



**HAL**  
open science

## Impact of 40 years poplar cultivation on soil carbon stocks and greenhouse gas fluxes

C. Ferré, A. Leip, G. Matteucci, F. Previtalli, G. Seufert

► **To cite this version:**

C. Ferré, A. Leip, G. Matteucci, F. Previtalli, G. Seufert. Impact of 40 years poplar cultivation on soil carbon stocks and greenhouse gas fluxes. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 2005, 2 (4), pp.897-931. hal-00297777

**HAL Id: hal-00297777**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00297777>**

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

*Biogeosciences Discussions* is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Biogeosciences*

**Impact of poplar  
cultivation on SOC  
and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.

# Impact of 40 years poplar cultivation on soil carbon stocks and greenhouse gas fluxes

C. Ferré<sup>1</sup>, A. Leip<sup>2</sup>, G. Matteucci<sup>2,3</sup>, F. Previtalli<sup>1</sup>, and G. Seufert<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Ambiente e del Territorio, Università degli Studi di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Joint Research Centre, Ispra (VA), Italy

<sup>3</sup>now at Institute for Mediterranean Agricultural and Forest Systems, National Research Council, Rende (CS), Italy

Received: 1 July 2005 – Accepted: 18 July 2005 – Published: 3 August 2005

Correspondence to: A. Leip (adrian.leip@jrc.it)

© 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

## Abstract

Within the JRC Kyoto Experiment in the Regional Park and UN-Biosphere Reserve “Parco Ticino” (North-Italy, near Pavia), the soil carbon stocks and fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> were measured in a poplar plantation in comparison with a natural meso-hygrophilous deciduous forest nearby, which represents the pristine land cover of the area. Soil fluxes were measured using the static and dynamic closed chamber techniques for CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. We made further a pedological study to relate the spatial variability found with soil parameters.

Annual emission fluxes of N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> and deposition fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> were calculated for the year 2003 for the poplar plantation and compared to those measured at the natural forest site. N<sub>2</sub>O emissions at the poplar plantation were 0.15±0.1 g N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and the difference to the emissions at the natural forest of 0.07±0.06 g N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> are partly due to a period of high emissions after the flooding of the site at the end of 2002. CH<sub>4</sub> consumption at the natural forest was twice as large as at the poplar plantation. In comparison to the relict forest, carbon stocks in the soil under the poplar plantation were depleted by 61% of surface (10 cm) carbon and by 25% down the profile under tillage (45 cm). Soil respiration rates were not significant different at both sites with 1608±1053 and 2200±791 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> at the poplar plantation and natural forest, respectively, indicating that soil organic carbon is much more stable in the natural forest. In terms of the greenhouse gas budget, the non-CO<sub>2</sub> gases contributed minor to the overall soil balance with only 0.9% (N<sub>2</sub>O) and -0.3% (CH<sub>4</sub>) of CO<sub>2</sub>-eq emissions in the natural forest, and 2.7% (N<sub>2</sub>O) and -0.2% of CO<sub>2</sub>-eq. emissions in the poplar plantation.

The very high spatial variability of soil fluxes within the two sites was related to the morphology of the floodplain area, which was formed by the historic course of the Ticino river and led to a small-scale (tenth of meters) variability in soil texture and to small-scale differences in elevation. Differences of site conditions are reflected by differences of inundation patterns, ecosystem productivity, CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emission rates, and soil

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

contents of carbon and nitrogen. Additional variability was observed during a flooding event and after fertilisation at the poplar site. Despite of this variability, the two sites are comparable as both originate from alluvial deposits.

The study shows that changes in soil carbon stocks and related fertility are the most visible phenomena after 40 years of land use change from a pristine forest to a fast growing poplar plantation. Therefore, the conservation and careful management of existing carbon stocks deserves highest priority in the context of the Kyoto Protocol.

## 1. Introduction

Efforts to combat Climate Change has high priority on the agenda of the European Union, and synergies with other policies, such as the sustainable development strategy are among the main preconditions of achieving an environmentally integer development path at minimum cost (Commission of the European Communities, 2005). Minimizing terrestrial carbon losses will be an important pillar in this context. Stimulating measures to preserve or enhance terrestrial C stocks would retard the increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and may be therefore gain some time during which other measures can take effect (Janssens, 2003). Trade-off's between the sinks and sources of biogenic greenhouse gases from different land use types has become a major issue in the context of the Kyoto Protocol and the Marrakech Accords.

According to a recent paper of Janssens et al. (2005), forests and grasslands are a net sink, whereas croplands are a major source of carbon in all European countries. Hence, the overall terrestrial carbon balance of a country is mainly controlled by its mix of land uses; land use changes and changes in management of lands can easily change the terrestrial carbon balance of a country to turn from a sink into a source and vice versa. In a comparison of annual CO<sub>2</sub> exchanges in different forest and agricultural land uses in Germany, Anthoni et al. (2004) observed large sinks for a managed and a unmanaged beech forests, a young spruce plantation was near carbon neutral due to high respiration; the agricultural area, when taking into account harvest,

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

### Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

was a small or large carbon source, depending on crop type and the management of crop residues and organic amendments. For agricultural soils, various management options to increase carbon stocks have been proposed (Freibauer et al., 2004; Smith, 2004) including the use of no-till systems, increased applications of animal manure, and the incorporation of crop residues into the soil. However, a modelling exercise comparing the implementation of these techniques in the United States, Germany, and China with baseline simulations has shown that for all options a significant reduction or even inversion of the gains in terms of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalents might be caused by increased nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions (Li et al., 2005). Likewise, studies in grasslands soils showed those carbon uptake rates are partly offset by emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O (Soussana et al., 2004). Only few studies, however, has yet been undertaken on forest or agroforestry sites (Albrecht and Kandji, 2003).

Most efforts for the contribution of forest ecosystems to greenhouse gas emission reductions are focusing on the enhancement of their carbon sink strength; the effects of typical land-use changes on the overall balance of GHG are not yet properly considered. The JRC Kyoto experiment aims at filling this gap for a series of the most relevant land uses in the Lombardy Region in Italy. Starting from a pristine floodplain forest, it compares different land uses (e.g. high stand poplar plantation, short rotation poplar plantation for bioenergy use, rice and maize cultivation) with regard to the stocks of biomass and carbon, and with regard to fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>. We present here results of the measurements of CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from soils performed in 2002/2003 in a poplar plantation in comparison with the natural mesohygrophilous deciduous forest nearby, which represents the pristine land cover of the area. Based on a pedological characterization, we will highlight on a few aspects that are relevant to understand the complexity of processes leading to high variability of the greenhouse gas fluxes, both spatially and temporally. Finally, we will highlight some clear trends showing the impact of the transformation of a pristine forest into an agroforestry land-use that occurred some 40 years ago.

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Study site

The study area is located about 10 km north-west of the city of Pavia within the “Parco Regionale del Ticino”, Italy. It is represented by an intensive poplar plantation and a relict of a pristine floodplain forest, the “Bosco Siro Negri” at about 2 km distance (see Fig. 1). The poplar site is part of the Carboeurope network with the flux tower situated in the middle of a 120 ha even-aged plantation (Clone I-214 *Populus x Euroamericana Populus hybrida*). It is part of a larger area of poplar plantations “Bosco di Mezzanone” that was covered by forests of the Bosco Siro Negri type until 40 years ago. Most part of this area is now under 3–4 generations of poplar with turnover times of 9–12 years. After each logging of poplar, the residues and stumps are removed to allow ploughing 40–50 cm deep and insertion of 4–5 m long shoots for 150–200 cm into the ground. Poplar management includes regular fertilisation and removal of ground vegetation, irrigation is normally applied on demand.

Both natural forest and poplar plantation (in the following referred to as NF and PP site, respectively) are within the boundary of a river arm and its embankment that protects the area outside (mainly rice fields) from inundations by the Ticino river (see Fig. 1). The 14 years old poplar stand was harvested in spring 2005. The relict of pristine forest is about 200 years old and was not managed for at least 70 years. It is made up of some 15 tree species with dominant Common Oak and co-dominant Elm, Black Alder, White Poplar, White Willow and Locust. The canopy of 40 m height is not suited for eddy flux measurements due to its heterogeneity and limited extension of 12 ha. The climate of the site is characterised by the long term meteorological station at Pavia as temperate continental with average rainfall of 984 mm and temperature of 12.7°C. Precipitation in 2003 was significantly lower (545 mm) than the long-term average, with strong precipitation events in November (165 mm) and December (96 mm). During 2002, instead, precipitation was over average (1020 mm) with peak event of

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

---

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**EGU**

135 mm in May and 228 mm in November.

## 2.2. Flux measurements

At both sites, measurements of soil respiration were performed along two transects (see Fig. 1). In the poplar stand, the transect pointed to the NW and SW directions starting from the eddy flux tower base. Based on detailed mapping of soils and of tree height and diameter at breast height (DBH), the transects were selected to follow the gradient of growth and site conditions from the area around the tower (trees up to 28 m high, BHD up to 40 cm, dominant sandy texture) to the end points of the transects with trees not higher than 15 m, BHD 25 cm, dominant coarse texture. Each transect was made by 5 measuring points (collars) spaced at 20 m one from each other. In the natural forest, the two perpendicular transects, forming an L-shape, were installed in order to sample the large variability of the forest with a total of 15 measuring points. N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements were done at the end points of the two transects (four chambers) and using only one of the transects in the natural forest as a preliminary study showed that it represented the whole range of conditions encountered. The analysis presented in this paper is limited to those CO<sub>2</sub> respiration plots which were used also for N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements, and where the pedological study was undertaken. As the numbering of the plots is done sequentially we therefore present results from plot #1 and #5 (endpoint of the SW pointing transect with plot #1 being close to the tower) and #6 and #10 (endpoint of the NW pointing transect with plot #6 being close to the tower) in the poplar plantation and with plots #19, #16, #22 and #24 laying along the second transect in the natural forest.

### 2.2.1. N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> soil fluxes

For the measurement of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> soil fluxes we used cylindrical chambers of 40 cm diameter which were covered by a Perspex lid and laterally by Teflon foil to ensure realistic light conditions within the chambers. Even though the microbial processes,

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

---

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**EGU**

which are responsible for production and consumption of  $N_2O$ , are not directly affected by light (e.g. Horrigan et al., 1981), this was regarded important in view of the effect of light on the respiratory activity of soil microorganism, which may have secondary effects on  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes. Mixing of the air within the chambers was ensured by large slowly rotating impellers. Turbulent flow conditions were established in the chambers with four baffles inside the chamber walls.

For sampling, the chambers were tightly set on permanently installed stainless steel frames. As the flux rates observed were in most cases low, we left the chambers closed for 1.5 h taking a gas sample immediately after closing of the chamber, and after 30, 60, and 90 min. Unless we observed a zero flux rate, only the measurements with linearity of  $R^2$  better than 0.65 were used. With this criterion, we allowed a higher degree of non-linearity than used for example by Czepiel et al. (1996), Zongliang et al. (1994) or Hellmann (1993) under usually conditions of higher flux rates.

Sampling was done by using previously flushed and evacuated glass vials connected to the chamber air with the help of a needle inserted through a rubber stopper in the chamber lid. The quality of the vacuum of the vials is the most critical analytical step and care has to be taken to ensure reproducible quality of the vacuum (Lofffield et al., 1997). We used a automated procedure based on the system developed by Leip (2000). Leip (2000) carried out detailed tests on the uncertainty introduced by the quality of the vacuum as a function of evacuation procedure and quality of the rubber stopper (CHROMATOCOL) and found an upper limit of 3%, being in most cases  $\leq 1.5\%$ . In this study, we used a conservative estimate of 3%.

Analysis of the gas samples was done with a Shimadzu GC 14B gas chromatograph provided with a 6-port valve to interface with the injection system and a Porapak Q column ( $2\text{ m} \times 1/8'' \times 2\text{ mm}$  SS, 80/100 mesh, CHROMPACK). Gases were detected with an ECD ( $N_2O$ ) and a FID ( $CH_4$ ) (both Shimadzu), mounted in series. The gas chromatographic peaks were evaluated with the software Chrom-Card (CE Instruments, UK). Calibration was done by means of a standard mixture of  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  in nitrogen, which has been calibrated with a standard mixture (SCOTT SPECIALITY GASES)

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion



using tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (Restivo, 1999).

For injection of the gas samples a self-built automatic system for automatic injection of up to 163 gas samples, temperature and pressure close to the sample loop was measured (HONEYWELL) prior to transfer of the sample on the gas chromatographic column. As the system is tight, this information was used to calculate the mass of gas sampled in the vial. During the closure time of a flux chamber, changes in temperature or changes of air humidity lead to an additional, “artificial”, change in the mixing ratio of the gas; measured changes in the observed mixing ratio must be corrected for this effect (e.g. Schmidt et al., 1988). By ensuring that equilibrium between the conditions in the chamber and vial were established during field sampling, we were able to correct for this effect using the information obtained during the analyses (for details see Leip, 2000).

### 2.2.2. CO<sub>2</sub> soil fluxes

CO<sub>2</sub> soil fluxes were measured with a portable infrared gas analyser equipped with a chamber based on the principle of closed dynamic systems. The EGM-3 (PP-Systems, Hitchin, UK) is a portable infrared gas analyser connected to the SRC-1 CO<sub>2</sub> soil fluxes chamber and to the STP-1 sensor for soil temperature (both from PP-Systems, Hitchin, UK). The chamber is cylindrical with a diameter of 10 cm, base area of 78.5 cm<sup>2</sup>, and a volume of 1170 cm<sup>3</sup>. A small fan in the chamber ensures air mixing; negative effects to the soil-to-air boundary layer are prevented by a flow-breaking stainless-steel grid (cell size approx. 4 mm<sup>2</sup>) installed below the fan. The permanently installed collars were custom-made from stainless-steel (inner diameter 10 cm; height 6.5 cm) with four rods of 20 cm length and 3 mm diameter helping to fix the collar tidily into the soil. The factory-made chamber was slightly modified and an o-ring was added to the base in order to improve its sealing with the collars.

The collars were installed at the beginning of the experiment and measurements started 15 to 30 days after collars installation. After the insertion, the collars remained in place for all the duration of the experiment. CO<sub>2</sub> soil fluxes were measured the

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**EGU**

same day at both stands every 15 to 30 days, depending on the time of the year. At each sampling point, a single measurement was performed and, for each stand, the measurements from all collars were averaged to give soil flux rate. Time by time, variability of CO<sub>2</sub> soil flux was checked by performing three subsequent measurements over single collars resulting in very limited changes (within 3 to 5% of the average value).

### 2.3. Soil characterization

#### 2.3.1. Soil profile

A total of eight soil profiles of about 60 cm depth were opened near the plots for N<sub>2</sub>O flux measurements for soil description and sampling. Soil bulk density was determined on dry (105°C, 24 h) undisturbed core samples of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>. Soil moisture content was determined gravimetrically for each plot on a bulk sample of three soil cores of 5 cm depth and converted to water filled pore space (WFPS).

#### 2.3.2. Soil chemical analysis

For chemical analyses, soil samples were air-dried and sieved (2 mm mesh). Soil pH was determined potentiometrically in a soil-to-solution (water and KCl 1N) ratio of 1:2.5 (Jackson, 1958). Organic carbon was measured using the method of Walkley and Black (1934) and total N was determined colorimetrically following Kjeldhal digestion (Kjeldahl, 1883). Further we measured soil texture (method of sedimentation), cation exchange capacity (Cecconi and Polesello, 1956) and base saturation. On organic samples (O<sub>l</sub> and O<sub>f</sub> horizons) we measured pH (water), organic carbon, total nitrogen and C/N.

---

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## 2.4. Ancillary measurements

Concurrently with soil fluxes, soil temperature and soil water content were measured at all sites. Soil temperature (Ts) was determined with the built-in temperature probes of the analysers, usually at a depth of 5 cm, while soil water content was measured with a portable TDR system (IMKO Micromodultechnik, GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany) using a 12 cm long trifurcated probe. At both sites, together with other parameters, a weather station measured continuously (1 min, 30 min averages) soil heat flux, soil temperature profiles (5, 25, 45 cm depths) and soil water content in the first 20 cm.

## 2.5. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica (version 6). Data were interpreted using principal component analyses (PCA). Annual emission ( $N_2O$  and  $CO_2$ ) and consumption ( $CH_4$ ) rates were determined by integrating the curve obtained by interpolation of the flux rates (shape-preserving interpolation, Matlab version 6.5).

# 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Overview of soil fluxes

Throughout the study period all plots at both the Natural Forest site and at the Poplar Plantation site were a source for nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide and a sink for methane. PP site is indicated by a dot.

Figure 2 shows an overview of the monthly averaged fluxes measured from April 2002 through December 2003. Due to problems with the gas chromatographic analyzer for methane in the first months, statistical analyses will be done on the measurements carried out in 2003.

With the exception of some  $N_2O$  emission events observed at the PP site during spring/early summer, the fluxes measured at the PP and the NF site were not sig-

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

nificantly different, as shown in Fig. 2a. However, within each site the fluxes were characterized by a large spatial variability as discussed in the following chapter. The distribution of the flux rates measured at the two sites was highly skewed with a ratio of approximately 40:20:10:5 for flux rates in the range of 0–2.5, 2.5–5, 5–7.5, and 7.5–10  $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ , respectively, at both sites. This is a common feature in studies on  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes (e.g. Flessa et al., 1995; Smith et al., 1994). At the PP site, 9% of the flux rates, were 70  $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  or higher, whereby at the NF site such flux rates were measured only at a few occasions in spring 2002 and early 2003. The highest fluxes have been measured in May 2002 at both sites. This is not surprising for the PP site, as on 10 May, the only application of fertilizer (300  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  urea) occurred causing a pulse of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions that lasted several months with flux rates between  $65 \pm 35$  and  $398 \pm 113 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  observed at the PP site on 16 May 2002, which decreased during the following months to  $41 \pm 7$  to  $108 \pm 8 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  on 15 July 2002. The highest flux rate registered in July 2003 amounted to  $10.8 \pm 5.6 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ . But emission rates were also high at the NF site in spring 2002. Here, the explanation must rely on environmental factors. Heavy precipitation events of 75 mm rain in mid April and of 120 mm rain in the week before the measurements started, combined with already warm soil temperature of about  $15^\circ\text{C}$  caused ideal conditions for springtime  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions.

$\text{CH}_4$  consumption rates varied between values of less than  $-10 \mu\text{g CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  to high  $\text{CH}_4$  oxidation rates of more than  $-100 \mu\text{g CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  at the PP and NF sites. Generally somewhat higher consumption rates occurred at the NF site: the highest  $\text{CH}_4$  consumption at this site was  $-156 \pm 24 \mu\text{g CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ , while at the PP site no  $\text{CH}_4$  oxidation higher than  $67 \pm 28 \mu\text{g CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  was measured. Very high standard deviations for the NF site are reported in Fig. 2b. These stem largely from the  $\text{CH}_4$  oxidation rates measured at a single plot, which were significantly higher than those measured at the other plots. This will be further discussed below. Consumption of  $\text{CH}_4$  in aerobic soils is a common process and can be performed by methanotrophic bacteria which can grow on  $\text{CH}_4$  as sole energy source and ammonium oxidizing nitri-

fiers wich can co-oxidize CH<sub>4</sub> (e.g. Bender and Conrad, 1992; Knowles, 1993). Due to competition between ammonium and methane, inhibition of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation rates at high nitrogen input levels have been observed (see Mosier and Schimel, 1991; Nesbit and Breitenbeck, 1992). However, other studies report of no effect of ammonium on the rate with which methane is being consumed by the soil suggesting an inhibitory effect of nitrate (Reay and Nedwell, 2004). The reduced rates of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation measured at the PP site compared to the NF site, however, cannot unequivocally be attributed to the higher nitrogen load by fertilization as other differences as the higher soil compaction (1.08±0.24 and 0.84±0.23 cm<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> for the first 10 cm of mineral soil at the PP and NF sites, respectively) are also known to reduce CH<sub>4</sub> consumption rates (e.g. Teepe et al., 2004).

Some authors report of strong correlations between soil temperature and CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation rates with subsequently pronounced seasonal variations (e.g. Reay et al., 2005; Steinkamp et al., 2001). No consistent effect of soil temperature has been observed in our study. CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation is characterized by a relatively low activation energy which buffers the effect of temperature (Mosier et al., 2004) suggesting that the consumption of CH<sub>4</sub> in forests soils is limited by diffusion rather than by enzymatic processes as is the case for CO<sub>2</sub> respiration fluxes (King and Adamsen, 1992). Across the field sites of the NOFRETETE project, the type of litter layers found in deciduous forests has been suggested to be one of the factors explaining the lower importance of nitrification and NO emissions in deciduous compared to the coniferous forests.

A compilation by Bradford et al. (2001) gives mean CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation rates for different forest soils of 77±14 or 63±13 g CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for a sampling period ≤364 days or >364 days, respectively. The CH<sub>4</sub> consumption rates measured in our study are at the lower end of this range.

In contrast to CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, the variability in soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in 2002 was explained by 58% by soil temperature at 5 cm (see Fig. 2c) in consistency with other studies (e.g. Fang and Moncrieff, 2001; Longdoz et al., 2000; Reichstein et al., 2002). This is indicated in Fig. 2d, showing mean soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux rates and soil temperature.

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

However, during summer 2003, soil temperature explained much less of the variability of soil respiration rates (29%). Also the direct effect of soil moisture, which is believed to affect heterotrophic respiratory activity at very low values only (Fang and Moncrieff, 2001; Longdoz et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2003), which was the case in summer 2003, cannot fully explain the difference. Schindlbacher et al. (2004) compared the controlling factors for nitrogen oxides emissions from different European forest soils studied in the frame of the NOFRETETE project; they found the lowest optimum for NO fluxes (15% WFPS) for the soil taken at the NF site and conclude that this soil is well adapted to drought stress.

Other studies report a close relationship between forest productivity and respiratory activity in the soil (Janssens et al., 2001; Valentini et al., 2000) due to the coupling of autotrophic root respiration to photosynthetic activity of leaves. For the poplar site it could be observed (Meroni, 2005) that net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of CO<sub>2</sub> as measured by the flux tower was 37% lower in summer 2003 compared to 2002. Thus, the decline in respiratory activity must indirectly be linked to the drought situation, even though the relative contribution of autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration could not be quantified.

Anyhow, in comparison of summer 2002 and 2003, the slight reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from soil in the range of 10% was more than compensated by the substantial reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the canopy, resulting in the overall difference in NEE of 37%.

### 3.2. Spatial variability of site conditions and fluxes

The results of the pedological study are shown in Table 1. The soils at two investigated sites were classified as Regosols according to the nomenclature of the World Reference Base for Soil Resources (FAO et al., 1998). These soils show a low pedogenic development, have a prevalently silty-sandy texture, and belong to the soil subunits Humic, Arenic, Dystric and Eutric.

High spatial variability is commonly found in N<sub>2</sub>O flux studies and is usually higher

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

in soils where nitrous oxide is produced predominantly by denitrifiers (e.g. Ambus and Christensen, 1994; Parkin, 1990). Denitrification is a form of anaerobic respiration in bacteria which couples the stepwise reduction of nitrate oxides to electron transport with the intermediate products nitrite, nitric oxide, and nitrous oxide (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). It needs therefore the presence of anaerobic microsites, which are formed if the supply of oxygen is inadequate to meet the respiratory demand, either by the presence of water in the soil pore system (due to the low diffusivity of oxygen in water) or by high oxygen consuming process rates being faster than the delivery of oxygen, or both (e.g. Arah and Smith, 1989; Granli and Bøckman, 1994). Nitrification, the biological oxidation of ammonium to nitrite and nitrate is an aerobic process and has also been described as a possible source for nitrous oxide (e.g. Bremner and Blackmer, 1981; Goodroad and Keeney, 1984; Klemmedtsson et al., 1988). Both processes are linked by the nitrate pool of the soil (Russow et al., 2000).

In the present study, spatial variability is caused not only by a random distribution of soil moisture and organic substrate, but also by larger scale (tens of meters) variability in soil conditions; these are caused by the pedologic history of the site with old arms of the River Ticino giving rise to very different conditions of some plots and for a certain period, at both the NF and the PP site. This will be discussed more in detail below.

### 3.2.1. Spatial variability at the poplar plantation

At the PP site, we observed large differences in soil characteristics with the soil at plot #10 on one hand being characterised by a coarser texture and lower organic carbon and nitrogen content than the soil at plots #6 and #1 on the other hand. The soil at plot #5 showed intermediate characteristics. The moisture content measured in the period 2002–2003 was low for the sandy soil at plot 10 and ranged between 3 and 40% WFPS, while higher moisture content was obtained for soils at plots #1 and #6, ranging between 16 and 57%.

The flux rates of the two soil types are shown in Fig. 3. Emissions were greatest in the finer textured soils at plots #1 and #6, where between May 2002 and December

2003 the measured flux rates of N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> were 0.52 g N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> and 6.2 kg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. During the same period the flux rates at plot #10 were 0.27 g N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> and 2.5 kg CO<sub>2</sub>m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively.

The higher flux rates of N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> were particularly evident at two occasions, i.e. after the input of fertilizer in April 2002 and after the flooding event, which occurred in November/December 2002 and flooded the whole PP site by about 1 m for several weeks (Furlanetto, 2003). In both cases, significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher emissions were found at the finer textured soils. For example, maximum flux rates at plots #1 and #6 after fertilizer input were  $280 \pm 89 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  at moisture content of 51% WFPS with corresponding maximum flux rates of  $89 \pm 34 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  at plot #10 with only 37% WFPS. The flooding event enhanced flux rates clearly at plots #1 and #6, while no effect was visible for the Dystric-Arenic Regosol at plot #10. Drying/wetting cycles are known to stimulate nitrogen mineralization and to enhance N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by reducing the competition between plant and microbe for N, and thereby potentially increase emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O (e.g. Mamilov and Dilly, 2002; Skiba and Smith, 2000). While in most cases the emission pulses are observed when dried soils is being rewetted, in our case the wet period acted as stressor.

We assign the difference in the reaction between the two investigated soil types to the largely differing productivity (Janssens et al., 2001; Raich et al., 2002; Valentini et al., 2000). Smith et al. (2003), which is mirrored at the site by substantial differences in height and BHD of the poplar clone (Meroni, 2005) and is also reflected in the lower content of organic carbon. Another major impact of the different soil textures on N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes might be exerted via the effect on soil water retention (McTaggart et al., 2002) and soil moisture. The importance of soil moisture in determining N<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and NO emissions was reported in several studies (Dilustro et al., 2005; Dobbie and Smith, 2001; Linn and Doran, 1984; Reichstein, 2003; Schindlbacher et al., 2004; Weitz et al., 2001).

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



### 3.2.2. Spatial variability at the natural forest site

Irregular morphology of natural forest is the consequence of both the historical changes of the course of the river and periodical flood events. As for the PP site, the flooding event at the end of 2002 gave the opportunity to study in detail variability of parameters relevant for gas exchange processes. In contrast to the PP site, only one plot (plot #19) was affected by the flooding event of November 2002. As these events are occurring with irregular time steps (years to decades) in the Ticino Park (Furlanetto, 2003), we can assume that plot #19, located at lower elevation, is stronger influenced by these events than the other investigated field plots.

As a consequence, the soil at plot #19 shows higher organic carbon and total nitrogen contents, a finer soil texture and a higher surface pH than the other plots (see Table 1). Also, following 2002 flooding event, this plot exhibited significant higher N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates (Fig. 4a) than the other plots at the NF site. Enhanced N<sub>2</sub>O flux rates were maintained also during spring 2003. At the same time, we observed higher CH<sub>4</sub> consumption rates at the plot #19 (Fig. 4b).

We attribute both effects to an increased bioactivity which affected soil aeration. This led to a more rapid incorporation in the upper mineral soil of the organic material accumulated during previous autumn and in consequence to higher N<sub>2</sub>O emissions compared with the other soils (Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999). For CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, the primary control is usually considered to be the rate of gas diffusion within the topsoil (Born et al., 1990; Dörr et al., 1993) which is controlled by both the physical structure and moisture content of the soil. The presence of macropores, such as worm channels, increased gas diffusivity.

Soil respiration fluxes were much less affected by the flooding event; emissions measured at plot 19 were only slightly enhanced between February and May 2003. This was reversed on 16 April 2003, when exceptionally high CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were measured – for yet unclear reasons – on two plots which have not been affected by the flooding even (Fig. 4c).

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**EGU**

### 3.3. Land use change

A principal component analysis (PCA, Jolliffe, 2002) was carried out comparing the investigated soil plots at both sites respective to their soil properties and flux rates. Each principal component (PC) is generated as a linear combination of the original variables and combines variables that are correlated internally but mostly independent of other variables. The PCs successively are able to explain the majority of the total variation in the dataset. As shown in Fig. 5, 79% of the total variance was explained by the first two factors. The results suggest that positive soil respiration rates were affected by soil texture. Clay and silt contents correlated positively with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions while sandy fractions were inversely correlated with soil respiration. The PCA confirmed also the positive interaction of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation with water filled pore space (Del Grosso et al., 2000) and a negative correlation with bulk density. As a consequence, higher CH<sub>4</sub> consumption was observed at NF sites compared with PP site and highest N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes at plots #1 and #6 of the PP site.

With the exception of plot #10, which was recognized as an outlier in the analysis due to its high sand content, the soils at the PP site showed higher N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and lower nitrogen and organic carbon contents than the soils at the NF site. Despite the fact that surface carbon stocks in the natural forest ( $4.0 \pm 0.6 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ ) are much higher than at the poplar plantation ( $1.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ ), no significant difference in respiration rates at  $p < 0.05$  was found between the two sites. This means that specific respiration is higher at the PP site (see Fig. 5a), which can be attributed to the difference in the C:N ratio ( $14.7 \pm 1.7$  and  $12.5$  at the NF and PP sites, respectively) as a result of carbon depletion and management (Tisdall and Oades, 1982). The PCA resulted in positive correlation between specific soil respiration rate and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. Highest C:N ratio occurred at plot #24 (NF site) and is indicative of a low turnover rate of organic material. At this site, the lowest N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes have been measured.

A humus layer was found only at the NF site. The litter was predominantly composed by leaves of *Quercus robur* and, to a smaller extent by *Acer campestre*, *Corylus avel-*

---

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

*iana* and *Robinia pseudoacacia*. The humus layer was characterized by a C:N ratio of 23, which decreased during litter decomposition and incorporation of the material into the mineral soil stabilizing on characteristic values (Cortez et al., 1996). At the poplar plantation we observed the loss of the humus layer and the depletion of organic carbon in the first 10 cm of the mineral soil (Fig. 6). Higher soil organic carbon content, however, was observed in deeper soil layers (20–45 cm) resulting in a difference of total organic carbon content (0–45 cm of mineral soil, which is the lower boundary of soil tillage at the PP site) of  $1.14 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ . A soil stratification ratio, expressed as a ratio of soil C or N content at a deeper and an upper soil layer, respectively, has been proposed as an indicator for soil quality. Higher ratios correspond to higher soil quality and ratios  $>2$  would be uncommon under degraded conditions (Franzluebbers, 2002). Indeed, from organic carbon at 0–10 cm divided by that at 10–20 cm we calculated soil stratification values of 1.6 at the PP site and 3.1 at the NF site.

Considering the carbon content of the humus layer at natural forest site ( $0.19 \pm 0.06 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ ), we observed a decrease of 61% of surface carbon (up to 10 cm depth) and a decrease of 25% of carbon content down the profile to 45 cm. This is in line with several studies (Guo and Gifford, 2002; Johnson, 1992; Tiessen et al., 1994; Turner and Lambert, 2000) which have demonstrated that land use changes like the one from forest to crop land lead to soil disturbance and consequently to loss of organic carbon in soil.

### 3.4. Overall GHG budget

Both  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  soil fluxes can be considered as negligible in terms of emissions of greenhouse gas equivalents. Table 2 shows that at the NF site, emissions of  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  contributed to global warming emissions (defined here as the annual emission flux times the global warming potential on a 100 years time horizon as defined in Houghton et al., 2003) of only 0.9% at the soil surface and  $\text{CH}_4$  fluxes contributed with  $-0.3\%$  leaving 99.4% of the fluxes of  $\text{CO}_2$  equivalents to  $\text{CO}_2$  itself. The picture changes slightly when looking at the PP site: here, the contributions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  were

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

2.7%, -0.2% and 97.6%, respectively. Meroni (2005) measured a net ecosystem exchange of  $-1918 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$  at the PP site, thus detecting a significant carbon sink. Looking at the greenhouse gas balance at canopy, the impact of non-CO<sub>2</sub> greenhouse gases at the PP site would become therefore -2.3% and 0.2% of the net ecosystem exchange for N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>, respectively.

#### 4. Conclusions

We studied two different forms of land use at a site which up to 40 years ago was covered by a natural forest as now represented by one of our field sites. The other has been converted into a poplar plantation. We observed a significant degradation of the soil under the poplar trees with a decrease of 61% of surface (10 cm) carbon and a decrease of 25% of carbon down the profile (45 cm). Spatial variability was very high at both sites and could be assigned to a large degree to the morphological situation formed by historical course of the Ticino River. Some events occurring during the study period have evidenced the impact of this spatial variability, i. e., the application of fertiliser at the Poplar plantation and a flooding period lasting for several weeks. They showed that differences in soil texture, via the impact on water / nutrient availability and ecosystem productivity, largely affect the exchange of greenhouse gases between the soil and the atmosphere. It is to expect that a significant part of nitrogen fertiliser applied at the sandy plot was lost to the hydrologic system. N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were strongly correlated to the specific respiration rate of the soil, which was enhanced at the Poplar site respective to the conditions in the natural forest.

Still, in terms of greenhouse gas budget, the non-CO<sub>2</sub> gases contributed only minor to the overall balance with only 0.9% and -0.3% of CO<sub>2</sub>-eq. emissions as N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>, respectively, at the soil surface of the natural forest, and 2.7% and -0.2% of CO<sub>2</sub>-eq. emissions at the soil surface in the poplar plantation. This again points towards the degradation of the soil under tillage for poplar cultivation. We expect that, considering the whole cultivation cycle, the decrease in carbon stocks at this site will be

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

aggravated by the clear-cut, removal of stumps and deep ploughing before replanting in 2005. In this context it is important to mention that the 14 years old poplar stand represents a conservative case study due to its reduced management. Under similar site conditions of the neighbouring Bosco Mezzanone, a comparable stock of poplar biomass is normally obtained within 8–10 years through regular fertilisation, tillage of ground vegetation and, most important, regular irrigation during dry periods. Short rotation cycles are known to decline soil carbon contents (e.g. Harrison et al., 1995; Turner and Lambert, 2000).

Nevertheless, our study provides another proof for the “slow in – fast out” concept of asymmetry between carbon gains and losses due to land use changes (Koerner, 2003; e.g. Thuille et al., 2000). Additionally, enhanced denitrification rates will increase N<sub>2</sub>O emission fluxes (e.g. Skiba and Smith, 2000). When planting fast growing trees with the purpose of carbon sequestration, the overall impact on the full budget of greenhouse gases depends very much on the preceding land cover, e.g. if one starts from a forest or a maize field, on the type of management, and on the full life cycle of the plantation. In any case, the conservation and careful management of existing carbon stocks deserves highest priority.

*Acknowledgements.* This work was partly funded by European commission, DG Research, through the NOFRETETE project EVK2-CT2001-00106. We received substantial support by the owner and site manager of the poplar site, Dott. Cova-Minotti and Geometra F. Zanoli, and by the responsible for the Ticino Park and Bosco Siro Negri, M. Furlanetto and F. Sartori, respectively.

## References

- Albrecht, A. and Kandji, S. T.: Carbon sequestration in tropical agroforestry systems, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 99, 15–27, 2003.
- Ambus, P. and Christensen, S.: Measurement of N<sub>2</sub>O emission from a fertilized grassland: An analysis of spatial variability, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 16 549–16 555, 1994.

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Anthoni, P. M., Knohl, A., Rebmann, C., Freibauer, A., Mund, M., Ziegler, W., Kolle, O., and Schulze, E.-D.: Forest and agricultural land-use-dependent CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in Thuringia, Germany, *Global Change Biol*, 10, 2005–2019, 2004.
- Arah, J. R. M. and Smith, K. A.: Steady-state denitrification in aggregated soils: a mathematical model, *J. Soil Sci.*, 40, 139–149, 1989.
- Bender, M. and Conrad, R.: Kinetics of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation in oxic soils exposed to ambient air or high CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 101, 261–270, 1992.
- Born, M., Dörr, H., and Levin, I.: Methane consumption in aerated soils of the temperate zone, *Tellus*, 42B, 2–8, 1990.
- Bradford, M. A., Wookey, P. A., Ineson, P., and Lappin-Scott, H. M.: Controlling factors and effects of chronic nitrogen and sulphur deposition on methane oxidation in a temperate forest soil, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 93–102, 2001.
- Bremner, J. M. and Blackmer, A. M.: Natural and fertilizer-induced emissions of nitrous oxide from soils, *Proceedings of Annual Meeting Air Pollution Control Association*, June 1980, Montreal, Canada, Washington, DC: Natl. Sci. Found, 1–5, 1–13, 1981.
- Cecconi, S. and Polesello, A.: *Annali sperimentazione agraria X*, 127, 1956.
- Commission of the European Communities: *Winning the Battle Against Global Climate Change*, Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM(2005) 35 final, 2005.
- Cortez, J., Demard, J. M., Bottner, P., and Monrozier, L. J.: Decomposition of Mediterranean leaf litters: a microcosm experiment investigating relationships between decomposition rates and litter quality, *Soil Biol. & Biochem.*, 28, 443–452, 1996.
- Czepiel, P., Mosher, B., Harriss, R., Shorter, J., McManus, J., Kolb, C., Allwine, E. and Lamb, B.: Landfill methane emissions measured by enclosure and atmospheric tracer methods, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 16711–16719, 1996.
- Del Grosso, S. J., Parton, W. J., Mosier, A. R., Ojima, D. S., Potter, C. S., Boroken, W., Brumme, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Crill, P. M., Dobbie, K., and Smith, K. A.: General CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation model and comparisons of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation in natural and managed systems, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 14, 999–1019, 2000.
- Dilustro, J. J., Collins, B., Duncan, L. and Crawford, C.: Moisture and soil texture effects on soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux components in southeastern mixed pine forests, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 204, 85–95, 2005.

- Dobbie, K. E. and Smith, K. A.: The effects of temperature, water-filled pore space and land use on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from an imperfectly drained gleysol, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 52, 667–673, 2001.
- Dörr, H., Kartruff, L. and Levin, I.: Soil texture parametrization of the methane uptake in aerated soils, *Chemosphere*, 26, 697–713, 1993.
- Fang, C. and Moncrieff, J. B.: The dependence of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux on temperature, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 155–165, 2001.
- Firestone, M. K. and Davidson, E. A.: Microbiological basis of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption in soil, in: *Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere*, edited by: Andreae, M. and Schimel, D., Report of the Dahlem Workshop, Berlin 1989, 19–24 February, Chichester, John Wiley & Sons, 7–21, 1989.
- FAO, ISRIC, and ISSS: *World Reference Base for Soil Resources*, World Soil Resources Reports Roma: FAO, 1998.
- Flessa, H., Dörsch, P., and Beese, F.: Seasonal variation of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in differently managed arable soils in southern Germany, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 23 115–23 124, 1995.
- Franzluebbers, A. J.: Soil organic matter stratification ratio as an indicator of soil quality, *Soil Tillage Res.*, 66, 95–106, 2002.
- Freibauer, A., Rounsevell, M. D. A., Smith, P., and Verhagen, J.: Carbon sequestration in the agricultural soils of Europe, *Geoderma*, 122, 1–23, 2004.
- Furlanetto, D.: *Il fiume Ticino, Parco Ticino*, 6, 6–7, 2003.
- Goodroad, L. L. and Keeney, D. R.: Nitrous oxide production in aerobic soils under varying pH, temperature and water content, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 16, 39–43, 1984.
- Granli, T. and Bøckman, O. C.: Nitrous oxide from agriculture, Porsgrunn, Norway: *Norwegian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, Supplement No. 12, Norsk Hydro Research Centre, 1994.
- Guo, L. B. and Gifford, R. M.: Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta analysis, *Global Change Biol*, 8, 345–360, 2002.
- Harrison, A. F., Howard, P. J. A., Howard, D. M., Howard, D. C., and Hornung, M.: Carbon storage in forest soils, *Forestry*, 68, 335–348, 1995.
- Hellmann, B.: N<sub>2</sub>O Emissionen aus unterschiedlich behandelten Fichtenstandorten im Höglwald, Dissertation erschienen in der IFU Schriftenreihe, Band 19, Frankfurt am Main, Wiss.-Verl. Maraun, 1993.
- Horrigan, S. G., Carlucci, A. F., and Williams, P. M.: Light inhibition of nitrification in sea-surface films, *J. Mar. Res.*, 39, 557–565, 1981.

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

- Houghton, J. T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D. J., Noguera, M., v. d. Linden, P. J., and Xiaosu, D.: Climate Change 2001. The Science of Climate Change, Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- 5 Jabiol, B., Brêthes, A., Brun, J. J., Ponge, J. F., and Toutain, F.: Classification of forest humus forms: a French proposal, *Ann. Sci. For.*, 52, 535–546, 1995.
- Jackson, M. L.: *Soil Chemical Analysis*, Prentice Hall, 1958.
- Janssens, I. A.: Europe's Terrestrial Biosphere Absorbs 7 to 12% of European Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, *Science*, 300, 2003.
- 10 Janssens, I. A., Freibauer, A., Schlamadinger, B., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P., Dolman, A. J., Heimann, M., Nabuurs, G. J., Smith, P., Valentini, R., and Schulze, E. D.: The carbon budget of terrestrial ecosystems at country-scale – a European case study, *Biogeosciences*, 2, 15–26, 2005,  
[SRef-ID: 1726-4189/bg/2005-2-15](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2-15).
- 15 Janssens, I. A., Lankreijer, H., Matteucci, G., Kowalski, A. S., Buchmann, N., Epron, D., Pilegaard, K., Kutsch, W., Longdoz, B., Grünwald, T., Montagnani, L., Dore, S., Rebmann, C., Moors, E. J., Grelle, A., Rannik, Ü., Morgenstern, K., Oltchev, S., Clement, R., Gudmundsson, J., Minerbi, S., Berbigier, P., Ibrom, A., Moncrieff, J., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Jensen, N. O., Vesala, T., Granier, A., Schulze, E.-D., Lindroth, A., Dolman, A. J., Jarvis, P. G., Ceulemans, R., and Valentini, R.: Productivity overshadows temperature in determining soil and ecosystem respiration across European forests, *Global Change Biol.*, 7, 269–278, 2001.
- 20 Johnson, D. W.: Effect of forest management on soil carbon storage, *Water soil and air Pollution*, 64, 83–120, 1992.
- Jolliffe, I. T.: *Principal Component Analysis*, 2nd ed., New York, USA: Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- 25 King, G. M., and Adamsen, A. P. S.: Effects of temperature on methane consumption in a forest soil and in pure cultures of the methanotroph *Methylobacterium rubra.*, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 58, 2758–2763, 1992.
- Kjeldahl, J.: Neue Methode zur Bestimmung des Stickstoffs in organischen Körpern, *Z. Anal. Chem.*, 22, 366–382, 1883.
- 30 Klemedtsson, L., Svensson, B. H., and Rosswall, T.: Relationships between soil moisture content and nitrous oxide production during nitrification and denitrification, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 6, 106–111, 1988.
- Knowles, R.: Methane: production and consumption, in: *Agricultural Ecosystem Effects on*



Trace Gases and Global Climate Change, edited by: Harper, L. A., Madison, WI: ASA Special Publication, 55, 1993.

Koerner, C.: Slow in, rapid out – carbon flux studies and Kyoto targets, *Science*, 300, 1242–1243, 2003.

5 Leip, A.: Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions from a coastal catchment in the delta of the Po river: measurement and modeling of fluxes from a Mediterranean lagoon and agricultural soils, EUR 19052, Luxembourg, European Communities, 2000.

Li, C., Frolking, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Carbon sequestration in arable soils is likely to increase nitrous oxide emissions offsetting reductions in climate radiative forcing, *Clim. Change*, in press, 2005.

10 Linn, D. and Doran, J.: Effect of water-filled pore space on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide production in tilled and non-tilled soils, *SSSSAJ*, 48, 1267–1272, 1984.

Lofffield, N. S., Flessa, H., Augustin, J., and Beese, F.: Automated gas chromatographic system for analysis of the atmospheric trace gases methane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 26, 560–564, 1997.

15 Longdoz, B., Yernaux, M., and Aubinet, M.: Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux measurements in a mixed forest: impact of chamber disturbances, spatial variability and seasonal evolution, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 6, 907–917, 2000.

Mamilov, A. S. and Dilly, O. M.: Soil microbial eco-physiology as affected by short-term variations in environmental conditions, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 34, 1283–1290, 2002.

20 McTaggart, I. P., Akiyama, H., Tsuruta, H., and Ball, B. C.: Influence of soil physical properties, fertiliser type and moisture tension on N<sub>2</sub>O and NO emissions from nearly saturated Japanese upland soils, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.*, 63, 207–217, 2002.

Meroni, M.: Determinazione di parametri biofisici da osservazioni remote per la stima del bilancio del carbonio di ecosistemi forestali, Tesi di dottorato in Ecologia Forestale, Università degli Studi della Tuscia, Viterbo., 2005.

Mosier, A., Wassmann, R., Verchot, L., King, J., and Palm, C.: Methane and Nitrogen Oxide Fluxes in Tropical Agricultural Soils: Sources, Sinks and Mechanisms, 00006, 11–50, 2004.

Mosier, A. R. and Schimel, D. S.: Influence of agricultural nitrogen on atmospheric methane and nitrous oxide, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 23, 874–877, 1991.

30 Nesbit, S. P. and Breitenbeck, G. A.: A laboratory study of factors influencing methane uptake by soils., *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 41, 39-54, 1992.

Papen, H., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: A 3-year continuous record of nitrogen trace gas fluxes

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

---

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

from untreated and limed soil of a N-saturated spruce and beech forest ecosystem in Germany, 1. N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 18 487–18 503, 1999.

Parkin, T. B.: Characterizing the variability of soil denitrification, in: *Denitrification in soil and sediment*, edited by: Revsbech, N. P. and Sørensen, J., New York, Plenum Press, 213–228, 1990.

Raich, J. W., Potter, C. S., and Bhagawati, D.: Interannual variability in global soil respiration, 1980–1994, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 8, 800–812, 2002.

Reay, D. S. and Nedwell, D. B.: Methane oxidation in temperate soils: effects of inorganic N, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 36, 2059–2065, 2004.

Reay, D. S., Nedwell, D. B., McNamara, N., and Ineson, P.: Effect of tree species on methane and ammonium oxidation capacity in forest soils, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 37, 719–730, 2005.

Reichstein, M., Tenhunen, J., Rouspard, O., Ourcival, J.-M., Rambal, S., Miglietta, F., Peressotti, A., Pecchiari, M., Tirone, G., and Valentini, R.: Severe drought effects on ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O fluxes at three Mediterranean evergreen sites: revision of current hypotheses?, *Global Change Biol*, 8, 999–1017, 2002.

Reichstein, M. R. A., Freibauer, A., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R., Banza, J., Casals, P., Cheng, Y., Grünzweig, J. M., Irvine, J., Joffre, R., Law, B. E., Loustau, D., Miglietta, F., Oechel, W., Ourcival, J.-M., Pereira, J. S., Peressotti, A., Ponti, F., Qi, Y., Rambal, S., Rayment, M., Romanya, J., Rossi, F., Tedeschi, V., Tirone, G., Xu, M., and Yakir, D.: Modeling temporal and large-scale spatial variability of soil respiration from soil water availability, temperature and vegetation productivity indices, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 17, 1104, doi:10.1029/2003GB002035, 2003.

Restivo, G.: Determinazione di concentrazioni atmosferiche di metano emesso da risaie mediante spettroscopia TDLA (Tunable Diode Laser Absorption), Tesi di laurea, Milano: Tesi di laurea, Università degli Studi di Milano, 1999.

Russow, R., Sich, I., and Neue, H.-U.: The formation of the trace gases NO and N<sub>2</sub>O in soils by the coupled processes of nitrification and denitrification: results of kinetic 15N tracer investigations, *Chemosphere – Global Change Science*, 2, 359–366, 2000.

Schindlbacher, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Effects of soil moisture and temperature on NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from European forest soils, *J. Geophys. Res.*, D17, doi:10.1029/2004JD004590, 2004.

Schmidt, J., Seiler, W., and Conrad, R.: Emission of nitrous oxide from temperate forest soils

---

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**C. Ferré et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

into the atmosphere, *J. Atm. Chem.*, 6, 95–115, 1988.

Skiba, U. and Smith, K. A.: The control of nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural and natural soils, *Chemosphere – Global Change Science*, 2, 379–386, 2000.

Smith, K. A., Ball, T., Conen, F., Dobbie, K. E., Massheder, J., and Rey, A.: Exchange of greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and biological processes, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 54, 779–791, 2003.

Smith, K. A., Clayton, H., Arah, J. R. M., Christensen, S., Ambus, P., Fowler, D., Hargreaves, K. J., Skiba, U., Harris, G. W., Wienhold, F. G., Klemmedtsson, L., and Galle, B.: Micrometeorological and chamber methods for measurement of nitrous oxide fluxes between soils and the atmosphere: Overview and conclusion, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 16 541–16 548, 1994.

Smith, P.: Carbon sequestration in croplands: the potential in Europe and the global context, *European Journal of Agronomy*, 20, 229–236, 2004.

Soil Survey Staff: *Keys to Soil Taxonomy*, 9th edition, US Department of Agriculture, United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2003.

Soussana, J. F., Saletes, S., Smith, P., Schils, R. L. M., and Ogle, S. M.: Greenhouse Gas Emissions from European Grasslands, Specific Study 3, CarboEurope-GHG Concerted Action, 2004.

Steinkamp, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Papen, H.: Methane oxidation by soils of an N limited and N fertilized spruce forest in the Black Forest, Germany, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 145–153, 2001.

Teepe, R., Brumme, R., Beese, F., and Ludwig, B.: Nitrous oxide emission and methane consumption following compaction of forest soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 68, 605–611, 2004.

Thuille, A., Buchmann, N., and Schulze, E.-D.: Carbon stocks and soil respiration rates during deforestation, grassland use and subsequent Norway spruce afforestation in the Southern Alps, Italy, *Tree Physiology*, 20, 849–857, 2000.

Tiessen, H., Cuevas, E., and Chacon, P.: The role of soil organic matter in sustaining soil fertility, *Nature*, 371, 783–785, 1994.

Tisdall, J. M. and Oades, J. M.: Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils, *J. Soil Sci.*, 33, 141–163, 1982.

Turner, J. and Lambert, M.: Change in organic carbon in forest plantation soils in eastern Australia, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 133, 231–247, 2000.

Valentini, R., Matteucci, G., Dolman, A. J., Schulze, E.-D., Rebmann, C., Moors, E. J., Granier,

A., Gross, P., Jensen, N. O., Pilegaard, K., Lindroth, A., Grelle, A., Bernhofer, C., Grünwald, T., Aubinet, M., Ceulemans, R., Kowalski, A. S., Vesala, T., Rannik, Ü., Berbigier, P., Loustau, D., Gudmundsson, J., Thorgeirsson, H., Ibrom, A., Morgenstern, K., Clement, R., Moncrieff, J., Montagnani, L., Minerbi, S., and Jarvis, P. G.: Respiration as the main determinant of carbon balance in European forests, *Nature*, 404, 861–865, 2000.

Walkley, A. and Black, I. A.: An examination of Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method, *Soil. Sci.*, 37, 29–38, 1934.

Weitz, A. M., Linder, E., Frohling, S., Crill, P. M., and Keller, M.: N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from humid tropical agricultural soils: effects of soil moisture, texture and nitrogen availability, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 33, 1077–1093, 2001.

Zongliang, C., Kesheng, S., and Bujun, W.: Effects of different cultivation practices on methane emissions from rice paddies in Beijing, China, in: *Climate Biosphere Interaction: Biogenic Emissions and Environmental Effects of Climate Change*, edited by: Zepp, R. G., John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 95–106, 1994.

**BGD**

2, 897–931, 2005

---

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 1.** Soil parameters at the studied plots at the Poplar plantation (PP) and the Natural Forest (NF) sites. PP: Poplar Plantation; NF: Natural Forest "Bosco Negri"; Humus: Humus layer. <sup>1</sup> RG: Regosol; dy: Dystric; eu: Eutric; hu: Humic; ar: Arenic (FAO et al., 1998). <sup>2</sup>t-uoEnt: Typic Ustorthent coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic; t-upEnt: Typic Ustipsammment mixed, mesic (Soil Survey Staff, 2003). <sup>3</sup> Jabiol et al. (1995).

	Horizon	pH		C <sub>org</sub> (g·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	N <sub>tot</sub> (g·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	C/N	Bulk density (g·cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Texture			CEC (meq/100 g)	BS (%)
		H <sub>2</sub> O	KCl					sand (%)	silt (%)	clay (%)		
a) PP												
plot 1	Ap1 (0–12 cm)	6.3	5.0	14	1.3	11	1.0	51	38	11	7.54	50
<sup>1</sup> dy-huRG	Ap2 (12–44 cm)	5.8	4.3	9	0.8	11	1.3	22	63	15	9.89	27
<sup>2</sup> t-uoEnt	C (44–60 cm)	5.6	4.1	9	0.6	15	n.d.	31	57	12	8.06	42
plot 6	Ap1 (0–11 cm)	6.5	5.2	15	1.2	13	0.9	33	56	11	7.91	53
<sup>1</sup> dyRG	Ap2 (11–45 cm)	6.2	4.5	6	0.7	9	1.3	29	58	13	6.58	55
<sup>2</sup> t-uoEnt	Ap3 (45–55 cm)	6.3	4.6	6	0.5	12	n.d.	17	69	14	7.96	52
	C (55–62 cm)	6.3	4.5	4	0.5	8	n.d.	6	80	14	7.89	47
plot 5	Ap1 (0–18 cm)	6.3	4.9	11	1.0	11	1.0	54	35	11	7.08	40
<sup>1</sup> dyRG	Ap2 (18–45 cm)	6.1	4.5	4	0.3	13	1.3	77	16	7	5.36	34
<sup>2</sup> t-upEnt	C1 (45–65 cm)	6.8	5.5	1	tr	-	n.d.	93	4	3	2.19	80
plot 10	Ap1 (0–12 cm)	7.0	5.6	6	0.4	15	1.4	86	10	4	2.07	95
<sup>1</sup> dy-arRG	Ap2 (12–47 cm)	6.4	4.6	2	0.2	10	1.4	83	14	3	1.85	95
<sup>2</sup> t-upEnt	C (47–65 cm)	7.0	5.5	tr	0.4	-	n.d.	98	2	0	2.61	43
b) NF												
plot 24	A1 (0–8 cm)	5.5	4.6	48	2.9	17	0.5	53	36	11	17.09	32
<sup>1</sup> dy-huRG	A2 (8–13 cm)	4.6	3.7	11	0.8	14	1.0	67	24	9	6.03	24
<sup>2</sup> t-upEnt	CA (13–32 cm)	4.7	3.8	5	0.3	18	1.2	78	16	6	3.61	34
	C (32–62 cm)	5.9	4.1	1	0.1	12	n.d.	88	8	4	3.31	60
plot 22	A1 (0–8 cm)	5.6	4.4	35	2.6	13	0.97	47	42	11	11.59	42
<sup>1</sup> dy-huRG	A2 (8–25 cm)	4.7	3.6	13	1.1	12	1.1	55	35	10	5.11	37
<sup>2</sup> t-upEnt	C (25–60 cm)	6.3	4.5	1	0.1	11	1.22	93	4	3	2.78	56
plot 16	A1 (0–10 cm)	5.8	4.5	35	2.6	13	0.89	22	61	17	14.13	33
<sup>1</sup> euRG	A2 (10–20 cm)	5.5	4.1	7	0.7	10	1.04	55	36	9	2.87	96
<sup>2</sup> t-upEnt	C (20–60 cm)	6.5	4.6	1	0.1	11	1.18	91	6	3	2.13	84
plot 19	A1 (0–6 cm)	6.2	5.1	50	3.2	16	0.84	26	60	14	15.02	46
<sup>1</sup> eu-huRG	A2 (6–17 cm)	5.5	4.2	25	2	12	1	31	56	13	10.09	37
<sup>2</sup> t-uoEnt	CA (17–41 cm)	5.8	4.3	8	0.7	11	1.13	39	49	12	3.95	74
	C (41–60 cm)	6.5	4.6	2	0.2	8	1.23	91	6	3	3.34	70
c) Humus												
plot 24;22;16;	<sup>3</sup> Mesomull	5.5		40.7%	1.8%	23						
plot19	<sup>3</sup> Eumull	5.8		31.7%	1.4%	23						

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.

**Table 2.** Greenhouse gas budget on the soil surface for the a) PP site and b) NF site in 2003.

	Fluxes of greenhouse gases	
	(g m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> of gas)	(g CO <sub>2-<i>eq.</i></sub> m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )
a) Poplar Plantation		
Soil N <sub>2</sub> O emissions	0.15±0.1	44±31
Soil CH <sub>4</sub> consumption	-0.15±0.02	-3±0
Soil CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	1608±1053	1608±1053
b) Natural Forest		
Soil N <sub>2</sub> O emissions	0.07±0.06	20±18
Soil CH <sub>4</sub> consumption	-0.31±0.14	-7±3
Soil CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	2200±791	2200±791

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Impact of poplar  
cultivation on SOC  
and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.




**Fig. 1.** Study site indicating the Natural forest (NF) and Poplar plantation (PP) sites and the Ticino River (TR). The transects along which the measurement plots were installed are indicated by green (NF) or yellow (PP) lines. The eddy tower at the PP site is indicated by a dot.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

## Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.



**Fig. 2.** Monthly mean ( $\pm 1$  SD) of (a) N<sub>2</sub>O, (b) CH<sub>4</sub>, and (c) CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from the soil surface at the sites PP (open circles) and NF (closed circles) from May 2002 until March 2004. In (d) the mean CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from the soil surface are plotted in comparison with soil temperature at 5 cm depth.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version


Interactive Discussion

EGU



**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.



**Fig. 3.** Measured fluxes ( $\pm 1$  SD) of (a)  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and (b)  $\text{CO}_2$  at the Poplar Plantation, reported separately for plot #10 (closed circles) and plots #1 and #6 (open circles).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.



**Fig. 4.** Fluxes of **(a)** N<sub>2</sub>O, **(b)** CH<sub>4</sub> and **(c)** CO<sub>2</sub> in the Natural Forest. The plot affected by the flooding event in November 2002 (plot #19, open circles) is depicted separately from the other plots (closed circles) used for the N<sub>2</sub>O flux measurements.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes

C. Ferré et al.



**Fig. 5.** Loadings plot (a) and scores plot (b) of the PC Analysis. PCA variables: Corg: carbon content; Ntot: nitrogen content; C/N ratio, sand/silt/clay content; BS: base saturation; dens: bulk density; ST: soil surface temperature; pH (water); SR: specific soil respiration; WFPS; water-filled pore space; CEC: cation exchange capacity; N<sub>2</sub>O: N<sub>2</sub>O emissions; CO<sub>2</sub>: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; CH<sub>4</sub>:CH<sub>4</sub> consumption. PCA cases: NF site (plots 16, 19, 22, 24); PP site (plots 1, 5, 6, 10).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Impact of poplar cultivation on SOC and GHG fluxes**

C. Ferré et al.



**Fig. 6.** Content of soil organic carbon in three different soil layers and in the soil profile down to 45 cm at the NF and the PP site.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU