Molecular genetics and diversity of primary biogenic aerosol particles in urban, rural, and high-alpine air

V. Després, J. Nowoisky, M. Klose, R. Conrad, M. O. Andreae, U. Pöschl

Biogeosciences Discussions

Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Biogeosciences*

Molecular genetics of
biogenic aerosol
particles

V. Després et al.

Molecular genetics and diversity of primary biogenic aerosol particles in urban, rural, and high-alpine air

V. Després[1,3]**, J. Nowoisky**[1,3]**, M. Klose**[2]**, R. Conrad**[2]**, M. O. Andreae**[1]**, and U. Pöschl**[1]

[1]Biogeochemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, P.O. Box 3060, 55020 Mainz, Germany
[2]Biogeochemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Karl-von-Frisch-Straße, 35043 Marburg, Germany
[3]Department of General Botany, Johannes Gutenberg University, Saarstraße 1, 55099 Mainz, Germany

Correspondence to: V. Després (despres@mpch-mainz.mpg.de)

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

◄◄ | ►►

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

This study explores the applicability of molecular methods for the characterization of primary biogenic aerosol (PBA) particles in the atmosphere. Samples of fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and total suspended particulates (TSP) have been collected on
5 different types of filter materials at urban, rural, and high-alpine locations along an altitude transect in the south of Germany (Munich, Hohenpeissenberg, Mt. Zugspitze).

From filter aliquots loaded with about one milligram of air particulate matter, DNA could be extracted and DNA sequences could be determined for bacteria, fungi, plants and animals. Sequence analyses were used to determine the identity of biological or-
10 ganisms, and terminal restriction length polymorphism analyses (T-RFLP) were applied to estimate diversities and relative abundances of bacteria. Investigations of blank and background samples showed that filter materials have to be decontaminated prior to use, and that the sampling and handling procedures have to be carefully controlled to avoid artifacts in the analyses.

15 Mass fractions of DNA in PM2.5 were found to be around 0.05% in urban, rural, and high alpine aerosols. The average concentration of DNA determined for urban air was on the order of $\sim$7 ng m^{-3}, indicating that human adults may inhale about one microgram of DNA per day (corresponding to $\sim$10^5 haploid human genomes).

Most of the bacterial sequences found in PM2.5 were from *Proteobacteria* (42) and
20 some from *Actinobacteria* (10) and *Firmicutes* (1). The fungal sequences were characteristic for *Ascomycota* (3) and *Basidiomycetes* (1), which are known to actively discharge spores into the atmosphere. The plant sequences could be attributed to green plants (2) and moss spores (2), while animal DNA was found only for one unicellular eukaryote (protist).

25 Over 80% of the 53 bacterial sequences could be matched with about 40% of the 19 T-RF peaks (58 to 494 base pair length) found in the investigated PM2.5 samples. The results demonstrate that the T-RFLP analysis covered more of the bacterial diversity than the sequence analysis.

EGU

Shannon-Weaver indices calculated from both sequence and T-RFLP data indicate that the bacterial diversity in the rural samples was higher than in the urban and alpine samples. Two of the bacterial sequences (*Gammaproteobacteria*) and five of the T-RF peaks were found at all sampling locations.

1   Introduction

Biogenic aerosols are ubiquitous in the Earth's atmosphere, where they influence atmospheric chemistry and physics, the biosphere, climate, and public health. They play an important role in the spread of biological organisms and reproductive materials, and they can cause or enhance human, animal, and plant diseases. Moreover, they influence the Earth's energy budget by scattering and absorbing radiation, and they can initiate the formation of clouds droplets and precipitation as cloud condensation and ice nuclei (Dingle, 1966; Schnell and Vali, 1972; Cox, 1995; Andreae and Crutzen, 1997; Pruppacher and Klett, 1997; Hamilton and Lenton, 1998; Andreae et al., 2002; Taylor and Jonsson, 2004; Jaenicke, 2005; Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; Pöschl, 2005a; Dusek et al., 2006; McFiggans et al., 2006; Sun and Ariya, 2006).

Primary biogenic aerosol (PBA) particles and components are emitted directly from the biosphere to the atmosphere. PBA particles range in size from millimeters down to tens of nanometers, and may thus be much smaller than originally thought (Jaenicke, 2005). Particles of biological origin, like pollen, bacteria, spores, viruses, plant and animal fragments (e.g., dandruffs, skin fragments), are all within this size range (Simoneit and Mazurek, 1982; Matthias-Maser and Jaenicke, 1992; Artaxo, 1995; Bauer et al., 2005). The actual abundance and origin of biogenic aerosol particles and components are, however, still poorly understood and quantified.

Compared to conventional methods of PBA analysis (microscopy, protein staining, cultivation of microorganisms, etc.), molecular methods can provide much more information. They enable the identification and characterization of both cultured and uncultured microorganisms (90 to 99% of fungi and bacteria presently cannot be cul-

EGU

Molecular genetics of biogenic aerosol particles

V. Després et al.

EGU

---

tured in the laboratory (Amann et al., 1995)), of viable and dead microorganisms, and of fragments from plants and animals.

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) enables very efficient amplification of characteristic regions of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), which can be analyzed, e.g., by se-
5 quencing and terminal restriction length polymorphism analysis (T-RFLP), and identified by comparison with genetic databanks. Even minute amounts of DNA – as little as one molecule – are sufficient to identify biological organisms and materials. The high sensitivity of this technique, however, also bears the risk of amplifying trace amounts of DNA with which the investigated aerosol sample or the sampling material (filters, im-
10 paction foils, etc.) may have been contaminated in the course of material production, aerosol sampling, sample transport, storage, and analysis. On the other hand, long-term storage of samples can lead to chemical modification and degradation of DNA. Contamination and degradation effects can lead to substantial analytical artifacts and losses of information.
15 Depending on the genomic region that is sequenced, organisms can be identified on the domain, class, order, family, genus or even species level. Regions commonly used for taxonomic identification are the ribosomal RNA genes. These are the 16S ribosomal genes in the domains *Archaea* and *Bacteria*, and the 18S ribosomal genes in the domain *Eukarya* (animals and plants). For eukaryotic fungi usually the internal tran-
20 scribed spacer (ITS) region, which is localized between the 18S, 5S and 28S regions, is used for the taxonomic identification. All these gene sequences are useful, because they exhibit both conserved regions for the binding of universal primer pairs and variable regions that are characteristic for different groups or individual species of biological organisms. For plants, the ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase
25 large subunit gene (rbcL) in the chloroplast genome provides additional taxonomic information (Vilgalys and Hester, 1990; Weisburg et al., 1991; Gardes and Bruns, 1993; Whiting et al., 1997; Little and Barrington, 2003; Fierer et al., 2005). For bacteria and archaea, T-RFLP analysis provides information about the diversity and relative abundance, which is complementary to the information obtained by the analysis and blasting

of DNA sequences (Liu et al., 1997; Lukow et al., 2000).

First applications of molecular genetic techniques for the analysis of PBA have been reported only recently. These studies had been focused on the sequence analysis of DNA from specific groups of organisms, mostly from bacteria or fungi collected at one or two sampling locations (Radosevich et al., 2002; Boreson et al., 2004; Hughes et al., 2004; Maron et al., 2005; Kuske et al., 2006; Brodie et al., 2007). In the present study we combine sequence analyses of different groups of organisms (bacteria, archaea, fungi, plants, animals) with T-RFLP investigations and DNA quantification in urban, rural, and high-alpine aerosol samples. Moreover, we investigate potential contamination problems, which had not been addressed in earlier publications.

2   Material and methods

2.1   Filter samples

Samples were collected on three different filter types (glass fiber, cellulose nitrate, and polypropylene) from urban, rural and high-alpine air at five different locations in Southern Germany, as detailed below and summarized in Table 1. Fine air particulate matter (PM2.5; aerodynamic diameter $<2.5\,\mu$m) was collected on glass fiber filters (15 cm diameter, MN 85/90 binder-free, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) using a stand-alone high-volume filter sampler (HVFS, Digitel DHA-80, Riemer, Hausen, Germany; sample air flow 500 L min^{-1}; sampling time 4 to 5 days). For decontamination (removal of organic compounds), the glass fiber filters were baked in a muffle furnace at 300°C for 12 h prior to use. The mass of particulate matter collected on each filter was determined by weighing the filter before and after sampling (Schauer et al., 2004) and the samples were stored at –20°C. Urban PM2.5 samples were collected by the IWC (Institute of Hydrochemistry, Technical University of Munich, Munich-Grosshadern) on the campus of the University Hospital Grosshadern in the southwestern outskirts of Munich (550 m above sea level (a.s.l.)). The HVFS was positioned on a lawn in the

EGU

front yard of the Institute building, about ten meters away from a minor on-campus road and several hundred meters away from the nearest parking lots and city roads. Rural PM2.5 samples were collected at the Meteorological Observatory Hohenpeissenberg (MOHp), which is located on top of a small mountain (990 m a.s.l., 200–300 m higher than the surrounding terrain) about half-way between Munich and Mt. Zugspitze. The HVFS was positioned on an open platform on top of the meteorological observatory. High-alpine PM2.5 samples were collected at the Environmental Research Station Schneefernerhaus, Zugspitze (UFS). The sampling site (2650 m a.s.l.) was located on the southern slope close to the summit of Mt. Zugspitze (2962 m a.s.l.) at the northern edge of the Alps. The HVFS was located on the south-western platform on top of the research station.

Samples of total suspended particles (TSP) on polypropylene filters ($44 \times 44 \, cm^2$) were provided by the Institute of Radiation Protection, GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, Neuherberg. The samples were collected with a high-volume air sampler (ASS – 500 Central Laboratory for Radiological Protection, Warsaw, Poland, PTI Ulf Fischer, Erlangen) situated on the east side of the GSF Campus in Munich, next to one of the biggest dry lawns in southern Bavaria (sample air flow 700 L h^{-1}; sampling time 10 days). TSP samples on cellulose nitrate membrane filters (15 cm diameter, Schleicher & Schüll) were provided by the Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, Institut für Meteorologie und Klimaforschung, IMK-IFU. They were collected with a filter sampler (Digitel DHA-80) at the summit of Mt. Zugspitze (2962 m a.s.l.; air sample volume 1181 L; sampling time 24 h). After sampling the filters were compressed into compact tablets and used for radionuclide measurements (^{7}Be and ^{210}Pb activity on Mt. Zugspitze TSP filter, while γ-spectrometry on Munich TSP filter). The TSP filter substrates were not decontaminated prior to use, and the samples were stored at room temperature.

EGU

2.2 DNA extraction and quantification

PM2.5 filter sample aliquots (0.5×1 cm, ∼0.2 g filter loaded with 0.5–8 mg PM2.5) were lysed and extracted with a commercial soil DNA extraction kit (LysingMatrixE, Fast DNA Spin Kit, Biomedicals) according to the supplier's instructions with the following

5 modifications: 10-min centrifugation step after the lysis, additional 900 μl buffer, and repeated beating and centrifugation. Both generated supernatants were combined for the further extraction process. Finally, the DNA was dissolved in 100 μl elution buffer, and the DNA concentration was measured by UV spectrophotometry at 260 nm.

The other filter samples were extracted with the same kit and procedures, except for

10 extracting twice with 400 μl sodium phosphate buffer.

2.3 DNA amplification

PCRs were performed for T-RFLP and sequence analyses (1–5 μl sample extract used as template DNA). The reaction mixtures always contained ca. 18 ng μl^{-1} template DNA, 1 x PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTPs)

15 (MP Biomedicals), 0.33 μM of each primer (MWG-Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany), 10 μg BSA and 5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Netherlands).

PCRs for sequence analyses of bacteria, archaea, fungi, plants and animals were performed with the primer pairs listed in Table 2 under the following experimental conditions: 50 μl reaction volume (thermal cycler model 2400, PE Applied Biosystems or

20 PTC 200/225, MJ Research); thermal profile: initial denaturing at 94°C for 3 min; 35 cycles with denaturing at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at primer pair specific temperature for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 30 s; final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. The specific annealing temperatures for the different primer pairs were determined in temperature gradient experiments (Table 2).

25 PCRs for T-RFLP were carried out using a carboxyfluorescein (fam)-labeled oligonucleotide primer and an unlabeled primer (MWG, Ebersberg). For bacteria we used 9/27f (fam) 5'-GAG TTT GAT C(AC)T GGC TCA G-3' and 907/926r 5'-CCG TCA ATT

C(AC)T TTR AGT TT-3', which amplify 16S rRNA genes (Weisburg et al., 1991). For archaea we used 109f 5'-AC(GT) GCT CAG TAA CAC GT-3' and 934r (fam) 5'-GTG CTC CCC CGC CAA TTC CT-3' (Großkopf, 1998).

The thermal profile of the T-RFLP-PCR for bacteria was as follows: 94°C for 3 min; 35 cycles each with 45 s at 94°C, 30 s at 57°C, and 80 s at 72°C; 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis (80 V to 120 V) on a 1 to 1.5% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The amplification of archaea for the T-RFLP analysis was performed with the same thermal profile, except that the annealing step at 52°C lasted 45 s, elongation at 72°C 90 s and denaturing again 30 s at 94°C.

2.4 T-RFLP analysis

PCR products for T-RFLP were purified using the GenElute PCR clean up kit (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen). Approximately 100 ng of the amplicons were digested (3 h, 37°C, total volume 10 μl) with 5 U of the restriction endonuclease *MspI* (bacteria) or *TaqI* (archaea) (Fermentas). Aliquots (1.25 μl) of the digested amplicons were mixed with 0.85 μl of formamide and 0.4 μl of an internal lane standard (GeneScan-1000 ROX; PE Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt), denatured at 94°C for 2 min and then chilled on ice. Electrophoresis was performed on a polyacrylamide gel (automated DNA sequencer model 373; PE Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt) for 6 h at the following settings: 2500 V, 40 mA, and 27 W (24 cm gel length). After electrophoresis, the lengths (peak positions) of the terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) and the intensities (peak areas) of their fluorescence emission signals were automatically calculated by the GeneScan Analysis software, version 2.1 (PE Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt).

For each of the 14 filter samples the sum of all measured peak heights of the T-RF fragments (50–928 bp) was calculated. Every peak was normalized by division through the peak-height sum of its sample and multiplication with the smallest peak-height sum of all samples. Normalized peak heights and normalized peak-height sums were then used to calculate relative abundances of the T-RF peaks for the different samples and

Molecular genetics of biogenic aerosol particles

V. Després et al.

EGU

locations.

2.5 Cloning and sequencing

Amplification products for sequencing were cloned into *Escherichia coli* using the TOPO TA Cloning [TM]Kit (Invitrogen, Netherlands) following the supplier's instructions. The cells of *E.coli* were transformed by heat-shock for 30 s at 42°C and cultivated on LB plates containing ampicillin and X-Gal at 37°C for approximately 16 h. Colonies containing inserts were identified by blue-white selection and lysed in 20 μl water for 10 min at 95°C. The inserts of 24 colonies were amplified using 3 μl lysate in a 40 μl reaction (PCR master mix: 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 x PCR Buffer, 250 nM of each primer, 250 μM of each dNTP, 1.25 U Taq (Invitrogen, Netherlands)). The only primer pair used in these PCRs was M13F-40 and M13R, and the temperature programme was as follows: 94°C for 5 min; 40 cycles at 93°C for 30 s, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min; final extension step at 72°C for 15 min. DNA sequences were determined with ABI Prism 377, 3100, and 3730 sequencers (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt) using BigDye-terminator v3.1 chemistry at the DNA Core Facility of the Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research.

The measured sequences were aligned using the program BioEdit (BioEdit 7.05; http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html). For comparison with known sequences, databank queries using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) were performed via the website of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). For each of the measured sequences, the first hit in the list of databank search results was taken as the "best-match sequence". The degree of accordance (identity) and accession number of the best-match sequences were recorded and are presented below.

EGU

2.6 Inhibition tests

To test inhibitory effects of components (extracted in association with the DNA) within the filter extract on the DNA amplification process, an artificial vector pET44a was used as a DNA template (20 ng) to amplify a 2004 bp long product with the forward primer T7 5'-TAA TAC GAC TCA TCA CTA TAG GG -3' and the reverse primer pET44rev 5' – TAC GGC GTT TCA CTT CTG A-3' (Nowoisky, 2005). The PCR (50 μl) was performed as described above. The PCR program was: 94°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1.5 min. The final elongation step was 72°C for 7 min.

The degree of inhibition a DNA extract causes was estimated by adding 1 μl of this extract to a PCR master mix containing the pET44a vector. After PCR and gel electrophoresis, inhibition effects were detected by optical inspection of the gel and comparison to a positive vector control. Bands that were less bright than the vector control were assumed to indicate partial inhibition. Missing bands were assumed to indicate complete inhibition. This inhibition test was performed for one urban PM2.5 DNA extract. The brightness of the band was reduced about 50% compared to the vector control.

2.7 Phylogenetic analysis

With the ARB programme (Ludwig et al., 2004) a phylogenetic analysis was performed for all bacterial sequences determined in this study. In this approach a parsimony tree was calculated using sequences provided by ARB. These sequences were calculated into a tree. Complete sequences, about 300, which were closely related, were extracted and used to calculate a Neighbor-Joining tree. Into this Neighbor-Joining tree the sequences from this study were included without changing the topology of the tree. All sequences that added no information to the tree were excluded again without changing the topology of the tree.

EGU

2.8   Statistical analysis

For each of the PM2.5 sampling locations the sequence and T-RFLP data were used to calculate the Shannon-Weaver diversity index (H) and the evenness (e) as follows: $\overline{H} = \left(\frac{C}{N}\right)(N * \log N - \sum n_i \log n_i)$, where C is 2.3, N the total number of obtained se-
quences (peaks) at the sampling location, and n_i the number of sequences (peaks) belonging to a certain group of bacteria (e.g., *Gammaproteobacteria*); $e = \frac{\overline{H}}{S}$, where S is the number of bacterial groups (peaks) at the sampling location.

3   Results and discussion

3.1   DNA detection

3.1.1   Atmospheric aerosol samples

A commercial soil DNA extraction kit was used to extract DNA from 28 filter samples of air particulate matter from urban, rural and high-alpine locations as detailed in Table 1. DNA was found in all extracts (2–38 ng μl^{-1} in 100 μl), which demonstrates that the extraction method is applicable for different aerosol filter types such as glass fiber, cellulose nitrate, and polypropylene filters (as well as a quartz fiber filter test sample).

The results show that DNA is present not only in coarse particles but also in fine particulate matter (PM2.5, aerodynamic diameter ≤2.5 μm), and that small sample aliquots with small amounts of air particulate matter (0.5–8 mg PM2.5) are sufficient to extract measurable amounts of DNA. Moreover, they show that DNA can be extracted not only from fresh samples, but also from samples that have been taken for other purposes and stored for extended periods of time (in the present case three years at −20°C or one year at room temperature). DNA could be recovered even from the compact tablets into which the cellulose nitrate filters and polypropylene filters had been compressed and which had already been used for radionuclide measurements.

EGU

Nevertheless, DNA starts to degrade as soon as an organism dies. It breaks into smaller pieces and is chemically modified (Pääbo, 1989; Lindahl, 1993; Höss et al., 1996; Ovchinnikov et al., 2001). Under cool, dark, and dry conditions DNA can be preserved for several thousand years (Pääbo et al., 2004), but the DNA amount decreases and DNA information is lost. Thus, air filter samples for molecular analysis should be kept dry and frozen to slow down degradation processes.

From the DNA concentration in the sample extracts were calculated, the equivalent mass of DNA per filter sample, the mass fraction of DNA in the sampled air particulate matter, and the concentration of DNA in the sampled air volume. Across sampling locations, the DNA concentrations in air varied in the range of 0.8–9.8 ng m^{-3}. Among the PM2.5 glass fiber filters, the urban samples exhibited the highest DNA concentrations, with an average value of 7 ng m^{-3}. The DNA mass fraction in urban PM2.5 was nearly constant at ∼0.03%, which is confirmed and illustrated by the plot and linear trend (r^2=0.98) of DNA vs. PM in Fig. 1. The observation of a high and near-constant relative abundance of DNA from biological particles in urban PM2.5 is consistent with the high mass fraction of proteins (up to ∼5%) in the large set of samples, from which the filters investigated in this study were taken (Franze, 2004; Franze et al., 2005; Pöschl, 2005b; Fehrenbach, 2006). The DNA/protein ratio of ∼1/100 is also consistent with the typical proportions of DNA and proteins in living organisms (e.g., 1/15 in prokaryotes (Voet and Voet, 1995)).

To put the abundance of DNA in fine, and thus respirable, air particulate matter into perspective with the human genome we have performed the following rough calculation: Under the assumption of an average DNA concentration of 7 ng m^{-3} ,an average amount of 3 pg DNA per haploid human genome, and adult human breathing rates between 5 and 120 L min^{-1} (sleep vs. sports), an adult person living in a city can be expected to inhale every day about 0.05–1.2 μg DNA, corresponding to 2×10^4–4×10^5 haploid human genomes.

The rural and high-alpine PM2.5 glass fiber filter samples exhibited lower PM and DNA concentrations, but similar or higher mass fractions of DNA (0.03–0.16%), which

are again consistent with high mass fractions of proteins observed in these and related samples (Franze, 2004; Franze et al., 2005; Fehrenbach, 2006). These findings confirm that DNA is not rapidly degraded in frozen glass fiber filter samples of air particulate matter.

The absolute and relative concentrations of DNA determined for the urban and high-alpine TSP samples collected on cellulose nitrate and polypropylene filters were generally lower than those determined for the PM2.5 glass fiber filters. This may be due to stronger inhibition effects (see Sect. 3.2.4) or faster degradation of DNA in the samples stored at room temperature. On the other hand, the TSP samples are likely to have been affected by elevated blank levels of DNA, because the filters had not been decontaminated prior to use (Sect. 3.1.2).

The above results are subject to uncertainties related to contamination, extraction efficiency, degradation, and photometric quantification of DNA. They certainly need to be corroborated by future investigations of larger sample numbers and complementary test experiments and measurement techniques. Nevertheless, we think that the observed trends, correlations, and orders of magnitude reported above provide a reasonable first estimate and basis for further comprehensive and systematic investigations of the abundance of DNA in air particulate matter. To our knowledge, no comparable measurement data on the abundance of DNA in air have been published yet.

3.1.2 Blank and background samples

In parallel to the filter samples of air particulate matter, four different types of blank and background samples have been investigated to check for possible sources of contamination: (1) six freshly pre-baked glass fiber filters ("glass fiber laboratory blank"); (2) a polypropylene filter freshly taken from the sealed original packing of the commercial supplier ("polypropylene supplier blank"); (3) a polypropylene filter pressed to a tablet but not exposed to air sampling ("polypropylene tablet blank"); (4) one pre-baked glass fiber filter treated exactly like air samples but exposed to the sampling flow for only 0.5 min ($\sim$0.25 m^3 of air; "glass fiber sampling background") at each of the PM2.5 sampling

locations (Munich, Hohenpeissenberg, Mt. Zugspitze). No blanks were available for the cellulose nitrate filter samples.

DNA was not detected on any of the glass fiber laboratory blanks, indicating that contamination could be excluded during the filter handling and extraction process in the laboratory. In contrast, up to $1\,\mu$g of DNA was detected on the polypropylene supplier and tablet blanks. These findings indicate that decontamination of sampling substrates by baking at high temperature or alternative methods such as autoclaving is a necessary prerequisite for reliable molecular analyses of atmospheric aerosol samples. DNA was also found on each of the glass fiber sampling background filters: urban $1.6\,\mu$g, rural $4.8\,\mu$g, high alpine $2.4\,\mu$g. The urban and high-alpine background filters were lower by factors of 3–4 than the lowest values determined for real PM samples. The rural background filter, however, was as high as the lowest PM sample, although the sampled volume was smaller by a factor of ∼1000.

These findings indicate that the handling of filters at the beginning and end of the sampling period can be a major source of contamination and lead to high background DNA concentration levels. The composition and molecular signature of the biological material and DNA collected during this process may just be more concentrated but similar to that in the sampled air flow. On the other hand, it might also be characteristic for the sampling equipment rather than for the sampled air flow and investigated environment. In any case, analytical artifacts related to contamination of sampling materials prior to use or during sample collection and handling can strongly influence the molecular analysis of atmospheric aerosols. In earlier studies, this aspect had not been addressed at all. Our results clearly demonstrate the necessity and effectiveness of material decontamination and parallel blank extractions.

3.2 Molecular genetic analyses

With the DNA extract from each of the filters listed in Table 1, five PCRs have been performed to amplify DNA from bacteria, archaea, fungi, land plants, and animals for sequence analysis. PCR products were obtained for all categories except archaea. All

Molecular genetics of biogenic aerosol particles

V. Després et al.

⏮ | ⏭

◀ | ▶

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

PCR products were cloned, and from about 100 clones, 24 were randomly selected, lysed, and amplified again. Depending on the success rate of the second step of amplification, up to seven products of the 24 PCRs were sequenced. The obtained sequences were blasted in the databank National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) to find the closest match and determine the taxonomy of the organisms from which the DNA on the filter samples had most likely originated.

Systematic sequence analyses and complementary T-RFLP investigations were performed only for the PM2.5 samples, because the filters had been decontaminated prior to use. All sequences obtained for these samples are summarized in Table 3 and discussed in Sects. 3.2.1–3.2.4. As discussed above, the TSP samples were most likely influenced by filter contaminations. Nevertheless, some characteristic results obtained for the TSP samples will be outlined in Sect. 3.2.3.

3.2.1 Prokaryotes in PM2.5

Sequences and phylogeny

As summarized in Table 3, 53 bacterial, but no archeal, clone sequences could be retrieved from the investigated PM2.5 samples. For 29 sequences the taxonomic identity was determined by a databank search on NCBI.

Three sequences exhibited 100% identity with *Actinobacteria,* the other sequences exhibited 99% identity with different *Gamma-* and *Betaproteobacteria.* Several of the clone sequences showed best match with the same databank sequence, possibly since the clone sequences were from bacteria whose sequence had not yet been determined and entered into database or because they have been partially degraded in the air or upon sampling and analysis (e.g., chemical modification of individual base pairs).

Twenty four sequences could not be resolved by comparison with NCBI sequences, since the taxonomy of the closest matches were unclear (categorized as "environmental samples" in the databank). For taxonomic identification of these 24 sequences we performed a phylogenetic analysis by calculating a neighbor-joining tree that consisted

of all 53 sequences from this study and 74 sequences from the ARB databank (Ludwig et al., 2004).

The phylogenetic analysis yielded 27 *Gamma-*, 13 *Beta-,* and 2 *Alphaproteobacteria* sequences, 10 *Actinobacteria,* and 1 *Firmicute*. Unlike Maron et al. (2005), we found no *Deltaproteobacteria* in the investigated aerosol samples. *Proteobacteria* are one of the largest groups in the domain *Bacteria*. They include many pathogens as well as nitrogen oxidizing bacteria living in soils and on plants. *Gammaproteobacteria* are known to be particularly flexible and adaptable to different environments. *Actinobacteria* and *Firmicutes* are mostly Gram positive bacteria, many of which form spores for aerial transport. Most *Actinobacteria* are found in soil, where they are involved in the decomposition of organic materials. The *Firmicutes* include common soil bacteria like the endospore-forming bacilli, but also prominent pathogens like *Bacillus anthracis* or *Bacillus thuringiensis*, which is agriculturally used as an insecticide.

For *Gammaproteobacteria*, only 13 of the 27 clone sequences were different from each other, and for the 13 different clone sequences the databank search yielded only 4 different best-match sequences (DQ163939, AM055711, DQ279310, DQ336995). For *Betaproteobacteria,* 10 of the 13 sequences were different from each other and the databank search yielded 4 different best-match sequences (DQ057384, AF509578, AF385528, AF509579). For *Alphaproteobacteria*, on the other hand, both of the clone sequences were different and yielded different best-match sequences (U87763, D14506). Also for *Actinobacteria*, most of the determined sequences were different from each other (8 out of 10) and yielded different best-match sequences (7).

Diversity

For characterization of the bacterial diversity, T-RFLP analyses were performed with the DNA extracts from all PM2.5 samples. Figure 2 summarizes the number, length, and relative abundance of the 19 different T-RFs detected at the different sampling locations. The urban PM2.5 samples from Munich filters exhibited in total

Molecular genetics of biogenic aerosol particles

V. Després et al.

11 T-RF peaks (125–494 bp). One of the T-RF peaks (400 bp) was found in all five samples, and four were found only in one sample. The rural samples from Hohenpeissenberg exhibited the highest bacterial diversity with 16 T-RF peaks (58–494 bp) in total; none of them in all five samples and seven only in one sample. The high-alpine samples from Mt. Zugspitze exhibited the lowest diversity with eight peaks in total; none of them in all four samples and three only in one sample.

To compare and connect the results of T-RFLP and clone sequence analyses, the number of base pairs until the first restriction site for *Msp I* "C-CGG" was determined for each of the clone sequences found in the PM2.5 samples to obtain in-silico the size of the T-RF expected from this clone. When the calculated number coincided with the length of one of the observed T-RF peaks, the sequences and T-RF peaks were tentatively assigned to each other.

As detailed in Table 3, all of the T-RFs with a length of 489 were matching multiple *Betaproteobacteria* and those with a length of 493 or 494 bp were matching multiple *Gammaproteobacteria* sequences. The T-RFs with a length of 152, 158, 58, or 71 bp were matching individual sequences of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria* (TR-F 158 and 58), or *Firmicutes*, respectively. In total, 37 of the 44 bacterial sequences could be matched with one of the seven TR-F peaks specified above. For the other twelve T-RF peaks no tentative taxonomic assignment could be achieved, indicating that the T-RFLP analyses resolved fewer taxa than clone sequence analysis, but covered more of the bacterial diversity in the investigated samples.

Five T-RF peaks (152, 158, 489, 493, and 494 bp) and two of the clone sequences (S3, S4) were found at all sampling locations. Two T-RF peaks (177 and 214 bp) and 11 clone sequences (S2, S5-S13, S15) were found exclusively in the urban samples from Munich, six T-RF peaks (71, 130, 140, 422, 431, and 474 bp) and ten clone sequences (S16–S25) exclusively in the rural samples from Hohenpeissenberg. In the high alpine samples from Mt. Zugspitze we found only T-RF peaks that were also detected in samples from the urban or rural locations. Nine of the measured sequences (S26–S34), however, were detected only in the high-alpine samples.

Shannon-Weaver diversity indices (*H*) were calculated for each sampling location from both the number of T-RF peaks observed and the number of clone sequences determined (Table 4). Both data sets indicate the highest bacterial diversity for the rural samples from Hohenpeissenberg. The *H* values based on the sequence data indicate similar diversities for the urban and high alpine samples, whereas the *H* values based on the T-RFLP data indicate higher diversity for the urban samples than for the high alpine samples. The results are consistent with earlier observations that the concentration of PBA particles in high alpine regions is lower than in levels directly above the sea level (Jaenicke et al., 2000).

Overall, the T-RFLP analysis indicates lower species richness for our PM2.5 samples than for soil samples, for which the method had originally been developed and applied (Dunbar et al., 2000; Lüdemann et al., 2000; Lukow et al., 2000; Klamer and Hedlund, 2004; Noll et al., 2004). While in the air samples 4 -7 T-RF peaks were observed per filter, in soil typically 20–30 TR-F peaks are found per sample. Note, however, that the apparent lower diversity may be partly due to chemical modification and degradation of DNA or inhibitory effects of atmospheric aerosol components on the amplification and cloning procedures. Control experiments with an urban PM2.5 sample extract have confirmed the presence of inhibitors (reduced amplification efficiency, see also 2.6).

Abundances

The best ways of estimating relative abundances of bacteria are still under discussion (Hong et al., 2006 and references therein). For the PM2.5 samples investigated in this study, the relative abundances of bacterial groups have been estimated by two approaches. One approach is based on the T-RFLP data, i.e., on normalized intensities of T-RF peaks assigned to different bacterial groups. The other approach is based on the sequence analysis data, i.e., on the numbers of sequences assigned to different bacterial groups. As detailed in Table 5, both approaches indicate that

EGU

Proteobacteria were the most abundant fraction of bacteria at all sampling locations (87–95% of T-RF peak intensities; 62–92% of sequence numbers), and that their abundance was dominated by *Beta*- and *Gammaproteobacteria*. These findings are consistent with the results of bacterial sequence analyses in air particulate matter at
5 urban and rural locations in USA and France, respectively (Radosevich et al., 2002; Maron et al., 2005). In a very recent study, where bacteria in the air of two cities in Texas have been investigated over several weeks with chip measurement technologies rather than full sequence analyses, however, *Proteobacteria* were found to be less frequent than *Actinomycetes*, *Bacteroides* and *Cyanobacteria* (Brodie et al., 2007).
10 Further studies will be required to check if these and other differences between the findings of different studies are governed by the variability of atmospheric aerosol sources and composition or influenced by the applied measurement techniques.

For *Actinobacteria* and for the individual sub-groups of *Proteobacteria* at the different sampling locations of this study, however, the results based on T-RFLP and sequence
15 data were substantially different. Although sequences from *Actinobacteria* were found relatively often (8–32%), the T-RFLP data indicate an abundance of only 1–3%. The latter observation is consistent with recent genetic analyses of bacteria at a rural location in France (12% (Maron et al., 2005)).

We think that the abundance estimates derived from T-RFLP data are more realistic
20 than those from sequence data, because the latter may be biased by the cloning procedure and also are statistically not well founded. For samples of unknown diversity it has been suggested to use about 300 sequences or more for reliable estimation of relative abundances (Kemp and Aller, 2004), whereas only 13-24 sequences were available for the different sets of PM2.5 samples investigated in this study. On the other hand, the
25 T-RFLP analysis of 16S genes as applied in this study has been reported to provide good estimates for the abundance of bacteria in soil samples (Lueders and Friedrich, 2003).

3.2.2   Eukaryotes in PM2.5

Fungal sequences

Four fungal sequences were detected in three of the five urban PM2.5 samples
(Table 3): three sequences from *Ascomycota* (*Cladosporium,* 100% identity with
AY463365; *Saccharomycetes,* 99% identity with X69842; unspecified *Ascomycota*,
99% identity with AB108787) and one sequence from *Basidiomycota* (uncultured
Basidiomycetes, 99% identity with AB530532). *Ascomycota* and *Basidiomycota* are
known to actively discharge spores into the atmosphere, and their spores have been
detected in a wide range of locations and concentrations (Garrison et al., 2003;
Boreson et al., 2004; Griffin, 2004a, b; Elbert et al., 2006). In recent studies based on
amplification of the 18S gene and cultivation of fungi, *Ascomycota* and *Basidiomycota*
were found to be the most abundant group accounting for proportions of 40–100% of
fungi detected in air particulate matter, (Wu et al., 2003; Boreson et al., 2004).

Spores are known to resist environmental stress and survive atmospheric transport
(Griffin, 2004a; Griffin, 2004b), whereas DNA in fungal tissue fragments may be
rapidly degraded by atmospheric photooxidants. No fungal DNA was detected in the
investigated rural and high-alpine PM2.5 samples. Since fungal spores are known to
be ubiquitous components of atmospheric aerosols, we think that either the applied soil
DNA extraction kit was not very efficiently extracting DNA from fungal spores (Brown
and Hovmoller, 2002; Boreson et al., 2004; Griffin, 2004a, b) or the amplification was
inhibited. Therefore, ongoing work is aimed at the optimization of DNA extraction and
amplification for fungi as well as for other eukaryotes.

Plant sequences

Four plant sequences were detected in two of the five urban PM2.5 samples,
which had been collected in spring (Table 3): one sequence from a flowering plant

EGU

(*Angiosperm*; 99% identity with AF206895), two from mosses (*Bryophytes*; 95% identity with AY156588 and 98% with AY156592), and one from the pine family (*Pinaceae*; 94% identity with D38246). The detected sequences had been amplified with the "animal" primer pair 18Sai_f/18Sbi_r, which is specific for a region of the 18S gene in the eukaryotic nuclear genome. In contrast, the land plant primer pair F1F/F1379R, which amplifies a region of the chloroplast genome, did not give any positive PCR results, although its functionality had been tested positively.

The fact that plant sequences were found only in samples collected during the pollen season in spring time, suggests that the DNA was likely recovered from pollen (angiosperm, *Pinaceae*) or spores (*Bryophytes*) rather than tissue fragments. Pollen and spores are known and designed to resist environmental stress and survive atmospheric transport, whereas DNA in plant tissue fragments may be rapidly degraded by atmospheric photooxidants. The low identity values of the *Bryophyte* and *Pinaceae* sequences may indicate that the sequences of the detected organisms have not yet been identified and entered into the NCBI database or that they have been partially degraded (e.g., chemical modification of individual base pairs).

All plant sequences identified in this study belong to the *Viridiplantae*, which were also found as the only plant category in a recent study by Boreson et al. (2004). They reported that plants accounted for 11% of the eukaryotic sequences found in a natural desert area and for 31% in urban air. In a couple of other recent studies, plants were only indirectly identified by the co-amplification of chloroplasts with bacterial primer pairs but no plant sequences were analyzed (Radosevich et al., 2002; Maron et al., 2005). In these studies the proportions of chloroplast clones were in the range of 3–32% (Radosevich et al., 2002; Maron et al., 2005). In our study, the plant sequences account for 44% of the eukaryotic sequences detected in urban PM2.5 samples.

Animal sequences

Animal DNA was found only in an urban PM2.5 sample, and the best-match

databank sequence was from a protist (*Alveolata apicomplexa*, 93% identity with L31841). These spore forming unicellular eukaryotes are known as parasites causing human diseases (e.g., malaria). Animal DNA is usually not protected and degrades rapidly after the death of the organism. The detected protist DNA was most likely from a spore, which is resistant like bacterial and fungal spores.

Eukaryotic sequences of animals, plants or fungi were found only in the urban PM2.5 samples from Munich although the DNA/PM ratio in these samples was smaller than in the rural and high-alpine samples (Table 3). Potential reasons are: (1) The absolute amount of DNA in the urban samples was higher. (2) The urban samples were only six months old when analyzed, whereas the rural and high-alpines samples were 2–3 years old. (3) The sampling season may have played a role especially with regard to plant sequences as discussed in Sect. 3.2.2 Plant sequences. (4) The relative abundance of inhibitors reducing the extraction or amplification efficiency might have been lower in the urban samples (see also 2.6).

3.2.3   Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes in TSP

As outlined above, the TSP samples may have been contaminated by DNA on the sampling materials. Nevertheless, we performed test experiments with these samples and obtained the following results. In the polypropylene tablet blank we found no bacterial PCR product, and the bacterial PCR product from the polypropylene supplier blank could not be cloned. In the TSP samples from Munich we found only six bacterial sequences of *Firmicutes*, which were not found in the PM2.5 samples, except for one rural sample (PM2.5-H-A12). In the TSP samples from Mt. Zugspitze we measured 26 sequences of plant DNA from *Pinaceae*.

EGU

4 Conclusions

In this study we have demonstrated that DNA from bacteria, fungi, plants and animals can be efficiently extracted from different types of atmospheric aerosol filter samples using a soil DNA extraction kit. The investigated samples were up to three years old
5 and included fine and coarse air particulate matter (PM2.5 and TSP) as well as glass fiber, cellulose nitrate, and polypropylene filter materials. Investigations of blank and background samples have shown that filter substrates have to be decontaminated prior to use, and that the sampling and handling procedures have to be carefully controlled to avoid artifacts in molecular analyses of atmospheric aerosol samples.
10 We have combined sequencing and T-RFLP analyses and found the results to be highly complementary. The T-RFLP technique was found to resolve less, but cover more of the bacterial diversity than the sequence analysis. Shannon-Weaver indices calculated from both sequence and T-RFLP data indicate that the bacterial diversity in the rural samples was higher than in the urban and high alpine samples. *Proteobacte-*
15 *ria* were found to be the most abundant group of bacteria in all samples. The measured sequences of fungi, plants, and animals were most likely from spores and pollen, which are known and designed to resist environmental stress and survive atmospheric transport.

References

Amann, R., Ludwig, W., and Schleifer, K.: Phylogenetic Identification and In Situ Detection of Individual Microbial Cells without Cultivation, Microbiol. Rev., 59, 143–169, 1995.

EGU

Andreae, M., Artaxo, P., Brandao, C., Carswell, F., Ciccioli, P., da Costa, A., Culf, A. D., Esteves, J. L., Gash, J. H. C., Grace, J., Kabat, P., Lelieveld, J., Malhi, Y., Manzi, A. O., Meixner, F. X., Nobre, A. D., Ruivo, M. d. L. P., Silva-Dias, M. A., Stefani, P., Valentini, R., von Jouanne, J., and Waterloo, M. J.: Biogeochemical cycling of carbon, water, energy, trace gases, and aerosols in Amazonia: The LBA-EUSTACH experiments, J. Geophys. Res., 107, LBA 33-1–33-25, 2002.

Andreae, M. and Crutzen, P.: Atmospheric Aerosols: Biogeochemical Sources and Role in Atmospheric Chemistry, Science, 276, 1052–1058, 1997.

Artaxo, P.: Size distribution of biogenic aerosol particles from the amazon basin, Atmos. Environ., 29, 393–402, 1995.

Bauer, H., Weinke, G., Schueller, E., Berger, A., Kasper-Giebl, A., Puxbaum, H., Verneylen, R., Claeys, M., and Maenhaut, W.: Contribution of bioaerosols to organic carbon in urban-fringe PM10 aerosols, European Aerosol Conference 2005, 2005.

Boreson, J., Dillner, A. M., and Peccia, J.: Correlating bioaerosol load with PM2.5 and PM10cf concentrations: a comparison between natural desert and urban-fringe aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 38, 6029–6041, 2004.

Brodie, E. L., DeSantis, T. Z., Moberg Parker, J. P., Zubietta, I. X., Piceno, Y. M., and Andersen, G. L.: Urban aerosols harbor diverse and dynamic bacterial populations, PNAS, 104, 299–304, 2007.

Brown, J. K. M. and Hovmoller, M. S.: Aerial Dispersal of Pathogens on the Global and Continental Scales and Its Impact on Plant Disease, Science, 297, 537–541, 2002.

Cox, C. S. and Wathes, C. M.: Bioaerosol Handbook, CRS Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, 1995.

Dingle, A.: Pollen as condensation nuclei, Journal de recherches atmosphériques, 2, 231–237, 1966.

Dunbar, J., Ticknor, L. O., and Kuske, C. R.: Assessment of Microbial Diversity in Four Southwestern United States Soils by 16S rRNA Gene Terminal Restriction Fragment Analysis, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 66, 2943–2950, 2000.

Dusek, U., Frank, G. P., Hildebrandt, L., Curtius, J., Schneider, J., Walter, S., Chand, D., Drewnick, F., Hings, S., Jung, D., Borrmann, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Size matters more than chemistry for cloud-nucleating ability of aerosol particles, Science, 312, 1375–1378, 2006.

Elbert, W., Taylor, P. E., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U.: Contribution of fungi to primary bio-

Molecular genetics of biogenic aerosol particles

V. Després et al.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

◀ | ▶

◀ | ▶

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

genic aerosols in the atmosphere: active discharge of spores, carbohydrates, and inorganic ions by *Asco-* and *Basidiomycota*, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 11 317–11 355, 2006.

Fehrenbach, T.: Analyse von Aminosäuren, Proteinen und Nitroderivaten in atmosphärischen Aerosolen und Straßenstaub, Technische Universität, München, 2006.

5 Fierer, N., Jackson, J. A., Vilgalys, R., and Jackson, R. B.: Assessment of Soil Microbial Community Structure by Use of Taxon-Specific Quantitative PCR Assays, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 71, 4117–4120, 2005.

Franze, T.: Analyse und Reaktivität von Proteinen in atmosphärischen Aerosolen und Entwicklung neuer Immunoassays zur Messung von Nitroproteinen, Technische Universität,
10 München, 2004.

Franze, T., Weller, M. G., Niessner, R., and Pöschl, U.: Protein nitration by polluted air, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 1673–1678, 2005.

Gardes, M. and Bruns, T. D.: ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes – application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts, Mol. Ecol., 2, 113–118, 1993.

15 Garrison, V. H., Shinn, E. A., Foreman, W. T., Griffin, D. W., Holmes, C. W., Kellogg, C. A., Majewski, M. S., Richardson, L. L., Ritchie, K. B., and Smith, G. W.: African and Asian Dust: From Desert Soils to Coral Reefs, BioScience, 53, 469–480, 2003.

Griffin, D. W.: Terrestrial microorganisms at an altitude of 20,000 m in Earth's atmosphere, Aerobiologia, 20, 135–140, 2004a.

20 Griffin, D. W. and Kellogg, C. A.: Dust Storms and Their Impact on Ocean and Human Health: Dust in Earth's Atmosphere, EcoHealth, 1, 284–295, 2004b.

Großkopf, R., Janssen, P. H., and Liesack, W.: Diversity and Structure of the Methanogenic Community in Anoxic Rice Paddy Soil Microcosms as Examined by Cultivation and Direct 16S rRNA Gene Sequence Retrieval, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 64, 960–969, 1998.

25 Hamilton, W. D. and Lenton, T. M.: Spora and Gaia: How microbes fly with their clouds, Ethology Ecology and Evolution, 10, 1–16, 1998.

Hong, S., Bunge, J., Jeon, S.. and Epstein, S.: Predicting microbial species richness, PNAS, 103, 117–122, 2006.

Höss, M., Jaruga, P., Zastawny, T. H., Dizdaroglu, M., and Pääbo, S.: DNA damage and DNA
30 sequence retrieval from ancient tissues, Nucleic Acid Res., 24, 1304–1307, 1996.

Hughes, K. A., McCartney, H. A., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., and Pearce, D. A.: A preliminary study of airborne microbial biodiversity over Peninsular Antarctica, Cell. Mol. Biol., 50, 537–542, 2004.

Molecular genetics of biogenic aerosol particles

V. Després et al.

EGU

Jaenicke, R.: Abundance of Cellular Material and Proteins in the Atmosphere, Science, 308, 73, 2005.

Jaenicke, R., Sprengard-Eichel, C., Matthias-Maser, S., and Reichert, K.: Measurements of Primary Biological Aerosol and the Soluble Fraction of Aerosol in Remote Continental Area of Jungfraujoch, Switzerland, Eurotrac-2 Aerosol Annual Report, 45–49, 2000.

Kemp, P. F. and Aller, J. Y.: Bacterial diversity in aquatic and other environments: what 16S rDNA libraries can tell us, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 47, 161–177, 2004.

Klamer, M. and Hedlund, K.: Fungal diversity in set-aide agricultural soil investigated using terminal-restriction fragment length polymorphism, Soil Biol. Biochem., 36, 983–988, 2004.

Kuske, C. R., Barns, S. M., Grow, C. C., Merrill, L., Dunbar, M. S., and Dunbar, J.: Environmental Survey for Four Pathogenic Bacteria and Closely Related Species Using Phylogenetic and Functional Genes, J. Forensic. Sci., 51, 548–558, 2006.

Lindahl, T.: Instability and decay of the primary structure of DNA, Nature, 362, 709–715, 1993.

Little, D. P. and Barrington, D. S.: Major evolutionary events in the origin and diversification of the fern genus *Polystichum* (Dryopteridaceae), Am. J. Bot., 90, 508–514, 2003.

Liu, W. T., Marsh, T. L., Cheng, H., and Forney, L. J.: Characterization of microbial diversity by determining terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms of genes encoding 16S rDNA, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 63, 4516–4522, 1997.

Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: A review., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 715–737, 2005,
http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/715/2005/.

Lüdemann, H., Arth, I., and Liesack, W.: Spatial Changes in the Bacterial Community Structure along a Vertical Oxygen Gradient in Flooded Paddy Soil Cores, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 66, 754–762, 2000.

Ludwig, W., Strunk, O., Westram, R., Richter, L., Meier, H., Yadhukumar, B., A., Lai, T., Steppi, S., Jobb, G., Förster, W., Brettske, I., Gerber, S., Ginhart, A. W., Gross, O., Grumann, S., Hermann, S., Jost, R., König, A., Liss, T., Lüßmann, R., May, M., Nonhoff, B., Reichel, B., Strehlow, R., Stamatakis, A., Stuckmann, N., Vilbig, A., Lenke, M., Ludwig, T., Bode, A.. and Schleifer, K.: ARB: a software environment for sequence data, Nucleic Acid Res., 32, 1363–1371, 2004.

Lueders, T. and Friedrich, M.: Evaluation of PCR Amplification Bias by Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism Analysis of Small-Subunit rRNA and *mcrA* Genes by Using Defined Template Mixtures of Methanogenic Pure Cultures and Soil DNA Extracts, Appl.

Molecular genetics of biogenic aerosol particles

V. Després et al.

EGU

Environ. Microbiol., 69, 320–326, 2003.

Lukow, T., Dunfield, P. F., and Liesack, W.: Use of the T-RFLP technique to assess spatial and temporal changes in the bacterial community structure within an agricultural soil planted with transgenic and non-transgenic potato plants, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 32, 241–247, 2000.

5 Maron, P., Lejon, D. P. H., Carcalho, E., Bizet, K., Lemanceau, P., Ranjard, L., and Mougel, C.: Assessing genetic structure and diversity of airborne bacterial communities by DNA fingerprinting and 16S rDNA clone library, Atmos. Environ., 39, 3687–3695, 2005.

Matthias-Maser, S. and Jaenicke, R.: Identification and size distribution of biological aerosol particles with radius >0.2μm, in: Nucleation and Atmospheric Aerosols, edited by: Fukuta,
10 N. and Wagner, P. E., A. DEEPAK Publishing, 1992.

McFiggans, G., Artaxo, P., Baltensperger, U., Coe, H., Faccini, M. C., Feingold, G., Fuzzi, S., Gysel, M., Laaksonen, A., Lohmann, U., Mentel, T. F., Murphy, D. M., O'Dowd, C. D., Snider, J. R., and Weingartner, E.: The effect of physical and chemical aerosol properties on warm cloud tropics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2593–2649, 2006,
15 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/2593/2006/.

Noll, M., Matthles, D., Frenzel, P., Derakshan, M., and Liesack, W.: Succession of bacterial community structure and diversity in a paddy soil oxygen gradient, Environ. Microbiol., 7, 392–395, 2004.

Nowoisky, J.: Klonierung und heterologe Expression einer Xanthophyll-Deepoxidase aus der
20 Kieselalge *Phaedactylum triconutum*, Johannes-Gutenberg Universität, Mainz, 2005.

Ovchinnikov, I., Götherström, A., Romanova, G., Kharitonov, V., Liden, K., and Goodwin, W.: Neanderthal DNA: not just old but old and cold, Nature, 410, 772, 2001.

Pääbo, S.: Ancient DNA extraction, characterization, molecular cloning, and enzymatic amplification, PNAS, 86, 1939–1943, 1989.
25 Pääbo, S., Poinar, H., Serre, D., Jaenicke-Després, V., Hebler, J., Rohland, N., Kuch, M., Krause, J., Vigilant, L. and Hofreiter, M.: Genetic Analysis from Ancient DNA, Annu. Rev. Genet., 38, 645–679, 2004.

Pöschl, U.: Atmosphärische Aerosole: Zusammensetzung, Transformation, Klima- und Gesundheitseffekte, Angew. Chem., 117, 7690–7712, 2005a.
30 Pöschl, U.: Atmospheric aerosols: composition, transformation, climate and health effects, Angew/ Chemie, International Edition in English, 44, 7520—7540, 2005b.

Pruppacher, H. R. and Klett, J. D.: Microphysics of clouds and precipitation, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997.

Molecular genetics of biogenic aerosol particles

V. Després et al.

EGU

Radosevich, J. L., Wilson, W. J., Shinn, J. H., DeSantis, T. Z., and Andersen, G. L.: Development of a high-volume aerosol collection system for the identification of air-borne microorganisms, Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 34, 162–167, 2002.

Schauer, C., Niessner, R., and Pöschl, U.: Analysis of nitrated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by liquid chromatography with fluorescence and mass spectrometry detection: air particulate matter, soot, and reaction product studies, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 378, 725–736, 2004.

Schnell, R. G. and Vali, G.: Atmospheric ice nuclei from decomposing vegetation, Nature, 236, 163–165, 1972.

Simoneit, B. R. T. and Mazurek, M. A.: Organic-matter of the troposphere. 2. Natural background of biogenic lipid matter in aerosols over the rural western United-States, Atmos. Environ., 16, 2139–2159, 1982.

Sun, J. and Ariya, P. A.: Atmospheric organic and bio-aerosols as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN): A review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 40, 795–820, 2006,
http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/40/795/2006/.

Taylor, P. E. and Jonsson, H.: Thunderstorm Asthma, Current Allergy and Asthma Reports, 4, 409–413, 2004.

Vilgalys, R. and Hester, M.: Rapid Genetic Identification and Mapping of Enzymatically Amplified Ribosomal DNA from Several *Cryptococcus* Species, J. Bacteriol., 172, 4238–4246, 1990.

Voet, D. and Voet, J. G.: Biochemistry, John Wiley & Sons INC., New York, 1995.

Weisburg, W. G., Barns, S. M., Pelletier, D. A., and Lane, D. J.: 16S Ribosomal DNA Amplification for Phylogenetic Study, J. Bacteriol., 173, 697–703, 1991.

Whiting, M. F., Carpenter, J. C., Wheeler, Q. D. and Wheeler, W. C.: The Stresiptera Problem: Phylogeny of the Holometabolous Insect Orders Inferred from 18S and 28S Ribosomal DNA Sequences and Morphology, Syst. Biol., 46, 1–68, 1997.

Wu, Z., Tsumura, Y., Blomquist, G., and Wang, X.: 18S rRNA Gene Variation among Common Airborne Fungi, and Development of Specific Oligonucleotide Probes for the Detection of Fungal Spores, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 69, 5389–5397, 2003.

EGU

EGU

Table 1. Atmospheric aerosol filter samples and abundance of DNA. The sample ID specifies particle size range (PM2.5, TSP), sampling location (M = Munich, urban; H = Hohenpeissenberg, rural; Z = Zugspitze, high alpine), and filter substrate type (PM2.5: glass fiber filters; TSP-M: polypropylene filters; TSP-Z: cellulose nitrate filters). The sampled air volume is normalized to standard temperature and pressure (STP; 0°C, 1 atm). The mass collected on the filters, corresponding concentrations in the sampled air volume, and mass fractions are given for particulate matter (PM) and DNA.

Sample ID	Sampling period	Air Volume (m^3)	PM mass (mg)	PM conc. ($\mu g/m^3$)	DNA mass (μg)	DNA conc. (ng/m^3)	DNA/PM ($\mu g/mg$)
PM2.5-M-A1	18.02.05–22.02.05	2617.8	61.6	23.5	21.6	9.0	0.35
PM2.5-M-A2	26.02.05–02.03.05	2651.8	62.2	23.4	20.8	8.0	0.33
PM2.5-M-A3	22.03.05–26.03.05	2582.4	64.8	25.1	24.8	9.8	0.39
PM2.5-M-A4	17.05.05–21.05.05	2589.0	25.9	10.0	6.4	2.8	0.25
PM2.5-M-A5	17.05.05				1.6		
PM2.5-H-A10	02.06.04				4.8		
PM2.5-H-A11	02.06.04–07.06.04	3167.8	23.0	7.1	8.8	3.1	0.4
PM2.5-H-A12	17.06.04–22.06.04	3146.2	15.9	5.1	8.8	3.1	0.55
PM2.5-H-A13	16.08.04–21.08.04	3028.8	15.1	5.0	4.8	1.7	0.32
PM2.5-H-A14	31.08.04–05.09.04	3126.4	30.1	9.6	12.0	4.2	0.4
PM2.5-Z-A6	13.09.03–17.09.03	2269.1	4.4	1.9	7.2	3.5	1.65
PM2.5-Z-A7	17.09.03–21.09.03	2243.3	13.0	5.8	6.4	3.5	0.5
PM2.5-Z-A8	21.09.03–25.09.03	2272.0	14.7	6.5	7.2	3.7	0.49
PM2.5-Z-A9	21.09.03				2.4		
TSP-M-2	31.01.05–09.02.05	163789.0	2760.0	16.9	65.2	4.0	
TSP-M-3	31.03.05–08.04.05	136050.0	2880.0	21.2	24.3	1.8	
TSP-M-4	01.06.05–10.06.05	130400.0	2150.0	16.5	25.0	1.9	
TSP-M-5	01.08.05–11.08.05	126621.0	1900.0	15.0	21.4	1.7	
TSP-Z-6	01.06.05–02.06.05	1181			3.6	0.9	
TSP-Z-7	02.06.05–03.06.05	1181			5.8	1.4	
TSP-Z-8	03.06.05–04.06.05	1181			3.6	0.9	
TSP-Z-9	04.06.05–05.06.05	1181			5	1.2	
TSP-Z-10	05.06.05–06.06.05	1181			3.6	0.9	
TSP-Z-11	06.06.05–07.06.05	1181			3.3	0.9	
TSP-Z-12	07.06.05–08.06.05	1181			5.7	1.4	
TSP-Z-13	08.06.05–09.06.05	1181			7.9	1.9	
TSP-Z-14	09.06.05–10.06.05	1181			3.4	0.9	
TSP-Z-15	10.06.05–11.06.05	1181			3.4	0.9	

EGU

Table 2. Primer information. Sequences of the forward and reverse primers are listed with target locations, product lengths, annealing temperatures, and literature references.

Organism	Forward primer	Reverse Primer	Gene	Product length	Annealing temperature	Reference
Bacteria	9/27f: 5' gag ttt gat c(ac)t ggc tca g 3'	1492r: 5' acg g(ct)t acc ttg tta cga ctt 3'	16S (nuclear)	1564 bp	57°C	(Weisburg et al., 1991)
Archaea	109f: 5' ac (gt) gct cag taa cac gt 3'	934r: 5' gtg ctc ccc cgc caa ttc ct 3'	16S (nuclear)	825 bp	52°C	(Großkopf, 1998)
Fungi	5.8s: 5' cgc tgc gtt ctt cat cg 3'	ITSf: 5' tcc gta ggt gaa cct gcg g 3'	ITS (nuclear)	~300 bp	55°C	(Vilgalys and Hester, 1990; Gardes and Bruns, 1993; Fierer et al., 2005)
Plants	F1F: 5' atg tca cca caa aca gaa act aaa gca agt 3'	F1379R: 5' tca caa gca gca gct agt tca gga ctc 3'	rbcL (chloroplast)	1392 bp	57°C	(Little and Barrington, 2003)
Animals	18Sai_f: 5' cct gag aaa cgg cta cca cat c 3'	18Sbi_r: 5' gag tct cgt tcg tta tcg ga 3'	18S (nuclear)	1140 bp	55°C	(Whiting et al., 1997)

Table 3. DNA sequences found in fine particulate matter (PM2.5). For each of the investigated samples (IDs corresponding to Table 1), the clone sequences are listed with the identities and accession numbers of the best-match sequences from the NCBI databank search; with the organism and taxon determined by phylogenetic analysis; and with the PCR primer pair used for DNA amplification (B = Bacteria, A = Animal, F = Fungi; Table 2). For bacteria, the different measured sequences are specified by sequence IDs, and the lengths (number of base pairs, bp) of tentatively assigned terminal-restriction fragments (T-RF) is given.

Sample ID	Best match identity (%)	Best match accession number	Organism	Taxon	Primer	Bacterial sequence ID	T-RF length (bp)
PM2.5-M-A1	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-M-A1	99	AY168595	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S2	158
PM2.5-M-A1	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S3	493
PM2.5-M-A2	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-M-A2	99	AM055711	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S4	494
PM2.5-M-A2	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-M-A2	99	AF530542	Fungi	*Basidiomycete*	A		
PM2.5-M-A2	93	L31841	Animal	*Alveolata*	A		
PM2.5-M-A2	99	U87763	Bacteria	*α-Proteobacteria*	B	S5	152
PM2.5-M-A3	99	AF206895	Plant	*Cucurbita*	A		
PM2.5-M-A3	100	AY642051	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S6	
PM2.5-M-A3	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-M-A3	99	AB108787	Fungi	*Ascomycota*	A		
PM2.5-M-A3	99	X69842	Fungi	*Ascomycota*	A		
PM2.5-M-A3	99	AM055711	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S4	494
PM2.5-M-A3	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-M-A3	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-M-A3	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-M-A4	94	D38246	Plant	*Pinaceae*	F		
PM2.5-M-A4	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-M-A4	100	AY463365	Fungi	*Ascomycota*	F		
PM2.5-M-A4	95	AY156588	Plant	*Bryophyta*	F		
PM2.5-M-A4	98	AY156592	Plant	*Bryophyta*	B		
PM2.5-M-A4	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S7	493
PM2.5-M-A4	99	AM055711	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S8	494
PM2.5-M-A4	99	AY053481	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S9	
PM2.5-M-A4	99	DQ279310	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S10	
PM2.5-M-A5	99	DQ057384	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S11	489
PM2.5-M-A5	99	AF509578	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S12	489
PM2.5-M-A5	99	AF385528	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S13	
PM2.5-M-A5	99	AY168595	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S2	158
PM2.5-M-A5	99	AF509578	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S14	489
PM2.5-M-A5	99	DQ057384	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S15	489

Table 3. Continued.

Sample ID	Best match identity (%)	Best match accession number	Organism	Taxon	Primer	Bacterial sequence ID	T-RF length (bp)
PM2.5-H-A10	99	AY642054	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S16	58
PM2.5-H-A10	99	DQ336995	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S17	
PM2.5-H-A11	99	AB042289	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S18	
PM2.5-H-A11	99	AE017283	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S19	
PM2.5-H-A11	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S20	493
PM2.5-H-A12	99	AF509578	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S13	489
PM2.5-H-A12	99	AY959147	Bacteria	*Firmicutes*	B	S22	71
PM2.5-H-A12	99	AF509578	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S13	489
PM2.5-H-A12	99	AF509578	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S13	489
PM2.5-H-A12	99	AF509578	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S21	489
PM2.5-H-A13	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S23	493
PM2.5-H-A13	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S3	493
PM2.5-H-A14	99	AM055711	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S4	494
PM2.5-H-A14	99	AY168586	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S24	
PM2.5-H-A14	100	AY168586	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S24	
PM2.5-H-A14	99	AM055711	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S25	494
PM2.5-Z-A6	99	D14506	Bacteria	*α-Proteobacteria*	B	S26	
PM2.5-Z-A6	99	AF385528	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S27	
PM2.5-Z-A6	99	AF385528	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S28	
PM2.5-Z-A7	99	AF509579	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S29	
PM2.5-Z-A7	99	AF385528	Bacteria	*β-Proteobacteria*	B	S30	
PM2.5-Z-A7	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-Z-A8	99	AM055711	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S4	
PM2.5-Z-A8	99	AM055711	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S31	494
PM2.5-Z-A8	99	AM055711	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S32	494
PM2.5-Z-A8	100	AY642054	Bacteria	*Actinobacteria*	B	S33	
PM2.5-Z-A8	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S1	493
PM2.5-Z-A8	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S34	493
PM2.5-Z-A9	99	DQ163939	Bacteria	*γ-Proteobacteria*	B	S3	493

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

◄ | ►

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

EGU

Table 4. Diversity indices for different sampling locations. Shannon-Weaver diversity indices (H) and evenness (e) of the data calculated from T-RFLP and sequence data. For the T-RFLP data also the range of the species richness (S, referring to number of T-RF peaks) for every location is given.

	H (seq)	e (seq)	H (T-RFLP)	e (T-RFLP)	S (T-RFLP)
Munich	1.07	1.78	2.35	2.17	4–7
Hohenpeissenberg	1.25	2.08	2.6	2.13	4–7
Zugspitze	1.09	1.82	1.98	2.19	4

Table 5. Relative abundance of bacterial groups in fine particulate matter (PM2.5). Percentage of different bacterial groups found in PM2.5 samples from urban (M = Munich), rural (H = Hohenpeissenberg), and high alpine air (Z = Zugspitze) by sequence analyses (Seq) and T-RFLP investigations.

|	Seq_M (%)	Seq_H (%)	Seq_Z (%)	T-RFLP_M (%)	T-RFLP_H (%)	T-RFLP_Z (%)
Proteobacteria total	84	62	92	92	87	95
Alphaproteobacteria	4	0	7	25	28	17
Betaproteobacteria	21	25	31	35	14	40
Gammaproteobacteria	58	37	54	32	45	38
Actinobacteria	16	32	8	1	3	3
Firmicutes	0	6	0	0	3	0
Unknown				7	7	2

EGU

Fig. 1. DNA vs. PM mass in urban fine particulate matter (PM2.5). Correlation of particle mass and DNA mass found in urban PM2.5 samples (Munich, February–May 2005); correlation coefficient 0.98.

Fig. 2. Distribution of T-RF peaks among filter samples and locations. The x-axis represents the different sampling locations and measured T-RF peak positions (number base pairs, bp). The y-axis specifies the fraction of filter samples on which the T-RF peaks were found at each location. For example, the 125 bp fragment was found in 3 of 5 filters (0.6) from Munich, in 1 of 5 filters from Hohenpeissenberg and in none of Mt. Zugspitze.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU