

## The time-space exchangeability of satellite retrieved relations between cloud top temperature and particle effective radius

I. M. Lensky, D. Rosenfeld

## ► To cite this version:

I. M. Lensky, D. Rosenfeld. The time-space exchangeability of satellite retrieved relations between cloud top temperature and particle effective radius. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 2005, 5 (6), pp.11911-11928. hal-00301945

## HAL Id: hal-00301945 https://hal.science/hal-00301945v1

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 5, 11911–11928, 2005 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/5/11911/ SRef-ID: 1680-7375/acpd/2005-5-11911 European Geosciences Union



ACPD

5, 11911-11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds

> I. M. Lensky and D. Rosenfeld

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	►I	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
Interactive	Discussion	

# EGU

## The time-space exchangeability of satellite retrieved relations between cloud top temperature and particle effective radius

## I. M. Lensky<sup>1</sup> and D. Rosenfeld<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel <sup>2</sup>Institute of Earth Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

Received: 30 August 2005 – Accepted: 21 October 2005 – Published: 22 November 2005

Correspondence to: I. M. Lensky (lenskyi@mail.biu.ac.il)

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$  2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

#### Abstract

A 3-min 3-km rapid scan of the METEOSAT Second Generation geostationary satellite over southern Africa was applied to tracking the evolution of cloud top temperature (T) and particle effective radius ( $r_e$ ) of convective elements. The evolution of T- $r_e$  relations showed little dependence on time, leaving re to depend almost exclusively on T. Fur-

- <sup>5</sup> showed little dependence on time, leaving re to depend almost exclusively on *I*. Furthermore, cloud elements that fully grew to large cumulonimbus stature had the same T- $r_e$  relations as other clouds in the same area with limited development that decayed without ever becoming a cumulonimbus. Therefore, a snap shot of T- $r_e$  relations over a cloud field provides the same relations as composed from tracking the time evolution
- <sup>10</sup> of *T* and  $r_e$  of individual clouds, and then compositing them. This is the essence of exchangeability of time and space scales, i.e., ergodicity, of the *T*- $r_e$  relations for convective clouds. This property has allowed inference of the microphysical evolution of convective clouds with a snap shot from a polar orbiter. The fundamental causes for the ergodicity are suggested to be the observed stability of  $r_e$  for a given height above
- <sup>15</sup> cloud base in a convective cloud, and the constant renewal of growing cloud tops with cloud bubbles that replace the cloud tops with fresh cloud matter from below.

#### 1. Introduction

Rosenfeld and Lensky (1998) introduced a technique that was used to gain insights into precipitation forming processes. This technique was applied to AVHRR data, and
later to other polar orbiting sensors (VIRS on TRMM, GLI on ADEOS II, MODIS on Terra and Aqua). The Rosenfeld Lensky Technique (RLT) was used in many studies to assess the impact of different aerosols on clouds and precipitation. (Rosenfeld, 1999, 2000; Rosenfeld et al., 2001, 2002, 2004; Rosenfeld and Woodley, 2001, 2003; Ramanathan et al., 2001; Rudich et al., 2002, 2003; Tupper et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2002; Woodley et al., 2000).

The RLT is based on two assumptions:

## ACPD

5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds



- The evolution of cloud top effective radius  $(r_{\alpha})$  with height (or cloud top temperature, T), observed by the satellite at a given time (snapshot) for a cloud ensemble over an area, is similar to the T- $r_{e}$  time evolution of a given cloud at one location. This is the ergodicity assumption, which means exchangeability between the time and space domains.
- The  $r_e$  near cloud top is similar to that well within the cloud at the same height as long as precipitation does not fall through that cloud volume.

The second assumption was verified using in situ aircraft measurements (Rosenfeld and Lensky, 1998; Freud et al., 2005). This paper intends to test the ergodicity assumption using a rapid scan sequence taken by the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) on the European METEOSAT Second Generation (MSG) geostationary satellite. To this end, rapid scan data of convective clouds over Africa is used. This paper does not intend to focus on the reasons of the different apparent behavior of clouds in different areas or to associate it with aerosols, but rather to characterize systematic distinct behavior of clouds in different areas, and to show that 15 different clouds in the same area have similar behavior and is steady with time.

#### The data 2.

Data from the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) on the European METEOSAT Second Generation (MSG) geostationary satellite (Schmetz et al., 2002) is used in this study. Rapid scan data (three minutes interval, from 10:01 to 20 15:01 GMT) from 15 December 2003 is used to monitor convective clouds over Africa, during the pre-commissioning phase of the satellite.

Counts from the Native format data files were transformed to radiances and then to reflectances and temperatures at the solar and emissive channels respectively. The so-

lar reflectance component of channel 4 (3.9  $\mu$ m) was calculated. For the retrieval of the 25 cloud top effective particle size, a look up table (LUT) with entries for the satellite zenith

## **ACPD**

5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_{\rho}$ relations for convective clouds

> I. M. Lensky and D. Rosenfeld

Title	Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14	۲		
•	►		
Back	Close		
Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc		
Print	Print Version		
Interactive	Interactive Discussion		

5

angle, solar zenith angle, and relative azimuth was used. The LUT was calculated using SSCR (Signal Simulator for Cloud Retrieval) radiative transfer code (Nakajima and King, 1992; Nakajima, 1995) for optically thick clouds such that the surface effects can be neglected at  $3.9 \,\mu$ m (Rosenfeld et al., 2004). To make sure that only optically thick clouds are assigned an effective radius a cloud mask was used.

The cloud mask for the retrieval of  $r_{\rho}$  consists of four steps:

- Minimum reflectance threshold of 50% at the 0.6  $\mu$ m channel;
- Maximum 10.8  $\mu$ m brightness temperature ( $T_{10.8}$ ) threshold of 290 K;
- Three intervals of brightness temperature difference between the 10.8 and 12.0  $\mu$ m channels. The first interval of -0.5 to 1.5 K was applied to pixels with  $T_{10.8}$ >260 K. The second interval of -0.5 to 1.0 K was applied to pixels with 260> $T_{10.8}$ >250 K. The third interval of -0.2 to 1.5 K was applied to pixels with  $T_{10.8}$ <250 K (see Fig. 1).

– An interval of brightness temperature difference between the 10.8  $\mu$ m channel

and the 8.7  $\mu$ m channel of –1 to 5 K.

#### 3. Methodology

10

15

A chain of programs was applied to the MSG raw data. In the first stage pixel data ( $T_{10.8}$  and  $r_e$ ) from predefined areas of interest (Fig. 2) were saved for the whole data set for further analyses. In the second stage, individual cloud cells (or convective towers) were tracked. To this end we looked for local minimum of  $T_{10.8}$  in a running box of 5 by 5 pixels. The cells in each area in each time step were listed in a table. These tables included the cells' location (line, pixel),  $T_{10.8}$ , and  $r_e$  of the coldest pixel (cell center). The coldest (highest) pixel was selected to avoid shadows from neighboring clouds. These shadows reduce the 3.9  $\mu$ m solar reflectance, and erroneously enlarge the effective radius. In the third stage a cloud-tracking program was applied to track the

5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds

> I. M. Lensky and D. Rosenfeld



EGU

individual cells with time and to give them an ID. The tracking routine looks for the shift of the cell center between the time steps. A maximum shift of two pixels per time step was permitted. The two pixels shift enables the tracked cell to shift to a new adjacent bubble of the same cloud. This is done to allow for long enough sequences so the time sevolution of the T- $r_e$  plots of the individual clouds can be compared to those of a cloud cluster composed of many clouds at different stages in their life. Finally the classical T- $r_e$  plots of the RLT for the predefined areas in Fig. 2 were saved for all the time steps.

#### 4. Results

25

- 4.1. Time-space composition of T- $r_{e}$  relations
- <sup>10</sup> About 150 000 instantaneous convective cells were tracked in the 8 windows in threeminute interval between 10:01 GMT to 15:01 GMT. Figure 3 shows the classical RLT *T* $r_e$  plots of areas 2, 3 and 4 at 13:01 GMT. The effective radius of the shallowest clouds in areas 2 and 3 is very small ( $r_e=5\,\mu$ m), revealing that the clouds are microphysically continental. The effective radius of cloud droplets in these clouds pass the 15 $\mu$ m threshold for precipitation (Lensky and Rosenfeld, 1997) only when the clouds develop to heights where  $T_{10.8} < -12^{\circ}$ C. On the other hand, the effective radius of the shallowest clouds in area 4 is much larger ( $r_e=13\,\mu$ m), and it passes the 15 $\mu$ m threshold for precipitation at  $T_{10.8}=+5^{\circ}$ C. The T- $r_e$  plots of the other areas shows that areas 2, 3, 6 and 8 are microphysically continental, area 4 is microphysically maritime, and areas
- <sup>20</sup> 5 and 7 are transition between maritime and continental.

The T- $r_e$  plots are formed by calculating the median and other percentiles of the  $r_e$  for each 1°C interval of T. The lower/higher percentiles represent the younger/older elements at that height. In Fig. 4 we show T- $r_e$  plots of the 15 percentile of the same areas as in Fig. 3 at one hour intervals: 11:00, 12:00, 13:00 and 14:00 GMT. The 15 percentile was chosen so that the plot will represent the beginning of each microphysical process in the cloud. For example, looking at the line of  $r_e$ =15  $\mu$ m in Fig. 4 will

## ACPD

5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds



show the *T* of onset of precipitation in each plot, or *T* at which  $r_e$  reaches the saturation value of 40  $\mu$ m indicates that glaciation dominates the cloud from this isotherm and above. It is evident from this figure that the distinct behavior of the clouds in the predefined areas is stable with time. However, there is a small trend of a decrease of the effective radius with time in most of the areas. This may be due to increased vigor of the convection with time from morning to the afternoon, together with the increasing of cloud base height and temperature, all slowing the growth of the  $r_e$  with height thus contributing to increased microphysical continentality of clouds during the day. This is

manifested by the shift to the left (smaller  $r_e$ ) of the T- $r_e$  plots.

#### <sup>10</sup> 4.2. The T- $r_e$ time evolution of individually tracked clouds

Convective cloud development can be viewed as consecutive towers that dissipate or merge with previous cloud elements, and new towers replace them and develop some more, and so on until a fully-grown Cb cluster is formed in some cases, or in other cases the clouds dissipate before reaching that stature. The approach that was taken for the cloud tracking was to look for local minima of the temperature field in the cloudy

- <sup>15</sup> for the cloud tracking was to look for local minima of the temperature field in the cloudy pixels in each time step, and then to track these local minima from one time step to the next based on the cell location (line and column). Tracking the cloud elements results therefore in few long tracks along with many shorter ones that merged into the longest that made it all the way to the mature stage. It is analogous to a river (the long-tracked main cell) with many tributaries (the cells that merge to the primary one), but only one
- <sup>20</sup> main cell) with many tributaries (the cells that merge to the primary one), but only one water way connects the initial development to the outlet of the river to the sea (the anvil of the mature storm). Figure 5 shows the time evolution of T and  $r_e$  of these longest cloud tracks.

The cells were found to be very often regenerating in the same location, such that after a developing stage (decreasing *T* and increasing  $r_e$ ), came a drop in the  $r_e$  and rise of the *T* followed by another developing stage. For example, cell 708 in area 4 of Fig. 5 seems to glaciate after about 60 min ( $r_e$ =40  $\mu$ m) but after about 10 min  $r_e$  drops to 27  $\mu$ m and *T* increases by 20°C. Maturation of the older glaciated cell segment may 5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds



lead to thinning of the segment due to precipitation and evaporation. The resultant increase of the BTD between the 10.8 and  $12.0\,\mu$ m channels causes these pixels to be rejected from the cloud mask. In such case the cell track jumps to adjacent pixels that did pass the criteria of the cloud mask. Other cases like the peaks in the first 40 min of

- <sup>5</sup> cell 708 represent regeneration of the convective bubbles that form the cloud. These succeeding developing stages follow the general  $T r_e$  characteristics of the area as can be seen in Fig. 5. It follows that the general characteristic  $T r_e$  plot of the area is built upon many regenerating cells that follow the same  $T r_e$  relations (confirming again the second assumption of the RLT).
- <sup>10</sup> The dynamic behaviors of single clouds were often very different; still their microphysical behavior remained consistent with the typical  $T - r_e$  plot of that area. For example, note in Fig. 5 that cells number 823, 2219 and 2497 that do not make it to Cb (lowest  $T > \sim -20^{\circ}$ C) have the same  $r_e$  for the same T as clouds that become eventually fully grown as cells number 29 and 708 ( $T_{29} = -50^{\circ}$ C,  $T_{708} = -60^{\circ}$ C), in spite of the dynamical differences that determined such different fates for these two groups of cells.
- The rapid scan of the clouds microstructure allows for the first time to add the time dimension to the microphysics of clouds from space observations. The longevity of highly supercooled (i.e.,  $T < -10^{\circ}$ C) clouds tracked in Fig. 5 is 3–4 times longer than the 10 to 15 min that were previously reported by observations made by repeated aircraft penetrations into tropical and subtropical microphysically continental clouds (Rosenfeld and Woodley, 1997). Also the inferred updraft velocity is smaller than observations. For example, in area 2, it took about 40 min for cells number 4 and 37 to reach the  $r_e = 15 \,\mu$ m threshold (at  $T = -10^{\circ}$ C). This corresponds to very low updraft of about 1.2 ms<sup>-1</sup>, whereas updrafts >5 ms<sup>-1</sup> were usually observed by aircraft in situ measurements (Rosenfeld and Woodley, 1997). The discrepancy between the aircraft and
- <sup>25</sup> surements (Rosenteld and Woodley, 1997). The discrepancy between the aircraft and satellite observations can be resolved by the suggestion that the tracked cloud top is renewed frequently by new growing cloud bubbles, and the longevity of the supercooled cloud water is a lot shorter than the outline of the cloud top. Furthermore, the effects of cloud drop coalescence to increase  $r_e$  and evaporation to decrease  $r_e$  nearly cancel

## **ACPD**

5, 11911-11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds



each other and cause further stability of the  $r_e$  as long as the cloud is not appreciably glaciated (Freud et al., 2005). The process of the cloud top renewal by sequence of bubbles along with the stability of  $r_e$  to maturation of cloud drop distribution at a given T explain the apparent lack of sensitivity of  $r_e$  to the elapsing time within the convective cloud life cycle. The lack of time dependence of  $r_e$  on T leaves  $r_e$  in convective elements almost exclusively as a function of T. This is the fundamental cause for the exchangeability of time and space, or the ergodicity of the T- $r_e$  relations.

#### 4.3. Comparing time and space dimensions

Tracking the cells and then composite back their elements can provide further supporting evidence. This is done in Fig. 6, which shows similar  $T - r_e$  relations for cells of vastly different time evolution in Fig. 5. For example, cell number 4 of Fig. 5 continued to develop for another 20 min, reaching  $T = -20^{\circ}$ C and  $r_e = 27 \,\mu$ m, but then started to decay, and vanished after another 20 min. Cell number 37 continued growing, starting to glaciate at  $T < -20^{\circ}$ C after about 70 min, about 50 min after crossing the 0°C isotherm, and vanished only after 110 min. When plotting on Fig. 6 the  $T - r_e$  relations of these cell tracks while ignoring the time dimension we practically reconstruct the  $T - r_e$  plots of the areas in Fig. 3. Because we track the growing cloud elements the reconstruction is closest to the 15 percentile of the  $T - r_e$  distribution, shown in Fig. 4.

#### 5. Conclusions

<sup>20</sup> The unique MSG rapid scan data enables us to track the microphysical evolution of the tops of individual convective cloud elements in different areas. It has been shown here that individual clouds follow the general pattern of the T- $r_e$  plot that characterizes the convective cloud cluster in its' specific area, although the individual clouds may be very different dynamically. The single clouds, each in its stage: young, mature <sup>25</sup> or dissipating, join to build the T- $r_e$  plot of the cluster. We showed that the rate and 5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds



duration of processes such as diffusional growth and duration of supercooled phase could be inferred from the time sequence, but their variation in duration has little effect on the dependence of  $r_e$  on T. The result is that T- $r_e$  relations of a convective cloud field is stable over time, and depends mainly on the thermodynamic and aerosol properties of the air mass. For example, the diurnal increase of instability is associated with a

so the air mass. For example, the durnal increase of instability is associated with a small decrease of the  $r_{\theta}$  for a given *T* in the afternoon hours. This is in fact a validation of the ergodicity assumption for the *T*- $r_{\theta}$  relations for a cloud field under given similar conditions.

The validity of the ergodicity assumption is at the basis of the inference of vertical <sup>10</sup> microphysical evolution of convective cloud elements, using a snap shot of convective cloud field (Rosenfeld and Lensky, 1998). This is important because of the much greater resolution of polar satellites that can take only snap shots. The validation of the ergodicity assumption provides a more solid basis for using these satellites snap shots for inferring the microphysical evolution of growing convective cloud elements.

<sup>15</sup> Acknowledgements. The authors thank EUMETSAT for providing the unique MSG rapid scan data set that made this study possible. This study was partially supported by the Israel Space Agency.

#### References

25

Freud, E., Rosenfeld, D., Andreae, M. O., Costa, A. A., and Artaxo, P.: Robust relations between

20 CCN and the vertical evolution of cloud drop size distribution in deep convective clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 5, 10155–10195, 2005, SRef-ID: 1680-7375/acpd/2005-5-10155.

Lensky, M. I. and Rosenfeld, D.: Estimation of precipitation area and rain intensity based on the microphysical properties retrieved from NOAA AVHRR data, J. Appl. Meteor., 36, 234–242, 1997.

Nakajima, T. and King, M. D.: Asymptotic theory for optically thick layers, Appl. Opt., 31, 7669–7683, 1992.

5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds

Title	Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14			
•	►		
Back	Close		
Full Sc	Full Screen / Esc		
Print	Print Version		
Interactive Discussion			

Nakajima, T. Y. and Nakajima, T.: Wide-area determination of cloud microphysical properties from NOAA AVHRR measurements for FIRE and ASTEX regions, J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 4043–4059, 1995.

Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols, Climate and the Hydrological Cycle, Science, 294, 2119–2124, 2001.

Rosenfeld, D. and Lensky, I. M.: Satellite-based insights into precipitation formation processes in continental and maritime convective clouds, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 79, 2457–2476, 1998.

5

10

25

30

Rosenfeld, D.: TRMM observed first direct evidence of smoke from forest fires inhibiting rainfall, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 3105–3108, 1999.

Rosenfeld, D: Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution, Science, 287, 1793–1796, 2000.

Rosenfeld, D., Rudich, Y., and Lahav, R.: Desert dust suppressing: A possible desertification feedback loop, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 98, 5975–5980, 2001.

- <sup>15</sup> Rosenfeld, D. and Woodley, W. L.: Cloud microphysical observations relevance to the Texas cold-cloud conceptual seeding model, J. Wea. Mod., 29, 56–68, 1997.
  - Rosenfeld, D. and Woodley, W. L.: Pollution and Clouds. Physics World, Institute of Physics Publishing LTD, Dirac House, Temple Back, Bristol BS1 6BE, UK, February 2001, 33–37, 2001.
- Rosenfeld, D., Lahav, R., Khain, A. P., and Pinsky, M.: The role of sea-spray in cleansing air pollution over ocean via cloud processes, Science, 297, 1667–1670, 2002.
  - Rosenfeld, D. and Woodley, W. L.: Closing the 50-year circle: From cloud seeding to space and back to climate change through precipitation physics, Chapter 6 of "Cloud Systems, Hurricanes, and the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM)", edited by: Tao, W.-K. and Adler, R., 234 pp., p. 59–80, Meteorological Monographs 51, AMS, 2003.
  - Rosenfeld, D., Cattani, E., Melani, S., and Levizzani, V.: Considerations on daylight operation of  $1.6 \,\mu$ m vs  $3.7 \,\mu$ m channel on NOAA and METOP Satellites, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 85, 873–881, 2004.

Rudich, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Khersonsky, O.: Treating clouds with a grain of salt, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29(22), doi:10.1029/2002GL016055, 2002.

Rudich, Y., Sagi, A., and Rosenfeld, D.: Influence of the Kuwait oil fires plume (1991) on the microphysical development of clouds, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D15), 4478, doi:10.1029/2003JD003472, 2003.

### **ACPD**

5, 11911-11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
	►I	
•	►	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

- Schmetz, J., Pili, P., Tjemkes, S., Just, D., Kerkmann, J., Rota, S., and Ratier, A.: An Introduction to Meteosat Second Generation (MSG), Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 83, 977–992, 2002.
  Tupper, A., Oswalt, J. S., and Rosenfeld, D.: Satellite and radar analysis of the 'volcanic' thunderstorms at Mt Pinatubo, Philippines, 1991, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D09204, doi:10.1029/2004JD005499, 2004.
- Williams, E., Rosenfeld, D., and Madden, M.: Contrasting convective regimes over the Amazon: Implications for cloud electrification, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D20), 8082, doi:10.1029/2001JD000380, 2002.

5

10

Woodley, W. L., Rosenfeld, D., and Strautins, A.: Identification of a seeding signature in Texas using multi-spectral satellite imagery, J. Wea. Mod., 32, 37–52, 2000.

### **ACPD**

5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds





Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the BTD between 10.8 and  $12.0\,\mu$ m channels thresholds for the cloud mask.

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**ACPD** 



**Fig. 2.** MSG/SEVIRI image from 15 December 2003 13:01 GMT of convective clouds over southern Africa is shown. The color is composed of red for  $0.8 \,\mu$ m reflectance, green for  $3.9 \,\mu$ m reflectance (approximating  $r_e$ ), and blue for  $10.8 \,\mu$ m brightness temperature. The selected areas of interest for the analysis of the timespace exchangeability of the *T*- $r_e$  are shown.

## ACPD

5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
<b>I</b> ◄	►I	
•	►	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		



**Fig. 3.** Analysis of the T- $r_e$  relationship, for all the pixels that passed the cloud mask in areas 2, 3 and 4 from 13:01 GMT. Plotted are the 15th 50th, and 85th percentiles of the re for each 1°C interval. The thick line indicates the median. Areas 2 and 3 are microphysically continental, while area 4 is microphysically maritime.

**Print Version** 

Interactive Discussion

5, 11911-11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds









5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds

> I. M. Lensky and D. Rosenfeld



**Fig. 5.** Time evolution of T and  $r_e$  of the cells' coldest pixel of some of the longer tracked convective cells in areas 1, 2 and 4. 11926

5, 11911-11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds

> I. M. Lensky and D. Rosenfeld



EGU

-60 40 -60 40 35 35 -50 -50 30 30 -40 -40 25 25 -30 -30 20 ~ 7 L 20 ~ ~ F -20 -20 15 15 -10 -10 10 10 0 0 5 5 10 0 10 0 80 40 60 80 20 40 60 100 120 0 20 100 0 T [minutes] T [minutes] A4C2219 A4C2497 - Re -Re -60 40 -60 40 35 35 -50 -50 30 30 -40 -40 25 25 -30 -30 E 20 ~ 7 L 20 ~ 7 -20 -20 15 15 -10 -10 10 10 0 5 0 5 10 \_\_\_\_\_0 35 0 10 25 35 0 5 10 15 20 30 15 20 25 30 0 5 10 T [minutes] T [minutes]

A4C0823

A4C0708

Fig. 5. Continued.

5, 11911–11928, 2005

Ergodicity of the T- $r_e$ relations for convective clouds







