

## Airborne measurements of trace gas and aerosol particle emissions from biomass burning in Amazonia

P. Guyon, G. Frank, M. Welling, D. Chand, P. Artaxo, L. Rizzo, G. Nishioka,

O. Kolle, H. Fritsch, M. A. F. Silva Dias, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

P. Guyon, G. Frank, M. Welling, D. Chand, P. Artaxo, et al.. Airborne measurements of trace gas and aerosol particle emissions from biomass burning in Amazonia. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 2005, 5 (3), pp.2791-2831. hal-00303911

## HAL Id: hal-00303911 https://hal.science/hal-00303911

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 5, 2791–2831, 2005 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/5/2791/ SRef-ID: 1680-7375/acpd/2005-5-2791 European Geosciences Union



# Airborne measurements of trace gas and aerosol particle emissions from biomass burning in Amazonia

P. Guyon<sup>1</sup>, G. Frank<sup>1</sup>, M. Welling<sup>1</sup>, D. Chand<sup>1</sup>, P. Artaxo<sup>2</sup>, L. Rizzo<sup>2</sup>, G. Nishioka<sup>2</sup>, O. Kolle<sup>3</sup>, H. Fritsch<sup>3</sup>, M. A. F. Silva Dias<sup>4</sup>, L. V. Gatti<sup>5</sup>, M. Cordova<sup>6</sup>, and M. O. Andreae<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Department of Biogeochemistry, Mainz, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Physics, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Atmospheric Sciences Department, IAG, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>5</sup>Divisão de Química Ambiental, Laboratório de Química Atmosférica, IPEN, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>6</sup>Center for Advanced Studies in Arid Zones (CEAZA), La Serena, Chile

Received: 14 February 2005 - Accepted: 27 March 2005 - Published: 9 May 2005

Correspondence to: P. Guyon (guyon@mpch-mainz.mpg.de)

© 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

5, 2791-2831, 2005 **Biomass burning** emissions P. Guyon et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion EGU

ACPD

#### Abstract

As part of the LBA-SMOCC (Large-Scale Biosphere-Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia – Smoke, Aerosols, Clouds, Rainfall, and Climate) 2002 campaign, we studied the emission of carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and aerosol particles from Amazonian deforestation fires using an instrumented aircraft. Emission ratios for aerosol number (CN) relative to CO (ER<sub>CN/CO</sub>) fell in the range 14–32 cm<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup> for most of the time, in agreement with values usually found from tropical savanna fires. The number of particles emitted per amount biomass burned was found to be dependant on the fire condition (combustion efficiency). Variability in the ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> between fires was similar to the variability caused by variations in combustion behavior within each individual fire. This was confirmed by observations of CO-to-CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios (ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub>), which stretched across the same wide range of values for individual fires as for all the fires observed during the sampling campaign, indicating that flaming and

- smoldering phases are present simultaneously in deforestation fires. Emission factors
   (EF) for CO and aerosol particles were computed and a correction was applied for the residual smoldering combustion (RSC) fraction of emissions that are not sampled by the aircraft. The correction, previously unpublished for tropical deforestation fires, suggested an EF about one and a half to twice as large for these species. Vertical transport of biomass-burning plumes from the boundary layer (BL) to the cloud detrainment layer
- (CDL) and the free troposphere (FT) was found to be a very common phenomenon. We observed a 20% loss in particle number as a result of this vertical transport and subsequent cloud processing, attributable to in-cloud coagulation. This small loss fraction suggests that this mode of transport is very efficient in terms of particle numbers and occurs mostly via non-precipitating clouds. The detrained aerosol particles released in
- <sup>25</sup> the CDL and FT were larger due to coagulation and secondary growth, and therefore more efficient at scattering radiation and nucleating cloud droplets than the fresh particles. This process may have significant atmospheric implications on a regional and larger scale.

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
I4 >i		
•	►	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

#### 1. Introduction

Biomass burning, particularly in the tropics, is a major source of atmospheric trace gases and particles (Artaxo et al., 1998; Andreae and Merlet, 2001). The Amazon Basin is the world's largest rain forest area, containing approximately one quarter of all tropical rainforests, and is subject to one of the highest rate of "slash and burn" deforestation in the world (Gash et al., 1996; Artaxo et al., 2002). Of the ca. 80% of the global burning activity taking place in the tropics, one third occurs in South America alone (Liousse et al., 2004). Figure 1a shows the deforestation rate in km<sup>2</sup> per year for the Amazon region from 1977–2004. Figure 1b shows the number of forest fires from 1999 to 2004 for Amazonia, measured with the NOAA12 satellite. It can easily be seen from Fig. 1 that the deforested area was observed over the last 6 years, reaching a level of about 25 000 km<sup>2</sup> per year in 2003 and 2004. The number of forest fires doubled from 2000 to 2004. When entrained into the inter tropical convergence zone

(ITCZ) and the Hadley circulation, the biomass-burning emissions from this area can be subjected to long-range transport and affect large areas of the world (Pickering et al., 1996; Andreae et al., 2001; Freitas et al., 2005).

Due to the complex chemistry involved in combustion of natural fuels under ambient conditions, a large number of trace gas species are emitted (e.g., Andreae and Merlet, 2001). However, the large majority of the emissions (>ca. 99% in mass) consists of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO) and aerosol particles. CO is emitted mostly during oxygen-deficient, smoldering combustion, when the oxidation becomes incomplete. On the other hand, flaming fires emit almost exclusively CO<sub>2</sub> and oxidized compounds. For this reason, and because of their relatively long atmospheric lifetime

(in the order of weeks or longer), CO<sub>2</sub> and CO are often used as biomass-burning tracers and as reference gases for correlation with other chemicals emitted during either the flaming or the smoldering phase of the combustion (Lobert et al., 1991).

Aerosol particles from biomass burning may significantly affect the radiation budget

### **ACPD**

5, 2791–2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



of the Earth directly by absorbing (Martins et al., 1998) and scattering incoming solar radiation (Procópio et al., 2004), and indirectly by acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and altering cloud microphysical processes, thereby also influencing the water cycle regionally, and on a larger scale (Kaufman et al., 1998). Recent studies have re-

- <sup>5</sup> ported very high direct radiative effects of aerosol particles emitted by biomass burning in Amazonia and Africa, with instantaneous surface radiative forcing up to 300 W m<sup>-2</sup>, and average surface forcing values of around -30 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Schafer et al., 2002; Eck et al., 2003; Procópio et al., 2004). The aerosol indirect effects are also large, but difficult to quantify (IPCC, 2001). Measurements of emissions of trace gases and aerosols from
- biomass burning have been the focus of many in-situ experiments around the world, as well as laboratory studies over the past two decades; for an overview, see Andreae and Merlet (2001). However, these authors also point at the lack of reliable data on the number of particles emitted per amount of biomass burned. Recent studies have filled this gap for savanna fires (e.g., Le Canut et al., 1996, Mauzerall et al., 1998, Andreae et al., 2001, and Hobbs et al., 2003), however, for most other types of combustion, and,
- surprisingly, especially deforestation fires, available data remain based on estimates from laboratory studies, or are just guessed.

This study presents the first quantitative measurements of the emission rates of aerosol particle numbers from pasture and deforestation fires over the Brazilian Ama-

- <sup>20</sup> zon Basin, coupled with data on the emission of the reference gases CO and CO<sub>2</sub>. Moreover, we are studying the size distribution of the emitted particles and their scattering ability, thereby contributing to the understanding of the radiative effects of emissions from biomass burning deforestation fires. For the purpose of completeness, we also provide some information on the effects of vertical transport and cloud process-
- <sup>25</sup> ing on the emitted particles. However, a detailed discussion of this dataset and its implications will be presented in a future study.

### **ACPD**

5, 2791–2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Instrumentation and sampling technique

All the measurements described in this manuscript were obtained aboard the INPE (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais) Bandeirante research aircraft. The aircraft

<sup>5</sup> was based in the town of Ji-Paraná (10.88° S, 61.85° W, 235 m above sea level (asl)), situated in the state of Rondônia, Brazil, from which most of the 31 research flights started. This region was chosen because it is subject yearly to a high rate of deforestation by burning during the dry season and consequently to high levels of pollution (Guyon et al., 2003). The LBA-SMOCC (Large-Scale Biosphere-Atmosphere Experi <sup>10</sup> ment in Amazonia–Smoke, Aerosols, Clouds, Rainfall, and Climate) aircraft campaign took place between 25 September and 19 October 2002, encompassing the middle of the smoky dry season and the transition toward the rainy season. For details and

overall conditions of the SMOCC 2002 campaign, refer to Andreae et al. (2004).

- The aircraft was equipped with a GPS (Garmin), and the altitude and position data were logged on a laptop computer with a 1 Hz time resolution. Outside air pressure, temperature and humidity were measured using a Vaisala PTB101B pressure transducer, and a Vaisala HMP45D temperature-humidity-probe, respectively, as described by Lloyd et al. (2001). Data were stored with a 1 Hz acquisition time on a Campbell CR23X data logger/laptop computer combination.
- <sup>20</sup> Carbon monoxide (CO) measurements were obtained using an Aero-Laser (AL5002) instrument operating at 1 Hz. Prior to sampling, the air was dried using a Nafion drier. The performance of the instrument was monitored during the flights either every ca. 45 min, or when sampling conditions were to change (e.g., before or after a vertical profile), by conducting zero checks and calibrating the instrument against a standard
- of known concentration (supplied by White Martins, São Paulo, Brazil). The cylinder gas concentration was determined to be 1574±33 ppb by an absolute volumetric technique, which has an absolute uncertainty of 1% (Brenninkmeijer et al., 2001). Error propagation yields an uncertainty of 2.1% for our field standard. It is important to

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



note that the data were also corrected for variations in the sensitivity of the instrument resulting from temperature fluctuations of the photomultiplier and aging of the instrument (lamp, optics) over the campaign. After correction and calibration, the difference between flask measurements by the Jena group (flasks collected and analyzed ac-

cording to Lloyd et al., 2001) and our simultaneous online measurements is 4.5±3% (comparison comprised 40 flasks, the online measurements gave the larger values). This agreement can be considered to be very good given the problems of precisely matching the collection periods, storage of flasks and standards, etc.

Carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) was measured with a resolution of 1 Hz using a Licor LI6251 <sup>10</sup> infrared gas analyzer (IRGA). The sampled air was dried with magnesium perchlorate prior to analysis. The air in the closed loop reference circuit was dried with magnesium perchlorate and scrubbed from  $CO_2$  using soda-lime pellets. Sampling procedure and data reduction were identical to those described by Lloyd et al. (2001). The precision after applying temperature and pressure corrections and after adjusting the profiles to <sup>15</sup> the flask analysis results was ca.  $\pm 0.4$  ppm.

Aerosol particles were sampled through a 2.5 mm inner diameter isokinetic inlet, which was mounted on a 1-m long 12.5 mm stainless steel tube (inner diameter of 10 mm). This tube was gently bent to 90° and fixed below the aircraft. Downstream, the tube was reduced to a 6.3 mm stainless steel tube (inner diameter of 5.35 mm), and aerosols were sampled off this line via a 6.3 mm stainless steel tube positioned at 90° with a T-union, producing a cutoff that removed the largest particles. Calculations (Baron, 2001) showed that the sampling from the T-union produced an upper 50% diameter cut point ( $D_{50}$ ) of ca. 300 nm in a worst-case scenario when the aircraft was flying at the maximum altitude of ca. 4300 m a.s.l. (P=600 hPa, T=5°C). Particles smaller than 500 nm (and, a fortiori, the 300 nm particles in the worse case) were neither

lost in the bend nor by inertial deposition through the 6.3 mm line and were sampled with 100% penetration efficiency by a TSI 3022 condensation particle counter (CPC). Compared to the number size distribution of smoke particles measured simultaneously with a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS 3080, TSI), the number concentration of 5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



particles ranging ca. 8–300 nm in diameter measured by the CPC comprised at least 95% of the total population in number (when the SMPS was measuring over the full accumulation mode from 15 to 414 nm diameter particles, see below). The CPC data were stored on the datalogger with a resolution of 1 Hz. The sampling flow of our CPC

- <sup>5</sup> was controlled by a capillary tube, adjusted for pressure and temperature of the sampled air, and the number concentrations corrected using the calculated flow. Particle number concentration and all other extensive aerosol particle parameters reported in this manuscript have been normalized to a standard air pressure ( $P_0$ ) and temperature ( $T_0$ ) of  $P_0$ =1000 hPa, and  $T_0$ = 25°C. Overall uncertainties are estimated to be ca. 5%.
- <sup>10</sup> Due to signal saturation in the datalogger, particle concentration larger than 6999 cm<sup>-3</sup> could not be stored. Such concentrations typically occurred in the middle of biomassburning plumes, so that only the data measured on both edges of the plumes could be used to retrieve aerosol number emission ratios (see below).

Aerosol number size distributions were measured with a SMPS 3080 from TSI for
particles ranging 15–414 nm in diameter or 15–279 nm on some of the flights. The particles were collected for 1 min via an isokinetic inlet (identical to that described above) into a container, from which the instrument sampled. As a complete scan took 1 min, size distributions were obtained with a 2 min time resolution. Apart from the internal heat of the instrument, no drying was applied to the particles. The size distributions
were adjusted for the pressure dependence of the instrument. In the worst case (at the maximum altitude of ca. 4300 m or 600 hPa), the corrected diameters were 30% larger than the original ones.

Aerosol scattering coefficients were obtained using a TSI 3563 nephelometer. Aerosols were sampled in the same fashion as for the CPC measurements, and the data were stored on the datalogger at a 0.5 or 1 Hz resolution (Chand et al., 2005).

25

Intercomparison of the aircraft instrumentation with ground-based measurements during low altitude fly-bys above the Fazenda Nossa Senhora measurement site (FNS, 10.76° S, 62.32° W, 315 m a.s.l.), located about 57 km north-west of Ji-Paraná, showed agreement within 15% for aerosol scattering, CN, size distribution, and CO observa-

5, 2791–2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions



tions.

#### 2.2. Data analysis

The various techniques for the calculation of emission ratios (ER) and emission factors (EF) and the associated errors are discussed in detail in Andreae and Mer-<sup>5</sup> let (2001) and Le Canut et al. (1996).  $ER_{X/Y}$  is the enhancement above background in a biomass-burning plume of a species X over that of a reference species Y:

$$\mathsf{ER}_{\mathsf{X}/\mathsf{Y}} = \frac{\Delta X}{\Delta Y} = \frac{X_p - X_b}{Y_p - Y_b} \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta X$  ( $\Delta Y$ ) is the difference between the concentration of species X (Y), in the plume  $X_p$  ( $Y_p$ ), and that in the background air  $X_b$  ( $Y_b$ ). In this study, ER<sub>X/Y</sub> is defined as the slope of the linear regression of the absolute concentration in species X of interest on that of the reference species Y in the plume. The advantage of this method is that it does not necessitate estimating the background concentrations of the species X and Y outside of the plume, which can be difficult in a smoke-polluted area.

In the following, we present the ER's of particle number, surface, volume, mass, and scattering coefficients with respect to CO as well as the ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub> for Amazonian deforestation and pasture biomass burning plumes. A plume was identified as such when ΔCO was at least 100 ppb.

#### 3. Results and discussion

3.1. CO-to-CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios ( $\Delta$ CO/ $\Delta$ CO<sub>2</sub> or ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub>)

Figure 2 presents the evolution of  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  over the course of the day for all plumes encountered during the SMOCC 2002 campaign when both CO and CO<sub>2</sub> data were available. It is obvious from Fig. 2 that the  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  values obtained in the morning 5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



hours and until 17:00 UT (13:00 LT) are much lower than those from the afternoon. In the Brazilian Amazon, fires are typically ignited in the late morning or early afternoon, with fire activity peaking around 17:45 UT (Prins et al., 1998). Therefore, the plumes measured in the morning hours are most likely emanating from fires that have been lit

- on the previous day or before, and they should be in their smoldering phase. Since CO is emitted predominantly during smoldering (incomplete) combustion, the ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub> for these fires should be larger than those measured in the afternoon, which is apparently not corroborated by our results. Moreover, the morning values we observed are actually too low to be truly considered as emission ratios even from flaming fires. A very likely
- explanation for this phenomenon is that, in the morning hours,  $CO_2$ -enriched air that accumulated close to the surface during the night due to respiration of the vegetation is entering the convective hot plumes of the fires and is measured by the aircraft together with the fire emissions. Since, in this case, the  $CO_2$  from respiration is entrained with the fire plume, it correlates with CO, and does not enter the background  $CO_2$  in the lin-
- ear regression. The background CO<sub>2</sub> is taken to be the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> outside of the plume at the altitude of the aircraft, whereas it should be the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> outside the plume at the ground. In the afternoon, the air is well mixed throughout the boundary layer (BL) and respiration has ceased, so that this phenomenon does not occur. However, it is also likely that a certain number of plumes encountered either in the BL
- <sup>20</sup> as part of the local haze, in the cloud detrainment layer (CDL), or free troposphere (FT) will keep this biased signature. To our knowledge, this morning bias for ER taking  $CO_2$  as a reference gas has not been noticed and published previously, and care should be taken when using  $CO_2$  data to derive ER during morning hours. For example, this phenomenon may explain the astonishingly low  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  values ranging 0.9–6.9% for
- <sup>25</sup> a grassland/bush smoldering fire observed by Yokelson et al. (2003) in the morning to early afternoon hours of their flight number 1815. In the following, all data making use of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations that were measured prior to 13:00 LT (17:00 UT) were removed. However, we note here that this phenomenon does not apply to other variables like CO or aerosols, and these data were kept. For example, Fig. 3 shows that a similar trend

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



is absent in the  $\text{ER}_{\text{CN/CO}}$  over the course of the day. These data are discussed in detail Sect. 3.2.

Figure 4 presents a frequency plot of the  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  obtained for all smoke plumes encountered during the SMOCC campaign, as well as for those encountered within <sup>5</sup> and above the BL. 163 plumes and plume transits with a  $\Delta$ CO larger than 100 ppb were observed in total, mostly from fires of opportunity, and some from an experimental fire (see Sect. 3.4). Very good correlations were found between CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, with 75% of the  $r^2$  of the linear fits being larger than 0.70, for plume transits lasting ca. 1 min on average. The BL height was determined for each flight primarily from temperature profiles, and confirmed by profiles of potential temperature, specific humidity, and insitu observations of cloud base from the aircraft. Over the Amazon Basin, the height of the fully developed BL in the afternoon lies between ca. 1350 and 1900 m a.s.l. for most of the time (Fig. 5). Given that the areas over which we flew had surface elevations ranging ca. 100–600 m a.s.l., our values agree well with what was found in previous studies of the BL height in the Amazon Basin (e.g., Nobre et al., 1996; Fisch et al., 2004).

Overall,  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  showed a large variability, with values ranging 1.9–13.4% and centered on a median value of 6.1% (first; third quartile: 4.7; 7.8), comparable to the range observed by Ward et al. (1991) from three aircraft measurements of Amazonian defendation fine (Table 1). Our values correspond to a grate efficient is a meetly

- deforestation fires (Table 1). Our values correspond to a quite efficient i.e., mostly flaming combustion, close to what is usually found for savanna plumes (e.g., Hobbs et al., 2003). Few instances of emission ratios indicating smoldering (values larger than ca. 9.0%) were detected from the aircraft, which is probably due to the fact that smoldering fires are not as hot and therefore not as convective as flaming fires, and
- also because smoldering mostly occurs in the evening, when the fires start dying out and convection has ceased. As a matter of fact, most of the smoldering detected was confined within the BL (Fig. 4). It is interesting to note that we found no statistically significant differences between plumes above and within the BL, even after transforming the data for normality and/or removing the smoldering values responsible for the

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



skewness of the distributions. This confirms that 1) we had little bias due to dilution as described by Mauzerall et al. (1998), and 2) these long-lived gases are efficiently transported by convection from the BL to the FT, where they can undergo long range transport (Andreae et al., 2001).

<sup>5</sup> We computed combustion efficiency (CE), defined as the molar fraction of excess carbon ( $\Delta$ C) emitted as CO<sub>2</sub> from a fire to the total excess carbon emitted, and emission factors (EF) for CO according to the definitions of Le Canut et al. (1996) and Andreae and Merlet (2001), respectively:

$$CE = \frac{\Delta C_{CO2}}{\Delta C_{CO2} + \Delta C_{CO} + \Delta C_{PC}} \approx \frac{1}{1 + 1.2 \times \frac{\Delta CO}{\Delta CO_2}}$$

$$\mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{x}} = \mathsf{ER}_{\mathsf{x}/\mathsf{y}} \times \frac{\mathsf{MW}_{\mathsf{x}}}{\mathsf{MW}_{\mathsf{y}}} \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{y}} \tag{3}$$

10

where the subscripts OC and PC indicate total carbon in organic gases and particulate compounds, respectively, and MW<sub>X</sub> and MW<sub>Y</sub> the molecular weights of species *X* and *Y*. EF<sub>Y</sub>, the emission factor of the reference species *Y*, here EF<sub>CO2</sub>, was taken to be 1580 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm (dm is the dry matter of fuel) (Andreae and Merlet, 2001). We found <sup>15</sup> CE values centered on a median value of 0.93 (0.91; 0.95), comparable to what is usually found for the efficiently burning savanna fires (e.g., Le Canut et al.,1996). Our EF<sub>CO</sub> showed a median value of 61 (47; 78) g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm. They are in the lower end of the values usually found in the literature for tropical forest fires, considerably lower than the average value of 104±20 given by Andreae and Merlet (2001) for tropical forest, and, again, closer to the global average value of 65±20 given by these authors for savanna fires.

The main reason for our low EF is probably that a significant fraction of the fire emissions is not sampled by the aircraft. A large part of the smoldering fraction during daytime is entrained and sampled by the aircraft, but does not make a large contribution. Visual observation shows, however, that even during daytime, smoke from the less

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

(2)



active parts of the fires is injected at low altitude, and does not form part of the plume sampled by the aircraft. The nighttime smoldering fraction is missed completely, as is the fraction released from lingering long-term burning, which can last for several days for deforestation fires. This residual smoldering combustion (RSC) has been estimated

<sup>5</sup> to contribute up to 38–44% of the total biomass consumed for pasture maintenance burns (Kauffman et al., 1998), but could be as large as 50% for deforestation fires as observed for temperate and boreal forests (Bertschi et al., 2003). We have estimated the fire integrated EF ( $EF_{i,tot}$ ) for the species i, here CO, using our estimate of  $EF_{CO}$ and the definition of Bertschi et al. (2003):

<sup>10</sup> 
$$\mathsf{EF}_{i,tot} = (f) \times \mathsf{EF}_{i,RSC} + (1-f) \times \mathsf{EF}_{i,conv}$$

15

where  $EF_{i,RSC}$  and  $EF_{i,conv}$  are the EF of species *i* in RSC and in the lofted emissions as measured from aircraft sampling, respectively. *f*, the fraction of fuel consumed by RSC, was taken to be 44% which is the upper limit given by Kauffman et al. (1998) since we measured a mixture of deforestation and pasture maintenance fires. Assuming an  $EF_{CO,RSC}$  of 126 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm (Ferek et al., 1998), we obtained a  $EF_{CO,tot}$  median value of 90 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm, probably more representative of the total emissions of CO for deforestation/pasture maintenance fires.

Table 1 gives an overview of the  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  and  $EF_{CO}$  measured for tropical forests so far. It can be seen that overall the  $EF_{CO}$  values obtained from aircraft measurements,

- ranging 41–126 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm (the latter being a specific smoldering sample), are lower than those obtained at ground level, where the averages range 91–135 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm and maxima go as high as 152 (Ward et al., 1992) and 280 (Greenberg et al., 1984). It is obvious that aircraft measurements of EF are biased toward hot, convective (flaming) combustion, while ground-based measurements may be dominated by smoldering emissions. Hence, the two types of measurements are complementary and should
- both be used in order to retrieve realistic EF, especially for deforestation fires. This could be done with the help of the correction provided by Eq. (4) (Bertschi et al., 2003) which requires better estimates of RSC fractions for the various ecosystems. When

### **ACPD**

5, 2791–2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

(4)



deducing biomass burning from satellite CO data, care should be taken to avoid the bias resulting from different emission factors applicable to different injection heights. Our values are representative of the fraction of the emissions that are uplifted to the FT in the region near the fire. However, when the total amount of emission is needed, as in large-scale models, values corrected for RSC should be used.

#### 3.2. CN-to-CO emission ratios ( $\Delta$ CN/ $\Delta$ CO or ER<sub>CN/CO</sub>) and CN emission factors

5

Figure 6 presents the frequency distribution of all ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> values obtained during the campaign (number of observations: n=172), separated by their sampling location within or above the BL. The linear regression of CN-to-CO yielded statistically significant correlation coefficients, with 75% of the  $r^2$  being larger than 0.84. This emphasizes that CO is a useful tracer for computing CN number concentrations from biomass burning, which is probably due to the fact that both CO and particles are primarily released during the non-flaming phases (pyrolysis and smoldering) of the combustion. Overall,  $ER_{CN/CO}$  ranged 3–76 particles per cm<sup>3</sup> and per ppb of CO, with a median value of  $23 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  (14; 32). Most values fall into a remarkably narrow range, 15 given the large number of plumes we observed and the large area we covered over the Amazon forest, stressing the fact that the number of particles per unit CO emitted from deforestation fires in the Amazon is a relatively constant parameter. Compared to what can be found in the literature, our values are in the range of those published for fresh savanna fires in Africa (16–36 cm<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>, e.g., Le Canut et al., 1996; Hobbs et al., 20 2003) and in the Amazon (10–30 cm<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>; Andreae et al., 2001), further stressing the idea that the number of particles emitted per unit CO from wild fires is a stable parameter. No previous data are available for Amazonian deforestation fires.

When fresh smoke plumes from hot fires are lifted up high enough to reach the cloud condensation level, a so-called pyro-cloud (Andreae et al., 2004) is formed. Since the formation of precipitation is often suppressed in these clouds due to the extremely high CN concentrations they contain (Andreae et al., 2004), particles are released in the

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions



CDL and FT and could be measured as detrained smoke plumes by the aircraft. In fact, we also observed plumes directly smoking out of those pyro-clouds. Only little difference can be observed when comparing the distribution of the data above (n=91) and within (n=81) the BL (Fig. 6). However, this difference was found to be statistically significant by a non-parametric test (p=0.01, medians are 20 and 25 for above and within the BL, respectively), and confirmed by t-test after applying a square-root transformation to the data. Since precipitation is expected to form in polluted clouds only at altitudes considerably higher than we could sample during SMOCC (Andreae et al., 2004), it is likely that the plumes we investigated resulted mostly from detrainment from

- non-precipitating clouds. This suggests that the 20% particle loss is mainly attributable to coagulation occurring in the clouds (see also Sect. 3.3 below). Thus, since a considerable fraction of aerosol particles is released from these non-precipitating clouds, this mode of transport from the BL to the CDL and FT can be considered to be rather efficient in terms of aerosol numbers.
- Figure 3 presents the diurnal course of the  $ER_{CN/CO}$  data. From this figure, it can be observed that most data are in the range 20–30 through the day, except for a reduced value (median of 11 (10; 17)) at 18:00–19:00 UT (14:00–15:00 LT), due to mostly cloudprocessed plumes sampled at that time. These values are similar to the  $ER_{CN/CO}$ =15 (10; 21) observed at the FNS ground observation station at night, when concentrated plumes were advected from nearby fires to the site. These plumes observed at the
- FNS at night are supposedly mostly smoldering plumes that get trapped within the shallow nocturnal boundary layer, but no  $CO_2$  data from ground level were available to confirm this hypothesis. Similar observations were obtained from a laboratory experiment on the combustion of wood, grass, and peat using the same setup as described
- <sup>25</sup> by Lobert et al. (1991). ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> was observed to decrease from 25 to 15 together with increasing ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub> values from 4 to 10%, and remained constant at a value of ca. 12 (ranging ca. 8–14) for ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub>>10% (O. Schmid, personal communication, 2004). A value of ca. 22 was found for ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> at ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub>=6%, corresponding to our observed maximum in the frequency distribution. A dependence of ER<sub>CN/CO</sub>

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



on  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  was not clearly observable in our data. However, the good agreement between our data and these laboratory measurements tend to confirm the surprising steadiness of the  $ER_{CN/CO}$  for various types of fuel at a given combustion efficiency. The slightly lower  $ER_{CN/CO}$  value obtained from the laboratory experiment at equivalent  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  together with the laboratory observation that  $ER_{CN/CO}$  decreases with smoldering could be interpreted as an indication that the aircraft measurements might

5

slightly overestimate ER<sub>CN/CO</sub>.

Emission factors of CN ( $EF_{CN}$ ), which were obtained using Eq. (3), ranged 2.3·10<sup>14</sup>– 5.4·10<sup>15</sup> particles emitted per kg dry matter burned (kg<sup>-1</sup> dm), and were centered on EF<sub>CN</sub>=1.5·10<sup>15</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>(dm) (0.87·10<sup>15</sup>; 2.2·10<sup>15</sup>), about half the estimate for total particle number emissions published by Andreae and Merlet (2001), based on laboratory studies. O. Schmid and co-workers observed EF<sub>CN</sub> ranging ca. 0.5–1.5·10<sup>15</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>(dm) from the first phase (no RSC) of grass and wood combustion (personal communication). As observed already for Amazonian and African savanna fires, the emission of particles from fresh fires showed a dependence on the combustion efficiency of the

- fire (Ward et al., 1992, 1996; Le Canut et al., 1996). For the times when CO,  $CO_2$ , and CN data were available simultaneously for fresh plumes measured within the BL (*n*=34), we obtained a linear correlation of  $EF_{CN}$  on CE (Fig. 7). This confirms that, for Amazonian deforestation and pasture burning also, more particles are emitted at
- lower CE, i.e. toward the smoldering state. The r<sup>2</sup> of the linear regression was found to worsen when using the data measured above the BL, probably because of a non-linear loss of particles during vertical and cloud transport and aging. The dependence of EF<sub>CN</sub> on CE indicates that when correcting it for RSC, EF<sub>CN</sub> would tend to increase, as opposed to what Bertschi et al. (2003) suggested for particulate mass emissions
   from boreal forest fires. Taking our average CE value of 0.93 and a typical CE value of 0.85 for smoldering (RSC) conditions (Ferek et al., 1998), our regression of EF<sub>CN</sub> on CE suggests that EF<sub>CN</sub> increases by a factor of ca. 2.2 when accounting for the RSC fraction. Applying the above Eq. (4) and related assumptions yielded EF<sub>CN</sub> of 2.5·10<sup>15</sup> (2.2·10<sup>15</sup>; 2.9·10<sup>15</sup>), resulting in a much better agreement with the laboratory results

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



reported by Andreae and Merlet (2001). This points out the urgency of studying the effects of RSC on biomass burning emissions, especially for particles, for which there is no other estimate to date.

- 3.3. Emissions of smoke aerosol particles and cloud processing
- In a further step, we have derived emission ratios of particle surface, volume, and mass to CO (ER<sub>surf/CO</sub>, ER<sub>vol/CO</sub>, and ER<sub>mass/CO</sub>, respectively), as well as particle scattering-to-CO (Δscattering/ΔCO or ER<sub>scatt/CO</sub>), which was computed in the same manner as described above for the other parameters. Aerosol surface, volume, and mass were obtained from the size distributions of the plumes measured with the help of a SMPS. Since a size distribution measurement cycle took 2 min, it was not possible to derive ER of these quantities to CO from regression analysis. Therefore, in a first step, the measured number size distribution of each plume of interest was fitted with a log-normal curve in order to compare the geometric mean diameters and standard deviations of the distributions (see, e.g., Fig. 8). The curve fitting was only applied to accumulation mode particles (Fig. 8) because coarse particles, which contribute little to the aerosol number, were not measured, and the accumulation mode was the only
- mode observed within the measurement range (Sect. 2.1). Then, when  $ER_{CN/CO}$  data were available, the fitted size distribution was integrated for surface and volume over the observed  $ER_{CN/CO}$  for that plume.
- <sup>20</sup> A total of 69 plumes sampled within the BL and 50 sampled above could be successfully fitted with a log-normal curve, with 75% of the  $r^2$ >0.98. We obtained average geometric mean diameters ( $D_{50}$ ) of 110±15 nm for those plumes sampled within the BL and 139±17 nm for those above. Associated standard deviations ( $\ln\sigma$ ) were 0.50±0.06 and 0.45±0.05, respectively. These values are in the range of what was typically found for Amazonian deforestation fires (e.g., Reid and Hobbs, 1998; Reid et al., 1998; Vestin
- et al., 2005<sup>1</sup>). The differences in  $D_{50}$  and  $\ln\sigma$  between BL plumes and detrained ones

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Vestin, A., Rissler, J., and Swietlicki, E.: Cloud nucleating properties of the Amazonian dry

were statistically significant (t-test, p < 0.001). Since we found no significant difference in relative humidity between the plumes observed within the BL and those above (both averaged ca. 60%), we conclude that, overall, the relative humidity did not influence the size of the particles. Moreover, hysteresis experiments at the FNS ground site have shown that when bringing these particles to deliguescence and drying them again to their original RH condition of 50-60% (a situation comparable to ours for detrained particles), no hysteresis was observed (i.e., the size distribution of the deliquescedand-dried particles was identical to that of the original ones at the same RH) (Vestin et al., 2005<sup>1</sup>). There is no reason for our particles to behave differently, so that we can exclude that water uptake and a subsequent hysteresis effect contributed significantly 10 to the growth we observed for the detrained particles.

- Table 2 provides a summary of the results of emission ratios of aerosol particlenumber, surface, and volume, relative to CO. In both cases, ER of detrained particles increased significantly compared to the aerosols in the BL (t-tests, p < 0.01 and 0.001 for surface and volume, respectively). Particle coagulation contributes to decreasing the number of particles (ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> of ca. 20 in the cloud processed smoke versus ca. 25 in
- BL), resulting in fewer, larger particles. However, coagulation does not modify the total volume of the particles, and the observed increase in normalized particulate surface and volume must be attributed to secondary growth. This issue will be discussed in detail in a forthcoming publication.

20

The ER<sub>vol/CO</sub> values for BL plumes translated into aerosol mass emission factors  $(EF_{mass})$  of 4.5 (3.6; 8.2) g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm (*n*=11) when using a typical particle density for Amazonian smoke particles of  $1.35 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  (Reid and Hobbs, 1998; Guyon et al., 2003) and Vestin et al., 2005<sup>1</sup>). Our EF<sub>mass</sub> values for accumulation mode particles are in the lower end of what was found previously for Amazonian deforestation PM2.5 and 25 PM4 emission factors, which ranged  $5.3-15.6 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  dm for flaming and smoldering fires, respectively (Ward et al., 1991, 1992; Ferek et al., 1998). The difference may be at least in part attributable to particles larger than those represented by our lognormal

season biomass burning aerosol - Measurements and modeling, in preparation, 2005.

5, 2791-2831, 2005

**Biomass burning** emissions



size mode fits. However, it is still not clear to which extent the missed RSC fraction might have affected our data. Using Eq. (4), making the same assumptions as above, and using the equation from the linear regression of  $\text{EF}_{mass}$  on CE (Fig. 7) yielded an  $\text{EF}_{mass}$  of 9.2 (8.7; 11.3) g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm. We note here that eliminating the two data points

- at low CE would have increased the  $r^2$  of the regression to 0.7, without changing the regression slope significantly. Table 3 provides a summary of the aerosol-related EFs obtained in this study, both when using the data from the convective plumes as sampled from the aircraft and when correcting for RSC, to obtain values representative of the total emissions.
- <sup>10</sup> Similar to ER<sub>surf/CO</sub> and ER<sub>vol/CO</sub>, a statistically significant difference (p<0.001) was observed between the amount of scattering per CO (ER<sub>scatt/CO</sub>) observed in plumes encountered within and those above the BL, the latter being overall larger (data summarized in Table 2). Moreover, the values for the back-scattering ratio at the wavelength  $\lambda$  of 700 nm ( $b_{(700)}$ ), and the Angstrom coefficients at  $\lambda$ =450 and 550 nm ( $A_{(450/550)}$ ),
- and at  $\lambda$ =450 and 700 nm ( $A_{(450/700)}$ ) averaged over each of the corresponding plumes were all three statistically significantly larger for the plumes measured within the BL compared to the detrained ones. This confirms that the cloud-processed aerosols are significantly larger, and, therefore, scatter more efficiently than the freshly produced aerosols, overbalancing their lower number concentration per amount of CO and re-
- <sup>20</sup> sulting in larger ER<sub>scatt/CO</sub>. This further confirms that the overall small difference between the ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> observed for fresh plumes (ca. 25) and processed aerosols (ca. 20) is not due to a new aerosol formation occurring in the detraining region of the cloud that would compensate for a larger aerosol loss during transport and cloud processing, but rather that this mean of transport is very efficient at releasing biomass burning
- <sup>25</sup> aerosols into the CDL and FT. Moreover, the released particles, having grown through cloud processing, are not only much more efficient at scattering incoming radiation, they are also much more efficient cloud condensation nuclei (CCN); for more details,

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



see Andreae et al. (2004) and Frank et al. (2005)<sup>2</sup>.

3.4. Case studies

#### 3.4.1. The "Pista de Cabeça" prescribed fire (PCF)

Most of the plumes studied in this work were from fires encountered randomly while the plane was flying. The PCF was the only prescribed fire we investigated with the 5 aircraft during the SMOCC 2002 campaign. The 230 ha square plot contained forest material which was cut between the end of March and the beginning of July and left for curing. It was situated at 10.45° S, 56.50° W, and ca. 300 m a.s.l. in a Fazenda close to the town of Alta Floresta, Mato Grosso, Brazil. By law, the fire could only be started toward the end of the dry season, on 1 October 2002, and the biomass was 10 quite moist at the time the experiment started, due to the unexpected increase in rain frequency. The plot was ignited all around the borders of the slashed areas at about 13:30 LT, and by 20:00 LT all flames were gone due to excessive fuel moisture, while only ca. 10% of the plot had burned, starting at the borders. However, at ca. 15:00 LT, when the aircraft reached the area, the fire was observed to be in a mixed flaming and 15 smoldering phase and was intensive enough so that the smoke plume was evolving into a large pyro-cloud. We performed three passes (A, B, and C) through the main smoke plume at 1230–1300 m a.s.l. followed by a penetration of the detrained plume (D) smoking out of the pyro-cloud at 2250 m a.s.l. A summary of the results is given in Table 4. Unfortunately, during that experiment, the CPC was not available due to 20 overheating of the instrument. The  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  obtained from the three passes through the fresh smoke ranged from values typical of the middle of the range obtained from

### ACPD

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Frank, G. P., Rissler, J., Roberts, G., Guyon, P., Swietlicki, E., Vestin, A., Zhou, J., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., González, S., Costa, A., Rizzo, L., Chand, D., Schmid, O., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Cloud condensation nuclei concentrations and efficiencies in the Amazon Basin, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., in preparation, 2005.

all BL plumes (Fig. 4) to large values, corresponding to mid-range (mostly flaming) to low combustion efficiency (smoldering). This indicates that a specific Amazonian deforestation fire cannot be characterized by a single value of ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub> or CE because these fires are very inhomogeneous. They can simultaneously be in a flaming and smoldering phase, with rapidly changing combustion conditions depending on numerous parameters such as wind, fuel density, size and moisture, etc. This is in contrast to, e.g., savanna fires, which are usually running fires over more or less homogeneous vegetation. Therefore, it is necessary to collect data from many forest fires in order to improve statistics, and better understand their overall emissions. The behavior of the aerosols for this particular fire confirmed the general trend observed above. Compared to the fresh smoke, ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub> of the pyrocloud-detrained smoke remained unchanged, while the aerosols grew in size (Fig. 8) and scattered more. In the present case, no difference was found in the backscatter ratios and Angstrom coefficients.

3.4.2. The Santa Lucia fire survey (SLF)

- <sup>15</sup> The Santa Lucia fire (SLF) was a large fire of opportunity, which we studied intensively on 30 September 2002. The fire was a deforestation fire, situated south of the town of Vilhena, Rondônia, ca. 14.12° S, 60.17° W. The fire was observed to be in a relatively late stage, with little flames. A pyro-cloud had developed at the top of the plume. We passed five times through the main fresh plume as well as secondary plumes (from
- which we obtained the CN data, because the CPC was saturating in the main plume) at altitudes of 850–1050 m a.s.l. Again, we observed a large sample-to-sample variation in the data. In the fresh plume, we obtained ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub> ranging 4.2–12.4%. Correspondingly, CE was between 0.87 and 0.94, while ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> ranged 9–35 cm<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5). These values from the SLF fire cover most of the range of the observed values for all fires measured during this campaign, emphasizing again the difficulty of characterizing Amazonian deforestation fires. This is probably the reason why we were

### **ACPD**

5, 2791–2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.



not able to distinguish a significantly different signature between deforestation fires and

secondary-growth pasture fires.

#### 3.4.3. High altitude plumes

As can be seen from Fig. 6, many plumes were encountered above the BL, so that the transport mechanism described above can be considered to be quite general. Numerous plumes (ca. 20, and 14 with  $\Delta CO > 100$  ppb), which sometimes produced a distinct layer at this altitude discernable by eye, were measured at altitudes up to 4000-4300 m a.s.l. (which was about as high as the aircraft could fly). Even after having been transported to this altitude, these layers contained remarkably high levels of pollutants, with CO concentrations ranging 150–800 ppb. All of these plumes still yielded excellent regression coefficients from linear fits between CN and CO. ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> ranged 13–31  $\rm cm^{-3}~ppb^{-1}$  (21 on average), while  $\rm ER_{\rm CO/CO2}$  ranged 2.0–7.8% (5.1 on average). These values are in the range of what is typically found above the BL (Fig. 6). This further demonstrates how steady the number of particles per unit CO can be. even after such dramatic vertical transport, and therefore how little particle loss occurs during transport from the BL to FT. Moreover, since substantially higher losses would 15 be expected to occur in precipitating clouds, these relatively large ER<sub>CN/CO</sub> observed for the high altitude plumes (and for detrained plumes in general) also imply that most of the transport to lower-mid tropospheric heights is through non-precipitating clouds, also suggesting suppression of rain due to heavy smoke pollution (Rosenfeld, 2000; Andreae et al., 2004). 20

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, we quantified the emission of aerosol particles from deforestation fires in the Amazon Basin, and shed some light on the processes applied to these aerosols during vertical transport and cloud processing. Our first estimates for  $ER_{CN/CO}$  (14–32 cm<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup> for most of the time) for this type of fire lay in the range of what has been

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.



published previously for savanna fires. This highlights the relatively constant value of the number of particles emitted per unit CO from wild fires, facilitating its inclusion into large-scale models. The emission of particles was found to be dependent on the combustion efficiency of the fire. However, the range of values we obtained was not found

to be strongly dependant on aging of the plume or on fire-to-fire variability, but mainly on the variability in emissions within each fire. This is attributed to very inhomogeneous and fast changing burning conditions of deforestation fires (fuel density, size, moisture, wind, etc.), in contrast to, e.g., savanna fires. This feature was confirmed by the ER<sub>CO/CO2</sub> of these fires, which varied over a very wide range for all plumes
 encountered overall, but also for specific fires. EF<sub>CO</sub>, EF<sub>CN</sub>, and EF<sub>mass</sub> also covered a large range of values, yielding medians of 61 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm, 1.5·10<sup>15</sup> particles kg<sup>-1</sup> dm, and 4.5 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm, respectively.

It must be emphasized, however, that these "emission factors" pertain to the lofted plumes only, and do not represent all the material emitted by the fires. This complicates their applicability in larger-scale models. They are representative of the emissions that reach the FT in the region near the fires, and would correspond to what is seen near source regions by sensors that are weighted towards mid-and upper tropospheric concentrations, such as MOPPIT.

A large uncertainty in the analysis of the present dataset comes from the need to account for the RSC fraction of the emissions, which is missed during aircraft sampling. A tentative correction of the data resulted in median EF values of 90 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm, 2.5 · 10<sup>15</sup> particles kg<sup>-1</sup> dm, and 9.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm for CO, aerosol number and mass, respectively, values that are 1.5, 1.7, and 2.1 times as large as the uncorrected data on average. These would be the EF values that would have to be used in large-scale models, which allow for the mixing of emissions released at different injection heights. This discrepancy underlines the urgency of studying RSC from tropical deforestation

fires, for which there is no data available to date.

Another major output of this study is that the vertical transport, cloud processing, and subsequent release of smoke aerosols in the CDL and FT resulted in only a 20%

### ACPD

5, 2791–2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



reduction in particle number per ppb CO, due primarily to in-cloud coagulation. These particles are released in large amounts in the CDL and the FT from non-precipitating clouds as larger particles, which are more efficient at scattering incoming radiation and acting as CCN. There they can be subjected to long range transport, thereby influencing the FT chemistry, water cycle, and the radiation budget on a larger scale.

Acknowledgements. This work was carried out within the frame work of the Smoke, Aerosols, Clouds, Rainfall, and Climate (SMOCC) project, a European contribution to the Large-Scale Biosphere-Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia (LBA). It was financially supported by the Max Planck Society (MPG) and the Environmental and Climate Program of the European Commission (contract N° EVK2-CT-2001-00110 SMOCC). We are grateful for support of C. Brenninkmeijer (Max Planck Institute, Mainz, Germany) for performing the CO absolute calibration, J. von Jouanne (Max Planck Institute, Mainz, Germany), T. Germano (University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil) and C. Longo (Instituto Nacional do Pesquisas Especiais (INPE), São Paulo, Brazil) for their technical support with the SMPS instrument, and the pilots of the INPE aircraft. The authority for any for any for any for the formation of the INPE aircraft.

<sup>15</sup> The authors thank R. Gielow for supervising and the "Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo" (FAPESP, São Paulo, Brazil) (project 98/00104-9) and PNW-USDA-FS (USA) (project 5147A) for financing the work at "Pista de Cabeça" (PCF). M. Cordova would like to thank FAPESP for her scholarship (project 99/04281-5). P. Artaxo, L. V. Rizzo, and L. V. Gatti acknowledge the Brazilian agencies FAPESP (97/11358-9) and MCT/CNPq (Insti-20 tuto do Milênio LBA) for financial support.

#### References

5

- Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Fischer, H., Freitas, S. R., Gregoire, J. M., Hansel, A., Hoor, P., Kormann, R., Krejci, R., Lange, L., Lelieveld, J., Lindinger, W., Longo, K., Peters, W., de Reus, M., Scheeren, B., Silva Dias, M. A. F., Ström, J., van Velthoven, P. F. J., and Williams,
- J.: Transport of biomass burning smoke to the upper troposphere by deep convection in the equatorial region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 951–954, 2001.
  - Andreae, M. O., Atlas, E., Harris, G. W., Helas, G., deKock, A., Koppmann, R., Maenhaut, W., Mano, S., Pollock, W. H., Rudolph, J., Scharffe, D., Schebeske, G., and Welling, M.: Methyl

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions



halide emissions from savanna fires in southern Africa, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 101, 23603–23613, 1996.

- Andreae, M. O., Browell, E. V., Garstang, M., Gregory, G. L., Harriss, R. C., Hill, G. F., Jacob, D. J., Pereira, M. C., Sachse, G. W., Setzer, A. W., Dias, P. L. S., Talbot, R. W., Torres, A. L.,
- and Wofsy, S. C.: Biomass-burning emissions and associated haze layers over Amazonia, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 93, 1509–1527, 1988.

Andreae, M. O. and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 15, 955–966, 2001.

Andreae, M. O., Rosenfeld, D., Artaxo, P., Costa, A. A., Frank, G. P., Longo, K. M., and Silva-Dias, M. A. F.: Smoking rain clouds over the Amazon, Science, 303, 1337–1342, 2004.

Artaxo, P., Fernandes, E. T., Martins, J. V., Yamasoe, M. A., Hobbs, P. V., Maenhaut, W., Longo, K. M., and Castanho, A.: Large-scale aerosol source apportionment in Amazonia, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 103, 31837–31847, 1998.

10

Artaxo, P., Martins, J. V., Yamasoe, M. A., Procópio, A. S., Pauliquevis, T. M., Andreae,

M. O., Guyon, P., Gatti, L. V., and Leal, A. M. G.: Physical and chemical properties of aerosols in the wet and dry season in Rondônia, Amazonia, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 107, 8081, doi:8010.1029/2001JD000666, 2002.

Baron, P. A.: Aerosol calculator (computer spreadsheet), TSI, Inc., St. Paul, 2001.

Bertschi, I., Yokelson, R. J., Ward, D. E., Babbitt, R. E., Susott, R. A., Goode, J. G., and Hao, W.

- M.: Trace gas and particle emissions from fires in large diameter and belowground biomass fuels, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 108, 8472, doi:8410.1029/2002JD002100, 2003.
  - Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Koeppel, C., Rockmann, T., Scharffe, D. S., Braunlich, M., and Gros, V.: Absolute measurement of the abundance of atmospheric carbon monoxide, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 106, 10 003–10 010, 2001.
- <sup>25</sup> Chand, D., Guyon, P., Artaxo, P., Schmid, O., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Frank, G., Gatti, L. V., Moura, M. A. L., and Andreae, M. O.: Optical and physical properties of aerosols in the boundary layer and free troposphere over the Amazon Basin during the biomass burning season, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., accepted, 2005.

Delmas, R., Lacaux, J. P., and Brocard, D.: Determination of biomass burning emission factors: Methods and results, Environ. Monit. Assess., 38, 181–204, 1995.

Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Reid, J. S., O'Neill, N. T., Schafer, J. S., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Yamasoe, M. A., and Artaxo, P.: High aerosol optical depth biomass burning events: A comparison of optical properties for different source regions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 2035, 5, 2791–2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
•	·	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

doi:2010.1029/2003GL017861, 2003.

- Ferek, R. J., Reid, J. S., Hobbs, P. V., Blake, D. R., and Liousse, C.: Emission factors of hydrocarbons, halocarbons, trace gases and particles from biomass burning in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 103, 32107–32118, 1998.
- <sup>5</sup> Fisch, G., Tota, J., Machado, L. A. T., Silva Dias, M. A. F., Lyra, R. F. d. F., Nobre, C. A., Dolman, A. J., and Gash, J. H. C.: The convective boundary layer over pasture site and forest in Amazonia, Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 78, 47–59, 2004.
  - Freitas, S. R., Longo, K. M., Dias, M. A. F. S., Dias, P. L. S., Chatfield, R., Prins, E., Artaxo, P., and Recuero, F. S.: Monitoring the transport of biomass burning emissions in South
- <sup>10</sup> America, Environmental Fluid Mechanics, 5, 135–167, doi:110.1007/s10652-10005-10243-10657, 2005.
  - Gash, J. H. C., Nobre, C. A., Roberts, J. M., and Victoria, R. L.: Amazonian deforestation and climate, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, England, 1996.
  - Greenberg, J. P., Zimmerman, P. R., Heidt, L., and Pollock, W.: Hydrocarbon and carbonmonoxide emissions from biomass burning in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 89, 1350–1354, 1984.
  - Guyon, P., Graham, B., Beck, J., Boucher, O., Gerasopoulos, E., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Roberts,G. C., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Physical properties and concentration of aerosol particles over the Amazon tropical forest during background and biomass burning conditions,
- <sup>20</sup> Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 951–967, 2003, SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2003-3-951.

15

- Hobbs, P. V., Sinha, P., Yokelson, R. J., Christian, T. J., Blake, D. R., Gao, S., Kirchstetter, T. W., Novakov, T., and Pilewskie, P.: Evolution of gases and particles from a savanna fire in South Africa, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 108, 8485, doi:8410.1029/2002JD002352, 2003.
- IPCC: Climate change 2001: The third assessment report to the intergovernmental panel on climate change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, and New York, NY, USA, 2001.
  - Kauffman, J. B., Cummings, D. L., and Ward, D. E.: Fire in the Brazilian Amazon 2. Biomass, nutrient pools and losses in cattle pastures, Oecologia, 113, 415–427, 1998.
- <sup>30</sup> Kaufman, Y. J., Hobbs, P. V., Kirchhoff, V., Artaxo, P., Remer, L. A., Holben, B. N., King, M. D., Ward, D. E., Prins, E. M., Longo, K. M., Mattos, L. F., Nobre, C. A., Spinhirne, J. D., Ji, Q., Thompson, A. M., Gleason, J. F., Christopher, S. A., and Tsay, S. C.: Smoke, Clouds, and Radiation – Brazil (SCAR-B) experiment, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 103, 31783–31808, 1998.

5, 2791–2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
I	۶I	
•	►	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

Le Canut, P., Andreae, M. O., Harris, G. W., Wienhold, F. G., and Zenker, T.: Airborne studies of emissions from savanna fires in southern Africa. 1. Aerosol emissions measured with a laser optical particle counter, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 101, 23615–23630, 1996.

Liousse, C., Cachier, H., Grégoire, J. M., Penner, J., Lavoué, D., Hobbs, P., Scholes, M., Barbosa, P., Andreae, M. O., and Artaxo, P.: Deriving global quantitative estimates for spatial and temporal distributions of biomass burning emissions, in: Emissions of trace gases and aerosols into the atmosphere, edited by: Granier, C., Artaxo, P., and Reeves, C., Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 2004.

Lloyd, J., Francey, R. J., Mollicone, D., Raupach, M. R., Sogachev, A., Arneth, A., Byers, J.

N., Kelliher, F. M., Rebmann, C., Valentini, R., Wong, S. C., Bauer, G., and Schulze, E. D.: Vertical profiles, boundary layer budgets, and regional flux estimates for CO<sub>2</sub> and its C-13/C-12 ratio and for water vapor above a forest/bog mosaic in central Siberia, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 15, 267–284, 2001.

Lobert, J. M., Sharffe, D. H., Hao, W. M., Kuhlbusch, T. A. J., Seuwen, R., Warneck, P., and

- <sup>15</sup> Crutzen, P. J.: Experimental evaluation of biomass burning emissions: Nitrogen and Carbon containing compounds, in: Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications, edited by: Levine, J. S., 289–304, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1991.
  - Martins, J. V., Artaxo, P., Liousse, C., Reid, J. S., Hobbs, P. V., and Kaufman, Y. J.: Effects of black carbon content, particle size, and mixing on light absorption by aerosols from biomass burning in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 103, 32041–32050, 1998.

20

- Mauzerall, D. L., Logan, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Anderson, B. E., Blake, D. R., Bradshaw, J. D., Heikes, B., Sachse, G. W., Singh, H., and Talbot, B.: Photochemistry in biomass burning plumes and implications for tropospheric ozone over the tropical South Atlantic (vol 103, pg 8401, 1998), J. Geophys. Res.-A, 103, 19281–19282, 1998.
- Nobre, C. A., Fisch, G., Rocha, H. R. d., Lyra, R. F. d. F., Rocha, E. P. d., Costa, A. C. L. d., and Ubarana, V. N.: Observations of the atmospheric boundary layer in Rondônia, in: Amazonian deforestation and climate, edited by: Gash, J. H. C., Nobre, C. A., Roberts, J. M., and Victoria, R. L., John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, England, 413–424, 1996. Pickering, K. E., Thompson, A. M., Wang, Y. S., Tao, W. K., McNamara, D. P., Kirchhoff, V.,
- <sup>30</sup> Heikes, B. G., Sachse, G. W., Bradshaw, J. D., Gregory, G. L., and Blake, D. R.: Convective transport of biomass burning emissions over Brazil during TRACE A, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 101, 23 993–24 012, 1996.

Prasad, V. K., Gupta, P. K., Sharma, C., Sarkar, A. K., Kant, Y., Badarinath, K. V. S., Rajagopal,

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Print Version			
Interactive Discussion			



T., and Mitra, A. P.: NOx emissions from biomass burning of shifting cultivation areas from tropical deciduous forests of India – Estimates from ground-based measurements, Atmos. Environ., 34, 3271–3280, 2000.

Prins, E. M., Feltz, J. M., Menzel, W. P., and Ward, D. E.: An overview of GOES-8 diurnal fire

- and smoke results for SCAR-B and 1995 fire season in South America, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 103, 31 821–31 835, 1998.
  - Procópio, A. S., Artaxo, P., Kaufman, Y. J., Remer, L. A., Schafer, J. S., and Holben, B. N.: Multiyear analysis of amazonian biomass burning smoke radiative forcing of climate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L03108, doi:03110.01029/02003GL018646, 2004.
- <sup>10</sup> Reid, J. S. and Hobbs, P. V.: Physical and optical properties of young smoke from individual biomass fires in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 103, 32 013–32 030, 1998.
  - Reid, J. S., Hobbs, P. V., Ferek, R. J., Blake, D. R., Martins, J. V., Dunlap, M. R., and Liousse, C.: Physical, chemical, and optical properties of regional hazes dominated by smoke in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 103, 32 059–32 080, 1998.
- <sup>15</sup> Rosenfeld, D.: Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution, Science, 287, 1793–1796, 2000.
  - Schafer, J. S., Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Artaxo, P., Yamasoe, M. A., and Procopio, A. S.: Observed reductions of total solar irradiance by biomass-burning aerosols in the Brazilian Amazon and Zambian Savanna, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1823, doi:1810.1029/2001GL014309, 2002.
  - Ward, D. E., Hao, W. M., Susott, R. A., Babbitt, R. E., Shea, R. W., Kauffman, J. B., and Justice,
    C. O.: Effect of fuel composition on combustion efficiency and emission factors for African savanna ecosystems, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 101, 23569–23576, 1996.

20

Ward, D. E., Setzer, A. W., Kaufman, Y. J., and Rasmussen, R. A.: Characteristics of smoke emissions from biomass fires of the Amazon region – BASE-A experiment, in: Global

- Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications, edited by: Levine, J. S., MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 394–402, 1991.
  - Ward, D. E., Susott, R. A., Kauffman, J. B., Babbitt, R. E., Cummings, D. L., Dias, B., Holben, B. N., Kaufman, Y. J., Rasmussen, R. A., and Setzer, A. W.: Smoke and fire Characteristics
- <sup>30</sup> for cerrado and deforestation burns in Brazil Base-B experiment, J. Geophys. Res.-A, 97, 14601–14619, 1992.
  - Yokelson, R. J., Bertschi, I. T., Christian, T. J., Hobbs, P. V., Ward, D. E., and Hao, W. M.: Trace gas measurements in nascent, aged, and cloud-processed smoke from African savanna fires

### **ACPD**

5, 2791-2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Scr	een / Esc	
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

by airborne Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (AFTIR), J. Geophys. Res.-A, 108, 8478, doi:8410.1029/2002JD002322, 2003.

### ACPD

5, 2791–2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page		
Abstract Introduction		
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
[◀	►I	
•	►	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

**Table 1.**  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  and  $EF_{CO}$  for tropical deforestation fires. When not given,  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  was obtained from  $EF_{CO}$  (or reverse) using Eq. (3) and the published value of  $EF_{CO2}$ , which was otherwise assumed to be 1580 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dm (values identified by a star). When given in terms of g kg<sup>-1</sup> C, values were converted to dry matter assuming a carbon content of the fuel of 45% (values identified by a cross), or using the published value.

Reference	Ecosystem/type of fire	Sampling method	$EF_{CO}$ (g kg <sup>-1</sup> dm)	ER <sub>CO/CO2</sub> (%)
Andreae et al. (1996)	South Africa	Aircraft, online measurements	94.5*	9.4
Andreae et al. (1988)	Amazonia	Aircraft, online measurements	85.5*	8.5
Delmas et al. (1995)	Tropical forests	Best estimate	130	12.9
Ferek et al. (1998)	Amazonia, flaming	Aircraft, grab-bag	63.0 <sup>†</sup>	6.6
Ferek et al. (1998)	Amazonia, smoldering	Aircraft, grab-bag	126.0 <sup>†</sup>	14.4
Greenberg et al. (1984)	Amazonia	Ground, canister	116.6*	11.6 (4.8–28.0) <sup>a</sup>
Prasad et al. (2000)	India, shifting cultivation	Ground, canister	93.4	12.4
Ward et al. (1991)	Amazonia	Aircraft, grab-bag	46.6, 97.7, 120.9	4.2, 9.2, 12.0
Ward et al. (1992)	Amazonia, primary forest	Mast, mass balance	135.3±20.8	13.6
Ward et al. (1992)	Amazonia, secondary forest	Mast, mass balance	91.3±14	8.7
This study	Amazonia, forest and pasture	Aircraft, online measurements	61* (47; 78) <sup>b</sup>	6.1 (4.7;7.8) <sup>b</sup>
This study	Amazonia, forest and pasture	Corrected for residual smoldering combustion (Eq. 4)	90* (82; 99) <sup>b</sup>	9.0* (8.2; 9.9) <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Range of 1 standard deviation around the mean of log-normal distribution.

<sup>b</sup> Median (First; Third quartile).

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
[ <b>4</b> ►]			
•	•		
Back	k Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Print Version			
Interactive Discussion			
EGU			

**Table 2.** Summary of the results (median (first; third quartile), n) of emission ratios of aerosol particles to CO and of CO-to-CO<sub>2</sub> for the plumes observed within and above the boundary layer.

	ER <sub>CO/CO2</sub> (%)	$ER_{CN/CO}$ (# cm <sup>-3</sup> ppb <sup>-1</sup> )	${\sf ER}_{\sf surf/CO}$ ( $\mu {\sf m}^2  {\sf cm}^{-3}  {\sf ppb}^{-1}$ )	$1000 \times \text{ER}_{\text{vol/CO}}$ $(\mu \text{m}^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1})$	$ER_{scat/CO}$ (Mm <sup>-1</sup> ppb <sup>-1</sup> )
All plumes Plumes in BL	6.1 (4.7; 7.8), <i>163</i> 5.7 (4.5; 7.8), <i>93</i>	23 (14; 32), <i>172</i> 25 (19; 36), <i>91</i>	2.1 (1.5; 2.6), <i>68</i> 1.6 (1.3; 2.2), <i>29</i>	77.3 (55.7; 103.7), <i>68</i> 58.4 (43.8; 71.2), <i>29</i>	0.82 (0.66; 1.15), <i>149</i> 0.73 (0.43; 0.86), <i>80</i>
Detrained plumes	6.4 (5.0; 7.7), 70	20 (12; 31), <i>81</i>	2.5 (1.7; 2.9), <i>39</i>	91.6 (71.4; 114.4), <i>39</i>	1.13 (0.81; 1.32

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.



**Table 3.** Summary of the results (median (first; third quartile), *n*) of emission factors of accumulation mode aerosol particles to CO for the plumes observed within and above the boundary layer.

	EF <sub>CN</sub>	EF <sub>vol</sub>	EF <sup>a</sup> <sub>mass</sub>
	(10 <sup>15</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> dm)	(cm <sup>3</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> dm)	(g kg <sup>-1</sup> dm)
Plumes in FT (detrained)	1.3 (0.67; 2.2), <i>53</i>	6.4 (4.4; 8.4), <i>34</i>	8.6 (5.9; 11.3), <i>34</i>
Plumes in BL	1.5 (0.87; 2.2), <i>34</i>	3.3 (2.7; 6.1), <i>11</i>	4.5 (3.6; 8.2), <i>11</i>
Plumes in BL, corrected for RSC	2.5 (2.2; 2.9), <i>34</i>	6.8 (6.4; 8.4), <i>11</i>	9.2 (8.7; 11.3), <i>11</i>

<sup>a</sup> Using a density of  $1.35 \,\mathrm{g} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ .

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### **Biomass burning** emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14		
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

EGU

Table 4.	Results	from the	Pista	de Cabeca	study	case fire	(PCF)	).
----------	---------	----------	-------	-----------	-------	-----------	-------	----

Plume #	CE	ER <sup>a</sup> <sub>CO/CO2</sub> (%)	EF <sub>CO</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> dm)	ER <sup>a</sup> <sub>scatt/CO</sub> (Mm <sup>-1</sup> ppb <sup>-1</sup> )	b <sup>b</sup> (700)	A <sup>b</sup> (450/550)	A <sup>b</sup> (450/700)	D <sup>c</sup> <sub>50</sub> (nm)	$\ln(\sigma)^{c}$
A	0.875	11.9±0.4	119.8	0.33±0.01	0.226±0.032	2.258±0.128	2.485±0.135	117.7±0.4	0.424±0.003
B	0.929	6.4±0.4	63.9	0.55±0.02	0.222±0.024	2.238±0.197	2.449±0.156	114.2±0.3	0.437±0.003
C	0.931	6.1±0.5	61.7	$0.52 \pm 0.03$	0.232±0.032	2.297±0.193	2.497±0.185	116.6±0.4	$0.465 \pm 0.004$
D	0.904	8.8±0.2	88.6	$0.67 \pm 0.02$	0.217±0.018	2.295±0.129	2.522±0.097	141.6±0.5	$0.426 \pm 0.004$

<sup>a</sup>  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  (%) and  $ER_{scatt/CO}$  (Mm<sup>-1</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>) are the slope of the regression of CO-to-CO<sub>2</sub> and aerosol scattering (at 550 nm) to CO for each plume,  $\pm$  standard error associated with the linear fit.

<sup>b</sup>  $b_{(700)}$ ,  $A_{(450/550)}$ , and  $A_{(450/700)}$  are averaged values ± standard deviation for each plume. <sup>c</sup>  $D_{50}$  and  $\ln(\sigma)$  are the geometric mean diameter and the logarithm of the standard deviation of the log-normal fitted size distributions, ± standard error associated with the fit.

5, 2791–2831, 2005

# Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.

Title Page							
Abstract	Introduction						
Conclusions	References						
Tables	Figures						
14	ÞI						
4							
Back	Close						
Eull Seroon / Eco							
Full Screen / ESC							
Print Version							
Interactive Discussion							

EGU

**Table 5.** Results from the Santa Lucia fire (SLF), a deforestation fire, situated south of the town of Vilhena, Rondônia, ca. 14.12° S, 60.17° W.

Plume	CE	ER <sup>a</sup> CO/CO2 (%)	EF <sub>CO</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> dm)	ER <sup>a</sup> <sub>CN/CO</sub> (cm <sup>-3</sup> ppm <sup>-1</sup> )	EF <sub>CN</sub> (10 <sup>15</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> dm)	ER <sup>a</sup> <sub>scatt/CO</sub> (Mm <sup>-1</sup> ppb <sup>-1</sup> )	b <sup>b</sup> (700)	A <sup>b</sup> <sub>(450/700)</sub>
Fresh plumes	0.87–0.94	4.2–12.4	43–125	9–35	0.5–2	0.17–0.40	0.2–0.25	1.88–2.30
In cloud	0.94	5.2	52	19	1.1	0.51	0.19	2.20
Detrained	0.97	2.9	29	44	1.6	1.13	0.25	2.12

<sup>a</sup>  $ER_{CO/CO2}$  (%) and  $ER_{scatt/CO}$  (Mm<sup>-1</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>) are the slope of the regression of CO-to-CO<sub>2</sub> and aerosol scattering (at 550 nm) to CO for each plume.

<sup>b</sup>  $b_{(700)}$ ,  $A_{(450/550)}$ , and  $A_{(450/700)}$  are averaged values ± standard deviation for each plume.





5, 2791-2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.



**Fig. 1. (a)** Deforestation rate in km<sup>2</sup> per year for the Amazon region from 1977–2004 as observed by Landsat image analysis. Data kindly provided by the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), Saõ Paulo, Brazil. **(b)** Number of fire spots detected in Amazonia from 1999 to 2004 using the AVHRR (Advanced very high resolution radiometer) NOAA-12 satellite. Data kindly provided by INPE and the Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis (IBAMA), Brasília, Brazil.

5, 2791-2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.



EGU



**Fig. 2.** Diurnal variation of CO-to-CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios (in % CO per CO<sub>2</sub>) observed from aircraft measurements during the SMOCC 2002 campaign. Given are median values calculated over hourly time bins. The error bars represent the first and third quartiles of the measurements.

5, 2791-2831, 2005

Median, 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles 40 -Number of observations per time bin n ∆CN/∆CO (cm<sup>-3</sup>/ppb) 30 23 4 **3**6 15 18 13 46 20 3 14 10 0 14 - 15 16 - 17 18 - 19 20 - 21 22 - 23time bins GMT (hours, LT + 4)



Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.



5, 2791-2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.



EGU



**Fig. 4.** Frequency distribution of the CO-to- $CO_2$  emission ratios (in %) for all plumes with a CO enhancement larger than 100 ppb that were observed after 17:00 UT during the SMOCC 2002 campaign (gray bars). Also given are the same data segregated into those plumes observed below (white bars) and above (black bars) the boundary layer (BL).

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

#### P. Guyon et al.





**Fig. 5.** Observations of boundary layer heights (in meters above sea level) over the Amazon Basin obtained by aircraft measurements of temperature profiles as a function of the time of the day (hours).

5, 2791-2831, 2005

Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.



**Fig. 6.** Frequency distribution of the CN-to-CO emission ratios (in particles per cm<sup>3</sup> and per ppb CO) for all plumes with a CO enhancement larger than 100 ppb that were observed during the SMOCC 2002 campaign (gray bars). Also given are the same data segregated into those plumes observed within (white bars) and above (black bars) the boundary layer (BL).



5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions







**Fig. 7.** Scatter plots and linear regressions of  $EF_{CN}$  (open squares, black line) and  $EF_{mass}$  (black squares, dashed line) on CE.

5, 2791-2831, 2005

#### Biomass burning emissions

P. Guyon et al.





**Fig. 8.** Aerosol particle number size distribution of a fresh smoke plume (black dots) and a detrained smoke plume (open circles) from the "Pista de Cabeça" prescribed fire (PCF) observed on 1 October 2002. The black curves represent the log-normal fits to the distributions.