented in Sect. 5. Our conclusions are discussed in Sect. 6.



2 Methodology

Biomass burning emits hot gases and particles which are transported upward with the positive buoyancy of the fire. Due to radiative cooling and the efficient heat transport by convection, there is a rapid decay of temperature above the fire area. Also, the in-

- teraction between the smoke and the environment produces eddies that entrain colder environmental air into the smoke plume, which dilutes the plume and reduces buoyancy. The dominant characteristic is a strong upward flow with an only moderate temperature excess above ambient. The final height that the plume reaches is controlled by the thermodynamic stability of the atmospheric environment and the surface heat
- flux release from the fire. Moreover, if water vapor is allowed to condense, the additional buoyancy gained from latent heat release plays an important role in determining the effective injection height of the plume. The plume rise mechanism may have a strong impact on pollutant dispersion since in the free troposphere with its higher wind speeds, the pollutants are advected faster away from the source region with higher
- ¹⁵ wind speeds, especially outside the equatorial tropics. Removal processes are also more efficient in the PBL; when the pollutants are transported to the free troposphere their residence time increases (Chatfield and Delany, 1990).

The plume rise associated with the biomass burning is explicitly simulated using a simple one-dimensional time-dependent entrainment plume model originally developed by Latham (1994). A simple 1-D model that provides reasonable estimates of parameters needed is required, otherwise the embedded model might easily require more computer time than the 3-D host model. The governing equations are based on the first law of thermodynamics, the vertical equation of motion (Simpson and Wiggert, 1969), and continuity equations for the water phases. Equations (1) to (5) introduce the

²⁵ 1-D cloud-resolving model (CRM) designed for this task. Here $w, T, r_v, r_c, r_{rain}, r_{ice}$ are the vertical velocity, air temperature, water vapor, cloud, rain and ice mixing ratios, respectively, and are associated with in-cloud air parcels. Entrainment of environmental air is taken to be proportional to the vertical velocity in the cloud, and the entrainment

ACPD 6, 11521–11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

coefficient is based on the traditional formulation $2\alpha R^{-1}$ where R stands for the radius of the plume and $\alpha = 0.1$. In Eq. (1) γ is 0.5 and was introduced to compensate for the neglect of non-hydrostatic pressure perturbations (Simpson and Wiggert, 1969), g is the acceleration due the gravity and B is the buoyancy term including the downward drag of condensate water. In Eqs. (2) and (3) the index e stands for the environmental 5 value. c_p is the specific heat at constant pressure. Cloud microphysical calculations are based on the Kessler (1969) parameterization for accretion and include ice formation according to Ogura and Takahashi (1971). Autoconversion is performed following the Berry (1967) formulation. In our case, the initial number concentration of cloud condensation nuclei is defined as 10^5 cm^{-3} , as described in Andreae et al. (2004) for 10 pyro-cumulonimbus clouds. These parameterizations provide the microphysical tendencies terms of Eqs. (2) to (5). Sedimentation calculation for ice and rain is performed using the terminal velocity given by Kessler (1969) and Ogura and Takahashi (1971). Scalar fields are advected using a forward-upstream scheme of second-order, with flux limiters to preserve positive definiteness, while for wind a standard leapfrog-type 15 scheme is used (Tremback et al., 1987). K_m and K_T are the eddy coefficients for the

diffusivity of momentum and heat, respectively. They are based on the Smagorinsky (1963) scheme and include corrections for the influence of the Brunt-Vaisala frequency (Hill, 1974) and Richardson number (Lilly, 1962).

$${}_{20} \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{1+\gamma} g B - \frac{2\alpha}{R} w^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_m \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)$$
(1)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = -w \frac{g}{c_p} - \frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| (T - T_e) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{microphysics}}$$

$$\frac{\partial r_{v}}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial r_{v}}{\partial z} = -\frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| (r_{v} - r_{ve})$$
11527



(2)

+
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\kappa_T \frac{\partial r_v}{\partial z} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial r_v}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{microphysics}}$$

$$\frac{\partial r_{c}}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial r_{c}}{\partial z} = -\frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| r_{c} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_{T} \frac{\partial r_{c}}{\partial z} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial r_{c}}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{microphysics}}$$

$$\frac{\partial r_{\text{ice,rain}}}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial r_{\text{ice,rain}}}{\partial z} = -\frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| r_{\text{ice,rain}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_T \frac{\partial r_{\text{ice,rain}}}{\partial z} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial r_{\text{ice,rain}}}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{microphysics}} + \text{sedim}_{\text{ice,rain}}$$

5

- The lower boundary condition is based on a virtual source of buoyancy placed below the model surface (Turner, 1973; Latham, 1994). The buoyancy generated by this source is obtained from the convective energy flux *E* and the plume radius, for which values are derived in the following way. For each grid column, all fires are aggregated into three categories (forest, woody savanna, and grassland) by merging the fire location with the land use dataset. For each category, two heat fluxes (lower and upper limits) are defined according to Table 1 (Freitas et al., 2006, reproduced here for convenience) and using the McCarter and Broido (1965) factor (0.55) to convert heat flux into convective energy. The radius of plume is estimated by the fire size. The remote sensing fire product GOES-8 WF_ABBA (Wild Fire Automated Biomass Burning Algorithm, Prins et al., 1998) is used to provide the fire location and the instantaneous fire
- rithm, Prins et al., 1998) is used to provide the fire location and the instantaneous fire size for each non-saturated and non-cloudy fire pixel, where it is possible to retrieve

(3)

(4)

(5)

sub-pixel fire characteristics. The area of the fire is defined from the simple mean of the instantaneous size, as estimated by WF_ABBA, of all fires that belong to the same category. Figure 1 shows the fire size distribution for 5 months of the burning season (July to November) of 2002, in which 600 652 fires were analyzed using 2.5 ha as the size interval. About 28% of the fires have instantaneous size lower than 2.5 ha and for 75% of the detected fires, the size is lower than 20 ha. The mean value of entire distribution is 12.8 ha with standard deviation of 14.7 ha. For WF_ABBA detected fires that have no information about the instantaneous fire size, the mean instantaneous fire size is used. With the selected convective energy flux and plume radius the buoyancy flux *F* is calculated using the following expression (Viegas, 1998)

$$F = \frac{g\Re}{c_p \rho_e} ER^2$$

where \Re is the ideal gas constant and ρ_e is the ambient surface pressure. Once the buoyancy flux is determined, it provides the vertical velocity (w_0) and the temperature excess ($T_0 - T_{e,0}$) for the air parcels at the surface according to (Morton et al., 1956; Latham, 1994)

$$w_0 = \frac{5}{6\alpha} \left(\frac{0.9\alpha F}{Z_V} \right)^{1/3}$$
(7)

$$\frac{\Delta\rho_0}{\Delta\rho_0} = \frac{5}{2} \frac{F}{\rho_0} \frac{z_v^{-5/3}}{z_v^{-5/3}}$$

$$\rho_{e,0} = 6\alpha g (0.9\alpha F)^{1/3}$$

$$T_0 = \frac{T_{e,0}}{1 - \frac{\Delta \rho_0}{\rho_{e,0}}}$$

15

20

where $z_v = (5/6) \alpha^{-1} R$ is the virtual boundary height, and $\Delta \rho_0$ is the density difference between in-cloud air parcels and environmental air at the surface. The surface water

(6)

(8)

(9)

vapor excess is calculated from the burnt biomass using 0.5 kg H₂O per kg dry fuel as emission factor for water. The rate by which biomass is consumed $(kg m^{-2} s^{-1})$ is given by hC^{-1} where h is the heat flux (Table 1), and C is the combustion coefficient, which was estimated as 19.3 MJ kg⁻¹ for Amazon forest (J. C. Santos, 2005, personal ⁵ communication) and 15.5 MJ kg⁻¹ for savanna (Griffin and Friedel, 1984).

The upper boundary condition is defined by a Rayleigh friction layer with 60s timescale, which relaxes wind and temperature toward the undisturbed reference state values. We adopt the Arakawa-C grid and the model grid space resolution is 100 m with top at 20 km height. The model timestep is dynamically calculated following the

- Courant-Friedrich-Lewy stability criterion, not exceeding 5 s. The microphysics is re-10 solved with time splitting (1/3 of dynamic timestep). The heating rate increases linearly in time from 0 to its prescribed value at time equal to 300 s. Typically, the steady state is reached within 50 min, this number being the upper limit of the time integration. The final rise of the plume is determined by the height which the vertical velocity of the
- in-cloud air parcel is less than 1 m s^{-1} . 15

The 1-D plume model is embedded in each column of the 3-D host model. In this technique, the 3-D model feeds the plume model with the environmental conditions. Since this technique has been applied to low-resolution 3-D models (grid scale ~30 to 100 km), it has been assumed that the fires have no significant effect on the dynamics and the thermodynamics at this scale. They only affect the source emission field 20 through the height at which, the tracers emitted during the flaming phase are released into the 3-D model. Of course, the absorption of radiative energy by smoke can provide feedbacks on the larger scale, but there is no way to resolve sub-grid inhomogeneities introduced by fresh plumes in this model.

- The outline of this technique is: 25
 - A 1-D CRM embedded in each column of the large-scale atmospheric-chemistry transport model, and appropriate lower boundary conditions are used.
 - For each grid box with fires, the large-scale conditions of the host model are
- ACPD 6, 11521-11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

passed to the 1-D CRM.

- The vertical extent of the plume for each fire category and flux energy is resolved explicitly, defining the lower and upper injection height.
- The lower and upper limits of the final rise of the plume are returned to the host model.
- This plume rise is taken into account in the source emission field releasing material emitted during the flaming phase equally in the vertical range delimited by the lower and upper heights.

3 Sensitivity studies

5

- To evaluate model sensitivity to the fire size and heat flux we performed a set of numerical experiments using two selected thermodynamical situations. Figure 2 shows the two cases for which thermodynamical profiles were obtained from rawinsondes launched during the SMOCC 2002 field campaign in the Amazon Basin (Andreae et al., 2004). Figure 2a depicts a typical condition of the atmosphere over the Amazon basin and central part of South America during the burning season at 1800Z, which is nor-
- mally the time when the diel cycle of the number of fires peaks. The rawinsonde, launched around 1800Z on 20 September 2002, shows a strong thermal inversion around 800 hPa with a very dry layer above, for which reason we classified this as the "dry" case. On the other hand, the situation described by the rawinsonde launched one
- week later in the same region (Fig. 2b) is quite different. There was a weaker thermal inversion around 870 hPa and a much moister layer above as compared with the former case. Therefore, this is classified as the "wet" case. Figure 3a and b show the model steady state solution for the "dry" and "wet" cases, respectively, for fires in the forest biome with a heat flux of 80 kW m⁻² and a fire size of 10 ha. The vertical velocity (m s⁻¹), total condensate water (g kg⁻¹) and the buoyancy acceleration (10⁻² m s⁻²)

ACPD 6, 11521–11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

EGU

profiles are shown. Because of the lower height of the inversion layer in the "wet" case, the in-cloud air parcels lose vertical velocity faster than in the "dry" case. However, as soon as the air parcels reach the lifting condensation level (LCL), the buoyancy gained by the release of latent heat changes this picture. In the "wet" case, the total conden⁵ sate water is greater and generates positive buoyancy acceleration, which does not occur in the "dry" case. This imposes a higher plume rise (by about 500 m) above the inversion for the wet case. Figure 4 shows the calculation of the final height of the plume as a function of the fire size and heat flux for the "dry" (A) and "wet" (B) cases.

- Results with fire size spanning from 0.1 to 200 ha and heat flux from 1 to 160 kW m⁻² are shown. For the "dry" case (Fig. 4a), the model results follow a smooth function with the fire size and heat flux. The results range between 2 and 7.5 km. For a fixed fire size, the variation range of height is 0.5 to 1.5 km. For a fixed heat flux, this range is around 3 to 5 km. However, the "wet" case (Fig. 4b) shows a remarkably different behavior, with discontinuities at the LCL and effective heights spanning from 1.3 to 10 km.
- ¹⁵ This case points out the huge impact of water phase change in the dynamics of the plume. Another important characteristic to observe in the model results for the effective heights is the weak sensitivity to the heat flux over the range we have estimated (Table 1). For the "dry" case, it is possible to express the effective height in terms of the heat flux as height=a(heatflux)^{*b*}, with a=2.5 km, b=0.1 and the correlation coeffi-
- ²⁰ cient (R^2) 0.98 for a fire size of 10 ha. This dependence is weaker than that obtained by Manins (1985) in a stably stratified environment where *a* and *b* were estimated as 1.43 km and 0.25, respectively. The results for the "dry case" are also consistent with the findings of Heikes et al. (1990), who used a 2-D model applied to slash fires in the Pacific Northwest (USA) under September weather conditions. For fire sizes of 20, 38
- ²⁵ and 78 ha and a heat flux of about 75 kW m⁻², the maximum altitudes of plume rise were 2.5, 3.2 and 4.7 km, respectively.

ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.



4 The Quinault fire case

25

This section explores the model's performance in simulating the plume rise evolution associated with the Quinault prescribed fire, already introduced in Sect. 1. This fire occurred on 21 September 1994, and it is a very well documented case (T2002 and ⁵ references therein). According to T2002, the fire lasted a few hours and the maximum estimated heat flux was around 28 kW m⁻². The height reached by the plume of smoke was around 600 m, being transported horizontally out over the Pacific Ocean. The ambient atmosphere was characterized by a strong temperature inversion between 300 and 600 m, very low relative humidity (less than 40%), and nearly calm wind. To verify the 1-D plume model introduced here, it was set up with the above heat flux, a fire size

- of 19.4 ha, and 20 m grid spacing resolution. The ambient conditions were based on data shown in Fig. 3 of T2002. Figure 5a shows the ambient air temperature, depicting the strong inversion referred to above. The model result for the steady state vertical velocity is shown in Fig. 5b. The vertical velocity of the plume is strongly reduced
- ¹⁵ above 300 m, which reaches a maximum height of about 600 m, consistent with the observations and the ATHAM model results. Unfortunately, T2002 did not present any plume dynamic characteristics simulated by the ATHAM model and so we could perform more comparisons between the two models. More thoughful comparisons with the ATHAM results will appear in a forthcoming paper.

20 5 Model results and validation using 2002 dry season data

The 3-D host model used in this study is CATT-BRAMS. BRAMS is based on the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (Walko et al., 2000) version 5 with several new functionalities and parameterizations. RAMS is a numerical model designed to simulate atmospheric circulation at many scales. RAMS solves the fully compressible non-hydrostatic equations described by Tripoli and Cotton (1982), and is equipped with a multiple grid nesting scheme that allows the model equations to be solved simulta-



neously on any number of interacting computational meshes of different spatial resolutions. BRAMS features used in this simulation include an ensemble version of deep and shallow cumulus schemes based on the mass flux approach (Grell and Devenyi, 2002) and soil moisture initialization data (Gevaerd and Freitas, 2006). CATT is a system

- designed to simulate and study the transport and processes associated with biomass burning emissions. It is an Eulerian transport model fully coupled to the BRAMS. The tracer transport simulation is made simultaneously, or "on-line", with the atmospheric state evolution. The parameterized sub-grid transport includes diffusion in the PBL with a turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) closure. The sub-grid tracer transport by shallow and deep moist convection, which is fully consistent with the convective parameterization,
 - is also taken into account.

Model simulations for the 2002 dry season were performed, and model results were compared with observational data. The model configuration used 2 grids: a coarse grid with 140 km horizontal resolution covering the South American and African con-

- tinents, and a nested grid with a horizontal resolution of 35 km, covering only South America. The vertical resolution for both grids was between 150 and 850 m, with the top of the model at 23 km (42 vertical levels). The integration time was 135 days, starting at 00:00 Z on 15 July 2002. For atmospheric initial and boundary conditions, the 6 hourly CPTEC T126 analysis field was used through a 4DDA technique. For most
- investigations, two tracers were simulated, carbon monoxide (COPR) emitted by a 3-D source that includes the plume rise mechanism, and carbon monoxide (CONOPR), without this mechanism, with all the emissions released in the first model level. The same total mass was emitted for both tracers and they were initialized with the same background values. The total amount of biomass burning emissions was calculated
- ²⁵ using the Brazilian Fire Emission Model (BFEMO, Freitas et al., 2005). To determine the type of biome burning and its space and time distribution, the ¹/₂-hourly WF_ABBA fire product was merged with 1 km resolution land-use data. The fraction of CO emitted during the flaming and smoldering phases was estimated using Table 1. Due to the large number of fires and in spite of the fire aggregation procedure, the computational

ACPD 6, 11521–11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

costs required to run this system calling the 1-D plume rise model at each 3-D host model timestep is highly prohibitive. For that reason, we compute and update the effective injection layer only once every hour. Sensitivity tests, not shown, demonstrated good agreement of model results with thoses obtained by calling the plume rise model at each timestep of the host model.

An example of the results from the plume rise model embedded in CATT-BRAMS is shown in Fig. 6a–f. The figures show the steady state for the equivalent potential temperature (A, D); vertical velocity (B, E) and total condensate water (C, F) for forest and savanna biomes and a fire size of 20 ha at 18:00 Z on 20 September 2002, respectively. Also for each biome, the results for the upper and lower bounds of heat flux,

according to Table 1, are shown. In this case, the plume rise dynamics for fires burning forest with heat flux of 80 and 30 kW m⁻² are similar and define a thin layer of less than 1 km for the effective injection height. On the other hand, the dynamic evolution in the savanna is very different. With the lower bound value for the heat flux, the plume cannot pass through the stable layer to reach the LCL. Penetration does occur with the

10

20

upper bound heat flux value for savanna fires, and results in a 3 km thick injection layer during the flaming phase.

The Fig. 7 describes the effect of the diurnal cycle of atmospheric stability on the effective injection height for a typical grid box with simultaneous fires in savanna and tropical forest. The Fig. 7a shows the ambient equivalent potential temperature between 0 and 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level the level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level the level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level time is 4 b level to 10 km above the level surface: level to 10 km above the level surface to 10 km above to 10 km

- tween 0 and 10 km above the local surface; local time is 4 h less than UTC. The low level warming resulting from the surface fluxes driven by solar radiation, and the inversion layer just above 6 km can be seen. The time evolution of the source emission associated with the plume rise mechanism is shown in Fig. 7b. During the night, the
- atmospheric stability limits the plume rise to an elevation of 2 km with a 1.5 km layer thickness. In the afternoon, however, the plume extends upward, reaching a height of 8 km. The upper and denser layer is associated with the forest fires, while the lower, broader, and less dense layer corresponds to the savanna fires, as expected. The effect of the plume rise mechanism on the vertical distribution of emissions is demon-

ACPD 6, 11521–11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

EGU

strated in Fig. 7c and d. The diurnal cycle of CO emitted by a source that does not include the plume rise, CONOPR, is shown in Fig. 7c, while in Fig. 7d the tracer COPR is presented, which includes this mechanism. Without the plume rise, the CO distribution is shallow and limited to the PBL. The CO distribution of Fig. 7d appears to be
⁵ more realistic, with the PBL polluted by emissions from the smoldering phase and the lower and mid troposphere polluted by emissions from the flaming phase. An example

- of the spatial distribution of the CO source emission (with the plume rise mechanism) is given by Fig. 8, using model results from the coarse grid. It shows a vertical cross section of CO inputs at 18:00 Z on 2 September 2002 along latitude 5.4° S. The longitude
- ¹⁰ range includes the South American and African continents. The higher and thinner layers of emission in South America are clearly associated with forest fires. In Africa, most fires at this latitude are burning biomes like savanna, which produce broader and lower injection layers, as discussed previously. Model comparison of the horizontal distribution of CO at 500 hPa with AIRS CO data and two lower tropospheric CO profiles
- ¹⁵ from the SMOCC field campaign were shown in Freitas et al. (2006). The comparison with the AIRS CO demonstrated the huge improvement in model performance close to the sources, as well as in the simulation of long range transport, when the plume rise mechanism was included. In the next sections, we show more comparisons with SMOCC CO data in the lower troposphere and with MOPITT CO data in the whole vertical retrieval domain of this product.
 - 5.1 Model comparison with SMOCC 2002 CO airborne measurements

Comparisons of simulated CO profiles in the PBL and lower troposphere with observed data were performed using SMOCC campaign airborne measurements (Andreae et al., 2004). The airborne part of SMOCC took place in the Amazon Basin during Septem²⁵ ber and October of 2002. Carbon monoxide (CO) measurements during SMOCC were obtained on the INPE Bandeirante aircraft using an Aero-Laser (AL5002) instrument operating at 1 Hz. The measurement accuracy is better than ±5%; details can be found in (Guyon et al., 2005). The typical maximum altitude reached by the SMOCC aircraft



was 5 km. Haze layers resulting from the detrainment of smoke from convective clouds were visually observed at this height level and also well above the aircraft ceiling altitude during almost all flights in the dry season. The role of the plume rise mechanism on CO simulations is shown in this section using five special CO tracers and the ob ⁵ served SMOCC CO data. The general mass continuity equation for tracers solved in the CATT-BRAMS model is



where s̄ is the grid box mean tracer mixing ratio, I represents the 3-D resolved transport
term (advection by the mean wind), II is the sub-grid scale diffusion in the PBL, III and IV are the sub-grid transport by deep and shallow convection and, finally, V is the source term which may or may not include the plume rise mechanism. In this case the simulation was carried out with five CO tracers according to the following specifications. Three tracers named COAD, COSH and CODP did not include the plume rise mechanism, with the total CO mass (term V) released into the model layer closest to the surface. The transport processes for the tracer COAD included only the terms I and II. COSH included processes I, II and IV, while CODP used I, II and III. Another two tracers (named COPR and COALL) included the plume rise mechanism, with the total emission released in the first model layer and

the flaming fraction released at the effective injection height provided by the 1-D plume rise model (term V). The mixing ratio of COPR was obtained using only the transport terms I and II, while COALL included all I, II, III and IV transport mechanisms.

Figure 9, Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show comparisons for several flights. The mean and standard deviations (STD) of the observed CO profiles are shown; note that STD repre-



(10)

sents the actual variability of the concentrations, not the measurement error. For flight 01 (Fig. 9a) the observed CO profile shows a mean concentration of around 750 ppb from the surface to 2000 m, decreasing to ca. 400 ppb at 3200 m, the maximum height for this flight. The model results for COAD, COSH and CODP over-predict CO, especially above the PBL. COPR and COALL agree very well with observations in the first 2 km, and the results from the COALL model are closest to the observed mean. Flight

- 10 (Fig. 9b) showed strong CO variability in the first 1.2 km as a result of numerous local fires that injected their plumes in the boundary layer. PBL concentrations outside of these plumes were not well sampled, but ranged around 600–800 ppb. In this case,
- the COPR and COALL model results underestimate the mean observed concentrations below 1 km, but agree well with the regional PBL background of 600–800 ppb. Obviously, the model was not able to capture the very local fire plumes that were intercepted by the aircraft. It also seems that the model simulates a very high and well mixed PBL in this case, which does not agree well with the observed boundary layer
- ¹⁵ height of about 1300–1400 m. Probably, boundary layer development was suppressed regionally because of the very dense smoke over the study region. COAD, COSH, and COPR appear to agree well with observations below 1 km and show greater disagreement above this level. The better agreement of these models at low levels is somewhat fortuitous, as it results from a combination of an overestimate in boundary
- ²⁰ layer thickness and an overestimate in the fraction of the smoke injected into the PBL. For flight 11 (Fig. 10a) the observed CO again shows a high variability inside the PBL (<1.5 km) associated with local plumes, which cannot be resolved by the model. Above the PBL and below 3 km there was relatively clean layer, with only a minor haze layer with about 300 ppb CO. However, above 3 km CO starts to increase with height, reach-
- ²⁵ ing around 350 ppb at about 4.5 km. Model COPR and COALL agree very well with the observed CO profile, being inside the variability range in the PBL, and following very closely the CO distribution in the lower troposphere. Models COAD, COSH and CODP over-predict CO in the PBL, and simulate too clean a lower troposphere. Flights 21, 22 and 25 (Fig. 10b, Fig. 11a, b) also show better performance for COALL and

ACPD 6, 11521-11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

COPR when comparing with COAD, COSH and CODP results. Fig. 12 presents the mean CO observed during SMOCC flights 01, 10, 11, 22, 24 and 25, and the mean of model results up to an elevation of 10.8 km. From near the surface up to 4.5 km, the vertical range of aircraft measurements, the results from the COPR and COALL
 ⁵ models show the best agreement with observations. Above 4.5 km, the COAD, COSH and CODP model results are noticeably different from COPR and COALL. The next section discusses the model results including also this range of troposphere.

5.2 Model comparisons with MOPITT CO data

The role of the plume rise mechanism in CO simulations including the mid and uppertroposphere is shown in this section, using the five CO tracers that were already introduced in Sect. 5.1 and MOPITT data for October 2002. The MOPITT data used here comprise the tropospheric CO mixing ratio (ppb) retrievals for 7 pressure levels, from the surface to 150 hPa (Emmons et al., 2004). Because the MOPITT data product shows large horizontal areas without valid data, the model results and MOPITT data were time-averaged over the month of October, and area-averaged over the domain bounded by 25° S and the Equator, 72° W and 45° W, the primary region disturbed by the biomass burning activities in South America. Figure 13a shows the comparison between CO retrieved by MOPITT and model results after applying the averaging kernel and a priori data <50%. Because the application of the MOPITT averaging kernels

- changes the original model results, the unmodified model results are shown in Fig. 13b to clarify the role of the different transport mechanisms described in the previous section. Model results (A) for the tracer COAD show large disagreement with MOPITT CO and the reason is clearly seen in (B): the total lack of any sub-grid scale convective transport results in a heavily polluted PBL and a very clean free troposphere above.
- Including shallow convection transport (COSH) produces only small changes in the results, consistent with what can be expected. Deep convection transport (CODP) yields more realistic upper troposphere CO simulations, but is not adequate for the correct description of CO in the PBL and lower troposphere. The plume rise mechanism (COPR)



provides much better results for CO in the PBL and the lower and middle troposphere. However, only when all three main vertical transport mechanisms – shallow and deep moist convection and the pyro-convection (dry or moist) induced by vegetation fires – are included, optimal agreement with the MOPITT CO retrieval is obtained in our comparisons.

6 Conclusions

5

We have shown the usefulness of including the sub-grid scale transport associated with convection resulting from the initial strong buoyancy of gases/aerosols emitted during vegetation fires. Comparison of the results from the complete model with observed CO and with modeled CO without the plume rise mechanism demonstrated clearly the 10 importance of this mechanism on the simulation of CO across the whole troposphere, including the PBL. Without the plume rise mechanism, the simulated free troposphere over the Amazon basin during the burning season is very clean, while the CO in the PBL is overestimated, a characteristic which is not in agreement with observed and remote sensing derived data. Including deep and shallow moist convection and pyro-15 convection lets the model results come to much closer agreement with locally observed or remotely retrieved CO measurements. The methodology presented here provides a powerful and feasible approach to include this mechanism in low resolution atmospheric transport models. The low sensitivity of the final rise of the plume to the heat flux from the fire is an important and desirable feature of the parameterization. Future 20

work will estimate this flux directly from the fire radiative energy obtained by remote sensing. The fire size is another important fire property needed by the model, which may be also provided by remote sensing.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge partial support of this work by NASA Headquarters
 (NRA-03-OES-02 and NRA-02-OES-06) and CNPq (305059/2005-0). This work was carried out within the framework of the project "Monitoramento de emissões de queimadas e avaliação das observações de qualidade do ar em Três Lagoas – MS" in collaboration with



CENPES/Petrobras; the LBA Smoke, Aerosols, Clouds, Rainfall, and Climate (SMOCC) project (funded by the Environmental and Climate Program of the European Commission under contract N° EVK2-CT-2001-00110-SMOCC and by the Max Planck Society), and Radiation, Cloud, and Climate Interactions in the Amazon during the DRY-TO-WET Transition Season (RACCI) project (funded by FAPESP and Instituto do Milenio/LBA/CNPg/MCT).

References

5

20

25

- Andreae, M.: Biomass burning: its history, use and distribution and its impact on environmental quality and global climate. In: J. S. Levine (ed.), Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic and Biospheric Implications, pp. 3–21, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1991. 11522
- Andreae, M., Rosenfeld, D., Artaxo, P., Costa, A., Frank, G., Longo, K. M., and Silva Dias, M A.
 F.: Smoking rain clouds over the Amazon, Science, 303, 1342–1345, 2004. 11527, 11531, 11536
 - Berry, E. X.: Modification of the warm rain process, Preprints, 1st Natl. Conf. on Weather Modification, Amer. Meteorol. Soc., Albany, NY, 81–88, 1968. 11527
- ¹⁵ Carvalho Jr., J. A., Santos, J. M., Santos, J. C., Leitão, M., and Niguchi, N.: A tropical rainforest clearing experiment by biomass burning in the Manaus region, Atmos. Env., 29, 2301–2309, 1995. 11524

Chatfield, R. B., and Delany, A. C.: Convection links biomass burning to increased tropical ozone: However, models will tend to overpredict O3, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 18473–18488, 1990. 11523, 11526

- Clark, T. L., Jenkins, M. A., Coen, J., and Packham, D.: A coupled atmosphere-fire model: Convective feedback on fire-line dynamics, J. Appl. Meteorol., 35, 875–901, 1996. 11524
- Clark, T. L., Griffiths, M., Reeder, M. J., and Latham, D.: Numerical simulations of grassland fires in the Northern Territory, Australia: A new subgrid-scale fire parameterization, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D18), 4589, doi:10.1029/2002JD003349, 2003. 11524
- Emmons, L. K., Deeter, M. N., Gille, J. C., et al.: Validation of Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) CO retrievals with aircraft in situ profiles, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D03309, doi:10.1029/2003JD004101, 2004. 11539

Freitas, S. R., Longo, K. M., Silva Dias, M., Silva Dias, P., Chatfield, R., Prins, E., Artaxo, P., Grell, G., and Recuero, F.: Monitoring the transport of biomass burning emissions in

ACPD 6, 11521–11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ►I. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

EGU

South America, Environmental Fluid Mechanics, doi:10.1007/s10652-005-0243-7, 5(1-2), 135-167, 2005. 11525, 11534

- Freitas, S. R., Longo, K. M., and Andreae, M. O.: Impact of including the plume rise of vegetation fires in numerical simulations of associated atmospheric pollutants, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
- 33, L17808, doi:10.1029/2006GL026608, 2006. 11528, 11536, 11546
 Fromm, M., Alfred, J., Hoppel, K., Hornstein, J., Bevilacqua, R., Shettle, E., Servranckx, R., Li, Z., and Stocks, B.: Observations of boreal forest fire smoke in the stratosphere by POAM III, SAGE II, and lidar in 1998, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 1407–1410, 2000. 11523
 Fromm, M. and Servranckx, R.: Transport of forest fire smoke above the tropopause by super-
- cell convection, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1542, doi:10.1029/2002GL016820, 2003. 11523
 Gevaerd, R. and Freitas, S. R.: Estimativa operacional da umidade do solo para iniciação de modelos de previsão numérica da atmosfera. Parte I: Descrição da metodologia e validação,

Revista Brasileira de Meteorologia, 21(3), 1–15, 2006. 11534

25

- Grell, G. and Devenyi, D.: A generalized approach to parameterizing convection combining ensemble and data assimilation techniques, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29(14), doi:10.1029/2002GL015311, 2002. 11534
 - Griffin, G. F. and Friedel, M. H.: Effects in fire in Central Australia rangelands. I Fire and fuel characteristics and change in herbage and nutrients, Aust. J. Ecol., 9, 381–393, 1984. 11530
 Grishin, A. M.: Mathematical modelling of forest fires, in: Fire in Ecosystems of Boreal Eurasia,
- edited by: Goldammer, J. G. and Furyaev, V. V., pp. 285–302, Kluwer Acad., Norwell, Mass., 1996. 11524
 - Guyon, P., Frank, G. P., Welling, M., Chand, D., Artaxo, P., Rizzo, L., Nishioka, G., Kolle, O., Fritsch, H., Silva Dias, M. A. F., Gatti, L. V., Cordova, A. M., and Andreae, M. O.: Airborne measurements of trace gases and aerosol particle emissions from biomass burning in Amazonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2989–3002, 2005. 11536
 - Heikes, L., Ransohoff, L., and Small, R.: Numerical simulation of small area fires, Atmos. Env., 24A, 297–307, 1990 11524, 11532
 - Hill, G. E.: Factors controlling the size and spacing of cumulus clouds as revealed by numerical experiments, J. Atmos. Sci., 31, 646–673, 1974. 11527
- Jost, H., Drdla, K., Stohl, A., et al.: In-situ observations of mid-latitude forest fire plumes deep in the stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L11101, doi:10.1029/2003GL019253, 2004. 11523

Kaufman, Y.: Remote sensing of direct and indirect aerosol forcing, in:, Aerosol Forcing of

AC	ACPD			
6, 11521–11559, 2006				
Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al.				
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	۶I			
•	Þ			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

Climate, edited by: Charlson, R. J. and Heintzenberg, J., 297–332, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, 1995. 11522

- Kessler, E.: On the distribution and continuity of water substance in atmospheric circulation models, Meteor. Monographs, 10, Am. Meteorol. Soc. Boston, MA, 1969. 11527
- 5 Latham, D.: PLUMP: A one-dimensional plume predictor and cloud model for fire and smoke managers, General Technical Report INT-GTR-314, Intermountain Research Station, USDA Forest Service, Nov, 1994. 11526, 11528, 11529
 - Lavoué, D., Liousse, C., Cachier, H., Stocks, B. J., and Goldammer, J. G.: Modeling of carbonaceous particles emitted by boreal and temperate wildfires at northern latitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 26871-26890, 2000. 11523
 - Lilly, D. K.: On the numerical simulation of buoyant convection, Tellus, XIV, 2, 148–172, 1962. 11527
 - Liousse, C., Penner, J. E., Chuang, C., Walton, J. J., Eddleman, H., and Cachier, H.: A global three-dimensional model study of carbonaceous aerosols, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D14).

19411-19432, 1996, 11523 15

10

20

Luderer, G., Trentmann, J., Winterrath, T., Textor, C., Herzog, M., Graf, H.-F., and Andreae, M. O.: Modeling of biomass smoke injection into the lower stratosphere by a large forest fire (part II): Sensitivity studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 6081-6124, 2006. 11524

Manins, P. C.: Cloud heights and stratospheric injections from a thermonuclear war, Atmos. Env., 19, 1245-1255, 1985. 11532

- McCarter, R. and Broido, A.: Radiative and convective energy from wood crib fires, Pyrodinamics, 2, 65-85, 1965. 11528
- Morton, R., Taylor, G., and Turner, J.: Turbulent gravitational convection from maintained and instantaneous sources, Proc. Roy. Soc. A, 234, 1-23, 1956. 11529
- ²⁵ Ogura, Y. and Takahashi, T.: Numerical simulation of the life cycle of a thunderstorm cell, Mon. Wea. Rev., 99, 895–911, 1971. 11527
 - Penner, J. E., Haselman Jr., L. C., and Edwards, L. L.: Smoke-plume distribution above largescale fires: Implications for simulations of "Nuclear Winter", J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol., 25, 1434–1444, 1986. 11523
- ³⁰ Poppe, D., Koppmann, R., and Rudolph, J.: Ozone formation in biomass burning plumes: Influence of atmospheric dilution, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 3823–3826, 1998. 11523
 - Prins, E., Feltz, J., Menzel, W., and Ward, D.: An overview of GOES-8 diurnal fire and smoke results for SCAR-B and 1995 fire season in South America, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D24),

ACPD			
6, 11521–11559, 2006			
Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al.			
Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
I	►I		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version			

EGU

31 821-31 835, 1998. 11528

25

30

- Reid, J. S., Koppmann, R., Eck, T. F., and Eleuterio, D. P.: A review of biomass burning emissions part II: intensive physical properties of biomass burning particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 799–825, 2005. 11522
- ⁵ Riggan, P., Tissell, R., Lockwood, R., Brass, J., Pereira, J., Miranda, H., Miranda, A., Campos, T., and Higgins, R.: Remote measurement of energy and carbon flux from wildfires in Brazil, Ecol. Appl., 14, 3, 855–872, 2004. 11524
 - Rosenfeld, D., Fromm, M., Trentmann, J., Luderer, G., Andreae, M. O., and Servranckx, R.: The Chisholm firestorm, part 1: Observed microstructure, precipitation and lightning activity of a pyro-Cb, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 9877–9906, 2006. 11523
- of a pyro-Cb, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 9877–9906, 2006. 11523
 Simpson, J. and Wiggert, S.: Models of precipitating cumulus towers, Mon. Wea. Rev., 97, 471–489, 1969. 11526, 11527

Smagorinsky, J.: General circulation experiments with the primitive equations. Part I, The basic experiment, Mon. Wea. Rev., 91, 99–164, 1963. 11527

- ¹⁵ Small, R.D. and Heikes, K.: Early cloud formation by large area fires, J. Appl. Meteorol., 27, 654–663, 1988. 11524
 - Trentmann J., Andreae, M. O., Graf, H.-F., Hobbs, P. V., Ottmar, R. D., and Trautmann, T.: Simulation of a biomass-burning plume: Comparison of model results with observations, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D2), 4013, doi:10.1029/2001JD000410, 2002. 11524
- Trentmann, J., Luderer, G., Winterrath, T., Fromm, M., Servranckx, R., Textor, C., Herzog, M., Graf, H.-F., and Andreae, M. O.: Modeling of biomass smoke injection into the lower stratosphere by a large forest fire (Part I): Reference simulation, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 6041–6080, 2006. 11524

Tremback, C., Powell, J., Cotton, W., and Pielke, R.: The forward in time upstream advection scheme: Extension to higher orders, Mon. Wea. Rev., 115, 540–555, 1987. 11527

Tripoli, G. and Cotton, W.: The Colorado State University three-dimensional cloud-mesoscale model. Part I: General theoretical framework and sensitivity experiments, J. Res. Atmos., 16, 185–219, 1982. 11533

Turner, J. S.: Buoyancy effects in fluids, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 368 pp., 1973. 11528

Viegas, D. X.: Convective processes in forest fires, in Buoyant Convection in Geophysical Flows, pp. 401, 420, edited by: Plate, E. J., Fedorovich, E. E., Viegas, D. X., and Wyngaard, J. C., Kluwer Academic Publishers, AA Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1998. 11529 6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	►I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				

- Walko, R., Band, L., Baron, J., Kittel, F., Lammers, R., Lee, T., Ojima, D., Pielke, R., Taylor, C., Tague, C., Tremback, C., and Vidale, P.: Coupled atmosphere-biophysics-hydrology models for environmental modeling, J. Appl. Meteorol., 39, 6, 931–944, 2000. 11533
- Wang, J., Christopher, S. A., Nair, U. S., Reid, J. S., Prins, E. M., Szykman, J., and Hand, J.
- L.: Mesoscale modeling of Central American smoke transport to the United States: 1. "Topdown" assessment of emission strength and diurnal variation impacts, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D05S17, doi:10.1029/2005JD006416, 2006. 11523



EGU

ACPD 6, 11521–11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Figures **Tables** 14 ►L. ► ◄ Back Close Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Table 1. Lower and upper bounds for the heat flux $(kW m^{-2})$ and fraction of biomass consumed in the flaming phase (Freitas et al., 2006).

Biome type	Lower bound kW m ⁻²	Upper bound kW m ⁻²	Flaming phase consumption
Tropical forest	30.	80.	45%
Woody savanna – cerrado	4.4	23.	75%
Grassland – pasture – cropland		3.3	97%



Fig. 1. Fire size distribution as estimated by the WF_ABBA algorithm for the months July to November, 2002. The fire size interval is 2.5 ha.





Fig. 2. Temperature (solid) and dew point temperature (dashed) profiles from radiosondes launched in Rondonia (11° S, 60° W) shown as skew T – log p diagrams. Case **(a)** depicts the condition around 18:00 Z on 20 September 2002, classified as the "dry" case. **(b)** is the "wet" case corresponding to around 18:00 Z on 27 September 2002.



ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.





Fig. 3. Model steady state solution for the "dry" and "wet" cases for fires in the forest biome with a heat flux of 80 kW m^{-2} and fire size of 10 ha.





ACPD 6, 11521-11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Tables Figures** 14 ►T. ► Back Close Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU



Fig. 5. 1-D plume rise model results for the Quinault prescribed fire. (a) Ambient air temperature (°C) depicting the strong inversion between 300 and 600 m. (b) Steady state profile of the vertical velocity (m/s).





Fig. 6. 1-D plume rise model results for the forest and savanna biomes. The figures show the steady state for the equivalent potential temperature (**a**, **d**); vertical velocity (**b**, **e**) and total condensate water (**c**, **f**) for forest and savanna, respectively. Also for each biome, the results for the upper (solid) and lower bounds (long dash) of heat flux are shown. Dotted lines show the equivalent potential temperature of the ambient profiles.

ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.



ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.





Fig. 7. Diel cycle of the **(a)** equivalent potential temperature, **(b)** source emission with the plume rise mechanism, the time evolution of the CO concentration profile for a source emission **(c)** without this mechanism and **(d)** with it.



Fig. 8. An example of a vertical cross section of CO source emission on 18:00 Z on 2 September 2002 at latitude 5.4° S. The longitude range includes the South American and African continents.

ACPD 6, 11521–11559, 2006 Including the plume rise of vegetation fires S. R. Freitas et al.



ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.

Fig. 9. Comparison between CO (ppb) observed during SMOCC flights 01 and 10 (black solid line represents the mean while the two long dashed lines show the standard deviation range) and model results. See text for definitions.

Fig. 10. Comparison between CO (ppb) observed during SMOCC flights 11 and 21 (black solid line represents the mean while the two long dashed lines show the standard deviation range) and model results. See text for definitions.

ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.

ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

Fig. 11. Comparison between CO (ppb) observed during SMOCC flights 22 and 25 (black solid line represents the mean while the two long dashed lines show the standard deviation range) and model results. See text for definitions.

ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.

Fig. 12. Comparison between the mean CO (ppb) observed during SMOCC flights 01, 10, 11, 22, 24 and 25 (black solid line) and the mean of model results. See text for definitions.

Fig. 13. Comparison between CO (ppb) retrieved by MOPITT (black lines) and model results with **(a)** and without **(b)** the averaging kernel and a priori data <50%. See text for definitions.

ACPD

6, 11521–11559, 2006

Including the plume rise of vegetation fires

S. R. Freitas et al.

