



HAL
open science

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow: an emergent property of flow pathway connectivity

P. Lehmann, C. Hinz, G. Mcgrath, H.-J. Tromp-van Meerveld, J. J. McDonnell

► To cite this version:

P. Lehmann, C. Hinz, G. Mcgrath, H.-J. Tromp-van Meerveld, J. J. McDonnell. Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow: an emergent property of flow pathway connectivity. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 2006, 3 (5), pp.2923-2961. hal-00298774

HAL Id: hal-00298774

<https://hal.science/hal-00298774>

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Papers published in *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions* are under open-access review for the journal *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow: an emergent property of flow pathway connectivity

P. Lehmann^{1,2,*}, C. Hinz², G. McGrath², H. J. Tromp-van Meerveld³, and J. J. McDonnell⁴

¹Institute of Terrestrial Ecosystems, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

²School of Earth and Geographical Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia

³School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, EPF Lausanne, Switzerland

⁴Department of Forest Engineering, Oregon State University, Corvallis Oregon, USA

* now at: Laboratory of Soil and Environmental Sciences, EPF Lausanne, Switzerland

Received: 14 July 2006 – Accepted: 11 September 2006 – Published: 20 September 2006

Correspondence to: P. Lehmann (peter.lehmann@epfl.ch)

HESSD

3, 2923–2961, 2006

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

Nonlinear relations between rain input and hillslope outflow are common observations in hillslope hydrology field studies. In this paper we use percolation theory to model the threshold relationship between rainfall amount and outflow and show that this nonlinear relationship arises from simple linear processes at the smaller scale. When the rainfall amount exceeds a threshold value, the underlying elements become connected and water flows out of the base of the hillslope. The percolation approach shows how random variations in storage capacity and connectivity at the small spatial scale cause a threshold relationship between rainstorm amount and hillslope outflow.

As a test case, we applied percolation theory to the well characterized experimental hillslope at the Panola Mountain Research Watershed. Analyzing the measured rainstorm events and the subsurface stormflow with percolation theory, we could determine the effect of bedrock permeability, spatial distribution of soil properties and initial water content within the hillslope. Our results indicate that the measured variation in the relationship between rainstorm amount and subsurface flow is dominated by the initial moisture deficit, the loss of free water to the bedrock, the limited size of the system and by the connectivity due to macropores. The values of the model parameters were in agreement with measured values of soil depth distribution and water saturation.

1 Introduction

Rainfall-induced subsurface stormflow at the hillslope scale is a dominant outflow process in many steep, humid watersheds (Bonell, 1998; Weiler et al., 2005). Nevertheless, the exact nature of subsurface stormflow is very difficult to discern in ungauged watersheds, depending largely on hydraulic conductivity of the vadoze zone, the permeability of the underlying bedrock, existence of soil layers and macropores, soil depth and the local slope angle. Based on these complex interrelations, the relationship between rainfall and hillslope outflow is difficult to predict. Despite these local controls,

HESSD

3, 2923–2961, 2006

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

distinct threshold behavior has also been observed. For example, Whipkey (1965) found for a field experiment in Ohio, USA, a precipitation threshold of 35 mm and an increase in flow with a slope less than 1.0 for higher rainstorm amounts. Mosley (1979) showed a linear increase with slope close to 1.0 for rainfall amounts higher than 20 mm for a field site near Reefton in New Zealand. For smaller precipitation amounts, no flow was detected. The storm outflow measured by Tani (1997) at a trench site near Okayama in Japan was almost zero for precipitation amounts smaller than 20 mm. At a trench site in Georgia, USA, Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell (2006a) observed a threshold value of 55 mm of rainfall.

While the precise value of the threshold and the nature of the relationship between rainfall and subsurface stormflow for storms larger than the threshold are different, the existence of a threshold relationship between rainfall amount and hillslope outflow appears to be a common property of hillslope drainage (Weiler et al., 2005). To predict outflow from hillslopes or catchments, particularly in ungauged settings, the relation between hillslope wetting pattern and the threshold subsurface stormflow process needs to be better understood. Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell (2006b) presented the fill and spill hypothesis to explain the threshold, assuming that water flows from upslope positions to lower sections of the hillslope following the subsurface topography. They based their hypothesis on measured spatial and temporal patterns of saturation at the soil bedrock interface. Their results showed that while a water table developed on parts of the hillslope during events smaller than the threshold, it was not until bedrock depressions on the hillslope were filled, water spilled over micro-topographic relief in the bedrock surface and the subsurface saturated areas became connected to the trench that significant subsurface flow occurred. When connectivity was achieved, the instantaneous subsurface stormflow rate increased more than five fold compared to before the subsurface saturated areas were connected to the trench face. Similarly, Spence and Woo (2003) found for a soil filled valley on the subarctic Canadian shield that spatially variable valley storage had to be satisfied before water spilled to generate either surface or subsurface flow. Furthermore, Sidle et al. (2001) described observed hills-

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

lope outflow as the emergence of a continuous macropore network which developed during storms and seasonally as the hillslope wetted up. In summary macroscopically observed rainfall thresholds for an entire hillslope seems to be related to the flow path connectivity at the next smaller spatial scale. We therefore refer to this threshold as an emergent system property that needs further research.

In this study we examine how subsurface connectivity is related to the observed rainfall thresholds triggering rapid hillslope outflow. We hypothesize that flow path connectivity is a first-order control on subsurface flow. Percolation theory, which predicts threshold relationships in connectivity (Stauffer and Aharony, 1994), provides a way forward in predicting the pattern – process linkage at the hillslope scale.

The data of Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell (2006b) with the spatial distribution and connectedness of adjacent wet cells appears to follow regular rules of connectivity (Fig. 1). These rules, derived from field observations, appear very much in line with percolation theory. Percolation theory describes the statistical properties of a system containing an infinite number of elements, denoted as sites, arranged on a regular grid. For each site only two states, denoted as occupied or non-occupied, are possible. The spatial properties of clusters with occupied sites and threshold relationship for the occurrence and properties of a cluster that connect the whole system can be deduced with percolation theory (Stauffer and Aharony, 1994; Sahimi 1994; Essam, 1980). This simple framework appears highly relevant to recent observations at Panola and elsewhere in the hillslope hydrological literature (Uchida et al., 2005). This paper uses tools from percolation theory to characterize connectivity and how this might relate to rainfall thresholds controlling hillslope scale subsurface flow.

Our analyses presented in this paper are based upon the following underlying process assumptions:

(i) The water flows only through soil sites with a water table. This means that the soil close to the bedrock become water saturated during a rainstorm event and only this free water can flow downwards. Therefore, the soil elements can only be in two states, wet and dry or, equivalently, occupied or non-occupied with free water. This simplification

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

is in keeping with recent observations of the fill and spill hypothesis (Spence and Woo, 2003).

(ii) The initial water deficit in the underlying substrate is important for subsurface flow initiation. The responses for initially wet and dry systems are different. Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell (2006b) found limited lateral hillslope outflow when the bedrock depressions were not water filled. Antecedent moisture conditions at depth and total precipitation amount determine whether or not significant flow (>1 mm) occurs (Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell, 2006a).

(iii) Soil pipes or macropores enhance lateral flow when the connectedness of subsurface saturation is achieved. Exchange of water between the soil matrix and preferential flow paths may be in small isolated zones in the profile (and in the hillslope) and do not require complete soil column mixing (Uchida et al., 1999).

(iv) The factors influencing subsurface stormflow initiation (initial soil water content, soil depth or macropore presence) are randomly distributed. This assumption follows recent physically based modeling studies of Weiler et al. (2003) where model realizations enlightened the effect of random soil pipe distribution on the estimated outflow.

(v) The state of one soil element is independent of the state of neighbored soil elements. This assumption appears relevant from observations of the high spatial variability of occurrence of a water table (Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell 2006b), and the dominance of lateral preferential flow pathways in steep hillslopes (Kirkby, 1988).

Based on these assumptions, we will use percolation theory to model subsurface hillslope outflow. Firstly, core concepts of percolation theory are introduced followed by a definition of how we relate the element scale water balance to those concepts adopted from the theory. We explore the influence of connectivity, moisture deficit, size of the system, bedrock permeability and initial water content on modeled subsurface outflow. We apply percolation theory to model hillslope outflow measured at the Panola trench site which is described in detail in Freer et al. (1997, 2002), Burns et al. (1998) and Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell (2006a and 2006b).

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

2 Percolation theory as applied to hillslope hydrology

In the following we review the core concepts of percolation theory as it applies here to our description of hillslope outflow. For a more general application of percolation theory in hydrology and soil science we refer to Berkowitz and Balberg (1993), Berkowitz and Ewing (1998) and Hunt (2005). All variables of the percolation model are listed in Table 1.

2.1 Connectivity and occupation probability

For each element (site) in our hillslope lattice, only two states, denoted as occupied or non-occupied, are possible. The sites of the lattice are connected by bonds. The number of connections per site is defined as the coordination number n and is four or eight in case of the orthogonal lattice. In the percolation model of the hillslope, an occupied site is a location with a transient water table at the soil bedrock and a bond corresponds to a flow path (for example soil pipes or soil material with high permeability). Two occupied and connected sites are conducting with respect to subsurface flow. In a hillslope, the number of bonds or soil pipes per site is not a constant in space and can differ from the values of 4, 8 or 6 for the orthogonal or hexagonal lattice, respectively. To generate lattices with other coordination numbers, some connections of an original lattice with 8 neighbors per site were destroyed in a random process. For that purpose, a random number x between 0.0 and 1.0 was allocated to each connection. The bond remains if $x \leq (n/8.0)$, resulting in a lattice with coordination numbers between 0 and 8.0. The coordination number is not the same for all sites and the average of the coordination number of all sites can be real valued. Figure 2 shows an example of a lattice with a fractional coordination number of $n=4.8$.

In percolation theory the state (occupied or non-occupied) of an element is assigned by a random process (Broadbent and Hammersley, 1957). In the hillslope, the state of a site depends on the real hydrological processes and is not the result of a random process but depends on soil depth, initial state, porosity and hydraulic conductivity. But

HESSD

3, 2923–2961, 2006

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

we assume that these processes cause a random distribution of sites with or without transient water saturation.

The occupation probability p determines the fraction of occupied nodes $N(p)$. Technically, a random distribution of sites according to a certain occupation probability can be generated with two different methods (Stauffer and Aharony, 1994). With the first method, the coordinates of $p \times L_x \times L_y$ sites are determined in a random process with the number of sites in horizontal (L_x) and vertical direction (L_y). With this method, the fraction of occupied sites $N(p)$ equals the occupation probability p . We used a second approach by choosing a random number x between 0.0 and 1.0 that is allocated to each site. These random numbers are compared to the value p of the occupation probability. If $x \leq p$, the element is occupied. The higher the value of p , the more elements are occupied. In Fig. 2, the resulting distributions of occupied and non-occupied sites are shown for different values of p . For infinite systems, the fraction of occupied elements using this second approach equals the occupation probability p . For systems of finite size, the realized fraction of occupied sites may deviate slightly from the value p .

2.2 Percolation threshold

Occupied sites connected by a bond form a cluster. With increasing occupation probability p , an increasing number of elements is connected and the clusters grow. For a certain occupation probability $p \geq p_c$, a cluster that spans the whole system, the percolation cluster, exists. This value p_c is denoted as percolation threshold. In Fig. 2, a percolating cluster emerges for $p \geq 0.6$. Conceptually, this is analogous to the connectedness of subsurface flowpaths in natural systems (e.g. Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell, 2006b). For an infinite system, the percolation threshold is well defined and a percolating cluster never exists for occupation probabilities $p < p_c$ and will always exist for $p > p_c$. In the case of a finite system, it is possible that a percolating cluster exists for $p < p_c$ or does not exist for $p > p_c$, depending on the realized spatial distribution of occupied elements. As shown in Fig. 1, the system under investigation has been ex-

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

perimentally characterized by a relatively small number of observations. Accordingly, one aspect of this paper is to determine the threshold relationship in finite systems. To analyze the percolation threshold for systems of finite size, a certain number of realizations of a system with an occupation probability p are generated and the fraction $P(p)$ of realizations with a percolation cluster are counted. The fraction $P(p)$ for systems with different coordination numbers are shown in Fig. 3. For lattices with a high coordination number n , the percolation threshold is low and a small fraction of full sites is needed to form a system spanning cluster. Close to the percolation threshold, the fraction $P(p)$ changes from 0 to 1. This is shown in Fig. 3 for an occupation probability of about 0.465 and 0.720 for a coordination number of 6.4 and 3.2, respectively.

2.3 Relevant cluster size

The size of a cluster is defined as the number of occupied sites that are interconnected. With respect to outflow from a hillslope, only the clusters that are connected to the lower boundary can contribute to the outflow. We define the fraction of drainable sites (occupied and connected to the lower boundary) as $F(p)$. With increasing occupation probability p , more open sites are connected to the boundary. For small occupation probabilities $p < p_c$, only the sites close to the lower boundary are connected and can be drained. The probability to find a percolation cluster $P(p)$ and the drainable fraction $F(p)$ are shown in Fig. 3 as a function of coordination number, for 100 realizations of a system containing 500×500 sites. For an occupation probability close to the percolation threshold, the fraction of drainable sites increases because a system spanning cluster exists. For higher occupation probabilities, more sites become connected to the percolation cluster and the drainable fraction increases monotonically. If the coordination number is high enough, all sites are connected to the lower boundary for $p=1.0$. For small coordination numbers some sites may not be connected to the percolation cluster and do not drain out. In Fig. 3, 2.2% of occupied sites are not connected to the percolation cluster for $n=3.2$.

As a further requirement for modeling hillslope processes, water cannot flow in up-

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

slope direction due to gravitational forces and these bonds do not contribute to the outflow. The effect of this limitation is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. In the network shown in Fig. 2 less sites drain out and the cluster spans the system in upslope direction only. Due to the fact that not all connections between occupied sites contribute to a connection between the top and the bottom of the system, more sites must be occupied to build a percolation cluster. In Fig. 3, the percolation threshold for a lattice with coordination number 6.4 increases from 0.465 to 0.520 and from 0.720 to 0.825 in case of a coordination number of $n=3.2$. In the latter case, only 85% of the occupied sites contribute to the outflow for $p=1$. The remaining 15% are smaller clusters that are not connected to the percolation cluster.

3 Hydrology of a hypothetical hillslope

In this section, percolation model parameters are related to hydrological properties of hillslope systems. In particular, we define how sites become connected based on rainfall and drainage into the bedrock. For later comparison, the results measured at Panola are also shown in the corresponding figures. First, we will introduce the model parameters and then we will show those effects on modeled outflow after a rainstorm event.

3.1 Methodology

3.1.1 Storage capacity distribution

A soil site must receive a certain volume of rainfall water before a water table emerges and the free water can flow downwards driven by gravity. A site with a water table is occupied and open with respect to water flow. We denote this volume of water deficit, divided by the cross-section of a soil site A as the available storage capacity c [mm]. A soil site with small capacity (i.e. a small moisture deficit) is close to saturation and

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

only a small amount of rainfall is required before free water develops. The capacity depends on initial soil moisture, porosity and soil depth. Based on measurements of the soil depth distribution (Freer et al., 1997) and soil moisture measurements (Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell, 2006c) at Panola, we assume that the superposition of the spatial distribution of these different properties results in a normal distribution of the capacity values c_i with a mean value μ and a standard deviation σ . Computationally the storage capacity at site i (c_i) is assigned randomly by generating a uniformly distributed random number x between 0 and 1 and solving for c_i from the integral of the normal distribution:

$$x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf} \left[\frac{c_i - \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{2}} \right]. \tag{1}$$

Additionally we ensure $c_i = \max[0, c_i]$, i.e. we truncate the normal distribution in order to avoid physically meaningless values of c_i . This also allows us to describe a portion of the hillslope as exposed bedrock, which is the case at Panola (Freer et al., 2002). The rainfall divided by the cross-section of a soil site A is denoted as rainstorm amount r [mm]. If the rainfall amount is higher than the storage capacity at a certain site c_i , a water column of height $r - c_i$ is available for subsurface flow. Figure 4 illustrates this conceptually. For a certain rainstorm amount, a fraction of sites will be occupied depending on the distribution of the storage capacities c_i . The higher the rainfall amount, the more sites are occupied or have a water table.

3.1.2 Outflow

For large rainfall amounts, the additional water cannot be stored and is free to drain out. If $r > c_i$, the site i is occupied and the excessive water $r - c_i$ is drainable. For a system with $L_x \times L_y$ sites, the rainstorm volume is $Ar(L_x \times L_y)$ and the outflow is the excessive

water in the open sites connected to the lower boundary, given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} (r - c_i), \quad (2)$$

with the number of occupied sites connected to the lower boundary N . This sum divided by the total number of sites $L_x \times L_y$ is defined as modeled subsurface outflow.

5 3.1.3 Deep drainage through bedrock

As described in the introduction, the observed runoff coefficient after the threshold was in some cases smaller than 1.0. This can be explained by losses of free water to bedrock or evaporation, root intake and interception. If the soil is wet and free water is available, the water can percolate into the underlying bedrock. The amount of water draining into the bedrock depends on the hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock and the pressure head. Here, we simplify the description of water loss by defining a dimensionless draining fraction b [-] ranging from 0.0 (no deep drainage) to 1.0 (all free water lost to the bedrock). For rainstorm amounts larger than the capacity c_i at site i , an amount $bA(r - c_i)$ was assumed to be lost or drained into the underlying bedrock. Later on, we will take into account that the fraction b may change with intensity. For high rainfall intensity, water can flow rapidly to other occupied cells and into the trench before it could percolate into the bedrock.

3.1.4 Systems of finite size

For a system with few sites, the modeled outcome is very sensitive to the position of the occupied and non-occupied sites. Therefore, the results will depend on the limited size of the system. The real geometry is subdivided into a grid with $L_x \times L_y$ sites. The distance between grid points denoted as Δx [m] corresponds to a real length. Each site represents a cross-section of A [m²] that is equal to $(\Delta x)^2$ in case of an orthogonal

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

lattice. To represent the average of a system of limited size, several realizations of a system for each occupation probability p are generated and analyzed.

3.1.5 Modeling

For each realization of the system, a certain fraction of bonds is destroyed in a random process to obtain the desired coordination number. For each realizations of the network of bonds, the rainstorm amount is increased in intervals of 0.02μ between -5σ (or 0) and 5σ . For each site and for each rainstorm amount, a value of the storage capacity c_i is determined randomly and is compared to the rainstorm amount r . Sites with $r > c_i$ are occupied with free water and a water column of $(1-b)(r-c_i)$ is drained to the trench if the site is connected to the lower boundary of the system. For each rainstorm amount, the average outflow of all realizations is computed.

3.2 Model results

In Fig. 5, the modeled outflow for different parameters of the percolation model is shown. The results show the average of 100 realizations of a system with 20×50 sites. For the Panola trench study with a field site of 20×50 m, the distance Δx between two grid points corresponds to 1 m. The results are compared to the outflow measured at Panola (see Sect. 4). The measurements can be reproduced with a percolation model with a distribution of the capacity values with a mean $\mu=30$ mm, a standard deviation $\sigma=17.6$ mm, a coordination number $n=3.2$ (60% of all bonds are destroyed randomly) and a loss of free water to the bedrock of $b=65\%$. For rainstorm between 40 and 80 mm, a steep increase of the outflow can be observed that is followed by a linear increase for higher rainstorm amounts. As shown in Fig. 3, the percolation threshold for a coordination number of 3.2 is about $p_c=0.825$. For the capacity distribution function, this corresponds to a rainstorm amount of $r_c=46.5$ mm to obtain a percolation threshold. For a doubled standard deviation of $\sigma=35$ mm, a higher rainstorm amount is required to obtain an occupation of about 82.5%. The shift to higher rainstorm events

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

to produce subsurface flow is more distinct for a storage capacity distribution with a doubled mean of $\mu=60$ mm. For a system with doubled coordination number ($n=6.4$), less occupied sites are needed to form large clusters occupied to the lower boundary and the outflow increases for rainstorm amounts higher than 30 mm. As shown in Fig. 3, the percolation threshold for a lattice with $n=6.4$ and the requirement of no flow occurring in upslope direction was about 50% ($p_c=0.520$). So, for a rainstorm amount of 30 mm, the percolation threshold is reached and the outflow increases.

The effect of the loss to the bedrock is shown in Fig. 5 for systems with $b=0.65$ and $b=0.0$. While the outflow increases for rainstorm amounts higher than 40 mm in both cases, the increase of outflow with rainstorm amount r is much steeper in case of no loss of free water.

Our analysis was done for various system sizes from larger systems with 25 000 nodes (100×250 with $\Delta x=0.2$ m for Panola) to small systems with node numbers as low as 40 (4×10 with $\Delta x=5$ m for Panola). In all cases, 100 realizations were analyzed. In Fig. 6, the results as a function of system size are shown for $n=3.2$ and in one case for $n=6.4$ to show the effect of coordination number on the variation of modeled outflow. For small systems, the modeled outflow strongly depends on the arrangement of the occupied sites. Each of the few cells represents a large area of the hillslope and a large water volume may drain out if a single cell located at the bottom of the system is occupied. Due to these effects, considerable outflow was computed for rainstorm amounts smaller than 30 mm in case of the smallest system size (4×10). Therefore, with decreasing size of the system, the threshold behavior is less pronounced.

As shown in Fig. 6, the difference between the minimum and maximum of the modeled realizations increases with increasing rainstorm amount for small lattices with a coordination number $n=3.2$. Comparing the results of a system size 20×50 for the two coordination numbers 3.2 and 6.4 reveals that the realizations with minimum and maximum outflows have the same slope in case of the high coordination number. In case of limited connectivity, occupied clusters may be isolated and can not drain in downslope direction. For a few realizations, the computed outflow is very small due to the fact

that some sites are isolated and can not drain out. This variation is not caused by the limited number of sites but by the limited number of bonds in small system. For the largest system with 100×250 sites, this effect vanishes and minimum and maximum realizations are parallel. Obviously, in a large system, manifold connections exist and clusters that are partially isolated can still drain out.

4 Controls on modeled outflow from the Panola experimental hillslope

4.1 Measured parameters

To test the validity of percolation theory to model the hillslope outflow during rainstorm events, we used the data of 147 storm events observed on the hillslope trench site at the Panola Mountain Watershed in Georgia, USA. Outflow in the trench occurred for rainfall amounts higher than 55 mm (Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell, 2006a). For 123 events, the initial water content at 70 cm depth was measured and only this subset will be used for further analysis. The frequency distribution of the initial water contents in the subsoil is shown in Fig. 7. Half of the values of initial water content θ are in a narrow range between 0.392 and 0.413 $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ with a mean value of $\theta_w = 0.402 \text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$. With percolation theory, we want to model the outflow from the similar systems for different rainfall amounts. A system with dry and wet initial conditions will probably not respond in the same way, because the overall storage capacity is higher in the initially dry system. Therefore, in this first subsection, we focus on the 68 rainstorm events with comparable high water content (wet class).

At the Panola hillslope soil type is uniform across the site hillslope and soil moisture is also uniform for most of the year (Tromp van Meerveld and McDonnell, 2006c). However soil depth is highly variable across the hillslope. Thus soil depth determines the total moisture deficit (Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell, 2006c). At Panola, the soil depth distribution was measured on a grid spacing of 2 m (Freer et al., 1997). The integral of a Normal distribution function was fitted to the cumulative distribution function

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

of the measured values with a mean soil depth μ_d of 0.609 m and a standard deviation σ_d of 0.358 m. In Fig. 8, the measured frequency distribution and the truncated normal distribution are shown. The coefficient of variation, σ_d/μ_d equals 58.8%. Due to the fact that the soil depth determines the moisture deficit, we chose the same coefficient of variation for the storage capacity values.

Another property that must be taken into account is the effect of rainstorm intensity on subsurface flow. In Fig. 9a, the measured outflow as a function of maximum rainstorm intensity [mm hr^{-1}] is shown. The rainstorm amount was higher than the threshold of 55 mm in only six of the 68 rainstorm events with wet initial conditions. As can be seen in Fig. 9a, the 62 rainstorm events with negligible outflow correspond to intensities ranging from 0.3 to 22.6 mm hr^{-1} . For the six events above the threshold, the outflow increases linearly with the maximum intensity. This may be explained by a time limitation of flow into the bedrock. For high rainstorm intensities, water flows rapidly in downwards direction before it could percolate into the bedrock. This effect of increased lateral flow is more relevant than the increase of the pressure head caused by the raising water table that would enhance the water flow into the bedrock. This hypothesis is confirmed by a sprinkling experiment of Tromp-van Meeveld et al. (2006). The influence of the intensity is explained in more detail in Fig. 9b. For two comparable rainstorm events with $r=95$ and 102 mm, respectively, the maximum intensity was 22.4 and 5.6 mm hr^{-1} and the outflow decreased from 24.7 to 14.5 mm. Obviously, the threshold is determined by the rainstorm amount but the volume of the subsurface flow is affected by the intensity as well. Based on these findings, we must adapt the percolation model in a way that the outflow can be different for the same rainstorm intensity. For that purpose, the drainage parameter b that determines the loss of free water to the bedrock, has not always the same value but varies within a certain range.

4.2 Modeled subsurface flow for wet initial conditions

We calculated the outflow with percolation theory for systems differing in size, loss of free water to the bedrock, connectivity (coordination number) and capacity distri-

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

5 butions. According to the shape of the trench site, the ratio of upslope distance (L_y) to the length of the trench (L_x) was 2.5, corresponding to the horizontal and vertical extensions of 20 and 50 m, respectively. To find an optimized model, we changed the loss of free water to bedrock from $b=0.55$ to 0.75 in intervals of 0.05, the mean of the storage capacity function from $\mu=20$ mm to 50 mm in intervals of 5 mm and the coordination number from $n=1.6$ to 8.0 in intervals of 0.8. For the resulting set with 315 parameter combinations, 100 realizations of systems with 20×50 sites were analyzed. The standard deviation was set to 58.8% of the mean capacity value, according to the coefficient of variation of the measured soil depth distribution. For each realization, a random network of bonds was generated. Then, for each of the measured 68 rainstorm amounts r , the storage capacities were distributed randomly in space and the resulting distribution of occupied sites and the outflow at the lower boundary was computed. For each of the 68 rainstorm amounts, the average of 100 realizations was compared to the measured subsurface flow. The squared difference between the measured outflow and the average of 100 realizations was summarized for the 68 rainstorm values. For the 315 combinations, the squared difference ranged between 207 mm^2 and 1884 mm^2 . The smallest error was found for $\mu=30$ mm, $b=0.65$ and a coordination number of $n=3.2$. Two other combinations with $b=0.65$ ($\mu=35$ mm, $n=4.0$ and $\mu=40$ mm, $n=4.8$) were comparable with an error of 217 mm^2 and 221 mm^2 . The same analysis of the parameter space was carried out for system sizes 10×25 and 40×100 and the same three combinations were optimal. The errors and parameters for the best combinations are given in Table 2. For the three combinations of parameters, the threshold amount of rainstorm r_c was between 46.0 and 47.5 mm. For this rainstorm amount, the occupation probability for the corresponding storage capacity distribution is equal to the percolation threshold. The percolation threshold for these percolation models equals 0.825, 0.705 and 0.625 for coordination numbers of $n=3.2$, 4.0 and 4.8, respectively. The spatial and temporal patterns of localized water tables analyzed by Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell (2006b) indicate that a fraction of about 0.60 and 0.65 of their measuring sites must have a water table to produce subsurface flow. These fractions

are therefore in the same order of magnitude as the modeled percolation thresholds.

The difference between the modeled threshold of about 47 mm and the experimentally determined value of 55 mm may be explained by the variability of the outflow for systems with limited size. For a limited number of realizations, it is possible that no outflow occurs even for a rainstorm amount above the threshold. For that purpose, we focus in the following on the variability of the modeled outflow based on the best fit with $\mu=30$ mm, $n=3.2$ and $b=0.65$. The results of this model are shown in Figure 5 and 6. For the fitting, only the average of 100 realizations was analyzed. The range of modeled outflow is given in Fig. 6. The minimum and maximum values of all realizations are compared to the measurements. The modeled variation is smaller than the range of observed values. As shown in Fig. 6, the variation increases with a decreasing number of nodes. For small systems, the threshold behavior is less pronounced and high outflow values would be obtained for rainstorm amounts below $r_c=46.5$ mm. This was not observed at Panola and the measured variations must be caused by other effects. Alternatively, the influence of intensity on subsurface flow can be modeled by introducing an intensity dependent fraction of flow into the bedrock. For that purpose, we assume that the factor b is not a constant but is chosen randomly for each rainstorm event. The range of the value is chosen based on 100 realizations with a system of size 20×50 for different factors b . To explain the measured range of outflow between 24.7 and 14.5 mm (see Fig. 9b) for rainstorm events of 95 and 102 mm, respectively, the factor b must be within 0.65 ± 0.15 . For $r=95$ mm with $b=0.50$, the mean value of 100 realizations is 26.3 mm (>24.7 mm) and the average for $r=102$ mm with $b=0.80$ is 11.6 mm (<14.5 mm). For that purpose, the loss of free water to the bedrock for each modeled rainstorm event was a random number between 0.50 and 0.80. This corresponds to the 30–80% subsurface stormflow runoff coefficient above the threshold found by Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell (2006a). The range of modeled outflow is shown in Fig. 10. With this adaptation, the model describes the observed variations more accurate but the variability close to the threshold rainstorm amount is not yet modeled properly.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

4.3 Subsurface flow for dry initial conditions

Up to now, the storage capacity distribution function was the same for all realizations. For the 68 events analyzed so far, the initial water content θ measured at 70 cm depth was between 0.392 to 0.413 $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$. The storage capacity function was optimized for the mean water content of the 68 events with $\theta_w = 0.402 \text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$. To relate the storage capacity c to an initial water content θ , we assume that the storage capacity equals $c = (\theta_c - \theta) (1000 d)$, with the threshold water content θ_c that must be achieved to have a free water table and the soil depth d multiplied with 1000 to obtain a soil depth in mm. For $\theta = \theta_w = 0.402 \text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$, the storage capacity values were given by a truncated normal distribution with $\mu = 30 \text{mm}$ and a standard deviation of 17.6 mm. For the mean values of the soil depth and storage capacity distribution function, we obtain $\mu = 30 \text{mm} = (\theta_c - \theta_w) \mu_d$, $= (\theta_c - 0.402) 609 \text{mm}$ with a resulting threshold water content of $\theta_c = 1/1000 \mu / \mu_d + \theta_w = 0.451 \text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$. With this threshold value, the storage capacity distribution function can be adapted to any initial water content with

$$\mu(\theta) = (\theta_c - \theta) 1000 \mu_d = \mu + 1000 \mu_d (\theta_w - \theta). \quad (3)$$

For a decrease of initial water content of $0.01 \text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$, the mean of the storage capacity is shifted from 30 mm to 36 mm. For the 68 rainstorm events with wet initial conditions, the outflow was computed for 100 realizations of a system of size 20×50 ($\Delta x = 1 \text{m}$) with a coordination number $n = 3.2$, a loss to the bedrock between 0.50 and 0.80, and a mean $\mu(\theta)$ and a standard deviation of $\sigma(\theta) = \sigma_d / \mu_d \mu(\theta)$ that depend on the initial water contents.

The cumulated distribution function of the storage capacity values is equal for all initial water contents θ for a storage capacity value of $c_i = 0$

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf} \left[\frac{c_i - \mu(\theta)}{\sigma_d / \mu_d \mu_d \mu(\theta) \sqrt{2}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf} \left[\frac{\mu_d}{\sigma_d \sqrt{2}} \right]. \quad (4)$$

Based on Eq. 4, the fraction of sites with storage capacity 0 is the same as the fraction of sites with soil depth 0. This identity is required because we interpret the fraction

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

of sites with storage capacity 0 as bare bedrock and this does not depend on initial water content. The results are shown in Fig. 10. Now, the range of modeled outflow corresponds to the measured values.

The same adaptation of the storage capacity function to the initial water content was done for the 55 rainstorm events with initial water content θ between 0.311 and 0.390 $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$. The response of hillslope to rainstorm may be different for dry and wet initial conditions. Sidle et al. (1995) and Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell (2006a) found that the contribution of soil pipes was reduced for dry initial conditions. For dry initial conditions, less water is in the system and the storage capacity is increased. For each event, 100 realizations of a system of size 20×50 ($\Delta x = 1 \text{ m}$) with a coordination number $n = 3.2$, a loss to the bedrock between $b = 0.50$ and 0.80 and a mean $\mu(\theta)$ and a standard deviation of $\sigma(\theta) = \sigma_d / \mu_d \mu(\theta)$ of the storage capacity were analyzed. There was a measurement with rainstorm amount 87.9 mm, maximum intensity 27.7 mm hr^{-1} and an outflow of 5.1 mm for an initial water content of 0.387 $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ that was not within the modeled range. By reducing the system size to 10×25 with a distance of $\Delta x = 2 \text{ m}$ between two grid points, this value could be included as well. The maximum and minimum values for a system of size 10×25 ($\Delta x = 2 \text{ m}$) are shown in Fig. 11. By adapting the storage capacity according to the measured initial water content, it is possible to derive the range of subsurface outflow for dry initial conditions from the model optimized for wet initial conditions. For the 123 rainstorm events analyzed in this study, the mean storage capacity ranged from 23 mm for $\theta = 0.413 \text{ m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ to 85 mm for $\theta = 0.311 \text{ m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$.

5 Discussion

Rainfall-induced subsurface stormflow at the hillslope scale is widely acknowledged as a dominant outflow process in many steep, humid watersheds (Bonell, 1998; Weiler et al., 2005). Despite recent efforts that have been made to classify and organize hillslope behavior (Scherrer and Naef, 2002; Uchida et al., 2005) we are still unable to

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

make non-trivial predictions about water flow pathways and processes on hillslopes in ungauged basins. One feature of hillslope behavior in the experimental literature that is now evident is the rather common characteristic nonlinearity of the relationship between rainfall and subsurface stormflow. This threshold relationship has been argued as the main expression of emergent behavior at the hillslope scale (McDonnell, 2003). The present work has explored the use of percolation theory to analyze the effects of hydrological properties on modeled outflow, and in particular, the relation between hillslope wetting pattern and the subsurface stormflow threshold. This pattern-process linkage has been noted as the key to conceptualization of this nonlinearity (Tromp-van Meerveld and McDonnell, 2006b). Our percolation approach was motivated by the observed spatial and temporal patterns of transient water saturation and the emergence of connectivity with increasing rainstorm amounts (see Fig. 1). The rules derived from these observations are well aligned to percolation theory.

As connectivity has been shown to be a first-order control triggering subsurface flow, percolation theory is superior over conventional distributed hydrological model as percolation theory quantifies connectivity and relates this to threshold phenomena as shown in this paper. It is also apparent that the water balance description of each site in the percolation network can be kept very simple requiring data on soil water storage, soil depth and bedrock drainage, but no detailed information on hydraulic soil properties are needed which conventional models often require.

5.1 Assumptions in the percolation theory approach

Our analyses completed in this paper are based upon the following underlying process assumptions:

(i) Each element in the model is in one of two possible states: with or without a transient water table. Water can flow downwards between sites with a water table.

(ii) The initial water content and thus the water deficit in the underlying substrate is important for the threshold value of subsurface flow initiation. For this reason, we modeled in a first step the outflow for conditions with similar water contents and adapted

the model for dry conditions afterwards.

(iii) Soil pipes or macropores enhance lateral flow when the connectedness of sub-surface saturation is achieved. In the model, water flow occurs if two open sites are connected. These connections can be interpreted as soil pipes.

5 (iv) The factors influencing subsurface stormflow initiation are randomly distributed. Various soil characteristics are relevant for the response to a rainstorm. At Panola the soil depth, which is close to normally distributed, determines the moisture deficit and storage capacity. While any type of distribution can be easily taken into account with the percolation model, this study was restricted to the assumption of a normal
10 distribution.

5.2 Ability to replicate Panola behavior

To reproduce the outflow measured at the Panola trench site we adapted the following parameters of the model:

15 (i) We used a normal distribution for the storage capacity with mean 30 mm and standard deviation of 17.6 mm. For an initial water content of $0.402 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ and a mean soil depth of 0.609 m, this corresponds to an increase of the water content to a value of $0.451 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$.

20 (ii) For a rainstorm amount of 46.0 to 47.5 mm, the percolation threshold is reached and the outflow increases. This corresponds to a fraction of sites with a water table between 0.625 and 0.825.

(iii) The bedrock can not be described as impermeable boundary. It dominates the slope of the relationship between subsurface outflow and rainstorm amount for rainfall higher than the threshold r_c . In addition, the loss to the bedrock depends on the intensity of the rainstorm and varied between 0.5 and 0.8.

25 (iv) The size of the system was 20×50 sites, corresponding to $20 \text{ m} \times 50 \text{ m}$. In one case, the system size had to be reduced (10×25) to reproduce an experiment with high rainstorm amount and small outflow. So, the distance between two sites Δx is about 1–2 m. This can be interpreted as the length of a soil pipe connecting sites with free

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

water.

(v) The coordination number affects the increase of subsurface flow close to the threshold and the variability of modeled outflow values. With decreasing coordination number, the variability of measured outflow values increases. For higher coordination numbers, the variations of modeled subsurface flow would be too small to explain the observed variability. In the percolation model, the best results were found for a coordination number of 3.2. In other terms, each site is connected by soil pipes to about three other sites.

(vi) For dry initial conditions, a rainstorm amount close to the threshold value determined for wet initial conditions does not initiate subsurface flow. Due to the increased water deficit, more rainstorm water is needed. We could estimate the range of expected outflow values for dry initial conditions with the percolation model.

5.3 Percolation theory for prediction

We conclude the analysis of the percolation model with the discussion about its application to prediction of ungauged and gauged basins.

In the case with detailed observation, a first application is the analysis of measured subsurface flow. With the percolation model approach presented in this paper, we can characterize a system based on the measured rainstorm response. An interpretation of a measured response with the percolation model gives us the means to quantitatively evaluate process assumptions e.g. the role of bedrock permeability and the temporal distribution of initial water content. In case of several measured outflow values with similar rainstorm amount along with some information about the presence of soil pipes, the connectivity, the size of the characteristic soil units and the distribution function of the storage capacity values can be deduced from the measured outflow. So, with the percolation model the subsurface outflow response to the rainstorm amount could be used to classify different hillslopes. This kind of classification could be compared or combined with the methods described by Scherrer and Naef (2002) and Uchida et al. (2005).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The situation is different when little data is present and lack of resources does not permit us to monitor hillslopes in the detail as done at the Panola site. The key to answering the question of how percolation theory could be applied is to decide what observation can we use to parameterize the model other than the detailed monitoring and measurements of water table, depth to bedrock etc. As connectivity is the focus of applying percolation theory, we suggest that spatio-temporal measurements probing saturation in the subsurface may provide such an observational window. Current methods of monitoring soil moisture in space and time such as ground penetrating radar, electromagnetic surveys and electrical resistivity tomography may provide us with the required information (Holden, 2004). How this can be used to parameterize a percolation model is left for future research, but it is important to emphasize that percolation theory may be one approach to using pattern in space and time for analyzing subsurface flow in response to rainfall.

6 Summary and conclusions

The hillslope outflow response to a rainstorm event is highly nonlinear. This behavior is caused by the random distribution of soil properties. We used percolation theory to model the effect of randomly distributed soil properties on subsurface flow. The property that was distributed was denoted as storage capacity, the water volume per cross-section that is retained before free water is available. The capacity depends on soil thickness, porosity and initial water content. Free water can flow into the trench at the bottom line, if a continuous system of sites with free water exists.

The modeled response depends on the moisture deficit, the number of connections, the loss of free water to the permeable bedrock and the size of the system. We found that the Panola trench site could be described by a percolation model of bedrock loss of about 65%, a connectivity of 3.2, a normal distribution of the capacity values with mean 30 mm and standard deviation 17.6 mm and a size of the sites (length of soil pipes) of 1–2 m.

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

To compare the model with the experimental data, 123 events with measured rainstorm amount, outflow and initial water content at 70 cm were analyzed. The data set was subdivided into two classes, one set with 68 measurements with comparable water content of about $0.402 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ and a second set with 55 rainstorm events for water contents between 0.311 and $0.391 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$. In the second case, we assumed that the rather dry soil has to collect more water before it behaved like the soil in the first data set. So, the mean of the storage capacity was increased depending on the initial water content and the outflow could be modeled with the same percolation model. From this finding we conclude that percolation theory and the underlying assumption of randomly distributed soil properties is a valid approach to describe and quantify the processes controlling the hillslope outflow after rainstorm events.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank J. Peters and the USGS Atlanta District office for their ongoing work at Panola and the Panola Mountain State Park for their ongoing logistical support. This work was partly funded by NSF grant EAR-0196381.

References

- Berkowitz, B. and Ewing, R. P.: Percolation theory and network modeling – applications in soil physics, *Surveys Geophys.*, 19, 23–72, 1998.
- Berkowitz, B. and Balberg, I.: Percolation theory and its application to groundwater hydrology, *Water Resour. Res.*, 29(4), 775–794, 1993.
- Bonell, M.: Selected challenges in outflow generation research in forests from the hillslope to headwater drainage basin scale, *J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc.*, 34(4), 765–785, 1998.
- Broadbent, S. R. and Hammersley, J. M.: Percolation Processes I. Crystals and Mazes, *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 53(3), 629–641, 1957.
- Burns, D. A., Hooper, R. P., McDonnell, J. J., Freer, J. E., Kendall, C., and Beven, K.: Base cation concentrations in subsurface flow from a forested hillslope: The role of flushing frequency, *Water Resour. Res.*, 34, 3535–3544, 1998.
- Essam, J. W.: Percolation theory, *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 43, 833–912, 1980.
- Freer, J., McDonnell, J. J., Beven, K. J., Brammer, D., Burns, D., Hooper, R. P., and Kendall, C.:

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Topographic controls on subsurface storm flow at the hillslope scale for two hydrologically distinct small catchments, *Hydrol. Processes*, 11, 1347–1352, 1997.

Freer, J., McDonnell, J. J., Beven, K. J., Peters, N. E., Burns, D. A., Hopper, R. P., Aulenbach, B., and Kendall, C.: The role of bedrock topography on subsurface storm flow, *Water Resour. Res.*, 38(12), 1269, doi:10.1029/2001WR000872, 2002.

Holden, J.: Hydrological connectivity of soil pipes determined by ground-penetrating radar tracer detection, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 29, 437–442, 2004.

Hunt, A. G.: Percolation theory for flow and transport in porous media, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, 674, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

Kirkby, M. J.: Hillslope runoff process and models, *J. Hydrol.*, 100, 315–339, 1988.

Mosley, M. P.: Streamflow generation in a forested watershed, *Water Resour. Res.*, 15, 795–806, 1979.

McDonnell, J. J.: Where does water go when it rains? Moving beyond the variable source area concept of rainfall-outflow response, *Hydrol. Processes*, 17(2) 1869–1875, 2003.

Sahimi, M.: *Applications of Percolation Theory*, Taylor and Francis, London, 1994.

Scherrer, S. and Naef, F.: Decision scheme to indicate dominant hydrological flow processes on temperate grassland, *Hydrol. Processes*, 17(2), 391–401, 2003.

Sidle, R. C., Tsuoyama, Y., Noguchi, S., Hosoda, I., Fujieda, M., and Shimizu, T.: Introduction to seasonal hydrologic response at various spatial scales in a small forested catchment, Hitachi Ohta, Japan, *J. Hydrol.*, 168, 227–250, 1995.

Sidle, R. C., Noguchi, S., Tsuboyama, Y., and Laursen, K.: A conceptual model of preferential flow systems in forested hillslopes: evidence of self organization, *Hydrol. Processes*, 15, 1675–1692, 2001.

Spence, C. and Woo, M.-K.: Hydrology of subarctic Canadian shield: soil-filled valleys, *J. Hydrol.*, 279, 151–156, 2003.

Stauffer, D. and Aharony, A.: *Introduction to Percolation Theory*, 2nd edition, Taylor and Francis, London (second printing), 1994.

Tani, M.: Outflow generation processes estimated from hydrological observations on a steep forested hillslope with a thin soil layer, *J. Hydrol.*, 200, 84–109, 1997.

Tromp-van Meerveld, H. J. and McDonnell, J. J.: Threshold relations in subsurface stormflow 1. A 147 storm analysis of the Panola hillslope, *Water Resour. Res.*, 42, W02410, doi:10.1029/2004WR003778, 2006a.

Tromp-van Meerveld, H. J. and McDonnell, J. J.: Threshold relations in subsurface stormflow 2.

The fill and spill hypothesis, *Water Resour. Res.*, 42, W02411, doi:10.1029/2004WR003800, 2006b.

5 Tromp-van Meerveld, H. J. and McDonnell, J. J.: On the interrelations between topography, soil depth, soil moisture, transpiration rates and species distribution at the hillslope scale, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 29, 293–310, 2006c.

Tromp-van Meerveld, H. J., Peters, N. E., and McDonnell, J. J.: Effect of bedrock permeability on subsurface stormflow and the water balance of a trenched hillslope at the Panola Mountain Research Watershed, Georgia, USA/, *Hydrol. Processes*, in press, 2006.

10 Uchida, T., Kosugi, K., and Mizuyama, T.: Outflow characteristics of pipeflow on rainfall-outflow phenomena in mountainous watershed, *J. Hydrol.*, 222, 18–36, 1999.

Uchida, T., Tromp-van Meerveld, I., and McDonnell, J.: The role of lateral pipe flow in hillslope outflow response: an intercomparison of non-linear hillslope response, *J. Hydrol.*, 311, 117–133, 2005.

15 Weiler, M., McDonnell, J., Tromp-van Meerveld, I., and Uchida, T.: Subsurface stormflow, *Encyclopedia of Hydrological Sciences*, Wiley and Sons, 2005.

Weiler, M., Uchida, T., and McDonnell, J.: Connectivity due to preferential flow controls water flow and solute transport at the hillslope scale, *Proceedings of MODSIM 2003*, Townsville, Australia, 2003.

20 Whipkey, R. Z.: Subsurface stormflow from forested slopes, *Bull. Int. Assoc. Scientific Hydrol.*, 10, 74–85, 1965.

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 1. Summary of parameters used in percolation model and measured at Panola.

symbol	Name	units	value (most frequent)	values (range)
A	cross sectional area of soil site	m ²	1	0.04–25
b	fractional loss of free water to the bedrock	–	0.65	0.00–0.80
c, c_i	water storage capacity of site i	mm		0–300
d	soil depth	m	0.609	0.0–1.86
$F(p)$	fraction of drainable sites	–		0.0–1.0
$L_x \times L_y$	number of grid points along the slope (L_x) and in upslope direction (L_y)	–	20×50	4–500
n	coordination number	–	3.2	1.6–8.0
N	number of occupied sites connected to the lower boundary	–		0–250'000
$N(p)$	fraction of occupied sites	–		0.0–1.0
p	occupation probability	–		0.0–1.0
p_c	percolation threshold	–		0.4–1.0
$P(p)$	fraction of realizations with a percolation cluster	–		0.0–1.0
r	rainstorm amount	mm		0–154
r_c	threshold rainstorm amount	mm	46	46–47.5
x	random number	–		0.0–1.0
Δx	distance between grid points	m	1	0.2–5
μ	mean storage capacity of percolation network	mm	30	20–60
$\mu(\theta)$	mean of storage capacity dependent on initial water content θ	mm		23–85
μ_d	mean soil depth	m	0.609	
σ	standard deviation of storage capacity	mm	17.5	
$s(\theta)$	standard deviation of storage capacity dependent on initial water content	mm		14–50
σ_d	standard deviation of soil depth	m	0.358	
θ	volumetric water content	m ³ m ⁻³		0.311–0.413
θ_w	volumetric water content for 68 events with wet initial conditions	m ³ m ⁻³	0.402	0.392–0.413
θ_c	threshold volumetric water content for free water	m ³ m ⁻³	0.451	

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.

Table 2. Parameters of model fitted to measured data. The cumulated quadratic difference between the 68 measured and modeled outflow values is given in bold. The values of the best three models are given.

n	μ	b	ρ_c	r_c	$L_x \times L_y$		
					10×25	20×50	40×100
3.2	30 mm	0.65	0.825	46.5 mm	218	207	204
4.0	35 mm	0.65	0.705	46.0 mm	217	217	217
4.8	40 mm	0.65	0.625	47.5 mm	223	221	219

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 1. Patterns of transient saturation as a function of rainstorm amount measured at Panola (Georgia, USA). Locations of piezometers with a water table during the rainstorm event are shown with black disks; dry sites are shown with open circles. In the bottom row, a grid connecting the sites is shown for visualization purposes. Thick lines connect two sites with free water. For 59 and 61 mm of rainstorm amount, a network of connections between open sites spans the whole system.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 2. The effect of occupation probability p , indicated by the numbers in the left upper corner. Non-occupied sites are shown with small open circles. Occupied sites belonging to the percolation cluster are drawn in black. Occupied sites in gray are not elements of the system spanning cluster. Occupied sites that are connected to the bottom of the system are indicated with large disks. These sites contribute to the hillslope outflow. In the right figure in the bottom row, the connections between occupied clusters are modified by the condition that flow cannot occur in upward direction. In that case, less water will drain out to a trench at the bottom line. The underlying grid has a coordination number of 4.8.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 3. The fraction P of realizations with a percolation cluster (lines without symbols) and the fraction F of occupied sites connected to the bottom of the system (lines with symbols) as a function of occupation probability p . With decreasing connectivity of the lattice, indicated by the small coordination number n , more sites must be open to build a connected system. The dashed lines indicate the results of a model that takes into account that water can not flow in upward direction against gravitational forces. In the legend, this is indicated by the notation grav. 1 (grav.0 otherwise). The results were calculated for 100 realizations of systems containing 500×500 sites.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 4. Model principles to describe hillslope outflow. Each site has a storage capacity to retain water. In the left figure, the capacity values c of the sites are random numbers between 0.0 and 1.0 and are shown in light gray. All sites received the same amount of water r during the storm (dark gray boxes). In this example, r equals 0.5. The volume of the water above the capacity value corresponds to the water that can drain out if it is connected to the boundary at the bottom. In this example, six sites have free water that is hydraulically connected to the lower boundary of the system. In one case (top row), a site with free water is enclosed by unsaturated sites. Right: In this model, the capacity values are distributed according to a normal distribution with mean 0.5 and a standard deviation of 0.05.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 5. Modeled outflow as a function of storage capacity. The bold black line was fitted to measurements (large symbols) with a mean 30 mm, a standard deviation 17.6 mm, a coordination number $n=3.2$ and a loss of free water of $b=65\%$. The results are compared to a model with doubled mean (black line with symbols), doubled standard deviation (bold gray line), doubled coordination number (thin black line) and no loss of the free water (gray line with symbols). The averages of 100 realizations with a system of size 20×50 are shown.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 6. Modeled outflow as a function of system size. The minimum and maximum of 100 realizations are compared with measurements (black symbols). The model indicated with thick black lines was fitted to the observed data ($\mu=30$ mm, $\sigma=17.6$ mm, $n=3.2$, $b=65\%$). The range between maximum and minimum values decreases with the size of the system. For comparison, a model with doubled coordination number ($\mu=45$ mm, $\sigma=26$ mm, $n=6.4$, $b=65\%$) is shown, where the minimum and maximum are in parallel for high rainstorm amounts. This is not the case for small systems with $n=3.2$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 7. Initial water content at Panola (Georgia, USA). The distribution of the initial water content before the rainstorm events measured at 70 cm. Two classes of initial water content are defined. The threshold value between the “dry” (grey squares) and the “wet” (open circles) condition was chosen as $0.391 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 8. Soil depth distribution at Panola (Georgia, USA). The measured distribution of soil depth was fitted with a normal distribution function. The mean of the fitted distribution is 0.609 m with a standard deviation of 0.358 m. The coefficient of variation was used for the storage capacity distribution function as well.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 9. Effect of rainstorm intensity at Panola. **(a)** For conditions which exceeded the threshold, the outflow increases linearly with maximum intensity. The symbols at the bottom line represent the storm events with negligible outflow. **(b)** The outflow (circles) as a function of rainstorm amount is affected by the variations of intensity (squares). Two events with a rainstorm amount of about 100 mm but different intensities are indicated with a gray rectangle. This analysis was carried out for 68 events with wet initial conditions.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 10. Variability of subsurface flow. For 100 realizations of a system of size 20×50, the loss of free water varied between 50% and 80% (gray line). These results are compared to the measured values (black disks) and the model with a constant loss of 65% (bold black lines). In addition to the variable loss of free water, the capacity distribution was adapted to the initial water content of the 68 events with high initial water content between 0.392 and 0.413 m³ m⁻³ (black line with circles) by choosing the mean of the storage distribution as a function of the initial water content. The coordination number in all cases was equal to $n=3.2$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Rainfall threshold for hillslope outflow

P. Lehmann et al.




Fig. 11. Modeled outflow for dry initial conditions. For each of the 68 and 55 events with wet (black disks) and dry (gray squares) initial conditions, respectively, 100 realizations of a system of size 10×25 were generated. The modeled results for the wet initial conditions are shown with a black line with symbols. The minimum and maximum values for the dry cases are indicated with gray crosses that are connected for some events to show the range of computed outflow. The capacity distributions were changed according to the initial water content. The loss of free water was between 50 and 80% and the coordination number was equal to $n=3.2$. For comparison, the averages for wet initial conditions are shown (black bold line) computed with a model of size 10×25 with $\mu=30$ mm, $\sigma=17.6$ mm, $n=3.2$ and $b=65\%$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion