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## Abstract

Random walk models are a powerful tool for the investigation of transport processes in turbulent flows. However, standard random walk methods are applicable only when the flow velocities and diffusivity are sufficiently smooth functions. In practice there are some regions where the rapid but continuous change in diffusivity may be represented by a discontinuity. The random walk model based on backward Îto calculus can be used for these problems. This model was proposed by LaBolle et al. (2000). The latter is best suited to the problems under consideration. It is then applied for two test cases with discontinuous diffusivity, highlighting the advantages of this method.

## 1 Introduction

The transport of a tracer can be described by using the advection-diffusion equation. In general, this equation cannot be solved analytically, so that numerical methods must be resorted to. The most popular method is an Eulerian approach, in which the transport equation is solved on a fixed spatial grid. The finite element method and finite difference method are primary examples of this class of solution methods.

An alternative method is the Lagrangian approach, which follows particles through space at every time step. The movement of an individual particle is usually modelled in two steps: the advection, which is deterministic, is simulated by a translation of each particle with a velocity derived from the local fluid velocity field. Diffusion is generally simulated using stochastic methods. Then, by averaging the positions of many particles the advection-diffusion processes can be described (Thomson, 1987; Sawford, 1993; Costa and Ferreira, 2000; Zimmermann et al., 2001; Proehl et al., 2005; Delhez and Deleersnijder, 2006).

Particle-tracking models offer advantages over Eulerian methods in several respects. 25 First, the solution obtained by using the particle tracking method is always mass conservative and non-negative, while the Eulerian methods is susceptible to excessive
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numerical dispersion and artificial oscillations (Zheng and Bennett, 2002) for advective dominated problems or problems with large gradients on the initial concentration field. Second, for problems where the tracer does not occupy the whole model domain, the Lagrangian methods models may be computationally more efficient than their Eulerian counterparts (Hunter, 1987; Spivakovskaya et al., 2005). Third, if the velocity field can be locally described by an analytic function, then particles may be advected exactly through that field by simple integration (Hunter et al., 1993). However, it should be noted, that the numerical flow can affect the accuracy of the particle tracking method. In this case the interpolation of flow variables in arbitrary particle location that can lead to local mass balance error and solution anomalies (LaBolle et al., 1996).

In general, both approaches have their own advantages and disadvantages: for instance, the Lagrangian approach can be an alternative to the Eulerian methods in case of steep concentration profile. On the other hand, the Eulerian approach is more suitable for dispersion-dominated problems, for which it provides accurate solution in reasonable time. The choice of a method depends on the problem under consideration. Sometimes, it is not easy to classify the problem and decide which method should be applied. The mixed Eulerian-Lagrangian methods attempt to combine the advantages of Lagrangian and Eulerian methods (Konikow and Bredehoeft, 1978; Celia et al., 1990; Yeh, 1990; Zhang et al., 1993; Zheng and Wang, 1999).

For space-varying diffusivity the advection part of the random walk model requires an additional correction term, which is equal to the diffusivity gradient. Because of this correction term the particles do not accumulate in regions of low diffusivity (Hunter et al., 1993; Visser, 1997; Ross and Sharples, 2004). This random walk model can be introduced by using the theory of stochastic differential equations (SDE) (Heemink, 1990; Dimou and Adams, 1993; Stijnen et al., 2006; Spivakovskaya et al., 2007). The advection-diffusion equation is interpreted as the Fokker-Planck equation (Oksendal, 1985) and the corresponding SDE in Îto sense can be derived. As a result, the particle's track is simulated by a stochastic process, whose transition density function coincides with the tracer concentration. The Îto formulation is not the only way to introduce the
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particle tracking model. Another random walk model based on Stratonovich stochastic calculus is also quite popular.

Unfortunately, the common random-walk methods for simulating transport can only be applied when the diffusivity is sufficiently smooth, otherwise the correction term in 5 the advection part dominates the flow velocity. In many situations the rapid but continuous change in turbulence statistics that occurs may be represented by a discontinuity (Thomson et al., 1997). Recently LaBolle et al. (2000) proposed a random walk model based on backward Îto calculus that requires no corrective velocity.

In this paper, we discuss the random walk models based on Îto, Stratonovich and
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where $W$ is a Wiener process, i.e. stochastic process with the following statistics $\left(t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq t_{3} \leq t_{4}\right)$
$E\left(W\left(t_{2}\right)-W\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=0$
$E\left(\left(W\left(t_{4}\right)-W\left(t_{3}\right)\left(W\left(t_{2}\right)-W\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=0\right.\right.$
$E\left(\left(W\left(t_{2}\right)-W\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\left(W\left(t_{2}\right)-W\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=t_{2}-t_{1}\right.$
Here $E(X)$ denotes the expectation of the random variable $X$. The solution of the advection-diffusion problem (1) is then the probability density function of the stochastic process $X(t)$. The SDE (2) actually is not a "differential" equation, but can be interpreted as an integral equation
(l) $X(t)=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(u+k^{\prime}(x)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{2 k} d W(t)$

The first term (advection) in the right hand side of Eq. (4) is a standard Lebesgue integral, while the second part (diffusion) of Eq. (4) may be introduced as the limit of the sum (LaBolle et al., 2000)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} f(X, t) d W(t)=  \tag{5}\\
& \mathrm{ms}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(X\left(t_{k-1}\right), t_{k-1}\right)\left[W\left(t_{k}\right)-W\left(t_{k-1}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Here $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots t_{n-1}=t_{n}=t$ and $m s$ - lim denotes the limit in the mean square sense. In general, to define unique stochastic integral one needs to specify at which point the function $f(X, t)$ is evaluated. For instance, in the definition of the Îto integral the function $f$ is always evaluated in the beginning of subinterval $\left[t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right]$ rendering $f\left(X\left(t_{k-1}\right), t_{k-1}\right)$ statistically independent of $\left[W\left(t_{k}\right)-W\left(t_{k-1}\right)\right]$ and thus ensuring that the Îto integral has
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zero mean. One well-known alternative, the Stratonovich integral, may be defined as a limit of the sum in which the function is evaluated at the middle of the time interval
(S)

$$
\int_{0}^{t} f(X, t) d W(t)=
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ms}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(X\left(\frac{t_{k-1}+t_{k}}{2}\right), \frac{t_{k-1}+t_{k}}{2}\right) \times  \tag{6}\\
& {\left[W\left(t_{k}\right)-W\left(t_{k-1}\right)\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding random walk model can be written as follows:
(S) $\quad d X(t)=\left(u+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime}(x)\right) d t+\sqrt{2 k} d W(t)$,

The random walk models in the Îto or Stratonovich sense contain the diffusivity gradient in the advection part. For problems with large space variations of the diffusivity, this gradient may be very high and, therefore, dominates in the advection term. As a result, the solution obtained by the random walk model in the Îto or Stratonovich sense will not be accurate. To circumvent this diffusivity, one may have recourse to a random walk model that does not require a diffusivity gradient in the advection part. This formulation is based on the backward Îto integral (see Karatzas and Shreve, 1998; LaBolle et al., 2000).
(b)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} f(X, t) d W(t)=  \tag{8}\\
& \text { ms- } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(X\left(t_{k}\right), t_{k}\right)\left[W\left(t_{k}\right)-W\left(t_{k-1}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Using the backward Îto SDE for modelling advection-diffusion processes with discontinuous diffusivity was proposed by LaBolle et al. (2000). The corresponding random walk model may be written as follows:
(b) $\quad d X(t)=u d t+\sqrt{2 k} d W(t)$

[^0]The sensitivity of the limit of the integral sums to the choice of location at which the function is evaluated is a consequence of the unbounded variation of the Wiener process (Karatzas and Shreve, 1998). However, each of the random walk methods introduced above is consistent with the advection-diffusion Eq. (1). For continuous diffusion term, all these methods provide the same solution of equation.

## 3 Numerical integration of the SDEs

It can be shown from the advection-diffusion Eq. (1) (see Hunter et al., 1993) that the mean and variance of the tracer cloud spread during time range $(t, t+\Delta t)$ are given by
$N_{1}=u^{i} \Delta t+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t$
$N_{2}-N_{1}^{2}=2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t+o(\Delta t) \approx 2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t$
Here $N_{i}, i=1,2$ denote the $i$-th moment of the concentration. Now we show that the first two moments of the displacement $\Delta X^{i}=X^{i+1}-X^{i}, i=1, \ldots, L$ in the random walk models (in Îto, Stratonovich and backward Îto senses) are the same as the first moments of the concentration $C$.

Specific numerical schemes are associated with each of the stochastic methods mentioned above. For instance, the SDE in the Îto sense can be numerically integrated by applying the explicit Euler method:
$X^{i+1}=X^{i}+u^{i} \Delta t+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t+\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}$
Here, $X^{i}=X\left(t_{i}\right), u^{i}=u\left(t^{i}, X^{i}\right), t_{i}=i \Delta t, i=0, \ldots, L-1, \Delta t=t / L$ and $R^{i}$ are mutually independent normally distributed random numbers with parameters $(0,1)$, e.g. the random variables with the following density function
$p(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}}$

We need only to find the probability law of the solution $X(t)$ of the SDE (in other words, solution in the weak sense), but not to approximate the solution itself. For these purposes, it is not necessary to chose normally distributed random variables. We can use any distribution with the same mean and variance, for instance, random numbers 5 uniformly varying between $-\sqrt{3}$ and $\sqrt{3}$.

The solution obtained by using the random walk model (Eq. 11) has the same properties

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\Delta X^{i}\right)= & E\left(u^{i} \Delta t+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t+\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}\right)= \\
& u^{i} \Delta t+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t \\
\operatorname{Var}\left(\Delta X^{i}\right)= & E\left(\Delta X^{i}-\left(E\left(\Delta X^{i}\right)\right)\right)^{2}=  \tag{13}\\
& E\left(\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}\right)^{2}=2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t
\end{align*}
$$

As a result the solution obtained by this random walk model is consistent with the advection-diffusion Eq. (1).

The Stratonovich formulation of the particle model requires the Heun method (Kloeden and Platen, 1999)
$\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}=\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}$
$X^{i}=X^{i-1}+u^{i} \Delta t+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t+$
$\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t}+\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}\right) \Delta t}\right) R^{i}$
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Let us expand the function $B\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}\right)=\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}\right)}$ into Taylor series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}\right)=B\left(X^{i}\right)+B^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta \tilde{X}+O\left(\Delta \tilde{X}^{2}\right)= \\
& \sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right)}+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \sqrt{\Delta t} R^{i}+o(\Delta t) \approx \\
& \sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right)}+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \sqrt{\Delta t} R^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words the following equation is valid
$\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}\right)} \approx \sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right)}+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \sqrt{\Delta t} R^{i}$
Substituting Eq. (16) into (15) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(\Delta X^{i}\right)=u^{i} \Delta t+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t E\left(R^{i}\right)^{2}=  \tag{17}\\
& u^{i} \Delta t+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t
\end{align*}
$$

The variation of the displacement $\Delta X$ in the Heun scheme coincides with the variation of the concentration

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\Delta X^{i}\right)= \\
& E\left(\frac { 1 } { 2 } \left(\left(\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t}+\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}\right) \Delta t}\right) R^{i}-k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t\right)\right)^{2}=  \tag{18}\\
& E\left(\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right)\left(R^{i}-1\right) \Delta t\right)^{2}= \\
& 2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t+o(\Delta t) \approx 2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t
\end{align*}
$$

We can conclude that the random walk model (14) has the same first two moments as a standard random walk model in the Îto sense and as in Eq. (10).

Finally, the backward Euler scheme is appropriate for the backward Îto formulation (see LaBolle et al., 2000)
$\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}=\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}$
$X^{i}=X^{i-1}+u^{i} \Delta t+\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}\right)} R^{i}$
Using Eq. (16) we can again find the moments of the distribution of $X(t)$ obtained by backward Euler scheme
$E\left(\Delta X^{i}\right)=$
$E\left(u^{i} \Delta t+\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}\right)=$
$u^{i} \Delta t+E\left(\left(\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right)}+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \sqrt{\Delta t} R^{i}\right) \sqrt{\Delta t} R^{i}\right)=$
$u^{i} \Delta t+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t$
and
$\operatorname{Var}\left(\Delta X^{i}\right)=$
$E\left(\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}+\Delta \tilde{X}^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}-k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t\right)^{2}=$
$E\left(\sqrt{2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t} R^{i}+k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t\left(R^{i}\right)^{2}-k^{\prime}\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t\right)^{2}=$
$2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t+o(\Delta t) \approx 2 k\left(X^{i}\right) \Delta t$.
As a result the solution obtained by the backward Îto random walk model is consistent with the advection-diffusion Eq. (1). The main differences between the Îto, Stratonovich and the backward Îto formulation are shown in Fig. 1.
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## 4 Illustrations

In this section the random walk models (in Îto and backward Îto senses) are applied for two test cases. In general, the analytical solution of the direct problem (Eq. 1) cannot be found; however, the residence time of a tracer can be obtained (Delhez et 5 al., 2004; Deleersnijder et al., 2006a,b). The residence time of a water or tracer parcel in a control domain is usually defined as the time taken by this parcel to leave the domain of interest (Bolin and Rodhe, 1973; Zimmerman, 1976, 1988; Braunschweig et al., 2003; Takeoka, 1984; Delhez and Deleersnijder, 2006). As such, the residence time is one of the most popular tool to describe and understand environmental issues.

Mathematically, the mean residence time $\theta(x)$ of the tracer of initial mass $m\left(t_{0}\right)$ released at time $t_{0}$ can be computed by monitoring the temporal evolution of the mass of the tracer in the control region (Bolin and Rodhe, 1973; Takeoka, 1984)
$\theta(x)=-\frac{1}{m\left(t_{0}\right)} \int_{m\left(t_{0}\right)}^{0} t d m$
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### 4.1 Illustration 1: settling and diffusion problem

First, we apply the random walk model (9) to the settling and diffusion model (Fig. 2) proposed and analyzed by Deleersnijder et al. (2006a,b). In this model we assume that $x$ is a vertical coordinates that increases upwards. It is zero at interface between the mixed layer and the underlying pycnocline. If $h$ is the height of the mixed layer, the water-air interface is located at $x=h$. $w$ represents the settling velocity (we assume that $w$ is a constant) and $k(x)$ is the vertical eddy diffusivity, which is positive in the interval $0<x<h$ and is zero in the pycnocline, i.e. underneath the domain of interest. We suppose that the upper boundary of the domain is impermeable
$\left[w C+k \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\right]_{x=h}=0$
It is only by settling that the particles of the tracer under study can leave the domain of interest and enter the pycnocline, so the turbulent diffusion flux must be prescribed to be zero at the bottom of the mixed layer
$\left[k \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\right]_{x=0}=0$
The initial concentration is
$C(0, x)=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right)$
where $\delta(x)$ denotes Dirac delta function.
Deleersnijder et al. (2006b) showed that the residence time may exhibit a discontinuity at the interfaces between the mixed layer $(0<x<h)$ and pycnocline $(x<0)$, for the eddy diffusivity is zero in the latter and positive in the former. Now we assume that the boundary of interest is $x=\epsilon$, rather than $x=0 . \epsilon$ is positive or negative according to
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whether the boundary is located in the mixed layer or the pycnocline, respectively. The corresponding residence time is hereinafter denoted
$\theta\left(x_{0}, \epsilon\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\epsilon}^{h} C(t, x) d x d t$
which may be recast as a function of $x$. There is no closed form solution for $C(t, x)$, but the residence time may be calculated analytically (Deleersnijder et al., 2006a).

For the sake of simplicity, it is assumed that the eddy diffusivity is a positive constant $\lambda$, in the mixed layer and zero in the pycnocline. It is also desirable to introduce dimensionless variables:
$x^{\prime}=\frac{x}{h}, \quad \theta^{\prime}=\frac{\theta}{h / w}, \quad P e=\frac{w h}{\lambda}$
From now on, only dimensionless variables will be used, so that it is appropriate to drop the primes. If $\epsilon>0$, the lower boundary is located at a level where the eddy diffusivity is nonzero, while $\epsilon<0$ corresponds to the case, when the lower boundary is located below the pycnocline. Let us assume that the lower boundary of the domain is pushed towards the bottom of the mixed layer $\epsilon>0, \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}, \epsilon<0, \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{-}$. Deleersnijder et al. (2006b) show that the corresponding residence times are different. In particular, for the chosen value of the diffusivity
$\theta\left(x, 0^{-}\right)=x+\frac{1-e^{-P e(1-x)}}{P e}$
and
$\theta\left(x, 0^{+}\right)=x-\frac{e^{-P e(1-x)}-e^{-P e}}{P e}$,
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We apply the Îto and the backward Îto random walk models to simulate the transport of the tracer in the proposed model. The Îto random walk model formulation corresponds to the case when the lower boundary of the domain is placed above the pycnocline, while the backward Îto random walk model provides the solution of the case when the lower boundary of the domain is placed under pycnocline.

The exact and the numerical solutions for $N=10^{4}$ particles are shown in Fig. 3.
From Fig. 3 we can conclude that the residence times obtained by applying the Îto and backward Îto random walk schemes are different. One can wonder which scheme provides the right solution. In reality, the both methods are correct, however they give answers for two different problems.

In Sect. 3 it was shown that for a smooth diffusivity function both random walk schemes are identical. In the Îto case, an aditional drift due to the spatial variation of the diffusivity is present. Because of this additional drift particles cannot stay in regions with low diffusivity. In the backward Îto formulation the additional drift term has disappeared and is included in the random term by applying the two-steps backward Euler scheme.

The disadvantage of the Îto formulation is that it cannot handle the case of discontinuous diffusivity. By applying an Îto model in this case the diffusivity drift is zero everywhere except exactly at the boundary where it is infinite. By applying a numerical scheme, particles will never reach exactly the pycnocline and as a result the diffusivity drift becomes essentially zero. Therefore a particle that comes close to the boundary will never go back into the domain (see Fig. 4a) and the residence time computed is in fact the residence time $O\left(x, 0^{+}\right)$.

By applying the backward Îto model the diffusivity drift is included in the random term of the model. Now a particle does get back into the domain even if it is very close to the boundary (see Fig. 4b). So the presence of the pycnocline is taken into account, leading to the residence time $O\left(x, 0^{-}\right)$.

## The backward Îto method for the Lagrangian simulation of transport processes

D. Spivakovskaya et al.


Full Screen / Esc

## Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

### 4.2 Illustration 2: the direct and adjoint problems for the residence time

In the previous section we considered a model, in which the diffusivity exhibits a discontinuity at the boundary of the domain. However, in practice the diffusivity can change rapidly inside the domain of interst. An example of such a problem is needed. In this respect, inspiration may be found in Delhez and Deleersnijder (2006).

Let $t$ and $x$ denote time and a space coordinate, respectively. In the domain $-L \leq x \leq L$, the concentration of the tracer $C(t, x)$ obeys the following partial differential problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u C-k \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\right),  \tag{29}\\
& C\left(0, x, x_{0}\right)=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right), \quad-L<x_{0}<L, \\
& C\left(t, \pm L, x_{0}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where the positive constant $u$ is the fluid velocity, while $k(x)>0$ denotes the eddy diffusivity. The residence time in the domain of interest of the tracer whose concentration obeys the partial differential problem (29) is (Delhez et al., 2004)
$\theta\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-L}^{L} C(t, x) d x d t$
In principle this value may be evaluated for any admissible value of $x_{0}$. The ensuing function may then be recast as a function of $x$, i.e. $\theta(x)$. However, obtaining the analytical solution of the direct problem (29) is usually considered as difficult. Fortunately, it is much easier to obtain the residence time by solving the adjoint problem (Delhez et al., 2004; Delhez and Deleersnijder, 2006):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}\left(k \frac{d \theta}{d x}+u \theta\right)=-1  \tag{31}\\
& \theta( \pm L)=0
\end{align*}
$$

For the purposes of the present study, the eddy diffusivity must exhibit a discontinuity inside the domain of the interest. The simplest expression that satisfies this constraint probably is the following piecewise constant function
$k(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}k^{+}, 0<x \leq L \\ k^{-},-L \leq x<0\end{array}\right.$
where $k^{+}$and $k^{-}$are positive constants. Therefore, at $x=0$, the residence time must satisfy two matching conditions:
$[\theta(x)]_{x=0^{-}}^{x=0^{+}}=0$,
$\left[k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}+u \theta\right]_{x=0^{-}}^{x=0^{+}}=0$,
In the developments below, the residence time at $x=0$ will be denoted $\theta_{0}$. In other words, the latter satisfies the equalities
$\theta\left(0^{-}\right)=\theta_{0}=\theta\left(0^{+}\right)$

### 4.2.1 The zero advection case

If the advection is zero $(u=0)$, then it is appropriate to introduce the dimensionless parameter $\mu=k^{+} / k^{-}$and variables
$t^{\prime}=\frac{t}{L /\left(k^{-}\right)^{2}}, \quad\left(x^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{L}, \quad k^{\prime}=\frac{k}{k^{-}}$,
$C^{\prime}=\frac{C}{1 / L}, \quad\left(\theta^{\prime}, \theta_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{L /\left(k^{-}\right)^{2}}$

For the sake of simplicity the primes can be dropped. Hence, the dimensionless diffusivity is
$k(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mu, 0<x \leq 1 \\ 1,-1 \leq x<0\end{array}\right.$
After some calculations, the residence time is obtained:
$\theta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \mu}-\frac{2 \mu \theta_{0}-1}{2 \mu} x+\theta_{0}, 0<x \leq 1 \\ -\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{2 \theta_{0}-1}{2} x+\theta_{0}\end{array}\right.$
with
$\theta_{0}=\frac{1}{1+\mu}$
The analytical and numerical solutions obtained by Îto, Stratonovich and backward Îto random walk methods are shown on Figs. 5, 6 respectively. Clearly, the backward Îto solution is much better than the Stratonovich soution, which, in turn, is better than that obtained by the classical Îto method.

5 4.2.2 The advection-diffusion case
If advection is present ( $u>0$ ), then it is appropriate to introduce the following dimensionless parameters and variables:
$t^{\prime}=\frac{t}{L / u}, \quad\left(x^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{L}, \quad P e^{ \pm^{\prime}}=\frac{u L}{k^{ \pm}}$,
$C^{\prime}=\frac{C}{1 / L}, \quad\left(\theta^{\prime}, \theta_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{L / u}$

As in the previous example the primes can be dropped. It is also useful to define a piecewise constant Peclet number:
$P e(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}P e^{+}, 0<x_{0} \leq 1 \\ P e^{-},-1 \leq x_{0}<0\end{array}\right.$
After some calculations, the residence time is obtained:
$\theta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}a^{+}-x+b^{+} e^{-P e^{+} x}, 0<x \leq 1 \\ a^{-}-x+b^{-} e^{-P e^{-} x},-1 \leq x<0\end{array}\right.$
with
$a^{ \pm}=\frac{e^{\mp P e^{ \pm}} \theta_{0} \mp 1}{e^{\mp P e^{ \pm}}-1}, \quad a^{ \pm}=\frac{ \pm 1-\theta_{0}}{e^{\mp P e^{ \pm}}-1}$
and
$\theta_{0}=\frac{P e^{+}-P e^{-}}{P e^{+} P e^{-}} \frac{\left(e^{-P e^{+}}-1\right)\left(e^{-P e^{-}}-1\right)}{e^{-P e^{+}}-e^{-P e^{-}}}-$
$\frac{e^{-P e^{+}}+e^{-P e^{-}-1}}{e^{-P e^{+}}-e^{-P e^{-}}}$
Figure 7 shows the analytical soluton and the numerical solutions obtained from Îto, Stratonovich and backward Îto formulations are shown on Fig. 8. One can see easily that only the solution obtained by the backward Îto random walk model is very close to the analytical solution, while the Stratonovich and Îto solutions significantly differ from the exact residence time.
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## 5 Conclusions

In this paper we considered the random walk model that can be applied to model the transport process in the regions with large space variations of the diffusivity, or as the limit case with discontinuous diffusivity. This model proposed by LaBolle et al. (2000) is based on the backward Îto stochastic integral. It is consistent with the advectiondiffusion equation and does not contain the diffusivity gradient in the advection part. Two test cases were analyzed: the sinking-diffusion model, in which the diffusivity exhibits a discontinuity at one boundary of the domain and the advection-diffusion problem with a discontinuity in the diffusivity inside the domain of interest. For both test cases the analytical solution of the indirect problem, e.g. finding the residence time, is known. The backward Îto random walk model was applied and the results show that this model provides the correct results for discontinuous diffusivities, while other, better known, random walk models perform rather poorly.
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Random walk model (consistent with the advection-diffusion equation)

Fig. 1. Comparison between Îto, Stratonovich and backward Îto formulations.
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Fig. 2. Sinking-diffusion model: illustration of its geometry, parameters and boundary conditions. Source Deleersnijder et al. (2006a).
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Fig. 3. The profile of the residence times $\theta\left(x, 0^{-}\right)$and $\theta\left(x, 0^{+}\right)$in the surface mixed layer for various values of the Peclet number. Dimensionless variables are used and the eddy diffusivity is assumed to be constant in the mixed layer. $\Delta t=10^{-4}, N=10^{5}$.
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