



**HAL**  
open science

## Free radical modelling studies during the UK TORCH Campaign in summer 2003

K. M. Emmerson, N. Carslaw, D. C. Carslaw, J. D. Lee, G. Mcfiggans, W.  
Bloss, T. Gravestock, D. E. Heard, J. Hopkins, T. Ingham, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

K. M. Emmerson, N. Carslaw, D. C. Carslaw, J. D. Lee, G. Mcfiggans, et al.. Free radical modelling studies during the UK TORCH Campaign in summer 2003. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 2006, 6 (5), pp.10523-10565. hal-00302219

**HAL Id: hal-00302219**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00302219>**

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Free radical modelling studies during the UK TORCH Campaign in summer 2003

K. M. Emmerson<sup>1,\*</sup>, N. Carslaw<sup>1</sup>, D. C. Carslaw<sup>2</sup>, J. D. Lee<sup>3</sup>, G. McFiggans<sup>4</sup>,  
W. J. Bloss<sup>5</sup>, T. Gravestock<sup>5</sup>, D. E. Heard<sup>5</sup>, J. Hopkins<sup>3</sup>, T. Ingham<sup>5</sup>, M. J. Pilling<sup>5</sup>,  
S. C. Smith<sup>5</sup>, M. J. Jacob<sup>6,\*\*</sup>, and P. S. Monks<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Environment Department, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK

<sup>4</sup>School of Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Science, University of Manchester, Manchester, M60 1QD, UK

<sup>5</sup>School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>6</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

\* now at: School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

\*\* now at: IÖZ (Interdisciplinary Environmental Research Centre), TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Brennhausgasse 14, 09599 Freiberg, Germany

Received: 31 August 2006 – Accepted: 13 October 2006 – Published: 18 October 2006

Correspondence to: N. Carslaw (nc12@york.ac.uk)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Abstract

The Tropospheric ORganic CHemistry experiment (TORCH) took place during the heatwave of summer 2003 at Writtle College, a site 2 miles west of Chelmsford in Essex and 25 miles north east of London. The experiment was one of the most highly instrumented to date. A combination of a large number of days of simultaneous, collocated measurements, a consequent wealth of model constraints and a highly detailed chemical mechanism, allowed the atmospheric chemistry of this site to be studied in detail. The concentrations of the hydroxyl radical, the hydroperoxy radical and the sum of peroxy radicals, were measured between 25 July and 31 August using laser-induced fluorescence at low pressure and the peroxy radical chemical amplifier techniques. The concentrations of the radical species were predicted using a zero-dimensional box model based on the Master Chemical Mechanism version 3.1, which was constrained with the observed concentrations of relatively long-lived species. The model included a detailed parameterisation to account for heterogeneous loss of hydroperoxy radicals onto aerosol particles. Quantile-quantile plots were used to assess the model performance in respect of the measured radical concentrations. On average, measured hydroxyl radical concentrations were over-predicted by 24%. Modelled and measured hydroperoxy radical concentrations agreed very well, with the model over-predicting on average by only 7%. The sum of peroxy radicals was under-predicted when compared with the respective measurements by 22%. OH initiation was dominated by the reactions of excited oxygen atoms with water, nitrous acid photolysis and the ozone reaction with alkene species. Photolysis of aldehyde species was the main initiation route for HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>. Termination, under all conditions, primarily involved reactions with NO<sub>x</sub> for OH and heterogeneous chemistry on aerosol surfaces for HO<sub>2</sub>. The OH chain length varied between 2 and 8 cycles, the longer chain lengths occurring before and after the most polluted part of the campaign. Peak local ozone production of 17 ppb hr<sup>-1</sup> occurred on 3 and 5 August, signifying the importance of local chemical processes to ozone production on these days. On the whole, agreement between model and

ACPD

6, 10523–10565, 2006

### TORCH 1 modelling team

K. M. Emmerson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

measured radicals is good, giving confidence that our understanding of atmospheres influenced by nearby urban sources is adequate.

## 1 Introduction

The hydroxyl radical (OH) is an important species in the atmosphere, which can react with almost all other species, oxidising them eventually to carbon dioxide and water. OH has a short lifetime ( $<1$  s in the mid-latitude continental boundary layer) and so its concentration is not directly affected by transport. Rather, the budget of OH is controlled by local concentrations of ozone ( $O_3$ ), water, sunlight, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), carbon monoxide (CO) and oxides of nitrogen ( $NO_x$ ).

In polluted, urban environments, high levels of anthropogenic VOCs cause OH to be rapidly cycled to hydroperoxy ( $HO_2$ ) and organic peroxy ( $RO_2$ ) radicals, which in turn can react with NO to reform OH. The reaction of  $HO_2$  with NO also forms  $NO_2$ , which can be photolysed, leading to the creation of  $O_3$ . A single OH radical can initiate the degradation of many molecules of trace gases leading to not only their eventual removal from the atmosphere but also the formation of tropospheric  $O_3$ . Clearly the OH radical plays a pivotal role in photochemistry, and a complete understanding of the sources, sinks and cycling of OH is essential to understanding the chemistry of the atmosphere. For a more detailed review of radical chemistry in the boundary layer, the reader is referred to the review by Monks (2005).

Under clean conditions where  $NO_x$  concentrations are low, the most important source of OH tends to be the photolysis of  $O_3$  at wavelengths  $\leq 340$  nm. This reaction may yield an excited state oxygen atom ( $O(^1D)$ ), which can react with ambient water vapour to form two OH radicals. In polluted atmospheres, other photolytic sources become significant. Nitrous acid (HONO) can increase in concentration during the night in the presence of high levels of  $NO_2$  (Kurtenbach et al., 2001). The HONO formed overnight can then be photolysed at wavelengths of light below 400 nm producing OH radicals at dawn. This reaction can be an important OH source in the early morning

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

hours, when high solar zenith angles prevent short wavelength UV light from penetrating the lower troposphere and hence production of OH by photolysis of  $O_3$  is suppressed. In addition, the photolysis of formaldehyde (HCHO), can lead to the formation of two  $HO_2$  radicals: the photolysis of HCHO and other aldehydes occurs further into the visible region of the spectrum than that of ozone to produce OH, so allowing these processes to become relatively more important at the ends of the day (Alicke et al., 2003).

OH and  $HO_2$  radicals also undergo propagation reactions. For instance, OH can react with CO or  $O_3$  to produce  $HO_2$ , whilst its reactions with hydrocarbons lead to the formation of  $RO_2$ . In the presence of NO,  $RO_2$  radicals are converted into  $HO_2$ , which can go on to react with NO (polluted atmospheres) or  $O_3$  (clean atmospheres) to reform OH.

Termination reactions also vary depending on the level of pollution. In clean atmospheres, the main sinks for  $HO_x$  (sum of OH and  $HO_2$ ) are the self and cross-reactions of peroxy and hydroperoxy radicals, whilst in polluted atmospheres, most  $HO_x$  is lost through the reaction of OH with  $NO_2$  to form nitric acid,  $HNO_3$ .

This paper describes the use of a zero-dimensional photochemical box model to establish the sources and sinks for OH,  $HO_2$  and  $RO_2$  during the recent UK NERC (Natural Environment Research Council) TORCH (Tropospheric Organic Chemistry Experiment) campaign in Writtle, south-east England. The model incorporates a detailed chemical mechanism (the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM), which is essential to understand the complexities of radical processing in urban areas. This technique has been used frequently at remote marine boundary layer sites and in rural continental environments, where models constrained with observations of longer-lived species have been used to predict radical concentrations for comparison with measurements (Carslaw et al., 1999a, b, 2001, 2002; Martinez et al., 2003; Konrad et al., 2003; Ren et al., 2003; Sommariva et al., 2004).

Polluted environments have also been studied. During BERLIOZ (Berliner Ozone Experiment) in July and August 1998, good agreement was obtained between modelled

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

and measured OH at NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations greater than 5 ppb for the 2 days studied, but modelled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> was over-estimated by 100 and 40% respectively at low NO<sub>x</sub> (Konrad et al., 2003). Modelled RO<sub>2</sub> was in good agreement with the measurements over the full range of observed NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations. During the Los Angeles Free Radical Experiment in September 1993, OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were overpredicted by ~25–50% on average (George et al., 1999). The PM<sub>2.5</sub> Technology Assessment and Characterisation Study (PMTACS) program was held in New York City in the summer of 2001, and the measured to modelled ratio was 1.1 for OH, and 1.2 for HO<sub>2</sub> (Ren et al., 2003). The model under estimation was attributed to a combination of a missing propagation route from HO<sub>2</sub> to OH (Tan et al., 2001) and to missing sources for OH, although the chemical reaction scheme used in the model was highly simplified (the RACM (Stockwell et al., 1997) scheme was used which adopts a lumping technique). In winter 2004, the OH measured to modelled ratio was 1.2, but HO<sub>2</sub> was under-predicted by a factor of 6 (Ren et al., 2006). This under-prediction was conjectured to be a lack of HO<sub>x</sub> production and/or propagation terms, which do not involve the production or loss of OH.

Observed concentrations of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> during the Southern Oxidants Study (SOS) in Nashville, Tennessee in midsummer 1999, were factors of 1.3 and 1.6 higher than modelled OH and HO<sub>2</sub>, respectively (Martinez et al., 2003). The authors speculated that an unknown OH production route which occurred both day and night and was well correlated with HO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and HCHO, could go some way to explaining the model under-prediction of OH and HO<sub>2</sub>. The Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) study during April 2003 showed that under very polluted conditions, modelled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> were under-predicted at night and during the morning rush hour, but over-predicted by 30% at noon (Shirley et al., 2005).

The Pollution of the Urban Midlands Atmosphere (PUMA) campaign (Emmerson et al., 2005a, b; Harrison et al., 2006; Heard et al., 2004) took place in Birmingham city centre during summer 1999 and winter 2000. Whilst there was generally good agreement between the modelled and measured OH concentrations, the model tended

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**TORCH 1 modelling  
team**

K. M. Emmerson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

to under-predict during daylight hours (between 11:00 and 15:00 h), with modelled to measured ratios of 0.6 and 0.5 for OH during summer and winter respectively. However, the average model under-predictions were greatly skewed by a few occasions where the measured values became very high with no obvious explanation (Emmerson et al., 2005a). Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> were over-predicted by the model by a factor of 2 during the day, although only a very simple parameterisation was used to treat loss of HO<sub>2</sub> to aerosol surface. A rate of production analysis carried out to investigate key radical sources and sinks indicated that oxygenated VOCs could be important in urban atmospheres, both for radical initiation (through photolysis of carbonyls for instance) and also in terms of radical propagation from OH to RO<sub>2</sub> (Emmerson et al., 2005b).

The TORCH campaign took place during July and August 2003, in the grounds of Writtle College in the southeast of England. Writtle is ideally placed to examine the chemical processes occurring within air parcels travelling from the continent and over London, situated as it is some 25 miles north east of London. During the campaign measurements were made of 52 gas-phase species as well as physical and chemical aerosol characteristics, meteorological parameters and various photolysis rates. In particular, following the identification of the potential role of oxygenated VOCs in processing radicals during the PUMA campaign (Emmerson et al., 2005b), measurements of several of these species were made including methanol, ethanol, propanol, acetone, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein (Lee et al., 2006).

Southern England experienced a heat wave during August 2003, with temperatures peaking at 38.5°C in Kent. A widespread, regional smog enveloped the southern UK, as well as northern parts of France, Germany and the Netherlands. During this period, over 2000 excess deaths occurred in England and Wales, with ~800 thought to be related to the high ozone and PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations (Stedman, 2004).

One of the major objectives of the TORCH campaign was to compare measured radical concentrations with results from comprehensive chemical mechanisms. In this paper, the TORCH experiment has been used to provide a test of in situ chemistry in partially processed polluted air, by comparing measured hydroxyl (OH), hydroperoxy

(HO<sub>2</sub>) and organic peroxy (RO<sub>2</sub>) radical concentrations with those predicted by models constrained by a comprehensive experimental dataset of organic and inorganic species. In addition, a rate of production analysis (ROPA) has been used to study the ~14 000 reactions in the model, to identify which reactions and species are key for driving the radical chemistry.

## 2 Experimental

TORCH was a consortium project involving 8 UK universities (Aberystwyth, Bristol, Imperial, Leeds, Leicester, Manchester, UEA and York), and took place from 25 July to 31 August 2003. Simultaneous measurements were made of meteorological conditions, aerosol size distribution and composition, OH, HO<sub>2</sub>, HO<sub>2</sub> + ΣRO<sub>2</sub>, non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC), O-VOCs, CO, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>3</sub> and the photolysis rates of acetaldehyde, acetone, hydrogen peroxide, formaldehyde, nitric acid, nitrous acid, PAN, NO<sub>2</sub> and ozone (→O<sup>1</sup>D). A brief summary of the main measurements used to constrain or compare with the model follows.

The site at Writtle in Essex is surrounded by crop-based agriculture (sunflowers and grain), and the area in the immediate vicinity of the site is a meadow field normally used as an overflow car park for the college during term time. The college was not busy during the fieldwork. There were gaps in the measured data owing to instrument downtime (HO<sub>x</sub> measurements in particular between 6–18 August) and a power failure on 11 August. With the exception of the given dates, data coverage was very good, enabling modelling studies of the radicals to be undertaken for most of the period between 27 July and 30 August.

Ozone was measured on site using a UV absorption detector (Thermo Environmental Instruments, Model 49C). The estimated uncertainty in the O<sub>3</sub> concentrations was ±2 ppbV. NO was measured using a NO/O<sub>3</sub> chemiluminescence analyzer (Thermo Environmental Instruments, Model 42C – trace level), with the instrument sequentially measuring NO and NO<sub>x</sub> (NO + NO<sub>2</sub>) by use of a heated Molybdenum converter. The

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

detection limit for the instrument was approximately 0.1 ppbV for NO and total NO<sub>x</sub>. Calibrations for NO and NO<sub>2</sub> were carried out using a gas standard (Air Products) and a resulting uncertainty for NO and NO<sub>2</sub> measurements was estimated to be ±0.2 ppbV.

C<sub>2</sub> – C<sub>7</sub> NMHCs and C<sub>2</sub> – C<sub>5</sub> oxygenated volatile organic compounds (O-VOCs) including alcohols, aldehydes and ketones were measured using a two-column, gas chromatograph (GC) with flame-ionisation detector as described in detail by Hopkins et al. (2002) and in Lee et al. (2006). The entire analysis process took around 1 h (including a 10-min sampling period), and was fully automated. Detection limits were between 1 and 10 pptV for NMHCs and 10–40 pptV for O-VOCs.

Measurements of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals were made by the University of Leeds using on-resonance, low pressure laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) at 308 nm, through the FAGE (Fluorescence Assay with Gas Expansion) technique (Heard and Pilling, 2003 and references therein). The instrument was based upon the design deployed in previous campaigns, most recently described in Smith et al. (2005). In brief, the Leeds FAGE system employs a solid-state, Nd:YAG pumped Ti:Sapphire laser to generate 308 nm radiation for LIF excitation (see Bloss et al., 2003 for a full description of the laser system) which is directed into two low pressure fluorescence cells using fibre optic cables. Thus, using two separate fluorescence cells it is possible to simultaneously detect OH and HO<sub>2</sub> (the latter through chemical conversion to OH via addition of NO), by collecting the resultant OH fluorescence, at ~308 nm, using gated photomultipliers. Calibrations were carried out daily during the campaign using the photolysis of water vapour at 185 nm coupled with O<sub>3</sub> actinometry, with average daytime detection limits of 3.75×10<sup>5</sup> and 2.77×10<sup>6</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> for OH and HO<sub>2</sub> respectively. The accuracy in the reported measurements is determined by the calibration accuracy and is 22% and 25% respectively (1σ) for OH and HO<sub>2</sub> (Smith et al., 1995). The precision of the instrument (quoted as a percentage) will depend upon the concentration measured, and is controlled by fluctuations in the background signal (solar and laser-induced). The standard deviation of the background signal was ±2.6 counts s<sup>-1</sup>, and hence for [OH] = 3×10<sup>6</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, the precision is 20%, giving a total uncertainty in quadrature of

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

30% (Smith et al., 1995). For  $[\text{HO}_2] = 1 \times 10^8 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$  (4 pptv), the corresponding  $1\sigma$  uncertainty is 28% (Smith et al., 1995).

Measurements of peroxy radicals ( $\text{HO}_2 + \Sigma\text{RO}_2$ ) were carried out using the Peroxy Radical Chemical Amplifier (PERCA) technique (Fleming et al., 2005). Briefly, the method relies upon the  $\text{HO}_2$  and OH radical-catalysed conversion of NO and CO into  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}_2$  respectively, through addition of NO and CO into the inlet region. Organic peroxy radicals are converted into  $\text{HO}_2$  in the presence of NO to produce  $\text{NO}_2$  with varying efficiencies. The yields of both  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}_2$  are equal to  $\text{CL} * ([\text{RO}_2] + [\text{HO}_2] + [\text{OH}])$ , where CL is the chain length, i.e. the number of  $\text{HO}_2/\text{OH}$  inter-conversion cycles that occur before radical termination. The yield of  $\text{NO}_2$  was measured using commercial LMA-3 detectors, which was converted into  $[\text{HO}_2 + \Sigma\text{RO}_2]$  using  $\Delta[\text{NO}_2]/\text{CL}$ . The detector signal consists of a small  $\text{NO}_2$  enhancement from peroxy radical conversion on a much larger signal from ambient  $\text{NO}_2$  and titration of ambient  $\text{O}_3$  by NO added in the inlets. The detectors were calibrated each day by plotting minute values of this background signal with ambient measurements of  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_3$  from commercial instruments (see earlier in this section), which were sampling air close to where the PERCA inlets were located. The chain length was calculated using a calibration source based upon the photolysis of  $\text{CH}_3\text{I}$  at 253.7 nm to yield  $\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2$  at varying concentrations (Clemittshaw et al., 1997). A humidity correction factor equation (using ambient humidity and inlet temperatures) was applied to all PERCA data following Salisbury et al. (2002). Raw data were captured at a frequency of 1 Hz and peroxy radical mixing ratios were derived on a 1-min basis with a  $1\sigma$  error of 35%.

The rates of photolysis of a variety of species were measured using a  $2\pi$  sr spectral radiometer. The spectral radiometer used an imaging spectrograph and a diode array detector to spectrally resolve sunlight in the range 285–710 nm and raw intensities were converted to actinic fluxes using primary irradiance standards (NIST) at 1 nm intervals. Photolysis frequencies were then calculated using these fluxes and tabulated absorption cross-sections and photodissociation quantum yields (Edwards and Monks, 2003; Monks et al., 2004).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

### 3 The model

The Master Chemical Mechanism version 3.1 (MCM, available online at (<http://www.chem.leeds.ac.uk/Atmospheric/MCM/mcmproj.html>) contains near-explicit chemical degradation schemes for 135 primary emitted VOCs, based on the most important species in the UK National Atmospheric Emissions Inventory (Jenkin et al., 2003; Saunders et al., 2003), resulting in ~14 000 reactions involving ~5000 molecular and free radical species. The MCM is a comprehensive mechanism treating each degradation step explicitly and as such, makes no simplifications through the use of lumping techniques or the adoption of surrogate species. The MCM is as closely linked as possible to laboratory measurements and theoretical studies on component elementary reactions and so is more directly linked to available experimental data than are lumped mechanisms. The rates of three body reactions within the MCM were updated following recent recommendations (IUPAC, 2005).

The TORCH model utilises measurements of longer-lived species and physical parameters (such as meteorological data and photolysis rates) as input constraints at 15-min intervals. Predicted concentrations of the short-lived radical species are then compared with measurements. There were measurements of 39 VOC species from the field campaign that are primary emitted species with degradation mechanisms available in the MCM. These included 13 C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> alkanes, 11 C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkenes, 6 aromatic species, acetylene, 1-3-butadiene, isoprene, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, methanol, ethanol, propanol and acetone. A further 74 VOC species were introduced to the model via straight-line relationships with benzene, o-xylene and acetone, which were all measured. These relationships were derived by running a trajectory model containing the same MCM chemistry and driven by emissions suitable for polluted conditions, and using the output to derive relationships between benzene, o-xylene and acetone and the unmeasured species (with  $r^2$  varying between 0.83 and 1). Consequently, there are model inputs for 84% of the primary emitted species in the model that are directly or indirectly based on measured values. In addition, the model was constrained with

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

measured values of NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, PAN and CO, as well as temperature and water vapour concentration.

Many of the important photolysis rates were determined experimentally during TORCH, as detailed in the previous section. The remaining photolysis rates in the model were evaluated using the 2-stream isentropic approach used by Hough (1988), which assumes clear sky, summertime conditions. A cloud attenuation factor (CAF) was derived to normalise the calculated photolysis rates, by comparing the measured  $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$  rate with the theoretical maximum calculated by the model for the specific latitude and time of year. The CAF was then used to correct the calculated photolysis coefficients.

Dry deposition rates were assigned to 1105 species and applied over the depth of the mixing layer, which varies in the model between 300 m at nighttime, increasing to 1300 m as the boundary layer collapses in the morning. The values were taken from Derwent (1996) for HNO<sub>3</sub> (2 cm s<sup>-1</sup>), NO<sub>2</sub> (0.15 cm s<sup>-1</sup>), PAN (0.2 cm s<sup>-1</sup>, assumed the same for 216 PAN-type species), O<sub>3</sub> (0.5 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) and SO<sub>2</sub> (0.5 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) and from Brasseur et al. (1998) for methyl- and ethyl-nitrate (1.1 cm s<sup>-1</sup>, assumed the same for 286 organic nitrates), HCHO (0.33 cm s<sup>-1</sup> and assumed the same for 222 organic aldehydes), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1.1 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) and CH<sub>3</sub>OOH (0.55 cm s<sup>-1</sup> and assumed the same for 976 organic peroxides).

The concentrations are calculated every minute using Facsimile for Windows software and averaged to 15 min to be consistent with the time interval of the input constraints, before being compared with the radical data. The model was run with the same input data for 4 consecutive days in order for the calculated radical concentrations to achieve steady state. The final 24 h of the model run were then used as the “model day” for comparison with the measurements. Running the model for longer than 4 days made no appreciable difference (<0.6%) to the predicted results.

Unlike in our previous work in urban areas (Emmerson et al., 2005a, b), a loss route of HO<sub>2</sub> onto aerosol surfaces has been calculated using measurements of aerosol size and number distributions (following Haggerstone et al., 2005), and takes into account

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

diffusion of HO<sub>2</sub> to the particle surface and interfacial mass transport. Using the appropriate aerosol measurements, heterogeneous loss of HO<sub>2</sub> was treated using a rate coefficient,  $k_t$ , integrated over all bin sizes of particles up to 768 nm (1):

$$k_t = \int_0^\infty \frac{dV(r)}{dr} \left( \frac{r^2}{3D_g} + \frac{4r}{3\bar{v}\gamma} \right)^{-1} dr \quad (1)$$

where  $k_t$  is the rate of loss of HO<sub>2</sub> to the aerosol surface,  $V$  is the volume of aerosol,  $r$  is the particle radius (in a particular size bin),  $D_g$  is the gas diffusivity constant,  $\bar{v}$  is the mean molecular velocity and  $\gamma$  is the accommodation coefficient for uptake of HO<sub>2</sub> onto the aerosols. In the atmosphere,  $\gamma$  is a function of the aerosol composition and radius: the composition of the aerosol surface is assumed to be homogeneous for the purposes of this parameterisation.

There are few measurements of the accommodation coefficient of HO<sub>2</sub>: the limited measurements available suggest a value in the range from 0.01–0.8, with a strong dependence on the surface characteristics and temperature (Haggerstone et al., 2005; Thornton and Abbat, 2005). Haggerstone et al. (2005) carried out a review of the available literature, and found that a value of 0.2 was most appropriate for conditions experienced during a clean air campaign in the marine boundary layer at Cape Grim in Tasmania. It has been suggested that the value of the accommodation coefficient for HO<sub>2</sub> may approach unity in urban areas (Saylor, 1997). In this work we have somewhat arbitrarily assumed a value of 0.5, somewhere in the middle of reported values. The model sensitivity to the range of values was tested and it was found that the HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations decreased by ~18% when  $\gamma$  was changed from 0.02 to 1.0. These results stress the need for further laboratory based measurements of the accommodation coefficient for HO<sub>2</sub> on a range of surfaces applicable to the urban and suburban atmosphere.

A heterogeneous production rate for HONO, as recommended by Kurtenbach et al. (2001) has been parameterised in the model. By considering the relationship with NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, Kurtenbach et al. (2001) derive  $k_{\text{HONO}}$  to be

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

$(2.9 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3} \text{ m min}^{-1}$ . This assumes that HONO is formed when  $\text{NO}_2$  reacts with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in the presence of an aerosol surface. This route, rather than a HONO source from the ground surface is appropriate because the FAGE measurement inlet (and hence the model box height) is 6–7 m from the ground.

As well as predicting radical concentrations, the model was used to carry out a rate of production analysis (ROPA). The first stage in the ROPA involved isolating all reactions that play a part in the initiation, propagation or termination of OH,  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{RO}_2$ . The rates of the key reactions for OH (reaction with  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$ , NO,  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{HO}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{O}_3$ , CO and HCHO and production from  $\text{HNO}_3$ , HONO and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  photolysis along with the reaction of  $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$  with water) and for  $\text{HO}_2$  (reaction with NO,  $\text{HO}_2$ ,  $\text{O}_3$  and loss on aerosol and production from formaldehyde photolysis) were considered individually, but the remaining reactions were grouped to aid interpretation. For instance, the reaction rate for the production of  $\text{HO}_2$  from the photolysis of HCHO was studied as an individual reaction, but the rate of  $\text{HO}_2$  production from the photolysis of all other aldehyde species was grouped. A complete list of the groupings is detailed in Emmerson et al. (2005b).

## 4 Results and discussion

The aim of the TORCH experiment was to sample partially processed, recently polluted air coming from London. This situation occurred for the early part of the campaign (28 July–3 August), when air parcels originated in the Atlantic, and then passed over the Southern UK and London before reaching the site from a southerly/south-westerly direction. The air was also Atlantic in origin on the 4 and 5 August, but passed over northern France and the North Sea before arriving at the site from an easterly direction. A hot, stagnant period dominated from the 6–10 August (the heatwave period), when a high pressure weather system presided over the UK and much of Western Europe. The high pressure system was associated with very low (westerly) wind speeds ( $0.5\text{--}5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) and maximum daily temperatures of  $26\text{--}37^\circ\text{C}$ . Concentrations of many species

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

increased at this time (Lee et al., 2006), although OH and HO<sub>2</sub> measurements were unavailable. From the 13–24 August, the air was Atlantic in origin, and passed over the UK (via the Midlands) before arriving at the site in a westerly/north-westerly direction. Finally, the end of the campaign was dominated by air masses of an Arctic origin, which travelled over the North Sea before arriving at Writtle from a northerly/north-easterly direction (see Lee et al., 2006) for more details). Thirty-two days of measurements were available to carry out modelling studies.

#### 4.1 The hydroxyl radical, OH

Measurements of [OH] show a diurnal cycle with daytime maxima between 1.2–7.5×10<sup>6</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>. There were six nights on which [OH] of up to 8.5×10<sup>5</sup> were measured (mean night-time detection limit 3.4×10<sup>4</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>) indicative of significant night-time sources of OH. The nighttime data will be the subject of a future publication and will not be discussed further here. The average [OH] profile shows a rise that starts early in the morning (~05:00 h) and persists into the evening (~18:30 h) when levels of j(O<sup>1</sup>D) are not significant. The 24 h mean [OH] for the entire campaign (derived from hourly means) was found to be 1.4×10<sup>6</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>.

The time series of calculated and measured OH concentrations are shown in Fig. 1 (note that all figures and times referred to in the text are in GMT). The agreement between modelled and measured OH concentrations is generally good. Between 27–29 July, peak modelled and measured concentrations are 2.6×10<sup>6</sup> and 2.7×10<sup>6</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Agreement is also particularly good between 27–29 August where peak OH concentrations are 4.4×10<sup>6</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> for both model and measurements. There are two dates on which there are significant departures in the agreement; 30 July where the measurements are a factor of 1.4 higher than the modelled OH concentrations between 11:00–15:00 h, and on 24 August, where the model over-predicts OH by a factor of 1.5 between 11:00–15:00 h.

Figure 2 shows the modelled and measured OH data as two diurnal plots. Each 15 min period of the day has been averaged for all the days where there are model

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

and measurement data available. Figure 2 shows that the greatest deviation between model and measurements occurs between 11:00–16:00 h and that agreement is generally better before and after this period.

The deviation between 11:00–16:00 h is interesting: these hours are certainly those of maximum chemical processing and indeed, concentrations of ozone remain high well into the afternoon. The model displays an asymmetric diurnal profile of OH, whilst that of the measurements appears to be centred around solar noon. In terms of OH initiation, the reaction of  $O(^1D)$  with water, the group of reactions of ozone with alkenes and monoterpenes and photolysis of HONO are of similar importance ( $31$ ,  $21$  and  $21 \times 10^5$  molecule  $cm^{-3} s^{-1}$ ) averaged over the period from 11:00–15:00 h for the whole campaign. With the exception of HONO photolysis, these initiation rates are based on measurements. Although the concentration of HONO is estimated by the model, its largest impact will be at dawn, when the overnight reservoir is rapidly photolysed. The agreement between modelled and measured OH is good at this stage, so the parameterisation adopted to calculate HONO concentration is unlikely to be the sole cause of the observed deviation.

The rate of production analyses are summarised in Table 1, which shows reaction rates for all initiation (radical product(s), no radical reactants), termination (radical reactant(s), no radical products) and propagation (radical to radical transformation) reactions of OH,  $HO_2$  and  $RO_2$  for each day of the campaign between 11:00–15:00 h where measurements were available. Average concentrations of the key model input parameters  $NO_x$ ,  $O_3$ ,  $j(O^1D)$  and ppbC (parts per billion of carbon in the form of VOCs) are also shown in Table 1 to aid interpretation of results. The  $O^1D+H_2O$  route dominates OH initiation (42%) on average, with the photolysis of HONO and  $O_3$ +alkenes both making up 29%. During the heatwave period, 53% of OH initiation occurred via  $O^1D+H_2O$  and 43% from  $O_3$ +alkenes. Only 3% of OH initiation occurred through HONO photolysis at this time. Production of OH from HONO photolysis during TORCH was greatest between 24 and 28 August.

Table 1 shows that maximum OH initiation took place on 9 August at a rate of

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

157×10<sup>5</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. 9 August was within the heatwave, when the average concentration of measured VOCs was 70 ppbC, with corresponding NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations of 10 and 93 ppb, respectively (Table 1). Lowest OH initiation took place during a north Atlantic/northern UK trajectory path on 30 July with a production rate of just 21×10<sup>5</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Measured VOC concentrations on this day were 31 ppbC, with NO<sub>x</sub> at 7 ppb and an O<sub>3</sub> concentration of 37 ppb.

Radical termination via OH during TORCH was due mainly to reaction with NO<sub>x</sub> species, NO<sub>2</sub> (57%) and NO (16%). Average concentrations of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> over the TORCH campaign were 3 ppb and 8 ppb, respectively, giving an NO:NO<sub>2</sub> ratio of 0.4, similar to that found for the summer PUMA campaign (Emmerson et al., 2005a). Other important reactions were with various unsaturated species (9%), PAN species (8%) and organic nitrates (6%). The dominance of the nitrated species is clear, and shows how loss of the OH radical is almost entirely (88%) dependent on them.

Reaction with NO<sub>2</sub> is more important during the early part of the campaign causing termination of 71% of the OH radicals with a further 14% through reaction with NO. During the heatwave period, 56% of OH is lost through reaction with NO<sub>2</sub> but only 4% lost due to reaction with NO. The air mass was stagnant under these conditions and therefore aged, containing mainly secondary oxidised species. Hence, PAN species account for 13% of OH termination, with unsaturated species 14% during the heatwave. Greatest OH termination takes place on 5 August with 299×10<sup>5</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest rate is 28×10<sup>5</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> on 27 July (Table 1).

## 4.2 The hydroperoxy radical, HO<sub>2</sub>

Diurnal profiles in [HO<sub>2</sub>] were much less pronounced than for [OH] and in several cases asymmetric about noon with secondary peaks in [HO<sub>2</sub>] occurring in the late afternoon/early evening. Noon-time maxima varied between 0.16–3.3×10<sup>8</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> (0.6–13.5 pptV). There were night-time HO<sub>2</sub> radicals above the daily calculated night-time detection limit on nine nights of the campaign (mean night-

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

time detection limit  $1.3 \times 10^6$  molecule  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) with concentrations of between 0.02 and  $1.00 \times 10^8$  molecule  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  (0.1–4.1 pptV). A peak in NO at  $\sim 06:00$  h (attributed to a combination of the collapse in the boundary layer and morning rush hour traffic) causes a concurrent suppression in HO<sub>2</sub>. Despite a rise in the rate of recycling of HO<sub>2</sub> via reaction with NO, there is no rise in [OH] as this increased OH production is balanced out by an increased destruction through reaction with NO<sub>2</sub> and other OH sinks that are expelled with NO<sub>x</sub> (e.g. VOCs). The 24-h mean [HO<sub>2</sub>] for the entire campaign (derived from hourly averages) was found to be  $6.2 \times 10^7$  molecule  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  (2.5 pptV).

The time series of modelled and measured HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations is shown in Fig. 3. The model comparison with measurements is generally very good and particularly during the early part of the campaign between 28–30 July and also after 25 August. Peak concentrations are  $6.1 \times 10^7$  molecule  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  for model and measured HO<sub>2</sub> between 28–30 July and  $2.1 \times 10^8$  and  $2.4 \times 10^8$  molecule  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  for model and measurements respectively, after 25 August. The model tends to over-predict during the westerly air parcels between 19 and 24 August, with the majority of the over-prediction occurring after noon. Modelled HO<sub>2</sub> on 23 August is a factor of 2 higher between 11:00–15:00 h than the measurements and is the most significant departure in agreement for the whole campaign. Generally there is a slight model under-prediction of the measurements during the latter period of the campaign.

The agreement between model and measurements is very good. In previous campaigns employing measurement-constrained models, HO<sub>2</sub> measurements have generally been significantly over-predicted by the model (Emmerson et al., 2005a; Carslaw et al., 2001, 2002; Sommariva et al., 2004) or under-predicted (Martinez et al., 2003; Ren et al., 2006). An important difference in the current work is a better representation of the heterogeneous loss of HO<sub>2</sub> to aerosol surface reactions, which was found previously to make a large difference in the marine boundary layer (Haggerstone et al., 2005). In addition, many more species are constrained than in most previous campaigns including more oxygenated species, some of which play a key role in HO<sub>2</sub> radical initiation as shown subsequently.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Figure 4 shows the modelled and measured HO<sub>2</sub> data as two diurnal plots. Each 15 min period of the day has been averaged for all the days where there are model and measurement data available. The greatest deviation between model and measurements occurs between 06:00–08:30 but agreement is excellent before and after this period. HO<sub>2</sub> initiation at this time is dominated by the photolysis of carbonyl species, so the model may be overestimating their impact early in the morning.

The reaction rates for initiation and termination of HO<sub>2</sub> radicals are shown in Table 1. During the first four days of the campaign, photolysis of HCHO produces 62% of new HO<sub>2</sub> radicals, but becomes less important for the rest of the campaign (24%) as photolysis of the groups of dicarbonyl and aldehyde species contributes 44% and 29% on average, respectively. HO<sub>2</sub> initiation rates vary between 59×10<sup>5</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> on 30 July, to 1245×10<sup>5</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> on 9 August.

With the addition of the new heterogeneous loss mechanism via aerosols for HO<sub>2</sub> in TORCH, aerosol surface was responsible for 83% of HO<sub>2</sub> termination reactions. Maximum termination of HO<sub>2</sub> radicals took place on 9 August (1397×10<sup>5</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The aerosol loss route is greatest during the early part of the campaign, when aerosol surface to volume ratios were largest (maximum value of the rate coefficient, k<sub>t</sub> was 0.8 molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>). This result demonstrates the need for an accurate determination of the accommodation coefficient for HO<sub>2</sub> under typical urban and suburban conditions. The calculation of the termination rate owing to this reaction depends critically on the accommodation coefficient used, the value of which is subject to significant uncertainty as discussed earlier.

The chemistry during the campaign differs in terms of the dominant HO<sub>2</sub> initiation and termination reactions. As a campaign average, initiation via HO<sub>2</sub> occurs mainly through dicarbonyl photolysis (44%), aldehyde photolysis (29%) and HCHO photolysis (24%). During the heatwave period, there was a similar contribution from dicarbonyl species (42%), with less from the photolysis of formaldehyde (15%) and more from other aldehyde species (35%). Termination of HO<sub>2</sub> was always dominated by loss to aerosol particles in all regimes, (83% on average and 87% for the heatwave period).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

These results confirm the suggestion of Saylor (1997), that under polluted conditions, the magnitude of heterogeneous HO<sub>2</sub> loss may be a significant fraction of the gas-phase chemical loss.

#### 4.3 HO<sub>2</sub>:OH ratios

15 min modelled and measured HO<sub>2</sub>:OH ratios are plotted against measured NO concentrations in Fig. 5. HO<sub>2</sub> reacts with NO to regenerate OH; therefore the HO<sub>2</sub>:OH ratio will decrease at higher concentrations of NO (Stevens et al., 1997; Ren et al., 2003). On average NO concentrations were 2.8 ppb during the campaign, with a peak of ~45 ppb on 28 July. Figure 5 shows much more scatter in the measured data with NO compared with the modelled as would be expected.

To highlight the relationships in Fig. 5, locally weighted regression smoothing (LOESS) lines have been fitted (Cleveland, 1979). These show that both the measured and modelled ratios decrease with increasing NO as expected. However, the measured ratio shows a much shallower slope than the model. Modelled HO<sub>2</sub>:OH ratios also showed a stronger relationship with NO than measured, in the PUMA summer campaign of 1999 (Emmerson et al., 2005a), at PMTACs (Ren et al., 2003), and during BERLIOZ (Konrad et al., 2003). The TORCH results are also similar to those found during a rural study in central Pennsylvania (Ren et al., 2005), except that the point where the measured and modelled slopes crossed was at ~0.2 ppb NO ca. 2 ppb for TORCH. Ren et al. (2005) concluded that the HO<sub>2</sub>/OH ratio variation as a function of NO was not well captured by their model and the same conclusion can be applied to the TORCH campaign and the other studies quoted. The reason for this discrepancy is not readily apparent, but clearly warrants further investigation.

#### 4.4 Hydroperoxy and the sum of organic peroxy radicals, [HO<sub>2</sub> + ΣRO<sub>2</sub>]

The time series of modelled to measured [HO<sub>2</sub> + ΣRO<sub>2</sub>] is shown in Fig. 6. The campaign average diurnal cycle of measured [HO<sub>2</sub> + ΣRO<sub>2</sub>] is similar in shape and mag-

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

nitude to that from the BERLIOZ campaign (Volz-Thomas et al., 2003). In both cases concentrations have a broad midday to mid-afternoon peak before falling off to a minimum at around 18:00 to 19:00 h. A smaller maximum occurs at 20:00 to 21:00 h followed by a gradual drop in concentrations to a minimum at 05:00 to 06:00 h. The daytime maximum mixing ratio is somewhat higher for TORCH than for BERLIOZ although the agreement is much better if the values from the heatwave period are not included in the average.

There is an under-prediction in the modelled  $[\text{HO}_2 + \Sigma\text{RO}_2]$  when compared to the measurements, in contrast to the good agreement when  $\text{HO}_2$  radicals are considered alone. The 15 min average diurnal sequence for the whole campaign is shown in Fig. 7. Excellent agreement is observed over night between 00:00 and 03:45 h, with a departure following sunrise for the duration of the daylight period of the day. The measured  $\text{RO}_2:\text{HO}_2$  ratio (calculated by subtracting measured  $\text{HO}_2$  by FAGE from the  $(\text{HO}_2 + \Sigma\text{RO}_2)$  concentrations measured by PERCA) is 6.8 as a campaign average over the 11:00–15:00 h period compared to 3.9 for the equivalent model value. Both of these values are high compared to the BERLIOZ campaign, where the equivalent ratio was 1.0 (Holland et al., 2003).

The majority of  $\text{RO}_2$  production between 11:00 and 15:00 h averaged over the campaign, occurs via the photolysis of dicarbonyl species (40%),  $\text{O}_3$ +alkenes (22%) and the photolysis of aldehydes (17%). During the heatwave period this does not alter significantly with 30% and 17% for the photolysis of dicarbonyl species and aldehydes respectively, and 29%  $\text{RO}_2$  production from  $\text{O}_3$ +alkenes.  $\text{RO}_2$  sink mechanisms include PAN production from  $\text{RCO}_3$  (72%), with 20% occurring on reaction with NO for the whole campaign, but the PAN route represents 63% and NO route represents 12% of the heatwave  $\text{RO}_2$  sink, due to the stagnation of the air mass and therefore higher  $\text{NO}_2$  concentrations. A further 17% of  $\text{RO}_2$  is lost via  $\text{RO}_2+\text{HO}_2$  in the heatwave period, which corresponds to 5% over the whole campaign. On balance, the PAN species act as a net sink of  $\text{RCO}_3$  radicals under the TORCH conditions.

One striking feature of the datasets is that the modelled  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{RO}_2$  levels, and

**TORCH 1 modelling team**

K. M. Emmerson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

the measured  $\text{RO}_2$ , are significantly higher during the heatwave period than for the rest of the campaign. Interestingly, the modelled OH does not appear to be elevated, suggesting that in the heatwave period, there were more sources and sinks of OH and so there was a degree of buffering of the resulting OH concentration. In fact, Table 1 shows that although OH initiation increased in importance under heatwave conditions, the total flux of OH to  $\text{RO}_2$  also increased acting as a counter-balance.

#### 4.5 Radical propagation

The total average transfer of  $\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2$  radicals during the TORCH campaign is  $66 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and occurs mainly through reaction with CO (38%), with contributions from HCHO (16%), alcohols (15%), methane (13%), and aromatics (8%). Table 1 shows the absolute reaction rates of transfer for daylight hours, and that the reverse route of  $\text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH}$  ( $347 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  on average) is approximately 5 times greater than the flux in the  $\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2$  direction. This reverse flux is dominated by reaction of  $\text{HO}_2$  with NO (~99%), which produces around 80% of the OH radicals, slightly more than the 70% observed during BERLIOZ (Mihelcic et al., 2003).

On average across the whole campaign, the  $\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2$  flux ( $254 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) is balanced by the  $\text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2$  flux ( $265 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Isoprene, the main biogenic constituent measured during TORCH had an average concentration of 0.1 ppb (with a maximum of 1.3 ppb on 10 August and was responsible for 6% of  $\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2$ , with additional contributions from aldehydes (40%), alkenes (15%), ketones (10%), alkanes (9%) and aromatic species (8%).

As the closest example for comparison, the Pollution of the Urban Midlands Atmosphere (PUMA) summer campaign (Emmerson et al., 2005a, b; Harrison et al., 2006; Heard et al., 2004) took place in Birmingham city centre during 1999. The percentage contributions to OH initiation during the PUMA campaign were similar to the findings of TORCH in the current work. For example in the summer PUMA campaign,

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**TORCH 1 modelling  
team**

K. M. Emmerson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

46% of OH initiation came from the  $O_3$ +alkenes reaction, 29% from HONO photolysis and 24% from  $O(^1D)+H_2O$ . Photolysis of ozone was not the dominant initiation reaction as for TORCH. Average concentrations of  $O_3$  were 26 ppb for PUMA and 47 ppb for TORCH; average  $j(O(^1D))$  was  $3.6 \times 10^{-6} s^{-1}$  for PUMA and  $4.7 \times 10^{-6} s^{-1}$  for TORCH; and average  $H_2O$  concentrations were  $3.6 \times 10^{17}$  molecule  $cm^{-3}$  for PUMA and  $3.7 \times 10^{17}$  molecule  $cm^{-3}$  for TORCH. In other campaigns, the reaction of  $O(^1D)+H_2O$  was a major source of OH during the Nashville Southern Oxidants Study (SOS) in the USA (Martinez et al., 2003), with photolysis of HONO and formaldehyde becoming more important as the UV light diminished at the ends of the day. By contrast, photolysis of HONO was the dominant source of OH in New York during the PM<sub>2.5</sub> Technology Assessment and Characterisation study (PMTACs; Ren et al., 2003).

The reaction rate analyses are summarised in Fig. 8, which shows radical cycling between OH,  $HO_2$ ,  $RO_2$  and RO radicals. The values shown are average reaction rates over the whole campaign between 11:00 and 15:00 h. The corresponding PUMA summer campaign reaction rates are shown for comparison. The first thing to note is that the magnitude of the reaction rates in the two campaigns is very similar. TORCH is a sub-urban site 25 miles from London, and has cleaner air, unless air parcels travel directly from the London direction. By contrast, PUMA was designed to measure the polluted urban atmosphere by nature of the site position near Birmingham city centre (Harrison et al., 2006). Average modelled concentrations of OH,  $HO_2$  and  $(HO_2+\Sigma RO_2)$  over the same time period for the TORCH (t) and PUMA (p) campaigns were as follows:  $OH_t = 2.8 \times 10^6$ ,  $OH_p = 2.7 \times 10^6$ ,  $HO_{2t} = 1.2 \times 10^8$ ,  $HO_{2p} = 1.4 \times 10^8$ ,  $RO_{2t} = 1.9 \times 10^8$ ,  $RO_{2p} = 1.5 \times 10^8$  molecule  $cm^{-3}$ .

Figure 8 demonstrates that there were greater concentrations of hydrocarbons in the atmosphere during PUMA, shown by the larger reaction rates of  $OH \rightarrow RO_2$  via aldehyde species and through OH reactions with alkenes and ketones, for example.

## 4.6 Quantile-Quantile plots

A quantile-quantile (QQ) plot for modelled and measured radical concentrations is shown in Fig. 9. For ease of comparison OH, HO<sub>2</sub> and [HO<sub>2</sub> + ΣRO<sub>2</sub>] modelled and measured data have been shown on the same plot, with OH data multiplied by 100 and [HO<sub>2</sub> + ΣRO<sub>2</sub>] divided by 3. Data have been averaged to 15 min, and then ranked in ascending order. This process identifies potential systematic biases in the model or the measurements. If the model and measurements were exactly alike they would fall on the 1:1 line. At low concentrations of OH the model and measurements are similar, but the modelled data become greater than the measurements once concentrations exceed  $6.3 \times 10^5$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>. On average, the model over-predicts the OH measurements by 24%. The plot moves closer to the 1:1 line again for concentrations greater than about  $4 \times 10^6$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>. This observation ties in with the diurnal plot (Fig. 2) which showed that the best model agreement occurred at the ends of the day.

The excellent model to measured HO<sub>2</sub> agreement is evident in Fig. 9, where ranked 15-min average concentrations of modelled and measured HO<sub>2</sub> are very well matched. Modelled HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are greater than measured at around  $1.7 \times 10^8$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>. On average the model over-predicts the measurements by just 7%. By contrast, [HO<sub>2</sub> + ΣRO<sub>2</sub>] modelled data under-predicts – the measurements from PERCA on average by 22%.

## 4.7 OH radical chain lengths and local ozone production

The radical chain length can be defined as the ratio of the number of radicals propagated to the number of radicals formed through initiation. The chain length for OH is shown at the bottom of table 1 between 11:00 and 15:00 h, and corresponds to the HO<sub>2</sub>→OH route divided by OH initiation. The chain length is therefore a measure of the efficiency of the recycling of the OH radical once formed.

For TORCH, the modelled OH chain length varied between 2 (on 27 July, 8 and 9 August (29 and 30 on August)). This is similar to chain lengths between 3 and 8 calculated

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

during the SOS campaign downwind of Nashville in the USA (Martinez et al., 2003). On a diurnal timescale, the maximum chainlength coincided with the maximum  $\text{NO}_x$  concentration as noted by Martinez et al. (2003), although in the case of TORCH, the maximum occurred during morning rush hour rather than around midday as observed in Nashville.

8 and 9 August fall in the polluted period of the TORCH campaign, yet have very low radical chain lengths. This observation can be explained by investigating the effect of the  $\text{NO}:\text{NO}_2$  ratio on chainlength, as shown in Fig. 10. The lowest values of the chainlength coincide with lower  $\text{NO}:\text{NO}_2$  ratios, air masses which can be thought of as aged. The higher  $\text{NO}:\text{NO}_2$  ratios, or air masses which have been subject to recent emissions, have much higher chainlengths. During the heatwave, air was circulating round in stagnant conditions and it is likely that  $\text{NO}$  would have been converted to  $\text{NO}_2$  through reaction with  $\text{O}_3$ . This observation agrees with the conclusions of Lee et al. (2006), who used hydrocarbon ratios to show that air reaching the site during the heat wave was highly processed and contained relatively high concentrations of secondary products such as ozone rather than primary emissions.

It is also interesting to calculate the local ozone production rate using the model. A zero-dimensional box model is employed in this work which uses ozone as a model constraint. By summing all of the reaction rates for  $\text{NO}$  to  $\text{NO}_2$  conversions in the model, the  $\text{O}_3$  production rate from local chemistry can be calculated. The local  $\text{O}_3$  production rate is shown in Table 1, and varies between  $0.5 \text{ ppb hr}^{-1}$  on 27 July to  $17 \text{ ppb hr}^{-1}$  on 3 and 5 August. Dates on which the local  $\text{O}_3$  production rate is higher than the campaign average ( $7.2 \text{ ppb hr}^{-1}$ ) are 2–13 August, 17–18 and 24. The ozone concentration is generally higher on these days ( $>45 \text{ ppb}$ ) than on the other days of the campaign, suggesting that local production plays an important role on these days. This issue is investigated in more detail by Carslaw et al. (2006).

**TORCH 1 modelling team**

K. M. Emmerson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## 5 Conclusions

The TORCH experiment was one of the most highly instrumented field campaigns to date and has resulted in the construction of a highly constrained photochemical box model to predict concentrations of radicals measured during the experiment. In

5 general the model predicted the concentrations of all radicals well, over-predicting OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by 24% and 7% respectively, and under-predicting measured [HO<sub>2</sub> + ΣRO<sub>2</sub>] by 22%. Indeed, the degree of model agreement with measured HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations is the best reported under such conditions.

OH radical initiation was dominated by the reaction of O<sup>1</sup>D with water, except during  
10 a short period where photolysis of HONO became the major initiation route. The OH chain length varied between 2 and 8, with the smaller chain lengths corresponding to more aged air masses. The largest modelled O<sub>3</sub> production rate was 17 ppb hr<sup>-1</sup> on 3 and 5 August.

HO<sub>2</sub> production in general is dominated by aldehyde species, in particular HCHO  
15 between 11:00 and 15:00 h. However, during the heatwave period, the reaction of dicarbonyl species contributed more than the aldehydes. These results demonstrate the importance of measuring oxygenated species during field campaigns and highlight the need to speciate further species in future campaigns. Termination of HO<sub>2</sub> is governed by heterogeneous chemistry on the surface of aerosols, and depends on their number  
20 concentrations. A major difference between this work and others such as PUMA is that termination of HO<sub>2</sub> onto aerosol particles is a major pathway not previously considered in any detail, and could be important for all field campaigns with large sources and/or production rates of aerosol. In addition, there is a need for relevant measurements of the HO<sub>2</sub> accommodation coefficient to confirm aerosol loss of HO<sub>2</sub> as a major  
25 termination route in more polluted areas.

RO<sub>2</sub> radicals are mainly produced via the photolysis of dicarbonyls and the reaction of O<sub>3</sub> and alkenes. Termination is controlled through PAN formation and peroxy-peroxy reactions.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The modelled radical initiation and termination budgets balanced very well. The propagation routes between  $\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2$  were governed by CO, HCHO and alcohol species. The reverse flux ( $\text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH}$ ) was 5 times greater than  $\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2$ , and dominated by the reaction of  $\text{HO}_2$  with NO. The  $\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2$  route was dominated by aldehyde and alkene species.

The level of agreement between measured and modelled radical concentrations, even at a quite complex site, has given confidence that the model employed in this work has a reasonable representation of the chemistry of the atmosphere. Having confidence in the model enables further elucidation of atmospheric processing through the rate of production analyses employed. Further analysis of the heatwave period of this campaign is discussed in Carslaw et al. (2006).

*Acknowledgements.* K. M. Emmerson and N. Carslaw would like to thank all of the scientists involved with the TORCH campaign, especially the groups of H. Coe (University of Manchester), A. Lewis (University of York), and S. Penkett (University of East Anglia), who made some of the measurements used to constrain or compare with the model. Some of the scientists involved in this project would also like to acknowledge the NERC Centre for Atmospheric Science for underpinning support. The Leeds group would like to acknowledge the technical assistance of G. P. Johnson. The TORCH project was funded via NERC grant number NER/T/S/2002/00498.

## References

- Alicke, B., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Konrad, S., Pätz, H.W., Schäfer, J., Stutz, J., Volz-Thomas, A., and Platt, U.: OH formation by HONO photolysis during the BERLIOZ experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8247, doi:10.1029/2001JD000579, 2003.
- Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and  $\text{NO}_x$ , *Atmos. Env.*, 34, 2063–2101, 2000.
- Bloss, W. J., Gravestock, T. J., Heard, D. E., Ingham, T., Johnson, G. P., and Lee, J. D.: Application of a compact all solid-state laser system to the in situ detection of atmospheric OH,  $\text{HO}_2$ , NO and IO by laser-induced fluorescence, *J. Env. Monitoring*, 5, 21–28, 2003.
- Brasseur, G. P., Hauglustaine, D. A., Walters, S., Rasch, P. J., Muller, J.-F., Granier, C., and Tie,

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- X. X.: MOZART, a global chemical transport model for ozone and related chemical tracers. 1. Model description, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 28 265–28 289, 1998.
- Carlsaw, N., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Lewis, A. C., McQuaid, J. B., Pilling, M. J., Monks, P. S., Bandy, B. J., and Penkett, S. A.: Modelling OH, HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub> radicals in the marine boundary layer. 1. Model construction and comparison with field measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 30 241–30 255, 1999.
- Carlsaw, N., Creasey, D. J., Harrison, D., Heard, D. E., Hunter, M. C., Jacobs, P. J., Jenkin, M. E., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Pilling, M. J., Saunders, S. M., and Seakins, P. W.: Modelling OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals in a forested region of north-western Greece, *Atmos. Env.*, 35, 4725–4737, 2001.
- Carlsaw, N., Carlsaw, D. C., Emmerson, K. M., et al.: Chemical diagnostics during an exceptionally hot pollution episode in 2003 during the TORCH campaign, *Atmos. Environ.*, in press, 2006.
- Clemitchaw, K. C., Carpenter, L. J., Penkett, S. A., and Jenkin, M. E.: A calibrated peroxy radical chemical amplifier for ground-based tropospheric measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 25 405–25 416, 1997.
- Cleveland, W. S.: Robust Locally Weighted Regression And Smoothing Scatterplots, *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 74(368), 829–836, 1979.
- Derwent, R. G.: The influence of human activities on the distribution of hydroxyl radicals in the troposphere, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London, Series A*, 354, 501–531, 1996.
- Edwards, G. D. and Monks, P. S.: Performance of a single monochromator diode array spectroradiometer for the determination of actinic flux and atmospheric photolysis frequencies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8546, doi:10.1029/2002JD002844, 2003.
- Emmerson, K. M., Carlsaw, N., Carpenter, L. J., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., and Pilling, M. J.: Urban Atmospheric Chemistry during the PUMA Campaign, 1: Comparison of Modelled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations with Measurements, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 52(2), 143–164, 2005a.
- Emmerson, K. M., Carlsaw, N., and Pilling, M. J.: Urban Atmospheric Chemistry during the PUMA Campaign. 2: Radical budgets for OH, HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 52(2), 165–183, 2005b.
- Fleming, Z., Monks, P. S., Rickard, A. R., Heard, D. E., Bloss, W. J., Still, T., Sommariva, R., Pilling, M. J., Morgan, R. B., Green, T. J., Brough, N., Penkett, S. A., Lewis, A. C., Lee, J. D., Saiz-Lopez, A., and Plane, J. M. C.: Peroxy radical chemistry at Mace Head, Ireland during the summer of 2002, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 12 313–12 371, 2005.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Frost, G. J., Trainer, M., Allwine, G., Buhr, M. P., Calvert, J. G., Cantrell, C. A., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Goldan, P. D., Herwehe, J., Hübler, G., Kuster, W. C., Martin, R., McMillen, R. T., Montzka, S. A., Norton, R. B., Parrish, D. D., Ridley, B. A., Shetter, R. E., Walega, J. G., Watkins, B. A., Westberg, H. H., and Williams, E. J.: Rural Oxidants in the Southern Environment (ROSE) program, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 22 491–22 508, 1998.

George, L. A., Hard, T. M., O'Brien, R. J., et al.: Measurement of free radicals OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in Los Angeles smog, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 11 643–11 655, 1999.

Haggerstone, A.-L., Carpenter, L. J., Carslaw, N., and McFiggans, G.: Improved model predictions of HO<sub>2</sub> with gas to particle mass transfer rates calculated using aerosol number size distributions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D04303, doi:10.1029/2004JD005282, 2005.

Harrison, R. M., Yin, J., Tilling, R. M., Cai, X., Seakins, P. W., Hopkins, J. R., Lansley, D. L., Lewis, A. C., Hunter, M. C., Heard, D. E., Carpenter, L. J., Creasey, D. J., Lee, J. D., Pilling, M. J., Carslaw, N., Emmerson, K. M., Redington, A., Derwent, R. G., Ryall, D., Mills, G., and Penkett, S. A.: Measurement and modelling of air pollution and atmospheric chemistry in the UK west midlands conurbation: overview of the puma consortium project, *Sci. Tot. Env.*, 360, 5–25, 2006.

Heard, D. E., Carpenter, L. J., Creasey, D. J., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Pilling, M. J., Seakins, P. W., Carslaw, N., and Emmerson, K. M.: High levels of the hydroxyl radical in the winter urban troposphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L18112, doi:10.1029/2004GL020544, 2004.

Heard, D. E. and Pilling, M. J.: Measurement of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in the troposphere, *Chem. Rev.*, 103, 5163–5198, 2003.

Holland, F., Hofzumahaus, A., Schäfer, H.-J., Kraus, A., and Pätz, H.-W.: Measurements of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations and photolysis frequencies during BERLIOZ, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8246, doi:10.1029/2001JD001393, 2003.

Hopkins, J. R., Lewis, A. C., and Read, K. A.: A Two-column method for long-term monitoring of Non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) and oxygenated volatile organic compounds (O-VOCs), *J. Env. Monitor.*, 5, 8–13, 2002.

Hough, A. M.: The calculation of photolysis rates for use in global tropospheric modelling studies, AERE Rep. R-13259, Her Majesty's Stn. Off., Norwich, England, 1988.

IUPAC: Summary of evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry, IUPAC Subcommittee for Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation, 2005.

Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., and Pilling, M. J.: The tropospheric degradation of volatile

**TORCH 1 modelling team**

K. M. Emmerson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- organic compounds: A protocol for mechanism development, *Atmos. Env.*, 31, 81–104, 1997.
- Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., Wagner, V., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part B): Tropospheric degradation of aromatic volatile organic compounds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 181–193, 2003.
- 5 Konrad, S., Schmitz, T., Buers, H.-J., Houben, N., Mannschreck, K., Mihelcic, D., Müsgen, P., Pätz, H.-W., Holland, F., Hofzumahaus, A., Schäfer, H.-J., Schröder, S., and Volz-Thomas, A.: Hydrocarbon measurements at Pabstthum during the BERLIOZ campaign and modelling of free radicals, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8251, doi:10.1029/2001JD000866, 2003.
- Kurtenbach, R., Becker, K. H., Gomes, J. A. G., Kleffmann, J., Lorzer, J. C., Spittler, M., Wiesen,  
10 P., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., and Platt, U.: Investigations of emissions and heterogeneous formation of HONO in a road traffic tunnel, *Atmos. Env.*, 35, 3385–3394, 2001.
- Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Monks, P. S., et al.: Ozone photochemistry during the UK heatwave of August 2003, *Atmos. Environ.*, in press, 2006.
- Lewis, A. C., Carslaw, N., Marriott, P. J., Kinghorn, R. M., Morrison, P., Lee, A. L., Bartle, K. D.,  
15 and Pilling, M. J.: A larger pool of ozone-forming carbon compounds in urban atmospheres, *Nature*, 405, 778–781, 2000.
- Martinez, M., Harder, H., Kovacs, T. A., et al.: OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, sources, and loss rates during the Southern Oxidants Study in Nashville, Tennessee, summer 1999, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4617, doi:10.1029/2003JD003551, 2003.
- 20 Mihelcic, D., Holland, F., Hofzumahaus, A., Hoppe, L., Konrad, S., Müsgen, P., Pätz, H.-W., Schäfer, H.-J., Schmitz, T., Volz-Thomas, A., Bächmann, Schlomski, S., Platt, U., Geyer, A., Aliche, B., and Moortgat, G. K.: Peroxy radicals during BERLIOZ at Pabstthum: Measurements, radical budgets and ozone production, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8254, doi:10.1029/2001JD001014, 2003.
- 25 Monks, P. S.: Gas-phase radical chemistry in the troposphere, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 34, 376–395, 2005.
- Monks, P. S., Rickard, A. R., Hall, A. L., and Richards, N. A. D.: Attenuation of spectral actinic flux and photolysis frequencies at the surface through homogeneous cloud fields, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, 17206, doi:10.1029/2003JD004076, 2004.
- 30 Morita, A., Kanaya, Y., and Francisco, J. S.: Uptake of the HO<sub>2</sub> radical by water: Molecular dynamics calculations and their implications for atmospheric modelling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D09201, doi:10.1029/2003JD004240, 2004.
- Paulson, S. E., Chung, M., Sen, A. D., and Orzechowska, G.: Measurement of OH radical

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

formation from the reaction of ozone with several biogenic alkenes, *J. Geophys. Res.* 103, 25 533–25 539, 1998.

Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Cantrell, C. A., Edwards, G. D., Shirley, T., Metcalf, A. R., and Leshner, R. L.: Hydroxyl and peroxy radical chemistry in a rural area of central Pennsylvania: Observations and model comparisons, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 52, 231–257, 2005.

Ren, X., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Leshner, R. L., Olinger, A., Simpas, J. B., Brune, W. H., Schwab, J. J., Demerjian, K. L., He, Y., Zhou, X., and Gao, H.: OH and HO<sub>2</sub> chemistry in the urban atmosphere of New York City, *Atmos. Env.*, 37, 3639–3651, 2003.

Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., et al.: Behaviour of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in the winter atmosphere in New York City, *Atmos. Environ.*, in press, 2006.

Salisbury, G., Rickard, A. R., Monks, P. S., Allan, B. J., Baugitte, S., Penkett, S. A., Carslaw, N., Lewis, A. C., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Jacobs, P. J., and Lee, J. D.: Production of peroxy radicals at night via reactions of ozone and the nitrate radical in the marine boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 12 669–12 687, 2001.

Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): Tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 161–180, 2003.

Saylor, R. D.: An estimate of the potential significance of heterogenous loss to aerosols as an additional sink for hydroperoxy radicals in the troposphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 3653–3658, 1997.

Shirley, T. R., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Mao, J., Leshner, R., Cardenas, B., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., Lamb, B., Velasco, E., Jobson, T., and Alexander, M.: Atmospheric oxidation in the Mexico City metropolitan area (MCMA) during April 2003, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2753–2765, 2005.

Sillman, S.: The relation between ozone, NO<sub>x</sub> and hydrocarbons in urban and polluted rural environments, *Atmos. Env.*, 33, 1821–1845, 1999.

Smith, S. C., Lee, J. D., Bloss, W. J., Johnson, G. P., and Heard, D. E.: Concentration of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> during NAMBLEX: Measurements and Steady State analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 12 403–12 464, 2005.

Sommariva, R., Haggerstone, A.-L., Carpenter, L. J., Carslaw, N., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Pilling, M. J., and Zádor, J.: OH and HO<sub>2</sub> chemistry in clean marine air during SOAPEX-2, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 839–856, 2004.

Stedman, J. R.: The predicted number of air pollution related deaths in the UK during the

ACPD

6, 10523–10565, 2006

**TORCH 1 modelling team**

K. M. Emmerson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- August 2003 heatwave, *Atmos. Env.*, 38, 1087–1090, 2004.
- Stevens, P. S., Mather, J. H., Brune, W. H., Eisele, F., Tanner, D., Jefferson, A., Cantrell, C., Shetter, R., Sewall, S., Fried, A., Henry, B., Williams, E., Baumann, K., Glodan, P., and Kuster, W.: HO<sub>2</sub>/OH and RO<sub>2</sub>/HO<sub>2</sub> ratios during the Tropospheric OH Photochemistry Experiment: Measurement and theory, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 6379–6391, 1997.
- 5 Stockwell, W. R., Kirchner, F., and Kuhn, M.: A new mechanism for regional atmospheric chemistry modelling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 25 847–25 879, 1997.
- Tan, D., Faloon, I., Simpas, J. B., Brune, W., Shepson, P. B., Couch, T. L., Sumner, A. L., Carroll, M. A., Thornberry, T., Apel, E., Riemer, D., and Stockwell, W.: HO<sub>x</sub> budgets in a deciduous forest: results from the prophet summer campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 24 407–24 427, 2001.
- 10 Thornton, J. and Abbat, J. P. D.: Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> uptake to aqueous aerosol: Mass accommodation coefficients and net reactive loss, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D08309, doi:10.1029/2004JD005402, 2005.
- 15 Volz-Thomas, A., Pätz, H.-W., Houben, N., Konrad, S., Mihelcic, D., Klupfel, T., and Perner, D.: Inorganic trace gases and peroxy radicals during BERLIOZ at Pabstthum: An investigation of the photostationary state of NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8248, doi:10.1029/2001JD001255, 2003 .

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

TORCH 1 modelling  
team

K. M. Emmerson et al.

**Table 1.** Reaction rates for major processes in units of  $10^5$  molecule  $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$  during daylight hours between 11:00 and 15:00. Also shown are average measured concentrations of selected input parameters, the  $\text{O}_3$  production rate and the chainlength (see text). 11 August is excluded due to power failure. Heatwave period is highlighted in red. Table continues on next page.

	27	28	29	30	31	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	10	12	13	14	15	16
OH initiation	23	29	28	21	62	31	80	119	153	155	116	125	157	139	126	61	38	74	92
OH termination	28	39	50	30	124	53	133	254	292	299	82	65	84	97	96	96	50	139	179
$\text{HO}_2$ initiation	126	138	86	59	304	183	297	485	368	420	499	604	1245	1127	497	434	167	156	161
$\text{HO}_2$ termination	119	123	65	50	253	165	270	476	285	331	581	709	1397	1268	570	416	175	116	109
$\text{RO}_2$ initiation	23	36	36	20	239	146	309	600	421	474	840	1077	2599	2568	708	479	183	144	156
$\text{RO}_2$ termination	27	44	38	24	233	143	292	582	375	428	785	1022	2493	2453	665	465	171	128	131
OH – $\text{HO}_2$	24	32	39	30	64	29	89	136	112	130	62	56	74	78	109	78	63	64	72
$\text{HO}_2$ – OH	51	86	111	85	382	172	449	798	828	841	301	240	347	433	429	392	253	348	398
OH – $\text{RO}_2$	26	48	55	51	269	127	324	564	601	586	288	258	360	405	370	293	191	229	252
$\text{RO}_2$ – $\text{HO}_2$	23	41	54	48	266	125	330	613	608	597	322	290	416	467	393	295	198	239	270
<b>Total initiation</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>1270</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>1052</b>	<b>1462</b>	<b>1811</b>	<b>4018</b>	<b>3847</b>	<b>1335</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>410</b>
<b>Total termination</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>1312</b>	<b>953</b>	<b>1058</b>	<b>1448</b>	<b>1795</b>	<b>3974</b>	<b>3818</b>	<b>1331</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>418</b>
OH chain length	2.2	3.0	4.0	4.0	6.2	5.5	5.6	6.7	5.4	5.4	2.6	1.9	2.2	3.1	3.4	6.4	6.7	4.7	4.3
Carbon (ppbC)	26.1	31.0	30.5	30.8	60.0	42.2	32.9	48.3	44.8	45.8	42.6	43.4	70.0	59.6	32.2	32.5	16.0	23.6	21.9
$\text{NO}_x$ (ppb)	6.7	7.8	8.6	6.8	16.8	13.9	9.9	18.9	20.8	21.8	8.2	7.8	9.7	9.3	5.6	7.5	2.9	15.1	16.1
NO (ppb)	0.5	0.9	1.9	1.5	3.4	2.0	2.2	4.8	6.8	6.6	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.7	5.7	6.2
$\text{O}_3$ (ppb)	36.9	42.0	27.1	27.5	33.8	28.3	46.3	60.0	58.1	68.8	71.3	75.6	93.0	95.2	76.0	51.8	39.1	42.5	43.8
$\text{O}_3$ prod. ( $\text{ppb hr}^{-1}$ )	0.5	0.9	1.3	1.0	6.6	3.1	9.0	16.8	16.4	16.6	8.6	7.8	12.1	13.9	10.8	8.1	5.5	6.4	7.2
$j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ ( $\times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

TORCH 1 modelling  
team

K. M. Emmerson et al.

Table 1. Continued.

	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	Average heatwave	Campaign Average
OH initiation	113	74	37	52	35	24	28	111	47	62	60	59	38	34	<b>134</b>	<b>73</b>
OH termination	228	119	57	93	66	46	25	200	93	132	125	117	72	72	<b>82</b>	<b>110</b>
HO <sub>2</sub> initiation	275	542	222	290	234	135	178	161	127	131	164	77	177	143	<b>869</b>	<b>310</b>
HO <sub>2</sub> termination	195	508	206	257	204	119	177	104	101	96	129	50	160	115	<b>989</b>	<b>300</b>
RO <sub>2</sub> initiation	267	550	209	281	199	111	168	146	118	144	169	87	178	125	<b>1771</b>	<b>466</b>
RO <sub>2</sub> termination	244	542	212	278	202	109	178	124	112	117	148	63	174	124	<b>1688</b>	<b>440</b>
OH – HO <sub>2</sub>	107	74	59	75	42	30	41	81	68	54	65	36	41	62	<b>67</b>	<b>66</b>
HO <sub>2</sub> – OH	611	443	270	355	243	162	163	480	327	303	354	230	295	273	<b>330</b>	<b>347</b>
OH – RO <sub>2</sub>	405	337	202	253	178	117	134	313	205	186	234	140	200	186	<b>328</b>	<b>254</b>
RO <sub>2</sub> – HO <sub>2</sub>	411	331	196	250	170	116	123	325	210	209	251	161	202	187	<b>374</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>Total initiation</b>	<b>656</b>	<b>1168</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>2785</b>	<b>805</b>
<b>Total termination</b>	<b>667</b>	<b>1170</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>629</b>	<b>472</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>2759</b>	<b>808</b>
OH chain length	5.4	6.0	7.3	6.8	6.9	6.8	5.8	4.3	7.0	4.9	5.9	3.9	7.8	8.0	<b>2.4</b>	<b>5.2</b>
Carbon (ppbC)	24.9	42.9	17.9	24.3	27.6	25.1	17.2	25.3	19.6	40.4	21.1	26.5	17.8	14.2	<b>53.9</b>	<b>32.7</b>
NO <sub>x</sub> (ppb)	15.8	11.1	3.8	5.7	8.5	8.8	1.8	16.0	8.4	16.6	11.0	23.1	7.2	4.7	<b>8.8</b>	<b>10.8</b>
NO (ppb)	5.5	1.4	0.7	1.2	1.6	1.7	0.3	7.8	3.7	5.8	4.1	9.9	2.5	1.4	<b>0.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)	49.9	49.4	42.0	48.2	34.6	20.8	28.3	35.5	35.5	34.3	36.2	29.9	36.8	34.9	<b>83.8</b>	<b>46.5</b>
O <sub>3</sub> prod. (ppb hr <sup>-1</sup> )	11.2	8.8	5.3	6.8	4.5	3.0	3.3	9.2	6.1	5.7	6.8	4.3	6.0	5.0	<b>10.6</b>	<b>7.2</b>
<i>j</i> (O <sup>1</sup> D) (×10 <sup>-5</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**TORCH 1 modelling  
team**


K. M. Emmerson et al.



**Fig. 1.** Time series in modelled (pink) and measured (blue) OH concentrations during the TORCH 2003 campaign. Data are shown as 15-min averages for direct comparison. Measured data during the heatwave period are missing owing to technical problems.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU



**Fig. 2.** 15-min average modelled and measured OH concentrations plotted as a diurnal time series. Modelled data are dashed black lines, measured data are red. (Number of data points contributing to the mean measured data varies between 20 and 85).


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



**Fig. 3.** Time series in modelled (black) and measured (yellow) HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during the TORCH 2003 campaign. Data are shown as 15-min averages for direct comparison. Data are shown as 15-min averages for direct comparison. Measured data during the heatwave period are missing owing to technical problems.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU



**Fig. 4.** 15-min average modelled and measured HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations plotted as a diurnal time series. Modelled data are in grey, measured data are black. (Number of data points contributing to the mean measured data varies between 23 and 96).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




**Fig. 5.** Modelled (pink) and measured (blue) HO<sub>2</sub>:OH ratios plotted against measured NO concentrations. Data are shown as 15-min averages for direct comparison. Also shown are smoothed fits through each data-set (see text).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

TORCH 1 modelling  
team


K. M. Emmerson et al.



**Fig. 6.** Time series in modelled (pink) and measured (blue)  $[\text{HO}_2 + \Sigma\text{RO}_2]$  concentrations during the TORCH 2003 campaign at Writtle. Data are shown as 15-min averages for direct comparison.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU



**Fig. 7.** 15-min average modelled and measured  $[\text{HO}_2 + \Sigma\text{RO}_2]$  concentrations plotted as a diurnal time series. Modelled data are black dashed lines, measured data are red. (Number of data points contributing to the mean measured data varies between 22 and 96).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



**Fig. 8.** Major fluxes of species contributing to initiation, termination and propagation via OH, HO<sub>2</sub>, RO<sub>2</sub>, and RO radicals for the TORCH (heavy type) and PUMA summer campaigns (light type) between 11:00–15:00 h. Units in 10<sup>5</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 9.** QQ plot showing simultaneous modelled and measured OH (pink), HO<sub>2</sub> (orange) and (HO<sub>2</sub> +  $\Sigma\text{RO}_2$ ) (blue) concentrations. OH data are multiplied by 100, HO<sub>2</sub> by two and (HO<sub>2</sub> +  $\Sigma\text{RO}_2$ ) is divided by 3. A 1-1 line is shown for comparison.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



**Fig. 10.** Correlation plot of the NO:NO<sub>2</sub> ratio against the chainlength for the TORCH 2003 campaign.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion