# Distinguished representations and exceptional poles of the Asai-L-function 

Nadir Matringe

## To cite this version:

Nadir Matringe. Distinguished representations and exceptional poles of the Asai-L-function. 2008. hal-00299528v4

HAL Id: hal-00299528
https://hal.science/hal-00299528v4
Preprint submitted on 22 Jul 2008 (v4), last revised 4 Nov 2008 (v6)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Distinguished representations and exceptional poles of the Asai-L-function 

Nadir MATRINGE

July 22, 2008


#### Abstract

Let $K / F$ be a quadratic extension of p-adic fields. We show that a generic irreducible representation of $G L(n, K)$ is distinguished if and ony if its Rankin-Selberg Asai L-function has an exceptional pole at zero. We use this result to compute Asai L-functions of ordinary irreducible representations of $G L(2, K)$. In the appendix, we describe supercuspidal dihedral representations of $G L(2, K)$ in terms of Langlands parameter.


## 1 Introduction

For $K / F$ a quadratic extension of local fields, let $\sigma$ be the conjugation relative to this extension, and $\eta_{K / F}$ be the character of $F^{*}$ with kernel norms of $K^{*}$. The conjugation $\sigma$ extends naturally to an automorphism of $G L(n, K)$, and we still note this automorphism $\sigma$. If $\pi$ is a representation of $G L(n, K)$, we note $\pi^{\sigma}$ the representation $g \mapsto \pi(\sigma(g))$.
If $\pi$ is a smooth irreducible representation of $G L(n, K)$, and $\chi$ a character of $F^{*}$, the dimension of the space of linear forms on its space, which transform by $\chi$ under $G L(n, F)$ (with respect to the action $[(L, g) \mapsto L \circ \pi(g)]$ ), is known to be at most one (Proposition 11, [F1]). One says that $\pi$ is $\chi$-distinguished if this dimension is one, and says that $\pi$ is distinguished if it is 1-distinguished.
Jacquet conjectured two results about distinguished representations of $G L(n, K)$. Let $\pi$ be a smooth irreducible representation of $G L(n, K)$ and $\pi^{\vee}$ its contragredient. The first conjecture states that it is equivalent for $\pi$ with central character trivial on $F^{*}$ to be isomorphic to $\pi^{\vee \sigma}$ and for $\pi$ to be distinguished or $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished. In [K] Kable proved it for discrete series representations, using Asai $L$-functions.
The second conjecture, which is proved in [K], states that if $\pi$ is a discrete series representation, then it cannot be distinguished and $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished at the same time.
One of the key points in Kable's proof is that if a discrete series representation of $G L(n, K)$ is such that its Asai $L$-function has a pole at zero, then it is distinguished, Theorem 1.4 of A-K-T shows that it is actually an equivalence. This theorem actually shows that Asai $L$-functions of tempered distinguished representations admit a pole at zero.
In this article, using a result of Youngbin Ok which states that for a distinguished representation, linear forms invariant under the affine subgroup of $G L(n, F)$ are actually $G L(n, F)$-invariant (which generalises Corollary 1.2 of $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{T}$ ), we prove in Theorem 3.1 that a generic representation is distinguished if and only if its Asai $L$-function admits an exceptional pole at zero. A pole at zero is always exceptional for Asai $L$-functions of discrete series representations (see explanation before Proposition 3.4). As a first application, we give in Proposition 3.6 a formula for Asai $L$-functions of supercuspidal representations of $G L(n, K)$.
There are actually three different ways to define Asai $L$-functions: one via the local Langlands correspondence and in terms of Langlands parameters denoted by $L_{W}(\pi, s)$, the one we use via the theory of Rankin-Selberg integrals denoted by $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$, and the Langlands-Shahidi method applied to a suitable unitary group, denoted by $L_{A s, 2}(\pi, s)$ (see A-R ). It is expected that the above three $L$-functions are equal.
For a discrete series representation $\pi$, it is shown in He that $L_{W}(\pi, s)=L_{A s, 2}(\pi, s)$, and in

A-R that $L_{A s}(\pi, s)=L_{A s, 2}(\pi, s)$, both proofs using global methods.
As a second application of our principal result, we show (by local methods) in Theorem 4.2 of section 4 that for an ordinary representation (i.e. corresponding through Langlands correspondance to an imprimitive 2 dimensional representation of the Weil-Deligne group) $\pi$ of $G L(2, K)$, we have $L_{W}(\pi, s)=L_{A s}(\pi, s)$. We recall that for odd residual characteristic, every smooth irreducible infinite dimensional representation of $G L(2, K)$ is ordinary.
In the appendix (section (5), we describe in Theorem 5.4 distinguished dihedral supercuspidal representations, this description is used in section 4 for the computation of $L_{A s}$ for such representations.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $E_{1}$ be a field, and $E_{2}$ a finite galois extension of $E_{1}$, we note $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E_{2} / E_{1}\right)$ the Galois group of $E_{2}$ over $E_{1}$, and we note $\operatorname{Tr}_{E_{2} / E_{1}}$ (respectively $N_{E_{2} / E_{1}}$ ) the trace (respectively the norm) application from $E_{2}$ to $E_{1}$. If $E_{2}$ is quadratic over $E_{1}$, we note $\sigma_{E_{2} / E_{1}}$ the non trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E_{2} / E_{1}\right)$.
In the rest of this paper, the letter $F$ will always designate a non archimedean local field of characteristic zero in a fixed algebraic closure $\bar{F}$, and the letter $K$ a quadratic extension of $F$ in $\bar{F}$. We note $q_{F}$ and $q_{K}$ the cardinality of their residual fields, $R_{K}$ and $R_{F}$ their integer rings, $P_{K}$ and $P_{F}$ the maximal ideals of $R_{K}$ and $R_{F}$, and $U_{K}$ and $U_{F}$ their unit groups. We also note $v_{K}$ and $v_{F}$ the respective normalized valuations, and $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{K}\right.$ and $|\right|_{F}$ the respective absolute values. We fix an element $\delta$ of $K-F$ such that $\delta^{2} \in F$, hence $K=F(\delta)$.
Let $\psi$ be a non trivial character of $K$ trivial on $F$, it is of the form $x \mapsto \psi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{K / F}(\delta x)$ for some non trivial character $\psi^{\prime}$ of $F$.
Whenever $G$ is an algebraic group defined over $F$, we note $G(K)$ its $K$-points and $G(F)$ its
$F$-points. The group $G L(n)$ is noted $G_{n}$, its standard maximal unipotent subgroup is noted $N_{n}$.
If $\pi$ is a representation of a group, we also note $\pi$ its isomorphism class. Let $\mu$ be a character of $F^{*}$, we say that a representation $\pi$ of $G_{n}(K)$ is $\mu$-distinguished if it admits on its space $V_{\pi}$ a linear form $L$, which verifies the following: for $v$ in $V$ and $h$ in $G_{n}(K)$, then $L(\pi(h) v)=\mu(\operatorname{det}(h)) L(v)$. If $\mu=1$, we say that $\pi$ is distinguished.
We note $K_{n}(F)$ the maximal compact subgroup $G_{n}\left(R_{F}\right)$ of $G_{n}(F)$, and for $r \geq 1$, we note $K_{n, r}(F)$, the congruence subgroup $I_{n}+M_{n}\left(P_{F}^{r}\right)$.
The character $\psi$ defines a character of $N_{n}(K)$ that we still note $\psi$, given by $\psi(n)=\psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} n_{i, i+1}\right)$.
We now recall standard results from F2].
Let $\pi$ be a generic smooth irreducible representation of $G_{n}(K)$, we note $\pi^{\vee}$ its smooth contragredient, and $c_{\pi}$ its central character.
We note $D\left(F^{n}\right)$ the space of smooth functions with compact support on $F^{n}$, and $D_{0}\left(F^{n}\right)$ the subspace of $D\left(F^{n}\right)$ of functions vanishing at zero. We note $\rho$ the natural action of $G_{n}(F)$ on $D\left(F^{n}\right)$, given by $\rho(g) \phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\phi\left(\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) g\right)$, and we note $\eta$ the line vector $(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ of length $n$.
If $W$ belongs to the Whittaker model $W(\pi, \psi)$ of $\pi$, and $\phi$ belongs to $D\left(F^{n}\right)$, the following integral converges for $s$ of real part large enough:

$$
\int_{N_{n}(F) \backslash G_{n}(F)} W(g) \phi(\eta g)|\operatorname{det}(g)|_{F}^{s} d g .
$$

This integral as a function of $s$ has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}$ which we note $\Psi(W, \phi, s)$. For $s$ of real part large enough, the function $\Psi(W, \phi, s)$ is a rational function in $q_{F}^{-s}$, which actually has a Laurent series development.
The $\mathbb{C}$-vector space generated by these functions is in fact a fractional ideal $I(\pi)$ of $\mathbb{C}\left[q_{F}^{-s}, q_{F}^{s}\right]$. This ideal $I(\pi)$ is principal, and has a unique generator of the form $1 / P\left(q_{F}^{-s}\right)$, where $P$ is a polynomial with $P(0)=1$.

Definition 2.1. We note $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$ the generator of $I(\pi)$ defined just above, and call it the Asai $L$-function of $\pi$.

Remark 2.1. If $P$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and has constant term equal to one, then the function of the complex variable $L_{P}: s \mapsto 1 / P\left(q_{F}^{-s}\right)$ is called an Euler factor. It is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ and admits $\left(2 i \pi / \operatorname{Ln}\left(q_{F}\right)\right) \mathbb{Z}$ as a period subgroup. Hence if $s_{0}$ is a pole of $L_{P}$, the elements $s_{0}+\left(2 i \pi / \operatorname{Ln}\left(q_{F}\right)\right) \mathbb{Z}$ are also poles of $L_{P}$, with same multiplicities, we identify $s_{0}$ and $s_{0}+\left(2 i \pi / \operatorname{Ln}\left(q_{F}\right)\right) \mathbb{Z}$ when we talk about poles. A pole $s_{0}$ then corresponds to a root $\alpha_{0}$ of $P$ by the formula $q^{-s_{0}}=\alpha_{0}$, its multiplicity in $L_{P}$ equal to the multiplicity of $\alpha_{0}$ in $P$.

Let $w_{n}$ be the matrix of $G_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with ones on the antidiagonal, and zeroes elsewhere. For $W$ in $W(\pi, \psi)$, we note $\tilde{W}$ the function $g \mapsto W\left(w_{n}{ }^{t} g^{-1}\right)$ which belongs to $W\left(\pi^{\vee}, \psi^{-1}\right)$, and we note $\widehat{\phi}$ the Fourier transform (with respect to $\psi^{\prime}$ an its associate autodual Haar measure) of $\phi$ in $D\left(F^{n}\right)$.

Theorem 2.1. (Functional equation)(Th. of [F2])
There exists an epsilon factor $\epsilon_{A s}(\pi, s, \psi)$ which is, up to scalar, a (maybe negative) power of $q^{s}$, such that the following functional equation is satisfied for any $W$ in $W(\pi, \psi)$ and any $\phi$ in $D\left(F^{n}\right)$ :

$$
\Psi(\tilde{W}, \widehat{\phi}, 1-s) / L_{A s}\left(\pi^{\vee}, 1-s\right)=c_{\pi}(-1)^{n-1} \epsilon_{A s}(\pi, s, \psi) \Psi(W, \phi, s) / L_{A s}(\pi, s)
$$

## 3 Poles of the Asai $L$-function and distinguishedness

Now suppose $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$ has a pole at $s_{0}$, its order $d$ is the highest order pole of the family of functions of $I(\pi)$.
Then we have the following Laurent expansion at $s_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(W, \phi, s)=B_{s_{0}}(W, \phi) /\left(q_{F}^{s}-q_{F}^{s_{0}}\right)^{d}+\text { smaller order terms } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The residue $B_{s_{0}}(W, \phi)$ defines a non zero bilinear form on $W(\pi, \psi) \times D\left(F^{n}\right)$, satisfying the quasi-invariance:

$$
B_{s_{0}}(\pi(g) W, \rho(g) \phi)=|\operatorname{det}(g)|_{F}^{-s_{0}} B_{s_{0}}(W, \phi) .
$$

Following $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ for the split case $K=F \times F$, we state the following definition:
Definition 3.1. A pole of the Asai $L$-function $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$ at $s_{0}$ is called exceptional if the associated bilinear form $B_{s_{0}}$ vanishes on $W(\pi, \psi) \times D_{0}\left(F^{n}\right)$.

As an immediate consequence, if $s_{0}$ is an exceptional pole of $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$, then $B_{s_{0}}$ is of the form $B_{s_{0}}(W, \phi)=\lambda_{s_{0}}(W) \phi(0)$, where $\lambda_{s_{0}}$ is a non zero $|\operatorname{det}()|_{F}^{-s_{0}}$ invariant linear form on $W(\pi, \psi)$. Hence we have:

Proposition 3.1. Let $\pi$ be a generic irreducible representation of $G_{n}(K)$, and suppose its Asai $L$-function has an exceptional pole at zero, then $\pi$ is distinguished.

We note $P_{n}(F)$ the affine subgroup of $G_{n}(F)$, given by matrices with last row equal to $\eta$. For more convenience, we introduce a second $L$-function: for $W$ in $W(\pi, \psi)$, by standard arguments, the following integral is convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large, and defines a rational function in $q^{-s}$, which has a Laurent series development:

$$
\int_{N_{n}(F) \backslash P_{n}(F)} W(p)|\operatorname{det}(p)|_{F}^{s} d p
$$

We note $\Psi_{1}(W, s)$ the corresponding Laurent series. By standard arguments again, the vector space generated by the functions $\Psi_{1}(W, s-1)$ is a fractional ideal $I_{1}(\pi)$ of $\mathbb{C}\left[q_{F}^{-s}, q_{F}^{s}\right]$, which has a
unique generator of the form $1 / Q\left(q_{F}^{-s}\right)$, where $Q$ is a polynomial with $Q(0)=1$. We note $L_{1}(\pi, s)$ this generator.

Lemma 3.1. (J-P-S p. 393)
Let $W$ be in $W(\pi, \psi)$, one can choose $\phi$ with support small enough around $(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ such that $\Psi(W, \phi, s)=\Psi_{1}(W, s-1)$.

Proof. As we gave a reference, we only sketch the proof. We first recall the following integration formula (cf. proof of the proposition in paragraph 4 of $[\mathrm{F}]$ ), for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(W, \phi, s)=\int_{K_{n}(F)} \int_{N_{n}(F) \backslash P_{n}(F)} W(p k)|\operatorname{det}(p)|_{F}^{s-1} d p \int_{F^{*}} \phi(\eta a k) c_{\pi}(a)|a|_{F}^{n s} d^{*} a d k \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $r$ large enough for $W$ to be right invariant under $K_{n, r}(F)$, we take $\phi$ a positive multiple of the characteristic function of $\eta K_{n, r}(F)$, and conclude from equation (2).

Hence we have the inclusion $I_{1}(\pi) \subset I(\pi)$, which implies that $L_{1}(\pi, s)=L_{A s}(\pi, s) R\left(q_{F}^{s}, q_{F}^{-s}\right)$ for some $R$ in $\mathbb{C}\left[q_{F}^{-s}, q_{F}^{s}\right]$. But because $L_{1}$ and $L_{A s}$ are both Euler factors, $R$ is actually just a polynomial in $q_{F}^{-s}$, with constant term equal to one. Noting $L_{\operatorname{rad}(e x)}(\pi, s)$ its inverse (which is an Euler factor), we have $L_{A s}(\pi, s)=L_{1}(\pi, s) L_{r a d(e x)}(\pi, s)$, we will say that $L_{1}$ divides $L_{A s}$. The explanation for the notation $L_{\text {rad (ex) }}$ is given in Remark 3.1

We now give a characterisation of exceptional poles:
Proposition 3.2. A pole of $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$ is exceptional if and only if it is a pole of the function $L_{\text {rad }(e x)}(\pi, s)$ defined just above.

Proof. From equation (2), it becomes clear that the vector space generated by the integrals $\Psi(W, \phi, s)$ with $W$ in $W(\pi, \psi)$ and $\phi$ in $D_{0}\left(F^{n}\right)$, is contained in $I_{1}(\pi)$, but because of Lemma 3.1 those two vector spaces are equal. Hence $L_{1}(\pi, s)$ is a generator of the ideal generated as a vector space by the functions $\Psi(W, \phi, s)$ with $W$ in $W(\pi, \psi)$ and $\phi$ in $D_{0}\left(F^{n}\right)$.
From equation (1), if $s_{0}$ is an exceptional pole, a function $\Psi(W, \phi, s)$, with $\phi$ in $D_{0}\left(F^{n}\right)$, cannot have a pole of highest order at $s_{0}$, hence we have one implication.
Now if the order of the pole $s_{0}$ for $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$ is stricly greater than the one of $L_{1}(\pi, s)$, then the first residual term corresponding to a pole of highest order of the Laurent development of any function $\Psi(W, \phi, s)$ with $\phi(0)=0$ must be zero, and zero is exceptional.

Lemma 3.1 also implies:

Proposition 3.3. The functional $\Lambda_{\pi, s}: W \mapsto \Psi_{1}(W, s-1) / L_{A s}(\pi, s)$ defines a (maybe null) linear form on $W(\pi, \psi)$ which transforms by $|\operatorname{det}()|_{F}^{1-s}$ under the affine subgroup $P_{n}(F)$.
For fixed $W$ in $W(\pi, \psi)$, then $s \mapsto \Lambda_{\pi, s}(W)$ is a polynomial of $q^{-s}$.
Now we are able to prove the converse of Proposition 3.1]
Theorem 3.1. A generic irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G_{n}(K)$ is distinguished if and only if $L_{A s}(s, \pi)$ admits an exceptional pole at zero.

Proof. We only need to prove that if $\pi$ is distinguished, then $L_{A s}(s, \pi)$ admits an exceptional pole at zero, so we suppose $\pi$ distinguished.
From equation (2), for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \ll 0$, and $\pi$ distinguished (so that $c_{\pi}$ has trivial restriction to $F^{*}$ ), one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\tilde{W}, \widehat{\phi}, 1-s)=\int_{K_{n}(F)} \int_{N_{n}(F) \backslash P_{n}(F)} \tilde{W}(p k)|\operatorname{det}(p)|_{F}^{-s} d p \int_{F^{*}} \widehat{\phi}(\eta a k)|a|_{F}^{n(1-s)} d^{*} a d k . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\tilde{W}, \widehat{\phi}, 1-s) / L_{A s}\left(\pi^{\vee}, 1-s\right)=\int_{K_{n}(F)} \Lambda_{\pi^{\vee}, 1-s}\left(\pi^{\vee}(k) \tilde{W}\right) \int_{F^{*}} \widehat{\phi}(\eta a k)|a|_{F}^{n(1-s)} d^{*} a d k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second member of the equality is actually a finite sum: $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \Lambda_{\pi^{\vee}, 1-s}\left(\pi^{\vee}\left(k_{i}\right) \tilde{W}\right) \int_{F^{*}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\eta a k_{i}\right)|a|_{F}^{n(1-s)} d^{*} a$, where the $\lambda_{i}$ 's are positive constants and the $k_{i}$ 's are elements of $K_{n}(F)$ independant of $s$.
Note that there exists a positive constant $\epsilon$, such that for $\operatorname{Re}(s)<\epsilon$, the integral $\int_{F^{*}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\eta a k_{i}\right)|a|_{F}^{n(1-s)} d^{*} a$ is absolutely convergent, and defines a holomorphic function. So we have an equality (equality (4) of analytic functions (actually of polynomials in $q_{F}^{-s}$ ), hence it is true for all $s$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(s)<\epsilon$. For $s=0$, we get:

$$
\Psi(\tilde{W}, \widehat{\phi}, 1) / L_{A s}\left(\pi^{\vee}, 1\right)=\int_{K_{n}(F)} \Lambda_{\pi^{\vee}, 1}\left(\pi^{\vee}(k) \tilde{W}\right) \int_{F^{*}} \widehat{\phi}(\eta a k)|a|_{F}^{n} d^{*} a d k
$$

But as $\pi$ is distinguished, so is $\pi^{\vee}$, and as $\Lambda_{\pi^{\vee}, 1}$ is a $P_{n}(F)$-invariant linear form on $W\left(\pi^{\vee}, \psi^{-1}\right)$, it follows from theorem 3.1.2 of Ok that it is actually $G_{n}(F)$-invariant.
Finally

$$
\Psi(\tilde{W}, \widehat{\phi}, 1) / L_{A s}\left(\pi^{\vee}, 1\right)=\Lambda_{\pi^{\vee}, 1}(\tilde{W}) \int_{K_{n}(F)} \int_{F^{*}} \widehat{\phi}(\eta a k)|a|_{F}^{n} d^{*} a d k
$$

which is equal to:

$$
\Lambda_{\pi \vee, 1}(\tilde{W}) \int_{P_{n}(F) \backslash G_{n}(F)} \widehat{\phi}(\eta g) d_{\mu} g
$$

where $d_{\mu}$ is up to scalar the unique $|\operatorname{det}()|^{-1}$ invariant measure on $P_{n}(F) \backslash G_{n}(F)$. But as

$$
\int_{P_{n}(G) \backslash G_{n}(F)} \widehat{\phi}(\eta g) d_{\mu} g=\int_{F^{n}} \widehat{\phi}(x) d x=\phi(0),
$$

we deduce from the functional equation that $\Psi(W, \phi, 0) / L_{A s}(\pi, 0)=0$ whenever $\phi(0)=0$.
As one can choose $W$, and $\phi$ vanishing at zero, such that $\Psi(W, \phi, s)$ is the constant function equal to 1 (see the proof of Theorem 1.4 in A-K-T ), hence $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$ has a pole at zero, which must be exceptional.

For a discrete series representation $\pi$, it follows from Lemma 2 of $[\underline{Z}$, that the integrals of the form

$$
\int_{N_{n}(F) \backslash P_{n}(F)} W(p)|\operatorname{det}(p)|_{F}^{s-1} d p
$$

converge absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>-\epsilon$ for some positive $\epsilon$, hence as functions of $s$, they cannot have a pole at zero.
This implies that $L_{1}(\pi, s)$ has no pole at zero, hence Theorem 3.1 in this case gives:

Proposition 3.4. ( $[\underline{K}]$, Theorem 4)
A discrete series representation $\pi$ of $G_{n}(K)$ is distinguished if and only if $L_{A s}(s, \pi)$ admits a pole at zero.

Let $s_{0}$ be in $\mathbb{C}$. We notice that if $\pi$ is a generic irreducible representation of $G_{n}(K)$, it is $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished if and only if $\left.\pi \otimes\right|\right|_{K} ^{s_{0} / 2}$ is distinguished, but as $L_{A s}\left(s, \pi \otimes| |_{K}^{s_{0} / 2}\right)$ is equal to $L_{A s}\left(s+s_{0}, \pi\right)$, Theorem 3.1 becomes:

Theorem 3.2. A generic irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G_{n}(K)$ is $\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished if and only if $L_{A s}(s, \pi)$ admits an exceptional pole at $s_{0}$.

Remark 3.1. Let $P$ and $Q$ be two polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ with constant term 1 , we say that the Euler factor $L_{P}(s)=1 / P\left(q_{F}^{-s}\right)$ divides $L_{Q}(s)=1 / Q\left(q_{F}^{-s}\right)$ if and only $P$ divides $Q$. We note $L_{P} \vee L_{Q}$ the Euler factor $1 /(P \vee Q)\left(q_{F}^{-s}\right)$, where the l.c.m $P \vee Q$ is chosen such that $(P \vee Q)(0)=1$. We define the g.c.d $L_{P} \wedge L_{Q}$ the same way.
It follows from equation (2) that if $c_{\pi \mid F^{*}}$ is ramified, then $L_{A s}(\pi, s)=L_{1}(\pi, s)$. It also follows from the same equation that if $c_{\pi \mid F^{*}}=| |_{F}^{-s_{1}}$ for some $s_{1}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, then $L_{r a d(e x)}(\pi, s)$ divides $1 /\left(1-q_{F}^{s_{1}-n s}\right)$. Anyway, $L_{r a d(e x)}(\pi, s)$ has simple poles.
Now we can explain the notation $L_{r a d(e x)}$. We refer to [C-P where the case $K=F \times F$ is treated. In fact, in the latter, $L_{e x}(\pi, s)$ is the function $1 / P_{e x}\left(\pi, q_{F}^{-s}\right)$, with $P_{e x}\left(\pi, q_{F}^{-s}\right)=\prod_{s_{i}}\left(1-q_{F}^{s_{i}-s}\right)^{d_{i}}$, where the $s_{i}$ 's are the exceptional poles of $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$ and the $d_{i}$ 's their order in $L_{A s}(\pi, s)$. Hence $L_{r a d(e x)}(\pi, s)=1 / P_{\operatorname{rad}(e x)}\left(\pi, q_{F}^{-s}\right)$, where $P_{\operatorname{rad}(e x)}(\pi, X)$ is the unique generator with constant term equal to one, of the radical of the ideal generated by $P_{e x}(\pi, X)$ in $\mathbb{C}[X]$.

We proved:
Proposition 3.5. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible generic representation of $G_{n}(K)$, the Euler factor $L_{\text {rad(ex) }}(\pi, s)$ has simple poles, it is therefore equal to $\prod 1 /\left(1-q_{F}^{s_{0}-s}\right)$ where the product is taken over the $q_{F}^{s_{0}}$ 's such that $\pi$ is $\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished.

Suppose now that $\pi$ is supercuspidal, then the restriction to $P_{n}(K)$ of any $W$ in $W(\pi, \psi)$ has compact support modulo $N_{n}(K)$, hence $\Psi_{1}(W, s-1)$ is a polynomial in $q^{-s}$, and $L_{1}(\pi, s)$ is equal to 1. Hence Proposition 3.5 becomes:

Proposition 3.6. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G_{n}(K)$, then $L_{A s}(\pi, s)=$ $\prod 1 /\left(1-q^{s_{0}-s}\right)$ where the product is taken over the $q^{s_{0}}$ 's such that $\pi$ is $\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished.

## 4 Asai $L$-functions of $G L(2)$

### 4.1 Asai $L$-functions for imprimitive Weil-Deligne representations of dimension 2

The aim of this paragraph is to compute $L_{W}(\rho, s)$ (see the introduction) when $\rho$ is an imprimitive two dimensional representation of the Weil-Deligne group of $K$.

We note $W_{K}$ (resp. $W_{F}$ ) the Weil group of $K$ (resp. $F$ ), $I_{K}$ (resp. $I_{F}$ ) the inertia subgroup of $W_{K}$ (resp. $W_{F}$ ), $W_{K}^{\prime}$ (resp. $W_{F}^{\prime}$ ) the group $W_{K} \times S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $W_{F} \times S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ ) and $I_{K}^{\prime}$ (resp. $I_{F}^{\prime}$ ) the group $I_{K} \times S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $I_{F} \times S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ ). We note $\phi_{F}$ a Froebenius element of $W_{F}$, and we also note $\phi_{F}^{\prime}$ the element $\left(\phi_{F}, I_{2}\right)$ of $W_{F}^{\prime}$.
We note $s p(n)$ the unique (up to isomorphism) complex irreducible representation of $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ of dimension $n$.
If $\rho$ is a finite dimensional representation of $W_{K}^{\prime}$, we note $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)$ the representation of $W_{F}^{\prime}$ induced multiplicatively from $\rho$. We recall its definition:
If $V$ is the space of $\rho$, then the space of $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)$ is $V \otimes V$. Noting $\tau$ an element of $W_{F}-W_{K}$, and $\sigma$ the element $(\tau, I)$ of $W_{F}^{\prime}$, we have:

$$
M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)(h)\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}\right)=\rho(h) v_{1} \otimes \rho^{\sigma}(h) v_{2}
$$

for $h$ in $W_{K}^{\prime}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ in $V$.

$$
M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)(\sigma)\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}\right)=\rho\left(\sigma^{2}\right) v_{2} \otimes v_{1}
$$

for $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ in $V$.
We refer to paragraph 7 of for definition and basic propositionerties of multiplicative induction in the general case.

Definition 4.1. The function $L_{W}(\rho, s)$ is by definition the usual L-function of the representation $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)$, i.e. $L_{W}(\rho, s)=L\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho), s\right)$.
i) If $\rho$ is of the form $\operatorname{In} d_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K}^{\prime}}(\omega)$ for some multiplicative character $\omega$ of a biquadratic extension $B$ of $F$, we note $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ the two other extensions between $F$ and $B$. If we call $\sigma_{1}$ an element of $W_{K}^{\prime}$ which is not in $W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime} \cup W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ an element of $W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}$ which is not in $W_{K}^{\prime} \cup W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, then $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}$ is an element of $W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ which is not in $W_{K}^{\prime} \cup W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}$.
The elements $\left(1, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ are representatives of $W_{F}^{\prime} / W_{B}^{\prime}$, and 1 and $\sigma_{3}$ are representatives of $W_{F}^{\prime} / W_{K}^{\prime}$.
If one identifies $\omega$ with a character (still called $\omega$ ) of $B^{*}$, then $\omega^{\sigma_{1}}$ identifies with $\omega \circ \sigma_{B / K}$, $\omega^{\sigma_{2}}$ with $\omega \circ \sigma_{B / K^{\prime}}$ and $\omega^{\sigma_{3}}$ with $\omega \circ \sigma_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}$. One then verifies that if $a$ belongs to $W_{B}$, one has:

- $\operatorname{Tr}\left[M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)(a)\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)(a)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)(a)\right]=\omega \omega^{\sigma_{2}}+$ $\omega \omega^{\sigma_{3}}+\omega^{\sigma_{1}} \omega^{\sigma_{2}}+\omega^{\sigma_{1}} \omega^{\sigma_{3}}$.
- $\operatorname{Tr}\left[M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)\left(\sigma_{1} a\right)\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)\left(\sigma_{1} a\right)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}(\omega)\right)\left(\sigma_{1} a\right)\right]=0$.
$\bullet \operatorname{Tr}\left[M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)\left(\sigma_{2} a\right)\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)\left(\sigma_{2} a\right)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}(\omega)\right)\left(\sigma_{2} a\right)\right]=\omega\left(\sigma_{2} a \sigma_{2} a\right)+$ $\omega^{\sigma_{1}}\left(\sigma_{2} a \sigma_{2} a\right)$.
$\bullet \operatorname{Tr}\left[M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)\left(\sigma_{3} a\right)\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)\left(\sigma_{3} a\right)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)\left(\sigma_{3} a\right)\right]=\omega\left(\sigma_{3} a \sigma_{3} a\right)+$ $\omega^{\sigma_{1}}\left(\sigma_{3} a \sigma_{3} a\right)$.
Hence we have the isomorphism

$$
M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho) \simeq \operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K^{\prime}}^{\prime}}(\omega)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(M_{W_{B}^{K^{\prime \prime}}}^{W^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)
$$

From this we deduce that

$$
L\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho), s\right)=L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}, s\right) L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}, s\right)
$$

ii) Let $L$ be a quadratic extension of $F$, such that $\rho=\operatorname{In} d_{W_{L}^{\prime}}^{W_{K}^{\prime}}(\chi)$, with $\chi$ regular, is not isomorphic to a representation of the form $\operatorname{In} d_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K}^{\prime}}(\omega)$ as in i), then

$$
L\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho), s\right)=1
$$

Indeed, we show that $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)^{I_{F}^{\prime}}=\{0\}$. If it wasn't the case, the representation $\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho), V\right)$ would admit a $I_{F}^{\prime}$-fixed vector, and so would its contragredient $V^{*}$. Now in the subspace of $I_{F}^{\prime}$-fixed vectors of $V^{*}$, choosing an eigenvector of $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)\left(\phi_{F}\right)$, we would deduce the existence of a linear form $L$ on $\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho), V\right)$ which transforms under $W_{F}^{\prime}$ by an unramified character $\mu$ of $W_{F}^{\prime}$. If we identify $\mu$ with a character $\mu^{\prime}$ of $F^{*}$, the restriction of $\mu$ to $W_{K}^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\mu^{\prime} \circ N_{K / F}$ of $K^{*}$, so we can write it as $\theta \theta^{\sigma}$, where $\theta$ is a character of $W_{K}^{\prime}$ corresponding to an extension of $\mu^{\prime}$ to $K^{*}$. As the restriction of $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}$ to $W_{K}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\rho \otimes \rho^{\sigma}$, we deduce that $\theta^{-1} \rho \otimes\left(\theta^{-1} \rho\right)^{\sigma}$ is $W_{K}^{\prime}$ distinguished, that is $\theta \rho^{v e e} \simeq\left(\theta^{-1} \rho\right)^{\sigma}$. But from the proof of Theorem [5.2 this would imply that $\theta^{-1} \rho$ hence $\rho$, could be induced from a character of a biquadratic extension of $F$, which we supposed is not the case.
iii) Suppose $\rho=s p(2)$ acts on the space $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with canonical basis $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ by the natural action $\rho[h, M](v)=M(v)$ for $h$ in $W_{K}, M$ in $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $v$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Then the space of $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)$ is $V \otimes V$ and $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on it as $s p(2) \otimes s p(2)$. Decomposing $V \otimes V$ as the direct sum $A l t(V) \oplus$
$\operatorname{Sym}(V)$, we see that $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts as 1 on $\operatorname{Alt}(V)$, and $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)\left[1,\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1}\end{array}\right)\right]\left(e_{1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.e_{1}\right)=x^{2} e_{1} \otimes e_{1}$. Hence the representation of $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\operatorname{Sym}(V)$ must be $s p(3)$. The Weil group $W_{F}$ acts as $\eta_{K / F}$ on $\operatorname{Alt}(V)$ and trivially on $\operatorname{Sym}(V)$, finally $M_{W_{K}^{F}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)$ is isomorphic to $s p(3) \oplus \eta_{K / F}$. Tensoring with a character $\chi$, we have $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\chi s p(2))=\chi_{\mid F^{*}} M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(s p(2))=$ $\chi_{\mid F *} \eta_{K / F} \oplus \chi_{\mid F *} s p(3)$. Hence one has the following equality:

$$
L\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\chi s p(2)), s\right)=L\left(\chi_{\mid F^{*}} \eta_{K / F}, s\right) L\left(\chi_{\mid F^{*}}, s+1\right) .
$$

iv) If $\rho=\lambda \oplus \mu$, with $\lambda$ and $\mu$ two characters of $W_{K}^{\prime}$, then from $\mathbb{P}$, Lemma 7.1, we have $M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)=\lambda_{\mid F^{*}} \oplus \mu_{\mid F^{*}} \oplus \operatorname{In} d_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}\right)$. Hence we have

$$
L\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho)\right)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right)
$$

### 4.2 Asai $L$-functions for ordinary representations of $G L(2)$

In this subsection, we compute Asai $L$-functions for ordinary (i.e. non exceptional) representations of $G_{2}(K)$, and prove (Theorem 4.2) that they are equal to the corresponding functions $L_{W}$ of imprimitive representations of $W_{K}^{\prime}$.

In order to compute $L_{A s}$, we first compute $L_{1}$, but this latter computation is easy because Kirillov models of infinite dimensional irreducible representations of $G_{2}(K)$ are well-known (see [B], Th. 4.7.2 and 4.7.3).
Let $\pi$ be an irreducible infinite dimensional (hence generic) representation of $G_{2}(K)$, we have the following situations for the computation of $L_{1}(\pi, s)$.
i) and ii) If $\pi$ is supercuspidal, its Kirillov model consists of functions with compact support on $K^{*}$, hence

$$
L_{1}(\pi, s)=1
$$

iii) If $\pi=\sigma(\chi)\left(\sigma\left(\chi| |_{K}^{1 / 2}, \chi| |_{K}{ }^{-1 / 2}\right)\right.$ in B$\left.]\right)$ is a special series representation of $G_{2}(K)$, twist of the Steinberg representation by the character $\chi$ of $K^{*}$, the Kirillov model of $\pi$ consists of functions of $D(K)$ multiplied by $\chi\left|\left.\right|_{K}\right.$. Hence their restrictions to $F$ are functions of $D(F)$ multiplied by $\chi\left|\left.\right|_{F}{ }^{2}\right.$, and the ideal $I_{1}(\pi)$ is generated by functions of $s$ of the form

$$
\int_{F^{*}} \phi(t) \chi(t)|t|_{F}^{s-1}|t|_{F}^{2} d^{*} t=\int_{F^{*}} \phi(t) \chi(t)|t|_{F}^{s+1} d^{*} t
$$

for $\phi$ in $D(F)$, hence we have

$$
L_{1}(\pi, s)=L\left(\chi_{\mid F^{*}}, s+1\right)
$$

iv) If $\pi=\pi(\lambda, \mu)$ is the principal series representation ( $\lambda$ and $\mu$ being two characters of $K^{*}$, with $\lambda \mu^{-1}$ different from $\left.|\mid$ and $\left.|\right|^{-1}\right)$ corresponding to the representation $\lambda \oplus \mu$ of $W_{K}^{\prime}$.

If $\lambda \neq \mu$, the Kirillov model of $\pi$ is given by functions of the form $\left|\left.\right|_{K}{ }^{1 / 2} \chi \phi_{1}+| |_{K}{ }^{1 / 2} \mu \phi_{2}\right.$, for $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ in $D(K)$, and

$$
L_{1}(\pi, s)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) \vee L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)
$$

If $\lambda=\mu$, the Kirillov model of $\pi$ is given by functions of the form $\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{1 / 2} \lambda \phi_{1}+| |_{K}^{1 / 2} \lambda v_{K}(t) \phi_{2}\right.$, for $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ in $D(K)$, and

$$
L_{1}(\pi, s)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)^{2}
$$

In order to compute $L_{\text {rad (ex) }}$ for ordinary representations, we need to know when they are distinguished by a character $\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$ for some $s_{0}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, we will then use Theorem 3.2 The answer is given by the following, which is a mix of Theorem 5.4 and proposition B. 17 of [F-H]:

Theorem 4.1. a) $A$ dihedral supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G_{2}(K)$ is $\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished if and only if there exists a quadratic extension $B$ of $K$, biquadratic over $F$ (hence there are two other extensions between $F$ and $B$ that we call $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ ), and a character of $B^{*}$ regular with respect to $N_{B / K}$ which restricts either to $K^{\prime}$ as $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{K^{\prime}} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$ or to $K^{\prime \prime}$ as $|\right|_{K^{\prime \prime}} ^{-s_{0}}$, such that $\pi$ is equal to $\pi(\omega)$.
b) Let $\mu$ be a character of $K^{*}$, then the sepcial series representation $\sigma(\mu)$ is $\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished if and only if $\mu$ restricts to $F^{*}$ as $\eta_{K / F}| |_{F}^{-s_{0}}$.
c) Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be two characters of $K^{*}$, with $\lambda \mu^{-1}$ and $\lambda^{-1} \mu$ different from $\left|\left.\right|_{K}\right.$, then the principal series representation $\pi(\lambda, \mu)$ is $\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished if and only if either $\lambda$ and $\mu$ restrict as $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$ to $F^{*}$ or $\lambda \mu^{\sigma}$ is equal to $|\right|_{K} ^{-s_{0}}$.

Proof. Let $\pi$ be a representation, it is $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished if and only if $\left.\pi \otimes\right|\right|_{K} ^{s_{0} / 2}$ is distinguished because $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{-s_{0} / 2}\right.$ extends $|\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}$, it then suffices to apply Theorem 5.4 and proposition B. 17 of [ $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}]$. We give the full proof for case a). Suppose $\pi$ is dihedral supercuspidal and $\pi \otimes\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{s_{0} / 2}\right.$ is distinguished. From Theorem 5.4] the representation $\pi \otimes\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{s_{0} / 2}\right.$ must be of the form $\pi(\omega)$, for $\omega$ a character of quadratic extension $B$ of $K$, biquadratic over $F$, such that if we call $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ two other extensions between $F$ and $B, \omega$ doesn't factorize through $N_{B / K}$ and restricts either trivially on $K^{\prime *}$, or trivially on $K^{\prime \prime *}$. But $\pi$ is equal to $\pi(\omega) \otimes\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{-s_{0} / 2}=\pi\left(\omega| |_{B}^{-s_{0} / 2}\right)\right.$ because $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{B}=| |_{K} \circ N_{B / K}\right.$. As $|\right|_{B} ^{-s_{0} / 2}$ restricts to $K^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{K^{\prime}} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$ (resp. $\left.|\right|_{K^{\prime \prime}} ^{-s_{0}}\right)$, case a) follows.

We are now able to compute $L_{\text {rad }(e x)}$, hence $L_{A s}$ for ordinary representations.
i) Suppose that $\pi=\pi\left(\operatorname{Ind} d_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K}^{\prime}}(\omega)\right)=\pi(\omega)$ is supercuspidal, with Langlands parameter $\operatorname{In} d_{W_{B}^{\prime}}^{W_{K}^{\prime}}(\omega)$, where $\omega$ is a multiplicative character of a biquadratic extension $B$ over $F$ that doesn't factorize through $N_{B / K}$.
We note $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ the two other extensions between $B$ and $F$. Here $L_{1}(\pi, s)$ is equal to one.
We have the following series of equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} \text { is a pole of } L_{A s}(\pi(\omega), s) & \Longleftrightarrow \pi(\omega) \text { is }\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}-\right.\text { distinguished } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}=| |_{K^{\prime}}^{-s_{0}} \text { or } \omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}=| |_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{-s_{0}} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow s_{0} \text { is a pole of } L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}, s\right) \text { or of } L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}, s\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow s_{0} \text { is a pole of } L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}, s\right) \vee L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}, s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As both functions $L_{A s}(\pi(\omega), s)$ and $L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}, s\right) \vee L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}, s\right)$ have simple poles and are Euler factors, they are equal. Now suppose that $L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}, s\right)$ and $L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}, s\right)$ have a common pole $s_{0}$, this would imply that $\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}=| |_{K^{\prime}}^{-s_{0}}$ and $\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}=| |_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{-s_{0}}$, which would mean that $\omega\left|\left.\right|_{B} ^{s_{0} / 2}\right.$ is trivial on $K^{\prime *} K^{\prime \prime *}$. According to Lemma 5.2 this would contradict the fact that $\omega$ does not factorize through $N_{B / K}$, hence $L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}, s\right) \vee L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}, s\right)=L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}, s\right) L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}, s\right)$. Finally we proved:

$$
L_{A s}(\pi(\omega), s)=L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}, s\right) L\left(\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}, s\right)
$$

ii) Suppose that $\pi$ is a supercuspidal representation, corresponding to an imprimitive representation of $W_{K}^{\prime}$ that cannot be induced from a character of the Weil-Deligne group of a biquadratic extension of $F$. Then necessarily $\pi$ cannot be $\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$-distinguished, for any complex number $s_{0}$ of $\mathbb{C}$.

If it was the case, from Theorem 4.1] it would correspond to a Weil representation $\pi(\omega)$ for some multiplicative character of a biquadratic extension of $F$, which cannot be. Hence $L_{\text {rad(ex) }}(\pi, s)$ has no pole and is equal to one because it is an Euler factor, so we proved that:

$$
L_{A s}(\pi, s)=1
$$

iii) If $\pi$ is equal to $\sigma(\chi)$, then $L_{1}(\pi, s)=L\left(\chi_{\mid F^{*}}, s+1\right)$. We want to compute $L_{r a d(e x)}(\pi, s)$, we have the following series of equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} \text { is an exceptional pole of } L_{A s}(\sigma(\chi), s) & \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(\chi) \text { is }\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}-\right.\text { distinguished } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \chi_{\mid F^{*}}=\eta_{K / F}| |_{F}^{-s_{0}} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow s_{0} \text { is a pole of } L\left(\chi_{\mid F^{*}} \eta_{K / F}, s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As both functions $L_{r a d(e x)}(\pi, s)$ and $L\left(\chi_{\mid F^{*}} \eta_{K / F}, s\right)$ have simple poles and are Euler factors, they are equal, we thus have:

$$
L_{A s}\left(\sigma(\chi)=L\left(\chi_{\mid F^{*}}, s+1\right) L\left(\chi_{\mid F^{*}} \eta_{K / F}, s\right)\right.
$$

iv) If $\pi=\pi(\lambda, \mu)$, we first compute $L_{\text {rad }(e x)}(\pi, s)$. We have the following series of equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} \text { is an exceptional pole of } L_{A s}(\pi(\lambda, \mu), s) & \Longleftrightarrow \pi(\lambda, \mu) \text { is }\left|\left.\right|_{F} ^{-s_{0}}-\right.\text { distinguished } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \lambda \mu^{\sigma}=| |_{K}^{-s_{0}} \text { or, } \lambda_{\mid F^{*}}=| |_{F}^{-s_{0}} \text { and } \mu_{\mid F^{*}}=| |_{F}^{-s_{0}} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow s_{0} \text { is a pole of } L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right) \text { or of } L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) \wedge L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow s_{0} \text { is a pole of } L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right) \vee\left[L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) \wedge L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As both functions $L_{r a d(e x)}(\pi(\lambda, \mu), s)$ and $L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right) \vee\left[L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) \wedge L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)\right]$ have simple poles and are Euler factors, they are equal.
If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $L_{1}(\pi, s)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) \vee L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)$. But $L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right)$ and $L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) \wedge L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)$ have no common pole. If there was a common pole $s_{0}$, one would have $\lambda \mu^{\sigma}=| |_{K}^{-s_{0}}$, $\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}=| |_{F}^{-s_{0}}$ and $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}=| |_{F}^{-s_{0}}$. From $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}=| |_{F}^{-s_{0}}$, we would deduce that $\mu \circ N_{K / F}=$ $\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{-s_{0}}\right.$, i.e. $\mu^{\sigma}=| |_{K}^{-s_{0}} \mu^{-1}$, and $\lambda \mu^{\sigma}=| |_{K}^{-s_{0}}$ would imply $\lambda=\mu$, which is absurd. Hence $L_{\text {rad }(e x)}(\pi, s)=L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right)\left[L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) \wedge L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)\right]$, and finally we have $L_{A s}(\pi, s)=$ $L_{1}(\pi, s) L_{r a d(e x)}(\pi, s)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right)$.
If $\lambda$ is equal to $\mu$, then $L_{1}(\pi, s)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)^{2}$, and $L_{\text {rad }(e x)}(\pi(\lambda, \mu), s)=L\left(\lambda \circ N_{K / F}, s\right) \vee$ $L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)$. As $L\left(\lambda \circ N_{K / F}, s\right)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\eta_{K / F} \lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right)$, we have $L_{\text {rad }(e x)}(\pi(\lambda, \mu), s)=$ $L\left(\lambda \circ N_{K / F}, s\right)$. Again we have $L_{A s}(\pi, s)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right)$.
In both cases, we have

$$
L_{A s}(\pi(\lambda, \mu), s)=L\left(\lambda_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\mu_{\mid F^{*}}, s\right) L\left(\lambda \mu^{\sigma}, s\right)
$$

Eventually, comparing with equalities of subsection 4.1. we proved the following:
Theorem 4.2. Let $\rho \mapsto \pi(\rho)$ be the Langlands correspondence from two dimensional representations of $W_{K}^{\prime}$ to smooth irreducible infinite dimensional representations of $G_{2}(K)$, then if $\rho$ is not primitive, $\pi(\rho)$ is ordinary and we have the following equality of L-functions:

$$
L_{A s}(\pi(\rho), s)=L\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho), s\right)
$$

As said in the introduction, combining Theorem 1.6 of A-R and Theorem of pargraph 1.5 in He, one gets that $L\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho), s\right)=L_{A s}(\pi(\rho), s)$ for $\pi(\rho)$ a discrete series representation, so that we have actually the following:

Theorem 4.3. Let $\rho \mapsto \pi(\rho)$ be the Langlands correspondence from two dimensional representations of $W_{K}^{\prime}$ to smooth irreducible infinite dimensional representations of $G_{2}(K)$, we have the following equality of L-functions:

$$
L_{A s}(\pi(\rho), s)=L\left(M_{W_{K}^{\prime}}^{W_{F}^{\prime}}(\rho), s\right)
$$

## 5 Appendix. Dihedral supercuspidal distinguished representations

The aim of this section is to give a description of dihedral supercuspidal distinguished representations of $G_{2}(K)$ in terms of Langlads parameter, it is done in Theorem 5.4

### 5.1 Preliminary results

Let $E$ be a local field, $E^{\prime}$ be a quadratic extension of $E, \chi$ a character of $E^{*}, \pi$ be a smooth irreducible infinite dimensional representation of $G_{2}(E)$, and $\psi$ a non trivial character of $E$.
We note $L(\chi, s)$ and $\epsilon(\chi, s, \psi)$ the functions of the complex variable $s$ defined before proposition 3.5 in J-L. We note $\gamma(\chi, s, \psi)$ the ratio $\epsilon(\chi, s, \psi) L(\chi, s) / L\left(\chi^{-1}, 1-s\right)$.

We note $L(\pi, s)$ and $\epsilon(\pi, s, \psi)$ the functions of the complex variable $s$ defined in Theorem 2.18 of [J-L. We note $\gamma(\pi, s, \psi)$ the ratio $\epsilon(\pi, s, \psi) L(\pi, s) / L\left(\pi^{\vee}, 1-s\right)$.
We note $\lambda\left(E^{\prime} / E, \psi\right)$ the Langlands-Deligne factor defined before proposition 1.3 in J-L, it is equal to $\epsilon\left(\eta_{E^{\prime} / E}, 1 / 2, \psi\right)$. As $\eta_{E^{\prime} / E}$ is equal to $\eta_{E^{\prime} / E}^{-1}$, the factor $\lambda\left(E^{\prime} / E, \psi\right)$ is also equal to $\gamma\left(\eta_{E^{\prime} / E}, 1 / 2, \psi\right)$.
From theorem 4.7 of J-L], if $\omega$ is a character of $E^{* *}$, then $L(\pi(\omega), s)$ is equal to $L(\omega, s)$, and $\epsilon(\pi, s, \psi)$ is equal to $\lambda\left(E^{\prime} / E, \psi\right) \epsilon(\pi, s, \psi)$, hence $\gamma(\pi, s, \psi)$ is equal to $\lambda\left(E^{\prime} / E, \psi\right) \gamma(\pi, s, \psi)$.

We will need four results. The first is due to Frhlich and Queyrut, see D theorem 3.2 for a quick proof using a Poisson formula:

Proposition 5.1. Let $E$ be a local field, $E^{\prime}$ be a quadratic extension of $E$, $\chi^{\prime}$ a character of $E^{\prime *}$ trivial on $E^{*}$, and $\psi^{\prime}$ a non trivial character of $E^{\prime}$ trivial on $E$, then $\gamma\left(\chi^{\prime}, 1 / 2, \psi^{\prime}\right)=1$.

The second is a criterion of Hakim:
Theorem 5.1. ([Ha], Theorem 4.1) Let $\pi$ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G_{2}(K)$ with central character trivial on $F^{*}$, and $\psi$ a nontrivial character of $K$ trivial on $F$. Then $\pi$ is distinguished if and only if $\gamma(\pi \otimes \chi, 1 / 2, \psi)=1$ for every character $\chi$ of $K^{*}$ trivial on $F^{*}$.

The third is due to Flicker:
Theorem 5.2. ([F1], proposition 12) Let $\pi$ be a smooth irreducible distinguished representation of $G_{n}(K)$, then $\pi^{\sigma}$ is isomorphic to $\pi^{\vee}$.

The fourth is due to Kable in the case of $G_{n}(K)$, see A-T for a local proof in the case of $G_{2}(K)$ :

Theorem 5.3. ( $\lfloor A-T]$, Proposition 3.1 There exists no supercuspidal representation of $G_{2}(K)$ which is distinguished and $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished at the same time.

### 5.2 Distinction criterion for dihedral supercuspidal representations

As a dihedral representation's parameter is a multiplicative character of a quadratic extension $L$ of $K$, we first look at the propositionerties of the tower $F \subset K \subset L$. Three cases arise:


Figure 1:

1. $L / F$ is biquadratic (hence Galois), it contains $K$ and two other quadratic extensions $F, K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$.
Its Galois group is isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, its non trivial elements are $\sigma_{L / K}, \sigma_{L / K^{\prime}}$ and $\sigma_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}$. The conjugation $\sigma_{L / K}$ extend $\sigma_{K^{\prime} / F}$ and $\sigma_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}$.
2. $L / F$ is cyclic with Galois group isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$, in this case we fix fix an element $\tilde{\sigma}$ in $G(L / F)$ extending $\sigma$, it is of order 4.
3. $L / F$ non Galois. Then its Galois Closure $M$ is quadratic over $L$ and the Galois group of $M$ over $F$ is dihedral with order 8 . To see this, we consider a morphism $\tilde{\theta}$ from $L$ to $\bar{F}$ which extends $\theta$. Then if $L^{\prime}=\tilde{\theta}(L), L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are distinct, quadratic over $K$ and generate $M$ biquadratic over $K . M$ is the Galois closure of $L$ because any morphism from $L$ into $\bar{F}$, either extends $\theta$, or the identity map of $K$, so that its image is either $L$ or $L^{\prime}$, so it is always included in $M$. Finally the Galois group $M$ over $F$ cannot be abelian (for $L$ is not Galois over $F$ ), it is of order 8, and it's not the quaternion group which only has one element of order 2, whereas here $\sigma_{M / L}$ and $\sigma_{M / L^{\prime}}$ are of order 2. Hence it is the dihedral group of order 8 and we have the folowing lattice, where $M / K^{\prime}$ is cyclic of degree $4, M / K$ and $B / F$ are biquadratic.
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We now prove the following proposition:
Proposition 5.2. If a supercuspidal dihedral representation $\pi$ of $G_{2}(K)$ verifies $\pi^{\vee}=\pi^{\sigma}$, there exists a biquadratic extension $B$ of $F$, containing $K$, such that if we call $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ the two other extensions between $F$ and $B$, there is a character $\omega$ of $B$ trivial either on $N_{B / K^{\prime}}\left(B^{*}\right)$ or on $N_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(B^{*}\right)$, such that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$.

Proof. Let $L$ be a quadratic extension of $K$ and $\omega$ a regular multiplicative of $L$ such that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$, we note $\sigma$ the conjugation of $L$ over $K$, three cases show up:

1. $L / F$ is biquadratic. The conjugations $\sigma_{L / K^{\prime}}$ and $\sigma_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}$ both extend $\sigma$, hence from Theorem 1 of G-L], we have $\pi(\omega)^{\sigma}=\pi\left(\omega^{\sigma_{L / K^{\prime}}}\right)$. The condition $\pi^{\vee}=\pi^{\sigma}$ which one can also read $\pi\left(\omega^{-1}\right)=\pi\left(\omega^{\sigma_{L / K^{\prime}}}\right)$, is then equivalent from Appendix B, (2)b)1) of G-L, to $\omega^{\sigma_{L / K^{\prime}}}=\omega^{-1}$ or $\omega^{\sigma_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}}=\omega^{-1}$. This is equivalent to $\omega$ trivial on $N_{L / K^{\prime}}\left(L^{*}\right)$ or on $N_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(L^{*}\right)$.
2. $L / F$ is cyclic, the regularity of $\omega$ makes the condition $\pi\left(\omega^{-1}\right)=\pi(\omega)^{\sigma}$ impossible. Indeed one would have from Theorem 1 of [G-L $\pi\left(\omega^{\tilde{\sigma}}\right)=\pi\left(\omega^{-1}\right)$, which from Appendix B, (2)b)1) of [G-L] would imply $\omega^{\tilde{\sigma}}=\omega$ or $\omega^{\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}}=\omega$. As $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}=\tilde{\sigma}^{-2}=\sigma$, this would in turn imply $\omega^{\sigma}=\omega$, and $\omega$ would be trivial on the kernel of $N_{L / K}$ according to Hilbert's theorem 90 . $\pi^{v e e}$ can therefore not be isomorphic to $\pi^{\sigma}$.
3. $L / K$ is not Galois (which implies $q \equiv 3[4]$ in the case p odd). Let $\pi_{B / K}$ be the representation of $G_{2}(B)$ which is the base change lift of $\pi$ to $B$. As $\pi_{B / K}=\pi\left(\omega \circ N_{M / L}\right)$, if $\omega \circ N_{M / L}=$ $\mu \circ N_{M / B}$ for a character $\mu$ of $B^{*}$, then $\pi(\omega)=\pi(\mu)(\mathrm{cf}$. G-L], (3) of Appendix B) and we are brought back to case 1. Otherwise $\omega \circ N_{M / L}$ is regular with respect to $N_{M / B}$. If $\pi^{\sigma}=\pi^{\vee}$, we would have $\pi_{B / K}^{\sigma_{B / K^{\prime}}}=\pi_{B / K}^{\vee}$ from Theorem 1 of $\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{L}$. That would contradict case 2 because $M / K^{\prime}$ is cyclic.

We described in the previous proposition representations $\pi$ of $G_{2}(K)$ verifying $\pi^{\vee}=\pi^{\sigma}$, now we characterize those who are $G_{2}(F)$-distinguished among them (from Theorem [5.2, a distinguished representation always satisfies the previous condition).

Theorem 5.4. A dihedral supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G_{2}(K)$ is $G_{2}(F)$-distinguished if and only if there exists a quadratic extension $B$ of $K$ biquadratic over $F$ such that if we call $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ the two other extensions between $B$ and $F$, there is character $\omega$ of $B^{*}$ that does not factorize through $N_{B / K}$ and trivial either on $K^{\prime *}$ or on $K^{\prime \prime *}$, such that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$.

Proof. From Theorem 5.2 and Proposition [5.2 we can suppose that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$, for $\omega$ a regular multiplicative character of a quadratic extension $B$ of $K$ biquadratic over $F$, with $\omega$ trivial on $N_{L / K^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)$ or on $N_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)$. We will need the following:
Lemma 5.1. Let $B$ be a quadratic extension of $K$ biquadratic over $F$, then $F^{*}$ is a subset of $N_{B / K}\left(B^{*}\right)$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. The group $N_{B / K}\left(B^{*}\right)$ contains the two groups $N_{B / K}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)$ and $N_{B / K}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)$, which, as $\sigma_{B / K}$ extends $\sigma_{K^{\prime} / F}$ and $\sigma_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}$, are respectively equal to $N_{K^{\prime} / F}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)$ and $N_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)$. But these two groups are distinct of index 2 in $F^{*}$ from local cassfield theory, thus they generate $F^{*}$, which is therefore contained in $N_{B / K}\left(B^{*}\right)$.

We now choose $\psi$ a non trivial character of $K / F$ and note $\psi_{B}$ the character $\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{B / K}$, it is trivial on $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$.
Suppose $\omega$ trivial on $K^{\prime}$ or $K^{\prime \prime}$, then the restriction of the central character $\eta_{B / K} \omega$ of $\pi(\omega)$ is trivial on $F^{*}$ according to Lemma 5.1
As we have $\gamma(\pi(\omega), 1 / 2, \psi)=\lambda(B / K, \psi) \gamma\left(\omega, 1 / 2, \psi_{B}\right)=\gamma\left(\eta_{B / K}, 1 / 2, \psi\right) \gamma\left(\omega, 1 / 2, \psi_{B}\right)$, we deduce from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition [5.1] that $\gamma(\pi(\omega), 1 / 2, \psi)$ is equal to one, hence from Theorem 5.11 the representation $\pi(\omega)$ is distinguished.

Now suppose $\left.\omega\right|_{K^{\prime}}=\eta_{B / K^{\prime}}$ or $\left.\omega\right|_{K^{\prime \prime}}=\eta_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}$, let $\chi$ be a character of $K^{*}$ extending $\eta_{K / F}$, then $\pi(\omega) \otimes \chi=\pi\left(\omega \chi \circ N_{B / K}\right)$. As $N_{B / K_{\mid K^{\prime}}}=N_{K^{\prime} / F}$ and $N_{B / K_{\mid K^{\prime \prime}}}=N_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}$, we have $\chi \circ N_{B / K_{\mid K^{\prime}}}=\eta_{B / K^{\prime}}$ and $\chi \circ N_{B / K_{\mid K^{\prime \prime}}}=\eta_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}$, hence from what we've just seen, $\pi(\omega) \otimes \chi$ is distinguished, i.e. $\pi(\omega)$ is $\eta_{K / F^{-}}$-distinguished.
From Theorem 5.3 $\pi$ cannot be distinguished and $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished at the same time, and the theorem follows.

We end with the following lemma:
Lemma 5.2. Let $B$ be a quadratic extension of $K$ which is biquadratic over $F$. Call $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ the two other extensions between $F$ and $B$, then the kernel of $N_{B / K}$ is a subgroup of the group $N_{B / K^{\prime}}\left(B^{*}\right) N_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(B^{*}\right)$.

Proof. If $u$ belongs to $\operatorname{Ker}\left(N_{B / K}\right)$, it can be written $x / \sigma_{B / K}(x)$ for some $x$ in $B^{*}$ according to Hilbert's Theorem 90. Hence we have $u=\left(x \sigma_{B / K^{\prime}}(x)\right) /\left(\sigma_{B / K}(x) \sigma_{B / K^{\prime}}(x)\right)=N_{B / K^{\prime}}(x) / N_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(\sigma_{B / K}(x)\right)$, and $u$ belongs to $N_{B / K^{\prime}}\left(B^{*}\right) N_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(B^{*}\right)$.

Corollary 5.1. The (either/or) in Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 5.4 is exclusive
Proof. In fact, in the situation of Lemma 5.2] a character $\omega$ that is trivial on $N_{B / K^{\prime}}\left(B^{*}\right)$ and $N_{B / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(B^{*}\right)$ factorizes through $N_{B / K}$, and $\pi(\omega)$ is not supercuspidal.
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