



HAL
open science

Commentary on comparison of MODIS snow cover and albedo products with ground observations over the mountainous terrain of Turkey

A. Ü. ?orman, Z. Akyürek, A. ?ensoy, A. A. ?orman, A. E. Tekeli

► To cite this version:

A. Ü. ?orman, Z. Akyürek, A. ?ensoy, A. A. ?orman, A. E. Tekeli. Commentary on comparison of MODIS snow cover and albedo products with ground observations over the mountainous terrain of Turkey. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 2006, 3 (6), pp.3655-3673. hal-00298801

HAL Id: hal-00298801

<https://hal.science/hal-00298801>

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Papers published in *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions* are under open-access review for the journal *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*

Commentary on comparison of MODIS snow cover and albedo products with ground observations over the mountainous terrain of Turkey

A. Ü. Şorman¹, Z. Akyürek¹, A. Şensoy², A. A. Şorman², and A. E. Tekeli³

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey

²Department of Civil Engineering, Anadolu University, Eskisehir, Turkey

³Remote Sensing Division, Turkish State Meteorological Service, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 1 November 2006 – Accepted: 14 November 2006 – Published: 11 December 2006

Correspondence to: A. Ü. Şorman (sorman@metu.edu.tr)

HESSD

3, 3655–3673, 2006

**Commentary on
MODIS snow cover**

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

The MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) snow cover product was evaluated by Parajka and Blösch (2006) over the territory of Austria. The spatial and temporal variability of the MODIS snow product classes are analyzed, the accuracy of the MODIS snow product against numerous in situ snow depth data are examined and the main factors that may influence the MODIS classification accuracy are identified in their studies. The authors of this paper would like to provide more discussion to the scientific community on the “Validation of MODIS snow cover images” when similar methodology is applied to mountainous regions covered with abundant snow but with limited number of ground survey and automated stations.

Daily snow cover maps obtained from MODIS images are compared with ground observations in mountainous terrain of Turkey for the winter season of 2002–2003 and 2003–2004 during the accumulation and ablation periods of snow. Snow depth and density values are recorded to determine snow water equivalent values at 19 points in and around the study area in Turkey. Comparison of snow maps with in situ data show good agreement with overall accuracies in between 62 to 82 percent considering a 2-day shift during cloudy days. Studies show that the snow cover extent can be used for forecasting of runoff hydrographs resulting mostly from snowmelt for a mountainous basin in Turkey.

MODIS-Terra snow albedo products are also compared with ground based measurements over the ablation stage of 2004 using the automated weather operating stations (AWOS) records at fixed locations as well as from the temporally assessed measuring sites during the passage of the satellite. Temporarily assessed 20 ground measurement sites are randomly distributed around one of the AWOS stations and both MODIS and ground data were aggregated in GIS for analysis. Reduction in albedo is noticed as snow depth decreased and SWE values increased.

HESSD

3, 3655–3673, 2006

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

1 Introduction

The analysis of the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) snow cover maps over the territory of Austria was performed in three steps by Parajka and Blösch (2006). The frequencies of MODIS classes were evaluated, the in situ measurements of snow depth were used to statistically evaluate the accuracy of the MODIS snow images, the potential error sources were analyzed. There have been evaluations of this product in the previous studies, however it is a useful study covering a new region and for a longer period than some of the previous evaluations. The length of daily records covering the period from February 2000 to December 2005 over Austria provides the authors to draw more conclusive comments and discussions than the earlier ones on the same subject. The authors of this paper would like to provide more discussion on the “Validation of MODIS snow cover images” when similar methodology is applied to data sparse mountainous regions covered with abundant snow but with limited number of ground survey and automated stations.

Headwaters of the Euphrates River basin is located on the mountainous regions of the eastern part of Turkey where snow cover is abundant. The basin is largely fed from snow precipitation whereby nearly two-thirds occur in winter and may remain in the form of snow for half of the year. The concentration of discharge mainly from snowmelt during spring and early summer months causes not only extensive flooding, inundating large areas, but also the loss of much needed water required for irrigation and power generation purposes during the summer season. Accordingly, modeling of snow-covered area in the mountainous regions of eastern Turkey, as being one of the major headwaters of Euphrates–Tigris basin, has significant importance in forecasting snowmelt discharge especially for energy production, flood control, irrigation and reservoir operation optimization. There is not yet a well established operational snow monitoring system in the region. Therefore, comparison of satellite derived snow maps and snow course ground measurements is vital for the improvement of the existing mapping algorithms.

HESSD

3, 3655–3673, 2006

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

**Commentary on
MODIS snow cover**

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Distributed snow models may require the following spatially distributed parameters: snow-covered area, grain size, albedo, snow water equivalent, snow temperature profile and meteorological conditions, including radiation. The paper presents here the critical issues for the comparison of the products that optical remote sensing can deliver (snow-covered area and albedo as MODIS products) with snow course and lately established automated weather operating stations (AWOS). The snow covered area validation was performed in published papers of the discussion paper authors (Tekeli et al., 2005) using MODIS images during the accumulation and ablation periods of 2002–2003 water year as well as during the winter period in 2003–2004. Over the ablation period of 2004, daily snow albedo values retrieved from MODIS Terra were compared with ground-based albedo measurements (Tekeli et al., 2006). The studies on the validation of MODIS snow cover images are explained refereeing to the work carried out in the discussion paper of Parajka and Blösch (2006) essentially concentrating on the cloud covering frequency problem, comparison of point data with a satellite product and hydrological model application using MODIS snow covered area product.

2 Description of study area

The study area is the Karasu Basin located in the eastern part of Turkey (Fig. 1) which is one of the major headwaters of the Euphrates River. The basin has a drainage area of 10216 km² with elevations ranging from 1125 m to 3487 m. The topographic map of the basin (DEM) and the surrounding area is shown in Fig. 2a. The snow course measurements conducted by the Government Agencies are also presented in Fig. 2a. The locations of the AWOS stations established by the project team (authors) in the basin is shown in Fig. 2b. All of the data collected during the project period were used to validate spatial and temporal variability of MODIS snow products.

3 Ground data measurement

3.1 Snow depth measurements

The locations of snow measuring courses (19) and automated meteorological stations (6) are shown in Figs. 2a–b. A total of 84 daily MODIS satellite images were analyzed during the period of December 2002 to April 2003.

A similar study was also performed for the winter period of 2003–2004 for consecutive four days between 22–25 March 2004 during which 20 random ground point measurements were collected around Güzelyayla AWOS as shown in Fig. 3. The main focus was to assess the spatial variation of MODIS snow cover products and compare them with the ground observations.

3.2 Albedo measurements

Two albedometers installed at Güzelyayla and Ovacık AWOS provide the main albedo data set used in Tekeli et al. (2006) (Fig. 2b). A CM3 set with the same spectral characteristics at the two AWOS was used for measuring snow albedo at 20 locations randomly distributed within a 7 km by 5 km area around Güzelyayla (GY) AWOS (Fig. 3). The entire system was composed of a snowmobile, a portable albedometer, a data logger and a power supply. Site visits were performed during 22–25 March 2004 when most of the area was covered by snow with some small snow free patches.

4 MODIS data and methodology

The processed MODIS products were distributed by the DAAC at the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC). Two tile images were obtained (h21V04 and h21C05) in order to represent the region. They were reprojected to WGS84 zone 37 UTM with a cell size of 500 m each. The approach similar to the discussion paper is followed to obtain SCA maps, high snow reflectance in the visible bands and low reflectance

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

in the shortwave infrared wavelength as proposed by Hall et al. (2001); Salomonson and Appel (2004). The algorithm is fully automated based on Normalized Difference Snow Index (NDSI) and a set of thresholds (Hall et al., 2002). For reduction of NDSI in forested areas, Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) in conjunction with NDSI is used. The snow mapping accuracy is improved and spurious snow is eliminated by utilizing a thermal mask. MODIS infrared bands 31 and 32 are used with a split window technique (Key et al., 1997) to estimate if ground temperature of a pixel is greater than 277°K, so as to map the pixel as no snow (Hall et al., 2002).

MODIS daily snow albedo values were computed based on the prototype algorithm given in Klein et al. (2000) and Klein and Stroeve (2002). The prototype is similar to the algorithm used to produce the current beta test product (Klein, 2003). Comparisons of MODIS daily snow albedo with ground observations were performed based on the data gathered from AWOS and the 20 random albedometer observations. Since the snow albedo is dependent upon various factors, such as snow density, grain size and surface impurities of the snowpack, which itself is a heterogeneous medium, point values were compared with MODIS pixel values rather than performing any kind of averaging methodology with neighboring pixels.

5 Analysis

The observations for the two data sets namely winter 2002–2003 and March 2004 from the ground data were compared with MODIS pixels (500 by 500 m each) falling within a radius of 1500 m of the individual site location. If 50% or more of all cloud free cells within this radius were present then they were classified as snow covered pixels by MODIS satellite. A snow depth of 25.4 mm proposed by Simic et al. (2004) was selected as a threshold value to indicate snow presence on the ground surface. On the other hand, Maurer et al. (2003) proposed this value to be greater than 10 mm and this is accepted by the discussion paper (Parajka and Blösch, 2006).

Cloud cover frequency for the winter months in Turkey was noticed to be high during

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

data analysis; therefore the images acquired a few days (1 or 2 days) before and after the ground measured data were also processed if the cell was noticed as cloud obscured in the same date of ground truth.

The results of Parajka and Blöschl (2006) indicated that, on average, clouds obscured 63% of Austria that may significantly restrict the applicability of the MODIS snow cover images in hydrologic modeling. This high cloud covering frequency was also observed in the study of Tekeli et al. (2005). This is the main reason of the reduced matched percentages. Therefore, the accuracy assessment of the product was evaluated on the basis of daily and 2 day shift analysis. If the grid cell was cloud obscured in the date of ground truth, the images acquired a few days before and a few days after the ground data date were analyzed. It was accepted as matched if a snow covered grid cell existed within 1 or 2 days before or after the date of ground observation.

It is stated by Parajka and Blöschl (2006) that snow depth observations at the climate stations were considered as ground truth for the pixel that was closest to each station. This brings the question on the representativity of the pixel by a point. In these kinds of studies, it is possible to make some kind of averaging to prevent misclassification due to downscaling. Taking the average of eight basic neighboring cells into account may reduce the misclassification errors to some extent due to patchy snow. However, instead of neighboring cells, cells within a certain radius or a data retrieving matrix (Zhou et al., 2005) may be referred for comparison to improve the consistency as well as eliminating mismatch of in situ data location on MODIS snow product. Ground observations were compared to all MODIS grid cells falling within a radius of 1500 m of each individual observation site. If 50% or more of all cloud free grid cells within 1500 m radius were classified as snow by MODIS then the site was considered to be snow covered for comparison purposes.

The confusion matrix table was prepared to indicate the accuracy of the correct classification as snow-snow and no snow-no snow. The percentage ratio of all days (both cloud free and partly cloud covered) were determined. The results were presented

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

with respect to elevation bands in Table 1 and summary of analyses is presented in Table 2. Analyses performed for the period of 2003–2004 for four days between 22–25 March 2004 during which 20 random ground observations site data were collected and processed are given in Table 3.

6 Discussion of results

6.1 Comparison of snow maps with ground observations

While the in situ data represent point measurements, the MODIS values represent a (500 m by 500 m) area centered over each site. Therefore, spatial scale differences in sampling introduce some amount of error in comparison that cannot be attributed to either in situ or MODIS data. This may become especially important in mountainous regions where the elevation changes drastically. Without in situ albedo sampling at varying scales within the MODIS footprint (pixel), this source of error cannot be eliminated.

For the validation of the MODIS products, comparisons were made at automated stations and snow courses. Some errors were expected due to land cover types, topographical variability, climatologic reasons and the inherent problems faced in the cloud mask used in snow mapping algorithms. The snow cover map obtained through MODIS images on the snow course dates were compared for validation purposes with respect to elevation zones (Table 1) for 2002–2003 winter. On the other hand, Table 2 shows that the consistency percentages increase from 62.24% to 81.63% when one or two day shifts for the daily images are taken into account. This can be a partial solution to the cloud obscured problem associated with the use of optical sensors. Otherwise, multi-sensor multi-temporal snow cover area algorithms and microwave images as AMSR-E and SSM/I would be the other alternatives for eliminating the cloud cover problem.

When the contingency table is prepared and analyzed, it is noted that the omission

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

errors (there is snow but missed by the MODIS product) are mostly captured instead commission errors (no snow on the ground but MODIS product determined snow). This observation is true when the cells are fully snow covered (not patchy) and if there is a match in time of observation, otherwise the time shift may cause an underestimation in snow cover with the optical data during the melting stage of the early spring months. The SCA algorithm used for MODIS also affects the under and overestimation of snow covered area. Since it does not take into account the topography of the scene, for slopes away from the sun, the reflected radiation received at the satellite will be lower than from horizontal areas and slopes facing towards the sun. This effect is mostly visible in winter. Larger shadows would be expected with small sun angle in mountainous areas. This may result in the estimation of no snow in shadow areas even if the snow cover on the ground is 100%.

Another possibility is that the edges of the snow covered areas and land surfaces may be mapped as cloud. This seems to be one of the problems causing misclassification in the current version of the algorithm. The patchiness and shallow snow depth may be the other major reason of lower accuracies in the comparison.

For the ablation period of March 2004, the accuracy was high as presented in Table 3 within the range of 90–95%. For the image of 24 March 2004, which is a cloudy day, the matched percentage reduced to 20.83%. As a result, it is concluded that MODIS snow map algorithm correctly captures the snow presence on the ground surface if cloud free image or clear-sky conditions exist.

It is worth mentioning that especially during the period when rapid melting of snow-pack starts at low and medium altitudes, high deviation of SCA are expected to occur between simulated models runs and observed satellite products. In that case, not only the number of clear sky images but also the timing of these images are important (Tekeli et al., 2005).

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

6.2 Comparison of MODIS daily snow albedo

In general, MODIS daily snow albedo was found consistent both in magnitude and timing with on-site measurements conducted in Karasu basin shown in Fig. 3. MODIS overestimated snow albedo by 10% over field observations during the study period.

5 The time difference between MODIS and in-situ data acquisition and the reducing effect of air temperature on snow albedo are considered to be the main reasons for the overestimation. At higher elevations, better agreement was found between MODIS snow albedo and ground observations. The possible reason is that, at the higher elevations, air temperature is lower and, therefore, there may be no localized melting effects
10 on the snowpack to reduce the snow albedo. In addition, continuous snow cover (relatively less patchy snow) at higher altitudes may be another reason that favored the better agreement. Overall, the temporal trends obtained from MODIS during the ablation period were in agreement with ground based observations obtained from the two AWOS. The findings in Tekeli et al. (2006) indicate that MODIS daily snow albedo
15 algorithm gives reasonable results for the area under study.

6.3 Discussion on hydrologic model application using MODIS products

The overall aim was to test if satellite snow products can be used in hydrological modeling. This is also emphasized in the text of Parajka and Blöschl (2006). Two days shift analysis may reduce the high cloud cover frequency, however, another solution
20 is the utilization of 8-day product of MODIS. The selection of single image products for the cases when high percentage of clouds are observed restricts the continuous snow depletion curve for the basin. MODIS 8-day snow cover products can be used to minimize the cloud cover and maximize the snow cover extent (Zhou et al., 2005).

25 The snow covered map information can be used as input for some of the hydrologic model applications, such as Snowmelt Runoff Model (Martinec et al., 1998). In Tekeli et al. (2005), MODIS 8-day snow cover products were used to minimize the cloud cover and to maximize the snow cover extent. So MODIS 8-day products (MOD10A1)

HESSD

3, 3655–3673, 2006

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

were used to derive the snow depletion curves for the topographic elevation zones in model simulation runs. The snowmelt runoff hydrograph shape is affected by the elevation bias of the MODIS snow-mapping algorithm, underestimating the area in lower altitudes and overestimating in the higher elevation regions. This difficulty may be overcome by utilizing fractional snow covered area concept using multi-sensor data (SEVIRI, NOAA, MODIS as optical and AMSR-E, SSM/I as microwave). This should be the basic task for future studies; one example is the Satellite Application Facilities in Hydrology (H-SAF) project financed by EUMETSAT that started in September 2005 to which discussion team members are involved in for algorithm development.

Use of either daily or 8-day snow covered maps may lead to a further study which would be the sensitivity analysis of MODIS maps on model runoff simulation studies. Also it would be a good idea about the timing and number of images to be used in optimum manner. In another study, Akyürek and Şorman (2002) estimated the snow covered area by supervised classification of NOAA-AVHRR data in order to obtain the snow depletion curves as an input parameter for a snow runoff model for the same basin. The effects of aspect and slope on the snow depletion curves for different elevation zones were analyzed. It is well known that solar illumination and shadowing have great effect on snowmelt, creating a north-south direction difference. Snow on the northwest slopes melted earlier compared to southeast slopes due to the steep slopes and prevailing wind direction. These results show that besides the elevation and land use which are stated as the main factors that may influence the MODIS classification accuracy, aspect, slope and prevailing wind direction as well as speed may also have an indirect effect on the classification accuracy.

It is hoped that these kind of studies would be a pioneer for the countries where crucial water resources especially from snowmelt must be shared and used efficiently among the beneficiaries.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

References

- Akyürek, Z. and Şorman, A. Ü.: Monitoring snow covered areas using NOAA-AVHRR data in the eastern part of Turkey, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 47(2), 243–252, 2002.
- Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., and Salomonson, V. V.: Algorithm theoretical basis document (ATBD) for the MODIS snow and sea ice mapping algorithms, available at: http://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/atbd/atbd_mod10.pdf, 2001.
- Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., Salomonson, V. V., DiGirolamo, N. E., and Bayr, K. J.: MODIS snow cover products, *Rem. Sens. Environ.*, 83, 181–194, 2002.
- Key, J. R., Collins, J. B., Fowler, C., and Stone, R. S.: High altitude surface temperature estimates from thermal satellite data, *Rem. Sens. Environ.*, 61, 302–309, 1997.
- Klein, A. G., Hall, D. K., and Nolin, A. W.: Development of a prototype snow albedo algorithm for MODIS, in: *Proceedings of 57th Annual Eastern Snow Conference*, Syracuse, NY, 143–157, 17–19 May 2000.
- Klein, A. G. and Stroeve, J.: Development and validation of a snow albedo algorithm for the MODIS instrument, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 34, 45–52, 2002.
- Klein, A. G.: Determination of broad band albedos of partially snow covered sites for validation of MODIS snow albedo retrievals, in: *Proceedings of the 60th Eastern Snow Conference*, Sherbrooke, Canada, 23–35, 4–6 June 2003.
- Martinec, J., Rango, A., and Roberts, R.: *SRM User's Manual*, Geographico Bernensia P29, Department of Geography, University of Berne, Switzerland, 1998.
- Maurer, E. P., Rhoads, J. D., Dubayah, R. O., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Evaluation of the snow covered area data product from MODIS, *Hydrol. Processes*, 17, 59–71, 2003.
- Parajka, J. and Blösch, G.: Validation of MODIS snow cover images over Austria, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 3, 1569–1601, 2006, <http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/3/1569/2006/>.
- Salomonson, Y. Y. and Appel, I.: Estimating fractional snow cover from MODIS using the normalized difference snow index, *Rem. Sens. Environ.*, 89(3), 351–360, 2004.
- Simic, A., Fernandes, R., Brown, R., Romanov, P., and Park, W.: Validation of vegetation, MODIS and GOES+SSM/I snow-cover products over Canada based on surface snow depth observations, *Hydrol. Processes*, 18, 1089–1104, 2004.
- Tekeli, E., Akyurek, Z., Şorman, A. A., Şensoy, A., and Şorman, A. Ü.: Using MODIS Snow Cover Maps in Modeling Snowmelt Runoff Process in the Eastern Part of Turkey, *Rem. Sens.*

HESSD

3, 3655–3673, 2006

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Environ., 97, 216–230, 2005.

Tekeli, E., Şensoy, A., Şorman, A. A., Akyurek, Z., and Şorman, A. Ü.: Accuracy assessment of MODIS daily snow albedo retrievals with in situ measurements in Karasu basin, Turkey, Hydrol. Processes, 20(4), 705–721, 2006.

- 5 Zhou, X., Xie, H., and Hendrickx, J. M. H.: Statistical evaluation of remotely sensed snow-cover products with constraints from streamflow and SNOTEL measurements, Rem. Sens. Environ., 94, 214–231, 2005.

HESSD

3, 3655–3673, 2006

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Table 1. Summary of analysis for MODIS images performed in winter 2002–2003 (December–March) at snow courses and automated stations with respect to elevation zones.

Elevation zones	Number of observations	Matched with MODIS	Undetermined due to cloud	Matched (%)
A (1125–1500 m)	16	11	5	68.75
B (1500–1900 m)	15	13	2	86.67
C (1900–2300 m)				
Snow courses	17	11	6	64.71
Automated stations	228	73	155	32.02
D (2300–2900 m)				
Automated station	57	22	35	38.59

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Table 2. Summary of analyses for MODIS images in winter 2002–2003.

MODIS classification (on the date ground observation)			
Ground truth data snow	Snow	Undetermined due to cloud	Matched (%)
	61	37	62.24
MODIS classification (one day shift from the date of ground observation)			
Ground truth data snow	Snow	Undetermined due to cloud	Matched (%)
	70	28	71.43
MODIS classification (two day shift from the date of ground observation)			
Ground truth data snow	Snow	Undetermined due to cloud	Matched (%)
	80	18	81.63

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 3. Analyses performed for winter 2003–2004 March (ablation period).

Location	22 March 2004	23 March 2004	24 March 2004	25 March 2004
A1	S	S	C	S
A2	S	S	C	S
A3	S	S	S	S
A4	S	S	S	S
A5	S	S	C	S
A6	S	S	C	S
A7	S	S	C	S
A8	S	S	C	S
A9	S	S	C	S
E1	S	S	C	S
E2	S	S	C	S
E3	S	S	C	S
E4	S	S	C	S
E5	S	S	S	S
E6	S	S	C	S
E7	C	S	C	S
E8	C	S	C	S
E9	S	S	C	S
E10	S	S	C	S
E11	S	S	C	S
Güzelyayla	S	S	S	S
Ovacık	S	S	C	S
Cat	S	S	S	S
Hacımahmut	C	C	L	L
Sakaltutan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Match (%)	21/24 (87.50)	23/24 (95.83)	5/24 (20.83)	23/24 (95.83)

S: Snow, C: cloud, L: Land, and N/A: not applicable

**Commentary on
MODIS snow cover**

A. Ü. Şorman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Commentary on
MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.




Fig. 1. Location of Karasu Basin (upper Euphrates River) in Turkey and stream gauging stations (SGS) in the basin.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Commentary on MODIS snow cover

A. Ü. Şorman et al.




Fig. 2. (a) Snow courses on the DEM of Karasu basin and the surrounding area, (b) AWOS on the DEM of Karasu basin.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 3. Locations of snow and albedo measurements during 22–25 March 2004.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion