



HAL
open science

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik, P. Ambus, R. Baritz, N. Brüggemann, K. Butterbach-Bahl, M. Damm, J. Duyzer, L. Horváth, R. Kiese, B. Kitzler, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

M. Kesik, P. Ambus, R. Baritz, N. Brüggemann, K. Butterbach-Bahl, et al.. Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 2005, 2 (4), pp.779-827. hal-00297771

HAL Id: hal-00297771

<https://hal.science/hal-00297771>

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Biogeosciences*

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

**M. Kesik¹, P. Ambus², R. Baritz³, N. Brüggemann¹, K. Butterbach-Bahl¹,
M. Damm¹, J. Duyzer⁴, L. Horváth⁵, R. Kiese¹, B. Kitzler⁶, A. Leip⁷, C. Li⁸,
M. Pihlatie⁹, K. Pilegaard², G. Seufert⁷, D. Simpson¹⁰, U. Skiba¹¹, G. Smiatek¹,
T. Vesala⁹, and S. Zechmeister-Boltenstern⁶**

¹Karlsruhe Res. Centre, Inst. Meteorology and Climate Research, Atmospheric Environmental Research (IMK-IFU), Kreuzteckbahnstr. 19, 82467 Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

²Risoe National Lab., Department for Plant Biology and Biogeochemistry, Risø, Denmark

³Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR), Hannover, Germany

⁴The Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research, Apeldoorn, Netherlands

⁵Hungarian Meteorological Service, Dept. for Analysis of Atmospheric Environment, Budapest, Hungary

⁶Federal Forest Research Centre, Inst. Forest Ecology and Soil, Soil Biology, Vienna, Austria

⁷Commission of the European Communities, Environmental Institute, JRC Ispra, Italy

⁸Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans and Space, Univ. New Hampshire, Durham, USA

© 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

⁹University of Helsinki, Department of Physical Sciences, Helsinki, Finland

¹⁰Norwegian Meteorology Institute, Oslo, Norway

¹¹Natural Environment Research Council, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, UK

Received: 9 June 2005 – accepted: 23 June 2005 – Published:

Correspondence to: K. Butterbach-Bahl (klaus.butterbach@imk.fzk.de)

BGD

2, 779–827, 2005

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

Forest soils are a significant source for the primary and secondary greenhouse gases N_2O and NO . However, current estimates are still uncertain due to the still limited number of field measurements and the herein observed pronounced variability of N trace gas fluxes in space and time, which are due to the variation of environmental factors such as soil and vegetation properties or meteorological conditions. To overcome these problems we further developed a process-oriented model, the PnET-N-DNDC model, which simulates the N trace gas exchange on the basis of the processes involved in production, consumption and emission of N trace gases. This model was validated against field observations of N trace gas fluxes from 19 sites obtained within the EU project NOFRETETE, and shown to perform well for N_2O ($r^2=0.68$, slope=0.76) and NO ($r^2=0.78$, slope=0.73). For the calculation of a European-wide emission inventory we linked the model to a detailed, regionally and temporally resolved database, comprising climatic properties (daily resolution), and soil parameters, and information on forest areas and types for the years 1990, 1995 and 2000. Our calculations show that N trace gas fluxes from forest soils may vary substantial from year to year and that distinct regional patterns can be observed. Our central estimate of NO emissions from forest soils in the EU amounts to 98.4, 84.9 and 99.2 kt N yr^{-1} , using meteorology from 1990, 1995 and year 2000, respectively. This is <1.0% of pyrogenic NO_x emissions. For N_2O emissions the central estimates were 86.8, 77.6 and 81.6 kt N yr^{-1} , respectively, which is approx. 14.5% of the source strength coming from agricultural soils. An extensive sensitivity analysis was conducted which showed a range in NO emissions from 44.4 to 254.0 kt N yr^{-1} for NO and 50.7 to 96.9 kt N yr^{-1} for N_2O , for year 2000 meteorology.

The results show that process-oriented models coupled to a GIS are useful tools for the calculation of regional, national, or global inventories of biogenic N-trace gas emissions from soils. This work represents the most comprehensive effort to date to simulate NO and N_2O emissions from European forest soils.

BGD

2, 779–827, 2005

Inventories of N_2O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

1. Introduction

The atmospheric concentration of nitrous oxide (N_2O) has been increasing in recent decades with a rate of approx. $0.25\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ (IPCC, 2001). Among other sources, forest soils have been acknowledged to represent significant sources of this potent greenhouse gas (e.g. Schmidt et al., 1988; Skiba et al., 1994). Emissions of N_2O from forest soils have most likely increased in recent decades and will probably further increase in the future due to the anthropogenic perturbation of the global N cycle (Galloway et al., 2004) and hence high rates of atmospheric N deposition to many forest ecosystems in Europe, North America and Asia (Aber et al., 1989; Bowden et al., 1991). In a series of recent publications evidence has been provided that N deposition to forest ecosystems are positively correlated with N_2O emissions (Brumme and Beese, 1992; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 1998; Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2002).

On a global scale soils have been identified as sources of atmospheric NO_x of a comparable magnitude like combustion processes (Davidson and Kinglerlee, 1997). This especially applies to acidic forest soils, which have been shown to act primarily as sources of NO, a reactive trace gas involved in the production of tropospheric ozone (Williams et al., 1992; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002a). However, due to its reactivity only a part of the NO emitted from forest soils will reach the atmosphere, whereas some of the NO will react with ozone to NO_2 , associated with a partial re-deposition of NO_2 to plant and soil surfaces or an uptake by plant tissues (Duyzer and Fowler, 1994; Meixner, 1994; Gessler et al., 2001; Dorsey et al., 2004). As for N_2O , atmospheric N deposition to forest ecosystems has been shown to be closely related to the source strength of forest soils for NO (Gasche and Papen, 1999; Pilegaard et al., 1999; Van Dijk and Duyzer, 1999).

The emission of NO and N_2O from forest soils is mainly the result of simultaneously occurring production and consumption processes, most of which are directly linked to the microbial N turnover processes of nitrification and denitrification (Conrad, 1996, 2002). With regard to NO also the abiotic process of chemo-denitrification, during

BGD

2, 779–827, 2005

Inventories of N_2O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

which biologically produced nitrite is chemically decomposed to NO, has been shown to be an important production process in soils at pH values lower than 4.0 (Van Cleemput and Baert, 1984). Like most other biological processes, microbial turnover processes vary largely on spatial and temporal scales, since they are significantly influenced by a number of environmental factors such as climate and meteorological conditions, soil and vegetation properties or human management of the land surface. Due to this also the emission of N trace gases from forest soils have been observed to vary over several orders of magnitudes between seasons, years or measuring sites (Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999; Brumme et al., 1999; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002b). This variability is the reason for the still high uncertainty of current regional and global estimates of N trace gas emissions from soils. In recent years it has been proposed that linking process-oriented models, which are able to simulate the processes involved in N trace gas emissions from soils, to detailed GIS databases, holding explicit spatial information on major drivers of microbial processes, can serve as tools to improve current estimates of the magnitude of terrestrial sources and sinks of atmospheric trace gases (Brown et al., 2002).

This approach, i.e. the use of process-oriented biogeochemical models for calculating inventories of N trace gas emissions from soils, was also followed within the EU funded project NOFRETETE (**N**itrogen **O**xides Emissions from **E**uropean **F**orest **E**cosystems). This manuscript provides details of model modifications, model testing and the establishment of a GIS database which was finally used to initialize and drive the PnET-N-DNDC model for the calculation of inventories of N-trace gas emissions of forest soils of Europe. It represents the most comprehensive effort to date to simulate NO and N₂O emissions from European forest soils.

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The PnET-N-DNDC model

For the calculation of N₂O and NO emission inventories of European forest soils the biogeochemical model PnET-N-DNDC was used. The model has been already applied for regional emission inventories for temperate forest ecosystems (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2001, 2004), and recently, after some adaptation, also for the calculation of a N₂O emission inventory for tropical rainforests in Australia (Kiese et al., 2005). The PnET-N-DNDC model was developed to predict soil carbon and nitrogen biogeochemistry in temperate forest ecosystems and to simulate the emissions of N₂O and NO from forest soils (Li et al., 2000; Stange et al., 2000). The model is mainly based on the PnET model (=Photosynthesis-Evapotranspiration-Model), the DNDC model (=Denitrification-Decomposition-Model) and a nitrification module. The PnET model is a forest physiology model used for predicting forest photosynthesis, respiration, organic carbon production and allocation, and litter production. It was originally developed by Aber and Federer (1992). This model has already been used in regional studies in order to predict the sensitivity of forest production to climate variability and site quality (e.g. Goodale et al., 1998). DNDC is a soil biogeochemistry model used for predicting soil organic matter decomposition, nitrogen turnover and N₂O production in agricultural soils (Li et al., 1992). This model has also been used to predict regional N₂O emissions from agriculture in US, China and UK (Li et al., 1996, 2001, 2004; Brown et al., 2002). The nitrification module was developed by Stange (2000) in order to simulate nitrification rates, the growth of nitrifier populations and the nitrification induced N₂O and NO emissions associated with nitrification.

In the PnET-N-DNDC model N₂O and NO emissions from soils are directly influenced by environmental factors, such as soil temperature and moisture, pH and substrate availability (C- and N-content). These environmental factors are driven by different ecological drivers, namely climate, soil properties, vegetation and anthropogenic activities. Five modules for predicting forest growth, soil climate, decomposition,

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

nitrification and denitrification are linked to translate the environmental factors and ecological drivers into predicted N_2O and NO emissions. The functions of the different modules are as follows: a) the soil climate module is used to convert daily climate data into soil temperature and moisture profiles and to calculate soil oxygen availability in the forest soil profile; b) the forest growth module simulates forest growth as a function of solar radiation, temperature, water and N availability. The forest growth module is linked to the soil climate and decomposition modules via litter production and water and N demand; c) the decomposition module simulates the turnover of litter and other organic matter and, hence, the production of ammonium, nitrate and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the soil driven by temperature, moisture and O_2 availability in the soil profile; d) the nitrification module predicts growth and death of nitrifiers, the nitrification rate as well as N_2O and NO production during nitrification depending on soil temperature, moisture, ammonium and DOC concentrations; e) depending on the population size of denitrifiers, soil temperature, moisture and substrate concentrations (DOC, NO_3^- , NO_2^- , NO and N_2O), the denitrification module simulates the individual steps of the sequential reduction of nitrate or other oxidized N compounds (NO_2^- , NO , N_2O) to the final product N_2 .

The nitrification and denitrification induced N_2O and NO fluxes are calculated based on the dynamics of soil aeration status, substrate supply and gas diffusion. Chemo-denitrification, i.e. chemical decomposition of NO_2^- to NO , is considered as another source of NO production in soils. This chemical reaction is controlled by the concentration of nitrite in the soil, soil pH and temperature. To handle the problem of simultaneously occurring aerobic and anaerobic processes in adjacent microsites, the PnET-N-DNDC model uses the concept of a so-called “anaerobic balloon”. Based on the O_2 diffusion from the atmosphere into the soil and the O_2 consumption during heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration the O_2 concentration is calculated for a given soil layer. The O_2 concentration is assumed to be reciprocally proportional to the anaerobic fraction within this soil layer (Li et al., 2000). For further details on the PnET-N-DNDC model we refer to Li et al. (1992, 1996, 2000), Li (2000), Stange et

**Inventories of N_2O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

al. (2000), Butterbach-Bahl et al. (2001, 2004) and Kiese et al. (2005).

To simulate N trace gas emissions for a specific site the PnET-N-DNDC requires the following input parameters: daily climate data (precipitation, minimum and maximum air temperature, optional: radiation), soil properties (texture, clay content, pH, soil organic carbon content, stone content, humus type), and forest data (forest type and age, above-ground and below-ground biomass, plant physiology parameters). The PnET-N-DNDC is currently parameterized for 12 tree species/genera, i.e. pine, spruce, hemlock, fir, hardwoods, oak, birch, beech, slash pine, larch, cypress and evergreen oak. Whenever there are no site-specific forest data available except for the forest type and age, the model calculates with default values for each forest type taken from an internal database of literature data (Li et al., 2000). Furthermore, the model needs information about inorganic N concentrations in rainfall which are used to calculate throughfall values for N, a surrogate of wet and dry deposition, in dependency of forest type and N concentration (Li et al., 2000).

No structural changes were applied to the PnET-N-DNDC model for its use within the NOFRETETE project. However, based on results from laboratory studies by Kesik et al. (2005)¹ the parameterisation of NO production by chemo-denitrification (Chem_NO, kg N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹) in dependency on the soil pH (soil_pH, layer) and the nitrite concentration (NO₂, kg N ha⁻¹ layer⁻¹) in the respective soil layers was changed as follows:

$$\text{Chem_NO} = 300 \times \text{NO}_2 \times 16565 \times \exp^{(-1.62 \times \text{soil_pH})} \times f_1$$

f_1 is an Arrhenius type function describing the temperature dependency (temp, layer) of chemo-denitrification:

$$f_1 = \exp\left(\frac{-31494}{(\text{temp} + 273.18) \times 8.3144}\right) \quad (\text{Stange, 2000})$$

¹Kesik, M., Blagodatsky, S., Papen, H., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Effect of pH, temperature and substrate on N₂O, NO and CO₂ production by *Alcaligenes faecalis* p., J. Applied Microb., submitted, 2005.

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Furthermore, the parameters for the moisture dependency of gross nitrification and N_2O and NO production and consumption during nitrification and denitrification (see Li et al., 2000) were optimised according to the results from laboratory studies (see Schindelbacher et al., 2004; Kesik et al., 2005¹).

5 2.2. Evaluation sites

The capability of the PnET-N-DNDC model to simulate N trace gas emissions from forest soils was tested by comparing model results with results from field measurements at 19 different field sites across Europe and US (Table 1). Most of these sites were measuring sites within the NOFRETETE project. The testing sites were well distributed across Europe, with a boreal forest site at Hyytiälä, Finland, forest sites in temperate maritime climate (e.g. Speulderbos, Netherlands; Sorø, Denmark; and Glencorse, UK) and temperate continental climate (Matrafüred, Hungary) and forest sites exposed to Mediterranean climate (San Rossore, Parco Ticino, Italy) (Table 1). For all sites information on model input parameters (see Sect. 2.1) as well as on N-trace gas fluxes were aggregated in a database for model testing.

2.3. GIS database

A detailed GIS database covering all EU states plus Romania, Bulgaria, Switzerland and Norway with all relevant initialization and driving parameters and variables was created for the regionalization of N trace gas emissions by use of the PnET-N-DNDC. Spatially resolved information included soil, stand and climate properties. Within the NOFRETETE project information on meteorological data and on atmospheric N deposition was provided by the Norwegian Meteorological Institute (MET.NO), from the inputs of the EMEP MSC-W photo-oxidant model (Sandnes-Lenschow et al., 2000; Simpson et al., 2003), on soil properties and on forest information by the Joint Research Centre (JRC) at Ispra, Italy. Since data were delivered in different formats and projections, transformations into the ArcGIS format and the Lambert

Inventories of N_2O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Azimuthal projection on the basis of the EMEP (European Monitoring and Evaluation Program) raster were necessary. The used EMEP raster is a polar stereographic projected grid with a resolution of ca. 50 km×50 km at 60° North (Simpson et al., 2003; <http://www.emep.int>).

5 2.3.1. Soil information

The soil data were retrieved from the Soil Geographical Data Base of Europe (SGDBE) at a scale of 1:1 000 000. This data base is part of the European Soil Data Base (<http://eusoils.jrc.it>). The SGDBE is the resulting product of a collaborative project involving the European Union and neighbouring countries. It is a simplified representation of the diversity and spatial variability of the soil coverage (CEC, 1985). The SGDBE provides typological information according to so-called Soil Typological Units (STU; N=5306). The STU are grouped into Soil Mapping Units (SMU; N=1650) to form soil associations (for details see CEC, 1985). The STU attribute data contain information about specific soil properties, such as textural class, humus type, water regime, etc. Additionally data for soil carbon and pH for the organic layer and the mineral soil, stone content on SMU level were needed. Therefore preliminary results from the ongoing research project (CarboInvent) were used (aggregated soil C data for organic layer and mineral soil). Regarding these data it has to be noted that the SOC content may not yet represent the final level of quality. For example, data for the continental Eastern part of Europe (e.g. Poland) appear to be too high, and in the case of mountainous areas such as the Alps, no stratification was found although local data clearly indicate elevated SOC content (R. Baritz, personnel information). To aggregate the STU- and SMU-based attributes on the scale of the EMEP raster an up-scaling strategy was applied (Fig. 1). At first the soil properties as derived from the STU were scaled to the SMU level, thereby considering the relative area covered. Secondly, a weighted average value was calculated for the individual soil parameters for each EMEP grid cell, i.e. for clay content, humus type, forest floor and mineral soil pH, stone content and organic carbon mass in the forest floor and mineral soil.

BGD

2, 779–827, 2005

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Additionally the maximum and minimum value of each soil parameter was recorded for each EMEP grid cell to retrieve the range for a sensitivity analysis with a maximum and a minimum scenario (see Sect. 2.5) (Fig. 1). Even though forest information for Belarus and Moldavia was available, these countries were excluded from the calculations since details about soil properties were not available.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of forest areas (Fig. 2A) and of selected soil properties (SOC, clay content, soil pH) in Europe as derived from CORINE/ PELCOM land cover data sets and the SGDBE dataset on soil properties. The maps show that soils rich in organic carbon (SOC) in the mineral soil ($>75\text{ tC ha}^{-1}$) predominate in Northern Europe including the UK and Ireland (Fig. 2B), whereas heavily textured soils (clay content $>20\%$) are often found in the Mediterranean and the Balkan region (Fig. 2C). Predominantly acidic soils with a low base saturation are reported for large parts of Sweden and Finland, but also for the Northern parts of the UK (Fig. 2D).

2.3.2. Forest distribution and forest stand information

Information about the distribution of forest types across Europe has recently been published by Köble and Seufert (2001). They adopted the spatial distribution of forest area for most parts of Europe from the CORINE land cover data set (CEC, 1994) or from the Pan-European Land Cover Mapping project “PELCOM” (Mücher, 2000). In addition Köble and Seufert (2001) used tree species information from the measurement network of the transnational survey (ICP Forest Level I) of forest condition in Europe (UN-ECE, 1998) to retrieve maps of forest type and tree species distribution on a $1\text{ km}\times 1\text{ km}$ raster format for 30 European countries. Since the PnET-N-DNDC model is currently only parameterized for the simulation of 12 forest types (see Sect. 2.1), we grouped some forest types together in order to simulate most of the forested areas in Europe. This means, that e.g. the forest types alder, ash, elm, poplar and willow were simulated with the parameterization for hardwoods. However, some forest types such as *Juniperus spec.* dominated forests were excluded from our simulations a) since such forests cover only small areas in Europe (approx. 3.5%) and b) to reduce

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

the parameterization and computation complexity. The forest area considered in our simulations was 1 410 477 km², which is in accordance with official national reports (Köble and Seufert, 2001). As there was no information about forest age available we assumed an average age of 60 years for all forest types. Forest areas in the countries of Albania, Serbia and Montenegro, Macedonia and Bosnia-Herzegovina were excluded from the simulations since no detailed forest information was available.

2.3.3. Climate and N deposition

Simulation runs were performed with the meteorology for the three years 1990, 1995 and 2000. Meteorological data in daily resolution was provided from the inputs of the EMEP MSC-W oxidant model (Sandnes-Lenschow et al., 2000; Simpson et al., 2003) including information about average temperature, and sum precipitation as well as photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). Figure 3 shows a map of the regional distribution of mean annual temperature and sum of annual precipitation across Europe for the year 2000 and relative differences of these parameters in 1990 as compared to the year 2000. The maps show a typical South-North gradient in temperature and reveal that e.g. in the year 2000 mean annual temperature was >10% higher in Central Europe and approx. 5% lower in Spain and Central Europe as compared to the year 1990. The variation in precipitation between the years 1990 and 2000 was pronounced and in many regions in Europe such as Central Finland, Southern UK or Portugal received >25% precipitation in 2000 than in the year 1990 (Fig. 3).

Additionally, the EMEP MSC-W model was used to calculate annual data on atmospheric N deposition (dry and wet) for each EMEP grid cell. Emissions of all pollutants were set to those of the year 2000 (Vestreng et al., 2004), whereas meteorology scenarios were taken from the years 1990, 1995 and 2000 in order to assess meteorological variability. The EMEP model's simulations of concentrations and deposition have been extensively evaluated elsewhere (e.g. Fagerli et al., 2003) and for

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

forests in particular by Westling et al. (2005)². Since the PnET-N-DNDC model does not allow consideration of dry deposition of N to forests, we only used the wet deposition values (Fig. 4). The map shows that wet deposition of N with values >13 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ are especially observed for the Benelux countries and neighbouring North Germany and for parts of South Germany and Northern Italy.

2.4. Coupling of the GIS database to the PnET-N-DNDC model

The forest, soil, and climate information was aggregated and linked to the EMEP raster. An individual identification number was assigned to each of the 2527 grid cells of the simulated area. By calling the ID numbers the PnET-N-DNDC model automatically received the individual initialisation and driving parameters of each grid cell. The number of model runs per grid cell depended on the number of forest types found within this cell. For example, three different forest types in one grid cell resulted in three model runs. The results of the individual model runs for one grid cell were weighted depending on the total area of each forest type in the respective grid cell.

2.5. Uncertainty analysis (Monte Carlo, MSF)

The focus of the uncertainty analysis was the assessment of the uncertainty of simulation results caused by the necessary generalisations within the GIS database on e.g. soil and vegetation properties. By using the EMEP grid with cells of 50 km×50 km across Europe it was assumed that soil properties within a grid cell were uniform. However, this is of course not the case, since soil properties (e.g. pH, soil organic carbon (SOC) content) are highly variable in space. To assess the effect of sub grid cell variability in soil properties on simulated N trace gas emissions from forest soils the Most Sensitive Factor (MSF) method (Li et al., 2004) as well as a Monte Carlo

²Westling, O., Fagerli, H., Hellsten, S., Knulst, J. C., and Simpson, D.: Comparison of modelled and monitored deposition fluxes of sulphur and nitrogen to ICP-forest sites in Europe, Biogeosciences, submitted, 2005.

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

approach was used. N trace gas fluxes simulated with the PnET-N-DNDC and also with the DNDC have been shown to be very sensitive to changes in soil texture, pH and SOC (Stange et al., 2000; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2001; Li et al., 2004). After extensive sensitivity studies of the soil database of Europe we found out that there were general trends regarding the relationship between N₂O and NO emissions and the soil factors. For example, the modelled N₂O and NO emissions usually increase along with an increase in SOC content as well as a decrease in soil clay fraction and pH. These model reactions are in accordance with a series of results from field and laboratory observations (Li et al., 2005). The MSF method uses the generalized relationships between individual soil factors and the magnitude of N trace gas emissions by grouping a series of soil factors for which minimum and maximum values are available in such a way that N trace gas emissions are either maximized or minimized. This means that PnET-N-DNDC automatically selected the minimum organic matter mass in the forest floor and mineral soil, maximum pH in the forest floor and mineral soil, maximum skeleton rate and minimum clay content to form a scenario which was assumed to produce a low value of N₂O and NO flux for this grid cell and the model then selected the maximum organic matter mass and minimum pH in the forest floor and mineral soil, minimum skeleton rate and maximum clay content to form another scenario, which was assumed to produce a high value of N₂O and NO flux for the grid cell. Thus PnET-N-DNDC ran twice with the two scenarios for each grid cell to produce an upper and a lower boundary of expected N₂O and NO emission rates (three times if the average scenario is included). The calculated N trace gas emission range was assumed to be wide enough to cover the real flux with a high probability. To verify the MSF method, we also implemented a Monte Carlo routine into the PnET-N-DNDC. This allowed us to directly quantify the uncertainties derived by soil heterogeneity of individual EMEP grid cells (for details see Li et al., 2004). When PnET-N-DNDC ran in the Monte Carlo mode, the observed range for each soil factor in a grid cell was divided into eight intervals. For example, if the pH in a grid cell ranged from 3.5 to 5.6 the Monte Carlo approach would run with the pH values 3.5, 3.8, 4.1, 4.4 . . . , 5.6.

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

PnET-N-DNDC selected randomly an interval of each of the six soil properties (clay content, organic mass in mineral soil and forest floor, forest floor and mineral soil pH, and skeleton rate) to form a scenario. The process was repeated 5000 times so that 5000 N₂O and NO emission estimates were calculated for one grid cell. The results were then compared with the results of the MSF method. For the Monte Carlo approach we selected randomly 50 EMEP grid cells across Europe and compared the results of the frequency distribution of N₂O and NO emissions with the ranges of N₂O and NO emissions as derived from the MSF method. The comparison of the MSF method with the Monte Carlo approach showed that the range of NO emissions calculated with the MSF method covered in average more than 79% of the variability in N trace gas emissions calculated with the Monte Carlo approach. However, this value was remarkably lower with regard to N₂O. The maximum N₂O emissions calculated with the MSF method were in average approx. 50% lower compared to the emissions using the Monte Carlo approach. The minimum N₂O emissions calculated with the MSF method were in average two fold higher than the N₂O emissions calculated with the Monte Carlo method. However, since the lower boundary of N₂O emissions ranged between 0.1 to 0.2 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ this differences can be neglected for the purpose of this study.

3. Results

3.1. Model testing

The model was applied to the different field sites with identical and fixed internal parameter settings for microbial C and N turnover processes. Figure 5 shows daily simulation results for NO and N₂O emissions for the sites Höglwald (spruce, Germany), Sorø (beech, Denmark), Hyytiälä (Pine, Finland) and Glencorse (Sitka spruce, Scotland) as compared to observed N trace gas emissions. For the Höglwald spruce site simulated N₂O emissions were in average 23% higher than the observed

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

emissions. Overestimation of N₂O emissions mainly occurred during the first half of the year, whereas in autumn N₂O emissions tended to be underestimated in average by approx. 10–15%. The model captured the period with peak emissions in summer, but predicted the peak emission a few days earlier than observed in the field (Fig. 5).

5 Simulated NO emissions for the Höglwald spruce site were in good agreement with field observations throughout the year with respect to seasonality and magnitude of fluxes. For most periods, except for three 1–2 week long periods in June, August and October, simulated results deviated only within 10–20% from observed NO emissions. However, in the short periods mentioned emissions were overestimated by a factor
10 of two. The simulated seasonality of NO and N₂O emissions at the Höglwald site matched the seasonality as observed in the field, e.g. high NO emissions during summer versus comparably low emissions in the winter period (Fig. 5). Also the differences in magnitude of NO and N₂O emissions between both sites, which are mainly due to differences in litter quality and soil pH, were well reproduced by the
15 model. The model also realistically predicted differences in the magnitude of N trace gas emissions for different field sites across Europe, i.e. low N₂O emissions in Hyytiälä and Glencorse, and slightly elevated N₂O emissions at Sorø. However, especially for the beech site at Sorø simulated emissions for the first few months of 2002 tended to be higher than field observations. This was mainly due to a simulation
20 of elevated N₂O emissions during freezing-thawing events by the PnET-N-DNDC model, which were not confirmed during field measurements. However, for this period field measurements also revealed a pronounced spatial variability of N₂O emissions (Fig. 5). N₂O and sporadically performed NO emission measurements at the Hyytiälä site showed that N trace gas emissions are close to zero. A comparable result
25 was also delivered by the PnET-N-DNDC model. For the Glencorse site the model captured the temporal variation in NO emissions during the summer period of 2002, but failed to predict the increase in NO emissions from the end of October onwards (Fig. 5). For the period during which NO field measurements had been performed the model underestimated NO emissions by approx. 30% (field mean: 4.9 g N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹;

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

simulation: $3.4 \text{ g N ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$).

Figures 6 and 7 and Tables 2 and 3 summarize results of model testing for all 19 field sites for which data from N trace gas emission measurements were available. The graph shows that the model was capable of capturing observed differences between high and low emitting sites, based on general information on soil and vegetation properties and by considering the local meteorological conditions. The relative variation between observed and simulated N_2O emissions was higher for sites with N trace gas emissions $<3 \text{ g N ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ as compared to sites with N trace gas emissions $>5 \text{ g N ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$. The linear regression of all simulated and observed mean N_2O emission rates resulted in $r^2=0.68$ (Fig. 6). On average over all test sites the model underestimated emissions by 24% ($f(x)=0.76x$). For NO the r^2 value was 0.78 (Fig. 7). Like in the case of N_2O the model also tended to underestimate NO emissions at the test sites by on average 27% ($f(x)=0.73x$). Given the wide range of complex processes involved in mediating soil N emissions, these results are very encouraging. These results of model testing for a wide variety of forest ecosystems across Europe (see also details in Tables 2 and 3) provided solid basis for the application of the PnET-N-DNDC model on a regional scale.

3.2. N_2O emissions from European forest soils

Figure 8A shows modelled N_2O emissions from forest soils across Europe resulting from the regional application of the GIS-coupled PnET-N-DNDC model. For the year 2000 simulated N_2O emissions from European forest soils ranged between 0.01 to $2.9 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. N_2O emissions $>2.5 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ were predicted for some forest ecosystems in the Netherlands. Simulated annual N_2O emissions for wide areas of Central Europe, West Spain, Slovakia and Romania were also found to be $>1.0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Furthermore, high N_2O emissions were also predicted for soils with high amounts of organic carbon content in the forest floor in Southwest Finland and in the Northern parts of Sweden (1.0 to $1.8 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). Intermediate emissions

**Inventories of N_2O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

in the range of 0.75 to 1.5 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ were simulated for large parts of Poland and the Baltic states, whereas N₂O emissions <0.5 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ were calculated for most Mediterranean and maritime regions including France and the UK, Ireland and Norway as well as large parts of central and northern Finland (Fig. 8A). The average N₂O emission of all forest sites across Europe calculated for the year 2000 was 0.58 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Table 4). This average N₂O emission value changed only slightly when the model was initialized with the meteorological drivers for the years 1990 and 1995. For 1990 an average value of 0.62 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ was calculated, whereas for 1995 the mean N₂O emission was 0.55 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Table 4). However, on a regional scale the magnitude of N₂O emissions between individual years can change significantly, as shown in Fig. 8B. The map shows that e.g. in the year 2000 the N₂O emissions from forest soils in Southern Sweden were >40% higher than in the year 1990, whereas in other areas such as the Mediterranean region N₂O emissions were 10 to >40% lower. Total N₂O emissions from forest soils across Europe for the years 1990, 1995 and 2000 were in a range of 77.6 to 86.8 kt N₂O year⁻¹ (Table 4). Due to their large forested areas Sweden and Finland contributed most to total N₂O emissions (11.9 and 10.3 kt N year⁻¹). However, on a per hectare basis forests in the Netherlands (1.26 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and Romania (0.96 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) were found to be the strongest emitters (Table 4).

3.3. NO emissions from European forest soils

Figure 8C shows the modelled NO emissions from forest soils across Europe for the year 2000. As for N₂O, the highest NO emissions were simulated for forest soils in the Netherlands and neighbouring areas in Belgium and Germany. The maximum NO emission for a grid cell in this area was 7.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. For forest soils in most parts of Germany, Belgium, Poland and the Massif Central in France, simulated NO emissions were in a range of 1.0 to 3.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Furthermore, elevated NO emissions of up to 3.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ were found for large areas of Sweden. This

finding was mainly related to the low soil pH values usually found for forest soils in this region, causing a high NO production via chemo-denitrification in the model. Mostly low emissions of NO ($<0.5 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) were simulated for forest soils in Norway, most of Finland and the Mediterranean region (Fig. 8C). The average NO emission from forest soils across Europe in the year 2000 was calculated to be $0.7 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and, thus, slightly higher than for N₂O ($0.58 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). However, the average NO emission from forest soils varied only slightly between individual simulation years (1990: $0.7 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, 1995: $0.6 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) (Table 4). Total NO emission for all forests within the simulation area was 99.2 kt N in the year 2000 which was almost the same as in the year 1990 (98.4 kt N) and slightly lower than in the year 1995 with 84.9 kt N. The major contributors to total NO emissions from forest soils in Europe were Sweden and Germany (Table 4). The interannual variability in NO emissions due to changes in meteorological conditions was pronounced. Figure 8D shows relative changes in the NO emission strength of forest soils across Europe. The map shows that NO emissions were mostly higher in Central Europe and Northern Europe but lower in the Mediterranean Region for meteorology from the year 2000 as compared to using meteorology from the year 1990.

3.4. Uncertainty estimates

Using the Most Sensitive Factor (MSF) method, which was re-evaluated prior to its use with a Monte Carlo approach (see Sect. 2.5), we calculated for each grid cell a minimum and a maximum scenario for the emission strength of N₂O and NO from forest soils. The cumulative sum for the minimum and the maximum scenarios as well as the results from the “average” scenario for the years 1990, 1995 and 2000 are given in Table 5. The table shows that e.g. in the year 2000 model initialisation with mean values for all grid cells resulted in a total N₂O emission from forest soils of $81.6 \text{ kt N yr}^{-1}$. The range of uncertainty for this respective year was 50.7 to $96.9 \text{ kt N yr}^{-1}$, which is equivalent to a relative range of -40 to $+16\%$ as compared to the average scenario. The uncertainty for the prediction of NO emissions was significantly higher as compared to N₂O. Here,

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

we calculated a total NO emission of 99.2 kt N yr⁻¹ (year 2000) for the average scenario with a range of uncertainty of 44.3 to 254.0 kt N yr⁻¹. The relative range as compared to the mean value thus equals to -66 to +156%. These remarkable differences in the uncertainty ranges between modelled N₂O and NO emissions were found to be mainly due to the pH sensitivity of NO production via chemo-denitrification.

4. Discussion

GIS coupled biogeochemical models have recently been used in a number of studies for the calculation of regional or global inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from soils (e.g. Potter et al., 1996; Davidson et al., 1998; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2001, 2004; Brown et al., 2002; Li et al., 2001, 2004). This approach has several advantages as compared to a pure statistical approach where single or a series of field measurements at one or several site(s) are extrapolated to larger regions. Advanced biogeochemical models summarize our current understanding of environmental factors which are affecting the magnitude of trace gas emissions from soils such as meteorological conditions, soil properties (pH, SOC, texture) or – if turning especially to agricultural soils – management practices. In a series of studies all these factors have been shown to largely influence the production, consumption and emission of N trace gases (e.g. Barnard and Leadley, 2005; Conrad 2002). By using biogeochemical models in inventory studies one assumes that the complexity of processes involved in N trace gas emissions and interacting factors, which is the main reason for the widely observed spatial and temporal variability in emissions, will at least partly be mimicked by the models (Li et al., 2000, 2001). In this paper we provided evidence that the PnET-N-DNDC model is indeed a powerful and reliable tool to simulate N trace gas emissions from forest soils. The application of the model to a range of field sites showed that the model can reproduce observed differences in N trace gas fluxes. The model was able to explain 68% of the site variability for N₂O and even 78% of the site variability for NO. However, the detailed site evaluation also showed that the model is

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

still far from perfect, e.g. with regard to the timing of peak emissions or the magnitude of the seasonality of N trace gas emissions (see e.g. Fig. 5 and Tables 2 and 3). Further improvement requires a better understanding and parameterisation of the microbial N turnover processes, especially with regard to denitrification with an emphasis on the ratio of N₂ to N₂O production. Furthermore, also the mechanistic description of the dynamics of plant N uptake versus microbial N uptake remains a challenge, since our understanding of the competition between the plant and microbial communities for N is still limited (Rennenberg et al., 1998). Also, more detailed site specifications such as the changes in soil properties with soil depth or the hydrological condition of a site in relation to its landscape position (e.g. with regard to the distance to the groundwater or occurrence of interflow) would further improve the basis for the simulation results. On the other hand this would require a further extension of the list of initializing and driving parameters and thus would strongly reduce the applicability of such a model on a regional basis due to the restricted availability of such data on a regional scale.

One can still find arguments that biogeochemical models such as the PnET-N-DNDC model are over-parameterised and that one may produce comparable results with more simple empirical approaches. However, due to the still limited number of field measurements and the observed importance of meteorological conditions and soil properties for the magnitude of N trace gas emissions from soils a pure empirical approach is unlikely to work. Furthermore, empirical models can only be used for the range for which they have been validated, whereas in theory biogeochemical models can also be used as predicting tools e.g. for sites with significant different site properties as the one for which the model was tested.

There still remains an argument with regard to the availability and quality of GIS information for initializing and driving complex models. In view of e.g. the spatial inhomogeneity of soil properties and the sensitivity of the PnET-N-DNDC model to changes in e.g. SOC or soil pH (Stange et al., 2000) the uncertainty about the regional distribution of these parameters results in a significant increase of the prediction error. In this study we addressed this problem on a regional scale with the Most Sensitive

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Factor (MSF) method (Li et al., 2004) and with a Monte Carlo approach for selected grid cells across Europe. By this we were able to produce an uncertainty range, which most probably covers the mean N trace gas emission of a given grid cell.

4.1. N₂O emissions

5 In our study we estimated that total average N₂O emissions from forest soils across Europe in the years 1990, 1995 and 2000 were in the range of 77.6 to 86.8 kt N yr⁻¹. The respective uncertainty range – as calculated with the MSF method by Li et al. (2004) – is 50 to 100 kt N yr⁻¹. Furthermore, we calculated that the average N₂O emission per ha forest soil and year was 0.55 to 0.62 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, with elevated emissions in Central Europe and Western Spain but also in parts of Scandinavia where soils with high organic C content in the forest floor are found. Lower emissions were calculated for the UK and some boreal forest areas. Based on a literature review by Brumme et al. (2005) N₂O emissions from boreal forests ranged between 0.1 and 0.3 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. For most forested areas in Norway, Finland and Sweden 10 our simulation results are in line with this estimate. However, especially for those areas in Scandinavia and the Baltic States for which elevated C stocks in the forest floor are reported, considerably higher N₂O emissions with values >0.75 kg N ha⁻¹ were calculated. The estimate by Brumme et al. (2005) was mainly based on N₂O emission measurements from mineral soils in the boreal region (e.g. Martikainen, 1996), whereas estimates in other recent publications, in which N₂O emissions in the boreal zone from forest soils rich in humus were reported (von Arnold et al., 2005; Maljanen et al., 2001, 2003), resulted in annual N₂O emission rates in the range of 1.0 to 10.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The highest N₂O emissions from boreal forest soils have been reported from peat soils, which have been used for agriculture prior to forestation (Maljanen et al., 2003). In the contrary, nutrient poor organic forest soils 25 have been reported to emit negligible amounts of N₂O to the atmosphere (Regina et al., 1996). The huge discrepancy between both estimates is obvious and cannot be further

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

clarified at present. We only can assume that C-rich soils from former peatlands, which have widely been drained in Fennoscandia for improving forest growth (Paavilainen and Päivänen, 1995) are indeed a stronger source for atmospheric N₂O than other soils poorer in C content in this area.

5 N₂O emission measurements from temperate forest soils in Europe have been reported to vary substantially over a wide range from 0 to 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (see e.g. data compilation by Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999) with a mean range of 0.2 to 2.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The variability in the emission strength was found to be influenced by soil properties such SOC, pH, N deposition and stand properties (e.g. Papen and
10 Butterbach-Bahl, 1999; Brumme et al., 1999; Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2002; Jungkunst et al., 2004). Furthermore, the occurrence of high winter N₂O emissions during freezing and thawing events was acknowledged as a major factor determining the magnitude of annual N₂O emissions (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002b; Teepe and Ludwig, 2004). To reduce the uncertainty in estimates of N₂O emissions from
15 temperate forest ecosystems different approaches from empirical based stratifications (Brumme et al., 1999) towards the use of process-oriented models (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2001, 2004) have been followed. Using a stratification approach in combination with functions for N₂O production in dependency from soil water content and temperature, Schulte-Bisping and Brumme (2003) estimated that the average N₂O emission from
20 forest soils in Germany is 0.32 kg N₂O N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. This estimate may represent the lower boundary of emissions since neither N deposition effects nor freezing-thawing events were considered in this approach (Schulte-Bisping and Brumme, 2003). Both of these factors were considered in the studies by Butterbach-Bahl et al. (2001, 2004) who used an older version of the PnET-N-DNDC model for estimating the regional emission strength of forest soils in South Germany and Saxony. Their estimate of a
25 mean annual N₂O emission of approx. 2 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ is significantly higher than the one of Schulte-Bisping and Brumme (2003). It is also higher than estimates calculated with the recent version of PnET-N-DNDC for Germany as presented in this paper (0.6 to 0.8 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, see Table 4), which is partly due to a) an improved parameterisation

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

of processes in the new model which was based on laboratory studies (Schindlbacher et al., 2004; Kesik et al., 2005¹), b) the aggregation of site information on the EMEP grid (ca. 50 km by 50 km) raster instead of defined polygons as in the earlier studies, and c) different simulation years.

5 With regard to the Mediterranean region only limited information about the magnitude of N₂O emissions from soils is available (see review by Butterbach-Bahl and Kiese, 2005). The few publications available show that forest soils in this area can even function as sinks for atmospheric N₂O (Rosenkranz et al., 2005³). Except for parts of Eastern Spain also our model calculated low estimates of N₂O emissions in the
10 Mediterranean region ($<0.5 \text{ kg N m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), which was largely due to a model-intrinsic limitation of microbial N turnover processes by water stress.

4.2. NO emissions

NO emissions from forest soils across Europe in the years 1990, 1995 and 2000 were calculated to be in the range of 84.9 to 99.2 kt N yr⁻¹ and, thus, approx. 10%
15 higher than for N₂O. The range of uncertainty as calculated with the MSF method is 38.3 to 254.0 kt N yr⁻¹. Using either a methodology based on Skiba et al. (1997) or Davidson and Kinglerlee (1997), Simpson et al. (1999) estimated NO emissions from soils covered with natural or semi-natural vegetation in Europe. By applying the Skiba et al. (1997) methodology excluding Russia, Ukraine and the former Yugoslavia
20 the authors came up with an estimated NO emissions of approx. 20 kt N yr⁻¹ from forest soils across Europe. The respective range for the Davidson and Kinglerlee methodology was 10 to 300 kt N yr⁻¹ (Simpson et al., 1999). The differences in the magnitude of estimated NO emissions from forest soils in Europe between the Skiba et al. (1997) methodology as applied in Simpson et al. (1999) and our approach is mainly

³Rosenkranz, P., Brüggemann, N., Papen, H., Xu, Z., Seufert, G., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: N₂O, NO and CH₄ exchange, and microbial N turnover over a Mediterranean pine forest soil, Biogeosciences, submitted, 2005.

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

due to the intended simplicity of the Skiba et al. (1997) methodology which mainly considers temperature and N input to natural systems as parameters for estimating soil bound NO emissions but neglects effects of texture, SOC or pH.

The simulated average NO emission per ha forest soil was 0.6 to 0.7 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which is in agreement with estimated average NO emissions from forest soils in the Southeastern United States (Davidson et al., 1998). In our study the highest emissions of NO from forest soils (>3 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) were simulated for highly N-affected forest areas in the Benelux states and Northern Germany, which is in accordance with field observations by Van Dijk and Duyzer (1999), who reported average NO emissions >6 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for beech and Douglas fir forests exposed to an atmospheric N deposition of approx. 40 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ at Speulderbos, Netherlands. The latter results were also confirmed by measurements within the NOFRETETE project. Also for the Höglwald region in Southern Germany, for which long-term measurements of NO emissions from beech and spruce forests are available (Gasche and Papen, 1999; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002b) simulated emissions are in accordance with field observations. For the respective grid cell we simulated an average emission of 1.5 to 3.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which is lower than the observed NO emissions from the spruce site of the Höglwald Forest (>6 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), but in agreement with observed average NO emissions from the beech site (approx. 2.8 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002b). The relatively minor discrepancies are only due to differences in scale, since in our approach generalized information for the 50 km by 50 km grid cell was used, e.g. with regard to soil properties or atmospheric N deposition. However, for large forest areas in Sweden also NO emissions in a range of 1.5 to 3.0 kg N were calculated (Fig. 8C), that are not confirmed by any measurements at present. Johansson (1984) who carried out measurements in forests close to Stockholm found that NO emissions from unfertilized forest soils were lower than 0.1 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. One still can argue that the differences in scales make it difficult to compare the results, but by studying the reasons why simulated NO emissions in large parts of Sweden were elevated we found that this was mainly due to increased NO production via chemo-denitrification. Since

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

the mechanisms in the PnET-N-DNDC model which are dealing with NO production via chemo-denitrification are in accordance with results from laboratory and field studies (e.g. van Cleemput and Baert, 1984; Gasche and Papen, 1999; Kesik et al., 2005¹), the main reason for such a discrepancy may be due to an underestimation of NO consumption in the model. At present the model only considers that NO can be consumed by denitrification, but in soil incubation studies it was shown that also oxidative NO consumption may significantly contribute to NO consumptions especially in soils rich in SOC (Dunfield and Knowles, 1997). However, since the mechanistic basis of oxidative NO consumption is not well described at present this process is still not included in the model. A further reason for the discrepancy between observed and simulated NO emissions for parts of Scandinavia may be due to differences in soil properties which were used in our model simulations as compared to those found in the individual studies. The soil pH at the sites where Johansson (1984) carried out his measurements was 4.0 or 4.5, respectively. But the soil information derived from the Soil Geographical Data Base of Europe and used in the present work revealed that the soil pH in most parts of Scandinavia is <4.0 (see Fig. 2D), i.e. at a level where chemo-denitrification in the PnET-N-DNDC model is active (Li et al., 2000).

4.3. Relevance of N trace gas emissions from forests soils in Europe as compared to other sources

Fertilized agricultural soils are assumed to be the predominant source for atmospheric N₂O. Boeckx and Van Cleemput (2001) evaluated the emission of N₂O from agricultural soils in Europe using the IPCC methodology (Mosier et al., 1998). They estimated that the average direct N₂O emission from agricultural soils across Europe is 5.6 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Somewhat lower numbers were calculated by Freibauer and Kaltschmitt (2003) who considered besides the amount of N fertilization also climatic, soil and management factors for the calculation of their estimate. For agricultural, minerotrophic soils for the temperate and sub-boreal climate regions in Europe they came up with an average emission of 2.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. This means that agricultural

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

soils are approx. a four to ten-fold stronger source for N_2O as compared to forest soils, where the average emission was calculated to be in a range of 0.55 to $0.62 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. However, if the total N_2O emissions for each land use type for different countries are calculated, the importance of forest soils as sources for atmospheric N_2O becomes evident. Using our approach and the estimates by Boeckx and Van Cleemput (2001) the emission strength of forest soils for N_2O is on average 14.5% of the emission strength of agricultural soils in Europe (Fig. 9).

Pyrogenic emissions are the dominating source for atmospheric NO_x in Europe. Using estimates of Vestreng et al. (2004), total pyrogenic emissions for our simulation area in the year 2000 would amount to approx. $10\,000 \text{ kt N yr}^{-1}$. Our calculations for NO emissions from forest soils using meteorology for the years 1990, 1995 and 2000 was in the range of 85 to 99 kt N yr^{-1} , which is $<1\%$ of the pyrogenic NO_x emissions. Using a temperature function developed by Williams et al. (1992), Stohl et al. (1996) estimated that emissions of NO from all soils including forest, grassland and arable soils, to be only 1.6% of total pyrogenic emissions. Simpson et al. (1999) presented calculations of soil- NO emissions with a range of methods (a modified version of Skiba et al., 1997; BEIS-2 – from Novak and Pierce, 1993; Yienger and Levy, 1995; Davidson and Kingerly, 1997) accounting in some of these for N inputs from atmospheric N deposition or fertilizer. The range of estimates was very large, with application of the Davidson and Kingerly methodology alone given between 13 to 350 kt N yr^{-1} for forests, depending upon the application of the default N emission rate. Compared to the approaches documented in Simpson et al. (1999), the PnET-N-DNDC approach as presented here is much more complicate but with sounder physical basis, since it considers besides temperature and N availability also the effect of e.g. soil pH, moisture or texture on NO emissions from forest soils.

**Inventories of N_2O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

5. Conclusions

The GIS-coupled process-oriented model PnET-N-DNDC, which was tested prior to its regional use on a large field data set, was used for the calculation of inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from forests soils in Europe. The results demonstrate that forest soils are a significant source of N₂O in Europe. With regard to NO, forest soils only contribute <1.0% to total NO_x emissions in Europe. However, due to the seasonality of NO emissions the relative contribution of NO emissions from forest soils to total NO_x emissions can be larger during the vegetation period and can be of importance especially in rural areas. After a thorough validation of the model against field observation and an extensive sensitivity analysis of the model performance we conclude that the PnET-N-DNDC performs very well simulating NO and N₂O emissions from European forest soils. This work demonstrates that GIS-coupled process-oriented models are valuable tools to realistically estimate biogenic N trace gas emissions from soils. From our point of view this is the most comprehensive effort to date to simulate NO and N₂O emissions from European forest soils.

Acknowledgements. The work was funded by the European Commission in the NOFRETETE project (EVK2-CT2001-00106) of the fifth framework program.

References

- Aber, J. D., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Steudler, P., and Melillo, J. M.: Nitrogen saturation in northern forest ecosystems, *BioScience*, 39, 378–386, 1989.
- Aber, J. D. and Federer, C. A.: A generalized, lumped-parameter model of photosynthesis, evaporation and net primary production in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems, *Oecologia*, 92, 463–474, 1992.
- Ambus P. and Christensen S.: Spatial and seasonal nitrous oxide and methane fluxes in Danish forest-, grassland-, and agroecosystems, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 24(5),993–1001, 1995.
- Barnard, R. and Leadley, P. W.: Global change, nitrification and denitrification: a review, *Global Biogeochem. Cycl.*, 19, GB1007, doi:10.1029/2004GB002282, 2005.

BGD

2, 779–827, 2005

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Boeckx, P. and Van Cleemput, O.: Estimates of N₂O and CH₄ fluxes from agricultural lands in various regions in Europe, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 60, 35–47, 2001.
- Böb, A.: Quantifizierung der gasförmigen Stickstoffverluste (N₂, N₂O) aus Böden unterschiedlich stickstoffbelasteter Kiefernwald-Ökosystemen im Norddeutschen Tiefland, Diploma thesis at the University Trier, Institut of Geography, 2000.
- Bowden, R. D., Melillo, J. M., Steudler, P. A., and Aber, J. D.: Effects of nitrogen additions on annual nitrous oxide fluxes from temperate forest soils in the Northeastern United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 9321–9328, 1991.
- Brown, L., Syed, B., Jarvis, S. C., Sneath, R. W., Phillips, V. R., Goulding, K. W. T., and Li, C.: Development and application of a mechanistic model to estimate emission of nitrous oxide from UK agriculture, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 917–928, 2002.
- Brumme, R. and Beese, F.: Effects of liming and nitrogen fertilization on emissions of CO₂ and N₂O from a temperate forest, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 12 851–12 858, 1992.
- Brumme, R., Borken, W., and Finke, S.: Hierarchical control on nitrous oxide emission in forest ecosystems, *Global Biogeochem. Cycl.*, 13, 1137–1148, 1999.
- Brumme, R., Verchot, L. V., Martikainen, P. J., and Potter, C. S.: Contribution of trace gases nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄) to the atmospheric warming balance of forest biomes, in: *The Carbon Balance of Forest Biomes*, edited by: Griffiths, H. and Jarvis, P. G., Taylor & Francis Group, Oxon, New York, pp. 293–318, 2005.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Gasche, R., Huber, C., Kreuzer, K., and Papen, H.: Impact of N-input by wet deposition on N-trace gas fluxes and CH₄-oxidation in spruce forest ecosystems of the temperate zone in Europe, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 559–564, 1998.
- Butterbach-Bahl K., Stange, F., Papen, H., and Li, C.: Regional inventory of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emissions for forest soils of Southeast Germany using the biogeochemical model PnET-N-DNDC, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 34 155–34 166, 2001.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Breuer, L., Gasche, R., Willibald, G., and Papen, H.: Exchange of trace gases between soils and the atmosphere in Scots pine forest ecosystems of the North Eastern German Lowlands, 1. Fluxes of N₂O, NO/NO₂ and CH₄ at forest sites with different N-deposition, *Forest Ecol. Manag.*, 167, 123–134, 2002a.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Willibald, G., Papen, H., and Gasche, R.: Exchange of N-gases at the spruce and beech sites at the Höglwald Forest – A summary, *Plant Soil*, 240, 117–123, 2002b.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kesik, M., Miehe, P., Papen, H., and Li, C.: Quantifying the regional

- source strength of N-trace gases across agricultural and forest ecosystems with process based models, *Plant Soil*, 260, 311–329, 2004.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K. and Kiese, R.: Significance of forests as sources for N₂O and NO, in: *Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change*, edited by: Binkley, D. and Menyailo, O., Springer, Netherlands, pp. 173–191, 2005.
- CEC: Soil map of European Communities at 1:1 000 000, CEC-DGVI, Brussels, Belgium, 124 pp., 1985.
- CEC: Corine Landcover, Technical Guide, Luxembourg, 1994.
- Conrad, R.: Soil microorganisms as controllers of atmospheric trace gases (H₂, CO, CH₄, OCS, N₂O and NO), *Microbiol. Rev.*, 60, 609–640, 1996.
- Conrad, R.: Microbiological and biochemical background of production and consumption of NO and N₂O in soil, in: *Trace Gas Exchange in Forest Ecosystems*, edited by: Gasche, R., Papen, H. and Rennenberg, H., pp. 3–33, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2002.
- Davidson, E. A. and Kinglerlee, W.: A global inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 48, 37–50, 1997.
- Davidson, E. A., Potter, C. S., Schlesinger, P., and Klooster, S. A.: Model estimates of regional nitric oxide emissions from soils of the southeastern United States, *Ecol. Appl.*, 8(3), 748–759, 1998.
- Dorsey, J. R., Duyzer, J. H., Gallagher, M. W., Coe, H., Pilegaard, K., Westrate, J. H., Jensen, N. O. and Walton, S.: Oxidized nitrogen and ozone interaction with forests. I: Experimental observations and analysis of exchange with Douglas fir, *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Sci.*, 130, 1941–1955, 2004.
- Dunfield, P. F. and Knowles, R.: Biological oxidation of nitric oxide in a humisol. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 24, 294–300, 1997.
- Duyzer, J. H. and Fowler, D.: Modeling land atmosphere exchange of gaseous oxides of nitrogen in Europe, *Tellus*, 46B, 353–372, 1994.
- Fagerli, H., Simpson, D., and Aas, W.: Model performance for sulphur and nitrogen compounds for the period 1980 to 2000, in: *Transboundary acidification, eutrophication and ground level ozone in Europe*, EMEP Status Report 1/2003, Part II: Unified EMEP model Performance, edited by: Tarrason, L., The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 1–66, 2003.
- Freibauer, A. and Kaltschmitt, M.: Controls and models for estimating direct nitrous oxide emissions from temperate and sub-boreal agricultural mineral soils in Europe, *Biogeochem.*,

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

63, 93–115, 2003.

Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Asner, G. P., Cleveland, C. C., Green, P. A., Holland, E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J. H., Townsend, A. R., and Vörösmarty, C. J.: Nitrogen cycles: past, present and future, *Biogeochemistry*, 70, 153–226, 2004.

Gasche, R. and Papen, H.: A 3-year continuous record of nitrogen trace gas fluxes from untreated and limed soil of a N-saturated spruce and beech forest ecosystem in Germany: 2. NO and NO₂ fluxes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 18 505–18 520, 1999.

Gessler, A., Rienks, M., and Rennenberg, H.: Stomatal uptake and cuticular adsorption contribute to dry deposition of NH₃ and NO₂ to needles of adult spruce (*Picea abies*) trees, *New Phytol.*, 156, 179–194, 2001.

Göttlein, A. and Kreuzer, K.: The Höglwald experimental site as compared to other ecological field studies, *Forstwiss. Forsch.*, 39, 22–29, 1991.

Goodale, C. L., Aber, J. D., and Farrell, E. P.: Predicting the relative sensitivity of forest production in Ireland to site quality and climate change, *Climate Res.*, 10(1), 51–67, 1998.

IPCC, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Houghton, J. T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D. J., Noguer, M., van der Linden, P. J., Dai, X., Maskell, K., and Johnson, C. A., 881 pp., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, USA, 2001.

Jandl, R., Kopecki, H., and Glatzel, G.: Effect of a dense *Allium ursinum* (L.) ground cover on nutrient dynamics and mesofauna of *Fagus sylvatica* (L.) woodland, *Plant Soil*, 189, 245–255, 1997.

Johansson, C.: Field measurements of emission of nitric oxide from fertilized and unfertilized forest soils in Sweden, *J. Atmosph. Chem.*, 1, 429–442, 1984.

Jungkunst, H., Fiedler, S., and Stahr, K.: N₂O emissions of a mature Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) stand in the Black Forest (southwest Germany) as differentiated by the soil pattern, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D07302, doi:10.1029/2003JD004344, 2004.

Kiese, R., Li, C., Hilbert, D. W., Papen, H., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Regional application of PnET-N-DNDC for estimating the N₂O source strength of tropical rainforests in the Wet Tropics of Australia, *Global Change Biol.*, 11(1), 128–144, 2005.

Köble, R. and Seufert, G.: Novel maps for forest tree species in Europe, Proceedings of the 8th European Symposium on the Physico-Chemical Behaviour of Air Pollutants: “A Changing

BGD

2, 779–827, 2005

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**M. Kesik et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmosphere!”, Torino (It) 17–20 September 2001.

Li, C., Frolking, S., and Frolking, T. A.: A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events: 1. Model structure and sensitivity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 9759–9776, 1992.

Li, C., Narayanan, V., and Harris, R.: Model estimates of nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural lands in the United States, *Global Biogeochem. Cycl.*, 10, 297–306, 1996.

Li, C.: Modeling trace gas emissions from agricultural ecosystems, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecos.*, 58, 259–276, 2000.

Li, C., Aber, J., Stange, F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Papen, H.: A process oriented model of N₂O and NO emissions from forest soils: 1. Model development, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 4369–4384, 2000.

Li, C., Zhuang, Y., Cao, M., Crill, P., Dai, Z., Frolking, S., Moore, B., Salas, W., Song, W., and Wang, X.: Comparing a process-based agro-ecosystem model to the IPCC methodology for developing a national inventory of N₂O emissions from arable lands in China, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 60, 159–175, 2001.

Li, C., Mosier, A., Wassmann, R., Cai, Z. Zheng, X., Huang, Y., Tsuruta, H., Boonjawat, J., and Lantin, R.: Modeling greenhouse gas emissions from rice-based production systems: Sensitivity and upscaling, *Global Biogeochem. Cycl.*, 18, GB1043, doi:10.1019/2003GB002045, 2004.

Li, C., Frolking, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Carbon Sequestration can increase nitrous oxide emissions, *Climatic change*, in press, 2005.

Maljanen, M., Hytönen, J., and Martikainen P. J.: Fluxes of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ on afforested boreal agricultural soils, *Plant Soil*, 231, 113–121, 2001.

Maljanen, M., Liikanen, A., Silvola, J., and Martikainen, P.J.: Nitrous oxide emissions from boreal organic soil under different land-use, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 35, 1–12, 2003.

Martikainen, P. J.: Microbial processes in boreal forest soils as affected by forest management practices and atmospheric stress, in: *Soil Biochemistry*, edited by: Stotzky, G. and Bollag, J. M., Dekker Inc., NY, pp. 195–232, 1996.

Meixner, F. X.: Surface exchange of odd nitrogen oxides, *Nova Acta Leopold.*, NF70, 299–348, 1994.

Mosier, A. R., Kroeze, C., Nevison, C., Oenema, O., Seitzinger, S., and Van Cleemput, O.: Closing the global N₂O budget: nitrous oxide emission through the agricultural nitrogen cycle, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 52, 225–248, 1998.

Mücher, C. A.: Pan-European Land Cover Mapping (PELCOM) project. Development of a

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

- consistent methodology to derive landcover information on a European scale from remote sensing for environmental modelling, 299 pages, 2000.
- Novak, J. H. and Pierce, T. E.: Natural emissions of oxidant precursors. Water, air, and soil pollution, 67, 57–77, 1993.
- 5 Paavilainen, E. and Päivänen, J.: Peatland forestry – ecology and principles, Ecological Studies, 111, 248 pp., Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- Papen, H. and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: A 3-year continuous record of nitrogen trace gas fluxes from untreated and limed soil of a N-saturated spruce and beech forest ecosystem in Germany, 1. N₂O emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 18 487–18 503, 1999.
- 10 Pilegaard, K., Hummelshøj, P., and Jensen, N. O.: Nitric oxide emission from a Norway spruce forest floor, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 3433–3445, 1999.
- Potter, C. S., Matson, P. A., Vitousek, P. M., and Davidson, E. A.: Process modeling of controls on nitrogen trace gas emissions from soils worldwide, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 1361–1377, 1996.
- 15 Regina, K., Nykänen, H., Silvola, J., and Martikainen, P.: Fluxes of nitrous oxide from boreal peatlands as affected by peatland type, water table level and nitrification capacity, Biogeochem. 35, 401–418, 1996.
- Rennenberg, H., Kreutzer, K., Papen, H., and Weber, P.: Consequences of high loads of nitrogen for spruce (*Picea abies*) and beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) Forests, New Phytol., 139, 71–86, 1998.
- 20 Sandnes-Lenschow, H. and Tsyro, S.: Meteorological input data for EMEP/MS-CW air pollution models, EMEP MS-CW Note 2/2000, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2000.
- Schindlbacher, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Effects of soil moisture and temperature on NO, NO₂ and N₂O emissions from forest soils, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D17302, 17 302–17 309, 2004.
- 25 Schmidt, J., Seiler, W., and Conrad, R.: Emission of nitrous oxide from temperate forest soils into the atmosphere, J. Atmos. Chem., 6, 95–115, 1988.
- Schulte-Bisping, H. and Brumme, R.: Nitrous oxide inventory of German forest soils, J. Geophys. Res., 108, D4132, 148–227, 2003.
- 30 Simpson, D., Fagerli, H., Jonson, J. E., Tsyro, S., Wind, P., and Tuovinen, J.-P.: The EMEP Unified Eulerian Model, Model Description, EMEP MS-CW Report 1/2003, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2003.

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

- Simpson, D., Winiwarter, W., Börjesson, G., Cinderby, S., Ferreiro, A., Guenther, A., Hewitt, C.N., Janson, R., Khalil, M. A. K., Owen, S., Pierce, T. E., Puxbaum, H., Shearer, M., Skiba, U., Steinbrecher, R., Tarrason, L., and Öquist, M. G.: Inventorying emissions from nature in Europe, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 8113–8152, 1999.
- 5 Skiba, U., Fowler, D., and Smith, K. A.: Emissions of NO and N₂O from soils, *Environ. Mon. Assess.*, 31, 153–158, 1994.
- Skiba, U., Fowler, D., and Smith, K. A.: Nitric oxide emissions from agricultural soils in temperate and tropical climates: source, controls and mitigation options, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 48, 139–153, 1997.
- 10 Stange, F.: Entwicklung und Anwendung eines prozeßorientierten Modells zur Beschreibung der N₂O und NO-Emissionen aus Böden temperater Wälder. Dissertation at the Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, 2000.
- Stange, F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Papen, H.: A process-oriented model of N₂O and NO emissions from forest soils, 2. Sensitivity analysis and validation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 4385–4398, 2000.
- 15 Stohl, A., Williams, E., Wotawa, G., and Kromp-Kolb, H.: A European inventory of soil nitric oxide emissions and the effect of these emissions on the photochemical formation of ozone, *Atmos. Environ.*, 30(22), 3741–3755, 1996.
- Teep, R. and Ludwig, B.: Variability of CO₂ and N₂O emissions during freeze-thaw cycles: results of model experiments on undisturbed forest-soil cores, *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 167, 153–159, 2004.
- 20 UN-ECE: International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests. Manual on methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and analysis of the effects of air pollution on forests (<http://www.icp-forests.org>), 1998.
- 25 Van Cleemput, O. and Baert, L.: Nitrite: A key compound in N loss processes under acid conditions? *Plant Soil*, 76, 233–241, 1984.
- Van Dijk, S. M. and Duyzer, J. H.: Nitric oxide emissions from forest soils, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 15 955–15 961, 1999.
- 30 Vesala, T., Haataja, J., Aalto, P., Altimir, N., Buzorius, G., Garam, E., Hämeri, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Jokinen, V., Keronen, P., Lahti, T., Markkanen, T., Mäkelä, J.M., Nikinmaa, E., Palmroth, S., Palva, L., Pohja, T., Pumpanen, J., Rannik, Ü., Siivola, E., Ylitalo, H., Hari, P., and Kulmala, M.: Long-term field measurements of atmosphere-surface interactions in boreal forest

combining forest ecology, micrometeorology, aerosol physics and atmospheric chemistry. Trends in Heat, Mass and Momentum Transfer 4, 17–35, 1998.

Vestreng, V., Adams, M., and Goodwin, J.: Inventory Review 2004. Emission Data reported to CLRTAP and under the NEC Directive, EMEP/EEA Joint Review Report, Tech. Report, EMEP-MSCW Report 1/2004, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2004.

Von Arnold, K., Nilsson, M., Hånell, B., Weslien, P., Kledtsson, L.: Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from drained organic soils in deciduous forests, Soil Biol. Biochem., 37, 1059–1071, 2005.

Williams, E. J., Hutchinson, G. L., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: NO_x and N₂O emissions from soil, Global Biogeochem. Cycl., 6, 351–388, 1992.

10 Yienger, J. J. and Levy, H.: Empirical model of global soil-biogenic NO_x emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 11 447–11 464, 1995.

Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Hahn, M., Meger, S., and Jandl, R.: Nitrous oxide emissions and nitrate leaching in relation to microbial biomass dynamics in a beech forest soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 34, 823–832, 2002.

BGD

2, 779–827, 2005

**Inventories of N₂O
and NO emissions
from European forest
soils**

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Table 1. Site characteristics of the temperate forest sites used for model testing.

| Site, Country | Coordinate | Forest Type | Forest Age [yr] | Humus Type | Soil Texture | Clay | | pH | SOC [kg C kg ⁻¹] | Years | N Input [kg N yr ⁻¹] | Annual temperature [°C] | Annual precipitation [mm] |
|---|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Forest floor | Mineral soil | | | | | | |
| Achenkirch, Austria ^a | 47° N 11° E | Spruce | 125 | Mull | Loam | 19 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 0.077 | 1998–1999 2002–2003 | 2.7–7.7 6.8–5.0 | 6.8–6.9 7.5–7.0 | 1691–1976 1747–1275 |
| Copenhagen, Denmark ^b | 55° N 12° E | Spruce | 30 | Moder | Loamy sand | 6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.061 | 1992 | 11.3 | 8.6 | 756 |
| Glencorse, UK | 55° N 3° W | Birch | 21 | Moder | Silty loam | 18 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0.070 | 2002–2003 | 12.9–9.2 | 9.1–7.9 | 1183–840 |
| Glencorse, UK | 55° N 3° W | Sitka spruce | 19 | Moder | Silty loam | 18 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 0.070 | 2002–2003 | 12.9–9.2 | 9.1–7.9 | 1183–840 |
| Harvard Forest, USA ^c | 42° N 72° W | Hard-woods | 79 | Moder | Sandy loam | 9 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 0.076 | 1989 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 1120 |
| Höglwald, Germany ^{d,e} | 48° N 11° E | Beech | 87 | Mull | Loam | 19 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.051 | 1994–1997 | 18.3–26.0 | 6.1–10.1 | 731–1041 |
| Höglwald, Germany | 48° N 11° E | Beech | 110 | Mull | Sandy loam | 9 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 0.051 | 2002–2003 | 26.3–14.3 | 9.1–8.9 | 1054–571 |
| Höglwald, Germany ^{d,e} | 48° N 11° E | Spruce | 96 | Moder | Loam | 19 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 0.029 | 1994–1997 2002–2003 | 18.3–26.0 26.3–14.3 | 6.1–10.1 9.1–8.9 | 731–1041 1054–571 |
| Hyytiälä, Finland ^f | 61° N 24° E | Pine | 40 | Moder | Sandy loam | 9 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 0.029 | 2002–2003 | 5 | 4.2–4.1 | 304–427 |
| Klausenleopoldsdorf, Austria ^a | 48° N 16° E | Beech | 55 | Moder | Sandy clay loam | 27 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 0.051 | 1996–1997 2002–2003 | 9.5–12.9 12.0–6.4 | 8.6–8.9 9.0–8.3 | 763–1035 959–515 |
| Matrafüred, Hungary | 48° N 20° E | Spruce | 35 | Moder | Sandy loam | 9 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 0.019 | 2002–2003 | 13.3–7.3 | 9.0–8.1 | 809–678 |
| Matrafüred, Hungary | 48° N 20° E | Oak | 63 | Mull | Sandy loam | 9 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 0.036 | 2002–2003 | 8.7–6.5 | 9.0–8.1 | 809–678 |
| Parco Ticino, Italy | 45° N 9° E | Hard-woods | 150 | Mull | Loamy sand | 6 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 0.067 | 2002–2003 | 10.7–6.0 | 14.3–14.5 | 1066–602 |
| Parco Ticino, Italy | 45° N 9° E | Poplar | 13 | Moder | Sandy loam | 9 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 0.010 | 2002–2003 | 10.7–6.0 | 14.3–14.5 | 1066–602 |
| San Rossore, Italy | 43° N 10° E | Pine | 38 | Raw humus | Sand | 3 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 0.007 | 2002–2003 | 5.5–3.7 | 14.4–14.7 | 1101–742 |
| Schottenwald, Austria ^d | 48° N 16° E | Beech | 135 | Moder | Silty loam | 18 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 0.068 | 1996–1997 2002–2003 | 25.1–34.1 33.6–16.3 | 9.4–9.7 10.3–10.0 | 718–973 959–467 |
| Soro, Denmark | 55° N 12° E | Beech | 80 | Moder | Loamy sand | 9 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 0.040 | 2002–2003 | 45.6–23.9 | 8.8–8.6 | 1013–532 |
| Speulderbos, Netherlands | 52° N 5° E | Douglas fir | 43 | Moder | Sand | 3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.090 | 2002–2003 | 56.7–37.6 | 10.6–10.2 | 924–613 |
| Wildbahn, Germany ^g | 53° N | Pine | 65 | Moder | Loamy sand | 6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 0.035 | 1997 | 12.3 | 8.3 | 616 |

^a Data of Forstliche Bundesversuchsanstalt, Vienna,^b Ambus and Christensen, 1995;^c Bowden et al., 1991;^d Göttlein and Kreutzer, 1991;^e Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999;^f Vesala et al., 1998;^g Jandl et al., 1997;^h Böß, 2000.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 2. Compilation of results for N₂O emissions from the different field sites as derived from model runs with PnET-N-DNDC and from field measurements.

| Site-No. | Site-name | Year | Measuring days | Mean N ₂ O emission | | Model performance | |
|--------------|----------------------|------|----------------|--|---|-------------------|--|
| | | | | Measured g N ha ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ | Simulated g N ha ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ | R ² | RMSPE _n g N ha ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ |
| 1 | Achenkirch | 1998 | 18 | 4.0±0.6 | 2.3±0.4 | 0.48 | 2.55 |
| 2 | Achenkirch | 1999 | 19 | 2.4±0.5 | 2.3±0.4 | 0.37 | 1.74 |
| 3 | Achenkirch | 2002 | 122 | 1.1±0.1 | 2.9±0.1 | 0.00 | 2.43 |
| 4 | Achenkirch | 2003 | 194 | 0.8±0.1 | 3.0±0.1 | 0.11 | 2.88 |
| 5 | Copenhagen | 1992 | 17 | 2.3±0.5 | 0.5±0.1 | 0.02 | 2.88 |
| 8 | Glencorse Sitka | 2002 | 87 | 0.5±0.1 | 1.3±0.0 | 0.00 | 1.06 |
| 9 | Glencorse Sitka | 2003 | 122 | 0.2±0.0 | 0.6±0.2 | 0.00 | 0.70 |
| 10 | Höglwald Beech | 1994 | 105 | 2.7±0.1 | 7.6±0.5 | 0.47 | 6.39 |
| 11 | Höglwald Beech | 1995 | 341 | 10.0±0.5 | 11.3±0.4 | 0.11 | 9.19 |
| 12 | Höglwald Beech | 1996 | 307 | 18.2±1.0 | 15.7±0.8 | 0.42 | 13.63 |
| 13 | Höglwald Beech | 1997 | 348 | 5.5±0.3 | 9.6±0.3 | 0.09 | 7.91 |
| 14 | Höglwald Beech | 2002 | 103 | 2.3±0.2 | 1.6±0.2 | 0.20 | 2.87 |
| 15 | Höglwald Beech | 2003 | 158 | 3.9±0.3 | 7.6±0.4 | 0.22 | 5.74 |
| 16 | Höglwald Spruce | 1994 | 345 | 1.1±0.0 | 2.4±0.1 | 0.14 | 1.74 |
| 17 | Höglwald Spruce | 1995 | 359 | 2.1±0.1 | 2.8±0.9 | 0.01 | 1.92 |
| 18 | Höglwald Spruce | 1996 | 343 | 8.5±0.8 | 4.6±0.2 | 0.48 | 13.83 |
| 19 | Höglwald Spruce | 1997 | 346 | 1.8±0.2 | 2.3±0.1 | 0.44 | 2.38 |
| 20 | Höglwald Spruce | 2002 | 343 | 1.9±0.1 | 2.4±0.1 | 0.25 | 1.62 |
| 21 | Höglwald Spruce | 2003 | 340 | 1.0±0.1 | 2.0±0.5 | 0.27 | 1.41 |
| 22 | Hyytiälä | 2002 | 17 | 0.1±0.1 | 0.5±0.1 | 0.15 | 0.74 |
| 23 | Hyytiälä | 2003 | 11 | 0.2±0.0 | 0.2±0.1 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
| 24 | Klausenleopoldsdorf | 1996 | 16 | 6.6±1.0 | 3.8±0.4 | 0.41 | 4.20 |
| 25 | Klausenleopoldsdorf | 1997 | 21 | 5.2±1.1 | 3.1±0.5 | 0.69 | 4.08 |
| 26 | Klausenleopoldsdorf | 2002 | 119 | 1.9±0.2 | 3.1±0.2 | 0.00 | 2.68 |
| 27 | Klausenleopoldsdorf | 2003 | 178 | 1.5±0.1 | 3.8±0.1 | 0.04 | 3.11 |
| 28 | Matrafüred Oak | 2002 | 10 | 4.0±1.4 | 1.4±0.2 | 0.60 | 4.64 |
| 29 | Matrafüred Oak | 2003 | 27 | 6.6±0.8 | 5.3±0.5 | 0.00 | 4.91 |
| 30 | Matrafüred Spruce | 2002 | 11 | 4.8±1.5 | 0.4±0.2 | 0.28 | 6.71 |
| 31 | Matrafüred Spruce | 2003 | 28 | 4.8±0.7 | 1.3±0.1 | 0.29 | 4.97 |
| 32 | P. Ticino BoscoNegri | 2002 | 57 | 1.4±0.3 | 1.6±0.2 | 0.14 | 2.15 |
| 33 | P. Ticino BoscoNegri | 2003 | 177 | 0.5±0.0 | 1.2±0.1 | 0.10 | 1.02 |
| 34 | P. Ticino Poplar | 2002 | 48 | 0.5±0.2 | 1.0±0.1 | 0.04 | 1.38 |
| 35 | P. Ticino Poplar | 2003 | 17 | 0.7±0.2 | 0.6±0.1 | 0.11 | 0.92 |
| 36 | San Rossore | 2002 | 65 | 0.9±0.1 | 4.1±0.1 | 0.00 | 3.52 |
| 37 | San Rossore | 2003 | 183 | 0.2±0.0 | 2.1±0.1 | 0.14 | 2.21 |
| 38 | Schottenwald | 1996 | 16 | 15.9±2.8 | 9.0±1.2 | 0.19 | 11.85 |
| 39 | Schottenwald | 1997 | 21 | 13.4±3.3 | 8.3±1.1 | 0.66 | 12.43 |
| 40 | Schottenwald | 2002 | 162 | 11.4±0.9 | 8.0±0.3 | 0.05 | 11.98 |
| 41 | Schottenwald | 2003 | 252 | 9.8±0.5 | 6.4±0.2 | 0.00 | 9.29 |
| 42 | Sorø | 2002 | 19 | 2.4±0.5 | 1.7±0.2 | 0.04 | 2.18 |
| 43 | Sorø | 2003 | 156 | 1.5±0.1 | 2.5±0.1 | 0.21 | 1.70 |
| 44 | Speulderbos | 2002 | 107 | 0.8±0.1 | 1.8±0.1 | 0.28 | 1.19 |
| 45 | Speulderbos | 2003 | 216 | 0.4±0.0 | 2.7±0.1 | 0.32 | 2.51 |
| 46 | Harvard Forest | 1989 | 10 | 0.1±0.2 | 0.2±0.1 | 0.06 | 0.60 |
| 47 | Wildbahn | 1997 | 10 | 1.7±0.2 | 1.2±0.2 | 0.63 | 0.70 |
| Total | | | 5971 | 4.2±0.1 | 4.7±0.1 | 0.51 | 6.50 |

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 3. Compilation of results for NO emissions from the different field sites as derived from model runs with PnET-N-DNDC and from field measurements.

| Site-No. | Site-name | Year | Measuring days | Mean NO emission | | Model performance | |
|--------------|---------------------|------|----------------|--|-----------|-------------------|--|
| | | | | Measured g N ha ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ | Simulated | R ² | RMSPE _n g N ha ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ |
| 3 | Achenkirch | 2002 | 93 | 0.2±0.0 | 3.1±0.1 | 0.00 | 3.26 |
| 4 | Achenkirch | 2003 | 153 | 0.1±0.0 | 3.6±0.2 | 0.01 | 4.33 |
| 6 | Glencorse Birch | 2002 | 197 | -0.1±0.1 | 1.6±0.1 | 0.00 | 2.03 |
| 7 | Glencorse Birch | 2003 | 176 | 1.0±0.1 | 0.7±0.1 | 0.23 | 0.99 |
| 8 | Glencorse Sitka | 2002 | 182 | 4.9±0.2 | 3.4±0.1 | 0.00 | 3.01 |
| 9 | Glencorse Sitka | 2003 | 176 | 7.6±0.4 | 1.4±0.1 | 0.11 | 7.70 |
| 10 | Höglwald Beech | 1994 | 104 | 2.1±0.1 | 3.9±0.2 | 0.05 | 2.84 |
| 11 | Höglwald Beech | 1995 | 334 | 6.2±0.2 | 5.5±0.3 | 0.23 | 4.73 |
| 12 | Höglwald Beech | 1996 | 327 | 7.5±0.4 | 4.3±0.2 | 0.12 | 7.37 |
| 13 | Höglwald Beech | 1997 | 337 | 9.8±0.4 | 6.1±0.2 | 0.24 | 7.51 |
| 14 | Höglwald Beech | 2002 | 134 | 2.7±0.1 | 2.3±0.2 | 0.08 | 2.28 |
| 15 | Höglwald Beech | 2003 | 176 | 6.9±0.6 | 3.3±0.2 | 0.22 | 8.52 |
| 16 | Höglwald Spruce | 1994 | 357 | 17.5±0.5 | 20.7±0.7 | 0.57 | 9.02 |
| 17 | Höglwald Spruce | 1995 | 332 | 23.6±0.7 | 19.3±0.7 | 0.45 | 11.51 |
| 18 | Höglwald Spruce | 1996 | 349 | 24.9±1.0 | 16.1±0.7 | 0.41 | 16.32 |
| 19 | Höglwald Spruce | 1997 | 359 | 19.4±0.6 | 17.7±0.6 | 0.38 | 10.25 |
| 20 | Höglwald Spruce | 2002 | 277 | 15.4±0.6 | 19.4±0.8 | 0.53 | 9.47 |
| 21 | Höglwald Spruce | 2003 | 209 | 32.2±1.9 | 18.9±0.8 | 0.54 | 24.29 |
| 27 | Klausenleopoldsdorf | 2003 | 63 | 0.2±0.0 | 2.4±0.2 | 0.22 | 2.63 |
| 30 | Matrafüred Spruce | 2002 | 6 | 0.2±0.1 | 0.1±0.1 | 0.14 | 0.25 |
| 31 | Matrafüred Spruce | 2003 | 13 | 0.5±0.2 | 1.6±0.3 | 0.15 | 1.47 |
| 40 | Schottenwald | 2002 | 125 | 3.5±0.1 | 7.0±0.4 | 0.09 | 5.25 |
| 41 | Schottenwald | 2003 | 132 | 5.6±0.3 | 4.4±0.3 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 43 | Sorø | 2003 | 231 | 0.8±0.0 | 2.7±0.1 | 0.03 | 12.26 |
| 44 | Speulderbos | 2002 | 112 | 15.4±1.2 | 9.1±0.4 | 0.30 | 15.62 |
| 45 | Speulderbos | 2003 | 229 | 20.4±1.0 | 9.7±0.4 | 0.51 | 0.38 |
| 47 | Wildbahn | 1997 | 5 | 2.7±0.1 | 2.6±0.2 | 0.03 | 2.63 |
| Total | | | 5191 | 11.7±0.2 | 9.5±0.2 | 0.70 | 9.90 |

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Table 4. Average and total simulated N₂O and NO emissions from forest soils for individual European countries using meteorology for the years 1990, 1995 and 2000.

| Country | Forested Area Km ² | 1990 | | | 1995 | | | 2000 | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| | | N ₂ O kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ | kt N yr ⁻¹ | NO kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ | kt N yr ⁻¹ | N ₂ O kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ | kt N yr ⁻¹ | NO kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ | kt N yr ⁻¹ | N ₂ O kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ | kt N yr ⁻¹ | NO kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ | kt N yr ⁻¹ |
| Andorra | 232 | 0.70 | 1.6 × 10 ⁻² | 1.04 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 5.0 × 10 ⁻³ | 0.28 | 6.6 × 10 ⁻³ | 0.23 | 5.3 × 10 ⁻³ | 0.36 | 8.4 × 10 ⁻³ |
| Austria | 24032 | 0.86 | 2.08 | 0.72 | 1.73 | 0.60 | 1.44 | 0.48 | 1.14 | 0.64 | 1.53 | 0.62 | 1.50 |
| Belgium | 7699 | 0.76 | 0.58 | 1.56 | 1.20 | 0.61 | 0.47 | 1.26 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.72 | 1.96 | 1.51 |
| Bulgaria | 28494 | 0.95 | 2.71 | 0.80 | 2.27 | 0.58 | 1.64 | 0.64 | 1.82 | 0.70 | 1.99 | 0.56 | 1.61 |
| Croatia | 12574 | 0.86 | 1.08 | 0.93 | 1.16 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.91 | 0.60 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.87 |
| Czech. Republic | 20406 | 0.60 | 1.23 | 1.05 | 2.13 | 0.52 | 1.07 | 0.80 | 1.63 | 0.68 | 1.38 | 1.09 | 2.23 |
| Denmark | 18608 | 0.58 | 1.08 | 0.85 | 1.58 | 0.48 | 0.90 | 0.68 | 1.26 | 0.70 | 1.30 | 0.94 | 1.75 |
| Estonia | 18341 | 0.51 | 0.93 | 0.49 | 0.90 | 0.70 | 1.28 | 0.60 | 1.11 | 0.57 | 1.05 | 0.72 | 1.32 |
| Finland | 159676 | 0.77 | 12.35 | 0.56 | 8.88 | 0.74 | 11.79 | 0.64 | 10.25 | 0.65 | 10.30 | 0.58 | 9.32 |
| France | 132395 | 0.57 | 7.60 | 0.67 | 8.84 | 0.46 | 6.07 | 0.53 | 7.07 | 0.55 | 7.26 | 0.72 | 9.58 |
| Germany | 117848 | 0.72 | 8.48 | 1.16 | 13.70 | 0.58 | 6.84 | 0.93 | 10.93 | 0.77 | 9.09 | 1.30 | 15.28 |
| Gibraltar | 0.43 | 0.55 | 2.4 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 0.07 | 3.0 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.54 | 2.3 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 0.03 | 1.3 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.55 | 2.4 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.05 | 2.2 × 10 ⁻⁶ |
| Greece | 30676 | 0.68 | 2.09 | 0.45 | 1.38 | 0.53 | 1.64 | 0.36 | 1.11 | 0.55 | 1.68 | 0.35 | 1.07 |
| Hungary | 21181 | 0.57 | 1.21 | 0.49 | 1.03 | 0.57 | 1.22 | 0.49 | 1.03 | 0.75 | 1.59 | 0.49 | 1.04 |
| Irish Republic | 5523 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.20 |
| Italy | 59834 | 0.91 | 5.43 | 0.78 | 4.68 | 0.60 | 3.57 | 0.64 | 3.84 | 0.59 | 3.56 | 0.63 | 3.78 |
| Latvia | 28229 | 0.42 | 1.19 | 0.72 | 2.04 | 0.74 | 2.08 | 0.74 | 2.08 | 0.73 | 2.07 | 0.79 | 2.24 |
| Liechtenstein | 89 | 0.87 | 7.7 × 10 ⁻³ | 0.39 | 3.4 × 10 ⁻³ | 0.97 | 8.6 × 10 ⁻³ | 0.23 | 2.1 × 10 ⁻³ | 0.77 | 6.7 × 10 ⁻³ | 0.27 | 2.4 × 10 ⁻³ |
| Lithuania | 18843 | 0.29 | 0.55 | 0.43 | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.79 | 0.53 | 1.01 | 0.52 | 0.98 |
| Luxembourg | 1032 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.98 | 0.10 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 1.09 | 0.11 |
| Monaco | 0.21 | 0.21 | 4.3 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.12 | 2.5 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.26 | 5.4 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.22 | 4.7 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.26 | 5.5 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.16 | 3.3 × 10 ⁻⁶ |
| Netherlands | 8271 | 0.99 | 0.82 | 2.39 | 1.98 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 1.84 | 1.52 | 1.26 | 1.04 | 3.01 | 2.49 |
| Norway | 159482 | 0.19 | 2.99 | 0.05 | 0.86 | 0.23 | 3.62 | 0.04 | 0.63 | 0.17 | 2.69 | 0.05 | 0.72 |
| Poland | 76358 | 0.52 | 4.00 | 1.10 | 8.37 | 0.50 | 3.79 | 0.87 | 6.65 | 0.59 | 4.53 | 1.06 | 8.06 |
| Portugal | 32713 | 0.39 | 1.26 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 0.38 | 1.26 | 0.13 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 1.18 | 0.10 | 0.33 |
| Romania | 41284 | 0.85 | 3.50 | 0.76 | 3.13 | 0.60 | 2.49 | 0.68 | 2.80 | 0.96 | 3.95 | 0.70 | 2.88 |
| San Marino | 0.35 | 0.27 | 9.4 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.31 | 1.1 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 0.28 | 9.8 × 10 ⁻⁶ | 0.31 | 1.1 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 0.30 | 1.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 0.26 | 9.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ |
| Slovakia | 9162 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.83 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.94 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.80 |
| Slovenia | 7881 | 1.14 | 0.90 | 1.30 | 1.02 | 0.67 | 0.52 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.65 | 1.10 | 0.87 |
| Spain | 138484 | 0.65 | 8.97 | 0.37 | 5.19 | 0.60 | 8.36 | 0.29 | 3.98 | 0.57 | 7.94 | 0.27 | 3.80 |
| Sweden | 196236 | 0.68 | 13.43 | 1.14 | 22.33 | 0.68 | 13.34 | 1.03 | 20.20 | 0.61 | 11.94 | 1.19 | 23.27 |
| Switzerland | 12407 | 0.81 | 1.01 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.47 | 0.58 |
| United Kingdom | 22481 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.75 | 0.24 | 0.53 | 0.35 | 0.80 | 0.27 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 0.99 |
| Sum | 1410477 | | 86.78 | | 98.37 | | 77.59 | | 84.89 | | 81.59 | | 99.20 |
| Average | | 0.62 | | 0.70 | | 0.55 | | 0.60 | | 0.58 | | 0.70 | |

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Table 5. Range of uncertainty for calculated total N₂O and NO emissions from forest soils across Europe. The uncertainty ranges were calculated by use of the Most Sensitive Factor method (Li et al., 2004).

| Meteorology Year | N ₂ O emission (kt N yr ⁻¹) | | |
|---------------------|--|------------------|------------------|
| | Minimum Scenario | Average Scenario | Maximum Scenario |
| 1990 | 55.1 | 86.8 | 100.3 |
| 1995 | 50.0 | 77.6 | 96.2 |
| 2000 | 50.7 | 81.6 | 96.9 |

| Meteorology Year | NO emission (kt N yr ⁻¹) | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Minimum Scenario | Average Scenario | Maximum Scenario |
| 1990 | 44.6 | 98.4 | 247.8 |
| 1995 | 38.3 | 84.9 | 220.2 |
| 2000 | 44.4 | 99.2 | 254.0 |

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.




Fig. 1. Scheme for data aggregation and visualisation used for the calculation of a regional inventory of N trace gas emissions from forest soils.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.




Fig. 2. Distribution of land cover types and selected soil properties across Europe. Data were derived either from CORINE or PELCOM land cover data sets or from the Soil Geographical Data Base of Europe. Note that soil property information is only valid for forest soils.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 3. Regional distribution of mean annual air temperature (A) and sum of annual precipitation (C) in the year 2000 across Europe. Figures (B) and (D) show the relative change in temperature or precipitation between the years 2000 and 1990. Meteorological data were provided by the Norwegian Meteorological Institute.

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.




Fig. 4. Annual values of wet deposition of N in Europe in the year 2000 (A) and changes in wet deposition of N using 1990 meteorology versus 2000 meteorology (year 2000 emissions) (B). Calculations with EMEP MSC-W Photo-oxidant model.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.




Fig. 5. Comparison of measured (triangles/circles) and simulated (solid line) N₂O and NO emissions for the forest sites Höglwald (spruce, Germany), Sorø (beech, Denmark), Hyttiälä (Pine, Finland) and Glencorse (Sitka spruce, Scotland). No measuring points are shown for NO fluxes at Hyttiälä since fluxes were always below the detection limit.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.




Fig. 6. Comparison of measured and simulated average daily N₂O emissions for different forest sites across Europe. The numbers refer to the individual sites and observation years as listed in Table 2. Regression line (solid line), 95% confidence limits for the slope (short dashes), and 95% prediction limits (long dashes) are provided.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.




Fig. 7. Comparison of measured and simulated average daily NO emissions for different forest sites across Europe. The numbers refer to the individual sites and observation years as listed in Table 3. Regression line (solid line), 95% confidence limits for the slope (short dashes), and 95% prediction limits (long dashes) are provided.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 8. Regional distribution of annual N_2O (A) and NO emissions (C) from forest soils in Europe (in $kg\ N\ ha^{-1}\ yr^{-1}$). Figures (B) and (D) show relative changes in the N_2O (B) or NO (D) emission strength if meteorological data for the year 2000 were exchanged with those of the year 1990.

Inventories of N_2O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Inventories of N₂O and NO emissions from European forest soils

M. Kesik et al.




Fig. 9. Comparison of total direct N₂O emissions from agricultural soils (data for the year 1996 by Boeckx and van Cleemput, 2001) and of N₂O emissions from forest soils (data for the year 2000, this paper) for the EU15 countries.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion